Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

1999-04

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1999-04-01 through 1999-04-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,293

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <FA9C09CE66D8036F.E708AF2588570350.7491CC76097DE30D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123386

By the sound of the article, they are trying to make it sound illegal to have
a 40 foot tower, sat dishes or web pages.
Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is private information
which contains personnal information about people which is a violation against those people
esp. when the whole world can see it.
What Bill did in a basic manner is made it possible for anyone to search the web and dig up
nasty information
on those who were talked about in the MDT data stream, which could lead to slander lawsuits
against Bill too.
Real stupid Bill!!!

2. Re: Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#u33d9Ff#GA.394@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 123436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"RD" <rdavies@pacificcoast.net> asked:
> 29-54,136-174,406-512MHz Can someone explain what I can pickup on this
> freq's , also can I hear aircraft?

When reading the following file, note that the FCC has effectively
merged the various land mobile allocations, so that a newly licensed
taxi service could be using a frequency designated "Forestry". For the
forseeable future, most users will remain in their previously designated
ranges.

fcc.allocations FCCTBL.TXT
/pub/hamradio/docs/misc Hamnet Lib 2
ftp://oak.oakland.edu CompuServe
[mulligan@acm.org] [70035,140]

June 1998

FCC Frequency Allocations

A summary of the FCC Table of Frequency Allocations, based on
the Code of Federal Regulations - 47 CFR 2.106, with additional
information from the NTIA channel plan, et.al.

30 - 50 MHz: FM @ 20 kHz steps
30.000 - 30.560 US Government
30.560 - 31.980 Business / Industry / Forestry
31.990 - 32.000 Public Safety
32.000 - 33.000 US Government
33.000 - 33.100 Public Safety
33.120 - 33.400 Business / Petroleum
33.420 - 34.000 Fire
34.000 - 35.000 US Government
35.020 - 36.000 Business / Paging
36.000 - 37.000 US Government
37.020 - 37.420 Police / Local Govt
37.460 - 37.860 Power, Water, Pipeline
37.900 - 38.000 Highway Maint / Special Emergency
38.000 - 39.000 US Government
39.020 - 40.000 Police / Local Govt
40.000 - 42.000 US Government
42.020 - 42.940 State Police
42.960 - 43.680 Business / Paging
43.700 - 44.600 Transportation - bus, truck / Cordless base
44.620 - 45.060 State Police / Forestry Conservation
45.080 - 45.860 Police / Local Govt / Highway Maint

45.900 - 46.040 Police / Emergency
46.060 - 46.500 Fire
46.520 - 46.580 Local Govt
46.610 - 46.970 Cordless Phones - base (20/40 kHz steps)
47.020 - 47.400 Highway Maint
47.440 - 47.680 Industry / Emergency
47.700 - 49.580 Industry / Cordless handset
49.670 - 49.990 Cordless Phones - handset (irregular steps)

50 - 150 MHz
50.000 - 54.000 Amateur (6-meter)
54.000 - 72.000 Broadcast TV chs 2-4 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
72.000 - 76.000 (various)
[75.000] Aero - ILS marker beacon
76.000 - 88.000 Broadcast TV chs 5-6 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
88.100 - 107.900 FM Broadcast (200 kHz steps - FMw)
108.000 - 117.950 Aero - VOR and ILS localizer (50 kHz steps)
118.000 - 136.975 Aero - communications (25 kHz steps - AM)
[121.500] Aero - emergency (international)
137.000 - 138.000 Satellite
138.000 - 144.000 US Government - land mobile (25 kHz steps)
144.000 - 148.000 Amateur (2-meter)
148.000 - 149.900 US Government - fixed/mobile, satellite
149.900 - 150.050 Satellite - radionavigation
150.050 - 150.800 US Government - fixed/mobile

150 - 162 MHz: FM @ 15 kHz steps
150.815 - 150.965 Auto Emergency
150.995 - 151.595 Highway / Forestry / Industry
151.625 - 151.955 Business (30 kHz steps)
152.030 - 152.240 Mobile phone (Base) / Page (30 kHz steps)
152.270 - 152.450 Taxi (Base)
152.510 - 152.840 Mobile phone (Base) / Page (30 kHz steps)
152.870 - 153.725 Industry
153.740 - 154.445 Fire / Govt (mobile)
154.452 - 154.482 Industry (telemetry) (7.5 kHz steps)
154.490 - 154.625 Industry
154.650 - 156.240 Police / Govt / Emrgncy / Hwy
156.025 - 157.425 Maritime (ship) (25 kHz steps)
157.470 - 157.515 Auto Emergency
157.530 - 157.710 Taxi (mobile) / Business
157.770 - 158.100 Mobile phone (mobile) / Page (30 kHz steps)
158.130 - 158.460 Industry
158.490 - 158.700 Mobile phone (mobile) / Page (30 kHz steps)
158.730 - 159.210 Police / Govt / Highway
159.225 - 159.465 Forestry Conservation
159.495 - 160.200 Transportation - bus, truck
160.215 - 161.610 Railroad
160.625 - 160.950 Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)
161.640 - 161.760 { Broadcast Pickups
161.500 - 162.025 { Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)

162 - 450 MHz
162.025 - 174.000 mainly US Govt - land mobile (12.5/25 kHz steps)
[173.075] LoJack
174.000 - 216.000 Broadcast TV chs 7-13 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
216.000 - 218.000 Maritime - AMTS, coast (25 kHz steps)
218.000 - 219.000 IVDS - Interactive Video & Data
219.000 - 220.000 Maritime - AMTS, ship (25 kHz steps)
220.0025- 220.9975 General (trunked) - base (5 kHz steps)
221.0025- 221.9975 General (trunked) - mobile (" " ")
222.000 - 225.000 Amateur (1.25-meter)
225.000 - 328.600 US Government - Aero (50/100 kHz steps - AM)
[243.000] Aero - military emergency
328.600 - 335.400 Aero - ILS glide path (50 kHz steps)
335.400 - 400.000 US Government - Aero (50/100 kHz steps - AM)
400.000 - 406.000 US Govt - Meteorological / Space
[404.370] Wind Profiler radar
[406.025] Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT)
406.100 - 420.000 US Govt - land mobile (12.5/25 kHz steps)
420.000 - 450.000 { Amateur (70cm) / military long-range radar
422.200 - 430.000 { land mobile in Detroit, Cleveland, Buffalo

450 - 460 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (450-455 base, 455-460 mobile)
12.5 kHz steps for 2 watt use (451-454 & 456-459)
450.050 - 450.925 Auxiliary Broadcasting
451.025 - 452.025 Industry
452.050 - 452.500 Taxi / Industry / Transport
452.525 - 452.600 Automobile Emergency
452.625 - 452.950 Transportation - Trucks / Railroad
452.975 - 453.000 Relay Press
453.025 - 453.975 Local Govt / Public Safety
454.025 - 454.650 Mobile Telephone
454.675 - 454.975 Mobile Telephone Air (ground)
455.050 - 455.925 Auxiliary Broadcasting
456.025 - 457.025 Industry
457.050 - 457.500 Taxi / Industry / Transport
457.525 - 457.600 {Maritime - shipboard repeater (mobiles +10.225)
{Business - low power
457.625 - 457.950 Transportation - Trucks / Railroad
457.975 - 458.000 Relay Press
458.025 - 458.975 Public Safety / Local Govt
459.025 - 459.650 Mobile Telephone
459.675 - 459.975 Mobile Telephone Air (airborne)

460 - 470 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (460-465 base, 465-470 mobile)
12.5 kHz steps for 2 watt use
460.025 - 460.550 Police / Public Safety
460.575 - 460.625 Fire
460.650 - 460.875 Business - Airport use
460.900 - 461.000 Business - Central Alarms
461.025 - 462.175 Business
462.200 - 462.525 Manufacturers / Industry
462.550 - 462.725 GMRS (12.5 kHz steps)

462.750 - 462.925 Business (paging)
462.950 - 463.175 MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
463.200 - 465.000 Business
465.025 - 465.550 Police / Public Safety
465.575 - 465.625 Fire
465.650 - 465.875 Business - Airport use
465.900 - 466.000 Business - Central Alarms
466.025 - 467.175 Business
467.200 - 467.525 Manufacturers / Industry
467.550 - 467.725 GMRS (25 kHz steps)
467.750 - 467.925 {Business (2w, telemetry)
467.750 - 467.825 {Maritime - shipboard (rptr -10.225)
467.950 - 468.175 MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
468.200 - 469.975 Business

470 - 806 MHz: 6 MHz per channel, wide FM audio
470.0625- 511.8875 { Broadcast TV, chs 14-20
{ Large Metro Public Safety (25 kHz steps - FM)
512.000 - 806.000 Broadcast TV, Chs 21-69

806 - 896 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (mobile 806-851, base 851-896)
806.0125- 809.7375 General - conventional
809.7625- 810.9875 General - single channels
811.0125- 815.9875 General - trunked
816.0125- 820.9875 SMR - trunked
821.0125- 823.9875 Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
824.040 - 834.360 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
834.390 - 835.620 Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
835.650 - 848.970 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
849.0055- 850.9735 Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)
851.0125- 854.7375 General - conventional
854.7625- 855.9875 General - single channels
856.0125- 860.9875 General - trunked
861.0125- 865.9875 SMR - trunked
866.0125- 868.9875 Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
869.040 - 879.360 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
879.390 - 880.620 Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
880.650 - 893.970 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
894.0055- 895.9735 Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)

896 - 1400 MHz:
896.000 - 901.000 SMR/Business/Industry - mobile (12.5 kHz steps)
901.000 - 902.000 Personal Communications Services
902.000 - 928.000 Amateur (33cm) / USGovt radar / various secondary
[915.000] microwave ovens
928.000 - 929.000 Multiple Address Systems (Muzak, et al)
929.000 - 930.000 paging
930.000 - 931.000 Personal Communications Services - base
931.000 - 932.000 (paging)
932.000 - 935.000 US Govt - low-capacity fixed links (FAA)
935.000 - 940.000 SMR/Business/Industry - base (12.5 kHz steps)

940.000 - 941.000 Personal Communications Services - base
941.000 - 944.000 US Govt - low-capacity fixed links (FAA)
944.000 - 952.000 Broadcast Auxiliary - STL (studio-xmiter link)
952.000 - 960.000 Multiple Address Systems (Muzak, et al)
960.000 -1215.000 { Aero navigation - DME/TACAN (w/ assoc. VOR)
{ US Govt - Joint Tactical Info. Distrib. Sys.
[1030.000] ATC Radar Beacon System (query)
[1090.000] ATC Radar Beacon System (parrot)
1215.000 -1240.000 US Govt - GPS Radionavigation Satellite
1240.000 -1300.000 { Amateur (23cm)
1240.000 -1350.000 { Aero - Air Route Surveillance Radar
1350.000 -1400.000 US Govt - military tactical & air-ground data

3. FS: BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7e08p7$7dk$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 123437

For Sale, Uniden BC9000XLT w/ctcss board installed. Good condition, works like a charm- just moving on to other things. $250 (I'll spring for the shipping inside the U.S.).
please reply by e-mail: lebear@cyberhighway.net

4. FS: Radio Shack PRO 50 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kontos@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e0cbd$he1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123438

```
See Details @

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=84857582

Scanner is in good working order. Frequency coverage, photo, and other
specifications are available on the website.

Dave Sparro

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

5. question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: buddy <javsr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3703A69E.DFA4F716@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123439

what is on the 66-88mhz band? email me direct or to the group. thanks
buddy

6. Re: Cell Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@groucho.cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <7e0ac0$erd@groucho.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 123440

Jim Waits <jimwaits@emcst.com> writes:
> Say I have a scanner and set it to scan the whole cellular band from
>bottom to top. I hear four or five frequencies active.
>Now, if I had a list of the cells by frequencies I could go to it and
>find the frequencies I heard and then I would be able to program in all
>the frequencies on that cell. Then I could scan only the frequencies of
>that cell and not the whole band.
> I used to have a list like that but have lost it. Anyone know where
>I can get one?

It depends on how channels are allocated in your cellular system.

One possible scheme is this: Channels are split into 7 groups. On
any one tower, all the frequencies in use are spaced 7 channels
(210 kHz) apart from each other. However, these channels are
assigned to three different sectors (directional antennas in use)
in sequence, and unless you live under the tower, you may only be
able to hear one of the sectors. So all of the frequencies you
will be able to hear are 21 channels apart, which is 630 kHz spacing.

This number (630 kHz) is just 7 x 3 x 30 kHz. The 30 kHz channel
spacing is fixed for all AMPS analog systems, but the 7 and 3
factors might be different for your local cellular carrier.

Dave

7. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e0aulgnj1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123441

Nobody forged my ID, Looney - tune. What you smoking these days, anyway ?
Rope ?

8. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e0bn5gqr1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123442

Tell you what, fruit - loop, compare these headers with the headers of the so - called "forged" headers, and the postings I have been making for the last two years, and you will suddenly find that you are wrong. You will find that my headers never change.

Now, in your own parlance, "POST THE PROOF" or be found to be a LIAR by DEFAULT.

I live on the OPPOSITE COAST from Bill Cheek, and my postings go through Maine Internet Works. I AM NOT NOW, NOR HAVE I EVER BEEN, BILL CHEEK (Although I will have to thank you for the compliment, since Bill is a really sharp guy). You just kicked yourself in your own drawers.....

9. Re: South Dakota Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>yahoo.com"@NO_.SPAM>
Message-ID: <3703B072.333B75AC@NO_.SPAM>
Source record: 123443

garyjames@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Can anyone direct me to a source for scanner frequencies in the southeast
> corner of South Dakota? Thanks in advance.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Gary
If you go to the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau web site at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
you can search for any frequency in the FCC's database!
--
"73" from the cornfields of
Orange County Indiana!

<'))))>< WW - KB9TMP <'))))><
email me at kb9tmp<AT>yahoo.com
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

10. FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <7e09ujngv1@hermes.rdrop.com>
Source record: 123444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

[last changed 05-Mar-99]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

FCC License Data Sources
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceeded by "|". Please e-mail corrections and additions to me.

INTRODUCTION

This is a list of FCC license data sources available to scanner hobbyists. The FCC master contains a wealth of data about every non-federal-government license in the United States (over three million records). Many of the sources listed contain a subset of this data, although some contain all or nearly all of it. Federal government licenses are not administered by the FCC, and the data is not available to the public.

Also available are subscription services from companies like ISI and CET. Since they charge by the minute, they are not really practical for the hobbyist and hence are not included in this list.

Prices are rounded to the nearest dollar and include shipping, if known.

| Another listing of FCC databases has been compiled by Rich Wells at:
| http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/fccfrqdb.htm

----- WEB-SEARCHABLE DATABASES -----

You can search the FCC master for free from these web sites:

| http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

| http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

----- DOWNLOADABLE DATABASES -----

FCC

The database is also available from the FCC for free. It covers most services but not all. Sections can be downloaded, processed with a supplied Visual BASIC program, and viewed with Microsoft Access. The entire database is approximately 3 GB in size. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

SCANWARE ASSOCIATES

ScanWare Associates has developed a PC-based database program called the FCC Frequency Retrieval System. FCC data for all states is available, covering all services and all frequencies. However, it is not being updated frequently.

Scanware has chosen to discontinue developing this software, but the good news is that the software and data are now FREE! It is being hosted by the West Virginia Educational Network. See: http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

----- PURCHASABLE DATA -----

| BETTY BEARCAT

| The Bearcat Radio Club sells FCC data in several forms. The Betty
| Bearcat series of regional directories covers US public service
| frequencies in 8 volumes. Also available is the Betty Bearcat CD-ROM
| that contains public service data for the entire US and a DOS-based
| database search program.

| Betty Bearcat directories are $17 each, and the CD-ROM is $27. They are
| available from The Hobby Radio Stop at 937-299-6440 (info), 800-423-1331
| (orders), or http://www.bearcat1.com/scanners.htm.

C.E.T.

CET sells records of all stations inside a 30-mile radius for $80. (Major cities cost extra.) A 70-mile search costs $178. The data is provided on floppy disks and is available in ASCII columnar and comma-delimited formats.

CET does not provide data for licenses that do not contain specific geographic coordinates (i.e., mobile-only systems) or specific operating frequencies (e.g., amateur radio).

To do the search, CET requires the latitude and longitude of the center of the 30-mile radius. I calculated mine from a USGS topographic map I obtained at a local nautical supply store. Alternatively, you can obtain
| the coordinates for your town from the US Census Bureau at
| http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/gazetteer/. For my area, the server returned the coordinates of the main post office.

CET can be reached at 800-445-0297.

CSP TECHNOLOGIES

CSP Technologies sells FCC data on CD-ROM. ScannerBase covers the entire US and comes with a database search program.

| ScannerBase used to cost $34 but is now being sold for $4 a copy while
| supplies last! CSP Technologies can be reached at 888-857-4240 (voice)
| or http://www.csp-tech.com/.

GROVE

Grove sells FCC data on CD-ROM and floppy. The CD-ROM covers the entire US and is available with or without mapping support. The mapping version brings up a map with the requested station plotted on it. The regular version is $104, and the mapping version is $174.

Floppies are available by state for $44 per state (add $10 for CA, FL, TX). The disk comes with a database search program. Grove Enterprises can be reached at 800-438-8155 (voice) or http://www.grove.net/.

| MR. SCANNER

| Mr. Scanner offers custom printouts of public service frequencies by
| county, or the entire FCC master for a city or town. Also available is
| public service data on floppy disk and a CD-ROM of all FCC data for the
| entire US. The floppy disks and CD-ROM come with a database search
| program.

| County printouts are $4 each, and city printouts are $6 each. Floppies
| are $25 for the first state, and $10 for each additional state. The
| CD-ROM is $37. They are available from The Hobby Radio Stop at
| 937-299-6440 (info), 800-423-1331 (orders),
| http://www.bearcat1.com/mrscan.htm (printouts), or
| http://www.bearcat1.com/menu09.htm (disks).

PERCON

PerCon sells FCC data on CD-ROM. The Spectrum CD covers the entire US and comes with a simple database search program. Regional CDs cover subsets of the US but come with a more powerful database manager and provide more detail about each license. Also available is a Ham callsign and repeater database CD.

Spectrum costs $37, and the Ham and Repeater Database is $22. Regional CDs are $137 for the first CD, $102 each additional CD ($560 for the entire 6 CD set). Data is updated twice a year. PerCon can be reached at 716-386-6015 (voice), 716-386-6013 (fax), support@perconcorp.com, or http://www.perconcorp.com. The Spectrum database can be searched free of charge via Percon's WWW page.

POLICE CALL

This is probably the most cost-effective source of public service license information. The detail is limited, but the information that is there is often more useful than the FCC master. For example, an entry in Police Call that reads, "Portland Police, Net 3, North Precinct," reads, "Portland, City of" in the master. Police Call has been merged with its sister publication, Beyond Police Call, and now includes a limited selection of business listings.

Police call is available in 9 volumes covering different regions of the US. It can be purchased at Radio Shack stores for $13 per volume.

PRO DATA

Pro Data is a database manager program for license data. It does not come with its own data but can import data from APF, Percon, ScanWare, and UFDBF database files.

Pro Data costs $60. For more information, write to prodata1@gte.net, or visit http://home1.gte.net/prodata1/index.htm.

RADIOMAP SERVICE

Developed by long-time Usenet contributor Bob Parnass, this service provides a custom report of frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names for a specified area in any of the 50 states. Coverage areas of 4 to 256 square miles will be chosen by Bob depending on transmitter site density. Standard and deluxe reports are available. Both reports include a color plot with transmitter sites marked. The deluxe report adds a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area.

To create the report, Bob requires the latitude and longitude (or nearest street intersection) of the center location. Standard reports are $29.95, and deluxe reports are $34.95. Write to Bob Parnass at 2350 Douglas Rd, Oswego, IL, 60543, or call him at 630-554-3839 between 6-10 PM central time.

APPENDIX

Here is table of data fields in the FCC master. Fields provided by various products are indicated by "#" or the field length, if known.

PERCON PERCON POLICE SCAN
DESCRIPTION SIZE CET GROVE SPECTRUM REGION CALL WARE CSP
Frequency (or Lower Limit) 16 11 12 16 16 # 11 #
Upper Frequency Limit 16 16 11
Callsign 10 8 10 10 10 # 10 #
Service Code 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Licensee DBA Name 40 32 40 30 40 # 40 #
Licensee State 2 2 2 2 #
Licensee Zip 9 5 9 9 #
Licensee Address 35 30 35
Licensee City 20 15 12 20 20 #
Licensee Name 200 100
Applicant Phone 10 10
Transmitter Latitude 6 6 6 6 6 6 #
Transmitter Longitude 7 7 7 7 7 7 #
Transmitter Elevation 5 5 5 5 #
Transmitter Height 5 5 5 5
Transmitter HAAT 5 5 5
Transmitter Effective Height 5 5 5
TX Antenna Structure Height 5 5 5
Transmitter Address 80 80
Transmitter City 20 10 20 20 # 20 #
Transmitter County 30 10 20 20 30 30 #

```
Transmitter State 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Class of Station 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Type of Message Service 1 1
Area of Operation 1 1
Radius of Operation 4 4 4
Antenna Type 10 10
Antenna Elevation Angle 6 6
Antenna Azimuth 6 6
Antenna Polarization 3 3 3
Antenna Gain 4 4
Antenna Path Length 6 6
Antenna Beam Width 8 8
ERP Out 8 4 8 8
ERP Units 2 1 2
ERP Indicator 1 1
Power Out 8 4 8 8
Power Out Units 2 1 2
Power Out Indicator 1 1
Emissions 15 15 15
License Issue Date 6 6
License Expiration Date 6 4 6 #
Operation Begin Time 4 4
Operation End Time 4 4
Number of Vehicle Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Number of Portable Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Aircraft Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Marine Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Pager Mobiles 5 4 5 5 5
Originating Database ID 1 1
Authorization Type 1 1
CP Authorization Number 2 2
Area of Operation Descript. 100 100
Paint & Lighting Specs 33 33
Special Conditions 100 100
TX Latitude Direction 1 1
TX Longitude Direction 1 1
Type of Last Contact 1 1
Date of Last Contact 6 6
Number of Units 4 4
Reverse Azimuth 6
Path Connection Latitude 6
Path Connection Longitude 7

CP = Construction Permit, ERP = Effective Radiated Power,
HAAT = Height Above Average Terrain

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.
```

11. Re: beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <5IOM2.4864$fb4.6594@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123445

I was wondering how come Uniden / RadioShack doesn't have a problem with Motorola? Seems to me that their radios decode Motorola's 'proprietary' data stream in order to follow talkgroups. My understanding is that the laws were carefully researched before the release of the TrunkTracker radios. As far as developing pager monitoring software, I don't think that one could be held liable for civil damages, but because of the ECPA one might be held liable for criminal offenses. I am sure that I am probably wrong about this, but I'd like to hear some comment about what others think.

beepman <beepman@geocities.com> wrote in message
news:7dvtg1$sg3$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net...
> I would like to set the record straight on what happened to WinFLEX.
>
> I was contacted by Motorola asking if I was a legal licensee of FLEX (tm)
> technology, and if I was not, how I was able to obtain FLEX protocol
details
> that were supposed to be only available to companies that had signed
> non-disclosure agreements when they became licensed to use FLEX (tm)
> technology.

12. EDACS Systems Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3703ba19.20661257@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 123446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.erols.com/jcardani/esystems.htm

Hi,

Just wanted to notify the list that EDACS systems information is
available on my information page (see url above) for the following US
and Canadian agencies:

US EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION

Alabama

Mobile County (6 systems)
Montgomery County
State of Alabama (3 systems)

California

Oakland City
Riverside County (part)

Colorado

Arvada/Westminster City
Denver City
Denver International Airport

Connecticut

Hartford City

Florida

Brevard County (3 systems)
Clearwater City
Dade County (2 systems)
Hillsborough County
Hollywood City
Indian River County (2 systems)
Manatee County
Pasco County

Hawaii

Honololu City (5 systems)

Illinois

Illinois State Police (2 systems)
Will County

Indiana

Vanderburgh County/Evansville City

Iowa

Black Hawk County (3 systems)

Kansas

Overland Park
Prairie Village

Kentucky

Ownesboro City

Louisiana

New Orleans City (3 systems)

Maryland

Ocean City
Prince Georges' County (2 systems)

Massachusetts

Worcester City

Michigan

Livonia City

Mississippi

State of Missisippi

Missouri

Kansas City

New Jersey

Camden City
Egg Harbor Township
Essex County
Galloway Township
New Jersey/New York Port Authority
South Brunswick township
West Orange City

North Carolina

Dunn City
Hickoy City (2 systems)
Johnston County

Ohio

Clinton County
Greene County
Miami County
Parma City
Pickaway County

Tennessee

Shelby County (3 systems)

Texas

Corpus Christi City (3 systems)
DFW International Airport
Irving City
Lubbock City
Montgomery County
Richardson City

Wisconsin

Ozaukee County
Watertown City

CANADIAN EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION

Ontario

Halton Regional Agency (3 systems)
Metro Toronto Ambulance
Ottawa-Carleton Regional Agency (3 systems)
Ottawa Military Police
Greater Toronto Airport Authority
Sudbury Regional Agency
Waterloo Regional Agency

Quebec

Corporation Urgences Sante "Montreal Ambulances"
ADM "Aeroports de Montreal" (2 systems)
Montreal Transit (S.T.C.U.M.)
Sherbrooke City

Thanks to all of you that contributed!

I still am in need of information for a few more agencies.

AZ - Pima County
CA - LA County, Riverside County (other than system 2)
CT - Stamford City
FL - Volusia County, Collier County
IN - Auburn City, Steuben County
IA - Polk County, Sioux City
NB - Lincon City
NH - Goffstown City
NM - Bernadillo County
NY - Aurburn, Clay, Kingston, Nassau County, NY State Police (NYC),
Oneida County, Orange County, Rome, Sarasota County
OK - Broken Arrow City
TX - Midland City
VA - Amherst/Bedford/Lynchburg Regional, Hampton City, Hanover County

In Canada

AB - Calgary City (Public Works), Edmonton City
BC - Vancouver Regional System (new)

thanks again,

Joe

13. Re: Want VERMONT Frequency Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <c1PM2.517$8m5.1007@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 123447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Al Gritzmacher wrote in message <_vpM2.199$OI4.1270@news6.ispnews.com>...
>I will be vacationing in Stowe VT next week and would like to have some
>frequency info.
>
>I'm interested in Amateur repeaters, police/fire/pub. service and ski
area/ski
>patrol frequency information.
>
>Thanks for any information you can give me.
>
>-- Al AE2T

Some Vermont info is available at the NF2G Scannist Pages - Vermont Section
at http://nf2g.com/scannist/vermont_index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G

14. Re: beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <b1PM2.515$8m5.1007@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 123448

beepman wrote in message <7dvtg1$sg3$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>I responded that I had obtained source code from the POCFLEX web site where
>the author there had used patent information in addition to captured RF
data
>to decode the FLEX (tm) protocol. I also listed a couple of FLEX decoder
>chip data sheets (from Texas Instruments and Phillips Semiconductor) that
>were available on the internet in .pdf format. These documents contained
>information on capcodes, phases, frames, block information words (BIW) and
>other details. There was nothing in these documents that indicated this
>information was for non-disclosure. I still believe if Motorola allowed
>these companies to publish this information, it becomes public knowledge.

I would be interested in their response to this. I'm sure it will be based
on Motorola's economics and not on the law.

>resulting in theft or misuse of confidential information. The ECPA clearly
>states that use of such tools in the United States is a crime.

No, it doesn't. It says that use of such tools to obtain certain
information is a crime, and that monitoring of commercial paging services is
a crime. There are lawful applications for such software (such as reception
of public safety paging). Companies like Motorola would like to see
software banned, but such is not the case yet.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

15. Re: HELP ebay & scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <c1PM2.516$8m5.1007@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 123449

Enter your name here wrote in message <7dqo4k$hjq@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>...
>does someone know if it is legal to sell a scanner with cell
>like my icom R100
>i put it on ebay and they took it off because of that
>i cant find the law on it on the web i know you cant sell new ones but how
>about the ones before the law was passed
>thanks

Somebody at eBay is in a panic over radios. They are not "enforcing" any existing law.

Sale of pre-ban radios among private individuals is not illegal, nor is possession of a cell-capable scanner. The only things prohibited by current law are:

1) monitoring of mobile radio phone frequencies
2) translating of digital mobile radio phone into analog voice
3) manufacturing or importing NEW cell-capable receivers after April, 1994

If eBay is reacting to H.R. 514, what they are doing is premature and inappropriate, and may itself be tortious. A bill before Congress is not the law of the land. Terminating auctions in progress that are not illegal without prior notice, especially if the lister has to forfeit any listing fees, may be grounds for a lawsuit.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

16. Balkans Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Air Boss <larry@grove-ent.com>
Message-ID: <3703bd59.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 123450

Good afternoon to the list.

We have just posted an update to our website on monitoring this crisis in the Balkans. It contains the latest English language shortwave broadcast schedules (times/frequencies for the summer months) for various states in and around Serbia.

We have also added various military frequencies in the HF/VHF/UHF spectrum that have been reported to us as being active in the region.

This information is provided free of charge by the staff of Monitoring Times magazine and is available on the web at:

http://www.grove-ent.com

Select the Monitoring Times magazine link and the Balkans page will be the first link on the MT homepage.

Have a great day and good hunting,

Larry Van Horn

MT Assistant Editor-Fed File/Milcom Columnist (N5FPW)
Grove Enterprises-Publisher Monitoring Times Magazine
Visit our new e-commerce website at http://www.grove-ent.com
Brasstown, NC/828-837-9200 voice/828-837-2216 Fax

17. Re: beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com>
Message-ID: <7e0eghsik1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 123451

John Habbinga wrote in message <5IOM2.4864$fb4.6594@news2.giganews.com>...
>I was wondering how come Uniden / RadioShack doesn't have a problem with
>Motorola? Seems to me that their radios decode Motorola's 'proprietary'
>data stream in order to follow talkgroups. My understanding is that the
laws
>were carefully researched before the release of the TrunkTracker radios.
As
>far as developing pager monitoring software, I don't think that one could
be
>held liable for civil damages, but because of the ECPA one might be held
>liable for criminal offenses. I am sure that I am probably wrong about
>this, but I'd like to hear some comment about what others think.

The reason Uniden/RS doesn't have a problem is because the worked out a
licensing
agreement with Motorola. Maybe you haven't noticed this, but when your
scanner is
in Trunked mode, it will not receive any of the phone patches or "private"
talkgroups.
That is because Motorola told Uniden, Radio Shack, et al that if they wanted
to use
thwe technology in their scanners, those things had to be blocked out. It
is a
licensing/royalty issue. Nothing more.

Choco.

18. Re: Programmable Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EMS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1369-3703B548-28@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123452

RELM makes both UHF and VHF HT's for the comerical bands that are broad-banded. I have one of each. The 32 channel VHF radio will cover from 145 to 174 Mhz and the 16 channel UHF version will cover from 440 to 470 Mhz.

Most of the Ham 2 meter and 440 radios can be modified to transmit outside the ham bands, but these radios are not legal to use in the public safety or business bands.
73 de Jim. W8EMS

W8EMS@webtv.net / Jim Wardrip
W 8 Emergency Medical Service
.....
Be ye silent and stand in trembling and fear, Oh mortal, at the voice of the great and powerful god "MO-TO-RO-LA"☐!

19. KOSOVO HF/VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fillip <radiosvc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3703EE95.71EB5455@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

GOOD DAY EVERYONE !

Here are all the freqencies that I have found so far on the web to
monitor the
Kosovo crisis.

The question is HAS anyone heard anything over it ?
It seems that 11,175+/- works . Nothing special happening over there
either.
Pls report back to me and to the NG's with any aditional informations
about
working freq's.
If you could also state what beeing said that you heard .

Good Luck !

Phil
(all the credit to the orginal posters !)

All freq's in MHz and USB mode. (heard in Europe).
4.724
6.693
6.865
8.046
8.921
8.968
8.971
8.992
9.1185
9.269
9.620
11.175 ---
15.016
16.4424 --- ()
16.4440
17.976

8995 KHz Very active. Heard callsigns Blue01, Blue02 calling for
KC-135 Tankers. Also lots of comms with CROWN (White
House?)

8992 KHz Very Active. Weak signals here in Pennsylvania.

8992 & 9016 KHz Very Active on 11/12/98, could be getting ready for
operation "Free Willy".

11175 KHz Standard GHFS Freq. Heard lots of stuff here. Callsigns like ARCHITECT (might be Royal AirForce Strike Command), METRO, TRANS METRO. At one time this was refered to as channel 1.

4742 KHz Lot of ARCHITECT (Royal Air Force Strike Command) comms.

11230 KHz Traffic Heard but nothing strange.

11233 KHz Traffic Heard but nothing strange.

US Military Freqs Where Action will Probably be Heard
GHFS Freqs: 4724, 6739, 8992, 11175, 13200, 15016 KHz USB

Air Mobile Command (AirForce) Freqs: 4724, 6712, 6712,6739, 8968, 8992, 9016, 9023, 9037 10780, 11176, 11244, 11271, 13200, 15016, 17976 KHz USB

Navy High Command Voice Freqs: 6697, 11267 KHz USB

Freqs Actually Heard: 2962, 6628, 8825, 11309, 13354 KHz USB

11300 KHz HOT FREQUENCY! Serbia Fighters, Also weak english tranmission in very excited voices 3/27/99 Submitted by Enea Colzi in Italy but was also copied by me here in Lancaster, PA, USA
6712KHz French AirForce Between France & Italy. Main Call is "CIRCUS VERT", planes using COTAM xxxx callsigns 3/27/99 Anonymous Source
11239KHz BRAVO BRAVO talking to DUMBO DUMBO seems to be either a bomber or tanker. 3/27/99 Submitted by Enea Colzi in Italy
11245, 11175, 6959, 3930KHz Air Traffic around Camp Darby & PISA Bases. 3/25/99 Submitted by Enea Colzi in Italy
11243KHz Gibralta FlightWatch giving aviation weather for Italian Military Bases. (Italy is less then 100 miles from Kosovo) 3/25/99 Submitted by Ken Taylor
4742 KHz UID station calling Architect (Royal Air Force) with code word "Miller Time". 3/25/99 Submitted by Ken Taylor
5325, 6729 & 6773 KHz NATO frequency I hard some week sigs on, English but couldn't make out what was being said. 3/25/99
11267 & 11175 KHz Heard lots of stuff here. At 1935 UTC broadcasting long EAM's (About 1 hr after the WAR Started!) 3/24/99
11230 KHz Traffic Heard but nothing strange. 3/24/99
11233 KHz Traffic Heard but nothing strange. 3/24/99
18006 KHz ANDREWS at 1825 UTC Asking VOLITION about QRM. Some DATA comm's. 3/24/99
11244 & 8992 KHz Long EAM's at 1855 UTC. 3/24/99

Actual VHF & UHF Military Loggings During the Kosovo War!

372.300MHz German AirForce 369 calling "Rodia" (French Air Control) 3/29/99 Submitted by Anonymous Source from France
124.650MHz Hungary ATIS (aviation weather) Hungary Air NATO Freq 3/29/99 Submitted by Peter from Budapest
126.500MHz Hungary Budapest East Air NATO Freq 3/29/99 Submitted by Peter from Budapest
128.100MHz Hungary Budapest West Air NATO Freq 3/29/99 Submitted by Peter from Budapest
129.000MHz Hungary ARMY NATO Freq 3/29/99 Submitted by Peter from Budapest
123.000MHz Hungary Taszar NATO Base 3/29/99 Submitted by Peter from Budapest
121.375 - 129.735MHz Air Traffic around Padova Military. 3/25/99 Submitted by Enea Colzi in Italy

NATO E-3 AWACS "Magic" HF Frequencies

Channel	Frequency
??	3900
??	5691
??	23214
A4	8980 (See XD)
A9	10315
AA	6700
AB	11228
AC	8971
KD	
KF	
NB	
NC	
NE	
NF	
NH	
NI	
NJ	
NK	
NL	
NM	
XC	
XD	
XE	

6760
6695
3081
3225
4542
4720
4758
6762.5
8986.5
11270.5
15050
17996.5
6754
8980
10315

According to some European sources the X-designators/frequencies is replacing N-designators/frequencies although the N-designators are sometimes still used.

Miscellaneous NATO HF Frequencies
3097.5
4777.5
5267.0
5349.0 (NATO Training Net 661G)

Operation Allied Force Active Frequencies

3178

Bookshelf Net Push Unknown
4724

USAF Global HF System Primary
5218

Bookshelf Net Push Unknown
6693

USN Voice Coordination Net
6712

USAF Global HF System Primary
6728

NATO AWACS Net
6739

USAF Global HF System Primary
6761

USAF Air Refueling Primary
6865

Bookshelf Net Push 81A
6932.5

Bookshelf Net Push 81B
8046

Bookshelf Net Push 81V
8992

USAF Global HF System Primary
9118.5

Bookshelf Net Push 82A
9260

Bookshelf Net Push 82B
10315

NATO Naval Net
11173

Bookshelf Net Push 83A
11175

USAF Global HF System Primary
13200

USAF Global HF System Primary
15016

USAF Global HF System Primary
16442.4

Naval Voice Coordination Hotel Tracking Net

NATO Common VHF/UHF Frequencies

122.1

NATO Common VHF Tower/GCA/Approach Control
123.1

Search and Rescue (VHF Primary)
123.3

NATO Common VHF Low Level/GCA/Final Approach
130.425

Search and Rescue (Unicom)
138.7

Search and Rescue (VHF Secondary)
156.3

Marine VHF Channel 06 (Air/Ship)
156.8

Marine VHF Channel 16 (Distress)
160.6

Marine VHF Channel 00 (Emergency)
241.9

Helicopter Common
243.0

International Calling/Emergency (Worldwide)
243.4

Guard/Watch
244.6

Search and Rescue (Scene of Search)
249.875

NATO Discrete Operations
252.8

Search and Rescue (Training)
257.8

NATO Common Tower
277.8

US Navy Fleet Common
278.3

Helicopter Common
279.4

Combat Scene of Action
280.6

Jamming/Strike Safety
282.1

Search and Rescue (Secondary)
282.8

Search and Rescue (Primary)
300.8

NATO Common Low Level
317.5

NATO Common Radar Fix
337.8

Transit Control
344.0

NATO Common Radar/Director
356.4

Jamming/Strike Safety
362.3

NATO Common Approach Control
369.1

Helicopter Common
385.4

NATO Common Radar/Final Approach

20. IC-Q7A Modification performed, BUT.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wehrme@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e0kla$pa6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123454

Just finished the modifications.
Several abnormalities occured.....
TV band: Selected channels are 1 or 2 channels higher than the station.
AUTORPT : Works very glitchy.. on 440 it must be OFF to function.
on 2mtr it must be ON.
The only selection you get is 'ON' or 'OFF'.. no option to carry 'tone'.

Also lost a large chunk of 800mhz. 810-849 and 860-895 ??

Am an Extra Class and have commercial license also.... followed instructions
to the 'T' and have repaired/mod'ed many radios. Maybe they are using a new
processor or ???

Your thoughts and help appreciated!
Mike, W9EHR ex. KO9I

73's

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

21. Discriminator Output RS Pro2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vpQM2.23$s4.742695@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 123455

I need to know what chip and pin to use on my Radio Shack Pro 2036
Thanks
email reply or post here.
swtempo@yahoo.com

22. New Windows DTMF decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jshima@audiologic.com
Message-ID: <3703db0e.158711752@client.ce.news.psi.net>
Source record: 123456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings,

I have developed a DTMF decoder program for Windows 95/98. I have a demo release for anybody that would be interested in testing it out. I have placed the program on my web site for anyone who would like to try it and provide feedback.

You can download the program from:

www.cyberramp.net/~shima/sharewar/dtmf.html

The program needs the following to run:

---

1) A pentium processor and Windows 95/98

2) Installation of DirectX 6.0 (the program uses the DirectSound interface, and you can get DirectX free from microsoft.com)

3) A sound card.

A registered version will be available for those interested in purchasing the program.

Please email me if you are interested and/or need other information. Thanks for your time.

Regards,

Jim Shima
email me at: shima@cyberramp.net

23. Need discrim output tapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Geoff Smith <bogart@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <4fRM2.11$2e1.87@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 123457

Hello,Im not very good with a soldering iron and dont even know wher to find the discrim output on my bc-9000XLT,BC-895 or SC-150
does anyone know where I could get this done in the Houston,Tx area? I would like to start monitoring ACARS and POCSAG, but need to get this done first..

Thanks
please reply to edlover@rocketmail.com

24. Re: BC895 rmt cmds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: farris@cig.mot.com
Message-ID: <7e0llh$5rf4@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 123458

In article <922975791.730815@helium>, mad@avatar.sawkill.com (Mark) writes:
> My bc895 seems to not support the "TN" (tune freq) command. Was this a
> command added to later versions of the unit? According to the cmd reference
> it should be valid in manual mode, but all I get is "ERR".

I haven't utilized the TN command, yet, so I can't comment on this one.

> Also, how does one decode the values returned by the "SG" (confirm signal)
> command. The reference states this command should return values from 0-255,
> but even on strong signals, Im only seeing values around 10-20.

Yes, I don't have my data in front of me, but I have seen this, with values up to 25, I think. I just noted how many bars on the LCD meter were being enabled and matched that up with the values I got back from SG and made a table. So, now my computer displays the meter, just like the LCD on the radio. Unfortunately, I have just recently noticed that the AM aircraft band doesn't seem to encode the signal strength the same way, so I'll have to go through this process again and make another table for those frequencies.

--
Dan Farris

25. Re: Programmable Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: redog31@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401163607.06064.00000846@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123459

Icom also makes varies commercial radios, I own a UHF 32 channel that is great.
It doubles as my REACT Radio and work radio.

Mike Manahan ReDoG31@aol.com
http://www.manahan.net/scanner.html

26. Re: ForSale PR-46 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mhayworth4@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990401164456.18563.00000759@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123460

I'm gonna HAVE to get me some
of WHATEVER your smoking!!!!!

27. Trip from CA to NV: need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: redog31@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401171207.06073.00000722@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123461

I will be driving from the San Fernando Valley to Las Vegas on Sunday and wondered if anyone had a few freq's that they might be willing to share. I have set aside 2 banks of 20 channels each for my trip. If you have any suggestions on how I should program them, please drop me an email. I will be staying at the Boardwalk Inn on the strip for about 2 to 3 days and I am looking for those freq's as well. P.S. If you have any radio stations that I can pick up along my trip and when I get in Vegas, please pass those along as well. Thanks in advance and I will be adding another 6 to 8 frequency list to my site when I come back from my trip. Take care and HAPPY SCANNING !!!!!!!

Mike Manahan ReDoG31@aol.com
http://www.manahan.net/scanner.html

28. Re: FreeTracker - 2.4 - ft24inst.exe (0/3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alun <AJB@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bv5IwBAyC$A3EwUb@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 123462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

In article <tweekF98pDA.JJD@netcom.com>, R R M Tweek <tweek@netcom.com>
writes
>In article <36fc56e8.45571059@news.supernews.com>,
>William Mitchell <william@netdoor-no.com> wrote:
>>Here they are as seperate files.
>
>FUCK YOU!
>
>Keep this binary shit out of the discussion newsgroups.
>
>Som of us don't want to spen 20 fucking hours downloading this shit!
>
>You are a fucking asshole.
>
>USE A FUCKING BINARY NEWSGROUP NEXT TIME!!!
>
>Or better yet, confine this shit to e-mail!
>
>

Hey Tweek. He got it wrong, OK? Don't bust a blood vessel. Swearing like
a trooper will not solve the problem.

I think he's got the point by now - all these subtle posts probably
informed him of his error :)
--
Alun

29. Re: Icom IC-R2.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alun <AJB@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cPJJ4FAwE$A3EwXa@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 123463

In article <7dmbrohhq1@fire.medianet.ie>, Dara Traynor
<dtraynor@clubi.ie> writes
>Dont buy one....batteries dont last pissing time. very heavy on the current
>drain. apart from that they are VERY good, and have nice features.....but it
>was he batteries which made me get rid of mine after al the expense i went
>thru.
>

My batteries last ages. I just use cheap Duracell types, and the thing
seems to run for days. Mind you, I usually use an earphone, so it isn't
powering the speaker a lot... wonder if that helps?

>Got an Alinco DJ G5 EY instead....full VHF and UHF Tx Rx. plus Airband and
>cell phone receive
>
>

--
Alun

30. Re: AR 1000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401173844.19774.00001259@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 123464

Mine also scans fine through all the freqs Ive installed.

31. AR8200 Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AR8200_List_Manager@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3704f84c.952589@news.ukgateway.net>
Source record: 123465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
The AR8200 Mailing list is for users and prospective users of
AOR's latest hand held receiver and accessories.

To subscribe to the list send an E-Mail to:

ar8200-subscribe@onelist.com

or visit

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ar8200

380+ Subscribers!

Regards,

Steve C (List Manager)
```

32. FS or Trade: 5ft Aluminum dish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard D'Avy <rdavy@delrio.com>
Message-ID: <3703FABA.12760156@delrio.com>
Source record: 123466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale or trade a five foot spun aluminum dish (solid). The dish is self supporting, it sits on a "ring" and the elevation is adjusted by unscrewing a wing nut and sliding the bar up or down, azimuth - just turn it to the desired heading. Will sell to best offer & shipping or talk to me about a trade (working items only) This dish has been used for TVRO and WEFAX and as far as I know could be used for any other application within gain requirements. Dish is located in Del Rio, Texas.

33. Need repair info for TRIDENT TR-2400 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speed <petet@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7e0uln$6tr$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123467

I'm looking for a source for parts for the TRIDENT
TR-2400 scanner. Tried ACE where it was purchased but I get "number out of
service". Anyone...any suggestions? please email at

petet@mindspring.com Thanks.

34. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <rBTM2.716$qL5.50@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3702F77C.DD98C501@hotbot.com>, tracey12@hotbot.com says...
>
>WELL WELL! We can see the ebay is politically correct, and pro-big
>government because they think they should be able to make sure that you will
>use their items legally!
>Do you find this an invasion of your privacy by ebay?
>Can you imagine how many items Americans could not purchase if the fucked up
>government had to make certain that it played mommy and daddy and watched
>over us and prevented us from buying things we might misuse?
>Ebay sucks, and I will never purchase a single thing from their site, nor
>will I honor their advertisers. SCREW YOU EBAY! YOU ARE A BUSINESS, NOT OUR
>PARENTS, YOU BUNCH OF LIBERAL IDIOTS!
>
I couldn't agree with you more. I'm surprised eBay(tm) actually said " we have
no way of validating the legality of use"

That should mean eBay(tm) cancels all 1.8million auctions currently running on
their system Example:
1) I might buy a disk, take off the metal cover and use it to slit someone's
throat.

2) I might buy an autographed baseball bat and use it to bash someones skull in
and kill them.

3) I might buy ladies panty hose, put them over my head and rob a bank!

There are more panty hoses used in the commenting of crimes then scanners,
therefore BAN Ladies Panty Hose!
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

35. RadioShack sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990401185532.15316.00000941@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 123469

RadioShack Records Second Straight Month of Double Digit Sales Gains

FORT WORTH, Texas, March 4 /PRNewswire/ -- Tandy Corporation (NYSE: TAN) reported sales of its RadioShack division for the month of February 1999 were $283.2 million, a 13% increase compared to $250.6 million last year. RadioShack's continuing comparable stores sales increased 11% when compared with the prior year period. This year's performance is on top of last year's comparable store sales gain of 11%.

"Sales of new digital products and services remained strong throughout the month and, with the digital technology product cycle gaining momentum, we feel the RadioShack chain is well positioned for growth," stated President and CEO of Tandy Corporation/RadioShack Len Roberts. "This growth potential is in addition to our highly profitable core parts, batteries and accessory business."

RadioShack's year-to-date total sales were $578.2 million this year compared to $511.0 million last year representing an increase of 13%. Year- to-date comparable store sales increased 12%.

Tandy Corporation/RadioShack is the nation's largest consumer electronics chain and one of the most trusted electronics retailers in the United States. With more than 7,000 stores and dealers, RadioShack sells more wireless telephones, telecommunications products and electronic parts and accessories than any other retailer. It is estimated that 94 percent of Americans live or work within five minutes of a RadioShack store or dealer. For more information, visit Tandy Corporation/RadioShack web sites at www.tandy.com and www.radioshack.com.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

36. PRO-2004 backlight source?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37043268.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 123470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a source for the electroluminescent backlight display for a 2004 scanner. The one for the 2005/6 is too small, and Radio Shack does not show it in their parts listings for the 2004, so I am assuming they are no longer being made available to Radio Shack.
Was wondering if anyone knew of an alternate source for these? There must be a company that manufactures and sells something that will work, but my searches have not come up with anything.
Thanks!

Dan

37. Re: Need repair info for TRIDENT TR-2400 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <370433c3.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 123471

Speed <petet@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:7e0uln$6tr$1@camel0.mindspring.com...
> I'm looking for a source for parts for the TRIDENT
> TR-2400 scanner. Tried ACE where it was purchased but I get "number out of
> service". Anyone...any suggestions? please email at
>
> petet@mindspring.com Thanks.

ACE here in Indy is long gone, but I"ve heard they've opened up selling only
cordless phones and telephone stuff.
The Trident is the same as an AOR, just a different name on the panel. Might
try searching around for someone who repairs AOR and give them a jingle to
see if they'll work on yours.

Dan

38. Burbank CA Scanner Page updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7e1169prp1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123472

come by and check it out.....

http://vistagroupusa.com/burbank.htm

Karl

39. Re: Opto Scout Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <cyber357@nwol.net>
Message-ID: <7e129b$6oc$1@hornet.fibr.net>
Source record: 123473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I use the GRE Super Amplifier which I got from Grove for my Scout.
The amplifier really helps to pick up the frequencies.

Steve

Monte Variakojis <monte@apollocom.com> wrote in message
news:eexqK84e#GA.369@upnetnews03...
> Hi Scott,
>
> I believe that a garage door opener and car alarm are in the 300 MHz range
> and that they are pulsed singles. They are not transmitting for very long
at
> any one time. They tend to pulse their signal on and off very fast.
>
> The Scout is very deaf and needs some power to trip it's counters. For
> example, a cordless phone will need to be almost next to the Scout to trip
> it. Although stronger signals such as a FRS radio or commercial radio will
> cause it to capture a frequency. Usually anything that transmit more than
> 150mw will cause the Scout to capture the frequency with in some
reasonable
> distance (100 feet?)
>
> Keep using it. People who use commercial radios (malls, events,
police....)
> will trip the scout within a 1/4 of a mile.
>
> Have fun!
>
> Monte---
>
>
> Scott Coleman wrote in message <3701c1a2.10363454@news>...
> >Just received my Opto Scout today. I'm a little puzzled by how it
> >functions. Just to test it out, I tried a few RF transmitters I have
> >lying around the house. First I tried my garage door opener - nothing.
> >Then I tried the remote transmitter for my car alarm - still nothing.
> >Starting to get a little worried, I then keyed up one of my ICOM
> >4008As - BOOM! Full signal strength, locked right onto the frequency.
> >Then I tried a 900MHz cordless phone - again it locked right on.
> >
> >For the first real test, I drove over to the local Jack in the Box and
> >parked in the parking lot. The Scout picked up nothing. So I drove
> >through the drive-thru and ordered a Coke. Still nothing, even though
> >I was 1 foot away from the clown box. The Scout was in the passenger's

> >seat, on my wife's lap, the window was down, and the car is a
> >convertible with a soft top, so there wasn't a whole lot to block any
> >radio waves from getting to the Scout. When I got around to the
> >window, I saw that the store employee was wearing a headset and the
> >standard-looking black box on his belt. The Scout was in filter mode,
> >BTW, and I was using the Opto DB32 stubby antenna.
> >
> >What gives? Shouldn't the Scout have been able to detect the frequency
> >being used in the drive-thru? And how come it ignores the garage door
> >and car alarm transmitters? Is my unit defective?
> >
> >Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)
>
>

40. Re: BC895 rmt cmds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: art becker <artbecker@spam.pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <7e1609dhc1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123474

I have never had my BC895 indicate the maximum number of bars for signal strength, even on signals so strong they almost melt the receiver, or cause it to bounce off the table. This means that some of the programs, like Freetracker, never indicate more than, say, 89% signal strength.

Of course, this is merely annoying.

Still, it's something you might want to take into account when writing a program.

--

<< Art >>

41. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Taz <taz@epix.net>
Message-ID: <37041BAD.243A527E@epix.net>
Source record: 123475

does anyone know any scanner frequencies for Dauphin county(Pennslyvania)?

42. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37041D55.2896A6A0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123476

Paul,

I know exactly what you mean. I live in shelton also (White Hills) and have also done what you did. I had a handheld scanner that had 800 MHz coverage but only from 830 MHz (continuous) up. Shelton has some freqs listed in the 866 Mhz (if memory serves me correctly) range. I was never able to pick up anything at home, so I drove downtown. Had a little luck but not much - mostly some stuff on 867.250. I thought then that it might be because Shelton was mostly on the 822 MHz freqs. I then bought a BC895XLT which covers 806-823 MHz. I only got it recently and in addition to 867.250, I get activity on 866.1625, 857.2625, 856.1375, 862.3625, 863.3625 (all in the last couple of days). don't know who exactly they are but...By the way, searching the 820-823 MHz has not produced much yet.

It also frustrates me that I cannot program in police, fire and ambulance frequencies into my scanner for shelton. If you have active freqs for those I would greatly appreciate it.

I have also bought a Channel Master Montenna and the RS 20-014 ($10 on clearance) but have not installed them yet. All with the determined objective of being properly set up to be able to monitor Shelton police, fire and ambulance.

Paul Cuni wrote:
>
> I'll say its a little deaf! I drove downtown and then I finally
> picked them up. I also heard what seemed to be some sort of analog
> scrambled transmissions on the same frequency for quite some time. Do
> you get that too? Perhaps I need a new, or outdoor antenna. What
> really annoys me is this scanner cost $400 and I bought a nice Watson
> 889 antenna to replace the factory one and I can't hear my own town on
> either!! As soon as I drive past white hills shopping center the
> signal starts to degrade and by the time I get to my house in the
> north of Shelton its totally gone!
>
> On 1 Apr 1999 02:02:17 GMT, poscag@aol.com (Poscag) wrote:
>
> >Shelton,Ct. pd is on 867.250
> >I am montioring them as I type. Maybe
> >your scanner has a problem on the 800mhz.
> >or is alittle deaf.?
> >Try another antenna?
> >Bob Ansonia,Ct.
> >

> >
> >>For some very strange reason I never ever hear the shelton, CT police
> >>on any of thier listed frequencies. I live in Shelton and have no
> >>problem hearing the police as far away as New Haven! I've tried thier
> >>800 mhz listed frequencies and leave it there for hours with no sound.
> >>Whats up with this?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >

43. fs pro 39 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickster <wrcgeh@fidnet.com>
Message-ID: <37043464.0@news.fidnet.com>
Source record: 123477

nice little scanner on ebay
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=85818747
thanks

44. Re: What's on these freqs? 23-27MHz area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: buffalocody@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3557-370438A5-5@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123478

my book says tv and petro commercial
i would bet it's modified cb
outbanders

45. Re: question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7e1fuu$b4n$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 123479

There are TV audio freqs , ch3(65.75) ch4 (71.75) ch5 (81.75) and ch 6(87.75) Also in my area I have heard some paging of some sort 72.02 (or something close) There are other services in there, but I am not familiar with them.
buddy wrote in message <3703A69E.DFA4F716@hotmail.com>...
>what is on the 66-88mhz band? email me direct or to the group. thanks
>buddy
>
>

46. Re: 2026 losing memory all of a sudden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: buffalocody@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3552-37043EA1-114@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123480

the guy's are right
it's a cap gone bad to the memory
my pro 31 does the same thing
get radio crap on line for the schematic and go from there

47. Re: Descramblers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: buffalocody@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3551-37043F25-160@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123481

you'll pay more for the descambler than your car

48. Re: beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <WAXM2.5337$fb4.6957@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123482

Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com> wrote in message
news:7e0eghsik1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
> The reason Uniden/RS doesn't have a problem is because the worked out a
> licensing
> agreement with Motorola.

Really? How do you know this? If this is true, then why did Harris County argue that Motorola considers the talkgroup identification to be proprietary when asked to release the information that was requested in a Texas Open Records Act request?

> Maybe you haven't noticed this, but when your
> scanner is
> in Trunked mode, it will not receive any of the phone patches or "private"
> talkgroups.
> That is because Motorola told Uniden, Radio Shack, et al that if they
wanted
> to use
> thwe technology in their scanners, those things had to be blocked out. It
> is a
> licensing/royalty issue. Nothing more.

Seems to me that Uniden would block out phone patches in order to avoid violating any laws related to the ECPA. I don't think that would have any thing to do with licensing.

49. Re: EDACS Systems Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <370448FE.E588B768@accesscom.com>
Source record: 123483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks Joe, found the official listing at:

http://www.ericsson.se/US/prs/prs601na.html

Regards

Ed

Joe Cardani wrote:
>
> http://www.erols.com/jcardani/esystems.htm
>
> Hi,
>
> Just wanted to notify the list that EDACS systems information is
> available on my information page (see url above) for the following US
> and Canadian agencies:
>
> US EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION
> ---------------------------
>
> Alabama
> -------
>
> Mobile County (6 systems)
> Montgomery County
> State of Alabama (3 systems)
>
> California
> ----------
>
> Oakland City
> Riverside County (part)
>
> Colorado
> --------
>
> Arvada/Westminster City
> Denver City
> Denver International Airport
>
> Connecticut
> -----------
>

> Hartford City
>
> Florida
> -------
>
> Brevard County (3 systems)
> Clearwater City
> Dade County (2 systems)
> Hillsborough County
> Hollywood City
> Indian River County (2 systems)
> Manatee County
> Pasco County
>
> Hawaii
> ------
>
> Honololu City (5 systems)
>
> Illinois
> --------
>
> Illinois State Police (2 systems)
> Will County
>
> Indiana
> -------
>
> Vanderburgh County/Evansville City
>
> Iowa
> ----
>
> Black Hawk County (3 systems)
>
> Kansas
> ------
>
> Overland Park
> Prairie Village
>
> Kentucky
> --------
>
> Ownesboro City
>
> Louisiana
> ---------
>
> New Orleans City (3 systems)

>
> Maryland
> --------
>
> Ocean City
> Prince Georges' County (2 systems)
>
> Massachusetts
> -------------
>
> Worcester City
>
> Michigan
> --------
>
> Livonia City
>
> Mississippi
> -----------
>
> State of Missisippi
>
> Missouri
> --------
>
> Kansas City
>
> New Jersey
> ----------
>
> Camden City
> Egg Harbor Township
> Essex County
> Galloway Township
> New Jersey/New York Port Authority
> South Brunswick township
> West Orange City
>
> North Carolina
> --------------
>
> Dunn City
> Hickoy City (2 systems)
> Johnston County
>
> Ohio
> ----
>
> Clinton County
> Greene County

> Miami County
> Parma City
> Pickaway County
>
> Tennessee
> ---------
>
> Shelby County (3 systems)
>
> Texas
> -----
>
> Corpus Christi City (3 systems)
> DFW International Airport
> Irving City
> Lubbock City
> Montgomery County
> Richardson City
>
> Wisconsin
> ---------
>
> Ozaukee County
> Watertown City
>
> CANADIAN EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION
> --------------------------------
>
> Ontario
> -------
>
> Halton Regional Agency (3 systems)
> Metro Toronto Ambulance
> Ottawa-Carleton Regional Agency (3 systems)
> Ottawa Military Police
> Greater Toronto Airport Authority
> Sudbury Regional Agency
> Waterloo Regional Agency
>
> Quebec
> ------
>
> Corporation Urgences Sante "Montreal Ambulances"
> ADM "Aeroports de Montreal" (2 systems)
> Montreal Transit (S.T.C.U.M.)
> Sherbrooke City
>
> Thanks to all of you that contributed!
>
> I still am in need of information for a few more agencies.

>
> AZ - Pima County
> CA - LA County, Riverside County (other than system 2)
> CT - Stamford City
> FL - Volusia County, Collier County
> IN - Auburn City, Steuben County
> IA - Polk County, Sioux City
> NB - Lincon City
> NH - Goffstown City
> NM - Bernadillo County
> NY - Aurburn, Clay, Kingston, Nassau County, NY State Police (NYC),
> Oneida County, Orange County, Rome, Sarasota County
> OK - Broken Arrow City
> TX - Midland City
> VA - Amherst/Bedford/Lynchburg Regional, Hampton City, Hanover County
>
> In Canada
>
> AB - Calgary City (Public Works), Edmonton City
> BC - Vancouver Regional System (new)
>
> thanks again,
>
> Joe

50. WTB:2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Wong <monterey@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <3704523C.E3012424@sirius.com>
Source record: 123484

let me know if you have one.

51. Can i trunktrac with my 3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Doc Holiday <Nakemedic>" <j9355@idt.net>
Message-ID: <3703028E.92AE251D@idt.net>
Source record: 123485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

Can it be done its very fast can i trunktrack with my new 3000XLT
thanks in advance
Doc



[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

52. Scanstar Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3702FE0B.282338E0@home.com>
Source record: 123486

Hello Gentlemen,

Would any of you happen to know if Signal Intelligence, makers of scan*star limit the number of installations that can be made from their disks? My disk set 1 and 2 have become unusable at the same time. This looks pretty fishy.. Thanks in advance.. Best wishes.. Mike Burch K8MB

53. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: poscag@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990331210217.15320.00000511@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 123487

Shelton,Ct. pd is on 867.250
I am montioring them as I type. Maybe
your scanner has a problem on the 800mhz.
or is alittle deaf.?
Try another antenna?
Bob Ansonia,Ct.

>For some very strange reason I never ever hear the shelton, CT police
>on any of thier listed frequencies. I live in Shelton and have no
>problem hearing the police as far away as New Haven! I've tried thier
>800 mhz listed frequencies and leave it there for hours with no sound.
>Whats up with this?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

54. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <3703e343.20504983@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123488

I'll say its a little deaf! I drove downtown and then I finally
picked them up. I also heard what seemed to be some sort of analog
scrambled transmissions on the same frequency for quite some time. Do
you get that too? Perhaps I need a new, or outdoor antenna. What
really annoys me is this scanner cost $400 and I bought a nice Watson
889 antenna to replace the factory one and I can't hear my own town on
either!! As soon as I drive past white hills shopping center the
signal starts to degrade and by the time I get to my house in the
north of Shelton its totally gone!

On 1 Apr 1999 02:02:17 GMT, poscag@aol.com (Poscag) wrote:

>Shelton,Ct. pd is on 867.250
>I am montioring them as I type. Maybe
>your scanner has a problem on the 800mhz.
>or is alittle deaf.?
>Try another antenna?
>Bob Ansonia,Ct.
>
>
>>For some very strange reason I never ever hear the shelton, CT police
>>on any of thier listed frequencies. I live in Shelton and have no
>>problem hearing the police as far away as New Haven! I've tried thier
>>800 mhz listed frequencies and leave it there for hours with no sound.
>>Whats up with this?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

55. Re: FS: Realistic PRO-34 handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dverg14@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990331222736.16963.00000755@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 123489

hi frank,
tony here upstate ny.if you still have the pro-34 i would like to buy it. could
you send me your address i'll send out the money order ok?
thanx, tony

56. South Dakota Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: garyjames@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7dusfs$81s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123490

Can anyone direct me to a source for scanner frequencies in the southeast corner of South Dakota? Thanks in advance.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

57. Re: trunker software and interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <3704e29f.1890168@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123491

On Wed, 31 Mar 1999 16:50:48 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Lethal Lextor wrote in message <37078b40.6439276@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>I don't use any software crap on my bc895xlt.

>Then you're missing alot:

Always would like to see someone prove me wrong.
Have tried several software packages, one didn't work at all. The
software that did work, slowed down the scanner.

Perhaps if I had visual pictures, cause I usually scan with 2
scanners.

I also notice the discone antenna this or that. I have tried that one
and several others. The Grove beam antenna works best for me.

This is my own personal preferences, based on my experience, I'm know
you have your own personal preferences too.

>POCFLEX...
>Winflex....
>MDT Monitor....
>
>They all work just dandy with MY 895..... When the 245 comes out, I'll
>probably use the 895 just for data. In fact, I think I'll experiment with
>trunker on it tonight.
>
>-Phil
>
>
>
>
>
>

58. Re: AR 1000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990331230739.13105.00000784@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123492

> I
>consider it useless because it doesn't pick up all the different freq's
>in scan mode. If I want to listen to a freq, I usually have to listen to
>just that freq because I have to constantly adjust the squelch. It makes
>'scanning' impossible.
>Is it just my scanner or does the 1000XLT have a history of this sort of
>thing. Ple

It must just be your scanner. I have an AR-1000XLT and love it. It was my first handheld and first "real" scanner. It is somewhat complicated in it's operation and the manual that comes with it totally worthless. Once you get the programming and operation down it is a very capable scanner.

59. PRO 2026 PROBLEM (LOW BAND)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990331232305.29249.00000572@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 123493

DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY IDEA WHY THE 29-54 MHZ SECTION WOULD STOP WORKING ??

60. WTB: chl. expndr (DH1000) for BC300; Regency ACT T720A airbanr scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Runion <derunion@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01be7bf5$8758cba0$59d04e0c@my-computer>
Source record: 123494

Looking for the channel expansion module (DH1000 ?) for a Bearcat 300. Also looking for a Regency prog. 16 ch. airband base scanner, the ACT T 720A, in GC w/ manual. Tnx, Dave derunion@worldnet.att.net

61. FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@amdyne.net
Message-ID: <922941340.138.63@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 123495

SS-70A descrambler/scrambler

Hook it up to your scanner speaker terminals and you re in business.

$60/obo

62. Re: motorola flip phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "E.F.J." <efjoseph@asacomp.com>
Message-ID: <7duvffdbq1@news.asacomp.com>
Source record: 123496

http://www.privateline.com/
mal1geo@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7dq2an$1e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I have an old analog motorola flip phone (approx 3 yrs old) model
#76060WARSA
>that I would like to turn into a scanner.
>Anyone have any info on how to do this?
>If so post it here or email me back at mal1geo@flash.net
>THANX,
>geo
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

63. Re: Icom IC-R2.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: campbell@SPAMMENOT.computek.net
Message-ID: <57AD8C4E6D1BF0F9.0BF7FDB0E9C5F35A.1386065EB5289CCE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123497

On 30 Mar 1999 07:09:42 PST, Bob S <bob9000@concentric.net> wrote:

>I am puzzled. I just got both an R-2 and an AR16 and with stock antennas, the
>AR16 picks up Fm radio on 93.1 but the Icom barley can hear it with the squelch
>wide open. Tried switching antennas, but same results.
Did you change the mode to WFM - Wide FM? FM sounds great on my
R2.---------------------------------

Not tonight dear, I have a modem.

Remove SPAMMENOT to reply
>
>

64. Pinellas County, Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tpredmore@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22073-3703042F-32@newsd-213.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123498

Check out my website for Pinellas County, Florida TRS:
www.angelfire.com/fl/pinellastrunktracker

65. Re: PRO 2026 PROBLEM (LOW BAND)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401011454.18568.00000563@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123499

I am not sure about this, but one possibility is that the PRO 43 could be converted to receive different part of low band by a diode mod. Long shot, but there may be such a feature in the 2026 where a diode or jumper has opened.

Just a wild guess.

I am sure that you have checked the antenna connection. If, say, you listened mostly to 800MHz you could get by with a very defective antenna where low band would disappear. Also a very wild guess.

66. Re: Does anyone know officially if Motorola in suing people with Winflex posted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401012230.18568.00000564@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123500

Motorola is VERY aggressive in prosecuting individuals where any piracy of their software is concerned.

You can count on Motorola going after anyone who promotes pirated software. The penalties are both civil and criminal. They are very edgy about anyone getting programming for 800 trunking systems by unauthorized means.

I have no idea what the " Winflex " deal was about and have no interest one way or the other in this. I just want to warn folks that this is a touchy area.

As far as sending anyone money for a legal defense fund, that is up to you, but you might want to see evidence of legal action before sending anyone anything.

Even then why would you be responsible for another's misadventure?

67. Re: What is Winflex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <woEM2.4474$fb4.6054@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123501

Flex is a paging protocol. WinFlex is a program that can read the flex
protocol and display the data on screen using your Windows operating system.
<2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us> wrote in message news:3702b0d4.49168977@news...
> Pardon my ignorance, but I have not monitored this group for a year
> and was wondering what the references to Winflex were? What is it?
> Thanks,
> GW

68. BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <3703063D.CFA823E6@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 123502

Looking for a BCT-7

69. Re: WTB: Radio Shack PRO-2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401013714.18568.00000567@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123503

The noise surely sounds like alternator whine which changes with engine RPM. It is often the result of dirty alternator contacts. Different radios behave differently.

Were other scanners used in the same vehicle with no noise ? Recently ?

There are a number of remedies: Try running power cables directly to the battery rather than tapping into the system.

Radio Shack sells a noise filters for this purpose which goes in the power line. I would get the premium model.

70. Motorola SLK280s FRS Radios for sale. $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <370318D0.6D86@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Keep in touch with your family and friends, range 2 miles. very compact.
I have four like new Motorola SLK 280 FRS radios. These have the NiMH
rechargable batteries and charger. These are the very best frs radios
currently sold. I want $200 for both shipped. If you like to know more
about them check the website. Thank you, Chris
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

71. Re: Reset Bearcat BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: chivasn7@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401032433.11725.00000786@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 123505

go to this web page and select the uniden bc200 and read.... it has intructions
on how to reset it.... hope it goes well...

72. Re: Programmable Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DCGM2.8967$5p5.6495@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 123506
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what frequencies are you using it on? and who's?
Mark <tracey12@hotbot.com> wrote in message
news:3702FD1F.C4EFA277@hotbot.com...
>
> Howdy!
>
> Im looking for a hand held radio that has a very very broad range of
> freqs which it can
> transmit on. I have seen a net site that displayed a neat hand held
> which had the ability to be programmed, or modified. It transmitted on
> many frequencies and looked very nice too!
> If you know of a radio with similar features or have one for sale,
> please email me at
> my sisters account: tracey12@hotbot.com.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mark
>

73. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37034946.2E0A468F@usa.net>
Source record: 123507

Bud (the original) wrote:
>Oh cripes, John got a new ISP account...

Bud, get a life & get real. I STILL have my same ISP as you can see by reading my IP address, I have NO "NEW ISP account" as you state.

Second, you are either lying or stupid or trying to make trouble again, the IP address
YOU qoute from that message of "159.121.4.162" DOES NOT come back to the info YOU tried to say according to ARIN (www.arin.net). It comes back as follows:

State of Oregon (NET-ORECAP)
Dept. of Administrative Services, 550
Aiport Rd.
Salem, OR 97310
US

Netname: ORECAP
Netnumber: 159.121.0.0

Coordinator:
Utley, Ron (RU5-ARIN) rutley@LYNX.STATE.OR.US
(503) 373-0960

LYNX.STATE.OR.US 159.121.107.82
PANTHER.STATE.OR.US 159.121.107.80
DISDNS2.WA.GOV 147.55.48.32

Record last updated on 25-Sep-98.
Database last updated on 31-Mar-99 16:13:12 EDT.

Face it Bud, you are just trying to cover for your hero "Scammist Extradionaire" in

San Diego who was recently caught forging identies under the ID of "Zombie Wolfe" by creating a diversion!!!!!!!!!!

74. WTB --> Broken RS PRO-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <370337F5.3572@webdeals.net>
Source record: 123508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm in desperate need of a *DEAD* Radio Shack Pro-50.
Mine is looking pretty rough and I know that there is a dead one
floating around out there....I need it for the key board and the belt
clip....heck if the case is good I might put the guts out of mine in it.
I know I can purchase them from Radio Scrap but would rather find a DOA
one here to have extra parts! Please email me with price with shipping!

75. Sony Wave Hawk ICF-SC1PC For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JOYCE BONASERA <TBONASERA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7dvih3$8ib$8@mtinsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123509

Sony Wave Hawk ICF-SC1PC For Sale on ebay, but will sell privately. Comes with CD Freq database, pc to scanner communication cable, two after market antenaes. Best offer

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=85537297

Email me at: tbonasera@worldnet.att.net

76. Re: South Dakota Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370340FF.66FB47B9@usa.net>
Source record: 123510

I'm from across the river in Sioux City & occasionally listen to Union County
& Clay County in South Dakota. What specifically are you looking for?

garyjames@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Can anyone direct me to a source for scanner frequencies in the southeast
> corner of South Dakota? Thanks in advance.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

77. Re: WANTED:KATU CH.2 NEWS FREQUENCIES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370341C7.4E16BC45@usa.net>
Source record: 123511

I have a friend who works there in the Engineering Dept, I'll ask him.
I **THINK** the equipment I saw at their site was RCA & Moto Micor repeater

& remote base gear on 450 & 171 Mhz, but it has been several months since I
was there so I'll check.

Joseph Gilmore wrote:

> Does anyone have frequencies for Channel 2 news' Jet Rangers and News
> Rangers? I like to keep track of what their goinr to report on before
> the news!
>
> You have recieved a personalized E-Mail from j_happygil_16@Webtv.net. If
> requested, please respond as soon as possible. Thanks!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

78. Re: Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37034506.CC23E4E2@usa.net>
Source record: 123512

Bud (the original) wrote:
Our resident electronics expert, John Mackey, has said in the past

> that after 2-3 years of exposure, the outside covering of coax will
> be too damaged to be useable.

Bud, thanks for the plug & support, but that is not exactly what I said/Over time of exposure to UV, coax that is NOT UV resistant (like RG-8, 8214, 9913, RG-58, etc) will become more & more lossy resulting in permormance decreasing. RG-214 & RG-213 are UV resistant coax. Se a qoute below from Rich Wells stating that UV is an issue to be of concern, as I have been said in the past.

From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Cable Question
Date: Mon, 29 Mar 1999 06:53:02 -0500
SNIP
inside the cable can degrade performance. If all connections are properly sealed and it can stand up to UV, then you should be all set for a while.
Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

79. Re: Programmable Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <ohIM2.2$9w3.163@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 123513
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3702FD1F.C4EFA277@hotbot.com>
Mark <tracey12@hotbot.com> wrote:

>
> Howdy!
>
> Im looking for a hand held radio that has a very very broad range of
> freqs which it can
> transmit on. I have seen a net site that displayed a neat hand held
> which had the ability to be programmed, or modified. It transmitted on
> many frequencies and looked very nice too!
> If you know of a radio with similar features or have one for sale,
> please email me at
> my sisters account: tracey12@hotbot.com.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mark

UHF/ VHF/800MHZ???

80. Re: Bearcat 9000xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7dvnev$ug3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123514

Can the 895xlt be programmed to use my choice of steps when searching?
Bill K9KZ

> I sold mine but kept my 895xlt for the reasons that Rich mentions. Hooked it
> up to PC and really enjoy this combo.
>
> FG
>
> Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote in message news:7dsbal$8v1$1@news.indy.net...
> > I was thinking about getting a new BC9000xlt from CEI for $315 + shipping.
> > This price seems low. I was wondering if they're coming out with a new
> > model. I remember when the 9000xlt was close to $400 at some places. Is
> CEI
> > a good place to buy this radio? Is there a better scanner than the 9000xlt
> > on the market for this price? Thanks!
> >
> > Bill K9KZ
> >
> >
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

81. Re: FreeTracker - 2.4 - ft24inst.exe (0/3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pet_sounds@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37035902.357205841@news.nucleus.com>
Source record: 123515
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

>You did a rude thing and got rude comments about it. However good your
>intentions might have been, you caused over a hundred thousand machines
>throughout the world to make over a hundred thousand copies of your
>binary. The proper thing to do would have been to put them in your web
>space and post links to them, for future reference.

Not to mention that all thesze flames take up more space...but servers
all around the world would not be taken up by it....Most servers
actually only take messages from central servers when requested and do
not store them locally unless a user has requested to dl the messages
from the group.

82. pctv decodeur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: durand <doudou@evhr.net>
Message-ID: <7dvn5i$c7b$1@jaydee.iway.fr>
Source record: 123516

recherche decodeur pour la carte miro pctvpro

doudou@evhr.fr

83. PRO-2030 with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: zap_it@zdnetmail.com
Message-ID: <370366f8.5885540@news.concepta.com>
Source record: 123517

very good condition 125.00 no box

84. >>>>>NEW SCANNER WEBSITE<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Defty <tdefty@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7dvqnu$4ma$1@news1.cableinet.co.uk>
Source record: 123518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,.flame_fest

Could this be the best scanner website?

Check it out now: http://www.e-s-d.addr.com

Regards,
Tom

85. Sale or trade Pro-32 and Pro 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bvkirk@kanza.net
Message-ID: <37036e92.745110@news.kanza.net>
Source record: 123519

I have a Pro-32 Ht and Pro-2002. Both work well. I would like to
either sale or trade for something I can run mobile.

email bvkirk@kanza.net

Bill

86. Re: FS or trade Icom R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <3703769D.5CCE49AF@pagesz.net>
Source record: 123520

Yes, it is full coverage from 25-1999.9999 from the factory. No Mods have
been done to this guy. The radio was purchase six months before the no
cellular law went into effect. I do not remember the exact date. I am a
little biased about the condition because I love this radio, but from talking
to others I guess it would be a 7 on scale of 1 to 10. It has minor scuffs
and scratches, mainly from being in storage more than being used.

I have an Icom IC-R1 handheld and a uniden SC150B. This is really all the
scanners I need, but would like to look at shortwave.

Steven Skindell wrote:

> What age is the radio? What condition? Is it full coverage?
> I have a Drake R8A in perfect condition that I might trade you for.
>
> Steven Skindell
>
> Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net> wrote in message
> news:3702997B.3259EB68@pagesz.net...
> > I have an Icom R7100 that I would like to sell or trade for a really
> > good shortwave receiver. I am asking $700.00 for the scanner. I don't
> > know much about the shortwave receivers available in this price range,
> > maybe someone could recommend units that would be good for a semi
> > beginner in shortwave.
> >
> > --
> > ***************
> > Ruffin Blackard
> >
> > ruffin@pagesz.net
> >
> >

--
****
Ruffin Blackard

ruffin@pagesz.net

87. beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beepman <beepman@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <7dvtg1$sg3$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123521

I would like to set the record straight on what happened to WinFLEX.

I was contacted by Motorola asking if I was a legal licensee of FLEX (tm) technology, and if I was not, how I was able to obtain FLEX protocol details that were supposed to be only available to companies that had signed non-disclosure agreements when they became licensed to use FLEX (tm) technology.

I responded that I had obtained source code from the POCFLEX web site where the author there had used patent information in addition to captured RF data to decode the FLEX (tm) protocol. I also listed a couple of FLEX decoder chip data sheets (from Texas Instruments and Phillips Semiconductor) that were available on the internet in .pdf format. These documents contained information on capcodes, phases, frames, block information words (BIW) and other details. There was nothing in these documents that indicated this information was for non-disclosure. I still believe if Motorola allowed these companies to publish this information, it becomes public knowledge.

While waiting for a response from Motorola (which I never got), I contacted an attorney about the legalities of my application. His response was that such a tool could be misused to obtain confidential information and was also violating Motorola's patent on FLEX (tm) technology.

I was NOT legally threatened by Motorola (yet) and in their defense, I can understand how a company, after spending millions of dollars developing a proprietary protocol, would defend against people using it without Motorola's permission. I'm sure Motorola also spends a great deal of money filing lawsuits against people who attempt to use Motorola's technology without Motorola's permission.

The web site was taken down based on legal recommendations of the attorney. I apologize if anyone misinterpreted my actions. I work in the paging industry and I really enjoy what I do. I cannot afford to have legal actions brought against me.

Some people have offered to send money to my "defense fund". I appreciate the thought and effort, but I assure you that I am not currently in need of any money for my defense, since, to the best of my knowledge, there is no lawsuit against me.

I am considering removing the FLEX (tm) code from WinFLEX and renaming it WinPOC and once again making it available on the web site, however, even this could still be misused and I could be held responsible for lawsuits resulting in theft or misuse of confidential information. The ECPA clearly states that use of such tools in the United States is a crime.

Once again, I appreciate the kind words and support that this group has given me and, if I decide to continue with WinPOC, I will post a message to this newsgroup indicating that fact.

In the meantime, happy scanning, strong signals, over and out.

P.S. FLEX, ReFLEX, and Motorola are trademarks of Motorola Inc. :)

--

beepman

88. BC895 rmt cmds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mad@avatar.sawkill.com
Message-ID: <922975791.730815@helium>
Source record: 123522

My bc895 seems to not support the "TN" (tune freq) command. Was this a command added to later versions of the unit? According to the cmd reference it should be valid in manual mode, but all I get is "ERR".

Also, how does one decode the values returned by the "SG" (confirm signal) command. The reference states this command should return values from 0-255, but even on strong signals, Im only seeing values around 10-20.

73

--
Mark A. Day The opinions I express herein, public or
mad@sawkill.com private, are entirely my own, and do not
Unix Systems Specialist represent the opinions of Cornerstone Networks.

89. Re: FreeTracker - 2.4 - ft24inst.exe (0/3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3703797D.DFFFD8B1@snet.net>
Source record: 123523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Rob wrote:
> Not to mention that all thesze flames take up more space...but servers
> all around the world would not be taken up by it....Most servers
> actually only take messages from central servers when requested and do
> not store them locally unless a user has requested to dl the messages
> from the group.

I seriously suggest that you pick up a book on Usenet, as you have no clue how it really works.

News servers DO store all articles in ALL groups that a provider carries, disposing of (expiring) them when they reach a preset age. There are no central servers, master servers or main storage servers other than those set up as archives, such as DejaNews and RemarQ. Usenet is a distributed service.

A good book is "Managing Usenet", published by O'Reilly, and available worldwide.

Barry

90. Re: What is Winflex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7dul3meq1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123524

2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us <2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us> wrote in message
<3702b0d4.49168977@news>...
>Pardon my ignorance, but I have not monitored this group for a year
>and was wondering what the references to Winflex were? What is it?
>Thanks,
>GW

it is a pocsag/flex and golay pager decoding programme and it is one of the
best around. Unfortuately just as he released hids latest version the
Author "apparently" was acosted by a certain chip manafacture and telco
making company so his site is off, ...but you can get it from the link at
the bottom of this page
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

cheers

ik.

91. Re: Does anyone know officially if Motorola in suing people with Winflex posted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7dul61$g2$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123525

All irrelevant really , it is out here now, in cyberland, a nice place with all us decoder nuts...so spread it around :-)

ik.

nospam@kras.com wrote in message <3702c273.2197023@news.sprint.ca>...
>Is this just speculation about Motorola suing the person who had
>Winflex onn his webpage?
>
>Why would they even care? DO they own winflex or something?
>
>Someone said to send him moneyto help with his legal bills. Is he
>really in trouble?
>
>What can Motorola do to him or anyone else?
>
>

92. Re: Does anyone know officially if Motorola in suing people with Winflex posted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e0099kmp1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123526

LEADENWAH wrote in message <19990401012230.18568.00000564@ng-ca1.aol.com>...
>Motorola is VERY aggressive in prosecuting individuals where any piracy of
>their software is concerned.
why would you be responsible for another's misadventure?

How can he pirate software that he wrote himself ?

curious

ik.

93. Re: beepman on WinFLEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e00d3$kp3$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123527

beepman wrote in message <7dvtg1$sg3$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>I would like to set the record straight on what happened to WinFLEX.
>
>I was contacted by Motorola asking if I was a legal licensee of FLEX (tm)
>technology, and if I was not, how I was able to obtain FLEX protocol
details
>that were supposed to be only available to companies that had signed
>non-disclosure agreements when they became licensed to use FLEX (tm)
>technology.
>
----------snipped----------

Well thanks anyway for your contribution to the scanning hobby !, and I am
sure i speak for the majority on that one.

nice one

ian k.

94. Trunker Version 3.7 FINAL Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: trunking@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7e0407$97v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123528

Everyone,

The Trunker Beta team is pleased to announce that Trunker Version 3.7 Final
has been released. There have been numerous enhancements to the application
to allow easier monitoring and identification of Motorola Trunking systems.

To download this latest version, see the Digital Signals Listening Page at:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm

Please MAKE SURE to read the documentation before running the new version!
The documentation online is located at:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/dig/trdoc.htm

Happy trunking everyone!

-LB

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

95. ? on Freq's for Lowndes County Georgia.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401095314.20394.00000718@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123529

Anybody know these freq's pl,ease let me know.

Thanks
Mike

96. Re: Does anyone know officially if Motorola in suing people with Winflex posted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <370391aa.1676792@news>
Source record: 123530

On 1 Apr 1999 06:22:30 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>Motorola is VERY aggressive in prosecuting individuals where any piracy of
>their software is concerned.
>
>You can count on Motorola going after anyone who promotes pirated software.

The decoders in questions did not appear to be pirated from Motoroal.
Rather, they appeared to be original works by their respective
authors. Are you claiming that the decoder programs in question were
actually written by Motorola? Or are you simply misusing the term
"piracy?"

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

97. ICOM R2 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trail Boss <jlochey@idesign.com>
Message-ID: <fxMM2.70058$rs2.21337993@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 123531

I'm thinking of buying an ICOM R2, how much can I expect to pay for the computer interface cable? And where is the BEST place to get it from?

Thanks,

John

jlochey@idesign.com
KC8JDI

98. Re: FS or trade Icom R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob S <bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <370394E3.A30AD957@concentric.net>
Source record: 123532

I tried to E-mail you and both address were returned.

Ruffin Blackard wrote:

> Yes, it is full coverage from 25-1999.9999 from the factory. No Mods have
> been done to this guy. The radio was purchase six months before the no
> cellular law went into effect. I do not remember the exact date. I am a
> little biased about the condition because I love this radio, but from talking
> to others I guess it would be a 7 on scale of 1 to 10. It has minor scuffs
> and scratches, mainly from being in storage more than being used.
>
> I have an Icom IC-R1 handheld and a uniden SC150B. This is really all the
> scanners I need, but would like to look at shortwave.
>
> Steven Skindell wrote:
>
> > What age is the radio? What condition? Is it full coverage?
> > I have a Drake R8A in perfect condition that I might trade you for.
> > >
> > > Steven Skindell
> > >
> > > Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3702997B.3259EB68@pagesz.net...
> > > > I have an Icom R7100 that I would like to sell or trade for a really
> > > > good shortwave receiver. I am asking $700.00 for the scanner. I don't
> > > > know much about the shortwave receivers available in this price range,
> > > > maybe someone could recommend units that would be good for a semi
> > > > beginner in shortwave.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > **********
> > > > Ruffin Blackard
> > > >
> > > > ruffin@pagesz.net
> > > >
> > > >
>
> --
> **********
> Ruffin Blackard
>
> ruffin@pagesz.net

99. Re: Trunking Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Scanner Dude <ScannerDudeZZZZ@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7e04rh$fp2@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote in message <3702F6CC.EABAB2C8@elginet.com>...
>Has anyone ever tried programming an LTR radio (Johnson, Kenwood etc...)
>with just the 5 channels and whatever groups of info from a Mororola
>type II radio???

Apples and Oranges - you're talking two totally different formats. Sure,
you could enter the system frequencies into an LTR radio, but you'd have to
enter them in as conventional only to be able to hear anything. If you
enter them into LTR mode, the radio would be silent, because LTR radios
cannot decode Motorola format.

--

The Scanner Dude
Brian J. Cathcart - KE4PMJ
South Florida Trunking Guide - 3rd Edition
Palm Beach County Frequency Directory

[NOTE: REMOVE ZZZZ FROM E-MAIL ADDRESS TO REPLY]

100. Re: FreeTracker - 2.4 - ft24inst.exe (0/3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3703A1C8.56@megsinet.net>
Source record: 123534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Barry Burke Jr. wrote:
> News servers DO store all articles in ALL groups that a provider
> carries, disposing of (expiring) them when they reach a preset age.
> There are no central servers, master servers or main storage servers
> other than those set up as archives, such as DejaNews and RemarQ.
> Usenet is a distributed service.

He is right in one way. Some news servers, for example Cnews under *nix,
store one copy of the message but have a link to that message under each
directory. The links are separate directory entries that essentially all
point to the same file.

However, not all news servers are Cnews running under *nix.

--
Cliff Sharp	All relevant people are pertinent.
WA9PDM	All rude people are impertinent.
	Therefore, no rude people are relevant.
	--Solomon W. Golomb

101. What is Winflex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2006_1111@ridgecrest.ca.us
Message-ID: <3703a949.8813411@news>
Source record: 123535

Pardon my ignorance, but I have not monitored this group for a year
and was wondering what the references to Winflex were? What is it?
Thanks,
GW

102. Are you looking for mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stew <ozradio@webaxs.net>
Message-ID: <qstM2.25$WK1.5456@vic.nntp.telstra.net>
Source record: 123583

For all those looking for scanner and other radio modifications, drop by and have a look at my website, I have a large collection of mods on various radios, such as:

ADI
ALINCO
AOR
ICOM
KENWOOD
MOTOROLA
REALISTIC
SONY
STANDARD
UNIDEN
YAESU

Plus many others, site also includes various programs for antenna design, satellite, SSTV & faq's.

Check it out at: www.webaxs.net/~ozradio

Cheers;
Stew

103. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekF9HHpu.E2u@netcom.com>
Source record: 123584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7dt7ja$1eu$1@hornet.fibr.net>, Steve <cyber357@nwol.net> wrote:
>I finily got a reply from that SORRY eBay.

You mean you just got this sorry reply from eBay?

>
>Hello,
>
>eBay has deemed this type of equipment as being inappropriate to sell on
>our site because we have no way of validating the legality of use,
>before or after, the sale.

How about:

We are removing any auctions for computers because be have no way
of validating that the purchaser will not be using it for child porn.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

104. Re: two super clean scanners shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990331194900.02802.00000519@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 123585

i got my 2046 from radio shack for 99.95 on close-out hmmmmmmmm

105. Re: need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3705065E.D94AD67C@ibm.net>
Source record: 123336

David Wong wrote:
> is the comtel really a 2006 in disguise?

Yes, the COM205 is really a PRO-2006 by GRE. Just differs
in AC supply which can be circumvented by installing a
different transformer or using the DC jack.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

106. Re: what is the best software to run with 2006 & OS456lite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnorton@unm.edu
Message-ID: <7e30nv$e2f$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <37036169.320105950@news.flash.net>, wookie@wookies.net says...
>
>

Probe, definitely.

107. F.S. PRO-46 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <37050762.4DF8E14D@direct.ca>
Source record: 123338

PRO-46 SCANNER
FULL 800 MHZ COVERAGE INCLUDING CELLULAR
100 CHANNEL HAND HELD
9 OUT 0F 10 CONDITION
$175

108. Re: Need Scanner Freqs for Phoenix, AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: az-bladerunner@removeme.home.com
Message-ID: <370507fb.6886292@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 123339

On Thu, 25 Mar 1999 23:37:23 -0700, "S. E. Martin" <shannonNOSPAM@wyag.org> wrote:

>Anyone have a good list of organized/working freqs for the Phx area? I have
>a copy of Police Call but I just got to thinking that there's surely someone
>who'd be willing to share their hard work. It would be appreciated.
>
>please email them to: freqs AT wyag Dot org
>
>Thanks in advance
>
Check out: http://members.home.com/radiowaves

To reply directly via email, remove 'nospam' from my email address

109. VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dimitrios P." <trexa@gireve.to>
Message-ID: <3_7N2.2352$o05.1504@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 123340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello everybody,

I have a Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion scanner attached to a RadioShack omni-directional Discone 20-043 Scanner/Ham base antenna on a 80 ft. tower with a RG-58/U 50 ohm coax.

Since I 'm a student pilot at a nearby airport, I need to pick up weather information wich is broadcasted in the airport at 124.9 MHz AM. They use a 13 watt transmitter.

I live 3 miles away from the transmitting airport weather antenna and I can't pick up the signal properly. Weather Signal is noisy and sometimes weak.

I can receive flying aircraft no problem at all, but I can't receive aircraft on the ground when they communicate with the control tower. I can pick up the control tower frequency 118.4 MHz AM no problem at all.

Installing a directional antenna pointing to the airport is not an option since I won't be able to receive flying aircraft properly. There's no significan loss in the coax since I have to attenuate the signal -10dB at the scanner to prevent overload.

Any suggestions? As for the scanner/antenna that I'm using or what kind of antenna should I use. The funny part is that I receive VHF-FM police, taxi, fire cruisers at 10 miles away around the city and can't receive the VHF-AM airport weather information properly 3 miles away !!!...

Thanks for any advice.

Student pilot and Tech wizz....

110. PRO- 62 Handheld 200 channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Merrick <smerrick@texasonline.net>
Message-ID: <7e3407qku1@hornet.fibr.net>
Source record: 123341

PRO-62 in great condition.Comes with new ni-cad battery pack and
charger.Rubber ant.$120.00
smerrick@texasonline.net

111. Re: How to ADD a CTCSS to a BC 3000 XLT??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goodfella99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24397-37050FB5-19@newsd-234.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123342

I could be wrong but I think that is for the 890 and 9000 base model,I have the 890 and put in a tone board,but bought the radio shack equal so don't have that same number.BC890xlt=RS Pro-2036

"Over and under"

112. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <af9N2.8$PS3.227@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

113. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <Kf9N2.10$PS3.227@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==

114. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <zg9N2.17$PS3.457@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

115. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <sh9N2.20$PS3.465@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==

116. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <Ih9N2.24$PS3.465@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==

117. Wanted: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7e39p5efs1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123348

Looking for excellent condition PRO-43 w/full 800 Mhz. Willing to pay $150 for a good working unit. Please state condition and price. Thanks.

Frank

118. New and need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <smith@metronet.com>
Message-ID: <7e3dtv$gt8$1@news.metronet.com>
Source record: 123349

I currently have a BC800XLT and I am wanting to purchase a Handheld scanner. Does anyone have any opinion on this? Also, I have been reading alot about people saying that everything is going digital. Should I still buy the AOR8200 scanner or maybe get a lower cost one? Opions on buying a new scanner and which one to buy are welcome. If I am going to get one I would prefer the AOR8200 unless someone can give me valid points to why not.

Thanks.

119. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <A%9N2.246$Ox1.982@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
>
>The old, analog cell phone system isn't going away anytime soon, there
>still will be a lot of "entertainment" available on the 870Mhz band. The
>new digital systems are used in addition to the analog to handle the
>enormous growth in cell phone usage.
>

Even the older yet 46MHz phones provide a great deal of entertainment if you
live in an apartment/townhouse complex or large city.....
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Kosovo Frequency Central.....
--

-----------------------------------------------------
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
-----------------------------------------------------
```

120. Re: FreeTracker - 2.4 - ft24inst.exe (0/3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jens Stark <Jens@stark.net>
Message-ID: <370530d5@news.ginko.net>
Source record: 123351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

> It seems someone as smart as you would software or a system that would
> prevent them from having to download every message.
>

Apart from the syntax of the sentence, systems like UUCP are still popular.

> And that is what you do by your constant complaining too. If this
> program was posted to a binary group it would have been on every
> server. Wasted? At least someone that wanted a program that is no
> longer supported has access to it.
>
Not every server is downloading bin-groups. This EXACTLY is the reason for
their existence.

Netiquette and newbies obviously don't mix...

Jens

121. Get Paid to Surf the Net $20 a month!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: fchen8@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37073536.8102991@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 123352
Cross-posted to: houston.internet.providers,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.games.*

They pay you to surf the web. They even pay you when your friends are surfing the Web. Just click on the link below.

http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=aoy184

122. Uniden Bearcat 220XLT:help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: K <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <370539D6.FC91086C@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 123353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have an Uniden 220XLT,probably bought in USA, because
the frequensy-band 66 to 88 Mhz are missing.I need that band
for the police in Sweden.Is there any easy way to rebuild
the scanner to operate at those frequnsys.The 220XLT sold
in Sweden have that freqensys present.Maybee I just need
an other EEPROM,or hove does it work?

123. Bearcat XLT55 Scanner 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: john55549 <john55549@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7e3ee9$i2p$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123354

Listed on ebay.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=86027188

124. Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e3fao$5rk$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

After seeing a few ask about where to get software for decoding i decided to chuck some here for you

Some of it is hard to get or is rare, i have many liks to software to put in aswell and i will when i can get around to it !!

have a look see if it helps at all ?..
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

--
Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/

125. F/S uniden bc235xlt trunktracker scanner + extra! on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: FX1 <fx1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7e3g29$bm5@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123356

UNIDEN BC235XLT TRUNKTRACKER scanner + extra!
Item #85097960

just click here and make your bid :) Thanks! :

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=85097960

126. Florida Standoff in orlando

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: night663@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990402173054.06059.00001506@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 123357

Is anyone in this area listening to this let me know.I'm on the trunk system
and don't hear anything?????

127. FS: Uniden SC-150 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <SBbN2.114$8Y3.17863@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a nearly new, mint, Uniden Sportcat SC-150 scanner for sale,
$100.00 Firm.

It has only been used a couple times for a total of about 4 hours.
Everything is wrapped in plastic, in factory box just like when purchased.
Works perfectly, and does pick up cellphone traffic on the image freqs fine,
if you're interested in that. Also includes extra (lowband) rubber duck
antenna for enhanced reception in the 30-50 MHz band, plus cigarette lighter
charger/power cable.

Terms: Payment in advance required. Unit will ship immediately on receipt
of your money order, or will ship when your personal check clears. If you
wish, I can provide local references which you can trust.

Email me at spam protected address using instructions below.

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

128. Re: New and need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990402174528.11942.00001425@ng69.aol.com>
Source record: 123359

The AR8200 is an excellent radio and I can't think of any good reason not to buy one, UNLESS the police, fire/EMS in your area have moved to a Motorola 800MHz trunked system. If they have you'll want to buy one of the current trunktracking scanners, or wait for the new 245XLT this summer which will track both Motorola and GE/Ericsson trunked systems.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

129. site web fire EAU-FEU magazine/ site web EAU-FEU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TopSecret 007 <scanbebe@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5XbN2.2427$o05.1772@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 123360

to visit the site web of fire magazine

visiter le site web de eau-feu magazine

http://www.editionsharvey.qc.ca/

urgence99@hotmail.com
vincent

130. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <Z9cN2.6336$fb4.8174@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

An antenna mounted 80' in the air should be sufficient to pick up the airport from 13 miles away over flat terrain. You say that you can't pick up the signal properly which implies that you can pick it up to some degree. Since you can pick up the tower okay my guess is that you have a strong transmitter nearby that is causing interference or somehow affecting your reception. A discone antenna is more likely to increase such interference because of its flat gain over a wide range of frequencies. You might try an antenna designed for the air band or install a filter. A cheap notch filter to block out the FM broadcast band might help. You could get one a RadioShack with the proper adapters for not too much money. If you have to get a different kind of filter you will probably have to spend between $50 and $300. You might also try a different radio which might not be as susceptible to the interference.

Dimitrios P. <trexa@gireve.to> wrote in message
news:3_7N2.2352$o05.1504@weber.videotron.net...
> Hello everybody,
>
> I have a Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion scanner attached to a
> RadioShack omni-directional Discone 20-043 Scanner/Ham base antenna on a
80
> ft. tower with a RG-58/U 50 ohm coax.
>
> Since I 'm a student pilot at a nearby airport, I need to pick up weather
> information wich is broadcasted in the airport at 124.9 MHz AM. They use a
> 13 watt transmitter.
>
> I live 3 miles away from the transmitting airport weather antenna and I
> can't pick up the signal properly. Weather Signal is noisy and sometimes
> weak.
>
> I can receive flying aircraft no problem at all, but I can't receive
> aircraft on the ground when they communicate with the control tower. I can
> pick up the control tower frequency 118.4 MHz AM no problem at all.
>
> Installing a directional antenna pointing to the airport is not an option
> since I won't be able to receive flying aircraft properly. There's no
> significan loss in the coax since I have to attenuate the signal -10dB at
> the scanner to prevent overload.
>
> Any suggestions? As for the scanner/antenna that I'm using or what kind of
> antenna should I use. The funny part is that I receive VHF-FM police,
taxi,

> fire cruisers at 10 miles away around the city and can't receive the
VHF-AM
> airport weather information properly 3 miles away !!!...
>
> Thanks for any advice.
>
> Student pilot and Tech wizz....
>
>

131. PRO 2026 PROBLEM (LOW BAND QUIT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990402180343.11828.00001584@ng109.aol.com>
Source record: 123362

DOES ANYONE HAVE AN IDEA ABOUT WHY THE 29-54 MHZ SECTION WOULD QUIT, WITH EVERYTHING ELSE STILL WORKING FINE

132. Re: Opto Scout Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: lodrunner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370552E2.B524B00@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The scout is engineered for NBFM signals. The transmitters you are mentioning having a problem with ARE NOT NBFM. Garage door openers and car alarms are Digital, some cordless phones are digital, some of the headsets used in junk food places may also be digital. The Scout is great for mobile radios, hand held radios and things like that. Full power cell phones will lock on while driving. Hand held cellulars might lock on if you are driving close but wont stay on. Usually, when driving around, you will lock on to paging towers and things like that.

133. Re: WOT no winflex , get it here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <37055973.2482DFD8@javanet.com>
Source record: 123364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you!!

Ian wrote:
>
> Apparently the author of winflex has been legaly threatened by a certain
> company and had his site removed. I do not see how writing this software is
> or should be illegal so here iyt is !, People have been asking for a copy of
> the latest Winflex v1.0 (the one with the help file) so i have put it here
> for you all to get it!!, have fun with this excellent pocsag decoder.
>
> respect to de author !! :-)
>
> http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
> go to the bottom of the page and you will see the link there
>
> --
> Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
> go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/

134. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dimitrios P." <trexa@gireve.to>
Message-ID: <B2eN2.2470$o05.1865@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 123365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>airport from 13 miles away over flat terrain. You say that you can't pick
>up the signal properly which implies that you can pick it up to some
degree.

Correct. Its noisy. Like listening to a distant AM (Mediumwave) radio
station. If I'll not attenuate by -10dB, I'll get even more noise at the
receiver.

>Since you can pick up the tower okay my guess is that you have a strong
>transmitter nearby that is causing interference or somehow affecting your
>reception.

There's no interference of any kind, just a weak and nosy signal, only on
the Air Weather frequency. 124.9 and can't pick up aircraft at all when on
the ground. Control Tower talking to them is loud and clear. The problem
is not interference, its noise.

>You might try an
>antenna designed for the air band or install a filter.

I'll try that, but has anybody tried to pick up ATIS Airport weather channel
on their scanner succesfully? (Automatic Terminal Information Service)
Or listen to aircraft on the ground?

Dimitrios P.

135. digital monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Preferred Customer"
Message-ID: <7e3p1d$36f$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 123366

Is there a way to monitor digital frequencies on a scanner.

136. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <37056E8C.6E6227A6@excite.com>
Source record: 123367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I listen to my local air force base atis even though my antenna is just
some copper wire on my ceiling. I cannot squelch it out, it comes in
that good. My ATIS is 134.625, if that makes a difference.

"Dimitrios P." wrote:
>
> >airport from 13 miles away over flat terrain. You say that you can't pick
> >up the signal properly which implies that you can pick it up to some
> degree.
>
> Correct. Its noisy. Like listening to a distant AM (Mediumwave) radio
> station. If I'll not attenuate by -10dB, I'll get even more noise at the
> receiver.
>
> >Since you can pick up the tower okay my guess is that you have a strong
> >transmitter nearby that is causing interference or somehow affecting your
> >reception.
>
> There's no interference of any kind, just a weak and nosy signal, only on
> the Air Weather frequency. 124.9 and can't pick up aircraft at all when on
> the ground. Control Tower talking to them is loud and clear. The problem
> is not interference, its noise.
>
> >You might try an
> >antenna designed for the air band or install a filter.
>
> I'll try that, but has anybody tried to pick up ATIS Airport weather channel
> on their scanner succesfully? (Automatic Terminal Information Service)
> Or listen to aircraft on the ground?
>
> Dimitrios P.

137. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3705826C.F66CDA51@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ian wrote:

>
> Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
> go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/

Ian's tag line peaked my interest so I took a look. They're talking about the Processor Serial Number (PSN) that's imbedded into the PIII processor. Although they've decided to ship the unit with the PSN turned off, hackers claim they can turn it back on. Intel stated that a unique identifier is essential to the growth of commerce on the internet. It other words, some sites will require the number before they do business with you. Or so they say.

Now I'm not particularly religious, but didn't revelations say something about "receiving the mark (number) of the beast on the forehead or right hand" or they would be denied food and trade? On the forehead? The ole' thinker?

Aw.....my visit to alt.bestiality got me a reserved seat in hell anyway. So haul that PIII in here and let's plug her up! I wanna see Quake SCREAM!!!!!!!!!

(my scanner was *on* during the typing of this post.)

138. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: S&#65533; <kf4nnqNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7e3v3k$mk1@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123369

In article <7ds8b3$i52$1@news3.infoave.net>, "Joseph Wilson"
<linwilson@lexcominc.net> wrote:

> I work for Motorola and it is PRIVATE LISTENING.

My uncle cleaned toilets at Digital but he never professed to know what VMS
was...

S&#65533;

139. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John N. Tragesser" <asuwere@erols.com>
Message-ID: <370586C1.F43679C1@erols.com>
Source record: 123370

JTWard01 wrote:

> I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was selling.
> Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.

This is truely sad news JT. I'm sure that it's the assembled interface.
That's terrible. The implications you've mentioned are distressing also.

I'll be in Orlando on the 9th and running down to Tampa and Fort Pierce until
the following Thursday. Visiting family and fishing for dolphin out of Fort
Pierce.

> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA

140. Re: Cheek arrested: article online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7e40hu$ag4$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 123371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the Long Island Newsday article from today, April 2, is online at

http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129117078x0y7001&OIDS=1Q001D027&For
m=RL&bp=no (that whole thing is the URL...from http to bp=no)

-----Original Message-----
From: John T. Ward <JTWard01@aol.com>
To: SCAN-L@UAFSYSB.UARK.EDU <SCAN-L@UAFSYSB.UARK.EDU>
Date: Friday, April 02, 1999 18:22
Subject: Bill Cheek arrested!!

>All, this is from page A31 of Friday's Long Island Newsday newspaper.
>
>Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
>on Web site
>
>By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested < snip >
Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, < snip > Wednesday in
>his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting [MDT
info]
>some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said.

< MAJOR SNIP >

. In a related case, the Secret
>Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
>York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
>intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
>undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
>New York.

141. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <R5gN2.104$hj1.35628@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Ian!

Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote in message news:7e3fao$5rk$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> After seeing a few ask about where to get software for decoding i decided
to
> chuck some here for you
>
> Some of it is hard to get or is rare, i have many liks to software to put
in
> aswell and i will when i can get around to it !!
>
> have a look see if it helps at all ?..
> http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>
> --
> Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
> go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/
>
>
>

142. FS TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Eberle <geberle@tidepool.com>
Message-ID: <37059E17.622B@tidepool.com>
Source record: 123373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Low reserve, 300 channel portable, Mint condition

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=84487935

143. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa.no0bulk0email.net>
Message-ID: <370594BE.1780@usa.no0bulk0email.net>
Source record: 123374

Spot wrote:
>
> By the sound of the article, they are trying to make it sound illegal to have
> a 40 foot tower, sat dishes or web pages.
> Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is private information
> which contains personnal information about people which is a violation against those
people
> esp. when the whole world can see it.

Bill Cheek didn't post it, it was the other dolt. What Cheek was
arrested for is selling the equipment/kit. I don't see how they can
construe one of his FSK decoders as a crimnal tool but apparently they
have unless he sold him something we don't know about.

Gosh, now that we've removed one side of the equation, maybe this NG
will be tolerable.... Isn't there something we can arrest Mackey for?

144. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37059e9a@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 123375

It appears that the Cheek arrest is *unrelated* to the fine fellow on Long Island. The story says he sold *something* to a Secret Service buyer. This will be interesting. I refer all to "The Hacker Crackdown" by Bruce Sterling, which chronicles an earlier ill-advised Secret Service adventure into something they were not prepared to understand, and still haven't recovered from.
--
Crazy George

145. Re: Can i trunktrac with my 3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <37059C88.F82D72B8@fnal.gov>
Source record: 123376

"Doc Holiday " wrote:

> Can it be done its very fast can i trunktrack with my new 3000XLT
> thanks in advance
> Doc

Not really. Having a very fast scanner has nothing to do with listening
to trunking.
If you want to listen to a particular talk group, you can't do it. All
you can do with a
"fast" scanner is catch the next conversation which may or may not have
anything to
do with the previous conversation. In other words, you may be hearing
the dog catcher
shortly after a burglary in progress call. Of course if you want to
lock out the control
channel of the day AND push the scan button a lot, you will hear stuff.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC235XLT
PRO-90

146. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <37059FBE.2907E00F@asan.com>
Source record: 123377

OK folks,
Now for the six million dollar question....WHAT FREQ. ARE THE NYPD MDTs ON ANYWAY?

I have found the ambulances, but no luck on the nypd.....
Thanks in advance!
Thomas

Lethal Lextor wrote:

> Use a scanner, go to prison.
>
> Scanners don't harm people, people do.
>
> Register your scanner with authoritys now.
>
> Scanner key trigger locks, its the law.
>
> Scanner permit to carry concealed is now the law.
>
> On 3 Apr 1999 02:24:47 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
> >
> > I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was selling.
> >Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.
> >
> >John T. Ward
> >Brandon, Florida
> >USA

147. Re: VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <gb_77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3705A324.AFCBB4EB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123378

Please, no more responses. The radio has been SOLD..
THANKS!!

George wrote:

> I'm selling a used VX-1R (modded) in great condition with box/papers
> for 75 dollars + 5 dollars shipping, if anyone is interested, please
> e-mail me at gb_77@hotmail.com
> (only if you are interested in purchasing, want a picture, or need more
> information on it.)
>
> Thanks
>
> -George

148. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3705A550.E7E32117@netxn.com>
Source record: 123379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

First and most important thing to do is get rid of the RG58 coax. As long as the antenna is for a scanner only try using a good quality RG6 coax. I have been using RG6 for years and there is no comparison between RG58 and RG6 ... Try that and I bet you will be suprised at how well you will hear things you never heard before.

Hope this helps ... Good luck and Good scanning ...

Mike Derryberry

"Dimitrios P." wrote:
>
> Hello everybody,
>
> I have a Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion scanner attached to a
> RadioShack omni-directional Discone 20-043 Scanner/Ham base antenna on a 80
> ft. tower with a RG-58/U 50 ohm coax.
>
> Since I 'm a student pilot at a nearby airport, I need to pick up weather
> information wich is broadcasted in the airport at 124.9 MHz AM. They use a
> 13 watt transmitter.
>
> I live 3 miles away from the transmitting airport weather antenna and I
> can't pick up the signal properly. Weather Signal is noisy and sometimes
> weak.
>
>

Snip ...

149. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3705A227.2EAA@wt.net>
Source record: 123380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dimitrios P. wrote:

> I'll try that, but has anybody tried to pick up ATIS Airport weather channel
> on their scanner succesfully? (Automatic Terminal Information Service)
> Or listen to aircraft on the ground?

I can usually pick HOU from here which is about 15-20 miles away as long as my antenna is up in the air a bit. At 35 ft usually no problem at all. Sometimes I can pick up IAH which is farther away. I have a 3 el yagi now that would be fairly good I bet but I don't have an aircraft radio in here any more. Need to find a scanner or something. Also the aircraft radio I was using was not as sensitive as a scanner I don't think. But it did have good image rejection. I think they reduce them some to cut interference on the ground from nearby planes. I need a good antenna to get most planes on the ground at Hobby. They seem weaker than the ATIS. I hear an occasional 737 if they get in a good spot. The antennas on 737's and most new boeings is imbedded in the vert stab. Many planes have the antennas underneath. What I would do is either raise the antenna to a height to receive the ATIS ok, or get a small yagi and mount vertical under the discone. Then get a switch, to switch back and forth. Actually , you will pick up many airborne planes on a beam ok even if not aimed at them. They usually are pretty strong. If you had a weak one you could try the discone. I use a 3 el only. Has decent gain but is not overly picky to aim. If the radio is the problem maybe you could try helical resonators or something to cut the crud. I see them sold for 2m use on ht's etc. MK
--
http://web.wt.net/~nm5k

150. Vancouver, BC Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morgan Brewster <brewstr@istar.ca>
Message-ID: <SeiN2.297$Ar1.639574@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 123381

Is there anybody out there who listens to police, fire, or ambulance in
Vancouver, BC? If so, please Email - Id like to talk to you!!
Thanks,
Morgan

151. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <61CC36F16313EBCA.C29517588D94CA2A.2A182C53C78AF9F0@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123382

Well, I feel like a dumbass as I didn't read the article right.
Anyhow, if Bill sold a FSK decoder then this is not illegal as FSK
decoders are needed for WEFAX, Packet Radio, TTY, FlowScan etc.
If the law has a problem with FSK decoders because their stupid terminal
can be decoded with a FSK decoder, then the friggin law can find another way
of sending their oh so critical information.
May I suggest the law use encryption in the future instead of trying to make
the world pay for its passive reception of raw data encoded in raw radio formats?

152. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e3ei6$vg2$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123383

I would tell you to go soak your head, but knotheads tend to swell up.....

So Mackalooney has been real good, huh ? For WHAT ?

<alfred5056@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:7e1b72$df4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> zombie,
> shut up you jerk. mackey has been real good and bowers has finally
> shut up so you should go soak your head for an hour in a big bucket
> of water.
>
>
>
> In article <7e0aulgnj1@garnet.mint.net>,
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:
> > Nobody forged my ID, Looney - tune. What you smoking these days, anyway
?
> > Rope ?
> >
> >
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

153. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spencer Bahner <spencer12345@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OM2C#gZf#GA.196@upnetnews05>
Source record: 123384

If OP AMPS are outlawed, only outlaws will have OP AMPS.

Better hide the 2 and 4 level decoder schematics...

Lethal Lextor wrote in message <370789eb.2750820@enews.newsguy.com>...
>Use a scanner, go to prison.
>
>Scanners don't harm people, people do.
>
>Register your scanner with authoritys now.
>
>Scanner key trigger locks, its the law.
>
>Scanner permit to carry concealed is now the law.
>
>On 3 Apr 1999 02:24:47 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
>>
>> I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was
selling.
>>Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.
>>
>>John T. Ward
>>Brandon, Florida
>>USA
>

154. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rRjN2.173$hj1.60407@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123385

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:19990402212132.27755.00001413@ng156.aol.com...
> All, this is from page A31 of Friday's Long Island Newsday newspaper.
>
> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
> on Web site
>
> By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
> unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally
> intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals
> installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.

Secret Service? Does that make sense? FBI, DEA, FDA, OSHA, NHRA, NRA or
Local Sheriff but the SS? Why would it be these guys?

155. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: trashthis_ibbryn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7e13h6$72r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3702F77C.DD98C501@hotbot.com>,
tracey12@hotbot.com wrote:
> WELL WELL! We can see the ebay is politically correct, and pro-big
> government because they think they should be able to make sure that you will
> use their items legally!
...
> > eBay has deemed this type of equipment as being inappropriate to sell on
> > our site because we have no way of validating the legality of use,
> > before or after, the sale.

eBay gave the same basic reason for ending the auction of firearms and ammo. Regardless of whether you think people should own guns or not, firearms are a legal product that in many states, and in all interstate transactions, can only be be transfered through a licensed firearm dealer who ensures that the buyer is legally permitted to receive a firearm.

So eBay had no reason to be concerned about the legality of use of the product.

And of course at the time they ended firearms transactions you could still auction off pirated software and porn.

Find another auction site.

For my correct email, remove "trashthis_" from my address.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

156. Kosovo Freqs and More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <cNTM2.724$qL5.50@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123388

Hello All:
If you would like to see a nice website which lists many ACTIVE freqs being used in Kosovo check out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Also listed are lists of current HF, VHF, and UHF frequencies for Public Safety, Aviation, Military, Federal Scanning & HF Information

Need to know what a used scanner is worth? I've created a database of used scanners which includes a High and Low price. I've compiled this list from many auction sites, ham fests, and pawn shops selling used scanners.

Here's a Tip since your having a hard time finding cell scanners in the "famous" on-line auction. Go to your local Pawn Shop! In December I picked up a Mint Condition PRO-34 for $50.00 NOT A SCRATCH, listed it on the "famous" on-line auction and sold it for $235.00!!! And the buyer was very happy! Anyway, the point is there are some awesome scanner deals to be found in pawn shops!

Check out my Radio WEB site for more! http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Thanks
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
--

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

157. What's on these freqs? 23-27MHz area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7e11e8$gau@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123389

I am looking for an informal answer or perhaps someone could refer me to a site where I can find out who uses 23-27MHz in the USA. Specifically in AM mode, I have been receiving unusual amounts of traffic on assorted freqs, I suspect maybe some locals just got ahold of ham or military radios and are fooling around (using profanity, making jokes about family, etc).

Any ideas?

158. Re: what is the best software to run with 2006 & OS456lite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Thomas <ve3tej@home.com>
Message-ID: <37040DFF.1C13864@home.com>
Source record: 123390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Probe

159. Re: Need repair info for TRIDENT TR-2400 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: woolybulle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401200542.15604.00001017@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 123391

I have three web addresses on the back of my ar2500 manual.They'er from 1994.
Email me if you want them.Jim

160. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: alfred5056@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e1b72$df4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123392

zombie,
shut up you jerk. mackey has been real good and bowers has finally
shut up so you should go soak your head for an hour in a big bucket
of water.

In article <7e0aulgnj1@garnet.mint.net>,
"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:
> Nobody forged my ID, Looney - tune. What you smoking these days, anyway ?
> Rope ?
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

161. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401211701.10294.00001579@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 123393

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>ARIN (www.arin.net). It comes back as follows:
>
>State of Oregon (NET-ORECAP)
> Dept. of Administrative Services, 550
> Aiport Rd.
> Salem, OR 97310
> US

Well, what's the point? You have been a long-time computer trespasser. No doubt you manage to insinuate yourself into one of the state's offices and abuse a public computer access terminal. You've done that on numerous occasions, as Bill Cheek so readily documented a couple of years ago.

Also, I'm still waiting to hear the resolution of the case that was brought against you for selling licenses. Blake described your antics quite in detail--what was the outcome of that?

162. Re: E-Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401211914.10294.00001582@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 123394

>oseph_henry@my-dejanews.com

>Will E-Bay validate that everything auctioned at their site will be used
>legally?
>

The answer is No. Of course, I don't have any authority to speak for Ebay but what the heck, you asked, so I figured that I any old answer would do.

Here's a radical idea: Ask Ebay.

163. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ffdoug@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401212038.07233.00001395@ng151.aol.com>
Source record: 123395

33.86 shelton fire and ems..shelton pd 867.250..very crappy systen but i can pick them up in Oxford..

Doug

164. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990401213959.08677.00001553@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 123396

I live in shelton and hear the PD anywhere I go. I use a Relm MS200 and an antenna sp. mon 52 antenna. No problem at all.
867.250 PL 110.9 is operations and 868.4375 is "12". Shelton FD is 33.860 PL 94.8, EMS also gets dispatched on that freq, but if you want to hear EMS putting in food and coffee orders they use 33.080 PL 100.0.
The PD transmiter is on the Fire house on the hill top in Derby, FD transmits off of White Hills FD and also an antenna on a telephone pole on Kings Highway.

165. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <37062b2d.8797805@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123397

Well that settles it. Even the guy on bridgeport Ave says they are
hard to hear so I guess I need to go get me an outdoor antenna to
attach to my handheld scanner :(

On Thu, 01 Apr 1999 20:28:53 -0500, Barun Gupta
<barun@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Paul,
>
>I know exactly what you mean. I live in shelton also (White Hills) and
>have also done what you did. I had a handheld scanner that had 800 MHz
>coverage but only from 830 MHz (continuous) up. Shelton has some freqs
>listed in the 866 Mhz (if memory serves me correctly) range. I was never
>able to pick up anything at home, so I drove downtown. Had a little luck
>but not much - mostly some stuff on 867.250. I thought then that it
>might be because Shelton was mostly on the 822 MHz freqs. I then bought
>a BC895XLT which covers 806-823 MHz. I only got it recently and in
>addition to 867.250, I get activity on 866.1625, 857.2625, 856.1375,
>862.3625, 863.3625 (all in the last couple of days). don't know who
>exactly they are but...By the way, searching the 820-823 MHz has not
>produced much yet.
>
>It also frustrates me that I cannot program in police, fire and
>ambulance frequencies into my scanner for shelton. If you have active
>freqs for those I would greatly appreciate it.
>
>I have also bought a Channel Master Montenna and the RS 20-014 ($10 on
>clearance) but have not installed them yet. All with the determined
>objective of being properly set up to be able to monitor Shelton police,
>fire and ambulance.
>
>Paul Cuni wrote:
>>
>> I'll say its a little deaf! I drove downtown and then I finally
>> picked them up. I also heard what seemed to be some sort of analog
>> scrambled transmissions on the same frequency for quite some time. Do
>> you get that too? Perhaps I need a new, or outdoor antenna. What
>> really annoys me is this scanner cost $400 and I bought a nice Watson
>> 889 antenna to replace the factory one and I can't hear my own town on
>> either!! As soon as I drive past white hills shopping center the
>> signal starts to degrade and by the time I get to my house in the
>> north of Shelton its totally gone!
>>
>> On 1 Apr 1999 02:02:17 GMT, poscag@aol.com (Poscag) wrote:
>>

>> >Shelton,Ct. pd is on 867.250
>> >I am montioring them as I type. Maybe
>> >your scanner has a problem on the 800mhz.
>> >or is alittle deaf.?
>> >Try another antenna?
>> >Bob Ansonia,Ct.
>> >
>> >
>> >>For some very strange reason I never ever hear the shelton, CT police
>> >>on any of thier listed frequencies. I live in Shelton and have no
>> >>problem hearing the police as far away as New Haven! I've tried thier
>> >>800 mhz listed frequencies and leave it there for hours with no sound.
>> >>Whats up with this?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >

166. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <37042b0e.8767038@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123398

which brings me to another point. Does oxford have a police
frequency? I can't seem to find it

On 2 Apr 1999 02:20:38 GMT, ffdoug@aol.com (Ffdoug) wrote:

>33.86 shelton fire and ems..shelton pd 867.250..very crappy systen but i can
>pick them up in Oxford..
>
>Doug

167. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: davew@wizzards.net
Message-ID: <N.040199.190555.56@davew>
Source record: 123399

People that own satellite dishes call big ugly dishes BUD :-)

168. Scout Model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: where51@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370532ec.1227802@news.alt.net>
Source record: 123400

I recently obtained one without a manual. I was familiar with the old Model 25, but this one is quite different. When powered on with Filter and Capture on it beeps with what sounds like a short Morse Code sequence. Also, at the bottom of the display are 5 Icons. The first 2 appear to indicate Filter and Beeper. The third is a series of squiggly lines, the fourth looks like a battery with a line through it, and the fifth is a full RF barcode display. These disappear and for a brief time AR8000 is displayed. Then it too disappears. When I leave Filter On and Capture On in the on position upon power up, the first 2 icons remain. The last button on the right is labeled Recall. I know how that one works, but Vibrate and Clear are marked in blue over and under the word Recall. I think I figured out how to clear captured freqs., but I'm not sure how Vibrate works, unless it is a power on feature which works ohen the Recall switch is depressed upon power-on.

Is the Model 40 that much different from the Model 25, or have I just stumbled upon a weird one?

Thanks in advance for any advice!

ptd

169. Kenwood TM733A Detachable Front Panel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alejandro Segura Millan Blake <alexseg@data.net.mx>
Message-ID: <01be7c91$08bf27c0$a7150dc8@alexsegdata.net>
Source record: 123401

I am loking for the detachable front panel control head for the Kenwood TM733A dual bander. Please advice priece and avaliability to Alejandro Segura XE!PJF.
alexseg@data.net.mx

170. kENWOOD TM33A FRONT CONTROL HEAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alejandro Segura Millan Blake <alexseg@data.net.mx>
Message-ID: <01be7cc7$6e2e0b40$12150dc8@alexsegdata.net>
Source record: 123402

I AM LOOKING FOR THE DETACHABLE FRONT PANEL CONTROL HEAD DISPLAY OF THE KENWOOD TM733A DUAL BANDER. PLEASE ADVICE PRIECE AND AVAILABILITY TO ALEJANDRO SEGURA XE1PJF.
alexseg@data.net.mx

171. Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucaslyn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37065019.8697739@news.alt.net>
Source record: 123403

Is it possible to monitor/intercept voice-mail messages which are sent over telehpone lines to 900Mhz. pagers?

What kind of equipment is used and is it available in the States?

Thank You!

Lynn

172. Re: Where's John ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <37045391.39910785@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123404

Again boys, Please steer all off topic "Mackey" posts to "alt.fan.john.mackey" and remove "rec.radio.scanner" and any other cross posted groups from the header.

This is the only way we can all do our part to make the scanner groups on topic.

Also, Give John a chance to post on topic subjects in the scanner groups without flaming him. I think we all need to try and make the scanner groups available to anyone that wishes to post on topic subjects without starting a flame just because you disagree with a certain persons previous activaties.

In case no one noticed John did post several ON TOPIC replys today. Give him a chance to redeem himself and post on topic in the scanner groups.

Otherwise don't complain when the flames start up again if you contributed to the problem.

If you feel like flaming John, send replys to "alt.fan.john.mackey".

I don't think anyone that reads the scanner groups comes there to see the flame wars. Lets all try and put this problem behind us.

173. Re: Clark County Nevada Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990402004118.07594.00001315@ng17.aol.com>
Source record: 123405

>
>Can anyone provide me the Motorola System ID number for the Clark County NV
>Public Safety System along with the control channels. Also, and hotel data
>would be appreciated. Please e-mail direct to jts203@nomad.net
>Thanks
>
The aforementioned website from before (wwhitby) would be good to look at.
Keep in mind that only the Fire Department and the Clark County DOA as well as
the Water District are the three major groups on there. Metro Police is still
operating on VHF-High and appears that they will have a TRS system of their
own. Go figure.

RD

174. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>yahoo.com"@NO_.SPAM>
Message-ID: <37045126.EE501116@NO_.SPAM>
Source record: 123406

Taz wrote:
>
> does anyone know any scanner frequencies for Dauphin
> county(Pennslyvania)?

-- Taz
If you go to the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau web site at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
you can search for any frequency in the FCC's database!

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
email me at kb9tmp<AT>yahoo.com
on the web at http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
or http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com

175. Re: South Dakota Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "b.g.Mitch" <jfmitch@enetis.net>
Message-ID: <01be7ccf$a69045e0$a3cf1fce@default>
Source record: 123407

here is one that I have found

http://ww2.sd.cybernex.net/~jlayton/sdscan.html

garyjames@my-dejanews.com wrote in article
<7dusfs$81s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> Can anyone direct me to a source for scanner frequencies in the southeast
> corner of South Dakota? Thanks in advance.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

>

176. WTB: Morse Code Trainer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdubinjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990402015729.10296.00001747@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 123408

I am pursuing a HAM license and need one of these to pass the test. Please e-mail me if you have one you want to sell. Thank you all in advance and have a good one.

jim

also, does anyone know of any ham newsgroups?

177. VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <gb_77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370476B1.A5042AFF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123409

I'm selling a used VX-1R (modded) in great condition with box/papers
for 75 dollars + 5 dollars shipping, if anyone is interested, please
e-mail me at gb_77@hotmail.com
(only if you are interested in purchasing, want a picture, or need more
information on it.)

Thanks

-George

178. non commercial " techno freebie" web site ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: a_alpha1@i.am
Message-ID: <37048179.5709273@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 123410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Visit Moxina Mind Spring ...the non commercial " techno freebie " web site. Get free ic chips ...free software down loads, humour downloads ....project construction freebies and much more.

Now featuring software by MAX ..." 4 channel logic scope " using your parallel port ...and " analyzer " software ..that decodes cellular data streams and the data streams of cordless phones. Also is a new " upgrade " of the popular program xmit_id ....now made "freeware " by the author. This software is great for " grabbing " and " analysis " of any transmitted or audio waveform to your soundcard.

Not on the site yet is a link for the new pager software that does 4 level flex, golay, and pocsag ...all in the same package. Ask via the mail chooser button for the site or a copy of the program.

http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm

or

i.am/a_alpha1
hey.to/a_alpha1
Fast.to/a_alpha1

a_alpha1

reload all pages that have been visited before.

179. Uniden Beartracker BCT-7 price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rw%M2.47$s4.1142933@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 123411

What would be a good used price for a Beartracker BCT-7?

180. Re: Scout Model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: slapsho7@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <gA0N2.228$LX.289981@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 123412

The Scout 40 has several features the 25 didn't. Here's a list of them and how they work.

The Scout now captures and records up to 400 frequencies. It also records the number of times a frequency is "hit" (max count of 255).
The scout oberates in one of 5 modes:
1. No visual or audio indicators to incoming signal (Silent)
2. Audible Beep to incoming signals without Backlight
3. Audible Beep with Backlight
4. Backlight activation to incoming signal
5. Vibrate to incoming signal

These features are determined by the switch positions upon powering up the unit.
With both the LAMP/Filter switch and the BEEP/Capture switch DOWN upon power-up the Scout will be in "Silent mode.
With just the LAMP switch in the UP position the unit comes up in Backlight mode.
With just the BEEP switch in the UP postion the unit will beep at new hits.
With both the LAMP & BEEP switches UP the Backlight & the Audible beep will sound at new signals.
With the VIBRATE/RECALL button PRESSED when the Power switch is pushed up the unit will Vibrate to indicate new signals.

The Scout also will "Reaction Tune" several radios (AR800/8200, Icom). I don't remember the complete list. To Reaction tune AR800/8200 radios the Scout must show "Ar8000" during the power-up. If it doesn't toggle the Filter switch during the power-up cycle. This will switch the Scout between Icom radios and AOR radios.

That about sums up all the features. Hope this helps. You can also write to Optoelectronics (http://www.optoelectronics.com) for a manual.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

181. Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kevin J. O'Brien" <kobrien@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3704B272.6AE81C7A@jps.net>
Source record: 123413

I am interested in your Pro 43. What part of the country are you in?

182. Re: EDACS Systems Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <badserviceSPAM@home.com>
Message-ID: <uH2N2.8701$w4.224899@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 123414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RE: Ontario Canada

Nice web page, too bad its second had material and is information copied
from

http://www.ontscan.com
Ontario Radio Frequency Directory

and

http://www.easynet.ca/~rlkillins/
Waterloo Region Scanner Monitoring Resource Center.

Ed Tobiasz wrote in message <370448FE.E588B768@accesscom.com>...
>Thanks Joe, found the official listing at:
>
>http://www.ericsson.se/US/prs/prs601na.html
>
>Regards
>
>Ed
>
>Joe Cardani wrote:
>>
>> http://www.erols.com/jcardani/esystems.htm
>>
>> Hi,
>>
>> Just wanted to notify the list that EDACS systems information is
>> available on my information page (see url above) for the following US
>> and Canadian agencies:
>>
>> US EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION
>> ---------------------------
>>
>> Alabama
>> -------
>>
>> Mobile County (6 systems)
>> Montgomery County
>> State of Alabama (3 systems)
>>
>> California
>> ----------
>>

>> Oakland City
>> Riverside County (part)
>>
>> Colorado
>> --------
>>
>> Arvada/Westminster City
>> Denver City
>> Denver International Airport
>>
>> Connecticut
>> -----------
>>
>> Hartford City
>>
>> Florida
>> -------
>>
>> Brevard County (3 systems)
>> Clearwater City
>> Dade County (2 systems)
>> Hillsborough County
>> Hollywood City
>> Indian River County (2 systems)
>> Manatee County
>> Pasco County
>>
>> Hawaii
>> ------
>>
>> Honololu City (5 systems)
>>
>> Illinois
>> --------
>>
>> Illinois State Police (2 systems)
>> Will County
>>
>> Indiana
>> -------
>>
>> Vanderburgh County/Evansville City
>>
>> Iowa
>> ----
>>
>> Black Hawk County (3 systems)
>>
>> Kansas
>> ------

>>
>> Overland Park
>> Prairie Village
>>
>> Kentucky
>> --------
>>
>> Ownesboro City
>>
>> Louisiana
>> ---------
>>
>> New Orleans City (3 systems)
>>
>> Maryland
>> --------
>>
>> Ocean City
>> Prince Georges' County (2 systems)
>>
>> Massachusetts
>> -------------
>>
>> Worcester City
>>
>> Michigan
>> --------
>>
>> Livonia City
>>
>> Mississippi
>> -----------
>>
>> State of Missisippi
>>
>> Missouri
>> --------
>>
>> Kansas City
>>
>> New Jersey
>> ----------
>>
>> Camden City
>> Egg Harbor Township
>> Essex County
>> Galloway Township
>> New Jersey/New York Port Authority
>> South Brunswick township
>> West Orange City

>>
>> North Carolina
>> --------------
>>
>> Dunn City
>> Hickoy City (2 systems)
>> Johnston County
>>
>> Ohio
>> ----
>>
>> Clinton County
>> Greene County
>> Miami County
>> Parma City
>> Pickaway County
>>
>> Tennessee
>> ---------
>>
>> Shelby County (3 systems)
>>
>> Texas
>> -----
>>
>> Corpus Christi City (3 systems)
>> DFW International Airport
>> Irving City
>> Lubbock City
>> Montgomery County
>> Richardson City
>>
>> Wisconsin
>> ---------
>>
>> Ozaukee County
>> Watertown City
>>
>> CANADIAN EDACS SYSTEM INFORMATION
>> --------------------------------
>>
>> Ontario
>> -------
>>
>> Halton Regional Agency (3 systems)
>> Metro Toronto Ambulance
>> Ottawa-Carleton Regional Agency (3 systems)
>> Ottawa Military Police
>> Greater Toronto Airport Authority
>> Sudbury Regional Agency

>> Waterloo Regional Agency
>>
>> Quebec
>> ------
>>
>> Corporation Urgences Sante "Montreal Ambulances"
>> ADM "Aeroports de Montreal" (2 systems)
>> Montreal Transit (S.T.C.U.M.)
>> Sherbrooke City
>>
>> Thanks to all of you that contributed!
>>
>> I still am in need of information for a few more agencies.
>>
>> AZ - Pima County
>> CA - LA County, Riverside County (other than system 2)
>> CT - Stamford City
>> FL - Volusia County, Collier County
>> IN - Auburn City, Steuben County
>> IA - Polk County, Sioux City
>> NB - Lincon City
>> NH - Goffstown City
>> NM - Bernadillo County
>> NY - Aurburn, Clay, Kingston, Nassau County, NY State Police (NYC),
>> Oneida County, Orange County, Rome, Sarasota County
>> OK - Broken Arrow City
>> TX - Midland City
>> VA - Amherst/Bedford/Lynchburg Regional, Hampton City, Hanover County
>>
>> In Canada
>>
>> AB - Calgary City (Public Works), Edmonton City
>> BC - Vancouver Regional System (new)
>>
>> thanks again,
>>
>> Joe

183. Re: Pro43 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kevin J. O'Brien" <kobrien@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3704BB34.B38F0B17@jps.net>
Source record: 123415

I'm interested in your Pro 43. What part of the country are you in?

Pro43 wrote:

> Pro 43 in great shape and unblocked for sale. Have box,manual,antenna and wall
> wart. $200 +shipping. E-mail if interested. Ira Cooper

184. Wanted: BC300 Belt Clip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: silverbeard@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e2h24bhq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am in need of a stock Uniden belt clip for the BC3000 handheld scanner.

If you have one (maybe you don't need or use) email me.

I've checked with Uniden, but they won't sell just one.

Thanks,
AJB

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

185. Wanted: BC300 Belt Clip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@amdyne.net
Message-ID: <7e2h4hbkn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123417

I am in need of a stock Uniden belt clip for the BC3000 handheld scanner.

If you have one (maybe you don't need or use) email me.

I've checked with Uniden, but they won't sell just one.

Thanks,
AJB

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

186. Re: EDACS Systems Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3704bda1.647313@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 123418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 1 Apr 1999 22:31:09 -0600, Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com> wrote:

>Thanks Joe, found the official listing at:
>
>http://www.ericsson.se/US/prs/prs601na.html
>
>Regards
>
>Ed
>
Hi Ed,

Unfortunately that list is not 100% accurate.

Joe

187. Re: Can i trunktrac with my 3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: berticus@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3704bfe8.601569@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 01 Apr 1999 00:22:25 -0500, "Doc Holiday <Nakemedic>"
<j9355@idt.net> wrote:

I can. All you do is enter in each freq with the DLY function turned
OFF. That way as soon as the squelch drops, the 3000 starts scanning
again, and should pick up the next freq. However, I've only tried
this with the military police, which have a relatively fewer number of
channels in their trunk system, maybe 8 or so. Just keep all the
channels in the same bank, and I recommend you scan that bank and that
bank only. Otherwise the 3000 will scan other banks and pick up other
freqs that aren't in the trunk system, hence the reason for them all
in the same bank, and scanning that bank only. Hope this helps, lemme
know it works.

Jeff
berticus@mindspring.com

>
>Can it be done its very fast can i trunktrack with my new 3000XLT
> thanks in advance
> Doc
> _________________

188. Scanning Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trail Boss <jlochey@idesign.com>
Message-ID: <7e2ho1hid1@client2.news.psi.net>
Source record: 123420

Scannists,

Has or does anyone ever hear any use of the Family Radio Service on their scanners?

Just wondering...

John
jlochey@idesign.com
KC8JDI

189. Power BC2500XLT or BC3000XLT with AA or AAA batteries.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990402084911.01664.00001539@ng110.aol.com>
Source record: 123421

Power the Uniden BC2500XLT or BC3000XLT with AA or AAA batteries !!!

Yes you can power your BC2500XLT or BC3000XLT with AA or AAA batteries.
See how I did it at my site.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

190. WACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: khaas <haask@flash.net>
Message-ID: <Q34N2.60$Ox1.419@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123422

Does anyone know where I can obtain any database files for WACARS that would have flights and aircraft for the Ohare area. (Chicago)

Thanks,

Ken

191. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@thisnewsgroup.com
Message-ID: <MPG.116e9d5467696314989689@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 123423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sir,

Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.

To answer your question, intercepting digital calls is virtually
impossible. TDMA, CDMA and GSM all use different interleave technology
(CDMA even uses spread spectrum!) and there is no equipment available to
the public for intercepting these calls. Do not expect Radio Shack to
come out with a $200 scanner that will be capable of decoding these
signals anytime soon.

I guess you will have to find new ways to entertain yourself!

In article <36FD68A6.7454@hotmail.com>, radiodj@hotmail.com says...
> Anyone know of any pending scanner technology to monitor
> digital cellular?
>
> I monitor thru a modified pro-51, still going strong.
>
> I've read that scanner makers are looking at the costs
> & legal marketing of digital receivers.
>
> AM-FM will be all digital within 7 years, as will TV.
> But costs will be significantly lower by then.
>
> I enjoy monitoring cell calls, but I know the technology
> will change...so just curious if anyone knows of any
> pending scanner changes ahead?
>
> I work in media. Thanx to all!
>

192. ICOM IC-R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <3704D4CA.81BFD2FE@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 123424

I would like to buy ICOM IC-R8500 in the near future. I prefer those functions like AFC, VSC, programmable steps, REC REMOTE for exploring unkown bandplan in Taiwan. And moderate filter for voice communications monitoring, APF for tone control. Do I get the right informations to this decision? I really hope these functions effecient for voice communications monitoring and recording.

1. Anyone have the experiances for R8500 base scanner? Even piece of tip or URL is great.

2. Can I use walkman recorder to connect R8500 to record discontinuous transmision?

3. How does the tuning steps works? I don't hope it only step up/down in integrals of tuning steps, like 46.60, 46.62, 46.64 etc in 20kHz step. I like it tuning 46.61, 46.63, 46.65 in 20kHz steps. Hope I'm clear to explain it.

4. Can I open squelch in the way of pushing some key or button like handheld scanner?

Thanks anyone to help me!!

193. CARMA Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0204990841000001@k56-ip-147.theramp.net>
Source record: 123425

Be at Phillies Restaraunt Saturday April 3 for the regular CARMA Meeting.
The meeting starts at 1:00 PM, but we tend to start arriving about 11:30
for lunch.

CARMA Rules:

Buy your lunch.

Be nice to the wait staff at Phillies (They are the best around)

Everyone has something to say.

Have Fun.

Phillies is at 6300 S. Kingery Highway (IL-83 and 63rd St.) in Willowbrook IL.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********************g3mtnw**********************************

194. Re: yupiteru 7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <0hR2txAMCOB3EwIh@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 123426

In article <7d1kv4cjt2@news-1.news.gte.net>, rhailey@gte.net writes

Hi

Apologies for the double post (should have cross posted) but in case you miss a.r.s

> can anyone help me?i need a manual for ayup mvt-7000

If you have access to Compuserve there is a Zipped version (In Word) of the manual I wrote for the MVT-7000.

This is located in the Scanning Library on HAMNET but it does not have the illustrations that are in the printed version that are still available.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

195. WTB: Scancat GOLD FOR DOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: hi80@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <3706e0a4.3043084@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 123427

Hello,
I would like to buy this program or possibly Scancat 6, from anyone
who may have upgraded to the windows version and no longer uses it.
Iwill pay a reasonable charge, and postage, if required. I can't use
the windows version myself as I have a 386 laptop to control my radio,
so the performance would be poor.

Regards,

196. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904021532.RAA32536@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 123428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:

: To answer your question, intercepting digital calls is virtually
: impossible. TDMA, CDMA and GSM all use different interleave technology
: (CDMA even uses spread spectrum!) and there is no equipment available to
: the public for intercepting these calls. Do not expect Radio Shack to
: come out with a $200 scanner that will be capable of decoding these
: signals anytime soon.

The old, analog cell phone system isn't going away anytime soon, there still will be a lot of "entertainment" available on the 870Mhz band. The new digital systems are used in addition to the analog to handle the enormous growth in cell phone usage.

197. Re: EDACS Systems Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3704e530.10775624@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 123429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 12:26:02 GMT, "Ron" <badserviceSPAM@home.com>
wrote:

>RE: Ontario Canada
>
>Nice web page, too bad its second had material and is information copied
>from
>
>http://www.ontscan.com
>Ontario Radio Frequency Directory
>
>and
>
>http://www.easynet.ca/~rlkillins/
>Waterloo Region Scanner Monitoring Resource Center.
>

The information in my page was contributed by several Canadian
monitors and not copied from these pages. Get your facts straight
before you post this on the Optocom list and the newsgroups!

In fact I am working on a "credits" section for those that helped out.
If it wan't for all the hard work these guys did, my page would not
exist.

Joe

198. Wanted: Pro-64 Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3704e9a3.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 123430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Would like to buy a leather case for the RS Pro-64 scanner (20-060).
E-mail if you can help!

Thanks,
John-KB2VOJ
kb2voj@bigfoot.com

199. Re: EMF Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3704eafa.1341094@news.erols.com>
Source record: 123431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 15:12:37 GMT, joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>http://www.berk.com/~lessemf/personal.html
>
>
>
>Joseph Henry
>Environmental Studies
>2001 Wisconsin Avenue
>Washington, DC 20007

You're a day late. April Fool's was yesterday.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

200. How to ADD a CTCSS to a BC 3000 XLT??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7e2saapth1@news.auaracom.net>
Source record: 123432

Does anyone know if a CTCSS tone board of any type can be added to a BC 3000-XLT.

I found a toneboard TS-64 but I don't know if it can go into a uniden bc3000xlt.

201. need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Wong <monterey@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <3704FF97.1FB9277C@sirius.com>
Source record: 123433

is the comtel really a 2006 in disguise?

202. FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <370527ea.5880530@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 123434

For all of you who read newsday check out page "A31"

203. Re: Clark County Nevada Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7e30g7$lt8$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123435

Something else to keep in mind is that there are about 10 more trunking systems in Las Vegas which aren't on the list. At least two of them are casinos. The problem is that all these frequencies are leased/owned by NEXTEL, and thus the actual users are difficult to identify.

--

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

204. Re: MDT monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <84196B5F16E0433E.D4AA7CB929D7E665.C96420F04BB01409@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123186

MDT monitor guy shut down his webpage today.

205. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <AC0FA0FD1AD62FF1.9892189A0348449C.9BF4D99C5BC51137@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

It is not illegal to own an FSK decoder as they are necessary to decode
WeFax, TTY, Packet Radio etc.
On the other hand, it is illegal to advertise a device for illegal use, which is
what Bill did by claiming that his device could be used for MDT decoding.
Amazing how those three letters in his device's description, got him into legal trouble.
He could have left those three letters out and the sale and ownership of his FSK decoders
would
have been perfectly legal.

206. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <1D95B4F6343EAF17.43DDE869BA5C2FDE.13F6419BE3EE042D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123188

FSK devices are perfectly legal except when advertized for illegal purposes (As Bill did on his
web page) or used in an illegal fashion. (As the guy from Jersey did).
All Bill had to do is leave off the jucy part about MDT decoding in his product description and his
FSK decoders would have been perfectly legal to buy or sell.
John, unless Zombie Wolf represented his products for illegal use, there is nothing you can do except
end up looking like an idiot.

207. Request info on radio frequency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: eLeCtrOeIF <eLfiE@pHrEAkeR.nEt>
Message-ID: <7e3ii9$1t3$1@lnews.actcom.co.il>
Source record: 123236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Just a few basic question, i didn't feel like reading
tons of info in FAQ's or stuff like that.. i just
hope that some of you can quickly answer the following
questions.

Can a radio frequency scanner intercept digital data
transmissions? If yes, what kind of data it is likely
to intercept, i mean who interchanges data by radio,
apart from cellphones, pagers etc? If there
are some sorts of digital data transmissions on what
frequencies i'm most likely to pick something?

I know that rfs is not a transmitter, but still, can
it be sorta triangulated or located in any way?

That's it, just a few straight to the point questions.
Feel free to reply in newsgroup or by email.

Cheers.

208. Re: POCFLEX Gone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e3lc5$9tb$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn Mitchell wrote in message <3701D6BC.784A8B14@NULLktb.net>...
>Unless it is a joke the POCFLEX site is now gone:
>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039
>
>I went around last night to archive all of the decoder programs I could
>find and saw this. There was also other interesting info at that site.
>I hope it goes back up.
>
reply.

doubtful if it will the author has been "approched " by MOT, go here to get
it !

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

ik.

209. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: borkowsj@cc85747-b.mtpls1.sc.home.com
Message-ID: <slrn7gaqbr.c3u.borkowsj@cc85747-b.mtpls1.sc.home.com>
Source record: 123238

What did it say?
I am originally from Kings Park. I was in the KPFD for eight years.
probably someone I know.....

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 20:30:16 GMT, gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote:
>For all of you who read newsday check out page "A31"

210. Re: Shelton, CT police can't find them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <3708685d.16343167@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123239

Well 2 other people in white hills says they can't hear them up here.
I'm not sure where you are because the guy in ansonia and on
bridgeport ave can hear them. What does that PL stand for anyway?

On 2 Apr 1999 02:39:59 GMT, firebuff66@aol.com (FireBuff66) wrote:

>I live in shelton and hear the PD anywhere I go. I use a Relm MS200 and an
>antenna sp. mon 52 antenna. No problem at all.
>867.250 PL 110.9 is operations and 868.4375 is "12". Shelton FD is 33.860 PL
>94.8, EMS also gets dispatched on that freq, but if you want to hear EMS
>putting in food and coffee orders they use 33.080 PL 100.0.
>The PD transmiter is on the Fire house on the hill top in Derby, FD transmits
>off of White Hills FD and also an antenna on a telephone pole on Kings Highway.

211. Re: Uniden Beartracker BCT-7 price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: E M <hcs77@gs.studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be7d6f$d5471580$b4ff00cc@default>
Source record: 123240

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

212. Re: Opto Scout Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <37056afa.18473112@news>
Source record: 123241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 18:29:39 -0500, lodrunner@hotmail.com wrote:

>The scout is engineered for NBFM signals. The transmitters you are mentioning
>having a problem with ARE NOT NBFM. Garage door openers and car alarms are
>Digital, some cordless phones are digital, some of the headsets used in junk
>food places may also be digital.

Actually, I also tried the Scout at a Carl's Jr. restaurant where I already knew the frequency (and yes, it's a narrow band FM mode transmitter); still nothing. It seems that the transmit power level is so low that you have to walk right up to the box and set the Scout down on top of it before it will lock on.

>Full power cell phones will lock on while driving.
>Hand held cellulars might lock on if you are driving close but wont stay on.

Yeah - today I had lunch at Jack in the Box. I was seated perhaps 10 feet away from the clown box with the Scout sitting on the table in front of me. Despite a busy lunch rush through the drive-thru, it never locked onto the clown box at all (it did, however, lock onto a nearby cellular phone).

I guess the Scout isn't the panacea I expected it to be. :-/

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

213. Re: Uniden Beartracker BCT-7 price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: E M <hcs77@gs.studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be7d70$0ff0c8c0$b4ff00cc@default>
Source record: 123242

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

214. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37066478.4477745@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123243

Get these all the time with my Grove beam antenna!

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 20:45:20 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:

>>
>>The old, analog cell phone system isn't going away anytime soon, there
>>still will be a lot of "entertainment" available on the 870Mhz band. The
>>new digital systems are used in addition to the analog to handle the
>>enormous growth in cell phone usage.
>>
>
>Even the older yet 46MHz phones provide a great deal of entertainment if you
>live in an apartment/townhouse complex or large city.....
>George
>www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Kosovo Frequency Central.....
>--
>____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
>____
>

215. Re: digital monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <rieN2.40$8c3.1290@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 123244

In article <7e3p1d$36f$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
"Preferred Customer" <@gte.net> wrote:

> Is there a way to monitor digital frequencies on a scanner.
>

I'd like to know to....seems the local PD/FD/EMS are switching in Nov 99
Arrghhhhh, what now?

216. Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990402212132.27755.00001413@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 123245

All, this is from page A31 of Friday's Long Island Newsday newspaper.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving records and to send emergency information they might not want to broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing surveillance or a planned search.
An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web site had 93 pages of police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal histories and warrants, motor-vehicle checks, medical information about victims, and witness statements. Nassau police confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that day.
Investigators say a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who could not be reached for comment yesterday.
Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in size from 18 inches to 12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower were discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the disposition of the case were not available.
Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes information of interest to electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web sites about scanners, satellites and dishes, and amateur radio.
Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are password-protected.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

217. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990402212447.27755.00001416@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 123246

I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was selling.
Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

218. FS: ICOM R9000 $3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: LZALAR@WORLDNET.ATT.NET
Message-ID: <7e44dvnug1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123247

Icom R9000 with UT-36 voice synthesizer
I will be at Dayton swapfest May
12,13
$3000 Thanks
Larry Z

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

219. SBE / OPTISCAN FREQ DATA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990402223554.23531.00001675@ng140.aol.com>
Source record: 123248

have you got an SBE / OPTISCAN scanner ? would you like the 75 pages of scanner code data ? freqs listed from 30.000 to 512.000 mhz .
$ 13 , plus $ 2 postage . contact me for additional info . WALT

220. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <37058f4a.2013388@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 123249

On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 01:08:39 GMT,
borkowsj@cc85747-b.mtpls1.sc.home.com () wrote:

>What did it say?
>I am originally from Kings Park. I was in the KPFD for eight years.
>probably someone I know......
>
>
>On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 20:30:16 GMT, gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote:
>>For all of you who read newsday check out page "A31"

In a nutshell, the Secret Service has arrested Bill and Cindy Cheek of
San Diego on a New York warrant charging they sold illegal devices on
the Internet to intercept police communications.

The complete article can be found here:
http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129120922x0y9290&OIDS=1Q001D027&Form=RL&bp=no

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

221. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990402231509.06066.00001424@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123250

>From: "Spot" <spot@dog.org>
>Date: 04-01-1999 22:39 EST
>
>Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is =
>private information
>which contains personnal . . . . . .

Hey, Spot! Go back to obedience school! Read the article again. It was a stupid idiot of a guy in New York who posted all the MDT info on his web site, NOT Bill Cheek. Bill was arrested for selling a supposedly illegal device. I assume they're talking about his CE-232 computer interface. I guess the guy with the web site used the interface to connect to his computer which then allowed him to decode the MDT info. If that's the case then Optocom look out! Plus, what does this mean for any scanner with a built-in computer interface - assuming that it was the CE-232 that they're calling illegal.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

222. Re: police scsanner radio chat rooms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37068950.2595980@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123251

Nothing like a snitch room, eh???

On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 17:11:48 -0800, "Ken MacLeod"
<ken81145@rockisland.com> wrote:

>Looking for police scanner chat room to share frequencies and talk about radio's.
>
> Thank you
> Ken
> ken81145@rockisland.com

223. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <370789eb.2750820@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123252

Use a scanner, go to prison.

Scanners don't harm people, people do.

Register your scanner with authoritys now.

Scanner key trigger locks, its the law.

Scanner permit to carry concealed is now the law.

On 3 Apr 1999 02:24:47 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was selling.
>Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

224. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <37059bdf.30991830@news>
Source record: 123253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 21:52:28 -0500, allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
wrote:

>Ian's tag line peaked my interest so I took a look. They're talking about the
>Processor Serial Number (PSN) that's imbedded into the PIII processor. Although
>they've decided to ship the unit with the PSN turned off, hackers claim they can
>turn it back on. Intel stated that a unique identifier is essential to the
>growth of commerce on the internet. It other words, some sites will require the
>number before they do business with you. Or so they say.
>
>Now I'm not particularly religious, but didn't revelations say something about
>"receiving the mark (number) of the beast on the forehead or right hand" or they
>would be denied food and trade? On the forehead? The ole' thinker?

Every ethernet card ever made has had a *unique* 48-bit number
embedded within it. How come everyone is so worried about the PSN in
the Pentium III but not a peep is heard about the ethernet card's MAC
address?

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

225. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <37058e59.752999@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123254

On Thu, 1 Apr 1999 21:39:31 -0600, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>By the sound of the article, they are trying to make it sound illegal to have
>a 40 foot tower, sat dishes or web pages.
>Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is private information
>which contains personnal information about people which is a violation against those
people
>esp. when the whole world can see it.
>What Bill did in a basic manner is made it possible for anyone to search the web and dig
up nasty information
>on those who were talked about in the MDT data stream, which could lead to slander
lawsuits against Bill too.
>Real stupid Bill!!!
>

Please read the article...Bill was NOT posting MDT data to any
websites. All it appears that Bill did was sell a 4 Level FSK decoder
to some undercover agents. Other companies sell similar items and
haven't met the same fate.

Wiggie

226. Re: Wanted: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7e4a42$ht7$1@mtinsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123255

I'm willing to pay a little more, make it $175.00
Frank Bals wrote in message <7e39p5efs1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>Looking for excellent condition PRO-43 w/full 800 Mhz. Willing to pay $150
>for a good working unit. Please state condition and price. Thanks.
>
>Frank
>
>

227. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Io <noway@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7e4bfa$655$1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 123256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Dimitrios P. wrote:
>
> > I'll try that, but has anybody tried to pick up ATIS Airport weather channel
> > on their scanner succesfully? (Automatic Terminal Information Service)
> > Or listen to aircraft on the ground?

Yup! ATIS, ground, and tower. Then again, I am 2.5 miles from the tower.
:)

228. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4dlj$k8d$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote in message ...
>Thanks Ian!

Your welcome glen, i will add more as soon as i can mate !!

ian k.

229. FS: GRE 9001 Super Converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7e4j8g$464$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FS: GRE 9001 Super Converter like new. Gives any programmable scanner FULL 800 MHz coverage. $75 shipped CONUS

Bill K9KZ
k9kz@indy.net

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

230. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl morphett <carl@emorphett.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4nkp$n72$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I tried this page and found the download side of things not to be working?

Ian wrote in message <7e4dlj$k8d$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>
>Glenn wrote in message ...
>>Thanks Ian!
>
>Your welcome glen, i will add more as soon as i can mate !!
>
>ian k.
>
>

231. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4nr5roc1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett wrote in message <7e4nkp$n72$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>I tried this page and found the download side of things not to be working?
>
try it again it should be ok i have just updated it with lots more and
checked all links they all work fine !!

ian k.
>>
>
>

232. A definitive list of decode software here ! ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4nv0rrj1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

I have just updated my scanner/ham decode software pages, it is getting
bigger and now includes screen grabs ro help you decide...take a look and
please mail me with any good software you know of if it is not listed here,
us scanner nuts need a sie thats got everything we need :-)

cheers

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

--
Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/

233. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art M <itain'tmebabe@overtherainbow.com>
Message-ID: <3705E4C4.F948C566@overtherainbow.com>
Source record: 123262

YOU really are stupid. Stupid stupid stupid stupid.

Where -- if anywhere -- did you ever learn to read English????

.............Oh, I didn't think so.

AM

234. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4pa7soj1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123263

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990402212132.27755.00001413@ng156.aol.com>...
>All, this is from page A31 of Friday's Long Island Newsday newspaper.
>
>Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
>on Web site
>
>By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
>unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally
>intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals
>installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
> Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in
>his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting
>some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use
>mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving
>records and to send emergency information they might not want to
>broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing
>surveillance or a planned search.

Asshol** should have used a5 digital mobile phones if it is that
sensitive...another blow for freedom !!!!

go for it Bill !!

ik.

235. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e4pjnsuv1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123264

some dude said .....
>>
>>Scanner key trigger locks, its the law.
>>
>>Scanner permit to carry concealed is now the law.
>>
Your fuc***g kidding aren't you ?, is this in USA if so it sounds more like a bloody dictatorship to me, screw molosovich you wanna start shooting your own Gov. if this sort of crap is going on !..land of the free ?

ik.

236. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: RedCoupe <redcoupez@zetech-solutions.zcom>
Message-ID: <7e4rma$m1j$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Ian, most helpful. Now all I need is some good frequencies to use these apps on.

237. abcdnet GREAT RADIO LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cd <radio.tv@abcdnet.com>
Message-ID: <7e4snr$i7r$3@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 123266

THE BEST RADIO IN INTERNET!!!
Please check and upgrade this list with your links!
Visit the great list of all radio stations that have an Internet page

http://www.abcdnet.com/radio/

Thanks

radio.tv@abcdnet.com

238. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <3705f7ee.1983545@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 123267

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 20:10:38 -0800, Brian
<Witch**Dr@usa.no0bulk0email.net> wrote:

>Gosh, now that we've removed one side of the equation, maybe this NG
>will be tolerable.... Isn't there something we can arrest Mackey for?

Wouldn't THAT be great? But unfortunately, being an asshole isn't a
crime.

I suppose we're all in for a long period of public gloating from
Mackey now.

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

239. WTB: Scanner That Covers Full 800 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7e5684$hr5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

WTB: Scanner That Covers Full 800 MHz. Nothing expensive. Must be in excellent condition.

Bill K9KZ
k9kz@indy.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

240. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjultra@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3705efb6.3307636@supernews.nildram.co.uk>
Source record: 123269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ian, thanks for making this site, although a lot of what you have is available else-where it's nice to see it all under one roof so to speak.
Good job !

Majic

241. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403084233.13105.00002191@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123270

><glenn.hansen@usa.net>

>Secret Service? Does that make sense? FBI, DEA, FDA, OSHA, NHRA, NRA or
>Local Sheriff but the SS? Why would it be these guys?

The Secret Service has primary responsibility for investigating telecommunications-related crimes. They're typically involved in computer crimes these days, for example. I've forgotten exactly why they were given this responsibility but it goes back to a time when the SS wanted more to do than protecting the president.

242. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403085631.21594.00002674@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123271

>>Local Sheriff but the SS? Why would it be these guys?

I took a quick look at the Treasury Dept./Secret Service web site. Apparently in 1984 they were given additional responsibilities, including the mission of investigating "federal interest computer crimes."

Parenthetically, that does not mean they only investigate crimes only involving federally-owned computers. The 1984 computer fraud and abuse act defines a federal interest computer as anyone that is networked across state lines, owned and operated by someone who works or does work for the government, among other things. It's a pretty broad definition.

243. Re: digital monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7e5762$q35$1@207.18.224.106>
Source record: 123272

On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 17:21:35 -0800, "Preferred Customer" <@gte.net>
wrote:

>Is there a way to monitor digital frequencies on a scanner.
>
>

Sure, your basic scanner will do this. Decoding the digital stream
is where the problem comes in.

244. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7e57ac$q35$2@207.18.224.106>
Source record: 123273

On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 00:44:49 -0600, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>of sending their oh so critical information.
>May I suggest the law use encryption in the future instead of trying to =
>make
>the world pay for its passive reception of raw data encoded in raw radio =
>formats?
>
>

Currently sold systems are DES encrypted. Possibly this will be
helpful to Bill's defense.

245. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403091011.21594.00002685@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123274

>From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov (Scott Coleman)

>Every ethernet card ever made has had a *unique* 48-bit number
>embedded within it. How come everyone is so worried about the PSN in
>the Pentium III but not a peep is heard about the ethernet card's MAC
>address?

Actually, this has gotten quite a bit of publicity lately. Richard Smith, pres. of software co. Phar Lap has gotten some press in the national newspapers because he's been quite vocal about the various schemes being used to embed unique serial numbers in Ethernet cards and in Word docs. It all started when word of the PIII serial number came up.

Ironically, Smith also volunteered to aid the FBI this past week in tracking down the Melissa virus writer by pinpointing the GUID used in Word docs created by the macro virus writer (another guy named Smith, but not related to the first).

If you search www.boston.com/globe/ you'll find a couple of articles. Lots of other papers have also written about it.

Oh what a tangled web we weave!

246. East Central Florida Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmahl1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403092151.12634.00001450@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123275

East Central Florida Scanner Page
http://members.aol.com/mmahl1/scanner/brevard.htm

- Scanner frequencies for Brevard, Indian River, Volusia & St. Lucie Counties.
- Orlando are non-trunked scanner frequencies.
- Space shuttle and rocket launch frequencies (KSC, CCAS & PAFB)
- Florida Division of Forestry fire frequencies.
- Port Canaveral cruise ship frequencies.

247. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <37062509.5294200@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123276

I think Bill Cheek should have no problem (other than attorney fees) defending this for the simple 4 Level FSK decoder that he sells since it could be proven that it does have legitimate uses. As long as he wasn't including MDT or pager decoder software.

I'm not aware of any current laws that make it illegal to sell or have a 4 level FSK decoder. This would be like arresting a gun dealer because one of his customers killed someone.

Secondly wouldn't the Secret Service come under the "authorized users" code that allows them to buy things like cell unblocked scanners, pager decoders, etc ?

On 3 Apr 1999 02:24:47 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> I want to know what it is the cops claim is illegal that he was selling.
>Could it be his computer interface? If so, we've all got problems.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

248. Re: Radio Shack Discone, Anyone using Them ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfj@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <3705eeda.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 123277

I love mine. Use it for scanning and ham transmitting with good results. However i broke one of
the radials during a move. Any ideas on how to get another for a reasonable price. Radio Snack
wants way to much to ship just one radiial.

Bob J.

Io <nope@gte.net> wrote:

>> I have had 1 for several years and it seems to work fine. I did replace the
>> radials with solid SS ones only because the tubes have a tendency to loosen up.
>>

> That can be cured by using Loctite blue on the threads when you build
>it.

249. FS/PRO-39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <xOpN2.71$tH4.221@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123278

```
MONEY DID NOT GET HERE SO STILL HAVE PRO-39...FULL 800MHZ COVERAGE IN REAL
CLEAN CONDITION $135.00
TNX JOHN IN DALLAS,TX AT 214-339-8062
```

250. Multi-mode decoder software - RadioRaft 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Francois Guillet <F6FLT@TheOffice.net>
Message-ID: <7e59nogut1@platane.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 123279

Hi All

The new release of RadioRaft permits to decode 12 ARQ modes,
8 FEC, 3 modes by packets and many others.
It's a DOS program that runs with the Hamcomm interface or a
demodulator.
It is usefull, fast and full automatic: detection of the
speed, mode and shift in less than 15 sec.
Among the innovations with regard to version 2:
- 6 new modes: Acars, Pocsag, Pactor-I, Dup-Arq, Gmdss-Dsc
and Uosat-data (Oscar).
- shiftmeter
- FFSK and DFSK demodulation
- many improvements in the quality of the decoding

For all details and downloading:
http://www.TheOffice.net/RadioRaft/

The free version for a review permits to detect all modes
but displays only Baudot, Arq-E, Fec-A, Sitor-A (Amtor-A.
"Digit", the "bit by bit" mode is also available.
It is not limited in time.

Good decoding.

Francois Guillet
F6FLT@TheOffice.net

251. BC3000 Belt Clip Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@amdyne.net
Message-ID: <923153913.380.100@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 123280

I am in need of a stock Uniden belt clip for the BC3000 handheld scanner.

If you have one (maybe you don't need or use) email me.

I've checked with Uniden, but they won't sell just one.

Thanks,
AJB

252. Re: digital monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904031540.RAA22977@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 123281

Blake Bowers (bbowers@townsqr.com) wrote:

: Sure, your basic scanner will do this. Decoding the digital stream
: is where the problem comes in.

Hardly any scanner will go up to the 2.2Ghz where the digital "PCS" cell phones operate. Not that it matters, though.

253. code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: eeeeee@bignet.net
Message-ID: <3706367a.4521902@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123282

Ham (Amateur Radio) has a lot of code freq.

24.890 - 24.930
21.000 - 21.200
18.068 - 18.110
14.000 - 14.150
10.100 - 10.150
7.000 - 7.150
3.500 - 3.750

or get you'r ham radio license & spit out some code you'r self.

Blake (KE4SGK)

254. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL)" <seven77@gate.net>
Message-ID: <37062B0A.A422319B@gate.net>
Source record: 123283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Your problem might be caused by several things.

"Dimitrios P." wrote:
> I have a Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion scanner attached to a
> RadioShack omni-directional Discone 20-043 Scanner/Ham base antenna on a 80
> ft. tower with a RG-58/U 50 ohm coax.
>

80' of RG58 will have considerable loss at 124 MHz. Consider a less
lossy alternative.

A discone antenna is unity gain, and vertically polarized. If I'm not
mistaken, and I'm sure I'll be corrected if not, the transmitter in
question uses a Lindenblad antenna. And it probably has right hand
circular polarization sense. Because of severe multipathing and random
reflections from other planes and structures, this is a good strategy on
the transmit side. One thing to bear in mind with the Lindenblad, is
that overhead, it generates a circularly polarized signal, and as you
analyze the pattern closer to the horizon, it goes to elliptical as your
angle in relation to the antenna is lower, to almost horizontal
polarization sense as seen from a ground mounted antenna that is line of
site to the transmitting antenna.

Using a vertical antenna will cause you a penalty because of the
polarity diversity. Couple this with an antenna which has NO appreciable
gain, and feed line losses, you're talking weak signal reception. Do
you have a constant signal with the receiver unsquelched?

Is there a large metal object, such as a building, tower, or water tower
between your antenna and the transmitter site? Sometimes just moving an
antenna a few feet can make quite a difference on VHF frequencies. Is
you station grounded? A vertical antenna is subject to man made noise.
A good grounding system might alleviate SOME of that noise.

Chris Fagas, WB2VVV, has an excellent web site, which contains an
article you might find helpful:

http://www.wb2vvv.com/Articles/RS%2015-2164%20on%202%20Meters.html

This article tells you how to modify one of the Radio Shack FM broadcast
band turnstile antennas for 2 meter use. Calculate how long the
elements need be for 124 MHz, and you will have an excellent antenna for
aircraft reception. The radiation pattern for a turnstile antenna is
very similar to that of the Lindenblad. FWIW, I modified one of those
$20.00 Radio Shack antennas for 2 meters. It works great as a
terrestrial antenna, and I use it as the mode A uplink to work RS-13

(satellite).

Good luck, and let the group know what you do.

73, Mike



255. F.S.PRO-46scanner,full800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: PRO-46 <russsmith99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37063F1C.B4253FFD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123284

```
PRO-46 100 CHANNEL,HAND HELD
FULL 800 MHZ.
MINT CONDITION
$175
Russ
```

256. FS: Moto Digital (dual mode) flip phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37063F0D.BF08F2BF@bv.net>
Source record: 123285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.cellular

I have one Dual Mode Micro tac ALPHA for sale. I have 2 batteries, rapid charger, car adapter and original box plus leather case. I will sell for $50 obo

257. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck <wa7rai@nospam.raibeam.com>
Message-ID: <7e5hle$6ka$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Dimitrios,

The weather info transmitter is intended to be received by the aircraft's VOR receiver and its transmitted signal is horizontally polarized to match the polarization of the VOR antenna on the aircraft . A simple 125 MHz dipole will cure the problem as a 13 watt transmitter 3 miles distance will be easily heard.

Cheers,
--
Chuck , WA7RAI
Dimitrios P. wrote in message <3_7N2.2352$o05.1504@weber.videotron.net>...
>Hello everybody,
>
>I have a Realistic PRO-2037 triple conversion scanner attached to a
>RadioShack omni-directional Discone 20-043 Scanner/Ham base antenna on a 80
>ft. tower with a RG-58/U 50 ohm coax.
>
>Since I 'm a student pilot at a nearby airport, I need to pick up weather
>information wich is broadcasted in the airport at 124.9 MHz AM. They use a
>13 watt transmitter.
>
>I live 3 miles away from the transmitting airport weather antenna and I
>can't pick up the signal properly. Weather Signal is noisy and sometimes
>weak.
>
>I can receive flying aircraft no problem at all, but I can't receive
>aircraft on the ground when they communicate with the control tower. I can
>pick up the control tower frequency 118.4 MHz AM no problem at all.
>
>Installing a directional antenna pointing to the airport is not an option
>since I won't be able to receive flying aircraft properly. There's no
>significan loss in the coax since I have to attenuate the signal -10dB at
>the scanner to prevent overload.
>
>Any suggestions? As for the scanner/antenna that I'm using or what kind of
>antenna should I use. The funny part is that I receive VHF-FM police,
taxi,
>fire cruisers at 10 miles away around the city and can't receive the VHF-AM
>airport weather information properly 3 miles away !!!...
>
>Thanks for any advice.
>
>Student pilot and Tech wizz....

>
>

258. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dimitrios P." <trexa@gireve.to>
Message-ID: <f4sN2.3054$o05.2288@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 123287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>80' of RG58 will have considerable loss at 124 MHz. Consider a less
>lossy alternative.

I'm aware that the RG58/U has a loss, but with that loss, I still have to attenuate by -10dB at the scanner, If I'll get a RG-6, then I'll have to attenuate by even more. So what difference this will make? Correct me if I'm wrong.

>the transmitter in
>question uses a Lindenblad antenna. And it probably has right hand

<[...]
>gain, and feed line losses, you're talking weak signal reception. Do
>you have a constant signal with the receiver unsquelched?

I always get a constant signal, even squelched, but with LOTS of backround noise, at night, it deteriorates and noise is louder than voice. Scanner is squelched all the times. Noisy signal is present at all the times.

You you think the best bet is to get a Lindenblad antenna?

>Is there a large metal object, such as a building, tower, or water tower
>between your antenna and the transmitter site?
>you station grounded?

No, there's nothing to block the signal path, but antenna, and tower are not grounded at all. I don't think this is a problem, since I can receive everything else no problem (ie. Amateur, Police, Taxi,...)

Dimitrios Papadopoulos

259. God Bless Bill Cheek ï¿½

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: blue_velvet@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <3706522D.2F88C7BA@pacifier.com>
Source record: 123288

ï¿½

260. FS: Alinco DJ-X10T, Lowered Price!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <iBsN2.3958$LM.7959@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 123289
Cross-posted to: mi.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE:

Alinco DJ-X10T, Wide-Band Scanner!

ONLY: $250.00!

Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

261. Re: BC3000 Belt Clip Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <370655E5.764BD3C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123290

I also need one if you come across one...

Thanks,
Piero

262. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e5ld8$9ko$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

majic wrote in message <3705efb6.3307636@supernews.nildram.co.uk>...
>Ian, thanks for making this site, although a lot of what you have is
>available else-where it's nice to see it all under one roof so to
>speak.
>Good job !
>
>Majic
>

Thats basically why i did it, saves peeps from a lot of surfing about. Although of course i do reccomend going to the home page sites for the programmes of course as they offer more advice/info etc. If you know of any useful and good decoder s/ware that is not there, please let me know and I will add it.

thanks

ian k.

263. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Sheldon <bsheldon@cheerful.com>
Message-ID: <7e5lc7csu1@epos.tesco.net>
Source record: 123292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Scott,

> Every ethernet card ever made has had a *unique* 48-bit number
> embedded within it. How come everyone is so worried about the PSN in
> the Pentium III but not a peep is heard about the ethernet card's MAC
> address?

A network card is designed to access other PC's so you have to acknowledge
that some little part of you will get broadcast when you use it or no-one
will give you access to their hardware. I wouldn't expect the same of my
CPU.

--
Brian Sheldon
Home: mailto:bsheldon@cheerful.com
Mobile Phone: mailto:brian@genie.co.uk (160char max)

264. FS: Uniden MR-8100 with software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len Gibbs <len.gibbs@unistudios.com>
Message-ID: <01be7df9$65fc12e0$5aa2a7a7@lgibbs.udh.unistudios.com>
Source record: 123293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Uniden MR-8100 For sale, with manual, and original box.

The unit is in excellent condition, has incredible audio!

It has not been modified in any way, and is computer programmable.

Asking $300.00 USD. Will ship anywhere in US, COD. I pay shipping.

Please email me directly at: len.gibbs@unistudios.com

Thanks,
Len

265. Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <37065b34.1834468@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123294

Has anyone heard from Bill? Is this even the same Bill cheek we all know?

Anyone????

I wonder if he plans to fight the charges!

73's

-Chuck
Chuck's 2 way Radio Service and Computer Sales
Http://www.chucks2way.com
Phone: 1-313-389-2171

266. Re: POCFLEX Gone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tektot <tektot@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3706622C.7CDF0EA@usa.net>
Source record: 123295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You guys are the best!

Glenn Mitchell wrote:

> I just threw together a page of links to different decoders:
> http://www.ktb.net/~gmitch/decoders.htm
>
> I'll make it look pretty later.
>
> Ian wrote:
> >
> > Glenn Mitchell wrote in message <3701D6BC.784A8B14@NULLktb.net>...
> > >Unless it is a joke the POCFLEX site is now gone:
> > >http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039
> > >
> > >I went around last night to archive all of the decoder programs I could
> > >find and saw this. There was also other interesting info at that site.
> > >I hope it goes back up.
> > >
> > reply.
> >
> > doubtful if it will the author has been "approched " by MOT, go here to get
> > it !
> >
> > http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
> >
> > ik.
>
> --
> ---------------------------------
> Remove NULL from email address to reply.

267. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <370662b9.81905609@news>
Source record: 123296

On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 23:58:27 -0800, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
wrote:

>Secret Service? Does that make sense? FBI, DEA, FDA, OSHA, NHRA, NRA or
>Local Sheriff but the SS? Why would it be these guys?

Do a web search on "Operation Sundevil" sometime...

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

268. Re: VHF antenna question: GND noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dimitrios P." <trexa@gireve.to>
Message-ID: <RutN2.3138$o05.2373@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 123297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

After reading your valuable comments, I've experimented a bit and I found that the noise comes directly from the wire shield.

Strange, when I connect the coax center tip to the scanner BNC input, without the shield touching, 50% of the noise is gone!, but if I'll secure properly the BNC connector, tip and shield to the scanner input, I get noise.

Is this normal?, But it works better but with a slight interference from other stations. (Better this small interference than the noise). So the source is the shield that is in contact with the receiver.

Any suggestions?

269. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian.Tarantino@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <8b7_9904031055@warpgate.com>
Source record: 123298

On 01 Apr 99 19:39:31, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> showed his stupidity with:

> Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is =
> Real stupid Bill!!!

You're the one displaying how stupid you are, jerk.
Nowhere in the article did it say Cheek posted MDT
data. That was done by some onager on the east
coast who is probably related to you by the same
whore who gave birth to you.
--
|WarpGate: Brian Tarantino 52:1000/211
|Internet: Brian.Tarantino@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

270. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <37066658.82832451@news>
Source record: 123299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 3 Apr 1999 19:12:08 +0100, "Brian Sheldon"
<bsheldon@cheerful.com> wrote:

>Scott,
>
>> Every ethernet card ever made has had a *unique* 48-bit number
>> embedded within it. How come everyone is so worried about the PSN in
>> the Pentium III but not a peep is heard about the ethernet card's MAC
>> address?
>
>A network card is designed to access other PC's so you have to acknowledge
>that some little part of you will get broadcast when you use it or no-one
>will give you access to their hardware. I wouldn't expect the same of my
>CPU.

Hmm... But the CPU cannot "broadcast some little part of you" unless
you are, in fact, accessing other PCs. By your own argument, then,
you "have to acknowledge" the disclosure of a unique ID number
whenever you connect to other PCs. Why does it matter whether that
unique ID number comes from your ethernet card or your CPU?

OB On-topic content: ;-)

Ian, let me add my voice to the milling throng of thanks. Just you of
curiosity, does your site have a way to send submissions, should
anyone wish to augment your software collection?

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

271. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <ke4iop@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370669A2.443DAE71@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123300

I really hope he does. It would be a step in the right direction, but if he does decide to fight the charges, but there is the issue of $$$$$. He might have to setup a fund where people can donate money to help fund the case (Or maybe he already has the money).
Oh well, it will be very interesting to see how this turns out.

-GB

Chuck wrote:

> Has anyone heard from Bill? Is this even the same Bill cheek we all
> know?
>
> Anyone????
>
> I wonder if he plans to fight the charges!
>
> 73's
>
> -Chuck
> Chuck's 2 way Radio Service and Computer Sales
> Http://www.chucks2way.com
> Phone: 1-313-389-2171

272. Panoz Race Team Freq???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: roozoo@excite.com
Message-ID: <370667bf.1027611@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 123301

I am going to the upcoming ALMS race at Road Atlanta in 2 weeks and was wondering if anyone out there knows what freq the Panoz cars used at Sebring a few weeks ago? Or what they will be using at the upcoming event at Road Atlanta.

Thanks,
G.F. Smith

roozoo@excite.com

273. Re: New and need advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <smith@metronet.com>
Message-ID: <7e5via$t29$1@news.metronet.com>
Source record: 123302

I am in the Dallas TX area. How would I find out if they are on a trunked system. Also what is a trunked system. Do you think AOR is going to have a nother version like a 8300 that will have trunk tracking?

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990402174528.11942.00001425@ng69.aol.com>...
> The AR8200 is an excellent radio and I can't think of any good reason not to
>buy one, UNLESS the police, fire/EMS in your area have moved to a Motorola
>800MHz trunked system. If they have you'll want to buy one of the current
>trunktracking scanners, or wait for the new 245XLT this summer which will track
>both Motorola and GE/Ericsson trunked systems.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

274. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bommeltje <bommeltje@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7e5ru2fck1@reader1.wxs.nl>
Source record: 123303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

>G.Buysen heeft geschreven

He, waar ging het originele bericht nou ook al weer over??? :-)

275. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scan2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403145541.17739.00002154@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 123304

wow...ur dumb Tarantino...he made a smiple mistake and after he siad that, he wrote back saying so...ur display of words in ur post just help prove u are the real dumbass...we all have bigger problems now with the cheeks case then to fight over a post...

new to the scene
mac

276. Re: How to ADD a CTCSS to a BC 3000 XLT??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37067980@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 123305

Find an ad for Communications Specialists. Their SS-32 or whatever replaced
it will do what you want.
--
Crazy George

277. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3706A4BF.48F3E1E@home.com>
Source record: 123306

Scan2000 wrote:
>
> wow...ur dumb Tarantino...he made a smiple mistake and after he siad that, he
> wrote back saying so...ur display of words in ur post just help prove u are the
> real dumbass...we all have bigger problems now with the cheeks case then to
> fight over a post...
>
> new to the scene
> mac

I for one don't think anyone should call another a dumbass if they have spelling errors in their reply to the reputed dumbass.. thats just my opinion however . So... does anyone know exactly what frequencies I should avoid monitoring there by keeping the SS from busting down my door and taking my slightly modified Pro-2006? I wanna stay out of trouble. I think John Mackey is an ok guy myself.. Maybe he will post Bill's bail? Over... Mike Burch K8MB

278. 800mhz down converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: larry <larry@agt.net>
Message-ID: <01be7e1a$c519bb80$a2e0b8a1@locxvbxm>
Source record: 123307

are these things any good?
I think ramsey makes one?
just wondering
tks

279. O.P.P. Listeners - HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7e5ubs$51b$1@news.auaracom.net>
Source record: 123308

Any tips on how to monitor without a CTCSS scanner?

280. Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpinero@pobox.com
Message-ID: <370680b0.242323297@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting.open,alt.security.alarms,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.law-enforcement

I was flipping through the channels the other day and came across a commercial for a radar detector that picked up on some "service frequency" transmitted by emergency vehicles. For instance, if there were a police chase descending on the radar detector it would detect this and alert the driver in plain english. The commercial (actually a pitch on the Home Shopping Network) alluded that some "30 states" used this technology.

I consider myself someone who follows these things pretty closely but I've never heard of such a thing. I think it is wonderful though only if it is extensively and uniformly implemented. Does anyone have any general information on this technology and its components? What 30 states are using it and how great is the market penetration? Is standardization of the signals this device uses an issue?

Thanks for any information anyone can contribute!

Dave
David Pinero * ICQ # 3887811 * AIM Name TDAVE365
http://www.pobox.com/~dpinero
Home of the rather generic Dave-Cam!

Are your local police going undercover?
Visit http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm

281. Belgium freq. + cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjcarels <pjcarels@westbrabant.net>
Message-ID: <7e60j0$7hv$5@news.concepts.nl>
Source record: 123310

See for Belgium freq. http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/scanja5.html and for cordless telephone freq. http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/bdsca.html

282. Re: Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37068E00.D6125121@ibm.net>
Source record: 123311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

David Pinero wrote:
> I consider myself someone who follows these things pretty closely
> but I've never heard of such a thing. I think it is wonderful though
> only if it is extensively and uniformly implemented. Does anyone have
> any general information on this technology and its components? What
> 30 states are using it and how great is the market penetration? Is
> standardization of the signals this device uses an issue?

Dave,
I think this is really nothig more than a sub-class of the signals designed for use on the SWS system which is supposedly slowing integrating into the US landscape. It was originally designed to warn drivers of bad weather, accidents, route changes and closures, etc. I could see it easily being able to add new messages for these "car chases". Just put an SWS transmitter in the police cruiser and when involved in a chase turn it on to warn anyone with an SWS detector.
Many newer, top-of-the-line radar detectors have this SWS feature with either audio and/or visual alerts of one of 64 (?) messages in the current system. I have one...the Uniden LRD6499SWS...you could probably stop by the Uniden site to read more about it. Or perhaps a web search to get more on SWS itself.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

283. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3706B8BC.C6F9E64C@home.com>
Source record: 123312

Chuck wrote:
>
> Has anyone heard from Bill? Is this even the same Bill cheek we all
> know?
>
> Anyone????
>
> I wonder if he plans to fight the charges!
>
> 73's
>
> -Chuck

Fight the charges??

I bet he's gonna have to fight off wolfy when he bends over to pick up the bar of soap in the shower. Although I bet he can come up with some pretty clever prison shanks, so I think he will be ok. He will probably hide the shank in his copy of the Scanner modification handbook. Regards Mike K8MB

284. Re: digital monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7e640t$lc4$1@208.207.68.52>
Source record: 123313

On Sat, 3 Apr 1999 17:40:45 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
<nobody@replay.com> wrote:

>Blake Bowers (bbowers@townsqr.com) wrote:
>
>: Sure, your basic scanner will do this. Decoding the digital stream
>: is where the problem comes in.
>
>Hardly any scanner will go up to the 2.2Ghz where the digital "PCS" cell
>phones operate. Not that it matters, though.
>

Well, I guess I should have clarifed, that for what was brought up
in the thread, about FD, EMS, etc monitoring, your basic scanner will
work.

Now, 2.2 ghz, and other microwave stuff, that really has no real
impact on SCANNING, the subject of the newsgroup, yes, you are
correct, your basic scanner will not monitor.

285. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990403172012.18123.00001626@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123314

>From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
>
>I bet he's gonna have to fight off wolfy when he bends over to pick up
>the bar of soap in the shower. . . . . .

Look folks, regardless of what you think of Bill Cheek personally, this
has some pretty gruesome possibilities for many of us, particularly those who
use computer controlled receivers, or those who like to monitor WEFAX and other
digital communications.
There really is no need, or no place for, humor or flames in this
discussion.
There's been talk of starting a legal defense fund for Bill. Once we know
for certain what he's charged with it may be a pretty good idea, not just to
help Bill, but to protect some of the rights we've all taken for granted.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

286. Re: Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: moc.enilepip@ykkams
Message-ID: <7e64c2huo1@camel21.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting.open,alt.security.alarms,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.law-enforcement

dpinero@pobox.com (David Pinero) wrote:

> I was flipping through the channels the other day and came across a
>commercial for a radar detector that picked up on some "service
>frequency"
>transmitted by emergency vehicles... The commercial (actually
>a pitch on the Home Shopping Network) alluded that some "30 states"
>used this technology.

>...I've never heard of such a thing.

Check out:

http://www.swslc.com/
http://www.radar.org/Sfty-q&a.HTM

Steve

287. scanner/radio info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <4ywN2.50803$Eb.8765@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 123316

Im interested in a scanner/radio that will allow me to listen to anything.
a)whats the best make?
b)what type antenna?
c)cost new or 2nd hand?
Thanks
ps also interested in ssb radios
tct@clara.net

288. PRO-2005 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: glennm@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <37079319.3807162@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 123317

I have a couple of PRO-2005 scanners and would like to sell one
Could anyone give me a going price I should ask for
Thanks for help
Glenn

289. Re: Radio Shack Discone, Anyone using Them ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403173439.21349.00002274@ng103.aol.com>
Source record: 123318

if u have a tap and die set you can get some s. steel rod and make your own
10x better that the originals

290. Re: O.P.P. Listeners - HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: crs1026@inforamp.net
Message-ID: <37069e04.34844726@news.istar.ca>
Source record: 123319

On Sat, 3 Apr 1999 15:40:22 -0500, "H. Molenhuis"
<milhouse@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Any tips on how to monitor without a CTCSS scanner?

Here are a couple:

1. Monitor 411.3125, if there is a unit with its mobile repeater
activated nearby you'll hear the comms

2. Monitor the input channels and only switch to the output (tower)
channels when you hear a unit talking

Either way you'll miss a certain amount of traffic. The alternatives
are

3. Buy a big bottle of Tylenol and prepare to go brain-numb listening
to the dah-dah-dah-dah-dah-dah- marker tones :(or

4. Buy a CTCSS scanner :)

Paul Cordingley VA3MLW
Toronto Canada
Rails in Toronto

291. Bearcast Police Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403180402.08321.00001410@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 123320

Anyone in the Omaha, Ne area who can help me get a Bearcat Scanner/police-fire scanner to work properly?

292. Atlanta MDT Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rerando@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <370691b2.25578719@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 123321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for active MDT freqs for the Atlanta metro area

I've gotten the ARDIS freqs already and looking for the public safety ones.

Can anybody help me?

293. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403182210.16965.00002077@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 123322

>Look folks, regardless of what you think of Bill Cheek personally, this
>has some pretty gruesome possibilities for many of us, particularly those who
>use computer controlled receivers, or those who like to monitor WEFAX and
>other
>digital communications.

John and all,

I have done business with Bill for many years and sent him an email this morning to see if he could clarify what is happening. I know there are several people here who have personal disagreements with him, however he has contirbuted much more to the radio hobby scene than any 10 people I can think of.

And you right that this has some real nasty implications. The FSK decoders he is selling are useful for a great many things. In addition I don't recall seeing a clear cut law stating that MDT decoding is illegal. Certainly the guy who allegedly posted MDT excerpts on his web site wasn't real bright, and that might indeed be a violation of the 1934 code.

I for one am tired of big money business and our bought and paid for government trampling on our rights. If/when I hear from Bill I will post something here. It is no lauging matter, if we don't start fighting for the things that are important to us, this hobby for instance, they will continue to erode our rights.

Larry Fowkes

294. anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <46488D1CB5E4E6E4.5E6F81C464A952C3.4DD7EBB74DADD082@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123323

or is this a practical joke?

295. 235 XLT Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <3706a815.95140756@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 123324

Hi,

Is there anyway for me to get rid of the low battery beep?

Thanks.

296. Pro43&34sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403184712.00197.00002411@ng141.aol.com>
Source record: 123325

THe Pro34 and Pro 43 have sold. Ira Cooper

297. Re: 235 XLT Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <11yN2.7160$fb4.9183@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123326

Yes, the low battery beep will stop if you put fresh batteries into the
radio.

DDT <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:3706a815.95140756@news2.penn.com...
> Hi,
> Is there anyway for me to get rid of the low battery beep?
> Thanks.

298. Re: BC220XLT/BC230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: feet&toes <feet@toes.com>
Message-ID: <7e6ec4$15$1@mtinsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a BC-200XLT and just dug it out from my closet. All my preprogrammed frequencies of Seattle PD&FD no no longer works! So they're now in 800MHz? Bummer if this is the case. You know any leads where I can get new frequencies?
George White <deepy@serv.net> wrote in message news:7e6anb$5m2$0@199.201.191.2...
> Hi everyone,
> I got a BC-230XLT for Christmas.
> The bottom of this thing has a date code of OHIE (or something like
> that)
> It also says on the bottom, that it is a BC-220XLT.
>
> What mods are available for this scanner?
> I've scoured the net and couldn't find anything.
> I know it's not a trunktracking scanner.
> It seems the Seattle PD/FD is on 800 MHZ trunked system.
> How can I listen to them?
>
> --Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
> --Central Control STS-11
>
>

299. Pro-43 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <03041999172300983%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 123328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro-43 for sale for $235. OBO Please email if interested,
thanks

300. FS: Alerting Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7e5s12$32l$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 123329

I have for sale a Plectron VHF high band alerting receiver for parts. It is on 154.175 MHz. Great for having a dedicated receiver to listen to your local fire department on.

301. Re: scanner/radio info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3706BB09.A412F7D2@bwn.net>
Source record: 123330

Greetings,

Your going to have to be a lot more specific than saying "listen to anything". You could easily spend $100,000 and still not be able to hear everything. What is it you would like to listen to, VLF,HF,VHF,UHF,microwave and what modes are you interested in? Give us a starting point and we will be able to help you.
Thanks,
Gerry

Millennium wrote:
>
> Im interested in a scanner/radio that will allow me to listen to anything.
> a)whats the best make?
> b)what type antenna?
> c)cost new or 2nd hand?
> Thanks
> ps also interested in ssb radios
> tct@clara.net

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
---

302. Re: PRO-2005 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3706BBC2.D386803E@bwn.net>
Source record: 123331

Greetings,
Depends upon the condition, do you have the boxes, the antennas they
came with, the original operators manual, any mods. The prices for a
complete in good condition 2005 seem to be in the $250-$325 range, most
going for about $275-$300..
Gerry

Glenn wrote:
>
> I have a couple of PRO-2005 scanners and would like to sell one
> Could anyone give me a going price I should ask for
> Thanks for help
> Glenn

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
---

303. MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <rancid@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3706DF3F.A2AEAE62@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123332

Wow, is it just me or has this MDT just become really popular lately!

304. vx-1r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3706E094.FE693383@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 123333

I am having trouble with my VX-1R that had been recently been dropped on the floor. Ever sense the drop, the squelch on the radio is always off, but other then that everything seems to be working. Does anyone have any suggestion that may help me fix this problem? I don't know if this has anything to do with it, but it is modded (hardware mod).

Thanks for the help!
-VW

305. 800 MHz trunked radio system (Lee County, Texas)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jones <KJones@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7e6o49cav1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any info Lee County (Texas) 800 trunked system?

--
Ken Jones
KJones@sprintmail.com

306. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3706F112.655A@wt.net>
Source record: 123335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL) wrote:
>
> This article tells you how to modify one of the Radio Shack FM broadcast
> band turnstile antennas for 2 meter use. Calculate how long the
> elements need be for 124 MHz, and you will have an excellent antenna for
> aircraft reception. The radiation pattern for a turnstile antenna is
> very similar to that of the Lindenblad. FWIW, I modified one of those
> $20.00 Radio Shack antennas for 2 meters. It works great as a
> terrestrial antenna, and I use it as the mode A uplink to work RS-13
> (satellite).

They are a good antenna for 40 and 75m too. :> MK
--
http://web.wt.net/~nm5k

307. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3707ECC5.5579830@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Dear Friends & Fellow Newsgroup Citizens:
>
> With Cheek & his wife recently being arrested by federal authorities I believe
> we should
> all support them in thier time of need. "willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com" makes
> a very valid point when he says, "Cheek has been quite the snitch himself. He
> has spent countless hours complaining to "the authorities" in order to get his
> opponents silenced". However, I believe
> it is the correct thing to do to support another human being in thier time of
> need.
>
> Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal defense
> fund for
> Mr. Cheek & his wife. Regardless of Mr. Cheek's guilt, he still deserves the
> best
> legal defense available. In order to assist with this, I believe we should
> all contribute to a legal defense fund to assist him. If all this happens
> quickly, then
> his rehabilitation can start sooner.

I remember when your posts were being cancelled at a furious pace and Bill had voiced is opposition to such actions, even though they were your posts. He didn't think it was right and said so.

So now it's your turn to return the favor. Even though your posts has a few backhanded barbs, you're showing some compassion. I was watching to see if you would do it. And you did it. That's real nice John. You put the silly stuff aside and showed some human quality. That's great.

allsafe

308. Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e8u0dfqd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you read the section of the article (see below) about Bill take note,
They state he was arrested for selling an illegal device.

"In a related case, the Secret
Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
New York. Details were not readily available yesterday."

I don't believe Bill actually gave any software to decode anything with this
product. This means that unless this device is specifically deemed illegal
under current statue, which it's not, I have a hard time believing that they
could actually make these charges stick.

Keep in mind that there are many legal uses for a data slicer circuit. If
someone uses it to decode signals currently deemed illegal such as pagers,
cell esn numbers, then it's the user that has broken the law not the
manufacture of the legal product.

This device/product should be no different than any other product such as
guns, knives, ropes, etc. that could be used illegally. again you can't blame
the manufacture.

Note: H.R. 514 does have a provision that could make data slicers illegal
but it has not became law as of today.

------from H.R.514-----
`(C) being equipped with decoders that--

`(i) convert digital domestic cellular radio telecommunications service,
personal communications service, or protected specialized mobile radio service
transmissions to analog voice audio; or

`(ii) convert protected paging service transmissions to alphanumeric text; or

`(D) being equipped with devices that otherwise decode encrypted radio
transmissions for the purposes of unauthorized interception.

What does this all mean ? That we need to be able to show that data slicers
and other similar decoders have legitimate uses that shouldn't make them
illegal in the future. If they want to make using them for illegal purposes
against the law then fine, but a general ban is not warranted.

In some states it is o.k. to carry a scanner with you but get caught doing
something illegal while carrying it and they can charge you with criminal

tools. This would be more in line with how data slicers should be handled.

Question: what are all of the various legitimate (legal) uses for a data slicer ?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

309. Question on Icom R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Grassa <grassa@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <3707F528.3FCF6A5@magicnet.net>
Source record: 123088

Is the Icom SP3 speaker the same height for the Icom R-7100? , in other words , is it the exact match?

Bill
KE4ATS

310. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3707F4E8.107A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 123089
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

well actually i remember a little incident around these parts where
somebody supposedly got pulled ovcer for the eating part

Ruffin Blackard wrote:
>
> I suppose it is illegal to have a cup of coffee or softdrink as well?
>
> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote:
>
> > http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
> >
> > --
> > This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> > Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> > that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> > Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
>
> --
> Sincerely,
> Ruffin Blackard
> Marketing Partners Enterprises, Inc.
> http://www.marketingpartners.com
> ruffin@marketingpartners.com
>
> Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity,
> Amen. Ephesians 6:24

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

311. Re: PRO-2004 backlight source?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scotter1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404193218.29704.00000771@ng138.aol.com>
Source record: 123090

Well, I don't know either - not there's two of us looking!

312. TRADE: my Pro-90 for your PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990404193741.18156.00002173@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 123091

Howdy's

I am in DIRE need of a cell capable pro-43, mainly to listen to military aero freq's that the PRO-90 does not cover.

my pro-90 trunktracker is in good condition just a few small scratches on the bottom of the case. It is a good scanner if your main interest is Police/fire or if you live in an area where there are motorola trunked radio systems. I have bought a BC-895 base trunktracker so I no longer need the handheld.

So if you want to part with your PRO-43 let me know

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

313. Re: CHEEK BUST ARTICLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990404193954.12638.00001998@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123092

>There isn`t a word about Cheek in this whole article.....
>
Yes, there is, about four-fifths of the way down. Read it again.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally
intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals
installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in
his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting
some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use
mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving
records and to send emergency information they might not want to
broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing
surveillance or a planned search.
An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web site
had 93 pages of police transmissions from March 12, which included
criminal histories and warrants, motor-vehicle checks, medical
information about victims, and witness statements. Nassau police
confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that day.
Investigators say a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who
could not be reached for comment yesterday.
Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in size
from 18 inches to 12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower
were discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities
seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the
disposition of the case were not available.
Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes
information of interest to electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web
sites about scanners, satellites and dishes, and amateur radio.
Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic
device to intercept police communications.
In a related case, the Secret
Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio
frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that

is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are password-protected.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

314. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707EFF8.E086D1E@usa.net>
Source record: 123093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

allsafe wrote:
>I remember when your posts were being cancelled at a furious pace and Bill had voiced
is >opposition to such actions, even though they were your posts.

The only problem with that is he was one of the peopel canceling them. Regardless,
I have always said I would help Bill Cheek.

> Even though your posts has a few
> backhanded barbs, you're showing some compassion.

I have said no "backhanded barbs or anything untrue. But I do thank you forthe
compliment of "compassion".

> That's real nice John. You put the silly stuff
> aside and showed some human quality. That's great.

Naturally! Thank you allsafe!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

315. How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707F1C6.EFA2F1DD@usa.net>
Source record: 123094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest by the
federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he will know we are all thinking of him!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

316. Re: CHEEK BUST ARTICLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707F0D2.51CEB397@usa.net>
Source record: 123095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> There isn`t a word about Cheek in this whole article.....

You better look again, see below!
Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

SNIP
device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret

Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New

York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio
frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that

is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are
password-protected.
Copyright 1999, Newsday Inc.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on
Web
site., 04-02-1999, pp A31.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

317. FREESCAN needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: baxter@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
Message-ID: <1999Apr4.185935@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
Source record: 123096

Does someone have a copy of the FREESCAN program for the BC895 they would be willing to email me? Since the author has taken his site down, I would still like to try the program.

Is it available on any other scanner site?

318. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707F4BB.72E149E5@usa.net>
Source record: 123097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bud, there has never been any software called "Back Orifice" as you mention. Second, I HAVE NEVER forged cancels, account names, or tried to hack any system. Third, if Bill had caught me trying to hack his computer why didn't he state as such? He CERTAINLY is capable of speaking for himself (at least up until he was arrested)!!!

Bud (the original) wrote:
Barely more than a week ago, Bill caught you trying to hack his computer with Back Orifice. You HAVE been caught, more than once, forging cancels to other peoples messages, forging account names, and trying to hack into other peoples systems.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

319. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7e8vmt$eof@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 123098
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?

SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
:http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
:
:
:--
:This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
:Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
:that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
:Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

320. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37080804.675CD4B9@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 123099

I decided to go ahead and tell you an experience I had at work with pagers. I had an inexpensive AM/FM/Weather radio that I used to listen to NOAA weather. For some reason, several local voice message pagers would cut in on the NOAA weather freq or near it and I would hear the entire pager message instead of NOAA weather. I don't know how nor why, but it is possible hear pagers without meaning to - all you have to do is listen to NOAA weather.

Are pagers really allowed to use "reserved" freqs like that or was it probably just a bleed-over?

Bruce

lynn lucas wrote:
>
> Is it possible to monitor/intercept voice-mail messages which are sent
> over telehpone lines to 900Mhz. pagers?
>
> What kind of equipment is used and is it available in the States?
>
> Thank You!
>
> Lynn

321. Re: vx-1r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Robbins <W2MR@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7e92vr$j90$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123100

Yaesu has an application note to cure this problem.
It is too large too post here.

I suggest calling them at (310) 404-2700 and asking them for....

File No. AN
Model VX-1R
Date July 30,1997
Serial No.Range All
Sympton Lost Datain CPU for Squelch & "S" meter functions.

Good Luck,

Mark/W2MR

322. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <370810FC.B670DCFA@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123101

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
> by the
> federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
> will know we are all thinking of him!

I seriously doubt that the magistrate/federal authorities would have considered him a flight or violent offender risk. He and Cindy were probably released the same day pending a trial date. They're most likely at home. If there's no contact, it's probably his own decision pending the outcome of whatever action is taking place. But I wish I knew for sure.

323. Re: Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37081583.D0E6A9C6@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> I don't believe Bill actually gave any software to decode anything with this
> product. This means that unless this device is specifically deemed illegal
> under current statue, which it's not, I have a hard time believing that they
> could actually make these charges stick.
>
> Keep in mind that there are many legal uses for a data slicer circuit. If
> someone uses it to decode signals currently deemed illegal such as pagers,
> cell esn numbers, then it's the user that has broken the law not the
> manufacture of the legal product.

I don't believe Bill furnished any incriminating software either. He was real careful. When he shipped me my Pro-2006, it came to Bill modified to receive cell. Bill restored the unit to factory condition with the appropriate frequencies blocked out before he shipped it to me. He told me that he couldn't ship a modified unit, it had to be legal before it left his shop. He always treated me well and was a straight shooter. Some might have found his style a little rough, but I liked the way he would tell you "like it is" whether you liked it or not. You always knew where he was coming from. Niceties aside. And that suited me just fine.

I wish someone could get some more info on exactly what happened.

324. Re: Unusual HF encounters last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <370815ec@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 123103

Well, 3330 is CHU, the Canadian time and frequency station (also on 7xxx MHz, I don't remember). The 27 MHz is undoubtedly a freebander. Sunspots are up, skip is in and signals at 27 MHz are worldwide again. The 24 MHz may have been some oil company data stream of some kind. Check the FCC data base referred to in an earlier post and see who has a license there.

--
Crazy George

325. Re: PRO-2004 backlight source?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: eeewee <n2ojq@gw.total-web.net>
Message-ID: <923276955.720.63@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 123104

Radio Shack lists parts online.
Follow the link below:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm

Scotter1 <scotter1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990404193218.29704.00000771@ng138.aol.com...
> Well, I don't know either - not there's two of us looking!

326. Cheek/Secret Service-Background

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <370817ce@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 123105

I posted these separately, and many of you may not have picked up on their significance. For a pattern of Secret Service activity of this type, check "Operation Sundevil" on their and other web sites. (not sure if it is Sun Devil) Then compare this to the commentary on this and other of their efforts in the book:"The Hacker Crackdown" by Bruce Sterling. These will give you an uneasy feeling about how these agencies operate with sealed indictments and lack of comprehension of the technical aspects of electronics.
--
Crazy George

327. need keypad interface schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ﷺTechnoDragonﷺ <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <37082241.B6090626@blarg.net>
Source record: 123106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I remember a while back, there was a schematic that I saw that would
let you control a scanner's operation and programming via a homemade
circuit board that connected to one's com port. This circuit would only
work with scanners that used a data bus for their keypad, like many RS
scanners. It was one way, and could only do to the scanner what you
could normally do with the keypad, nothing special like adding cell or
anything, just computer control of the keypad.
Now, at the time of origionally seeing thes schematic, I had absolutely
no use for such a circuit. But now, I have a project with which this
would be very useful.
If anyone remembers the URl to the page, or has a copy of the docs
explaining the schematic, and can send them my way, I'd be very
grateful.
Thanks in advance.

--
Please remember to remove the second,
anti-spamming N from the end of
tdragon in my address to respond.

ï¿½----------ï¿½
| the ï¿½TechnoDragonï¿½ |
| tdragonn@blarg.net |
ï¿½----------ï¿½

328. Re: Scanning Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EMS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <268-37081BD6-97@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123107

John, I live in an apartment complex and a lot of the FRS channels are busy. Mostly kids, but our block watch program a uses one of the GMRS low power splits which are the same as the FRS channels.

W8EMS@webtv.net / Jim Wardrip
W 8 Emergency Medical Service
.....
Be ye silent and stand in trembling and fear, Oh mortal, at the voice of the great and powerful god "MO-TO-RO-LA"☐!

329. Re: Bill Cheek arrested -- Zombie Wolf an SS informant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e98dcqba1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
>
> > From: "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
> Subject: Re: Hamcomm type interface
> Date: 17 Jan 1999 00:00:00 GMT
> Message-ID: <77vo4c$eb8$1@garnet.mint.net>
> References: <36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3155.0
> Organization: Maine InternetWorks
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
>
> devil@primenet.com wrote in message
<36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>...
>
> Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces?
>
> "Zombie Wolf" replied:
>
> bcheek@cts.com
> send e-mail to that address
>
>
That`s right, brain - dead ! I told him to get in touch with BILL CHEEK, who
is NOT ME! Your powers of deductive idiocy are AMAZING.....
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

330. Re: Bill Cheek arrested -- Zombie Wolf an SS informant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e990dqdn1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> > > public. Furthermore, these terminals are password-protected.
> >
> > From: "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
> Subject: Re: Hamcomm type interface
> Date: 17 Jan 1999 00:00:00 GMT
> Message-ID: <77vo4c$eb8$1@garnet.mint.net>
> References: <36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3155.0
> Organization: Maine InternetWorks
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
>
> devil@primenet.com wrote in message
<36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>...
>
> Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces?
>
> "Zombie Wolf" replied:
>
> bcheek@cts.com
> send e-mail to that address
>
> Yup ! I told him to get in touch with BILL CHEEK, who isn`t me ! Your
powers of deductive idiocy are AMAZING ! (By the way, you needn`t have
bothered editing the date, it won`t make any difference).....
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

331. Re: anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e99v4qiq1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123110

I wouldn`t take the information seriously, until I had something a little more solid than Ward to go on. He has been known to be on the Mackey side of the equation before.....

bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net> wrote in message news:46488D1CB5E4E6E4.5E6F81C464A952C3.4DD7EBB74DADD082@library-proxy.airnews.net...
> or is this a practical joke?
>
>

332. FS:RS PRO-62 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <xandrew@tropicom.net>
Message-ID: <37082C2D.550D9512@tropicom.net>
Source record: 123111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,red.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Radio Shack Hand Held Scanner - includes, supplied antenna, rechargable nicads (that hold a good charge), and a wall charger.

Unit is in excellent condition with the exception of a few tiny surface scratches in the display that you can only see if you hold the radio on an angle, it does not affect seeing the display at all.

Receives:
30-54
118-136.975
137-174
380-512
806-823.9875
849.0125-868.9875
894.0125-960

I will sell this for $125 + shipping to anywhere in the continental US.

This radio is guranteed to work 100% on arrival and be in the exact condition as stated.

--
********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********

TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT

XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET

****

333. 900 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <IYVN2.94$U5.2420967@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 123112

Does anyone know how much of a offset there is between the headset freq and the base freq of the 900 mhz cordless phones? Thanks.

334. Re: vx-1r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <37082F18.F6CB6D76@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 123113

Mark,
Thanks for the reply. I will check into this because I am very sick of listing to my radio w/ out squelch. Do you happen to know if I can get this information off the web. One more question (please excuse my ignorance) What is an application note?

Thanks again...

Mark Robbins wrote:

> Yaesu has an application note to cure this problem.
> It is too large too post here.
>
> I suggest calling them at (310) 404-2700 and asking them for....
>
> File No. AN
> Model VX-1R
> Date July 30,1997
> Serial No.Range All
> Sympton Lost Datain CPU for Squelch & "S" meter functions.
>
> Good Luck,
>
> Mark/W2MR

335. BC895XLT Remote Cables Made $17.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netranger <netranger@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <923282993.439.96@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 123114

```
Remote Cable up to 12' for $17.00
Include shipping.

e-mail for details.

netranger@netcarrier.com
```

336. FS:RS PRO-62 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <xandrew@tropicom.net>
Message-ID: <3708302F.3845E107@tropicom.net>
Source record: 123115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Radio Shack Hand Held Scanner 200 Channels - includes, supplied antenna,
rechargable nicads (that hold a good charge), and a wall charger.

Unit is in excellent condition with the exception of a few tiny surface
scratches in the display that you can only see if you hold the radio on
an angle, it does not affect seeing the display at all.

Receives:
30-54
118-136.975
137-174
380-512
806-823.9875
849.0125-868.9875
894.0125-960

I will sell this for $125 + shipping to anywhere in the continental US.

This radio is guranteed to work 100% on arrival and be in the exact
condition as stated.

--
********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********

TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT

XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET

****

337. Re: Where's the warrant ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e9bquqrh1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123116

Yup, John (ooops ! Have to watch that !) I mean "Willi", it`s been fun for a couple of days, but now you are getting boring, like a broken record. Guess I will have to plonk you, before you bore me to death. By the way, John, you COULD try and be a little more original, you know. You have been using this pseudo - ID for over two years now ! (No imagination, I guess)

338. Re: Bill Cheek arrested -- Zombie Wolf an SS informant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e9bkuqqo1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, John (Ooops, I mean Willie !), it`s been fun for a couple days, but you are getting boring, I had this pegged as one of your "pseudo ID`s" long ago..... Guess I`ll have to plonk you before you bore me to death ....

339. FS: Sony ICF-SW55 Shorwave Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <xandrew@tropicom.net>
Message-ID: <370833B7.4BCCA472@tropicom.net>
Source record: 123118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have for sale a Sony Shortwave Receiver Model ICF-SW55 in brand new condition. Currently being offered on Ebay. See link below for complete item description, picture and current bid.

AUCTION ENDS APRIL 7th at 11:50PM.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=85471029

--
********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********

TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT

XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET

****

340. Re: PRO-2004 backlight source?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <0eXN2.1091$hj1.235005@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123119

Radio Shack lists parts online wouldn't have them. The PRO-2004 backlight
has been out of stock for quite some time now. I would like to find one
also as mine is a 7.5 on a scale of 1-10 but the years are wearing on him.

eeewee <n2ojq@gw.total-web.net> wrote in message
news:923276955.720.63@news.remarQ.com...
> .
> Follow the link below:
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm
>
> Scotter1 <scotter1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:19990404193218.29704.00000771@ng138.aol.com...
> > Well, I don't know either - not there's two of us looking!
>
>

341. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1171eb9331b356498970e@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123120

I used to receive at least 1.5 miles with a Channel Master "Monitenna" mounted on my chimney and a 20ft mast (total of 40ft from the ground). At that time I was using a RS 2006 and Grove 20db Preamp. It was an unbeatable combination. Now with a AR8200 and a 5ft wire and alligator clip clipped onto the knurled portion of the bnc connector extending the wire vertically, I can easily get quality reception from several block away without amplification.

342. F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mgdforty@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13706-370845DA-53@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123121
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400 memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more. I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth more. Serious offers please! Thank You

343. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <krYN2.8310$fb4.8499@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How long can you go on like this?

Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3707F1C6.EFA2F1DD@usa.net>...
>Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
>by the
>federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
>will know we are all thinking of him!
>
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

344. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ftbonnemeyer@t-online.de
Message-ID: <7e7a0agqt1@news01.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 123123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7e5ru2fck1@reader1.wxs.nl>, "Bommeltje" <bommeltje@tref.nl> wrote:
>>G.Buysen heeft geschreven
>
>He, waar ging het originele bericht nou ook al weer over??? :-)
>
>
Also die Liste mit den Kosovo.-Frequenzen, die auf diversen Homes-Pages
verï¿½fflichtlich ist ist Kï¿½se.

Z.B. die als "Hot" vermerkte Frequenz 11300 kHz, "Serbia Fighters, in very
excited voices" ist nichts anderes als die AFI3/MID-2; 6G 13H-Frequenz,
fï¿½r Upper Road Fliï¿½ge ï¿½ber Nordafrika/Mittlerer Osten. Meist ist
da Cairo Aero zu hï¿½ren, ab und zu auch schon mal Khartoum Aero.
Was sich "very excited" anhï¿½rt sind nicht anderes als Linienpiloten, die
manchmal ein biï¿½chen aufgeregter und lauter reden. 1. wegen Kurzwelle,
2. weil das Englisch der dortigen Fluglotsen nicht gerade das beste ist.

Glaubt denn einer, Serbian Fighters senden im Klartext? Und dann
noch auf Kurzwelle?

Frank

345. Need PL Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James O. Jones Jr." <jjones1340@erols.com>
Message-ID: <370817A3.CD631A45@erols.com>
Source record: 123124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need the PL code (ctcss) for United Airlines on 460.725 at Dulles International Airport.

346. Re: Morse Code Trainer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Auggie <edtom@mnsinc.com>
Message-ID: <7e7v92$j5n$1@callisto.clark.net>
Source record: 123136

try a search for rec.radio.amatuer.
Jdubinjr wrote in message <19990402015729.10296.00001747@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>I am pursuing a HAM license and need one of these to pass the test. Please
>e-mail me if you have one you want to sell. Thank you all in advance and
have a
>good one.
>
>jim
>
>also, does anyone know of any ham newsgroups?

347. Re: $99 each Motorola SLK 280s with NiMH Batteries -FRS RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37076F75.232D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CW wrote:
>
> In article <3707581B.2986@ix.netcom.com>, ck5@ix.netcom.com says...
> > I have like new Motorola SLK 280s with the NiMH rechargable
> > batteries and chargers. $200 for the pair shipped. These are the best
> > full featured FRS radios available. They feature 14 ch 38 PL tones,
> > scan, and scan PL tones too, lock, and ring call. If you want to know
> > more click on the link bellow. This is the lowest price anywhere.
> > Thank you, Chris
> > http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html
> >
> $99.00 each or $200.00 for the pair? That's a new approach.
> --
> CW
> KC7NOD
You forgot to read the word SHIPPED!

348. TONO communication terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <philsa@claranet.fr>
Message-ID: <u8MN2.52325$Eb.8319@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 123138

Hi everyone !!
a friend of mine has this TONO thing ref. 0550, made in Japan.

There seems to be no specific site about Tono products
Anyone can help to find a manual ??

349. Re: Commtel205

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990404120953.13103.00002907@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123139

Hell you can get it from a UK co. for 265.00 why should i pay that much.

350. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim@rst-engr.com
Message-ID: <3707941d.91662651@news.gv.net>
Source record: 123140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Chuck" <wa7rai@nospam.raibeam.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Hi Dimitrios,
->
->The weather info transmitter is intended to be received by the aircraft's
->VOR receiver and its transmitted signal is horizontally polarized to
match
->the polarization of the VOR antenna on the aircraft

That doesn't happen to be so, sir. The frequency in question is well
outside the 108-118 MHz. nav band, is called ATIS (Automatic Terminal
Information Service) and is actually transmitted in both horizontal AND
vertical polarization. (Ya just never know what attitude an airplane is
going to be in, y'know.)

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

351. ONLINE SHORTWAVE BORADCAST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Klamath <klamath@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <923244140.636.94@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 123141

Hi,

I was wondering , Do you know any online shortwave listening posts?
Please email me if you do!!

Thank You

Regards,
Gabe
klamath@mnsi.net

352. SPRING, CLOCKS, WEATHER RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <370798CF.21A0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 123142
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

FOR THIOSE OF YOU WHO LIVE IN AREAS WHERE YOU SET YOUR CLOCKS BACK OR
AHEAD AN HOUR, DID YOU REMEMBER TO DO THAT TODAY?

ALSO DID YOU CHANGE THE BATTEIES IN YOUR SMOKE DECTECTOR AND/OR
WEATHER RADIO?
--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

353. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekF9oC17.258@netcom.com>
Source record: 123143

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote:
>
>Check out the T-Dept. web site for a history of the SS. Apparently, in 1984,
>they were given responsibility for investigating computer and
>telecommunications fraud.

And then check out the EFF Web site archives and search for the Steve
Jackson Games Vs. the Secret Service... This might be perhaps their
most famous "Computer Crimes" case... and the Secret Service lost
some bucks in punitive daages for their "We Are God" attitude.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

354. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl morphett <carl@emorphett.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e86aiula1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I tried this page for the second time and found it to be a very interesting
and useful site,packed full of software that i didnt have(I have now).
Keep up the good work Ian,I will mark this site as one of my favourites.
Cheers,Carl.

Ian wrote in message <7e3fao$5rk$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>After seeing a few ask about where to get software for decoding i decided
to
>chuck some here for you
>
>Some of it is hard to get or is rare, i have many liks to software to put
in
>aswell and i will when i can get around to it !!
>
>have a look see if it helps at all ?..
>http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>
>--
>Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
>go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/
>
>
>

355. TRADE: NEW BC-9000XLT FOR MINT PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAMSTA <ramsta@cts.com>
Message-ID: <01be7ebd$8cfc0d40$c70878d8@ramsta.inetworld.net>
Source record: 123145

I have a almost new in the box (only used for 1 or 2 hours) Bearcat
BC-9000XLT scanner I would like to trade for a mint cond Pro-2006 scanner.
The PRO 2006 must be working and looking like new. Thanks,

Mike/KC8CU/San Diego ramsta@cts.com

356. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb7vkl@juno.com
Message-ID: <3707a101.2016146@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123146

On Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:07:09 -0500, "Bill" <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>Well things like that are going on here in Indiana. The child protective
>services have forced their way into my home three different times, twice at
>gun point, and a Federal Judge has dismissed our civil suit against the
>Prosecutors and social workers. Reason? They have ABSOLUTE immunity.

++
The only good bureaucrat is one with a pistol at his head.
Put it in his hand and it's good-by to the Bill of Rights.
----Henry Louis Mencken
++

357. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e886j$87r$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett wrote in message <7e86aiula1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>I tried this page for the second time and found it to be a very interesting
>and useful site,packed full of software that i didnt have(I have now).
>Keep up the good work Ian,I will mark this site as one of my favourites.
>Cheers,Carl.
>
Thanks Carl, that justifies my phone bill a little :-)

There will be plenty more as soon as i get the time

cheers

ian k.

358. Re: Any have source code for POCFLEX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e882j$855$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

syskey@hotmail.com wrote in message <7e7tdflga1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I'm looking for the source code for the latest version of POCFLEX.
>
>Does anyone have it?

afraid not, but if you find it could you send it t o me for inclusion on my scanner site...thanks

ian k.

359. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3707b0cf.1716142@news.3web.net>
Source record: 123149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

At some point on Fri, 02 Apr 1999 21:52:28 -0500, allsafe
<Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> put forth the following:

>Ian's tag line peaked my interest so I took a look. They're talking about the
>Processor Serial Number (PSN) that's imbedded into the PIII processor. Although
>they've decided to ship the unit with the PSN turned off, hackers claim they can
>turn it back on. Intel stated that a unique identifier is essential to the
>growth of commerce on the internet. It other words, some sites will require the
>number before they do business with you. Or so they say.

It`s never happen. There are millions of users of Macs, Sun systems
etc... that will see to that.

--
Something catchy should go here

360. Unusual HF encounters last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7e8apa$2s1@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123150

Around 0200EST on the morning of 4/4/99, I heard the following.
I am located in Worcester, MA.

3330kHz "tick-tocking" like the Ft. Collins, Colorado, time signal, from north?

24.005MHz "ringing" sound, did not seem to be encoded signal, perhaps radar?

27.705, AM mode, voices, HAM? Illegal CB?

Any info appreciated, thanks!

361. Re: The Cheeks are featured in a Newsday article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707BADA.15B7C8EE@usa.net>
Source record: 123151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

GEE, I'm off the NG for a few days to vacation at the coast & look at what happens
during the absence of my presence!!!!

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:

> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
> on Web site
>
> By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

SNIP

> Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic
> device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret
> Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
> York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
> intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
> undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
> New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
> Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio
> frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that
> is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are
> password-protected.
>
> Copyright 1999, Newsday Inc.
> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

362. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707BC40.A9CD596F@usa.net>
Source record: 123152

Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?

George Vetterle wrote:

> I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
> Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

363. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <370abdc7.7013398@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 123153

lf686@aol.com (LF686) wrote:

>>Why, exactly, do you think we should believe him? His reputation for
>>honesty is not too good around here.
>
>Glen,
>
>Actually Bill has said nothing here, one way or the other. I'm just responding
>to the posted Newsday article. And if it is as wriiten then theres a problem
>that we need to be aware of.

The world is full of problems. Until I see something more than rumors,
I'm assuming that Cheek brought his troubles upon himself.

>I',m well aware of your personal feelings about Bill, along with others. But as
>a radio hobbuist you should see the bad news in this for all of us.

Possibly. Then again, Cheek's shenanigans might well have caused US
more problems by getting himself caught off base.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

364. LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707BFC2.18A48478@usa.net>
Source record: 123154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Dear Friends & Fellow Newsgroup Citizens:

With Cheek & his wife recently being arrested by federal authorities I believe we should
all support them in thier time of need. "willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com" makes a very valid point when he says, "Cheek has been quite the snitch himself. He has spent countless hours complaining to "the authorities" in order to get his opponents silenced". However, I believe
it is the correct thing to do to support another human being in thier time of need.

Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal defense fund for
Mr. Cheek & his wife. Regardless of Mr. Cheek's guilt, he still deserves the best
legal defense available. In order to assist with this, I believe we should all contribute to a legal defense fund to assist him. If all this happens quickly, then
his rehabilitation can start sooner.

I would like to hear from people who would be willing to act as a central point of contact
for receiving & holding this defense fund.

Today, on EASTER SUNDAY, it seems like the Christian thing to do to help someone like Cheek in their time of need regardless of their guilt. Part of Christianity is helping people in need & less fortunate, those who are troubled.
Remember he is also one of God's children. God Bless him and all. Mr & Mrs Cheek, my
prayers are with you.

PS: Willi - thanks for the notification of this recent event. I was out of town not checking the
NG!

willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Before we go making him a martyr for freedom, don't forget Cheek has
> been quite the snitch himself. He has spent countless hours complaining to
> "the authorities" in order to get his opponents silenced. Same as his
> cronies here.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

365. Re: The Cheeks are featured in a Newsday article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3707be46.101368059@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:14:23 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>GEE, I'm off the NG for a few days to vacation at the coast & look at what
>happens
>during the absence of my presence!!!!
>
>
>

Kind of amazing that they can arrest someone for selling a generic 2
level data slicer that can be used for various legitimate purposes. I
could understand it if he included paging software.

Makes you feel like you live in some other country instead of the
USA land of the (repressed) free.

366. Re: scanner/radio info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <NcPN2.52486$Eb.9269@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 123156

Hi
Im interested in military, aircraft, ssb, short wave, cb, police,
fire...ambulance.
should have said earlier.
Im in the UK, I expect that makes a difference.
Thor
G.Singer wrote in message <3706BB09.A412F7D2@bwn.net>...
>
>Greetings,
>
>Your going to have to be a lot more specific than saying "listen to
>anything". You could easily spend $100,000 and still not be able to hear
>everything. What is it you would like to listen to,
>VLF,HF,VHF,UHF,microwave and what modes are you interested in? Give us a
>starting point and we will be able to help you.
>Thanks,
>Gerry
>
>Millennium wrote:
>>
>> Im interested in a scanner/radio that will allow me to listen to
anything.
>> a)whats the best make?
>> b)what type antenna?
>> c)cost new or 2nd hand?
>> Thanks
>> ps also interested in ssb radios
>> tct@clara.net
>
>--
>---
>Gerry Singer KC0CAT
>Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
>Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
>R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
>Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
>.....and lots of antennas!
>Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
>---

367. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707C08A.FB52469A@usa.net>
Source record: 123157

BUD- It's on Bill Cheek's "commtronics" web site.

Bud (the original) wrote:
Exactly WHERE did you see anything about Bill Cheek posting MDT data?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

368. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707C24D.311C0AD5@usa.net>
Source record: 123158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MAlexder wrote:

>Well, what's the point? You have been a long-time computer trespasser.
SNIP
>You've done that on numerous occasions, as Bill Cheek so readily documented a
couple of >years ago.

Wrong again. I have never trespassed as you stated & Cheek NEVER documented
anything like that as you claim. If you still think Cheek documented something
like
that then have him post it! Oh, I forgot, you can't do that because CHEEK IS
IN THE FEDERAL "POKEY" ON RECENT CHARGES!!!!!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

369. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3707c00d.101823003@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123159

Give John a chance, I think he like all of us would like to be able
to post to this newsgroup without being flamed.

It's time we all work together and put the past behind us. I don't
think anyone comes here to seee the flame wars. lets not start one
here.

I'm not saying John's an o.k. guy but let's give him a chance. if he
passes the test then great, we all benefit.

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:20:21 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was
>brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?
>
>George Vetterle wrote:
>
>> I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
>> Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

370. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707C38A.4F850281@usa.net>
Source record: 123160

OK that's fine. You were RECENTLY doing advertisement for the products
that Bill Cheek sells & now those same products that Cheek sells have landed
him in to an ARREST on FEDERAL CHARGES So, being a good civic minded
citizen I will report your actions to the feds for investigation.

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Tell you what, fruit - loop, compare these headers with the headers of the
> so - called "forged" headers, and the postings I have been making for the
> last two years, and you will suddenly find that you are wrong. You will find
> that my headers never change.
> \I live on the OPPOSITE COAST from Bill Cheek, and my postings go through
> Maine Internet Works. I AM NOT NOW, NOR HAVE I EVER BEEN, BILL CHEEK

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

371. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3707c3dd.102799724@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123161

Actually Bill's site lists the various uses for the 2 level data slicer that he sells. including several legal uses and a few potentially illegal uses (MDT and pager). but he does state that the legal usage is the responsibility of the end user.

I also do not believe that he supplied any illegal software. I think they have a pretty week case since these devices are not illegal under any current laws. But he still needs a good lawyer and plenty of cash to get out of this one.

Also Bill did not post the MDT data, it was the other guy at the beginning of the article.

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:38:39 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>BUD- It's on Bill Cheek's "commtronics" web site.
>
>
>Bud (the original) wrote:
>Exactly WHERE did you see anything about Bill Cheek posting MDT data?
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

372. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <sEPN2.895$hj1.202973@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123162

Bill may be headed for some rough waters. As somebody posted earlier, his web sites ad for the level converter states it can be used for MDT monitoring. That is where he created his problem. Does Opto advertise their data slicer being useful for MDT reception? I doubt it. Does S&W advertise their guns are good for shooting cheating wives and rude relatives? Nope. With todays legal system, I wouldn't want these troubles. Bill wasn't real smart on this one it seems.

373. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3707c6f8.103594323@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Let it die boy's, your both not going to get anywhere on this one.

John, sit back take a deep breath.

Feel better ? Good.

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:46:10 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>MAlexder wrote:
>
>>Well, what's the point? You have been a long-time computer trespasser.
>SNIP
>>You've done that on numerous occasions, as Bill Cheek so readily documented a
>couple of >years ago.
>
>Wrong again. I have never trespassed as you stated & Cheek NEVER documented
>anything like that as you claim. If you still think Cheek documented something
>like
>that then have him post it! Oh, I forgot, you can't do that because CHEEK IS
>IN THE FEDERAL "POKEY" ON RECENT CHARGES!!!!!!!!!
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

374. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7e8i2d$pc5$1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 123164

I forgot to mention that the judge dismissed our civil suit WITH PREJUDICE, which I understand to mean we have no appeal right.

Bill K9KZ

375. Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: markh8624@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404165025.08482.00002192@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 123165

I am a newbie to the scanner scene (previously a SW DX-er). I'm considering the purchase of a Yupiteru scanner. Anyone have experience with this brand. TIA.

376. Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707C5BA.B1790B8F@usa.net>
Source record: 123166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,slt.fan.bill.cheek

Dear Friends & Fellow Newsgroup Citizens:

With Cheek & his wife recently being arrested by federal authorities I believe
we should all support them in thier time of need.
"willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com"
makes a very valid point when he says, "Cheek has been quite the snitch himself.
He has spent countless hours complaining to "the authorities" in order to get his
opponents silenced". However, I believe it is the correct thing to do to support
another human being in thier time of need.

Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal defense
fund for Mr. Cheek & his wife. Regardless of Mr. Cheek's guilt, he still deserves
the best legal defense available. In order to assist with this, I believe we should
all contribute to a legal defense fund to assist him. If all this happens quickly,
then his rehabilitation can start sooner.

I would like to hear from people who would be willing to act as a central
point of contact for receiving & holding this defense fund.

Today, on EASTER SUNDAY, it seems like the Christian thing to do to help

someone like Cheek in their time of need regardless of their guilt. Part of
Christianity is helping people in need & less fortunate, those who are troubled. Remember he is also one of God's children. God Bless him and all.
Mr & Mrs Cheek, my prayers are with you.

PS: Willi - thanks for the notification of this recent event. I was out of
town not checking the NG!

willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Before we go making him a martyr for freedom, don't forget Cheek has
> been quite the snitch himself. He has spent countless hours

complaining to
> "the authorities" in order to get his opponents silenced. Same as his
> cronies here.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

377. Re: Give peace another chance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3707D6D7.1E353D52@usa.net>
Source record: 123167
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> John,
> Thanks for posting on topic in the scanner groups recently.

My posts have been no different than normal.

> Hopefully you
> can continue to do so without other people jumping all over you and flaming
> you as I see someone had done to one of your replys.

Such pathetic bahavior is sad, isn't it.

> I think its time for everyone to forget the flaming and get on with the
> subject of scanning. I'm sure we all have better things to do with our time
> than to continue this garbage.

I couldn't agree with you more!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

378. FA AOR ar8000 on auction at EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olivers netcom news reader <orm@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7e8k5f$afu@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123168

FA AOR ar8000 on auction at EBAY

Have a nice unit on auction at e-bay check it out :)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=86839114

379. Weather data question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3707D36E.57D3F97B@bwn.net>
Source record: 123169

I'm looking for the specific frequencies for WXSats in the 137 MHz
range. Also the mode they broadcast on. A page that has that info. would
be helpful.
Thanks,
Gerry
--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
---

380. Laughlin, NV Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanair@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404171157.21289.00002340@ng94.aol.com>
Source record: 123170

I will be traveling to Laughlin soon and I was wondering if anybody could share any freq. info for the Laughlin-Bullhead AZ area. Any info would be appreciated.

Scanair

381. Re: FreeTracker - Database - freedb10.zip (6/7)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Trachsler" <palo@home.com>
Message-ID: <kFQN2.3258$oQ2.15454@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 123171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

thanks again
William Mitchell <william@netdoor-no.com> wrote in message
news:370d5a8d.47563284@news.supernews.com...

382. Re: FreeTracker - 3.0 Update - ft30b2.zip (1/2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Trachsler" <palo@home.com>
Message-ID: <PFQN2.3263$oQ2.15558@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 123172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

thanks
William Mitchell <william@netdoor-no.com> wrote in message
news:37045977.46232913@news.supernews.com...

383. FS UK Ver Pro 26 - Full Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomrunge@acadiacom.net
Message-ID: <7e8ntaaru1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123173

The scanner covers 25 - 1300 mhz with NO gaps. All freqs within that range are covered. You can program up to 200 frequencies into the banks. I am including a $40 "Max 800" antenna (bought from Grove Electronics) designed to optimize the 800 mhz range, a second rubber ducky (along with the original) that is supposed to be the most highly rated available ($25), an AC adapter ($10), a 90 degree adapter for the antenna allowing use with the radio lying flat, and an outside antenna with mounting brackets and about 50 feet of coaxial cable with connectors on each end. This antenna would probably cost $75 to replace, with the coax and connectors. The unit is in like new condition, with box and manual. No scratches or physical defects. Works perfectly. Asking $340 and I will pay shipping, which will probably be about $20 with insurance. Email if interested.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

384. Re: Weather data question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e8l9u$kc5$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123174

G.Singer wrote in message <3707D36E.57D3F97B@bwn.net>...
>I'm looking for the specific frequencies for WXSats in the 137 MHz
>range. Also the mode they broadcast on. A page that has that info. would
>be helpful.
>Thanks,
>Gerry
>--

Try this page and download the guide for weather sats in help file format it
covers most of what you need to know including freqs.

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

ian k.----------

385. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <3707dbd8.13476240@news>
Source record: 123175

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 05:30:41 GMT, lucaslyn@hotmail.com (lynn lucas) wrote:

>OK, it's possible I misstated my facts...I am referring to pager
>messages-that is, if someone has a 900Mhz. pager, and another personn
>calls its number and leaves a message, is there equipment available to
>receive the same message that the pager's owner will receive when they
>call in to check on their messages? I think the method used is called
>POCSAG, but that's about all I know.

Ahh... want to see how many other chicks are beeping your boyfriend, neh? ;-)

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

386. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <J0RN2.8012$fb4.9875@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,slt.fan.bill.cheek

from the way Bill talked he is well off, so he should be able to pay his own
lawyers
jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3707C5BA.B1790B8F@usa.net>...
>Dear Friends & Fellow Newsgroup Citizens:
>
>With Cheek & his wife recently being arrested by federal authorities I
>believe
>we should all support them in thier time of need.
>"willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com"
>makes a very valid point when he says, "Cheek has been quite the snitch
>himself.
>He has spent countless hours complaining to "the authorities" in order
>to get his
>opponents silenced". However, I believe it is the correct thing to do
>to support
>another human being in thier time of need.
>
>Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal
>defense
>fund for Mr. Cheek & his wife. Regardless of Mr. Cheek's guilt, he
>still deserves
>the best legal defense available. In order to assist with this, I
>believe we should
>all contribute to a legal defense fund to assist him. If all this
>happens quickly,
>then his rehabilitation can start sooner.
>
>I would like to hear from people who would be willing to act as a
>central
>point of contact for receiving & holding this defense fund.
>
>Today, on EASTER SUNDAY, it seems like the Christian thing to do to help
>
>someone like Cheek in their time of need regardless of their guilt.
>Part of
>Christianity is helping people in need & less fortunate, those who are
>troubled. Remember he is also one of God's children. God Bless him and
>all.
>Mr & Mrs Cheek, my prayers are with you.
>
>PS: Willi - thanks for the notification of this recent event. I was
>out of
>town not checking the NG!

>
>willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> Before we go making him a martyr for freedom, don't forget Cheek
>has
>> been quite the snitch himself. He has spent countless hours
>complaining to
>> "the authorities" in order to get his opponents silenced. Same as his
>> cronies here.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

387. antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: toni1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e8qib$cu4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123177

Can someone help on how far can you here a cordless phone
freq. with the help of a great antenna?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

388. antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: toni1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e8qid$cu5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123178

Can someone help on how far can you here a cordless phone
freq. with the help of a great antenna?

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

389. Re: Who is the April Fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e8ne6$n6t$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The key words here are "San Diego, California", knothead.....

<willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7e67i4cfa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> the Secret
> Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
> York warrant

390. Re: CHEEK BUST ARTICLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e8nim$n7m$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123180

There isn`t a word about Cheek in this whole article.....

fallicon <frd@sylvania.com> wrote in message
news:frd-0304990859250001@i48-14-05.pdx.du.teleport.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
> :ISJEp96 87p94p9ty
>
>
> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
> on Web site
>
> By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
>

391. Re: Where's the warrant ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7e8nt1$n97$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123181

Probably. what has that got to do with ME?

> Did the SS kick Bill in the drawers?
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

392. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e8o21$m6h$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123182

Scott Coleman wrote in message <3707dbd8.13476240@news>...
>On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 05:30:41 GMT, lucaslyn@hotmail.com (lynn lucas)
>wrote:
>
>>OK, it's possible I misstated my facts...I am referring to pager
>>messages-that is, if someone has a 900Mhz. pager, and another personn
>>calls its number and leaves a message, is there equipment available to
>>receive the same message that the pager's owner will receive when they
>>call in to check on their messages? I think the method used is called
>>POCSAG, but that's about all I know.
>
Yeah it's called winflex or poc32 or pd204 etc etc go here download it, buy
a scanner, make a discriminator output from it, plug in a hamcomm interface
and decode the pager transmissions...wallah

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

ik.

393. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@marketingpartners.com>
Message-ID: <3707E100.3228BC42@marketingpartners.com>
Source record: 123183
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I suppose it is illegal to have a cup of coffee or softdrink as well?

SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote:

> http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
>
> --
> This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

--
Sincerely,
Ruffin Blackard
Marketing Partners Enterprises, Inc.
http://www.marketingpartners.com
ruffin@marketingpartners.com

Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity,
Amen. Ephesians 6:24

394. F.S.PRO-46 scanner full 800mhz$155

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <russsmith99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3707E6EA.CEDCC7BF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123184

```
Hi there,
Selling, PRO-46 SCANNER,
100 channels,
Hand held,
Full cellular coverage,
MINT condition! you will not be dissatisfied with the condition of this
radio!
$155 US postal money order
e-mail or call if interested,
Thanks Russ
604-940-0013
```

395. F.S.PRO-46 scanner full 800mhz$155

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <russsmith99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3707E703.CDDE8F9A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 123185

```
Hi there,
Selling, PRO-46 SCANNER,
100 channels,
Hand held,
Full cellular coverage,
MINT condition! you will not be dissatisfied with the condition of this
radio!
$155 US postal money order
e-mail if interested,
Thanks Russ
```

396. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e66na$u5d$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123189

> There really is no need, or no place for, humor or flames in this
>discussion.
> There's been talk of starting a legal defense fund for Bill. Once we
know
>for certain what he's charged with it may be a pretty good idea, not just
to
>help Bill, but to protect some of the rights we've all taken for granted.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

Well said, John !

>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

Love the tagline :-) !!! how true...for any country really :-)

397. WIN-MDT ALSO GONE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: digicode <digicode@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7e6ameeuj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123190

The news is going very fast.....
Now I found NO WIN-MDT anymore.
It begin to look like a joke !

So Ian, you are getting busy building your new pages ::))

I'll wait and see what happen.

All you like to know about monitoring digital radio and receiving.
http://www.dejanews.com/~digiradioscannersnl/

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

398. UK Pro 26 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Je&#65533;a K <vr@ie.net>
Message-ID: <xuxN2.306$pk5.289@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 123191

Realistic Pro-26
UK model

25MHz-1.3GHz
no gaps,
Brand new in box,
Leather case-
Ni-cads-
Centre loaded antenna-
Brand new boxed pre-amp-
(never been used)
Totally unmarked

&#65533;150-inc postage
(UK only)

07957 917 143
or
jazi@lineone.net

399. BC220XLT/BC230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7e6anb$5m2$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 123192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi everyone,
I got a BC-230XLT for Christmas.
The bottom of this thing has a date code of OHIE (or something like
that)
It also says on the bottom, that it is a BC-220XLT.

What mods are available for this scanner?
I've scoured the net and couldn't find anything.
I know it's not a trunktracking scanner.
It seems the Seattle PD/FD is on 800 MHZ trunked system.
How can I listen to them?

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

400. Re: Alinco DJ-X10T, Lowered Price!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <9hyN2.4089$LM.7867@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 123193
Cross-posted to: mi.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This item has been sold.

Bill Crocker

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:iBsN2.3958$LM.7959@news.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Alinco DJ-X10T, Wide-Band Scanner!
>
> ONLY: $250.00!
>
> Bill Crocker
> Warren, MI
>
>

401. MDT monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@spam.com>
Message-ID: <0qyN2.1499$rv1.65884@typhoon.mbnet.mb.ca>
Source record: 123194

I am looking for a copy of MDT monitor, but now can't seem to find it anywhere? Does anyone know where to look.

402. Re: MDT monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e6c9e$1rc$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123195

Me wrote in message <0qyN2.1499$rv1.65884@typhoon.mbnet.mb.ca>...
>I am looking for a copy of MDT monitor, but now can't seem to find it
>anywhere? Does anyone know where to look.
>
>Check my new site for scanner software you will find you can d/load MDT for
windows as well as many others !!
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

have fun

ian k.

403. Re: anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <3706b2ef.3654070@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 123196

On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 17:24:57 -0600, bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
wrote:

>or is this a practical joke?
>

Afraid not. Read the April 2 article appearing in the Long Island (New
York) Newsday. In case the URL doesn't wrap in your reader it begins
with http and ends with =no.
http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129120922x0y9290&OIDS=1Q001D027&Form=RL&bp=no

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

404. Re: WIN-MDT ALSO GONE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e6ck9$214$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123197

digicode wrote in message <7e6ameeuj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>The news is going very fast.....
>Now I found NO WIN-MDT anymore.
>It begin to look like a joke !
>
>So Ian, you are getting busy building your new pages ::))

Oh sh**, not another one...at this rate my phone bill will be through the roof (as if it isn't already :-(...oh well...consider it yupped to the site everybody!!!!!, give me a few minutes to up it though :-). its almost a meg and a half !

ian k.

405. Re: WIN-MDT ALSO GONE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e6cm4$22b$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123198

Get MDT4 WINDOWS here as I heard that this has gone also ?. have not had time to check it yet...by the way i hope not too many BIG proggys like this one go as my server space is getting smaller !!!

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

seeya !!
ian k.

406. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403194808.06073.00001793@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123199

>>Look folks, regardless of what you think of Bill Cheek personally, this
>>has some pretty gruesome possibilities for many of us,

I"m still trying to understand why the Secret Service is involved in all this.

But the truth of John Ward's statement should not be lost. There are some very real and very gruesome possibilities ahead of each of us involved in the hobby. Even those who are not involved in the gray areas of decryption. We are getting to the stage in this country of a presumption of guilt based on what we own or what we say, or what we believe and how these things fit into the political climate of the moment. Go back to Heston's speech at Harvard Law. These are not the benchmarks of freedom. They certainly not the benchmarks of sanity.

I have had the opportunity to correspond with Bill Cheek a couple of times. And again, John is right, he does rub a lot of people the wrong way. But he has done a lot for this hobby, -- LEGALLY done a lot for the hobby. It's time we all took a moment to assess the deep doo-doo we are going to be in if we don't start acting both responsibly as well as courageously in defending our rights.

There is nothing courageous in flouting the law. And a lot of people here are guilty of that. And if Bill Cheek is guilty of breaking the law, he needs to own up to his responsibility. But for now, we don't know what he's been charged with. And speculation and posturing isn't going to help our cause. Let's wait until we have those facts.

On the subject of fighting the good fight, however, its time we took the issue of our trampled rights to the people where it can do some good: the people who make the laws. Not the people who enforce them. Write letters, call your Congressmen, and Congresswomen, Senators, and other elected representatives. Until we have actually taken these issues through channels, the success of disobedience is going to be limited, if at all, and it's going to carry some horrid consequences.

Just a thought.

407. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403195523.06073.00001800@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123200

> I'm not aware of any current laws that make it illegal to sell or
>have a 4 level FSK decoder. This would be like arresting a gun dealer
>because one of his customers killed someone.

Wake up....The City of Chicago is suing gun manufacturers for exactly this reason, under the guise of marketing dangerous products in less than responsible ways. Its happening. Its an abuse of the system, to be sure, but its happening. AND with sanity all but gone from both the courts AND goverment in general hiding behind this kind of disbelief is exactly what is needed for these kinds of suits to become effective tools in the herding of the public into lives of 'approved' activity, value and belief.

408. OK, Mdt4win is up here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e6dv8$r25$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

As requested I have upped MDT 4 windows here
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

Nothing illegal about posting this stuff in the UK !!

ian k.

--
Avoid the Intel Pentium III - the processor with a trojan inside.
go here for more info.....http://www.bigbrotherinside.com/

409. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: colossus@ccia.com
Message-ID: <7e6e0uroc0@207.18.94.176>
Source record: 123202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <rBTM2.716$qL5.50@news.flash.net>, av8tor@flash.net says...
> In article <3702F77C.DD98C501@hotbot.com>, tracey12@hotbot.com says...
> >
> >WELL WELL! We can see the ebay is politically correct, and pro-big
> >government because they think they should be able to make sure that you will
> >use their items legally!
> >Do you find this an invasion of your privacy by ebay?
> >Can you imagine how many items Americans could not purchase if the fucked up
> >government had to make certain that it played mommy and daddy and watched
> >over us and prevented us from buying things we might misuse?
> >Ebay sucks, and I will never purchase a single thing from their site, nor
> >will I honor their advertisers. SCREW YOU EBAY! YOU ARE A BUSINESS, NOT OUR
> >PARENTS, YOU BUNCH OF LIBERAL IDIOTS!
> >
> I couldn't agree with you more. I'm surprised eBay(tm) actually said " we have
> no way of validating the legality of use"
>
> That should mean eBay(tm) cancels all 1.8million auctions currently running on
> their system Example:
> 1) I might buy a disk, take off the metal cover and use it to slit someone's
> throat.
>
> 2) I might buy an autographed baseball bat and use it to bash someones skull in
> and kill them.
>
> 3) I might buy ladies panty hose, put them over my head and rob a bank!
>
> There are more panty hoses used in the commenting of crimes then scanners,
> therefore BAN Ladies Panty Hose!
> George
> www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Your logic seems to be right on. It would appear that ebay does suck.

410. Opinions on BC895XLT and Radio Manager Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990403200930.17644.00001669@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123203

Anyone have experience using BC895XLT and Radio Manager Software? Good/Bad? How about building vs. Buying interface cable?

Thanks,

Mike

411. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramrod <Ramrod@lords.com>
Message-ID: <3706D1B3.512DF553@lords.com>
Source record: 123204

Ole Billy Bob Cheek has a long history of problems with different law enforcement agencies. All I have to say is "when you keep asking for trouble, sooner or later you're going to find it." Maybe when they fit Billy with his ankle transmitter we can all track him with our GPS's.

> In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple,
> Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such
> illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The
> Secret Service said one of its
> undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
> New York. Details were not readily available yesterday. Mobile data
> terminals send and receive information by radio frequencies, which are
> encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available to the
> public. Furthermore, these terminals are password-protected.

412. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <372eb74c.22418764@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 123205

lf686@aol.com (LF686) wrote:

>If/when I hear from Bill I will post something here.
>It is no lauging matter, if we don't start fighting for the things that are
>important to us, this hobby for instance, they will continue to erode our
>rights.

Why, exactly, do you think we should believe him? His reputation for
honesty is not too good around here.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

413. Re: Pro-43 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990403222101.10574.00001941@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123206

Would you be interested in trading it for a PRO-90 trunktracker?

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

414. Re: Atlanta MDT Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <3707dbf5.15098612@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

rerando@yahoo.com wrote:

>I am looking for active MDT freqs for the Atlanta metro area
>
>I've gotten the ARDIS freqs already and looking for the public safety
>ones.
>
>Can anybody help me?

See my Atlanta MDT page for the info you are lookin' for.

http://www.inetnow.net/~creeper/MDT.htm

Creeper

--
Take off one of the "C's" in ccreeper@inetnow.net when sending personal replies via email.

415. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekF9nF9I.2LK@netcom.com>
Source record: 123208

orasberries@my-dejanews.com <orasberries@my-dejanews.com> wrote:
>
> I'm not aware of any current laws that make it illegal to sell or
>have a 4 level FSK decoder. This would be like arresting a gun dealer
>because one of his customers killed someone.

The Feds are probably using the legal services of a moonlighting eBay
legal eagle.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

416. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekF9nFr8.6up@netcom.com>
Source record: 123209

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote:
>
[...]
> There's been talk of starting a legal defense fund for Bill. Once we know
>for certain what he's charged with it may be a pretty good idea, not just to
>help Bill, but to protect some of the rights we've all taken for granted.

Probably not the sort of thing the ACLU[1] will get into, but once the
case becomes clear, if it continues in the direction it appears to
be going in, I can't imagine the EFF not being concerned.

[1] from what I seem to recall, the ACLU *hates* scanner users.
--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

417. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucaslyn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3706f7dd.3970343@news.alt.net>
Source record: 123210

On Fri, 02 Apr 1999 05:07:46 GMT, lucaslyn@hotmail.com (lynn lucas) wrote:

>Is it possible to monitor/intercept voice-mail messages which are sent
>over telehpone lines to 900Mhz. pagers?
>
>What kind of equipment is used and is it available in the States?
>
>Thank You!
>
>
>Lynn
>
OK, it's possible I misstated my facts...I am referring to pager messages-that is, if someone has a 900Mhz. pager, and another personn calls its number and leaves a message, is there equipment available to receive the same message that the pager's owner will receive when they call in to check on their messages? I think the method used is called POCSAG, but that's about all I know.

lynn

418. Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bshort@speedchoice.com
Message-ID: <7770E41F2FA0D6A1.548F452B145A6257.C6122FD9BFA27D31@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 123211

I'm quite confused about what can/cannot be monitored?

I hear about programs disappearing?

People being arrested?

Can anyone clarify what the laws regarding scanning are?

Why is this suddenly such a issue? Doesn't the legal
establishment have something better to do?

What are the risks to public safety if someone has a scanner?

Confused...

--
Brian Short, K7ON 1994 E. Laguna Dr. Tempe, Az 85282
bshort@speedchoice.com >or< http://www.qex.net/k7on/
--

419. Pinal Co Az z frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <wjDN2.88$45.1184@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 123212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.amateur.misc

anyone have an updated listing of Pinal County, Az.
this includes hte communitiesof Casa Grande, Eloy, Florence, maricopa, and
Stanfield.

JOHN

--

JOHN/PHX jamoran@analon.com (buildmypc@analon.com)
Powerful Computers at afordable prices http://www.analon.com

Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry I Love Unix!
vi..my favorite text editor (: 310-714-7404) X-Windows :)

+Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!+
+if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check group+
---

420. Re: Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam2_jmdolan <nospam2_jmdolan@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <1qDN2.73$M3.2095@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 123213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting.open,alt.security.alarms,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.law-enforcement

Stay away from HSN.
The messages are transmitted over-the-air to detectors with that
capability - the detectors don't actually detect anything.
David Pinero <dpinero@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:370680b0.242323297@news.mindspring.com...
>
> I was flipping through the channels the other day and came across a
>commercial for a radar detector that picked up on some "service
>frequency" transmitted by emergency vehicles. For instance, if there
>were a police chase descending on the radar detector it would detect
>this and alert the driver in plain english. The commercial (actually
>a pitch on the Home Shopping Network) alluded that some "30 states"
>used this technology.
>
> I consider myself someone who follows these things pretty closely
>but I've never heard of such a thing. I think it is wonderful though
>only if it is extensively and uniformly implemented. Does anyone have
>any general information on this technology and its components? What
>30 states are using it and how great is the market penetration? Is
>standardization of the signals this device uses an issue?
>
> Thanks for any information anyone can contribute!
>
>Dave
>David Pinero * ICQ # 3887811 * AIM Name TDAVE365
>http://www.pobox.com/~dpinero
>Home of the rather generic Dave-Cam!
>
>Are your local police going undercover?
>Visit http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm

421. Re: Clark County Nevada Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404011339.17962.00001491@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 123214

I should also point out that one of those trunking frequencies has the Clark County School District Police on it. In a few months, when the 245XLT arrives, I hope to have fun hearing the North Las Vegas PD regularly.

:) RD

422. Re: Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404012528.19078.00002370@ng147.aol.com>
Source record: 123215

In a few communities there actually is a signal sent out by emergency vehicles which will set off radar detectors. It is not in widespread use yet, but was originally designed to alert drivers in advance of audible signals.

One has to wonder whether this will encourage drivers to slow or move over or simply attract more rubber necking.

Like many TV promotions, this too is oversold

423. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEVIN CLAYTON <kevclay@mgl.ca>
Message-ID: <7e714e$8ah$1@nntp2.uunet.ca>
Source record: 123216

i am not sure of where you are but here in ontario canada you can listen to anything you like . here it is illegal too repeat what you here and illeagal to follow police and firetrucks.
i hope this helps
kevin

Brian Short <bshort@speedchoice.com> wrote in message news:7770E41F2FA0D6A1.548F452B145A6257.C6122FD9BFA27D31@library-proxy.airnews.net...
> I'm quite confused about what can/cannot be monitored?
>
> I hear about programs disappearing?
>
> People being arrested?
>
> Can anyone clarify what the laws regarding scanning are?
>
> Why is this suddenly such a issue? Doesn't the legal
> establishment have something better to do?
>
> What are the risks to public safety if someone has a scanner?
>
> Confused...
>
> --
> Brian Short, K7ON 1994 E. Laguna Dr. Tempe, Az 85282
> bshort@speedchoice.com >or< http://www.qex.net/k7on/
> --

424. Legal Defense Funds? Caution!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404031131.19078.00002378@ng147.aol.com>
Source record: 123217

Recent postings regarding reports of two separate arrests of individuals by Federal agents have stirred emotional responses. One was a fellow accused of posting illegally obtained data on his web site. The other involves a fellow who sells plans and equipment aggressively marketed in his business or so say these postings.

The former few of us know much about, but the latter has promoted himself in ways which would be difficult to ignore.

Throughout history people have sought to become a " cause celebre " for less than noble reasons. These days it gets the celebrated accused on the leture circuit, sells their books, gives them advertising which IBM couldn't afford.

I would suggest to readers of this NG that they might choose their heros with care. They should think long and clearly before sending their hard earned money to any such legal defense funds. Those who attach themselves to controversy for profit may gladly attach themselves to your wallets.

I wish those said to be accused prompt relief if they have broken no laws. I don't expect to be sending them any of my money, however.

If the authorities should ever move against the lawful expressions of free speech, for example, arresting Bob Grove for his editorial writings, then I'll be inclined to reach for the checkbook.

425. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dawson Wright <dawsonw@cari.net>
Message-ID: <370710AD.64E6@cari.net>
Source record: 123218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Jerry Wayne Agudio wrote:
>
> In article <rBTM2.716$qL5.50@news.flash.net>, av8tor@flash.net says...
> > In article <3702F77C.DD98C501@hotbot.com>, tracey12@hotbot.com says...
> > >
> > >WELL WELL! We can see the ebay is politically correct, and pro-big
> > >government because they think they should be able to make sure that you will
> > >use their items legally!
> > >Do you find this an invasion of your privacy by ebay?
> > >Can you imagine how many items Americans could not purchase if the fucked up
> > >government had to make certain that it played mommy and daddy and watched
> > >over us and prevented us from buying things we might misuse?
> > >Ebay sucks, and I will never purchase a single thing from their site, nor
> > >will I honor their advertisers. SCREW YOU EBAY! YOU ARE A BUSINESS, NOT OUR
> > >PARENTS, YOU BUNCH OF LIBERAL IDIOTS!
> > >
> > I couldn't agree with you more. I'm surprised eBay(tm) actually said " we have
> > no way of validating the legality of use"
> >
> > That should mean eBay(tm) cancels all 1.8million auctions currently running on
> > their system Example:
> > 1) I might buy a disk, take off the metal cover and use it to slit someone's
> > throat.
> >
> > 2) I might buy an autographed baseball bat and use it to bash someones skull in
> > and kill them.
> >
> > 3) I might buy ladies panty hose, put them over my head and rob a bank!
> >
> > There are more panty hoses used in the commenting of crimes then scanners,
> > therefore BAN Ladies Panty Hose!
> > George
> > www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
>
> Your logic seems to be right on. It would appear that ebay does suck.

Yes, ebay does indeed suck. May their stock prices take a big healthy
dump 01/01/2000 and take all of their greedy ignorant "investors" with
them!!!
```

426. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <3707191e.20115822@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 123219

On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 21:54:58 GMT, Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Chuck wrote:
>>
>> Has anyone heard from Bill? Is this even the same Bill cheek we all
>> know?
>>
>> Anyone????
>>
>> I wonder if he plans to fight the charges!
>>
>> 73's
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
>
>Fight the charges??
>
>I bet he's gonna have to fight off wolfy when he bends over to pick up
>the bar of soap in the shower. Although I bet he can come up with some
>pretty clever prison shanks, so I think he will be ok. He will
>probably hide the shank in his copy of the Scanner modification
>handbook. Regards Mike K8MB

I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?

427. Re: Pro-43 FS $245 OBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeanyuhs99@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404043700.21661.00001237@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 123220

Be nice if you had given your email address. :)

JeanYuhs

You only die once. At least in this life. So get it right the first time. :)

428. Re: Wanted: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeanyuhs99@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404045015.21661.00001238@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 123221

I'll pay $250-300 for a Pro 43 in WORKING condition (modified or not isn't important).

Paul

You only die once. At least in this life. So get it right the first time. :)

429. Re: Florida Standoff in orlando

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-0404990428480001@pm53-36.magicnet.net>
Source record: 123222

In article <19990402173054.06059.00001506@ng101.aol.com>, night663@aol.com (Night663) wrote:

> Is anyone in this area listening to this let me know.I'm on the trunk system
> and don't hear anything?????

Was SWAT involved? I believe SWAT use secure communications.

430. Rally Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin o neill <kon@iol.ie>
Message-ID: <01be7e7e$91b83460$4c90cbc1@kevin>
Source record: 123223

Does anybody have the Freq's being used on the Circuit of Ireland rally this weekend or anyother rally run in Ireland

Thanks

431. 8000khz-900khz? Whats it for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mildred Pierce <en@popamericana.com>
Message-ID: <37073B8A.40BEF64B@popamericana.com>
Source record: 123224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio

I am fairly new to shortwave and I have noticed a general lack of stations in the general range of 8000khz to 9000khz.. is this reserved for something else.. I picked up a repeated morse code on 8135 on the sideband at about 1000utc march 4.. Actually at 12 minutes past the hour it just changed the pattern... strange and mysterious, But that is what is so cool about this isn't it? does anyone know what this is? --Mildred Pierce
--
Anarchanon99 http://come.to/anarchanon
popamericana http://popamericana.com
icq#30816451

432. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404074804.19538.00002721@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 123225

>Why, exactly, do you think we should believe him? His reputation for
>honesty is not too good around here.

Glen,

Actually Bill has said nothing here, one way or the other. I'm just responding to the posted Newsday article. And if it is as wriiten then theres a problem that we need to be aware of.

I',m well aware of your personal feelings about Bill, along with others. But as a radio hobbuist you should see the bad news in this for all of us.

Regards .. Larry Fowkes

433. Re: 8000khz-900khz? Whats it for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John R Wells <john@wells10000.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7e7kej$pe7$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio

about 8000kHz to 8812kHz is mainly maritime stations using morse, SITOR and USB then from 8812kHz upwards its civil air traffic control USB then some military data and USB nearer 8970-9000kHz -ish.

Anyone got exact frequencies?

John

Mildred Pierce <en@popamericana.com> wrote in message news:37073B8A.40BEF64B@popamericana.com...
>I am fairly new to shortwave and I have noticed a general lack of
>stations in the general range of 8000khz to 9000khz.. is this reserved
>for something else.. I picked up a repeated morse code on 8135 on the
>sideband at about 1000utc march 4.. Actually at 12 minutes past the hour
>it just changed the pattern... strange and mysterious, But that is what
>is so cool about this isn't it? does anyone know what this is? --Mildred
>Pierce
>--
>Anarchanon99 http://come.to/anarchanon
>popamericana http://popamericana.com
>icq#30816451

434. $99 each Motorola SLK 280s with NiMH Batteries -FRS RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3707581B.2986@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have like new Motorola SLK 280s with the NiMH rechargable
batteries and chargers. $200 for the pair shipped. These are the best
full featured FRS radios available. They feature 14 ch 38 PL tones,
scan, and scan PL tones too, lock, and ring call. If you want to know
more click on the link bellow. This is the lowest price anywhere.
Thank you, Chris
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

435. Re: $99 each Motorola SLK 280s with NiMH Batteries -FRS RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmagers@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11710c1d880f2a3d9896d3@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 123228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3707581B.2986@ix.netcom.com>, ck5@ix.netcom.com says...
> I have like new Motorola SLK 280s with the NiMH rechargable
> batteries and chargers. $200 for the pair shipped. These are the best
> full featured FRS radios available. They feature 14 ch 38 PL tones,
> scan, and scan PL tones too, lock, and ring call. If you want to know
> more click on the link bellow. This is the lowest price anywhere.
> Thank you, Chris
> http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html
>
$99.00 each or $200.00 for the pair? That's a new approach.
--
CW
KC7NOD

436. Any have source code for POCFLEX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: syskey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7e7tdflga1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for the source code for the latest version of POCFLEX.

Does anyone have it?

syskey@hotmail.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

437. Commtel205

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <FaKN2.1877$gr5.1394@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 123230

For Sale (Just paid the duty fee): Commtel 205, which is the company/model who made the Pro-2006. 400 channels, 25MHz-1.5 GHz with no blockage. This is a brand new unit. It run off of 220VAC, but I use the 12 VDC jack instead. Those who know - know. $350 shipped lower 48.
Dave Ward

438. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404095501.21595.00003014@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123231

>From: petermaus@aol.com

> I"m still trying to understand why the Secret Service is involved in all
>this.

Check out the T-Dept. web site for a history of the SS. Apparently, in 1984, they were given responsibility for investigating computer and telecommunications fraud.

>I have had the opportunity to correspond with Bill Cheek a couple of times.
>And again, John is right, he does rub a lot of people the wrong way. But he
>has done a lot for this hobby, -- LEGALLY done a lot for the hobby. It's
>time
>we all took a moment to assess the deep doo-doo we are going to be in if we
>don't start acting both responsibly as well as courageously in defending our
>rights.

Obviously, the well-known weasels are going to gloat about Cheek's legal difficulties. They have no concern about whatever potential repercussions their may be to the hobby. The reason is that they have never contributed anything and don't intend to.

It's the Cheek vendetta that interests them most. They're already happily throwing stones at the guy with little more than a couple of newpaper paragraphs to go on. To think they can contribute to this discussion in any meaningful and intelligent way unfortunately is expecting much too much.

439. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7e7rt7ict1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 123232

Well things like that are going on here in Indiana. The child protective services have forced their way into my home three different times, twice at gun point, and a Federal Judge has dismissed our civil suit against the Prosecutors and social workers. Reason? They have ABSOLUTE immunity.

Bill K9KZ

>>>
>Your fuc***g kidding aren't you ?, is this in USA if so it sounds more like
>a bloody dictatorship to me, screw molosovich you wanna start shooting your
>own Gov. if this sort of crap is going on !..land of the free ?
>
>ik.
>
>

440. Re: Req: Old Bridge NJ PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ayc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404102146.21601.00002948@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123233

>Anyone have the new Old Bridge NJ PD freqs? I used to be able to hear them
>on 460.375, but now all I hear is Jersey city on that freq.

Thay are now on a 800MHz trunked system, i dont have the freqs off hand, but
it's not a big system.

441. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <3707770a.74531672@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123234

Hey! Enough already! I came out here, asked a Goddamn Question, and got a smart ass answer and 20 opinions! all I wanna know is if anyone has had a conversation with him sense his brush with the law, I can and will recommend 2 Good lawyers that will go to his defense.

if anyone has been in contact with him, please have him contact me,

thanks!

-Chuck
Chuck's 2 way Radio Service and Computer Sales
Http://www.chucks2way.com
Phone: 1-313-389-2171

442. Re: Req: Old Bridge NJ PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <IWKN2.152$P1.29820@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 123235

try the following:

821.8625
822.1375
822.2750
822.9375
866.8625
867.1375
867.2750
867.9375

Paul
Hopatcong, NJ

KC2AYC <kc2ayc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990404102146.21601.00002948@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >Anyone have the new Old Bridge NJ PD freqs? I used to be able to hear
them
> >on 460.375, but now all I hear is Jersey city on that freq.
>
> Thay are now on a 800MHz trunked system, i dont have the freqs off hand,
but
> it's not a big system.

443. Murrieta, CA Police 800 TRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7ec1h2$6l8@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for info on the Murrieta, CA Police 800 trunked system. Freqs. are known (at least by me thanks to ScanFan book). Need system type and talkgroup ID's.

444. Re: Wash DC Air Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37098D7C.3485@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Micbloo wrote:
>
> Help!! On vacation and I forgot my freqs for National Airport Tower. I know
> they use 119.1 but what is the other Tower freq used by helicopters like
> MedStar etc. Isnt it 120 something?
> And what's Andrews Tower? 118???
>
> Thanks
> Gerard
>
> PS - please e-mail direct if possible,

Check out the following URLs:

DCA - RONALD REAGAN WASHINGTON NATIONAL AIRPORT

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?DCA

ADW - ANDREWS AFB AIRPORT

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?ADW

445. Need help on the Pro2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21946-37099093-56@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122938
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just bought a Realistic Pro 2005 base scanner from Jun's radio in Culver City, California and i bought it used for $150 dollars and the radio is very clean and has cell mod and memory mod in which I can get 6,400 memorys. Any way, I notice there is an extra antenna connector in the back of the radio. I call Jun's but they have no idea whats the extra connector for. Can any one help me what the extra antenna connector is for? Thanks!

446. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ed2mjrdp1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122939
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

at that price it should be hand delivered and installed
J EDGAR MCDERMOTT wrote in message <370946F1.B8DD0B75@erols.com>...
>> Great April Fools joke...
>
>--
>J Edgar/NS5N sends>>>
>
>

447. 4 SALE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Looking <yaya@yaya.com>
Message-ID: <370927A0.50245974@yaya.com>
Source record: 122940

```
UNIDEN 895 XLT
2 Mos old.
Like New
200 obo takes it.
email to pch@fwi.com
```

448. Re: Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamBgone.gov
Message-ID: <3708422d.39676767@news>
Source record: 122986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>When he shipped me my Pro-2006, it came to Bill modified to receive
>cell. Bill restored the unit to factory condition with the appropriate
>frequencies blocked out before he shipped it to me.

Wow, and you're OK with that? I'd be pretty pissed if someone did some unauthorized work on my property... That's kinda like the auto mechanic saying "oh, by the way, we noticed that your driver's side seatbelt was broken, so we went ahead and replaced it for you, since it's illegal to drive without seatbelts here in California."

>He told me that he couldn't
>ship a modified unit, it had to be legal before it left his shop.

It already *was* legal, even before he did the unauthorized mod.

>Some might have found his style a
>little rough, but I liked the way he would tell you "like it is" whether you liked
>it or not. You always knew where he was coming from. Niceties aside. And that
>suited me just fine.

<shrug> As long as you're OK with it... As for me, I'm glad I saw your post, as I was considering sending him my PRO-2006 to have a CE-232 interface installed. Who knows, he might have removed my "naughty" NFM discriminator output modification, since it could potentially be used to decode MDT traffic or somesuch.

>I wish someone could get some more info on exactly what happened.

Ditto! But one thing's for sure: if he was free (and he didn't get all his computer equipment confiscated) he'd be posting here. He might not be telling the full story, but he'd at least be telling John to go to hell. ;-)

Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

449. Re: anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405012723.15596.00002514@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122987

.>From: "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
>Date: 04-04-1999 22:11 EST
>
>I wouldn`t take the information seriously, until I had something a little
>more solid than Ward to go on. He has been known to be on the Mackey side of
>the equation before.....

Am I the Ward to whom you are referring? If so, then I resent your implication that anything I posted on the newsgroup was false. I may make mistakes now and then, but I don't lie. My reputation as a journalist is too important to me.
As for substantiating the charges, the only information that I have so far is what is included in the Newsday article. Since this was a holiday weekend it was impossible to contact anyone for more information.
I did send e-mail to Bill but I haven't received a reply. This is perhaps not surprising as it's likely the feds confiscated his computer equipment since that's where his business records would likely have been kept. It's also possible that Bill and Cindy are sitting in jail waiting to be extradited to New York, since that's where the warrant was issued. I don't know if a California judge would be allowed to set bail on someone with an out-of-state warrant.
I have never been on John Mackey's "side" about anything. I don't know John Mackey and have never corresponded with him other than to beseech him to knock off the flames. I have openly criticized both he and Bill for continuing the flame wars and recently it seems that both of them have stopped. Unfortunately, there are a few others who can't let things drop and prefer to continue their pissing contest.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

450. Re: vx-1r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <37084A78.B3033A6F@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 122988

Thanks again. I did find the fix on the web at the loaction

Mark Robbins wrote:

> Yaesu has an application note to cure this problem.
> It is too large too post here.
>
> I suggest calling them at (310) 404-2700 and asking them for....
>
> File No. AN
> Model VX-1R
> Date July 30,1997
> Serial No.Range All
> Sympton Lost Datain CPU for Squelch & "S" meter functions.
>
> Good Luck,
>
> Mark/W2MR

451. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <37084cd7.9156195@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 122989

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:20:21 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was
>brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?
>
>George Vetterle wrote:
>
>> I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
>> Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?
>

I'm not the one who said you were an "o.k. guy.

452. Re: Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <6mYN2.1021$YU1.1972@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 122990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7e8u0dfqd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> If you read the section of the article (see below) about Bill take note,
>They state he was arrested for selling an illegal device.
>

>------from H.R.514-----
>`(C) being equipped with decoders that--
>
>`(i) convert digital domestic cellular radio telecommunications service,
>personal communications service, or protected specialized mobile radio
service
>transmissions to analog voice audio; or
>
>`(ii) convert protected paging service transmissions to alphanumeric text;
or
>
>`(D) being equipped with devices that otherwise decode encrypted radio
>transmissions for the purposes of unauthorized interception.

Which of those things can a data slicer do? None! All of those functions
require software. Level conversion will not "decode" anything.

> Question: what are all of the various legitimate (legal) uses for a data
>slicer ?

Any ham radio digital mode. Any other digital communication (non-cellphone,
non-PCS phone, not scrambled, open standard) that is otherwise lawful to
monitor.

73 de Dave, NF2G

453. WINTONE 2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7e9irl$qo9$1@news.auaracom.net>
Source record: 122991

I downloaded this program.

I have read the entire manual 3 times and still haven't the foggiest idea how to work it.

I want to try monitoring the local police who use CTCSS. In between transmissions there is a continual squauking beep which is most abnoxious. They use tone 107.2

Does anyone know how to use Wintone and does it even do what I am looking for??????????

454. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <6mYN2.1020$YU1.1972@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 122992

Bill wrote in message <7e8i2d$pc5$1@news.indy.net>...
>I forgot to mention that the judge dismissed our civil suit WITH PREJUDICE,
>which I understand to mean we have no appeal right.
>
>Bill K9KZ

No, it doesn't. It means you can't bring the same case back in the same or another type of court. You most certainly can appeal the dismissal if you can come up with procedural or legal grounds to assert that the dismissal was improper (abuse of judicial discretion, unconstitutional, etc.). Get a lawyer.

455. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <6mYN2.1022$YU1.1972@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 122993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

>On the other hand, it is illegal to advertise a device for illegal use, which is
>what Bill did by claiming that his device could be used for MDT decoding.

Can somebody cite an actual law prohibiting MDT decoding? I don't think one exists, but I'm willing to consider a change of opinion if facts are provided that prove otherwise.

73 de Dave, NF2G

456. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <923292909.800.50@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122994
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Dude wrote in message
<13706-370845DA-53@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400 memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more. I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth more. Serious offers please! Thank You

UhOh! I can hear the Price Police knocking at the door!

457. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Janis Hill <jjpenbat@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <7e9q3v$ir6$1@reader1.reader.news.ozemail.net>
Source record: 122995
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Well... I'd say it was for this particular one. :)

Just can't leave it alone.
Janis

CitySlicker wrote in message <7e8vmt$eof@chronicle.concentric.net>...
>Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?
>
>SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
>:http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
>:
>:
>:--
>:This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
>:Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
>:that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
>:Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
>
>

458. Re: need keypad interface schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <37086EF8.4C193375@excite.com>
Source record: 122996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here ya go
http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/

"ï¿½TechnoDragonï¿½" wrote:
>
> I remember a while back, there was a schematic that I saw that would
> let you control a scanner's operation and programming via a homemade
> circuit board that connected to one's com port. This circuit would only
> work with scanners that used a data bus for their keypad, like many RS
> scanners. It was one way, and could only do to the scanner what you
> could normally do with the keypad, nothing special like adding cell or
> anything, just computer control of the keypad.
> Now, at the time of origionally seeing thes schematic, I had absolutely
> no use for such a circuit. But now, I have a project with which this
> would be very useful.
> If anyone remembers the URl to the page, or has a copy of the docs
> explaining the schematic, and can send them my way, I'd be very
> grateful.
> Thanks in advance.
>
> --
> Please remember to remove the second,
> anti-spamming N from the end of
> tdragon in my address to respond.
>
> ï¿½--------------------ï¿½
> | the ï¿½TechnoDragonï¿½ |
> | tdragonn@blarg.net |
> ï¿½--------------------ï¿½

459. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <370874C9.B3313597@netxn.com>
Source record: 122997
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$800 American dollars ??? Good luck ... you will need it with that
asking price ... way to high in my book ...

Mike

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

460. Re: No one even knows what Bill was arrested for!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <upA21b0f#GA.265@upnetnews03>
Source record: 122998

Unless he was selling stolen property or defrauding someone, the concern should be we as a nation are becoming what we dreaded most in the 1950s and 1960s, namely communist. The government, most notably Clinton's reign of power has taken away without good reason, i.e. during national emergency or war, our rights to use the radio wave.

While Bill and John carried on like kids over who was the "Top Dawg" technically, creating a minor disturbance on the net groups. He did not do anything that has not been done in past decades, namely sell technology to whom ever would send him money, a captialist enterprise.

I would rather put up with his petty squabbling with John, than have some group of social engineers trying to mold society into a "level playing field" in which you need a lawyer to interpret ones every decision or action.

Ivan Ivanovich

nopspa ; @here.com <@here.com> wrote in message
<37066917.40663985@news.sprint.ca>...
>Quit speculating that was because of this or that, NO ONE knows what
>he sold.
>
>

461. What mods can be done to a Uniden/Bearcat BC2500 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: beavis@e-z.net
Message-ID: <37088A30.61C8@e-z.net>
Source record: 122999

Hi,

I was wondering what kind of mod's can be done on a u.s. BC 2500 XLT.
Is cellular restoration a possibilty?

Thanks,

beavis

462. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@mindspring.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7ea1tq$8tu$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123000
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ha Ha HA HA ....$800..... what a funny joke.
Only thing funnier would be if someone buys it.

Radio Dude wrote in message
<13706-370845DA-53@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
more. Serious offers please! Thank You

463. Re: Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea338$u1a$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, it does no good for them to claim that data slicers are "illegal",
when they just got done granting type acceptance to the Optocom, which has a
data slicer built into it !

However, I take the whole thing with a grain of salt. Why would Ward be the
only one to see and report on this newspaper article, and nobody else in the
country has heard anything about it ?

<orasberries@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7e8u0dfqd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> If you read the section of the article (see below) about Bill take note,
> They state he was arrested for selling an illegal device.
>
> "In a related case, the Secret
> Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
> York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
> intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
> undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
> New York. Details were not readily available yesterday."
>
> I don't believe Bill actually gave any software to decode anything with
this
> product. This means that unless this device is specifically deemed illegal
> under current statue, which it's not, I have a hard time believing that
they
> could actually make these charges stick.
>

464. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea3el$u2m$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Talking to yourself again, Mackalooney ?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3707EFF8.E086D1E@usa.net...
>
>
> allsafe wrote:
> >I remember when your posts were being cancelled at a furious pace and
Bill had voiced
> is >opposition to such actions, even though they were your posts.
>

465. Re: LEGAL DEFENSE FOR CHEEK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea3pv$u4c$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

> compliment of "compassion".
>
> > That's real nice John. You put the silly stuff
> > aside and showed some human quality. That's great.
>
> Naturally! Thank you allsafe!
>
Talking to yourself again, Looney -tune ?

466. Re: CHEEK BUST ARTICLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea43p$u5l$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

You know, I haven`t seen much corroborating evidence, other than you and Ward. I take anything you (or your phony forged "support group") post with a large grain of salt. You`re hardly credible, Looney - tune.

467. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea4cp$u74$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123005

Stepped in it again, huh, Looney ? There is no MDT data posted on Bill`s site, and there never was. I know, because I check in there about once a week. Confused already, and this early on ! Well, you never were one for keeping the facts straight, when you could twist them to your advantage in some way, were you ?

Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:3708de73.15501756@news-server...
> On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 19:38:39 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >BUD- It's on Bill Cheek's "commtronics" web site.
>
> The data slicer plans and kit are, but there is NO MDT data that
> has been captured available for viewing or downloading.
>
>
>
>
> To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

468. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ea4m9$u8b$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123006

The "converters" in question would be data slicers, which just recieved type acceptance, along with the Optocom, a couple of months ago. By the way, there are a lot of inaccuracies in the news article itself, which state that the MDT terminals are "encoded". They are "encoded", all right. INTO PLAIN ASCII !

<hero504@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7e9im7$vo5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> I took a look at Knipschild's web site and the offending information has
> been removed. I looked at Bill Cheek's site and I still see the converters
> listed. If these were illegal wouldn't they have also been removed? Just a
> thought.....
>
>
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

469. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <37086811.10098894@news>
Source record: 123007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,slt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Dear Friends & Fellow Newsgroup Citizens:

You don't have any friends here.

Do us all a favour and go away.

...Andy

470. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37089A16.7BBD755A@ibm.net>
Source record: 123008

MarkH8624 wrote:
> I am a newbie to the scanner scene (previously a SW DX-er). I'm
> considering the purchase of a Yupiteru scanner. Anyone have
> experience with this brand. TIA.

You could start with my site.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

471. Re: anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37089BE4.563B1C01@ibm.net>
Source record: 123009

JTWard01 wrote:
> Am I the Ward to whom you are referring? If so, then I resent your
> implication that anything I posted on the newsgroup was false. I may
> make mistakes now and then, but I don't lie. My reputation as a
> journalist is too important to me.

I too resent the implication to Mr Ward's credibility, which is
beyond reproach, in my opinion.

> I have never been on John Mackey's "side" about anything. I don't
> know John Mackey and have never corresponded with him other than to
> beseech him to knock off the flames. I have openly criticized both he
> and Bill for continuing the flame wars and recently it seems that both
> of them have stopped. Unfortunately, there are a few others who can't
> let things drop and prefer to continue their pissing contest.

Agreed. Too many need to think more (if they are capable of it)
and type less.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

472. WTB: Service Manual R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <370899C9.7BAD9C8B@magicnet.net>
Source record: 123010

Looking for a Service Manual for the Icom R-7100

Thanks

Bill
KE4ATS

473. Re: @How Will Cheek Profit From Bust?@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgibbs3015@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405073804.28814.00002597@ng119.aol.com>
Source record: 123011

>When this blows over, and provided he isn't in the joint (a VERY real
>probability), how do you suppose Cheek will try to turn a profit on it? A
>book,maybe? Guest appearances on Oprah and etc.?
>All suggestions welcome.....

perhaps mr. cheek can work for the hillbilly and the hippie, crushing us
infidels.

474. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405082711.21596.00003594@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123012

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal
>defense
>fund for Mr. Cheek & his wife.

Great! John, since you are organizing this, please post an address where we can send the funds. Also, please send me verification of your donation and I will match, and very possibly, exceed your contribution.

475. scanners in greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George H. Georgiades" <megabag@xan.forthnet.gr>
Message-ID: <3708A985.596F0383@xan.forthnet.gr>
Source record: 123013

I am very new at scanners (I qwn a AOR 8000) and leaving in Greece
does not help me to learn more about this amazing hobby.

So ... can anybody tell me anything about the new "evolution" in
scanners?
I mean ok you can copy some AM, NFM, WFM, SSB and CW transmition (if
you are lucky enough). The amateur band are really full of trafic. The
CBand the same. If you are leaving in an " criminal area" you would be
able to copy some ar many police stations but... out of these what?
What is th new in scanning?
The material I am looking for is
A) military (all type)
B) wefax or any other imaging mode
C) air frequencies (civiliant or military, helicopters, other kind of
communication from plane to ground)
D) other type of air to ground communicators with the proper decoders
if they work with a sound card better)
E) any NATO freqs
F) pager frequencies and proper decoding
G) mobile (or cellular) frequencies and decoding
H) EVERYTHING IS TRANSMITED AND CAN BE RECEIVED and decoded.

I am not looking for too many do I?

But if there is anyone so patient that can answer all these questions
theb he would help a lot of other newbies in scanning (myself too).

Well greeting for now and I am waiting.

George Greece.

476. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Webb <no-spam-bmwebb@tima.com>
Message-ID: <7ead7e$pn3$1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 123014
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Dude

Hahahahha, you're funny.
Tell you what. I was going to offer to take the radio off your hands if you send me the radio and $500. But instead, I'll split the difference with you.

Hahahaha

Have a good one,
Brian

Radio Dude <mgdforty@webtv.net> wrote in message news:13706-370845DA-53@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net...
> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You
>

477. Re: WINTONE 2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7eae5v$cl0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123015

>Does anyone know how to use Wintone and does it even do what I am looking
>for??????????
>
>I found it unreliable and very crashy if you run another programme
underneath even with 50mb of ram !!

ian k.

478. Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eaf67vjm1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was published on Friday, the 2nd. You can also get there by a link from www.knip.com

I could post the page in HTML, but that is frowned upon in this type of group. Anyway, now that we know that the report is correct, we can proceed from there in the case of legal defense funds. I wuld assume that Bill wil have to appear in New York for his arraignment. So we won`t have input from him for a while yet.

479. mdt Whats the deal???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexussix@engrs.unl.edu
Message-ID: <7eafeh$4nv@crcnis3.unl.edu>
Source record: 123017

I have read about the mdt decoders this morning and found somthing very interesting!

Any post w/ a link or reference of where to get mdt decoding soft makes my news reader abort. I am using tin from my unix shell. No other messages are doing this. I find this strange. Anyone encountering this/

--
Ben LeDuc
nexussix@engrs.unl.edu

480. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3708C31C.11F550D0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123018
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And I was criticized for my posting about the outrageous pricing of the Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 scanners? Since this scanner has "16 times" the memory frequencies, why not just sell it for 16 times what it is actually worth. That should be around $4000, U.S.D. of course!

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

481. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekF9pzAu.ELn@netcom.com>
Source record: 123019

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote:
>>From: jmackey@usa.net
>
>>Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal
>>defense
>>fund for Mr. Cheek & his wife.
>
>Great! John, since you are organizing this, please post an address where we can
>send the funds. Also, please send me verification of your donation and I will
>match, and very possibly, exceed your contribution.

I'd suggest WAITING on any sort of legal defense fund. Not that it
might be warranted, but that it doesn't seem to be the proper step at
this time.

I feel that one should wait till there is some sort of legal representation
in place, (perhaps the EFF, if this is truely an EFF sort of interest, will
find a lawyer willing to take this Pro Bono, which also has its costs) then
the law firm can handle the defense fund. (EFF will not, can NOT, legally
oversee a defense fund from what I understand)

I'll tell you this much. I won't even consider sending in any money to
a defense fund managed by someone not involved in the case.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

482. ar 2002 where are the pins on the back for ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nicknl0np@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eaja0$q8q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123020

Hello, Does someone can help me with the pin assignment on the back of the aor ar-2002 ? Is there an discriminator output on it, and if so, which pin ? Any info on the aor 2002 is welcome. Please reply if possible by e-mail. Thanks !!! Nick

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

483. Re: @How Will Cheek Profit From Bust?@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <Vz3O2.8500$fb4.10702@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123021

Many years ago, I was with the sheriff dept. in a small NC county, the local bootleggers, of moonshine, loved for us to bust them, they got there name and address in the newspaper, so free advertising that they could not normally get, many people will see this that did not know who Bill was, so it will be advertising for him.
fallicon wrote in message ...
>x-no-archive: yes
>"OTIAE{ u6pyup(IU{}pfg
>
>When this blows over, and provided he isn't in the joint (a VERY real
>probability), how do you suppose Cheek will try to turn a profit on it? A
>book,maybe? Guest appearances on Oprah and etc.?
>All suggestions welcome.....

484. Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <0G3O2.8505$fb4.8689@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 123022

A question for anyone with more radio tech knowledge than I have, the Pro-2050, has one terminal where all the connectors for the front panel is connected, is there a interface that would let you unplug this, insert a connector, and have control of the scanner from the computer.
Thanks
Barry@Adair.net

485. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3708C872.B2FD3C98@flash.net>
Source record: 123023
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what do you mean by 6400 channels and short wave mod? What frequencies
does it cover now?

Radio Dude wrote:

> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

486. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3708D563.11348B06@earthlink.net>
Source record: 123024
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow!! What a deal !! Would you take $5000 good old American greenbacks for it !!!

Radio Dude wrote:

> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

487. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405114509.03444.00002376@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 123025

>Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
>is not a hoax.

Gee, I'm glad you figured that out.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

488. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405115253.03444.00002379@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 123026

All,

Any defense fund should be set up as a non-interest bearing trust fund at a commercial bank. Donations would go to the bank, not to any individual. The trust officer (an officer of the bank) would be empowered to disburse trust funds when presented with a proper invoice from the law firm representing Bill and Cindy in this matter. Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case) should be desigated for donation to a charity (I don't care which - pick one.)
By establishing the fund in this manner there would be no question as to its legitimacy and no one, including the Cheeks, would profit from it, other than the benefit they would derive from having some of their legal expenses paid.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

489. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405115824.13111.00003667@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123027

>From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01)

> Any defense fund should be set up as a non-interest bearing trust fund at
>a
>commercial bank.

All this talk about a legal defense fund is meant to be a joke. Does anyone really believe that Mackey would collect funds for a Cheek defense (assuming that he even needs one)? Does anyone really believe that there are people who would Mackey money to oversee on Cheek's behalf?

490. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405120029.13111.00003670@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123028

>From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam

>>Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it is not a hoax.

ZW's "substantiation" is the article that everyone else has been referring to. It would have saved him quite a bit of time if he had read it.

>
>Gee, I'm glad you figured that out.

Don't be so hasty. We don't know that he has actually read the article.

491. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <3708e297.2765540@news>
Source record: 123029
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 4 Apr 1999 22:10:50 -0700 (PDT), mgdforty@webtv.net (Radio Dude) wrote:

>I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth >more.

Is this a troll?

492. For Sale Pro 2039 Base Scanner 800 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3708e70d.43984139@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123030

selling a 200 ch pro 2039 Radio Shack Base police scanner
good cond comes with Box,Manual,and a remote Ant...
nice 200 channel has 800 mhz also price is...
$125.00 Shipped USA customers please..
this is a very good deal... very new scanner

493. Re: mdt Whats the deal???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <3708dbe5.4821760@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123031

On 5 Apr 1999 13:56:33 GMT, nexussix@engrs.unl.edu (Ben LeDuc) wrote:

>I have read about the mdt decoders this morning and found somthing very
>interesting!
>
>Any post w/ a link or reference of where to get mdt decoding soft makes my
>news reader abort. I am using tin from my unix shell. No other messages
>are doing this. I find this strange. Anyone encountering this/

Gee. Maybe you should post the URL's you are having problems with.

blink Did the little lightbulb over your head just light up?

494. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5boc@adisfwb.com
Message-ID: <jS5O2.6527$LX.2762863@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 123032
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered cell phones?

-**** Posted from RemarQ, http://www.remarq.com/b ****-
Real Discussions for Real People

495. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmyj39@aol.com
Message-ID: <370ee781.7794074@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123033

On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the
>legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case)

I think you mean at the beginning of proceedings, not the end.

496. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904051722.TAA10316@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 123034

Zombie Wolf (zmbwf@somtel.com) wrote:
: Stepped in it again, huh, Looney ? There is no MDT data posted on Bill`s
: site, and there never was. I know, because I check in there about once a
: week. Confused already, and this early on ! Well, you never were one for
: keeping the facts straight, when you could twist them to your advantage in
: some way, were you ?

It was never on Cheek's site, it was on Knipschild's site. Knipschild
was the first one arrested; later Cheek was because he allegedly sold the
device to Knipschild.

497. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eaqtf$94k$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 123035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3707F1C6.EFA2F1DD@usa.net>...
>Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
>by the
>federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
>will know we are all thinking of him!
>
TO ALL:

Please ignore any postings you find from this individual. He only wants attention. Somehow he thrives on being abused - I think he lives for it.

Do yourselves (and the rest of us) a favor and ignore this troll.

Thanks,
-Phil

498. mdt Whats the deal???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexussix@engrs.unl.edu
Message-ID: <7eat05$4q2@crcnis3.unl.edu>
Source record: 123036

One message I was having trouble with was under the subject
Re: MDT monitor The second reply caused my news reader (tin) to abort.

Many other messages are doing this. Any idea why?

--
Ben LeDuc
nexussix@engrs.unl.edu

499. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7easf1$9j8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 123037

Spot wrote in message
<1D95B4F6343EAF17.43DDE869BA5C2FDE.13F6419BE3EE042D@library-proxy.airnews.net>...
All Bill had to do is leave off the jucy part about MDT decoding in his product description and his
FSK decoders would have been perfectly legal to buy or sell.

???

Who said decoding MDT is illegal? I've spoken to someone at Motorola and the communications officers of three different local police agencies who all say that MDT data is public record. You can drop into any local PD here in Phoenix and get a print out of the whole day's MDT traffic just by asking.

Why would decoding it be illegal then??

No, I think Bill got his hands slapped because the site also mentions decoding frequencies used for commercial PAGERS, a violation of federal law...

Which leads one to wonder: Why did the Secret Service get involved? I wondered about this too, but then it hit me: Suppose you are a spy for an unfriendly nation like Iraq or Yugoslavia, living in Washington D.C., and you got one of these circuits AND the appropriate software (which Bill sent along with his circuit on a disk) and set it to listen in on the Secretary of Defense's capcode. Or even the President's. You could, with a slicer, scanner and laptop, build a real nice capcode collection for all the important people in Washington, which is an easy PGP encrypted email away from being read by Saddam himself. Hell, Winflex even has a hook in it that would allow you to send such an email automatically, with no user intervention required!

Of course, this is just speculation on my part, but it does make sense. I welcome any commentary on it....

-Phil

500. FS*****VHF-UHF receiver $60.00******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF6IKC@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2736-3708F6F4-39@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Realistic model Patrolman Pro-3 VHF-UHF receiver.Covers 30-470mhz.in 3 bands.In ex.cond.Works on 110 or 12vdc (does not have the 12v cord).This is NOT a scanner but a continuous tuneable receiver. No manual.$60.00 + shipping.

73's
Stan kF6IKC@webtv.net (530)241-0843

"Since the key to victory can often be found in that instant when the Warrior commits himself,it is important to be neither early nor late.The Warrior uses timing as his fulcrum to victory." Sun Tzu,Way of the Warrior

501. FS****Bearcat IV 8ch. scanner $25.00****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF6IKC@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2737-3708F82D-19@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Ex.cond. vintage xtal controled 8ch. Bearcat model IV scanner. This is a 110ac or 12vdc (do not have the 110 cord) operated scanner. In the original box with ant.,12vdc cord.mobile bracket,and 8 xtals.No manual.Price is $25.00 + shipping.This is a neat old scanner.

73's
Stan KF6IKC@webtv.net (530)241-0843

"Since the key to victory can often be found in that instant when the Warrior commits himself,it is important to be neither early nor late.The Warrior uses timing as his fulcrum to victory." Sun Tzu,Way of the Warrior

502. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjonsn88@aol.com
Message-ID: <370ff766.11864103@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123040

On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 19:22:09 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous <nobody@replay.com> wrote:

>Zombie Wolf (zmbwf@somtel.com) wrote:
>: Stepped in it again, huh, Looney ? There is no MDT data posted on Bill`s
>: site, and there never was. I know, because I check in there about once a
>: week. Confused already, and this early on ! Well, you never were one for
>: keeping the facts straight, when you could twist them to your advantage in
>: some way, were you ?
>
>It was never on Cheek's site, it was on Knipschild's site. Knipschild
>was the first one arrested; later Cheek was because he allegedly sold the
>device to Knipschild.

No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????

503. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEVIN CLAYTON <kevclay@mgl.ca>
Message-ID: <7eb15b$13b$1@goblin.uunet.ca>
Source record: 123041

in canada cell phones and cordless are not considered private. in fact
they actually warn you that you can be monitered
kevin

W. Dean Milner <wdmilner.ns@auracom.com> wrote in message
news:37086e43.5049365@news.auracom.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> "KEVIN CLAYTON" <kevclay@mgl.ca> wrote:
>
> >i am not sure of where you are but here in ontario canada you can listen
to
> >anything you like . here it is illegal too repeat what you here and
>
> Except cellular and cordless phones.
>
>
>
>
> Dean
>
> Note: remove the (.ns) from the user id before emailing.

504. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Devilman <Devilman@spam.wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <7eb1qj$jnl8$1@reader2.wxs.nl>
Source record: 123042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

hu?

505. Anybody want a couple of junkers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgiminny <jsterett@stargate.net>
Message-ID: <ft8O2.828$Bd2.264951@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 123043

Have two old scanners..a Bearcat 250..it's locked up, no power cord... I may have the manual and the whip antenna for it..the other one is a Bearcat 210..it powers up & scans but all I can pull in on it is NOAA..Don't know if anyone would want these for parts, fix-up,bookends or what ever..but..$15.00 for both(that's for both, not each) + shipping from Pa.
Any interest, contact me...jgiminny

506. SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mgdforty@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7323-3709108B-64@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123044
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

507. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruce <bpk@home.com>
Message-ID: <37091D9C.E397EA02@home.com>
Source record: 123045
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What's wrong with it? Sounds like it should cost more than that? Is shipping and a Police Call book included? I love a deal! Do you know Tom Kasey?

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

508. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <j39O2.179$BA4.2939427@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 123046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't see anything in the N.Y. Paper called out in this N.G. about Bill Cheek.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-2260
Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7eaf67vjm1@garnet.mint.net>...
>Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
>is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island
>newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was
>published on Friday, the 2nd. You can also get there by a link from
>www.knip.com
>
>I could post the page in HTML, but that is frowned upon in this type of
>group. Anyway, now that we know that the report is correct, we can proceed
>from there in the case of legal defense funds. I wuld assume that Bill wil
>have to appear in New York for his arraignment. So we won`t have input from
>him for a while yet.
>
>

509. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405162852.21595.00003777@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 123047

>From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)

>
>No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
>NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????

Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either. Here's a direct quote:

Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily available yesterday."

Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a small point, but if you're going to bitch about other people's accuracy, then you should hold to the same standard, no?

510. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <37091d69.1834389@news.icx.net>
Source record: 123048
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 05 Apr 1999 20:26:42 GMT, bruce <bpk@home.com> wrote:

>What's wrong with it? Sounds like it should cost more than that? Is
>shipping and a Police Call book included? I love a deal! Do you know Tom
>Kasey?
>
>Radio Dude wrote:
>>
>> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
>> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
>> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
>> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
>> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
>> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

WOW!!!!!!!!!!!!! Count me in!!!!
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

511. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37092027.4D6D0A3C@netxn.com>
Source record: 123049

No, I think Mr. Ward said what was correct. If there is any money left
at the end of the procedings ... meaning after the case against Bill and
Cindy is dropped.

Mike Derryberry

"Dr. James" wrote:
>
> On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
> >Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the
> >legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case)
>
> I think you mean at the beginning of proceedings, not the end.

512. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37092171.87BA92F7@netxn.com>
Source record: 123050
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$675 for an old Pro2005. I'm sorry but that is way too much money for
that radio. Someone needs to have there head examined.

Oh well, as they say ... there is a sucker born every minute.

Glad you got that much for the radio.

Mike Derryberry

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
> mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
> to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
> for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

513. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruce <bpk@home.com>
Message-ID: <37092619.24AB8170@home.com>
Source record: 123051
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would not get my hopes up till you have the money in your hand as any scanner nut knows it'a overpriced.If you do get paid than you struck gold. Got milk?

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
> mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
> to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
> for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

514. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eb8lg$2ue$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well then, Looney - tune ! IF Cheek is "In the federal pokey", as you claim, then it kinda proves that your contention that HE is ME Is a CROCK, now DOESN`T IT ?????

> Wrong again. I have never trespassed as you stated & Cheek NEVER documented
> anything like that as you claim. If you still think Cheek documented something
> like
> that then have him post it! Oh, I forgot, you can't do that because CHEEK IS
> IN THE FEDERAL "POKEY" ON RECENT CHARGES!!!!!!!!!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

515. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lil Abner <vinividivicci@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7ebe78iei1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123053
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

If you need to have both hands on the wheel at all times then how do you
propose to shift?? you Yankees are always amazing me.

In article <7e8vmt$eof@chronicle.concentric.net>,
"CitySlicker" <mannod@concentric.net> wrote:
> Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?
>
> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
> :http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
> :
> :
> :--
> :This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> :Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> :that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> :Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
>
>

Do I??? Do I really????

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

516. Re: anybody substantiate cheek charges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <37092ff6.958320@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 123054

On Mon, 05 Apr 1999 07:17:56 -0400, Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

>JTWard01 wrote:
>> Am I the Ward to whom you are referring? If so, then I resent your
>> implication that anything I posted on the newsgroup was false. I may
>> make mistakes now and then, but I don't lie. My reputation as a
>> journalist is too important to me.
>
>I too resent the implication to Mr Ward's credibility, which is
>beyond reproach, in my opinion.
>
>> I have never been on John Mackey's "side" about anything. I don't
>> know John Mackey and have never corresponded with him other than to
>> beseech him to knock off the flames. I have openly criticized both he
>> and Bill for continuing the flame wars and recently it seems that both
>> of them have stopped. Unfortunately, there are a few others who can't
>> let things drop and prefer to continue their pissing contest.
>
>Agreed. Too many need to think more (if they are capable of it)
>and type less.
>

Amen to all above.

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

517. Re: FS****Bearcat IV 8ch. scanner $25.00****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Car61 <unitz61@dotnet.com>
Message-ID: <370930D9.D9BE3755@dotnet.com>
Source record: 123055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

KF6IKC@webtv.net wrote:
>
> Ex.cond. vintage xtal controled 8ch. Bearcat model IV scanner. This is a
> 110ac or 12vdc (do not have the 110 cord) operated scanner. In the
> original box with ant.,12vdc cord.mobile bracket,and 8 xtals.No
> manual.Price is $25.00 + shipping.This is a neat old scanner.
>
> 73's
> Stan KF6IKC@webtv.net (530)241-0843
>

For what it's worth I have had one of these running continuously since
1976 and its still going strong. Bought it new.

John

518. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <370a31d4.1436859@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 123056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 16:30:13 -0400, "Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications"
<dat2comm@toad.net> wrote:

>I don't see anything in the N.Y. Paper called out in this N.G. about Bill
>Cheek.

It was in the Friday Apr. 2 edition of NY Newsday. It's also been
posted in it ENTIRETY several times in many of the radio ng's. As has
the complete URL which takes you directly to the article.

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

519. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <370a33c4.16621231@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 123057
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mgdforty@webtv.net (Radio Dude) wrote:

>I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
>mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
>to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
>for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

Barnum was a pessimist.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

520. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Abel.J.Erasmus" <agerus@mweb.co.za>
Message-ID: <37090517.AEC7C146@mweb.co.za>
Source record: 123058

MarkH8624 wrote:

> I am a newbie to the scanner scene (previously a SW DX-er). I'm considering the
> purchase of a Yupiteru scanner. Anyone have experience with this brand. TIA.

I am the happy and proud owner of a yipiteru mvt 7100 scanner and
I have no complaints regarding the reception and sensitivity of the scanner There
is a good review at (http://www.jaiviation.co.uk)
They have tested it against a lot of leading brand scanners and it came out tops in
nearly all frequency bands they tested
I would recommend it to anyone who is interested in this little scanner!!

Regards
Abel.J.Erasmus

521. Re: scanner ng

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: rknox@pnx.com
Message-ID: <370934bc.2773985@news.pnx.com>
Source record: 123059

162.550 is for the National Weather Service. If yoy can pick it up
listen to it when the weather looks bad.

On Fri, 12 Mar 1999 16:49:53 -0600 (CST), justmrzor@webtv.net (john
mcclure) wrote:

>i thought this was for scanner radios
>all i seen so far is ppl's names what is this word comim to it's
>turnin into a ng for rush limbaugh i guess no on knows what
>162.550 mhz is everybody is worried about this macky guy

522. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37093e49.4589710@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123060
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gee, now I don't feel so bad, especially since I sold my Bridge in NY
for $875,332.51.

On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 12:35:39 -0700 (PDT), mgdforty@webtv.net (Radio
Dude) wrote, and I quote:

>I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
>mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
>to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
>for your rude commets but i'm happy now!
>

523. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405191150.05484.00002773@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 123061

>
>No, I think Mr. Ward said what was correct. If there is any money left
>at the end of the procedings ... meaning after the case against Bill and
>Cindy is dropped.
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>
>
>"Dr. James" wrote:
>>
>> On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>>
>> >Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the
>> >legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case)
>>
>> I think you mean at the beginning of proceedings, not the end.
>
>

Mike,

The guy was trying to make a funny. He was suggesting that there wouldn't be ANY donations to such a fund, so there wouldn't be any money at the beginning, much less at the end. I wonder if he'll think it's so funny is Uniden scraps their plans to market a digital scanner because the feds have decided that any digital transmission is "not readily accessible to the public," therefore protected.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

524. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405191602.05484.00002775@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 123062

The possibility of a legal defense fund is NO joke. Now, the chances of
John Mackey being put in charge are about the same as his winning to Nobel
Prize forengineering, but once we find out exactly what Bill did to bring about
these charges a legal defense fund may be a real possibility.
Think about it. If the feds are going to declare all digital modes as
"protected" then you can kiss Uniden's plans to market an APCO-25 compatible
scanner goodbye.
Surely the ASCII used by MDTs is as open as the APCO code could ever hope to
be, but that didn't stop them from busting Bill.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

525. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: J EDGAR MCDERMOTT <ns5n@erols.com>
Message-ID: <370946F1.B8DD0B75@erols.com>
Source record: 123063
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Great April Fools joke...

--
J Edgar/NS5N sends>>>

526. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990405191933.05484.00002780@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 123064

>From: malexder@aol.com (MAlexder)
>Date: 04-05-1999 11:00 EST
>
>>From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
>
>>>Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words,
>it
>is not a hoax.
>
>ZW's "substantiation" is the article that everyone else has been referring
>to.
>It would have saved him quite a bit of time if he had read it.
>
>>
>>Gee, I'm glad you figured that out.
>
>Don't be so hasty. We don't know that he has actually read the article.

Look malexder, don't get me in the middle of your flame war. The guy challenged my veracity and I responded to him, period. I don't need your help, just leave me out of your pissing contests.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

527. Help!Opto R11 Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Williams <ac6tsXX@n2.net>
Message-ID: <37094d42.0@wagner.n2.net>
Source record: 123065

Does anyboby in this group know if the Optoelectronics R11 Interceptor can be moded for continuous receive? No blocked freqs. And where I can get the mods? Also,is the R10 blocked? I'm talking about domestic models.

To reply please remove "X"s from my address. Thanks for reading.

John

---/---
---/---
----/----
-----/-----

AC6TS John Williams
LAT. 33.06.15 LON. -117.03.36 7.030Mhz CW
DM13KC 14.030Mhz CW

528. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Donnelly <airone@banet.net>
Message-ID: <370962B3.3E052585@banet.net>
Source record: 123066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK DONT KNOW WHAT PEOPLE ARE LOOKING AT the new york article has keith knipschild being areested

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving records and to send emergency information they might not want to broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing surveillance or a planned search.
An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web site had 93 pages of police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal histories and warrants, motor-vehicle checks, medical information about victims, and witness statements. Nassau police confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that day.
Investigators say a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who could not be reached for comment yesterday.
Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in size from 18 inches to 12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower were discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the disposition of the case were not available.
Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes information of interest to electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web sites about scanners, satellites and dishes, and amateur radio.
Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are

password-protected.

Copyright 1999, Newsday Inc.
Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site.,
04-02-1999, pp A31.

BILL

529. KS/MO Column 04-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <370952FD.E2E50CF9@qni.com>
Source record: 123067

KS/MO Column 04-99

Sorry the column is so late this month. There wasn't much to go into it until near the end of March, when a bunch of good stuff appeared. At first I thought the April column was going to be shorter than Dick Gephardt's eyebrows, but it ended up being a real goodie. Before we get into that, I thought I'd bore you with the next part.

Lenexa PD has some of the best equipment and people in the KC Metro area. We have a tank, a bus, a great radio system, a big new building, our own well equipped/staffed radio shop, plenty of cops, lots of cars - including a Camaro Z-28 Police Car - and to top it all off, we have ME! Speaking of me, you can't get anyone around here to talk about my finely honed dispatch skills, no doubt to keep me from getting a big head. To mask their envy, my peers usually shake their heads and roll their eyes when asked about my job performance.
My boss, in an obvious effort to keep my co-workers from developing an inferiority complex, will from time to time pretend to be irritated at me and drag me into his office. There the act it continued, since the others can observe us through the windows. It involves much arm waving, teeth gnashing and bulging of veins in the neck. Very realistic on his part. He deserves an Emmy Award for the feeling he puts into his role. He is so convincing that the "incidents," (accidentally, I'm sure) end up being reflected in my annual performance evaluation.
I brought up that fact during one of our sessions, which gave him the opportunity to display an even broader range of his Thespian talents by stomping his feet and flinging papers into the air. I'm sure the other dispatchers were impressed with his performance, especially the part where he mentioned the unemployment statistics for the country. What a jokester!
Personally, I feel that he was overacting a bit, but I didn't think I should mention it at the time. Actors can be very temperamental.
(Just joking, Grump!)
But I digress. What I wanted to mention is that we bought some NEW toys. It was a whole pile of TrunkTracker base scanners. Since KHP and Johnson County went to 800 MHz, we were unable to monitor them for quite a while. Now we've got them covered. The radio guys did the job right, too. They are rack-mounted in the equipment room, and the audio comes through the console. Very professional, though I think they hid them way back in the equipment room so I can't dink with them while I'm dispatching.
Our neighbor to the east, Overland Park, utilizes an Ericsson trunked system. A while back we bought an Ericsson radio and had OP bless it so we could rebroadcast their dispatch channel on a low power frequency (460.2625.) We get it in dispatch, and the cops listen to it on the

scanners in the police cars. With a couple of watts and an antenna about 30 feet off the ground, you can pick it up fine about 30 miles awayï¿½as long you are in an airplane at 5,000 feet. Otherwise expect a reception radius of several miles. Now we can monitor all the good stuff. I feel whole again!

What's up for this issue? Here's a list:
1. KS Statewide EMS freqs starting to appear
2. Outstanding web site for McPherson County EDACS system (Ericsson 800 Trunked)
3. Well, maybe I was wrong about monitoring digital transmissions (See 03-99 column)
4. Fed Stuff
5. Junction City profileï¿½quite detailed, too
6. Remote broadcast in Lawrence
7. Topeka/Shawnee County talkgroupsï¿½also very detailed
8. BPU/KCK Emergency Mgt. Info
9. Tac channels/repeaters/talkaround
10. Lees Summit MO FD question

---

1. Bob (The Frequency Sleuth) has been keeping an ear on the unused 800 MHz NPSPAC frequencies licensed to Kansas. Each tower usually has 3 frequencies assigned. One is KHP, one is KDOT, and the third had been rumored to be statewide EMS. Some of the "third frequencies" are becoming active. Here is a rundown from Bob's e-mail messages.
"The mysterious 'third frequency' on the Lawrence, KS highway patrol tower finally came to life in the past day or so. 866.150 (dpl 720) is being used for communication regarding patient transfers on an inter-county basis. I monitored considerable testingï¿½and heard an ambulance transmit a status report on a patient to ï¿½Lawrence Memorial Hospital. After receiving the report, they advised the ambulance to 'go to med 7', so the med channels are still operational there and 866.150 seems to be replacing either HEAR on 155.34 or 462.95 and 462.975. The freq is equipped with a phone patch. Thanks to contributors in western KS we've known for awhile that they were putting EMS comms on 800, but this is the first confirmation in NE KS. The Bonner Springs and Olathe towers have a third freq assigned also (in addition to KHP and KDOT -- the other 2 channels), but I have not heard any real comms on them."
Thanks, Bob.

The freqs for the towers have been posted a number of times on the net and in the column, but it never hurts to do it again. I'll try to get them in next month's column.

---

2. Justin has a web page for the McPherson County EDACS system. It is really very detailed. I've seen a bunch of pages in my time, and some are so frilly and complicated that they are difficult to navigate. This one is just right. I like it. Check it out at:
http://homepage.netspaceonline.com/~jreed/macedacs.htm

---
3. Last month I went off on a tangent about digital scanners, HR-514, black boxes, and related topics. My feelings were that someone would come up with a black box that would connect to existing scanners and allow digital signals to be decoded. Speaking with a fellow that is in the know, I discovered that these types of digital signals are not FM (frequency modulation.) Since scanners are FM, they wouldn't properly receive the digital signal. It was described as listening to a single side-band signal on an FM radio. You would hear something, but it wouldn't be "useable" for decoding. Well, crap!
If I sound like I am trying to convince myself here, it is probably true, but follow me on this one. Numerous manufacturers have computer-controlled radios, like the WinRadio and the Opto units. Let's say one of them builds a black box that has the receive section of a digital capable radio and nothing else. No audio amps, no logic board for determining frequencyï¿½essentially just the part needed that current scanners lack. Connect it to a PC to control all the functions and frequency, and send the output of the box to an external audio amp. The logic section of a scanner is essentially a computer, and any PC ought to have a enough smarts and computing power to handle that job.
Here is another angle. Instead of a black box, why not develop a board that installs inside a BC895, for instance? The board could electronically replace parts of the 895 (like Opto boards replace the CPU and a few other parts in other radios,) and add the sections needed to properly receive the digital signal. I don't know if that would be considered "modifying" a radio or not. Seems to me that wouldn't be any different than putting a luggage rack on top of your car. You've added something to it, but you haven't modified the car itself.
Do you think such a thing would fall under the umbrella of the goofy scanner laws? By itself, the black box/board can't do a thingï¿½it would just sit there like an expensive paperweight. It doesn't decode digital signals - which would be done with software in a computer. If the Radio Police kicked in your door and asked if you had a scanner capable of decoding digital signals, you could say "No" and be completely truthful. You have a PC that does the decodingï¿½the scanner just receives the signal. I may be splitting hairs, but it worked for Slick Willie!
Sounds simple, doesn't it? It sounds simple to build a space shuttle, too. "Just strap a couple of big rocket engines to a large airplane and shoot that sucker into space."
If a manufacturer does market such a device, someone will surely rub the government's nose in it and they'll end up passing another law prohibiting such devices. There is always someone that farts in the hot-tub and ruins it for everybody else!
There! I fell much better. Where can I buy one of those boards now?

---
4. Joe mentioned hearing interesting traffic on 418.9000. Some of you came back with the following:

Joe Kantola wrote:
"Joe, I think that is the D.E.A. Strike Force Channel 2. Its stats are:
RX 418.900 156.7Hz
TX 416.325 156.7Hz

Also try:
D.E.A. Strike Force Channel 7
RX 418.975 156.7 Hz
TX 417.025 156.7 Hz

Bill heard some probable Secret Service traffic on 165.375 (Charlie channel).

Bob (The Frequency Sleuth) keeps a handful of government frequencies in his BC-9000. It will count the number of hits on any frequency, and he has had some activity on 163.775, which is listed as an Immigration and Naturalization Service allocation. He mentioned that he has always been gone when it was active, so he can't say just what was transmitted-voice, data, etc.
More from Bob: "Some time ago someone suggested listening on 120.375 for DOJ (Department of Justice) aircraft. I've monitored the frequency for a number of weeks now and can confirm hearing several such flights. At least in the Kans. City area the freq is NOT used for surveillance of any kind. But I do hear various aircraft signing callsigns like 'JUSTICE xxx' (where x is a numeral). They discuss arrival times and casual topics. I don't know if they are flights by DOJ personnel or "con-air" sorts of flights or both. They all seem to be inter-city or interstate flights, not just a local a/c going up and flying around. Other (I assume civilian) a/c also use the same frequency."

Thanks for the tips, guys.
---
5. Mark sends in the following information on Junction City.

Junction City / Geary County runs a combined 911 dispatch center at the Junction City Police Station. The call sign for dispatch is 300.

Junction City Police Dispatch 460.100 PL 162.2
Junction City Police Patrol Tac 460.0125 (portables)
Junction City Police Invest Tac 460.4625 (portables)
Drug Task Force 460.6375 (portables)

Call signs for PD units are 200 series. There are 4 sector cars 210 (northwest), 220 (southwest), 230 (southeast) and 240 (northwest). 206 or 207 denotes the shift commander (206 for a LT., 207 for a Sgt.). Once in a while an extra car is out and will rove using 250.

Geary County Sheriff Dispatch 460.500 PL 162.2
Geary County Sheriff Tac 460.250 (Mobiles / Portables)
Geary County Rural Fire Paging 155.865
and County Road & Bridge
County Fire Trucks 39.580 (old statewide lowband)

Call signs for Sheriff Dept. are 400 series. 401 is the Sheriff and so on through the chain of command. Detectives have the 490's. They even have a boat on Lake Milford.

For County Fire monitor Sheriff Dispatch as Deputies double as firemen. County Fire Department runs with a main station in Junction City and 9 other stations throughout the county. Call signs are 100's (such as Truck 101).

Junction City Fire Department 460.625 PL 162.2
Med Channel 7 463.150 PL 192.8

Junction City Fire uses the 500's. 501 is fire chief etc. There are 2 fire stations (one downtown and a new one west of town near I-70). JCFD also runs countywide EMS service and extrication equipment on accidents.

Grandview Plaza Police Dispatch 460.125
Junction City High School Sec. 461.7125 (Portables)
Junction City Middle School Sec. 464.3250 (Portables)

Outstanding, Mark!
---
6. Bill, while poking around the 160s band, discovered the following:
"I caught a couple of remote broadcasts last night here in Lawrence during the KU-MU baseball game. They were 161.670 used by KJHK 90.7FM and 161.730 used by KLWN 1320AM. I didn't find a CTCSS and didn't make it through the huge list of DCS. Maybe next time."
It pays to scan that band once in a while. Thanks for the info!
---
7. John sent his latest batch of talk-groups for the Topeka/County trunked system.

Topeka Police Department:
3856=1-East
3888=2-West
3824=3-Info.
3632=4-Car to Car
3760=5-Tac 1
3792=6-Tac 2
3472=7-Tac 3
3728=8-Training
3664=9-CID
3600=10 Narcotics/SCAT
3536=11 Animal Control
3568=12 USD 501 Security
5712=13 Washburn Univ. Security,
3504=14 Secure 1 (Encrypted)
3696=15 Secure 2 (Encrypted)
5328=16 Secure 3 (Encrypted).

Topeka Fire Department:
3408=1-Fire 1
3376=2-Fire 2
3440=3-Fire 3
592=4-Admin.
3216=5-Training
3280=6-Investigations & Inspections
3312=7-Operations Secure (Encrypted)

Topeka Fire Department Station Paging:
6224=Station 1
6256=Station 2
6288=Station 3
6320=Station 4
6352=Station 5
6384=Station 6
6416=Station 7
6448=Station 8
6480=Station 9
6512=Station 10
6544=Station 11
6576=Station 12.

Topeka Environmental Services Department:
5744=1-Main.

Topeka Parks and Recreation Department:
5776=1-Main.

Topeka Metropolitan Transit Authority:
6864=1-Main 1
6896=2-Main 2
6928=3-The Lift
6960=4 Evening and Sunday Service
6992=5 Maintenance
7024=6 Supervisors

Shawnee County Sheriff:
4528=1-Main
4560=2-Warrants
4592=3-Car to Car
4624=4-Detectives
4656=5-Vice/Narcotics
4688=6-Process
4720=7-Secure (Encrypted)
4752=8-Animal Control

Shawnee County Emergency Management:
2544=1-Primary
2576=2-Secondary
2736=3-Common
2672=4-Disaster 1
2704=5-Disaster 2

2608=6-Spills
2640=7-Storms

Shawnee County Jail:
5136=1-County Jail.

Shawnee County Parks and Recreation:
5360=1-Park 1
5392=2-Park 2.

Shawnee County Public Works:
5872=1-Main
5904=2-Dist. 1
5936=3-Dist. 2
5968=4-Dist. 3
6000=5-Dist. 4
6032=6-Bridge
6034=7-Traffic
6096=8-Secure (Encrypted).

County/City Commons:
4848=1-Event 1
2096=2-Event 2
4912=3-Event 3
4816=4-Command Secure (Encrypted)

Sheriff/Police/Fire Emergency:
5424=1-TPD Emergency
5456=2-TFD Emergency
5488=3-SNSH Emergency

Kansas Attorney General:
1104=1-Criminal
1168=2-Medical Fraud
1200=3-Admin.
5520=4-ATG 4
5552=5-ATG 5
5584=6-ATG 6
5616=7-ATG 7

Kansas Capitol Police:
720=1-Main
1136=2-Common
752=3-Car to Car,
48=4-Administration
80=5-Investigation
784=6-Governor's Security.

Kansas Department of Corrections:
1360=1-Primary
1392=2-Secondary
1520=3-Common
1424=4-Security 1

1456=5-Security 2
1488=6-Maintenance,
1552=7-Administration.

Kansas Department of Transportation:
5296=1-Headquarters
5264=2-Common
5200=3-Maintenance
5232=4-Construction.

Kansas Department of Revenue:
1264=1-A1
976=2-A2
1008=3-A3
1040=4-A4
1072=5-A5.

Kansas Bureau of Investigation:
2064=1-Primary
2096=2-Secondary
2288=3-Common
2256=4-Investigation
2192=5-Narcotics
2128=6-Security 1
2160=7-Security 2
2224=8-Administration.

Kansas Highway Patrol:
144=1-Main
176=2-Car to Car
336=3-Common
304=4-GHQ
176=5-Inquiry
240=6-Aircraft
208=7-Administration.

Kansas Security Commission:
2320=1-Primary
2352=2-Secondary
2512=3-Common
2480=4-Investigation
2384=5-Security 1
2416=6-Security 2
2448=7-Administration.

Kansas Social and Rehabilitation Services:
1968=1-Primary
2000=2-Common
2032=3-Administration.

Kansas Fire Marshall:
3024=1-Primary

3056=2-Secondary
3184=3-Common
3152=4-Investigation
3088=5-Security
3120=6-Administration.

Kansas Civil Defense:
1584=1-Primary
1040=2-Secondary
1776=3-Common
1712=4-Disaster 1
1744=5-Disaster 2
1648=6-Spills
1680=7-Storms

Youth Center at Topeka:
944=1-Security
4144=2-School
4112=3-Youth Services
1680=4-Recreation
4208=5-Landscaping
4240=6-Administration.

Kansas Wildlife and Parks:
3920=1-Primary
3952=2-Secondary
4080=3-Common
4016=4-Tac 1
4048=5-Tac 2
3984=6-Administration

U.S. Marshall:
3248

V.A. Police Department:
5648

Federal Bureau of Investigation:
1808=1-Primary
1840=2-Secondary
1200=3-Security 1
1904=4-Security 2

Emergency Medical Service:
4304=1-AMR
4336=2
4368=3
4400=4
4432=5
4464=6

Rural Fire Departments:
5680=1-Common Disp.

2960=2-Auburn 1
2992=3-Auburn 2
2928=4-Dover 1
2768=5-Dover 2
2800=6-Mission 1
2864=7-Mission 2
2896=8-Rossville 1
2832=9-Rossville 2
4944=10-Silver Lake 1
4976=11-Silver Lake 2
5008=12-Soldier 1
5040=13-Soldier 2
5808=14-St. Marys 1
5840=15-St. Marys 2
5072=16-Topeka Tecumseh 1
5104=17-Topeka Tecumseh 2

Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority:
6736=1-Main
6768=2-Patrol
6800=3-Maintenance

Topeka Tecumseh Township Roads:
6640=1
6672=2.

USD 450:
5904=1-Main.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe:
6832=1-Main.

Test Radios/Radio Maintenance:
1296=1-Test 1
1328=2-Test 2
1232=3-Radio Service

ANNOUNCEMENT GROUPS
Topeka Police Department: 624
Topeka Fire Department: 464
Topeka Fire Department Station Paging: 6224
Topeka Metropolitan Transit Authority: 7056
Shawnee County Sheriff: 4496
Shawnee County Emergency Management: 528
Shawnee County Public Works: 6160
County/City Commons: 4784
Attorney General: 848
Capitol Police: 112
Department of Corrections: 400
Department of Transportation: 5168
Department of Revenue: 464
K.B.I.: 432

K.H.P.: 1616
Security Commission: 880
S.R.S.: 688
Fire Marshall: 912
Civil Defense: 496
Youth Center: 368
Wildlife & Parks: 816
F.B.I.: 688
E.M.S.: 4272
Rural Fire Depts.: 560
Airport Authority: 6704
Topeka Tecumseh Township Roads: 6608

Trunking Frequencies: 856.2125, 856.4875, 856.7375, 857.2125, 857.4875,
857.7375, 858.2125, 858.4875, 858.7375, 859.2125, 859.4875, 859.7375,
860.2125, 860.4875, and 860.7375.

Conventional Frequencies:
866.0125=Mutual Aid Call
866.5125=Mutual Aid Tact 1
867.0125=Mutual Aid Tact 2 (Direct)
867.5125=Mutual Aid Tact 3
868.0125=Mutual Aid Tact 4 (Direct)
867.7375=Shawnee County Courthouse
867.8500=Shawnee County Itinerant
855.2375=Van Repeater (Direct Fail)
868.675=KHP Blue (Eskridge Tower/Bonner Springs/Xenia)
868.8625=KHP Violet (Lawrence Tower/Buffalo)
867.9375=KHP Green (Waverly Tower/Wamego Tower/Independence)
866.7125 KHP Yellow (Horton Tower/Huron/Parker/Sedan)
866.6875=KHP Red (Blue Rapids/Olathe/Virgil)
868.9250=KHP Brown (Corning/Emporia/West Mineral)
868.6125=(Paola/Severy)
868.6125=KHP Gray
855.9625 Intra-Agency Car to Car.
Great list, John. We appreciate such detail.

---
8. Chuck mentioned that the BPU (KCK) Emergency Management talkgroup (By
the way, what is that talkgroup?) is linked to the local government
frequency of 155.895. If you don't have trunking capabilities, this is
your ticket to hearing important stuff from Wyandotte County. Thanks,
Chuck.

---
9. Philip and I have been talking about tac channels, talk-around freqs,
PL tones lately. He discovered that there may be some agencies in the
UHF band (453s and 460s) that might be using a unique way of
transmitting in a more discreet manner than the usual repeater
talkaround. Let's start with a basic lesson in radio systems.
Normal repeater usage is when a radio transmits on an "input"

frequency, like 465.325. I'm using Lenexa's frequencies for example only. The repeater receives that signal and repeats it (hence, the name "repeater") with more power on the "output" frequency of 460.325. All radios receive the output, which is what you normally put in your scanner.
Talk-around is when the radio transmits on the output - 460.325. The repeater doesn't see that signal, and remains mute. The radio's receive frequency is also 460.325, just like in repeater mode. The radios have lower power than the repeater, so the range is drastically reduced, but for car/car stuff it works great, and doesn't tie up the repeater. It operates much the way a CB radio does, but no "Good Buddy" stuff! If the radio is close enough, you will hear it on your scanner.
Then there are PL tones. Those are subaudible tones sent with each transmission. The radios and repeaters are configured to ignore any signal that doesn't have that PL tone. If a neighboring agency uses the same frequency with a different PL, the 2 systems won't activate each other.
With that in mind, here is what Philip came up with. Rumor has it that some agencies are using the input frequency as talkaround. It seems that they transmit on 465.XXX using a PL tone different than the tone the repeater uses. The repeater doesn't hear that transmission because of the wrong PL tone, and therefore doesn't repeat the it. The radios are programmed to receive the 465.XXX frequency with the new PL tone. There you have it! Another car/car channel which few people would think to monitor.
To listen, you don't need to know everything we just talked about. Just punch in all the input frequencies to the repeaters in your area. You will probably get a lot of traffic that is actually being repeated, but there could be something interesting in between.

Thanks, Philip, for bringing this to our attention.
---
10. Last, I have noticed that Lees Summit MO FD is on their old UHF channels, like they have been for years. Does anyone know if they are going to use the new trunked system mentioned in the last column?

That is all I had for this month. I must say "Thanks" to Doyle for some neat non-scanner stuff he sent to me, though. I only wished I could remember what it was! (Inside jokeï¿½)

See you all next month.

Andy the Barber

530. What a jerk.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ebjv9hkr1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 123068

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote in message
<7ebes6ivj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

> Let's start a fund to buy a carton of cigarettes for the first inmate
>to "welcome" him to prison life. Cheek always had that particular fixation.
>Now he can live his fantasy.

A classic example of exactly what is wrong with certain individuals on this NG. Appalling. I'd sure like to see how you'd feel if the tables were turned and it was YOU facing criminal charges and Bill or someone else kept making statements like this.

Laugh about it all you want "williarsch", but while you and Mackey (one and the same perhaps? I wonder) are cackling with glee over this incident, Congress is using cases such as this to take away our rights, one at a time.

I'm speculating here, but it seems to me that Keith was arrested because he decided to post MDT data on his website (a violation of the ECPA of 1934, and a really stupid thing to do anyhow) using one of Bill's devices. This means that Bill is being charged as an accessory. Think about it - our federal government is saying that selling a product is illegal if a customer can use it to violate the law. Yet do the CEO's of all the major automakers get arrested when someone driving their car is involved in a DUI or hit and run accident? Is the CEO of Smith and Wesson hauled in on an arrest warrant when someone uses one of their handguns to rob a bank? Following this same logic, wouldn't they too be "accessories" in these crimes? YOUR government is doing this "williarsch" and they're targeting harmless hobbyists like you and me in doing so. Still find this funny?

What about in the future? Suppose the government wins its case against the Cheeks. Then what? Maybe the next time someone takes a scanner with them when they rob a bank, the dealer who sold the scanner goes to jail too - someone who is just making a modest living selling a commodity like everyone else. Maybe Congress will pass a law requiring all scanner owners to register their receivers and pass a background check, like is the case with handguns. Maybe in a few years, a law gets passed banning scanners altogether, and because you registered yours, they come knocking to collect it, and "Oh while we're here, we're going to look around your house for anything else that might be illegal, using this search warrant that a judge has issued, because he/she feels that someone who owns a scanner will probably use it to commit a crime." Or maybe they'll document all the frequencies you have in your scanner and see whether any of them are used illegally. God help you if you have any pager, cellular or MDT frequencies in it. One agent will look at you and smile: "Well lookie here... looks like someone modified their receiver for discriminator output. Didn't you know that under the ECPA of 1999 that's illegal now? Heh, heh.. let me read you

your 'rights', sucker..."

Oh yeah, absolutely hysterical isn't it? I'm laughing as I type this because I just think it's so damned funny - NOT!

You may think the above to be extreme, but this thing with Bill and Keith is just the beginning of the end. Everything I've said here is possible. Think about it, just 15 short years ago, you could legally monitor a cell phone.

I sincerely ask, now that you have read my post, to go back and read it again. Then read it a third time. Let the words sink in, instead of crafting some half assed attempt at a flame. Never mind the fact that it was Bill Cheek who got arrested. Pretend for a moment that it was someone else from this group, or even better, someone you never even heard of. Read it and think about what our government is doing to this hobby. If you still think all this is so funny, then you are truly ignorant - exactly what the government wants, a mindless tax-paying sheep.

Done ranting for now,
-Phil

531. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Donnelly <airone@banet.net>
Message-ID: <37096471.C3781547@banet.net>
Source record: 123069

ALSO if anyone in the califorina area seen a article on cheeks actually beeing arrested please post the paper Dont belive the new york papers!!! they make alot of mistakes

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On 5 Apr 1999 20:28:52 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>
> >>From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)
> >
> >>
> >>No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
> >>NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????
> >
> >Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the
> >article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either.
> >Here's a direct quote:
> >
> >[...] The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased
> >a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily
> >available yesterday."
> >
> >
> >Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
> >small point, but if you're going to bitch about other people's accuracy, then
> >you should hold to the same standard, no?
>
> As should you.
>
> You asser that the Secret Service agent bought the device and shipped
> it to NY, when the article clearly states that THE CHEEKS where the
> ones who shipped the illegal decoder to NY.
>
> Gosh, USENET is fun!!!

532. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ebl4dhvf1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 123070
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
>http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html

Jesus H.......

That's the damnedest thing I've ever heard of. What's next I wonder - is some congressman going to shout:

"Wait! I've got it! Let's pass a law that says you must keep both hands on the wheel at all times, even when you AREN'T driving. Let's impose a fine if anyone is caught shifting gears, buckling the safety belt, tuning the radio, smoking a cigarette, eating a candy bar, drinking coffee or getting out of the car. Yeah! We'll double the fine if your vehicle is going in reverse!"

Stupid.....

-Phil

533. Pro 43 Set Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjwalley <rjwalley@kalamazoo.net>
Message-ID: <KVcO2.289$XY4.34118@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123071

Does anyone know of a good web page that gives information for setting up a Pro 43 scanner or a scanner with 10 channels? I thought I ran across one once but can't find it now.

Thanks
Bob

534. mdt transmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Baxter <danbax@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ebll1dhc1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123072

I have 4 scanners a pro-90, ar 8000, pro-64 and a pro-2041.
Can I recieve mdt transmissions with any of these and if so what do I need
to buy to do it?
Thank you
dan

535. Re: Data slicers illegal, hardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <370960A0.AA4C369D@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scott Coleman wrote:

> Wow, and you're OK with that? I'd be pretty pissed if someone did some
> unauthorized work on my property... That's kinda like the auto
> mechanic saying "oh, by the way, we noticed that your driver's side
> seatbelt was broken, so we went ahead and replaced it for you, since
> it's illegal to drive without seatbelts here in California."

I'm not sure I'd be adverse to an auto tech proactively fixing a broken seat belt for me. But, yeah, it was OK that Bill restored my Pro-2006 to factory frequency coverage. It wasn't a big deal. Especially since we all know how difficult and time consuming it is to modify that particular unit. (*snip* - put the cover back on.) But that wasn't my point, I was merely pointing out the Bill took precautionary measures to do what he thought was right.

> >He told me that he couldn't
> >ship a modified unit, it had to be legal before it left his shop.
>
> It already *was* legal, even before he did the unauthorized mod.

Alrighty then; I stand corrected. But that only shows that Bill went beyond what was legally required.

> <shrug> As long as you're OK with it... As for me, I'm glad I saw your
> post, as I was considering sending him my PRO-2006 to have a CE-232
> interface installed. Who knows, he might have removed my "naughty" NFM
> discriminator output modification, since it could potentially be used
> to decode MDT traffic or somesuch.

Me too, I was looking for some extra bucks to get that CE-232. It doesn't look like I'll be able to get it now. But as far as the NFM discriminator output mod, the Pro-2006 he sold me came to him with the a tap on the baseband audio already installed. He just left it the way it was but he advised me to change the resistor to a tantalum (sp?) type capacitor and install an RCA jack instead of the earphone jack that someone else had already installed. So I really don't think he would have touched your NFM output mod unless you requested it.

> >I wish someone could get some more info on exactly what happened.
>
> Ditto! But one thing's for sure: if he was free (and he didn't get all
> his computer equipment confiscated) he'd be posting here. He might not
> be telling the full story, but he'd at least be telling John to go to
> hell. ;-)

Indeed, that he might.

536. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <370964F8.3DB8DDAF@INVALID.com>
Source record: 123074

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Which leads one to wonder: Why did the Secret Service get involved? I

Yeah, I'm wondering about that too.

> wondered about this too, but then it hit me: Suppose you are a spy for an
> unfriendly nation like Iraq or Yugoslavia, living in Washington D.C., and
> you got one of these circuits AND the appropriate software (which Bill sent
> along with his circuit on a disk) and set it to listen in on the Secretary
> of Defense's capcode. Or even the President's. You could, with a slicer,
> scanner and laptop, build a real nice capcode collection for all the
> important people in Washington, which is an easy PGP encrypted email away
> from being read by Saddam himself. Hell, Winflex even has a hook in it that
> would allow you to send such an email automatically, with no user
> intervention required!

I'm not so sure. Domestic intelligence activity is usually investigated by the FBI, and international intelligence activity is usually under the purview of the CIA and NSA. The Treasury Department's Secret Service has primarily been involved in executive protection and commerce related investigations such as counterfeiting and the like. However, Malexander went through the Treasury Web-site and found some language indicating that the SS had been given a much wider latitude. However, it's entirely possible that the FBI did investigate initially for the reasons you mentioned, and once they ascertained the unlikelyhood of foreign intelligence activity, passed it on to the SS for prosecution under alleged illegal commerce activity. The FBI might have interest in obtaining a list of purchasers of the CE-232 (For reasons *you* mentioned) and this trumped it charge against Cheek might be the way for them to do it. Wouldn't that *suck* comrade?

> Of course, this is just speculation on my part, but it does make sense.

Indeed it does.

537. Re: need keypad interface schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ebp4ervm1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Visit my PROgramit web page at http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj for complete
details of this project.
```

>TechnoDragon wrote in message <37082241.B6090626@blarg.net>...
>I remember a while back, there was a schematic that I saw that would
>let you control a scanner's operation and programming via a homemade
>circuit board that connected to one's com port. This circuit would only
>work with scanners that used a data bus for their keypad, like many RS
>scanners. It was one way, and could only do to the scanner what you
>could normally do with the keypad, nothing special like adding cell or
>anything, just computer control of the keypad.
> Now, at the time of origionally seeing thes schematic, I had absolutely
>no use for such a circuit. But now, I have a project with which this
>would be very useful.
> If anyone remembers the URl to the page, or has a copy of the docs
>explaining the schematic, and can send them my way, I'd be very
>grateful.
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
>--
>Please remember to remove the second,
>anti-spamming N from the end of
>tdragon in my address to respond.
>
>----------
>| the TechnoDragon |
>| tdragonn@blarg.net |
>----------

538. freq. massachusetts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark A." <markarch@samnet.net>
Message-ID: <r_dO2.529$XY4.48023@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123076

I'm looking for radio freq. for warren,ma Brookfield ,Ma 911

539. Re: Sony ICF-SW55 Shorwave Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ebsntekk1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have one of these beauties. It's a great travel radio and coupled with an AN-LP1 is a terrific performer.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Andrew <xandrew@tropicom.net> wrote in message news:370833B7.4BCCA472@tropicom.net...
> I have for sale a Sony Shortwave Receiver Model ICF-SW55 in brand new
> condition. Currently being offered on Ebay. See link below for
> complete item description, picture and current bid.
>
> AUCTION ENDS APRIL 7th at 11:50PM.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=85471029
>
>
> --
> ********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********
>
> TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
> ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT
>
> XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET
>
> **
>
>

540. Re: MVT 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3709808A.4FA3@erienet.net>
Source record: 123078

JCM1168 wrote:
>
> Anyone have a MVT 7100 , thinking of getting one , just would like to know how
> you liked it or disliked it.
>
> jc
>
> remove nospam to email

Hi JC,

I have a 7100, a 9000 and several others. My favorite is the 7100. It recieves things that none of my others can get. It is loaded with many features. What I don't like is that there are ten banks of 100 frequencies. That is too many for 1 bank. The 9000 is much better for this with 20 banks of 50. The light only stays on while you hold the light key on the 7100. It is very hard to keep track of 1000 channels and you need to continuously update your little notebook with what frequencies are where. The 9000 has labeling which takes care of this and eliminates the notebook. I haven't mentioned the others because there is no comparison to any of them, the 7100 is far superior. I would recommend this scanner to anyone. It is my favorite especially if you do not need computer control and is on sale right now at Javiation for $265.00 U.S. at Javiation.
http://www.javiation.co.uk/
(No I don't work for Javiation but they are the best place to purchase it from)
Good Luck and Happy scanning
Rick

541. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ebv7p$6k2$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 123079

Well, if it isn`t "Mr. Perfect" again, snapping his supenders, and
pontificating ! Have YOU found the "civilian PL listings" yet, dingbat ?

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990405120029.13111.00003670@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
>
> >>Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other
words, it
> is not a hoax.
>
> ZW's "substantiation" is the article that everyone else has been referring
to.
> It would have saved him quite a bit of time if he had read it.
>
> >
> >Gee, I'm glad you figured that out.
>
> Don't be so hasty. We don't know that he has actually read the article.
>
>

542. Motorola vs. Hobbyiests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <370982D6.182E53A2@hotbot.com>
Source record: 123080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Beepman,

I am glad you cleared this up. From now on, my company will not purchase anything from Motorola. I have seen this kind of heavy handedness become the norm for some companies, and though Motorola makes a good product, I can not, nor will I ever spend a single penny purchasing the products of any company that resorts to these tactics. It is especially distastefull to see a multinational, multi-billion dollar company using it's resources against an individual.

I would like to ask each of you to consider purchasing products other than those made by Motorola Inc.

Mark

beepman wrote:

> I would like to set the record straight on what happened to WinFLEX.
>
> I was contacted by Motorola asking if I was a legal licensee of FLEX (tm)
> technology, and if I was not, how I was able to obtain FLEX protocol details
> that were supposed to be only available to companies that had signed
> non-disclosure agreements when they became licensed to use FLEX (tm)
> technology.
>
> I responded that I had obtained source code from the POCFLEX web site where
> the author there had used patent information in addition to captured RF data
> to decode the FLEX (tm) protocol. I also listed a couple of FLEX decoder
> chip data sheets (from Texas Instruments and Phillips Semiconductor) that
> were available on the internet in .pdf format. These documents contained
> information on capcodes, phases, frames, block information words (BIW) and
> other details. There was nothing in these documents that indicated this
> information was for non-disclosure. I still believe if Motorola allowed
> these companies to publish this information, it becomes public knowledge.
>
> While waiting for a response from Motorola (which I never got), I contacted
> an attorney about the legalities of my application. His response was that
> such a tool could be misused to obtain confidential information and was also
> violating Motorola's patent on FLEX (tm) technology.
>
> I was NOT legally threatened by Motorola (yet) and in their defense, I can
> understand how a company, after spending millions of dollars developing a
> proprietary protocol, would defend against people using it without
> Motorola's permission. I'm sure Motorola also spends a great deal of money
> filing lawsuits against people who attempt to use Motorola's technology
> without Motorola's permission.

>
> The web site was taken down based on legal recommendations of the attorney.
> I apologize if anyone misinterpreted my actions. I work in the paging
> industry and I really enjoy what I do. I cannot afford to have legal
> actions brought against me.
>
> Some people have offered to send money to my "defense fund". I appreciate
> the thought and effort, but I assure you that I am not currently in need of
> any money for my defense, since, to the best of my knowledge, there is no
> lawsuit against me.
>
> I am considering removing the FLEX (tm) code from WinFLEX and renaming it
> WinPOC and once again making it available on the web site, however, even
> this could still be misused and I could be held responsible for lawsuits
> resulting in theft or misuse of confidential information. The ECPA clearly
> states that use of such tools in the United States is a crime.
>
> Once again, I appreciate the kind words and support that this group has
> given me and, if I decide to continue with WinPOC, I will post a message to
> this newsgroup indicating that fact.
>
> In the meantime, happy scanning, strong signals, over and out.
>
> P.S. FLEX, ReFLEX, and Motorola are trademarks of Motorola Inc. :)
>
> --
>
> beepman

543. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37098252.48E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 123081
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

YANKEESS? WHAT ARE YOU ONE OF THOSE SOUTHER REBELS?

Lil Abner wrote:
>
> If you need to have both hands on the wheel at all times then how do you
> propose to shift?? you Yankees are always amazing me.
>
> In article <7e8vmt$eof@chronicle.concentric.net>,
> "CitySlicker" <mannod@concentric.net> wrote:
> > Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?
> >
> > SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
> > :http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
> > :
> > :
> > :--
> > :This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> > :Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> > :that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> > :Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
> >
> >
>
> Do I??? Do I really????
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

544. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <370982B8.4712@ameritech.net>
Source record: 123082
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ANOTHER OHIO TOWN MIGHT CONSIDER THIS. BESIDES YOU SHOULD GET FINED IF
YOU ARE SMOKING AND DRIVING. YOU KNOW THTA CIGARETTES ARE BAD FOR YOU.
RIGHT?

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
> >http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
>
> Jesus H.......
>
> That's the damnedest thing I've ever heard of. What's next I wonder - is
> some congressman going to shout:
>
> "Wait! I've got it! Let's pass a law that says you must keep both hands on
> the wheel at all times, even when you AREN'T driving. Let's impose a fine if
> anyone is caught shifting gears, buckling the safety belt, tuning the radio,
> smoking a cigarette, eating a candy bar, drinking coffee or getting out of
> the car. Yeah! We'll double the fine if your vehicle is going in reverse!"
>
> Stupid.....
>
> -Phil

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

545. Re: Now eBay is going to extremes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <370984CC.B0D742AC@hotbot.com>
Source record: 123083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What is really bad about censorship of products is that it follows politically correctness perfectly.
ebay must be owned by leftist clinton lovers because their policies of censorship are similar to those of the morally bankrupt democrapic party: if it is fun, or can be used against the government, BAN IT!!!!!!!!!!!!

trashthis_ibbryn@hotmail.com wrote:

> In article <3702F77C.DD98C501@hotbot.com>,
> tracey12@hotbot.com wrote:
> > WELL WELL! We can see the ebay is politically correct, and pro-big
> > government because they think they should be able to make sure that you will
> > use their items legally!
> ...
> > > eBay has deemed this type of equipment as being inappropriate to sell on
> > > our site because we have no way of validating the legality of use,
> > > before or after, the sale.
>
> eBay gave the same basic reason for ending the auction of firearms and ammo.
> Regardless of whether you think people should own guns or not, firearms are a
> legal product that in many states, and in all interstate transactions, can
> only be be transfered through a licensed firearm dealer who ensures that the
> buyer is legally permitted to receive a firearm.
>
> So eBay had no reason to be concerned about the legality of use of the
> product.
>
> And of course at the time they ended firearms transactions you could still
> auction off pirated software and porn.
>
> Find another auction site.
>
> For my correct email, remove "trashthis_" from my address.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

546. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <370985CA.5C6B39B9@hotbot.com>
Source record: 123084

Sure they are! To a leftist news reporter, any radio equiptment can be used by "subversives!". The left wants total control of your life. Only they, the "intelligencia" of the Democratic
party will be allowed to own radios, guns, cars, trucks, etc.
"We feel your pain" Clinton said. But that was after he raised our taxes the most they had ever been raised in
history.

0.ty.....
h

Spot wrote:

> By the sound of the article, they are trying to make it sound illegal to have
> a 40 foot tower, sat dishes or web pages.
> Bill Cheek is really stupid posting MDT data though as MDT data is private information
> which contains personnal information about people which is a violation against those people
> esp. when the whole world can see it.
> What Bill did in a basic manner is made it possible for anyone to search the web and dig up nasty information
> on those who were talked about in the MDT data stream, which could lead to slander lawsuits against Bill too.
> Real stupid Bill!!!

547. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3709864D.1494@ameritech.net>
Source record: 123085
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Janis Hill wrote:
>
> Well... I'd say it was for this particular one. :)
>
> Just can't leave it alone.
> Janis
>
> CitySlicker wrote in message <7e8vmt$eof@chronicle.concentric.net>...
> >Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?

YOU DO NOT KNOW WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT; SO I FORGIVE YOU
> >
> >SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
> >:http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
> >:
> >:
> >:--
> >:This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> >:Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> >:that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> >:Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
> >
> >

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
```

548. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3708326F.C59CD3F9@home.com>
Source record: 123125

George Vetterle wrote:
>
> On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 21:54:58 GMT, Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Chuck wrote:
> >>
> >> Has anyone heard from Bill? Is this even the same Bill cheek we all
> >> know?
> >>
> >> Anyone????
> >>
> >> I wonder if he plans to fight the charges!
> >>
> >> 73's
> >>
> >> -Chuck
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >Fight the charges??
> >
> >I bet he's gonna have to fight off wolfy when he bends over to pick up
> >the bar of soap in the shower. Although I bet he can come up with some
> >pretty clever prison shanks, so I think he will be ok. He will
> >probably hide the shank in his copy of the Scanner modification
> >handbook. Regards Mike K8MB
>
> I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
> Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?

Ouch!! Stop it!! At least I made you angry. :-) Thanks for the great
feedback. Mike K8MB

549. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3708353F.CF92B08E@home.com>
Source record: 123126

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was
> brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?
>
> George Vetterle wrote:
>
> > I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
> > Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?
>

I think John Mackey is a great guy because he has the stones to stand up to what he thinks is right regardless of anything else. He does not cave in. I think Bill Cheek is an arrogant ass and need to get knocked down a few pegs and the feds are going to do that. Mike K8MB

550. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fdopspop@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990404210104.27826.00002567@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 123127

john-what about kieth-n2njs-lets not forget him. the article originated in long island newsday & i don't think it's right to publish his address.
dj-kc2dhf

551. WTB: Scanner (about $50) with 10 to 50 channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: MiKE <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be7efe$8695a6a0$9e796420@default>
Source record: 123128

Good Evening,
Looking for a handheld, base ,or mobile scanner. Don't have much to spend, something under $50 would be nice. Scanner must have 10 to 50 channels. Scanner does not have to have 800mhz or air capabilities, although it would be a bonus if it does. If you have one that you would like to sell and is in this price range, please email me at Jerryharker@prodigy.net Also, if there are scanners with more than 50 channels in this price range (about $50, I doubt this though :))please email me as well. Thank you. Mike

552. Re: 800mhz down converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <37082018.80162408@news.mint.net>
Source record: 123129

yep they work fine......at least my GRE does......

On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 20:44:41 GMT, "larry" <larry@agt.net> wrote:

>are these things any good?
>I think ramsey makes one?
>just wondering
>tks

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

553. Re: Radar Detectors Detect Police Chases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpinero@pobox.com
Message-ID: <37082150.105305672@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 03 Apr 1999 16:54:08 -0500, Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

> I think this is really nothig more than a sub-class of the
>signals designed for use on the SWS system which is supposedly
>slowing integrating into the US landscape. It was originally
>designed to warn drivers of bad weather, accidents, route

Thanks for the general info. I'll look into the SWS system on the net
but I didn't have an acronymn to start with. :) Thanks for the info
and, too, responses from others on this thread.

Dave
David Pinero * ICQ # 3887811 * AIM Name TDAVE365
http://www.pobox.com/~dpinero
Home of the rather generic Dave-Cam!

Are your local police going undercover?
Visit http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm

554. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990404230948.06073.00002404@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 123131

> i don't think it's right to publish his address.

The reason newspapers routinely publish the age and address of a person charged with a crime is to help make sure that another person with the same or a similar name isn't mistaken for the alleged criminal. In this case it isn't too likely because the name is a bit unique, but suppose an article just said "Mike Wilson" was arrested for child molestation. In a large city there could be several Mike Wilsons, and without further identifying information the friends, neighbors and co-workers of all of them could jump to the wrong conclusion. But, if the article reads "Mike Wilson, age 33, of 123 Juniper Street," then no other Mike Wilson will be under suspicion by his friends and neighbors - or his boss!
Like I said, in Keith's case chances are no one else has the same name, even in New York City, but if a newspaper has a policy of printing suspects' addresses they can't pick and choose which to publish and which not to publish. Anything other than a consistant policy opens them to lawsuits.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

555. Re: Commtel205

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <HwWN2.1994$gr5.1371@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 123132

Excuse me friend - but in your case "'Tis better to be silent and thought dumb than to speak (email) and remove all doubt." Before you flame - get your facts straight son. If you read my post you might understand that I just received this unit from Javiation. Please, feel free to buy one at the $265 you quote. The price is 199 pounds, not dollars - (plus shipping, duty, etc.). I resent your foolish comment.
David Ward
JCM1168 wrote in message <19990404120953.13103.00002907@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>Hell you can get it from a UK co. for 265.00 why should i pay that much.

556. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: hero504@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7e9im7$vo5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 123133

I took a look at Knipschild's web site and the offending information has been removed. I looked at Bill Cheek's site and I still see the converters listed. If these were illegal wouldn't they have also been removed? Just a thought.....

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

557. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37082f61.1598812@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 123134

I get less than 300 yards with a Grove Beam Antenna.

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 22:54:07 GMT, toni1999@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Can someone help on how far can you here a cordless phone
>freq. with the help of a great antenna?
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

558. Re: @How Will Cheek Profit From Bust?@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bspang@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <37083f8e.827249@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123135

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 21:04:55 -0700, frd@sylvania.com (fallicon) wrote:

>When this blows over, and provided he isn't in the joint (a VERY real
>probability), how do you suppose Cheek will try to turn a profit on it? A
>book,maybe? Guest appearances on Oprah and etc.?
>All suggestions welcome.....

May he'll just use the good old fashioned method: sue the shit out of
the cocksucking facist bastards in the government that did him unjust
harm.

Bill Clinton's 2nd Amendment
"A well regulated citizenry,being necessary for the security of a
Police State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall be
discontinued."
---

559. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjultra@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370a8569.2077584@supernews.nildram.co.uk>
Source record: 122836
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

On 6 Apr 1999 11:34:21 GMT, we@bulldog.org (HighWaysinHiding) wrote:

>In article <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>,
>pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com says...
>>
>>SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????
>snip
>Contrary to popular opinion, the web is international and non english
>speakers use the web. Lets not show the world how narrow minded we really
>are. After all we big and powerfull enough that we should not feal
>threatened by non english posts. Just ignore them and read on.
>

I do have to point out that this particular newsgroup is aimed at the
UK scanner enthusiast, hence the name "alt.radio.scanner.uk"
Don't get me wrong, I appreciate posts from all over the globe but
people need to understand that many of us are not bi-lingual & cannot
benefit from any words of wisdom in a language we fail to understand.

Majic

560. F.S. PRO-46scanner,full 800Mhz,cellunblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <370A8D0A.FF601DE4@direct.ca>
Source record: 122837

Hi,
Selling PRO-46,
Full Cellular coverage,
Hand held scanner,100 channels,
Radio is in near new condition about a 9 out of 10 cosmetically,
$155 firm
Thanks
Russ

561. Re: needed: some good freqs for LA area - PD/FIRE/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7ee281ggv1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122838

these should give you a good start...

http://vistagroupusa.com/burbank.htm
http://home.earthlink.net/~pabv/scan/scan02.htm
http://home.earthlink.net/~stevewb/scanfreq.html
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/7695/
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/PlatzPress/bank001.htm
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Vista/3510/scanner.htm

562. FS: 800 mhz scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406184559.03109.00000033@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 122839

Clean Realistic pro-39 with nicads, charger, antenna $165.00

Beautiful Bearcat 200xlt with antenna, charger, case $170.00

Greg

563. Re: Indoor Antenna Setup for BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will <me@yourterminal.wow>
Message-ID: <7ee0tv$551$1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122840

I have a BC895 also and live in an apt. as well. I purchased a mobile magnetic mount scanner antenna along with a "T" adapter and use BOTH the factory ant. (seems to pickup 800Mhz better than just mobile ant.) and the mobile mount. I have learned NOT to use any additional cable extensions as the signal seems to worsen (maybe ant. is matched with cable length?) and not to be within 3 feet of my monitor or CPU (pickups interference). One more thing I learned is NOT to share the same elec. circuit as my PC (interference again ?)

--
William Karpuk
karpuk@mediaone.net

P.S. All spammers/abusers WILL be reported, so don't waste your time or mine...

564. WTB REGENCY XTAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Denise L. Ewer" <dlewer@snet.net>
Message-ID: <370A99F4.7539@snet.net>
Source record: 122841

WANT TO BUY REGENCY ACT-R-10-HLU SCANNER IN VERYGOOD OR BETTER
CONDITION. IT IS A BASE/MOBILE SCANNER.

565. Re: What happened to ScanCom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Donnelly <airone@banet.net>
Message-ID: <370AAFB7.419A5B70@banet.net>
Source record: 122842

Ithink it crashed but it seems to be back up but notihng coming out yet

lyndx wrote:

> Anyone know what happened to ScanCom on Onelist? It seems to have
> disappeared in last week. Is this part of the problem with guy on LI who got
> caught posting stuff he saw on MDTs and the related issue with Bill Cheek?

566. PRO-2004 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tree <commace@usa.net>
Message-ID: <370AA36D.32C5ABC9@usa.net>
Source record: 122843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bad comments aside this is a great scanner. Mods for full 800 band.
Good condition - display works -backlight works-keyboard works.
Make serious offers to above e-mail - leave your name and phone number.

567. PRO 2035 Cell Mod Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cameraone <cameraone@access1.net>
Message-ID: <370aa8f9.0@news.access1.net>
Source record: 122844

Can anyone help me with info. on how to cell mod a PRO-2035

Thanks

J.Vern

568. new to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark A." <markarch@samnet.net>
Message-ID: <k9yO2.189$zl5.35097@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122845

Is there a web site that offers look up freq. #'s

569. You could start here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@marketingpartners.com>
Message-ID: <370AB762.D9E41E8C@marketingpartners.com>
Source record: 122846

http://www.perconcorp.com/resource/resource.htm#search

Mark A. wrote:

> Is there a web site that offers look up freq. #'s

--
Sincerely,
Ruffin Blackard
Marketing Partners Enterprises, Inc.
http://www.marketingpartners.com
ruffin@marketingpartners.com

Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity,
Amen. Ephesians 6:24

570. Re: new to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7eee0j$442$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 122847

Try www.perconcorp.com
Mark A. wrote in message ...
>Is there a web site that offers look up freq. #'s
>
>

571. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7eeel7$qf1@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 122848
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

When your Broke, Smiling and waving good-bye you'll know. :^)

SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <370987F7.7DBB@ameritech.net>...
:AND WHAT IS SLICK ABOUT THE CITY?
:
:
:
:CitySlicker wrote:
:>
:> Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?
:>
:> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
:> :http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
:> :
:> :
:> :--
:> :This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
:> :Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
:> :that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
:> :Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
:
:--
:This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
:Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
:that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
:Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

572. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bfa093$b3d54b40$d7e5a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 122849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Fred, I am with you, with this caveat though, In Canada, A wife cannot be COMPELLED to testify against her husband. She can if she wants to though, And oops, looks like we lost the paper work on your charges Mrs X.... There are a few exceptions though, charges ususally dealing with sexual offences and possibly treason.

Dan

Fred <FredJ@excite.com> wrote in article
<7ee06i$348$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> actually that's not true.....check your state laws....I know it does vary
> depending on the crime. It used to be that way though, the limits of
that
> statue has changed.
>
> Bud (the original) wrote in message <370d8218.3917745@news-server>...
> >On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:22:59 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> >>Remember, Cindy could always turn & cut a a deal to be used
> >>as a witness AGAINST Bill!
> >
> >Gee, John, I KNEW you were stupid, but THAT is a new low even for you.
> >
> >A wife CAN NOT testify against her husband.

573. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <k2mak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370AC7FA.74066B6F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

man.....it just makes you want to change hobby reading all this bickering and
bad mouthing.
Lets try to keep this newsgroup to its title. Not rec.radio.scanner.bickering

Just my 2 cents worth.

Ken

Glenn wrote:

> Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:370c81b5.3818939@news-server...
> > On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:19:24 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> > John, john, john...
> >
> >
> > HOW many people do you think there are reading here stupid
> > enough to believe any of your continual stream of lies?
> >
>
> That is the frightening part Bud, 5 years and it is the identical stream of
> lies, contradictions and fabrications out of John-Bowl. He is a human lie
> macro.

574. Re: Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <370acbef@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122851

Well, it is about 20 years old, has 10 channels, (maybe the XL has 20) and covers 30-50, 146-174 and 450-512. Those are from memory, but I think it skipped 144-146, but may have gone down to 440 on UHF. The sensitivity was as good as anything Bearcat made, image rejection a little iffy in metro areas, but it is about 25 year old technology. The search function is useful occasionally, too. If it has a little pod on the back, that is the keep-alive battery for the memory.

--
Crazy George

575. Re: kern county, bakersfield, calif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <370AD6C0.C6F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gilbert Barraza wrote:
>
> need info on scanner freqs for kern county, bakersfield,calif send info
> to
> gbjr69331@webtv.net thank-you group!!!!
>
> gilbert barraza jr

Check out the following URL:

http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

576. Hamcomm HCD214 Hook-up question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QDAO2.695$s4.3951434@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122853

I was planning on using this device with my Pro 2006 scanner. I have the "FM Discriminator" mod completed and was wondering if I could plug that into the Hamcomm HCD214 interface? The hook-up instructions I saw at the web page (www.radioamateur.com) said it could be plugged into the speaker jack for it to work. But, I have read here and in other newsgroups and web pages, that you have to use the "FM Discriminator" to be able to properly decode the signals. Please post or email your replies and any advice/help is greatly appreciated!!

email: swtempo@yahoo.com

577. FS Pro 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7eenm0chu1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pro 2045 for sale. CTCSS tone board installed. Manual, AC adapter, may have original box. Scanner is in excellent shape.

Pro 2045 covers milair band, has weather alert, auto store.

$175 includes shipping.

D. Schopp

578. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF1D1.6CBD552E@usa.net>
Source record: 122855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Michael-

If it was a priority to them, the feds could have had a judge handle that even on a weekend!

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> Extradition would have required a court hearing. The earliest that could
> have happened would have been today. So, chances are

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

579. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF120.8DEEAD4D@usa.net>
Source record: 122856

Charlie -
Would you like to try for a meeting at the dayton hamvention again this year?

Charlie Dwenger wrote:
SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

580. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF2BD.8CEF5358@usa.net>
Source record: 122857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Andrew Porrett wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >I am offering legitimate concern & assistance for Cheek in this dark hour

> You mean like these statements of yours?
>
> >1. Bill (& Cindy) were arrested on federal charges & charges from New York

> Nope, absolutely no concern or assistance in those words.
> Your own words show the entire newsgroup what a pathetic liar

You have misqouted me (out of context) those were two DIFFERENT writingsthat you edited together. The second half was discussion of the risk of
flight for Bill being released until trial, unrelated to the first half of the message you qouted.

PLEASE, be accurate in the future rather than LIE as you did here!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

581. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF36C.6EB90997@usa.net>
Source record: 122858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Read it YOURSELF Glenn! I was trying to help Bill, then the guy said
I made a fool of myself, when I was attempting to help Bill. In essence,
he states that helping Bill is foolish.

Glenn wrote:

> Read it again John-Bowl. He is saying, like most all in this NG do, that you
> are a fool. Learn to read Mackey-Loon. Get a life, man, you've made a fool
> of yourself. AGAIN!

> > > Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

582. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF3DB.82196D33@usa.net>
Source record: 122859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I have NEVER told a lie on this or any other newsgroup.

Bud (the original) wrote:

> HOW many people do you think there are reading here stupid
> enough to believe any of your continual stream of lies?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

583. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF539.172D06E1@usa.net>
Source record: 122860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I would prefer to NOT be the point of contact for collecting
these funds. However, I do have a amount decided upon that I will donate
to the worthy cause of helping Mr. Cheek.

MAlexder wrote:
>Great! John, since you are organizing this, please post an address where
>we cansend the funds. Also, please send me verification of your donation
SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

584. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF46F.80759B8D@usa.net>
Source record: 122861

What can I say? It was 4:30 AM east coast time & I was tired!!!

I meant to type "soul", NOT "sole".

JTWard01 wrote:

> >From: jmackey@usa.net
> >Date: 04-06-1999 04:19 EST
> >Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope others
>
> Why in the world would you want to pray for the bottom of his shoe? Or,

SNIP

> Oh, you couldn't mean "soul" in the religious sense, could you?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

585. Re: What a jerk...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF837.B8FF4392@usa.net>
Source record: 122862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

So even though you have NOT SEEN OR EVEN HEARD the charges,
evidence, or specific equipment, you are already to say that
it is "a real streatch to try to implicate Bill"!!!!!!

Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the
Judge & Jury decide those types of things!

TandKMoran wrote:

> Excellent post, Phil. I think it's a real stretch to try to implicate Bill in
> this incident on the part of the government. Of course, we haven't seen the

> charges or the equipment specified.

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

586. FS:Dacron ant rope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <bdapple@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <370AFF6E.BBCDDDDF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122863

Have black Dacron/Polyester double braided antenna rope for sale as follow:

3/32" Dia (260+pounds) $6.00 /100ft +shipping
1/8" Dia (420+pounds) $7.50/100ft + shipping
3/16 Dia (770+pound) $101/100ft. + shipping

587. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AF9BE.286F2AE1@usa.net>
Source record: 122864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MAlexder wrote:

> For more times than anyone can count you have proven yourself to be a lying
> piece of filth who is less worthy than the crap on the bottom on my show. But,

I have NEVER lied on this or any other NG. When YOU & others have accusedme of
lying I have asked you present your evidence & NOTHING has been presented
indicating the accusation itself was a lie!

> in your own words, you proposed setting up a defense and

Correct!

> offered to begin administering it.

WRONG!

> You, one, solicited volunteers to step forward

Correct!

> and two, act as a receipient and escrow.

WRONG!

You were correct only on 50% of your statements, that is poor.

> proviso and that is you must be the first to contribute to this fund. The
> amount need not be extraordinary,

I will donate an amount I chose, NOT what YOU chose. I have already
decided how much my initial donation will be. WHEN this NG agrees
on how this will be handled THEN I will make my donation!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

588. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AFA34.AEF0687A@usa.net>
Source record: 122865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks, but REALLY, I prefer NOT to be put in charge of raising the money.

JTWard01 wrote:

> John Mackey being put in charge are about the same as his winning to Nobel
> Prize forengineering,

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

589. Re: What a jerk.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370AFAD4.8CCE6198@usa.net>
Source record: 122866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Phil - you have to admit, speaking of homosexuality in prisons
was one of Bill's favorite discussions & interests. Now he will
have his chance to get all he wants & more!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> A classic example of exactly what is wrong with certain individuals on this
> NG. Appalling. I'd sure like to see how

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

590. Re: Some scanner software to download get it here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Sheldon <bsheldon@cheerful.com>
Message-ID: <7eg8ol$98f$1@epos.tesco.net>
Source record: 122867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

<<Sorry this is off-topic but Scott has cloaked his address>>

> Why does it matter whether that
> unique ID number comes from your ethernet card or your CPU?

It doesn't, I was trying to get over the fact that you wouldn't expect your processor to be the one that's giving out the information.

Brian.

591. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ftbonnemeyer@t-online.de
Message-ID: <7eckve$2n6$1@news06.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 122868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Lists with different KOSOVO frequencies is - I do not want to use the word shit.

E.G. the frequency 11300, declared as HOT with "Serbian Fighters in very excited voices" is nothing else than the normal AFI3/MID2; 6G 13H frequency for upper altitude flights over North Africa / Middle East. Most times Cairo Radio can be heard, sometimes Khartoum Aero. What sounds "very excited" are pilots who talk a little bit more excited than normal. 1. because of shortwave 2. because those the english knowledge / pronounciation of ATCs in Cairo or other arabian / african countries is not the best one. Listen to it, you will hear the word "Cairo" very often and very clear!

Do you think serbian fighters - or NATO - will talk in clear without encryption? I won't!

Frank

In article <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>,
pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com (Cecil) wrote:
>SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????
>
>
>On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 09:05:23 GMT, ftbonnemeyer@t-online.de (Frank-Th.
>Bonnemeyer) wrote:
>
>>In article <7e5ru2fck1@reader1.wxs.nl>, "Bommeltje" <bommeltje@tref.nl>
> wrote:
>>>>G.Buysen heeft geschreven
>>>
>>>He, waar ging het originele bericht nou ook al weer over??? :-)
>>>
>>>
>>Also die Liste mit den Kosovo.-Frequenzen, die auf diversen Homes-Pages
>>verï¿½fflichtlich ist ist Kï¿½se.
>>
>>Z.B. die als "Hot" vermerkte Frequenz 11300 kHz, "Serbia Fighters, in very
>>excited voices" ist nichts anderes als die AFI3/MID-2; 6G 13H-Frequenz,
>>fï¿½r Upper Road Fliï¿½ge ï¿½ber Nordafrika/Mittlerer Osten. Meist ist
>>da Cairo Aero zu hï¿½ren, ab und zu auch schon mal Khartoum Aero.
>>Was sich "very excited" anhï¿½rt sind nicht anderes als Linienpiloten, die
>>manchmal ein biï¿½chen aufgeregter und lauter reden. 1. wegen Kurzwelle,
>>2. weil das Englisch der dortigen Fluglotsen nicht gerade das beste ist.
>>
>>Glaubt denn einer, Serbian Fighters senden im Klartext? Und dann

>>noch auf Kurzwelle?
>>
>>Frank
>

592. Updated Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BigB7 <bigb7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7eeg26rf1@news.inquo.net>
Source record: 122869

I have updated my web page once more. It is at:

http://members.tripod.com/~bigb7/freq.htm

Take a look at it I update it about every five days. I have LOTS & LOTS of links to freqs all over the USA.

BigB

593. Re: Cheek arrested: article online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990406083318.12633.00002848@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For those of you who have not yet seen the article, here it is, as printed in New York Newsday on Friday, April 2, page A31.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving records and to send emergency information they might not want to broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing surveillance or a planned search.
An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web site had 93 pages of police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal histories and warrants, motor-vehicle checks, medical information about victims, and witness statements. Nassau police confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that day.
Investigators say a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who could not be reached for comment yesterday.
Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in size from 18 inches to 12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower were discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the disposition of the case were not available.
Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes information of interest to electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web sites about scanners, satellites and dishes, and amateur radio.
Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.
Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are

password-protected.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

594. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990406084232.12633.00002856@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122887

>From: jmackey@usa.net
>Date: 04-06-1999 04:19 EST
>
>Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope others
>do the same.
>

Why in the world would you want to pray for the bottom of his shoe? Or,
maybe "it's filet of sole" you want him to have, but I don't think they serve
anything that good in jail.
Oh, you couldn't mean "soul" in the religious sense, could you?

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

595. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406085705.13111.00004261@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122888

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>Once again you make a FOOL of yourself. I never said I would "oversee"
>or "collect" funds "on Cheek's behalf". Rather, I suggest that such a
>collection
>

For more times than anyone can count you have proven yourself to be a lying piece of filth who is less worthy than the crap on the bottom on my show. But, hey, I'm a liberal guy of guy so I tolerate you.

Now, you simpleton, in your own words, you proposed setting up a defense and offered to begin administering it. You, one, solicited volunteers to step forward and two, act as a receipient and escrow.

Okay, you scumbag. I volunteer to take on this project. There is only one proviso and that is you must be the first to contribute to this fund. The amount need not be extraordinary, but it must be a legitimate amount, say $10 to $20.

Put up or shut up, trash boy.

596. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406085830.13111.00004263@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122889

>From: jmackey@usa.net

> I will pray for his sole & I hope others
>do the same.

Why, is there something wrong with his shoe you despicable miscreant?

597. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406090116.13111.00004264@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122890

>From: "Zombie Wolf"

Tut tut, wolfie... You shouldn't get your dander up like that.

Why is it that you are two day late and two dollars short on everything that goes on around here? You blather incessantly about one thing or the other but the problem is that you are almost always wrong. Why don't you shut up for a while and learn from your betters?

598. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406090612.13111.00004266@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122891

>From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam

>Look malexder, don't get me in the middle of your flame war. The guy
>challenged my veracity and

Hey Ward, you posted a message. I responded to it. You don't like it? Tough. I haven't got the slightest interest in helping you do anything. You're just not that interesting.

599. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406090703.13111.00004267@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122892

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>That's the problem, malexder loves to create pissing contests!

Well yeah, I do. It's the thrill of victory that keeps me motivated.

600. Uniden SportCat 150 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dimac Direct <pritchard.terry@dimac.com>
Message-ID: <7ed0ub$5h$1@newsin-1.starnet.net>
Source record: 122893

I am considering purchasing a scanner for use at auto races (NASCAR). Is the Uniden SC150 a good choice. I have heard from scanner dealers that I should purchase a Relm or the RE upgraded Relm. Does anyone have any first hand experience with a SC150 that could advise of its true performance under these conditions? Any help would be appreciated.

601. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dr@james
Message-ID: <370cfe24.7294011@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122894

On 5 Apr 1999 23:11:50 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>>
>>No, I think Mr. Ward said what was correct. If there is any money left
>>at the end of the procedings ... meaning after the case against Bill and
>>Cindy is dropped.
>>
>>Mike Derryberry
>>
>>
>>
>>"Dr. James" wrote:
>>>
>>> On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>>>
>>> >Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the
>>> >legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case)
>>>
>>> I think you mean at the beginning of proceedings, not the end.
>>
>>
>
>Mike,
>
> The guy was trying to make a funny. He was suggesting that there wouldn't be
>ANY donations to such a fund, so there wouldn't be any money at the beginning,
>much less at the end. I wonder if he'll think it's so funny is Uniden scraps
>their plans to market a digital scanner because the feds have decided that any
>digital transmission is "not readily accessible to the public," therefore
>protected.

I think it's funny that YOU think Bill Cheek is such an almighty character
that Uniden will give a rat's ass what happens in his case.

There have been far more public incidents involving "illegal reception" that
have had no ill effects.

602. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dr@james
Message-ID: <370dfe74.7374173@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122895

On 5 Apr 1999 23:16:02 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> The possibility of a legal defense fund is NO joke.

You're right. It's an INSULT to everyone you try and solicit from.

603. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjonson88@aol.com
Message-ID: <370afd5e.7095873@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122896

On 5 Apr 1999 20:28:52 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)
>
>>
>>No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
>>NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????
>
>Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the
>article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either.
>Here's a direct quote:
>
>Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to
>intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have
>arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant
>charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police
>communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased
>a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily
>available yesterday."
>
>
>Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
>small point,

Not shit it's a small point. About as small as the point on your fucking
head. Get a life.

604. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dr@james
Message-ID: <370bfdd0.7209770@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122897

No, I think I am correct. You say there likely won't be any funds remaining
at the end of the case. I say there won't be any at the beginning.

On Mon, 05 Apr 1999 13:42:15 -0700, Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
wrote:

>No, I think Mr. Ward said what was correct. If there is any money left
>at the end of the procedings ... meaning after the case against Bill and
>Cindy is dropped.
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>
>
>"Dr. James" wrote:
>>
>> On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>>
>> >Any funds remaining in the account at the end of the
>> >legal proceedings (likely there would be none, but just in case)
>>
>> I think you mean at the beginning of proceedings, not the end.

605. Re: Need help on the Pro2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <370A17BC.BE46379F@flash.net>
Source record: 122898
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They come with a BNC on the back and a place on the top to screw in a telescopic. If you have a third connector, hook up an antenna to it while having no other antennas hooked up and see if it works. How did they do the 6400 channel mod? Did anyone tell you? I sure would like that one. I also hear that the scanner can be modded for below 25 mhz. Maybe that's what the third connector is for. See if you can receive things like short wave broadcast below 25 mhz. WWV on 15, 10, 7.5 and 5 mhz would be a good test. Let me know if it works as I have a 2005 that I would like to do that to.

jedi_force@webtv.net wrote:

> I just bought a Realistic Pro 2005 base scanner from Jun's radio in
> Culver City, California and i bought it used for $150 dollars and the
> radio is very clean and has cell mod and memory mod in which I can get
> 6,400 memorys. Any way, I notice there is an extra antenna connector in
> the back of the radio. I call Jun's but they have no idea whats the
> extra connector for. Can any one help me what the extra antenna
> connector is for? Thanks!

606. Re: Uniden SportCat 150 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406101341.15619.00002588@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 122899

I own one of these units and I am very satisfied with the performance and range.
Although, if you are going to use at the races, I would suggest using the ear plug, as this units audio level from the speaker is not as loud as I like. I have heard that there is a modification that will increase the audio level.
Has great features like band search, to scan for active freqs.
Hope this helps...
PS. Units are very nicely priced anywhere between 140--200 bucks

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

607. Re: FS:RS PRO-62 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <370A170E.FD1E788A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,red.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I agree. This is a bullet proof receiver - triple conversion -- very
good sensitivity, especially 800 Mhz. Used one as a remote receiver
for a repeater in a high intermod area, and the receiver was seldom
impacted by all the RF. Not bad for a radio shack handheld scanner!
Joe

> Don,
>
> Look at the specs he gave. The 823 to 849 is the cell phone transmit.
> They are missing. The 868 to 894 are the cell tower transmit. They are
> missing. This scanner cannot recieve cell frequencies. Do not buy it
> thinking that you can modify it because you can't. You could use a down
> converter however. It is still a very good 200 channel scanner. I have
> had 2 of them and the price is very good. If you really want cellular
> buy a cell capable scanner to begin with.
> Good Luck
> Rick

608. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <370A1E9F.AE0A9CC1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122901
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
> mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
> to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
> for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

Some of us might have ben born in the morning, but it wasn't yesterday
morning!

609. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370a210e.982197@news>
Source record: 122902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 07:23:08 GMT, Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
wrote:

>Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
>talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)

But was he *ever* in custody at that facility? Or were you barking up
the wrong tree? ;-)

610. Help me find Skip Station ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990406110129.21667.00002333@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 122903

Looking for anyone who may have info on a station broadcasting on 155.940 MHz. Probably is a city public works or something similar. Partial Call sign is "KGC". I live/work in central Ohio - this skip station regularly comes across our PD dispatch.

Thanks for your assistance.

spc

email: thecarmins@aol.com

611. Re: Need help on the Pro2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370a22c8.1424273@news>
Source record: 122904
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 21:41:55 -0700 (PDT), jedi_force@webtv.net wrote:

>I just bought a Realistic Pro 2005 base scanner from Jun's radio in
>Culver City, California and i bought it used for $150 dollars and the
>radio is very clean and has cell mod and memory mod in which I can get
>6,400 memorys.

And you paid a fair price, too. Aren't you glad you didn't get
suckered into paying $800 for it? ;-)

>Any way, I notice there is an extra antenna connector in
>the back of the radio. I call Jun's but they have no idea whats the
>extra connector for. Can any one help me what the extra antenna
>connector is for?

Sure, it's for an external antenna (e.g. a discone on your roof).

612. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gtpO2.4277$cq3.65177@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

It is always someone else that is the problem but we always find John-Bowl Mackey in the middle. It was nice and peaceful while you were on the coast John-Bowl, go back. EVERYONE was hoping you had lost ISP number 4 or is it 5 now???

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709C220.37F91AC6@usa.net...
> That's the problem, malexder loves to create pissing contests!
>
>
> JTWard01 wrote:
>
> > Look malexder, don't get me in the middle of your flame war. The guy
> > challenged my veracity and I responded to him, period. I don't need your help,
> > just leave me out of your pissing contests.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

613. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370a2448.1808445@news>
Source record: 122906

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:38:32 GMT, lucaslyn@hotmail.com (lynn lucas) wrote:

>OK, now I think I've got it...I buy a HCD214 HamComm Interface, then I
>hook a cable up inside my scanner - shield to ground, center tap to
>the discriminator output pin through a 4.7 uF cap - run this cable to
>a jack mounted on the scanner - then plug the interface into the
>computer

Specifically, to the LINE input on your sound card.

>and connect the scanner to the interface. Then run the
>Winflex or similar software and then.....well I think I should either
>see pager data on the computer, or hear it on the scanner...Right?

Both. You'll see the decoded messages on the computer screen, and hear the hash on your scanner speaker.

>Am I missing something or is this how it's supposed to work?

The only thing you're missing is that it's illegal. ;-)

614. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7zpO2.4329$cq3.65480@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Read it again John-Bowl. He is saying, like most all in this NG do, that you are a fool. Learn to read Mackey-Loon. Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself. AGAIN!

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709CC6C.87FC7DB4@usa.net...
> So you are saying that trying to help Cheek is a foolish thing to do!
>
>
> tcrpe wrote:
>
> > How long can you go on like this?
> > Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

615. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370a25aa.2162487@news>
Source record: 122908

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:19:57 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the original)) wrote:

>WHAT is illegal about a data slicer? Explain EXACTLY please.

There is nothing illegal about a data slicer, just as there is nothing illegal about a kitchen knife. However, both can potentially be used to do something illegal. As is typical of the techno-illiterate news media, the staff writer at that paper didn't understand this and made some erroneous statements.

616. F.S. USED AOR AR8000 RECEIVER scanner w/ CX12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Holodick" <kcidoloh@ime.net>
Message-ID: <370A2ABC.34A7C64F@ime.net>
Source record: 122909

eBays AOR AR800 scanner with Optoelectronics interface for sale

search No. 87547954

617. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eda3cckf1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122910

Yup, that is the road we are headed down. In another 20 years, it will be more like Russia than Russia was !

Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote in message news:370adf6c.15750866@news-server...
> On Sun, 4 Apr 1999 13:09:16 -0700, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Bill may be headed for some rough waters. As somebody posted earlier, his
> >web sites ad for the level converter states it can be used for MDT
> >monitoring.
>
> The Internet is MORE than the repressive U.S..
>
> I can see it now, before you can buy a car, a kitchen knife, or a ball of
> twine, you'll need to sign and have notarized a statement that you will
> NOT use the items for anything illegal, and the seller carries no
> responsibility for your actions after the sale.
>
>
>
> To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

618. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <370A3021.35DD8547@home.com>
Source record: 122911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Michael Derryberry wrote:
>
> Extradition would have required a court hearing. The earliest that could
> have happened would have been today. So, chances are he is still in San
> Diego out on bail.
>
As of 2 days ago, He was not it the computer for San Diego.

--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

619. Re: PRO 2026 PROBLEM (LOW BAND QUIT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7edbj1$9g6$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122912

In article <19990402180343.11828.00001584@ng109.aol.com>, jer1538a@aol.com says...
>
>DOES ANYONE HAVE AN IDEA ABOUT WHY THE 29-54 MHZ SECTION WOULD QUIT, WITH
>EVERYTHING ELSE STILL WORKING FINE
since the 2005/2006 are very similar to the 2004, may be able to offer a couple of ideas.
The antenna input feeds a series of bnadpass filters. One filter set for each band. Please not that since I do not have a service manual anymore (loaned it to an EX-friend) I can not give the exact range of each band. Band in this useage does not mean 2mt, 10mtr etc. There are 5 or 6 filters. If a filter is defective, no signal will get through. Not real likely. More common is the failure of the logic and then the switching circutry. The CPU has 3 address lines that are decoded to proive a 1 of 6(maybe 5) select line. I have seen the 74nn decoders fail,1 CPU failed, and I have seen several of the litle switch transistors fail. I think the 2005/6 use surface mounted components, so it might not be a do it yourself type repair.
Get a diagram, locate the CPU, decoder chips, and transistor switches. (It has been a long time but I think they are labled Q601 thru Q607). Use you voltmeter to measure the base and collector of the switches/bands that work. The band/swtich that does not will likley either have a different voltage at the base (indicating bad CPU or decoders) or a the collector (indicating a bad transistor), if the volatages are correct then the filter might have failed, or there could be problem in the VCO cirucit.
I have never had any troulbe there so I can not offer any advice.
Good luck

620. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEVIN CLAYTON <kevclay@mgl.ca>
Message-ID: <7eddo8$fe5$1@goblin.uunet.ca>
Source record: 122913

all radio comunicatrions in canada can be monitered legally
kevin

W. Dean Milner <wdmilner.ns@auracom.com> wrote in message
news:370a0b01.6714141@news.auracom.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> "KEVIN CLAYTON" <kevclay@mgl.ca> wrote:
>
> >in canada cell phones and cordless are not considered private. in fact
> >they actually warn you that you can be monitered
>
> Yes they warn you but they are considered provate communications and
> it is in fact illegal to monitor them. Got check the law if you don't
> believe me.
>
>
> Dean
>
> Note: remove the (.ns) from the user id before emailing.

621. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: StevieW@webtv.com
Message-ID: <37132fae.19977864@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122914

On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

>I must say - I sure do feel sorry for Bill. Seems like a real bum rap from what
>we know at this point.

How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold.
This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that.
However, if he sold it along with explicit instructions of how to use it in an
illegal manner, that's another story. Obviously, he did something wrong or he
wouldn't have been charged. Bill never was the most ethical character around.

>The flamers and nit-pickers who have come out of the woodwork are pathetic.

You mean the ones that have ALWAYS been here? Why are they pathetic?
Becauase you are having a hard time with reality? You better wake up and
realise how the world is and what laws you're, probably, flirting with
yourself.

>Ignore them, and like a bad cold, they'll go away.

Not a chance.

>They only want an audience and contribute nothing.

Nothing? Support for law enforcement is nothing? I strongly support their
prosecution of him if he truly did sell illegal hardware and or information.
If so, I don't want any association with a criminal such as Bill Cheek.

Either way, it couldn't have happened to a "nicer" guy. Cheek has to be the
most constipated and grumpy old bastard I've ever heard spew his stuff.

622. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEVIN CLAYTON <kevclay@mgl.ca>
Message-ID: <7edek4fkj1@goblin.uunet.ca>
Source record: 122915

check this out http://www.angelfire.com/sc/ScannerNet/issues.html

KEVIN CLAYTON <kevclay@mgl.ca> wrote in message
news:7eddo8$fe5$1@goblin.uunet.ca...
> all radio comunicatrions in canada can be monitered legally
> kevin
>
> W. Dean Milner <wdmilner.ns@auracom.com> wrote in message
> news:370a0b01.6714141@news.auracom.com...
> > x-no-archive: yes
> >
> > "KEVIN CLAYTON" <kevclay@mgl.ca> wrote:
> >
> > >in canada cell phones and cordless are not considered private. in
fact
> > >they actually warn you that you can be monitered
> >
> > Yes they warn you but they are considered provate communications and
> > it is in fact illegal to monitor them. Got check the law if you don't
> > believe me.
> >
> >
> > Dean
> >
> > Note: remove the (.ns) from the user id before emailing.
>
>

623. new chat room

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BM736@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8663-370A37A4-18@newsd-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122916

```
go to talkcity type scanner-radio
```

624. pro 34 FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990406133711.04969.00002528@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122917

28 minutes left on a pro 35 on ebay...85608355

remove nospam to email

625. Stuff for Sale!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9ORJ <dingman@mail.netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <7edk32ror1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 122918

Interested parties should email to
KB9ORJ@yahoo.com
Thanks! here goes......

Bearcat 350A scanner...new in box w/all paperwork ---- 85.00
Linemans handset..Harris TS 22 w/manual brand new... goes for
18.00 -----85.00
Linemans handset MT 811 similar to harris ..less sophisticated -----85.00
Pro 2034 60ch base scanner....A+ condition! ----50.00
Mfj 949E antenna tuner ----45.00
Antique Hallicrafters CB-14 base/mobile radio ----45.00 (collectors dream)
Triplett Analog Voltmeters Qty (2) very high quality meters!!!
---- 1 w/case----45.00
----- 1 standalone ----35.00

All equipment in brand!! new or very close to new condition!!!!!!!!!!
Prices might be negotiable....maybe......

626. RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio.tv@abcdnet.com
Message-ID: <7edk2jobu4@fe2.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 122919

In abcdnet.com you can find everything about all radio-stations in world.The
Great Database of Radio Stations
THE SITE OF THE BROADCASTER!
http://www.abcdnet.com/radio

WARNING: new page from KOSOVO CONFLICT:
all radio that broadcast live over the internet. The war on air at
http://www.abcdnet.com/radio/kosovo.html

the abcdnet

627. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <7edkuk$iv@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 122920

Yes, Bill HAS helped me. Many times!

Charlie

WoolyBulle <woolybulle@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990406052932.06043.00002663@ng-da1.aol.com>...
> forget about him. He dug his own grave.Would strangers help you?
>

628. wanted sask RCMP freqs and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: beaker1998@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7edq2lgsi1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122921

jut wanted to know if anybody could help me find out what the rcmp in sask are using for radio's (GE i think) i have heard them on the old sys (165.6400) but have heard them on the 800mhz my new scanner picks them up on the 800 mhz but cant track them because of the anti scanner beeps am i correct. I have lists for regina.sk s.toon and winipeg and a great set of id's for regina's trunked system who ever has som anwser's to my problems can email me at beaker1@hotbot,com ps thanks in advance for the help

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

629. WTB: PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman <nam62@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <erv71TGg#GA.276@upnetnews03>
Source record: 122922

Looking for one in clean shape. E-mail w/details.

630. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7edpi4$8qg$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122923

Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <370a2448.1808445@news>...
>On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:38:32 GMT, lucaslyn@hotmail.com (lynn lucas)
>wrote:
>
>>OK, now I think I've got it...I buy a HCD214 HamComm Interface, then I
>>hook a cable up inside my scanner - shield to ground, center tap to
>>the discriminator output pin through a 4.7 uF cap - run this cable to
>>a jack mounted on the scanner - then plug the interface into the
>>computer
>
>Specifically, to the LINE input on your sound card.

Wha???? No, no no.... The Hamcomm decoder goes on your COM port.
>
>>and connect the scanner to the interface. Then run the
>>Winflex or similar software and then.....well I think I should either
>>see pager data on the computer, or hear it on the scanner...Right?
>
>Both. You'll see the decoded messages on the computer screen, and hear
>the hash on your scanner speaker.
Correct. You can also turn the volume all the way down so you don't have to
listen to it.
>
>>Am I missing something or is this how it's supposed to work?
>
>The only thing you're missing is that it's illegal. ;-)
>
Yup.

-Phil

631. What happened to ScanCom?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lyndx <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <7edqfc$9vj$1@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 122924

Anyone know what happened to ScanCom on Onelist? It seems to have disappeared in last week. Is this part of the problem with guy on LI who got caught posting stuff he saw on MDTs and the related issue with Bill Cheek?

632. Multi-mode decoder software - RadioRaft 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Francois Guillet <F6FLT@TheOffice.net>
Message-ID: <7edrkl$hq3$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 122925

Hi All

The new release of RadioRaft permits to decode 12 ARQ modes,
8 FEC, 3 modes by packets and many others.
It's a DOS program that runs with the Hamcomm interface or a
demodulator.
It is usefull, fast and full automatic: detection of the
speed, mode and shift in less than 15 sec.
Among the innovations with regard to version 2:
- 6 new modes: Acars, Pocsag, Pactor-I, Dup-Arq, Gmdss-Dsc
and Uosat-data (Oscar).
- shiftmeter
- FFSK and DFSK demodulation
- many improvements in the quality of the decoding

For all details and downloading:
http://www.TheOffice.net/RadioRaft/
or
http://pro.wanadoo.fr/radioraft/

The free version for a review permits to detect all modes
but displays only Baudot, Arq-E, Fec-A, Sitor-A (Amtor-A.
"Digit", the "bit by bit" mode is also available.
It is not limited in time.

Good decoding.

Francois Guillet
F6FLT@TheOffice.net

633. needed: some good freqs for LA area - PD/FIRE/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406172118.08673.00003537@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 122926

Hello All.
Will be traveling to Los Angeles CA for a week shortly. Need some good freqs to listen to. Any Freqs and PL tones would be greatly appreciated. I would be glad to offer in return anything I can on Long Island Info.

Thanks!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

634. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <FredJ@excite.com>
Message-ID: <7ee06i$348$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

actually that's not true.....check your state laws....I know it does vary depending on the crime. It used to be that way though, the limits of that statue has changed.

Bud (the original) wrote in message <370d8218.3917745@news-server>...
>On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:22:59 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Remember, Cindy could always turn & cut a a deal to be used
>>as a witness AGAINST Bill!
>
>Gee, John, I KNEW you were stupid, but THAT is a new low even for you.
>
>A wife CAN NOT testify against her husband.
>
>
>To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
>TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
>CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
>Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

635. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <370A4ADE.D8E3169F@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 122928

"Steve W." wrote:
>
> On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:
>
snip
>
> How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold.
> This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that.
> However, if he sold it along with explicit instructions of how to use it in an
> illegal manner, that's another story. Obviously, he did something wrong or he
> wouldn't have been charged. Bill never was the most ethical character around.
>
snip
>

You certainly seem to have unbounded faith in the good intentions and infallibility of the government, and specifically the criminal justice system, in the US. This wouldn't be the first time that the government has wrongfully arrested or prosecuted someone. Many people have been arrested, charged, and sometimes prosecuted, for things that were not illegal.

I don't know any of the details concerning Bill's current predicament, so I can't say if he did anything illegal or not. But it is in the realm of possibility that he was arrested for something that was not illegal.

Just my $0.02 worth.

Jeff

636. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IDwO2.6602$cq3.97927@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote in message
news:370c81b5.3818939@news-server...
> On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:19:24 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> John, john, john...
>
>
> HOW many people do you think there are reading here stupid
> enough to believe any of your continual stream of lies?
>

That is the frightening part Bud, 5 years and it is the identical stream of lies, contradictions and fabrications out of John-Bowl. He is a human lie macro.

637. Re: DO YOU BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION? 7422

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7ee4vp$c43$1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 122930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

In a previous article, bfomsd@starmedia.com () says:

>Do you believe in REINCARNATION?
>
>Do you want to know who you were and where you lived in your past life?
>
>Click here -> http://orbita.starmedia.com/~luizhenrique/reincarnation.html
>ckomlojfcpuoeyhxcmxjvjegpbkwsrcproxsuflencueoisevxrzdwsmkdvwbu
>
>

Let's see, since we posting this stuff on rec.radio.shortwave...

My Drake SW8 was a Philco Tombstone in it's past life.

My Sony 7600G was a transistor AM back in the late 50's

My Sangean 818 was an 8-track player back in '73.

As for my Baygen, it was a detector back in 1915 !

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

638. Scanner & Shortwave Site with Kosovo Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <oRvO2.553$wL5.1052@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122931

Just for those who are still looking for Kosovo Freqs please take a minute and check out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios I've been adding to the frequency list of activity military frequncies.

Also Tons of Shortwave and Scanner stuff, its one of the most current web sites you'll find.

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Thanks,
George
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

639. FA: two scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <370A9707.39AE@webdeals.net>
Source record: 122932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=87727425

640. cxa1356n data sheet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Corbin <corbin@zk3.dec.com>
Message-ID: <370A8382.F2714230@zk3.dec.com>
Source record: 122933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.homebrew

Does anyone have a data sheet on the Sony CXA1356 or
any plug in-replacement device? This chip was discontinued
by Sony in 1992 and I talked with the local Sony field office
and they called the corporate office and cannot find a datasheet.

Any help appreciated.

641. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7ee1daeme1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122934

yes its possible

lynn lucas wrote in message <37065019.8697739@news.alt.net>...
>Is it possible to monitor/intercept voice-mail messages which are sent
>over telehpone lines to 900Mhz. pagers?
>
>What kind of equipment is used and is it available in the States?
>
>Thank You!
>
>
>Lynn
>
>

642. FS: Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7edcm2$2vn$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122935

I have an Optocom for sale. Less than 3 months old. It comes with the original box, manual, antenna, power supply, software, etc. I am selling it because 155.625 is a "birdie" and I can't hear a nearby department on that freqency at all. $400 plus shipping.

643. Re: WINTONE 2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990405200047.09389.00003471@ng05.aol.com>
Source record: 122941

how do u have the audio hooked up

644. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <3709529b.2746565@news>
Source record: 122942

On 5 Apr 1999 20:28:52 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)
>
>>
>>No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
>>NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????
>
>Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the
>article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either.
>Here's a direct quote:
>
>[...] The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased
>a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily
>available yesterday."
>
>
>Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
>small point, but if you're going to bitch about other people's accuracy, then
>you should hold to the same standard, no?

As should you.

You asser that the Secret Service agent bought the device and shipped
it to NY, when the article clearly states that THE CHEEKS where the
ones who shipped the illegal decoder to NY.

Gosh, USENET is fun!!!

645. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <37095457.3190597@news>
Source record: 122943
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 12:35:39 -0700 (PDT), mgdforty@webtv.net (Radio Dude) wrote:

>I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars!

Yeah, right. Pull this one and it plays "Jingle Bells." ;-)

646. Re: What mods can be done to a Uniden/Bearcat BC2500 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990405205838.06058.00003146@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 122944

>From: beavis@e-z.net

>I was wondering what kind of mod's can be done on a u.s. BC 2500 XLT.
>Is cellular restoration a possibilty?
>

Yes........
Visit the site below.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

647. Re: Pro 43 Set Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7ebm69$221@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122945

In article <KVcO2.289$XY4.34118@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"rjwalley" <rjwalley@kalamazoo.net> wrote:
>Does anyone know of a good web page that gives information for setting up a
>Pro 43 scanner or a scanner with 10 channels? I thought I ran across one
>once but can't find it now.
>
>Thanks
>Bob

Well for the PRO43 begin by selecting the correct batteries since it is a
handheld. Then an appropriate antenna with a BNC jack. And remember to
secure it if it is being used for a mobile application in a vehicle.

This is a very general question, you should be more specific.

648. MVT 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990405222503.21597.00004101@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122946

Anyone have a MVT 7100 , thinking of getting one , just would like to know how you liked it or disliked it.

jc

remove nospam to email

649. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucaslyn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370b7192.7535238@news.alt.net>
Source record: 122947

OK, now I think I've got it...I buy a HCD214 HamComm Interface, then I hook a cable up inside my scanner - shield to ground, center tap to the discriminator output pin through a 4.7 uF cap - run this cable to a jack mounted on the scanner - then plug the interface into the computer and connect the scanner to the interface. Then run the Winflex or similar software and then.....well I think I should either see pager data on the computer, or hear it on the scanner...Right?

Am I missing something or is this how it's supposed to work?

btw, I'm a tech, and it's got nothing to do with my boyfriend! :)

Lynn

650. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <37097205.7388CF53@home.com>
Source record: 122948

William Donnelly wrote:
>
> ALSO if anyone in the califorina area seen a article on cheeks actually beeing
> arrested please post the paper Dont belive the new york papers!!! they make alot of
> mistakes
>
> Shiva Nataraja wrote:
>
> > On 5 Apr 1999 20:28:52 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >
> > >>From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
> > > >>NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????
> > > >
> > > >Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the
> > > >article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either.
> > > >Here's a direct quote:
> > > >
> > > >[...] The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased
> > > >a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily
> > > >available yesterday."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
> > > >small point, but if you're going to bitch about other people's accuracy, then
> > > >you should hold to the same standard, no?
> > >
> > > As should you.
> > >
> > > You asser that the Secret Service agent bought the device and shipped
> > > it to NY, when the article clearly states that THE CHEEKS where the
> > > ones who shipped the illegal decoder to NY.
> > >
> > > Gosh, USENET is fun!!!

I live in San Diego and have not heard a word on the local news. If I do
hear anything locally I will post it
Jack
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

651. AOR 8200 scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S.O." <soving@magma.ca>
Message-ID: <tHeO2.784$MR1.3917209@news.magma.ca>
Source record: 122949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.other,rec.radio.scanner

AOR 8200 guaranteed same as new. Unblocked cellular band. Purchased Feb 26, used for 10 days and put back in box. Warranty is transferable. Not interested in shipping to US. I am in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Save big dollars... Must sell this week...

Want to get $490 US or $740 Canadian + shipping. Do your homework and let me know if this isn't a good deal.

you get, AOR 8200 unblocked scanner
4 ni-cad rechargeable batteries
12v car lighter adapter
AOR Premium Leatherette carry case with clear keypad cover ($16-20 US)
wrist-carry strap
Warranty certificate transferred to your name, manuals and original box / packaging.

If you can find a scratch or mark on this I will give you your money back....

Thanks,

reply via sovin@magma.ca

652. Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: soderman@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3707cce1.4356450@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122950

Don't know much about scanning, but a Bearcat 210XL has landed in my lap and I was wondering if it's considered a piece of junk by today's standards. I don't have a manual, so I really have no idea what the freq ranges are for it. Appears to accomodate less than 20 memories or so. All I know is if I punch in the freq for a local 2m/440cm repeater, it receives at that freq. Anyone know the frequency specs, have a manual, or can tell me if this thing is worth keeping or even how to verify that all features and freqs are working? Is there a built-in self test or anything like that?

Thanks.

Walt

653. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7ec4b3$4fe$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122951

Hello All,

I have a Ham Radio & Electronics page
that has lots of info on Satellites, Satellite Tracking,
Yaesu, FT-847, HF, VHF/UHF, a Ham Radio Resources Page with
tons of USEFUL links, My own HF rig reviews, plus other interesting info.
Come and check out my page, and drop a message off to my Guest Book.
The URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

654. Re: FS:RS PRO-62 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Little <ve6ci@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <37098776.C89B2B80@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 122952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,red.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Is it cell blocked ?

Don/VE6CI

Andrew wrote:

> Radio Shack Hand Held Scanner - includes, supplied antenna, rechargable
> nicads (that hold a good charge), and a wall charger.
>
> Unit is in excellent condition with the exception of a few tiny surface
> scratches in the display that you can only see if you hold the radio on
> an angle, it does not affect seeing the display at all.
>
> Receives:
> 30-54
> 118-136.975
> 137-174
> 380-512
> 806-823.9875
> 849.0125-868.9875
> 894.0125-960
>
> I will sell this for $125 + shipping to anywhere in the continental US.
>
> This radio is guranteed to work 100% on arrival and be in the exact
> condition as stated.
>
> --
> ************** DO NOT AUTOREPLY *************
>
> TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
> ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT
>
> XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET
>
> **

655. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <370986C5.1C3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122953
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

I HOPE THAT RADIOS WOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED CELL PHONES.

BUT THEN AGAIN WHAT ARE CELL PHONES EXPECT DUPLEX RADIOS
WHICH MOST PEOPLE SEEM TO FORGET AND BLAB ABOUT EVERYTHING ON THEM

Thomas D Jones Jr wrote:
>
> GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were
> talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
>
> But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered cell
> phones?
>
> -**** Posted from RemarQ, http://www.remarq.com/b ****-
> Real Discussions for Real People

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

656. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <370987F7.7DBB@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122954
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

AND WHAT IS SLICK ABOUT THE CITY?

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Exciting, sexy and Polish is an oxy moron isn't it?
>
> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <37078DF2.458C@ameritech.net>...
> :http://www.newsnet5.com/news/stories/news-990323-064619.html
> :
> :
> :--
> :This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> :Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> :that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> :Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

657. Re: SPRING, CLOCKS, WEATHER RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37098974.154A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122955
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.culture.usa,oh.general

THANK YOU MR. RICK. I HOPE THAT YOU HAVE A BETTER WEATHER PATTERN THIS
YEAR

Rick wrote:
>
> "SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!!" wrote:
> >
> > FOR THIOSE OF YOU WHO LIVE IN AREAS WHERE YOU SET YOUR CLOCKS BACK OR
> > AHEAD AN HOUR, DID YOU REMEMBER TO DO THAT TODAY?
> >
> > ALSO DID YOU CHANGE THE BATTEIES IN YOUR SMOKE DECTECTOR AND/OR
> > WEATHER RADIO?
>
> Good advice about the batteries in the smoke detector and weather radio,
> Brooklyn Kid!.
>
> This past week was the one year anniversary of when the tornados
> touched down about 15 miles from here, killing over 20 people and
> injuring hundreds. And this week, is the anniversary of the tornado that
> hit Dunwoody, Ga. a suburb of Atlanta. And we have two more
> "anniversaries" in the next week so that we all can "Remember" (as if we
> would forget!) the four tornados that hit this area in 3 weeks.
> Yep, when the wind's arising and the trees are afalling ain't the time
> to start to wonder "Am I ready for a disaster? What am I going to do
> now?"
> Have a plan!~!
> KNOW the plan~!
> Have batteries THAT work~!!
> A lot of folks in this area purchased "Severe Weather" Alert Radios!
> AND listen to them!
> And a Special THANKS to all the volunteers who work with Weather Alert
> and Disaster Relief.

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

658. Sensitivity of BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <IRgO2.7916$6W3.14893646@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122956

Does anyone know the sensitivity specifications for the BC895? I have seen nothing on this, and would appreciate any info.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

659. Indoor Antenna Setup for BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <rYgO2.7917$6W3.14896319@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122957

I have limited space for an antenna for the BC895, so I would prefer not to buy a large base antenna. Also, mounting outside is not feasible. What are some recommendations for indoor antennas? I'd like to improve on the whip that is supplied with the scanner. Also, would a pre-amp be useful with this radio? I'm in a location where there are not too many strong signals, so I'm not worried about overloading the scanner. Any recommendations on a good pre-amp if it is needed? Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

660. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <3709ADA8.E6132F4B@home.com>
Source record: 122958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

If it is true and it is true that he was arrested by federal agents, then
he would be at the MCC in downtown SD on Front St.
BTW, they must tell you if someone is in custody.
Phone 619-232-4311

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
> by the
> federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
> will know we are all thinking of him!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

661. WTB Electra Bearcat Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17173-3709A413-134@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122959

Top dollar paid for Electra Bearcat scanners in good condition. Thanks!
scannerfreq@webtv.net

662. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com
Message-ID: <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 122960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 09:05:23 GMT, ftbonnemeyer@t-online.de (Frank-Th. Bonnemeyer) wrote:

>In article <7e5ru2fck1@reader1.wxs.nl>, "Bommeltje" <bommeltje@tref.nl> wrote:
>>>G.Buysen heeft geschreven
>>
>>He, waar ging het originele bericht nou ook al weer over??? :-)
>>
>>
>Also die Liste mit den Kosovo.-Frequenzen, die auf diversen Homes-Pages
>ver�fflichtlich ist ist K�se.
>
>Z.B. die als "Hot" vermerkte Frequenz 11300 kHz, "Serbia Fighters, in very
>excited voices" ist nichts anderes als die AFI3/MID-2; 6G 13H-Frequenz,
>f�r Upper Road Fl�ge �ber Nordafrika/Mittlerer Osten. Meist ist
>da Cairo Aero zu h�ren, ab und zu auch schon mal Khartoum Aero.
>Was sich "very excited" anh�rt sind nicht anderes als Linienpiloten, die
>manchmal ein bi�chen aufgeregter und lauter reden. 1. wegen Kurzwelle,
>2. weil das Englisch der dortigen Fluglotsen nicht gerade das beste ist.
>
>Glaubt denn einer, Serbian Fighters senden im Klartext? Und dann
>noch auf Kurzwelle?
>
>Frank

663. For Sale Pro 23 Scanner Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3709b4ce.707268@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122961

selling a radio shack pro 23 police scanner 50 channels
has 2a4 date code ok cond comes with telesopic ant...
price is 100.00 shipped via priorty mail or ups ground to USA
customers email me if you want a 800 mhz scanner hears some cell
800mhz thanks

664. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <3709B63E.1898DB6C@home.com>
Source record: 122962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)

Sean Wolfe wrote:

> If it is true and it is true that he was arrested by federal agents, then
> he would be at the MCC in downtown SD on Front St.
> BTW, they must tell you if someone is in custody.
> Phone 619-232-4311
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
> > by the
> > federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
> > will know we are all thinking of him!
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

665. Re: FS:RS PRO-62 HH Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3709B6D8.9CA@erienet.net>
Source record: 122963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,red.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Don Little wrote:
>
> Is it cell blocked ?
>
> Don/VE6CI
>
> Andrew wrote:
>
> > Radio Shack Hand Held Scanner - includes, supplied antenna, rechargable
> > nicads (that hold a good charge), and a wall charger.
> >
> > Unit is in excellent condition with the exception of a few tiny surface
> > scratches in the display that you can only see if you hold the radio on
> > an angle, it does not affect seeing the display at all.
> >
> > Receives:
> > 30-54
> > 118-136.975
> > 137-174
> > 380-512
> > 806-823.9875
> > 849.0125-868.9875
> > 894.0125-960
> >
> > I will sell this for $125 + shipping to anywhere in the continental US.
> >
> > This radio is guranteed to work 100% on arrival and be in the exact
> > condition as stated.
> >
> > --
> > ********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********
> >
> > TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
> > ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT
> >
> > XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET
> >
> > **
Don,

Look at the specs he gave. The 823 to 849 is the cell phone transmit.
They are missing. The 868 to 894 are the cell tower transmit. They are
missing. This scanner cannot recieve cell frequencies. Do not buy it

thinking that you can modify it because you can't. You could use a down converter however. It is still a very good 200 channel scanner. I have had 2 of them and the price is very good. If you really want cellular buy a cell capable scanner to begin with.
Good Luck
Rick

666. Re: Cheek arrested: article online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7ecerm$9rs$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 122964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Harry Marnell (marnells@snowcrest.net) hath said:
: the Long Island Newsday article from today, April 2, is online at
:
: http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129117078x0y7001&OIDS=1Q001D027&For
: m=RL&bp=no (that whole thing is the URL...from http to bp=no)

It won't let you access it typing in the entire URL, it has some
type of timestamp on it, and once you've left the article for a
lenght of time it timed out, and you can't get back to it from
there.. I'm interested in this, I'll just sift though newsday.com
and see if I can find it manually.
Jimmy
--
,---. Dialup Networking could not negotiate a compatible set
CO-|| Y of network protocols you specified in the server type
O || | settings. Check your network configuration in the control
| D J panel and try the connection again.
// /"""' -- Welcome to Windows 95
`'`'

667. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709C2A4.D78BD5EE@usa.net>
Source record: 122965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gee whiz, this it what people brought to the surface & substantiated THREE days ago and you JUST NOW figured it out!!!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
> is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island
> newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

668. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709C391.3C4F2B1B@usa.net>
Source record: 122966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Who was the officer & what dept were they with?

Sean Wolfe wrote:

> Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
> talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

669. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709C220.37F91AC6@usa.net>
Source record: 122967

That's the problem, malexder loves to create pissing contests!

JTWard01 wrote:

> Look malexder, don't get me in the middle of your flame war. The guy
> challenged my veracity and I responded to him, period. I don't need your help,
> just leave me out of your pissing contests.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

670. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709C3E0.540FD63F@usa.net>
Source record: 122968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Not true if he had been already sent to New York as a part of extradition.

Sean Wolfe wrote:

> If it is true and it is true that he was arrested by federal agents, then
> he would be at the MCC in downtown SD on Front St.
> BTW, they must tell you if someone is in custody.
> Phone 619-232-4311

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

671. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: woolybulle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406052932.06043.00002663@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 122969

forget about him. He dug his own grave.Would strangers help you?

672. ar2500 repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: woolybulle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406054316.06043.00002668@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 122970

GARY I can't figure out your address.

673. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709D19C.4F0E381F@usa.net>
Source record: 122971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

You said "I seriously doubt..... But I wish I knew for sure", obviously showing you are
mearly speculating with no factual basis to speak from.

So lets speak from the facts that we ARE aware of:

1. Bill (& Cindy) were arrested on federal charges & charges from New York State.
2. Bill has a known history of monitoring the public AND confidential communications
of law enforcement. (according to his web site)
3. Bill has had previous troubles with the law - including violence (according to admissions he has made on this NG)
4. Bill has been known to use false identities in the past. (actions on this NG)
5. Bill has been known to have people forge themselves as him to gain his desired goal.
(having BUD post messages under his ID)

OBVIOUSLY, he is a risk under the catagories of "flight or violent".

Now, some VERY REAL possibilities:

It is a well known fact that he left Colorado with dependent children under the age of 18. If he has any un-resolved child custody, child support payments, (or ANY other) legal actions he would likely be facing eventual extradition to ANY state to address those matters IN ADDITION to the current charges.

If he is on parole or probation for the previous legal problems he has had then these recent actions would be seen as a violation of his conditions of parole/probation & he would be looking at more time even YET regardless of being found guilty or innocent of the current charges.

(Something as simple as an un-paid parking ticket from 10 years ago could trip him up even worse than he is already!)

Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope others do the same.

allsafe wrote:
>I seriously doubt that the magistrate/federal authorities would haveconsidered him a flight or >violent offender risk. He and Cindy were probably released the same day pending a trial >date.
SNIP

> But I wish I knew for sure.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

674. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709CC17.5FE03B5E@usa.net>
Source record: 122972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Phil-

How dare you!

How MEAN you are to kick a man when he is down!

I am offering legitimate concern & assistance for Cheek in this dark hour
for
him by trying to promote letter writing to him to raise his morale after his

recent arrest on federal charges & encouraging people to contibute to a
legal defense fund for him.

Yet you use this as another stage for your childish attack on me AGAIN!

You should be ashamed.

Phil Smalley wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3707F1C6.EFA2F1DD@usa.net>...
> >Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
> >by the federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This
> way, he
> >will know we are all thinking of him!
>
> TO ALL:
> Please ignore any postings you find from this individual. He only wants
> attention.

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

675. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709CC6C.87FC7DB4@usa.net>
Source record: 122973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

So you are saying that trying to help Cheek is a foolish thing to do!

tcrpe wrote:

> How long can you go on like this?
> Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

676. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3709D92C.82C94170@netxn.com>
Source record: 122974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Extradition would have required a court hearing. The earliest that could have happened would have been today. So, chances are he is still in San Diego out on bail.

Mike Derryberry

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Not true if he had been already sent to New York as a part of extradition.
>
> Sean Wolfe wrote:
>
> > If it is true and it is true that he was arrested by federal agents, then
> > he would be at the MCC in downtown SD on Front St.
> > BTW, they must tell you if someone is in custody.
> > Phone 619-232-4311
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

677. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709D273.993D79FC@usa.net>
Source record: 122975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On the other hand, the fund might go broke with with them being found
as guilty.

Remember, Cindy could always turn & cut a a deal to be used
as a witness AGAINST Bill!

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> No, I think Mr. Ward said what was correct. If there is any money left
> at the end of the procedings ... meaning after the case against Bill and
> Cindy is dropped.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

678. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709D520.35E69A78@usa.net>
Source record: 122976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

MAlexder-

Once again you make a FOOL of yourself. I never said I would "oversee"
or "collect" funds "on Cheek's behalf". Rather, I suggest that such a collection

should start & that SOMEONE should volunteer to take charge of it.

Once again you may see my words under your qoute!

MAlexder wrote:
>All this talk about a legal defense fund is meant to be a joke. Does
>anyone really believe that Mackey would collect funds for a Cheek
>defense (assuming that he even needs one)? Does anyone really believe
>that there are people who would Mackey money to oversee on Cheek's behalf?

From: jmackey@usa.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
alt.fan.bill.cheek
Subject: Legal defense fund for Cheek
Date: Sun, 04 Apr 1999
SNIP
>Therefore, I now propose to the group that we officially start a legal
>defense fund for Mr. Cheek & his wife.
SNIP
>I would like to hear from people who would be willing to act as a
>central point of contact for receiving & holding this defense fund.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

679. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709D34E.C9665751@usa.net>
Source record: 122977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Son, if you can't stand the heat then get out of the kitchen!

Andrew Porrett wrote:
>You don't have any friends here.
>Do us all a favour and go away.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

680. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3709D717.323DA73B@usa.net>
Source record: 122978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Mike Burch wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> > Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was
> > brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?
> >
> > George Vetterle wrote:
> >
> > > I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
> > > Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?
> >
>
> I think John Mackey is a great guy because he has the stones to stand
> up to what he thinks is right regardless of anything else. He does not
> cave in. I think Bill Cheek is an arrogant ass and need to get knocked
> down a few pegs and the feds are going to do that. Mike K8MB

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

681. Kosovo Crise Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tpierre <tpierre@freemail.c3.hu>
Message-ID: <3709e1f4.0@ganymede.datanet.hu>
Source record: 122979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

GOOD DAY EVERYONE !

Here are all the freqencies that I have found so far on the web to monitor the
Kosovo crisis.

Freq;Freq2;Type;Category1;Category2;Name;Chanell;Mode;Mode Comment
51,94;;Kososvo;Military;;Russian Navy, ???;;50bd/85 36-50, tfc;
1 476,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;B92 (Beograd-Wien);;;
2 962,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
3 081,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NB;;
3 097,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
3 160,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;"Army 352nd SOG in Bosnia ""Black Hat""
and ""Promenade""";;;
3 178,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push Unknown;;;
3 225,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NC;;
3 357,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
3 840,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
eng ch ""muh 04 de mkk lolololololo pal kkilo""";
3 840,91;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc;
3 900,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
3 930,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
4 542,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NE;;
4 612,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
4 720,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NF;;
4 724,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
4 724,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
4 742,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Royal Air Force?? Miller Time;;;
4 758,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NH;;
4 777,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
5 218,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push Unknown;;;
5 245,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;;;;
5 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
5 325,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;;
5 349,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Training NET;Ch661G;;
5 691,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
6 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
6 628,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
6 693,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;USN Voice Coordination Net;;;
6 693,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
6 695,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";KF;;
6 697,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Navy High Command Voice Freqs;;;

6 712,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
6 712,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
6 712,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;France AirForce;;;
6 728,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO AWACS Net;;;
6 728,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Awacs;;;
6 729,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
6 734,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Serb Military;;;
6 738,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
6 739,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
6 739,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
6 739,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
6 754,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XC;;
6 760,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";KD;;
6 761,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
6 761,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Air Refueling Primary;;;
6 762,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NI;;
6 773,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;;
6 819,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
6 865,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
6 865,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81B;;;
6 870,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
6 912,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;French AirForce;;;
6 932,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81B;;;
6 959,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
7 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Yugoslavia;;;
7 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Sweden;;;
7 125,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
7 130,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Yugoslavia;;;
7 160,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
7 160,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
7 180,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
7 180,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
7 195,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
7 250,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
7 701,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch
""muh 45 de mkd"" RIs RBQPZ, RBQPY";
7 701,91;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
tfc for exercise net;
7 916,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch
""gyu de mkd qsy to ft065 m8y kkilo""";
7 916,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto;
7 919,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
7 960,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;DCN 8 ch. interleaved vft;on
USB. Only chan2 active.
7 960,77;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift;"Chan2 in
VFT. ""iry's qbf figs test de MKD"" Note the use of 75 not 50bd. "
8 046,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81V;;;
8 048,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
8 080,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;

8 825,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
8 921,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
8 968,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
8 968,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
8 971,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
8 971,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";AB;;
8 971,00;;Kososvo;;;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";A4;;
8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XD;;
8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Awacs Magic;;;
8 986,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NJ;;
8 987,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;CW, TAF WX for EG-series
airfields;
8 989,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Miliary Trafic;;;
8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
8 992,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
8 992,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Miliary Trafic;;;
9 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
9 023,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
9 037,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
9 118,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
9 118,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 82B;;;
9 130,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;ITA2 75Bd 850Hz shift;CARB
9 190,51;;Kososvo;Military;;British Forces, ???;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""mkd de muh 45 have sync now pal""";
9 191,31;;Kososvo;Military;;British Forces, ???;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc;
9 260,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 82B;;;
9 269,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
9 570,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
9 620,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
9 650,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
9 925,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Croatia;;;
10 199,34;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;"VFT: 7ch of 50bd/200
Baudot, ""ryiryi... test de mkk""";
10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Naval Net;;;
10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";A9;;
10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XE;;
10 780,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
10 865,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
11 031,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch
idle;
11 031,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
tfc;
11 032,32;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
tfc;
11 167,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Airlift CommandTransport;;;

11 173,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 83A;;;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Kosovo Standard Freq;;USB;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;WAR Started;;;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
11 175,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
11 176,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
11 228,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";AA;;
11 230,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Trafic Heard;;;
11 233,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Trafic Heard;;;
11 239,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bomber Dumbo-Bravo;;;
11 243,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Gibralta FlightWach giving aviation weather for
Italy Military;;;
11 244,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
11 244,00;;Kososvo;;;Long EAM;;;
11 245,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
11 246,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;;;;
11 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Navy High Command Voice Freqs;;;
11 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;WAR Started;;;
11 270,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NK;;
11 271,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
11 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Serbia Fighters;;;
11 309,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
11 370,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Air Trafic Controll;;;
11 415,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio B92;;USB;
11 830,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
12 000,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
12 020,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
12 110,00;;Kososvo;Press;;ROMPRES News, Bucharest;;50bd/500 Baudot, NX about
EU integration;
12 145,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;VFT;DCN 8 chan
interleaved vft on USB. Chans idle.
12 919,30;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;VFT;4 chan vft on USB.
12 919,90;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;100Bd crypto 200Hz
shift;Chan 1. One line encrypted.
12 920,41;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;75Bd crypto 85Hz
shift;Chan 2. Blocked. Online encrypted ACF 7bit.
12 921,09;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift;Chan
3 in vft. CARB with ID MGJ.
12 921,85;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;100Bd crypto 850Hz
shift;Chan 4 in vft. On line encrypted.
13 200,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
13 200,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
13 200,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
13 354,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
13 430,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch
""muh de mkd zub 1312z lolololololo""";
13 430,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto

tfc;
13 431,32;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
tfc;
13 580,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;Piccolo;VFT with
single idle channel on USB
13 580,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;Piccolo;"13580.510.
Eng channel idle till 0200z when ""MTS deMKK zub 0200z lo pal plse qsy to f6
f6 f6 kkkk"" 0202z"
13 820,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Croatia;;;
14 593,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""de mts plse to
qsy to the f61 f61 f61 mate k zub 1108z""";
14 599,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT;8 channel interleaved DCN
vft on USB. Only channel 2 active.
14 599,70;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift;"Chan 2
in cft. RYIs qbf figs and ""test de MKD""."
14 708,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""de mkk rgrgrgrg
m8 kkilo""";
15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
15 016,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
15 050,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NL;;
15 595,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
15 595,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
15 959,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
16 424,40;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
16 442,40;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Naval Voice Coordination Hotel Tracking Net;;;
16 442,40;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
16 444,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
17 967,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
17 967,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
17 996,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NM;;
18 006,00;;Kososvo;;;ANDREWS;;;
18 422,02;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""ryryryryr gec de
mts qsy f3401 m8y cip cip cip""";
20 015,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
23 214,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
25 012,30;;Kososvo;;;NAVY FASLANE;;RTTY;FW
121 375,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Traffic around Padova Military;;;
122 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common VHF Tower/GCA/Approach Control;;;
123 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Tasz&#65533;r Tower (Hungary-NATO)Airport;;;
123 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Primary;;;
123 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common VHF Low Level/GCA/Final Approach;;;
123 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Szolnok Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport;;;
124 500,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Kapos&#65533;jlak Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport;;;
129 735,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Traffic around Padova Military;;;
130 425,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Unicom;;;
138 700,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Secundary;;;
156 300,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Air/Ship;;;

156 800,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Distress;CH16;;
160 600,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Emergency;Ch00;;
241 900,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
243 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;International Calling / Emergency Wordwide;;;
243 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Guard /Watch;;;
244 600,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searc and Rescuse (Scene of Search);;;
249 875,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Discrete Operations;;;
252 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searc and Rescuse (traning);;;
257 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Tower;;;
277 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;US Navy Fleet Common;;;
278 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
279 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Combat Scene of Action;;;
280 600,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Jamming /Strike Safety;;;
282 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Saearc and Rescue (secundary);;;
282 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Saearc and Rescue (primary);;;
300 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Low Level;;;
317 500,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar Fix;;;
337 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Transit Control;;;
344 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar / Director;;;
356 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Jamming /Strike Safety;;;
362 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Approch Control;;;
369 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
372 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO German AirForce;;;
385 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar/Final Approach;;;

682. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990406070413.05336.00002800@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 122980

Excellent post, Phil. I think it's a real stretch to try to implicate Bill in this incident on the part of the government. Of course, we haven't seen the charges or the equipment specified. But at first glance it would appear that Keith is in violation of more than just 1934 Comm Act disclosure violation:

-Unauthorized disclosure of vehicle registrations (State Charge)
-Unauthorized disclosure of driver's license data (State Charge)
-Unauthorized disclosure of state law enforcement data systems (State Charge)
-Unauthorized disclosure of criminal history info (State and Federal Charge)
-Depending on what was posted he possibly could have been charged with obstruction of justice / interfering with police.

Now the interesting thing here is where and how it was "disclosed" - a web page. I'm not so certain there is a legal precedence here. (Does this really constitute "disclosure"?). Yes, it's available to the public, but unless he advertised it's presence, it's not really the same thing as verbally relating the information or handing someone a printout. If his state will supply you with a printout of the MDT traffic under FOIA request then it's another stretch to call this "disclosure". As Bill Clinton might say - "define disclosure".

Think about it - by posting to a web page you are making info available. But you aren't sending it to anyone - they have to search for it's location and then access your site to get the data. This line of reasoning goes out the window once you start advertising that the data is posted on your site.

I must say - I sure do feel sorry for Bill. Seems like a real bum rap from what we know at this point. The flamers and nit-pickers who have come out of the woodwork are pathetic. Ignore them, and like a bad cold, they'll go away. They only want an audience and contribute nothing.

>I'm speculating here, but it seems to me that Keith was arrested because he decided to post MDT data on his website (a violation of the ECPA of 1934, and a really stupid thing to do anyhow) using one of Bill's devices. This means that Bill is being charged as an accessory. Think about it - our federal government is saying that selling a product is illegal if a customer
>can use it to violate the law.

683. Re: Question on Icom R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ving@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ect86$o68$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122981

In article <3707F528.3FCF6A5@magicnet.net>,
Bill Grassa <grassa@magicnet.net> wrote:
> Is the Icom SP3 speaker the same height for the Icom R-7100? , in other
> words , is it the exact match?
>
> Bill
> KE4ATS
>
>

Check the dimensions of the R7000 as compared with the R7100. I have an SP3
speaker for the R7000 and its an exact match.

Mike

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

684. R7000 and R71A for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ving@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ectjt$oc7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122982

Icom R7000 with SP3 speaker and TV decoder. $750 COD (+ shipping).
Icom R71A with CW filter, SP3 speaker. $750 COD (+ shipping).

If you're interested or need further information, contact me via:

maoreschak@ucdavis.edu

Thanks,
Mike

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

685. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7ecrft$8sf$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>,
pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com says...
>
>SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????
snip
Contrary to popular opinion, the web is international and non english
speakers use the web. Lets not show the world how narrow minded we really
are. After all we big and powerfull enough that we should not feal
threatened by non english posts. Just ignore them and read on.

686. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ecrsh$t38$0@207.18.224.88>
Source record: 122984

On 5 Apr 1999 15:52:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>All,
>
> Any defense fund should be set up as a non-interest bearing trust fund at a
>commercial bank. Donations would go to the bank, not to any individual. The

Although I agree with most of what you posted, why should the fund
be in a non-interest bearing account? This could drag out for a
while, and the interest could only help the balance.

687. FA: AOR AR-3000A Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark S. Conway" <mconway@nantucket.net>
Message-ID: <7ectep$2on$0@208.28.237.8>
Source record: 122985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=87483564

688. Belgium freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjcarels <pjcarels@westbrabant.net>
Message-ID: <7eggkv$l2c$3@news.concepts.nl>
Source record: 122736

http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/scanja5.html

689. Re: New FCC rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eggsg$6d7$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122737

Hans K0HB wrote in message <7eg5rseiu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>A copy of the Report and Order is available at
>http://www2.arrl.org/announce/et98-RandO.html.

This may not be the most popular opinion voiced on this NG regarding this matter, but I like this report, and here's why:

Reason #1: These provisions effectively protect the Cellular Service bands, eliminating the need and driving force behind HR-514. Citing this report, all of us should be able to convince even the dimmest Congress member that HR-514 is redundant and useless.

Reason #2: These provisions mean the next generation of scanners available on the market will be much less susceptible to ANY images, not just those in the cellular band. It is my opinion that dual conversion scanners like the SC150, PRO 2026, BC230, BC890, MS-200 and others are sloppily designed, making them too susceptible to images and intermod which detracts from the scanning experience. When I'm listening to our local Police on 453.1000 I sure as hell have no desire to listen to some pager tower broadcasting its' noise a whopping 500+ Mhz away from the frequency I'm listening to! Even my BC895 with it's triple conversion design fails miserably when connected to a high gain antenna. Thank God it has CTCSS or I'd go nuts! I'm really looking forward to buying a BC245xlt now...

Reason #3: These rules are fair and unbiased, unlike the HR514 Bill. The FCC states clearly that it views scanning receivers as valuable devices:
" In doing so, we recognize that there are many beneficial and lawful uses for scanning receivers, such as monitoring police, fire and rescue transmissions, obtaining weather notifications, sporting event communications, and disaster communications. The Commission's rules will allow continued consumer availability of these valuable devices."

Bravo! This is a far cry from the CTIA's obvious opinion of scanners being nothing more than a public threat that should no longer be allowed. This is more ammunition to use to ensure that HR-514 either dies a quick death or is amended to ensure the availability of analog AND digital scanners both now and in the future.

Furthermore, the FCC has finally acknowledged the voices of many who demand that these sensitive communications be encrypted! Although they said that such an issue was beyond the scope of this proposal, it is a good start, and should be immediately exploited by scanner users and manufacturers alike.

I'd personally like to know how we could get together and create a proposal of our own to bring before the Commission and push for strong encryption of Cellular communications. Perhaps someone like JTWard or Rich Wells could

head up such an operation. If we can get FCC rules amended to require cellular encryption then we can eliminate further threats like HR-514.

-Phil

690. VHF/UHF HT or Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pickard <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <zYPO2.3960$iZ1.208@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 122738
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Hi,
I'm about to start training for my NRAE (UK). I need a HT or moblie
that is under £100
Somthing decent. Doesn't have to be a "brand". I'm not bothered about
apperances but it must work to the UK specs etc...

Please email me:

<Pickards@zen.co.uk>

73 de David

691. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407171826.18124.00003174@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122739

I think a lot of folks have been scared away from this scanner because of the PR about the " White Noise" that it generates.

I believe improvements were made in later production models. If yours is a later model, I'll take it for $450 shipped to California.

If it is a later model and you agree on the price, would you please e-mail me your address for payment.

692. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <370bcb73.1295841@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 122740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 22:33:47 GMT, mjultra@hotmail.com (majic) wrote:

>On 6 Apr 1999 11:34:21 GMT, we@bulldog.org (HighWaysinHiding) wrote:
>
>>In article <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>,
>>pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com says...
>>>
>>>SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????
>>snip
>>Contrary to popular opinion, the web is international and non english
>>speakers use the web. Lets not show the world how narrow minded we really
>>are. After all we big and powerfull enough that we should not feal
>>threatened by non english posts. Just ignore them and read on.
>>
>
>I do have to point out that this particular newsgroup is aimed at the
>UK scanner enthusiast, hence the name "alt.radio.scanner.uk"

You may think you are addressing only one newsgroup
(alt.radio.scanner.uk) but you are simultaneously posting to the
following 6 newsgroups:

alt.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner.uk, aus.radio.scanner,
de.alt.radio-scanner, nl.radio.scanners, rec.radio.scanner

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

693. Re: bc235xlt leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407174644.25133.00003829@ng66.aol.com>
Source record: 122741

Hi Scott: Yes! The leather case fits like a glove on the BC235XLT. I did the same thing-ordering through RS (as it's a special order). It arrived within a week in a manilla envelope. E-mail me with any other questions! Happy scanning!

>Subject: Re: bc235xlt leather case
>From: Scott Orshan <sdo@beasys.com>
>Date: 4/7/99 1:44 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <370BC3BF.AACD6C4C@beasys.com>
>
>yabyum@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone know if the Radio Schack's leather case for the Pro-90 will fit
>> on the Uniden BC235XLT. The shape of the pro-90 looks a bit different than
>> the 235 in the catalog.
>>

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

694. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjultra@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370bd1f4.1428063@supernews.nildram.co.uk>
Source record: 122742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

>You may think you are addressing only one newsgroup
>(alt.radio.scanner.uk) but you are simultaneously posting to the
>following 6 newsgroups:
>
>alt.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner.uk, aus.radio.scanner,
>de.alt.radio-scanner, nl.radio.scanners, rec.radio.scanner

Oooops.......
Me do a stupid thing...... apologies for cross posting, it was not my
intention to get 147 E-mails (currently) about this !

695. BC 55xlt mod need

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Oakeson <oakie@tamu.edu>
Message-ID: <370BD5DF.F02E86EA@tamu.edu>
Source record: 122743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a 10 channel Bearcat 55xlt. I am looking for a mod that will allow aircraft band and or more channels, or anything.

Thanks
Ken

696. Re: Strange noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7egkg6$im4$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 122744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

williams (davew@wizzards.net) hath said:
: The Oregon State Police had a high pitched noise that was transmitted instead of any
: voices. Is this normal or does this indicate something.
:

was the noise a solid tone, or was it a wabbling tone. Like a
diesel engine running?

--
|--------|
||;'`"/:;| We are American Metrocomm Internet Services...
|||;:][||| Resistance is futile! You will be Assimilated!
|||:||:|]| http://www.ametro.net http://www.ametro.com
|--------|

697. Re: Help: Need Y2K Info MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7egkd5ijv1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 122745

heh! Does the software have a date in it? If the software
isn't dependent on a date like 1999, 2000 or 2001, then it
shouldn't matter if its the Y2K or the Y10K.

CaptKFD308 (captkfd308@aol.com) hath said:
: Will the software work on the MR 8100 after the year 2000 rolls around?
: THANKS
:
:
:
: Bill Carreira Jr. Email:CaptKFD308@aol.com
: CAPT NREMT-I :n1nfc@hotmail.com
: Kingsland Fire Rescue
: Kingsland, GA 31548
: http://www.eagnet.com/edipage/user/n1nfc/n1nfc.htm

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

698. Re: bc235xlt leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <370BD1FE.1363899A@fnal.gov>
Source record: 122746

yabyum@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if the Radio Schack's leather case for the Pro-90 will fit
> on the Uniden BC235XLT. The shape of the pro-90 looks a bit different than
> the 235 in the catalog.

It works the other way around so I suppose it would. About the only
thing different are the holes over the speaker, but that doesn't seem to
matter.
--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

699. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <370bf3c7@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 122747

```
transferable. Not interested in shipping to US. I am in Ottawa, Ontario,
Canada. Save big dollars... Must sell this week...
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That kinda limits your market..

Dan
```

700. CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7egmen$k2h$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122748

broadcasting on 42.500

karl

701. Re: CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7egmu3kof1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122749

now broadcasting on blue 42.340

702. Re: Uniden SportCat 150 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Gerharter <agerhart@internetcds.com>
Message-ID: <370BF15B.9A120F55@internetcds.com>
Source record: 122750

I too have one, and use it at air races. Very useful, batteries last a long time, use the ear phone, engines are loud. The layout of bands and priority freqs is helpful when at the races. The feature I miss most is a scan of a defined range. I want to look for freqs in use that I don't already know about, and scanning an entire band will take most of the race. Al

Dimac Direct wrote:

> I am considering purchasing a scanner for use at auto races (NASCAR). Is
> the Uniden SC150 a good choice. I have heard from scanner dealers that I
> should purchase a Relm or the RE upgraded Relm. Does anyone have any first
> hand experience with a SC150 that could advise of its true performance under
> these conditions? Any help would be appreciated.

703. Re: CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <370BF916.B56DEF48@analon.com>
Source record: 122751

It helps to have several scanners in cases like this. When I am interested in the CHP stuff, I just let my scanner search 39.0-43.0 that will find all their frequencies...

another chase.. had one here in Phoenix last week. Guy came up from Casa Grande on I-10
said he had to use the rest room.. was driving at over 110.... he was in a Mercedes... Maybe he thought he was on the Autobahn.... I've driven 230kmh over there and nobody cared.

In the chase that ended by the PHX airport, the Highway patrol used a helicopter and several cars and boxed the guy in.... they eventually stopped him that way.

Is it the hollywood appeal of chases or what... in So. Cal ??

I have friends out there and visit every week... hope the price of gas has gone down or I will bring my own.. It's cheaper in Ehrenberg by 30 cents than it is in Blythe just across the Colorado River

JOHN

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> now broadcasting on blue 42.340

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<

| if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
---

704. Re: CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <370BF8AD.74FE23F9@analon.com>
Source record: 122752

It helps to have several scanners in cases like this. When I am interested in the CHP stuff, I just let my scanner search 39.0-43.0 that will find all their frequencies...

another chase.. had one here in Phoenix last week. Guy came up from Casa Grande on I-10
said he had to use the rest room.. was driving at over 110.... he was in a Mercedes... Maybe he thought he was on the Autobahn.... I've driven 230kmh over there and nobody cared.

In the chase that ended by the PHX airport, the Highway patrol used a helicopter and several cars and boxed the guy in.... they eventually stopped him that way.

Is it the hollywood appeal of chases or what... in So. Cal ??

I have friends out there and visit every week... hope the price of gas has gone down or I will bring my own.. It's cheaper in Ehrenberg by 30 cents than it is in Blythe just across the Colorado River

JOHN

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> now broadcasting on blue 42.340

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<

| if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group

705. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Io <noway@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7egtcf$36e$4@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 122753

> > That kinda limits your market..
>
> Yes, you are right..
>
> I'm nervous about shipping to US because if it gets confiscated then
> everybody loses. I don't want that.
>
> NOTE:
> "Importing radio receivers that cover the cellular phone frequencies or
> non-FCC approved CB radios to the United States is a criminal act and may be
> prosecuted (refer to FCC rules Part 95, 95.409). " snipped from a reputable
> dealers web-site.
>
> In lieu of the above statement, I would not be interested in tangling with
> the US Feds over a $500 dollar scanner.
>
> Either way, there must be someone in Canada looking to save $200+ dollars.
>
> thanks, for your input..
> Sean
>

What about this soloution? Ship the case as replacement parts, ship the
guts as electronic spare parts.

706. HELP: Crossband Rptpr for IC-W32A. How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WILLY <kb0p@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7eg8rc$ad8$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I own an Icom IC-W32A handheld xcvr. How do I turn on the crossband
repeater function? The operating manual does NOT cover this feature.

Please email me or post....thanks,--
---Willy, KB0P
email: kb0p@hotmail.com

707. Re: HELP: Crossband Rptpr for IC-W32A. How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6LTO2.712$s4.4615456@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

WILLY <kb0p@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:7eg8rc$ad8$1@news.mr.net...
> I own an Icom IC-W32A handheld xcvr. How do I turn on the crossband
> repeater function? The operating manual does NOT cover this feature.
>
> Please email me or post....thanks,--
> ---Willy, KB0P
> email: kb0p@hotmail.com
>
>
CROSS BAND REPEATER
1) Turn on Radio
2) Lock the keyboard (look in owners manual on how to do this)
3) Turn off Radio
4) Press and hold [SQL] & [MAIN] & [BAND] & turn the power on

To stop cross band repeat
1) Turn off radio
2) Unlock keyboard

708. Re: VHF/UHF HT or Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <370C0BB6.C51813AE@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 122756
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I might be ideal for you to post on the UK scanner news group. (just a suggestion)

Pickard wrote:

> Hi,
> I'm about to start training for my NRAE (UK). I need a HT or moblie
> that is under ï¿½100
> Somthing decent. Doesn't have to be a "brand". I'm not bothered about
> apperances but it must work to the UK specs etc...
>
> Please email me:
>
> <Pickards@zen.co.uk>
>
> 73 de David

709. FS:$35.00 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Williams <ac6tsXX@n2.net>
Message-ID: <370c0f11.0@wagner.n2.net>
Source record: 122757

FS: Regency Z10...10 channel programable desk scanner. WORKS GOOD.
Has programable search, delay, 2 speed scanning, priority channel.
Large blue digital readout. Low profile cabinet, simulated wood grain
finish. Light weight (not a boatanchor). VHF/UHF. Receives 27mhz CB
chanels too, they are FM but can understand the voices. Has a PROBLEM...
The on/off slider knob broke off and must use fingernails or pencil to
turn scanner on/off. Common type slider knob to replace, I don't have
one in my junk box. Every thing else on it is good. Cabinet abt a 7
(some scratches-No cracks).Functions a 10. Display vry good and all
function controls work. Sensitive front end receiver. Because of the
knob..I'll include a RS back of set telescopic scanner antenna. $35.00
money order. Shipping UPS ground 48 states included. At this price,
please no COD's.
To reply, please remove "X"s from my address. <ac6ts@n2.net> John. If
you're near Escondido, CA, pick it up for $25.00.

--

---/---
---/---
----/----
-----/-----

AC6TS John Williams
LAT. 33.06.15 LON. -117.03.36 7.030Mhz CW
DM13KC 14.030Mhz CW

710. Re: Help me find Skip Station ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <370c1628@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122758

Go down to RatShack and buy the latest "Police Call". Look in the frequency listing under 155.94. There likely won't be an impossible number with the KGC prefix.
--
Crazy George

711. 4 Lvl decoder, same as cellular DDI ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pnw100 <pnw100@spiritone.com>
Message-ID: <7eh2pt$143k@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am curious, is a 4 level FSK decoder, the type of which are currently circulating the hobby for use with programs like WinFlex (and also like that which B Cheeks markets) are the same thing as some of the cellular phone DDI units that were classified as Type 3 devices under the 96 ECPA laws. With one of these, a scanner and the right software, you could selectively track the phone of your choice. To have one in your possession now, unless your LE or Telco industry, is a serious no-no.
If I recall correctly those DDI units were equipped with 10Mhz crystals to set the clock rate to allow bit synching via hardware so maybe they are not the same.

Questions;
1. Is Manchester encoding a 4 level signal?
2. Could the clock be added to the 4 level decoder plans that are circulating now, if not a crystal timer maybe a PIC?
3. With the new generation Win9x/soundcard based decoder programs would it be easier to just leave the 4 level decoder as is and decode the forward channel via software? Banpai's program works very well at decoding the reverse channel but snarfing MINs is of little interest to me.

Whatya think?

712. FS: Uniden SC-150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <923521715.573184@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 122760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm selling my Uniden SC-150. It's about 1 year old. Works like new. Selling it to make room for an Alinco wide band handheld scanner.

Jose

Skoiboy wrote in message ...
>Anyone know who has the best deals online?
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>Dave
>zerotwist@home.com
>AOL: Skoiboy
>ICQ: 542112
>
>

713. FS: PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <OZUO2.5723$Xg.63038@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 122761

Hi,
Have a PRO-2005 for sale, same as the PRO-2006
400 channel with Cell Mod.

$225.00 plus shipping

Thank you,
Boyd

714. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Jenkins <tjenkins@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <370c08e1.0@news.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 122762

I've recently purchased an M9000-M3 from Bandercom and frankly, I can't yell loud enough about this radio. It has supassed my every expectation. Prior to the purchase, I read about many radios and in fact purchased a PRO-90.

However, the feature that I wanted were not on the RS, nor most any other radio. I ran across the 'yupi' on Rich Well's Srong Signals site. I was impressed with the several reviews.

The folks at Bandercom are wonderfully super! I received it in record time, and the price was right. The radio performs as advertised. I found that all the features that I wanted were built into the radio. I was looking for, in addition to VHF/UHF scanning, HF reception and portability. I didn't really care about computer ports etc.

My opinion? You cannot go wrong with this radio, provided you understand what it has and what it doesn't.

--tom

MarkH8624 wrote in message <19990404165025.08482.00002192@ng18.aol.com>...
>I am a newbie to the scanner scene (previously a SW DX-er). I'm considering the
>purchase of a Yupiteru scanner. Anyone have experience with this brand.
TIA.

715. Re: San Diego Union-Tribune

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3111.7183935D@usa.net>
Source record: 122763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

unfortunately, that really does not mean much.

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> You can do a search from the following site:
>
> http://www.uniontrib.com/news/utarchives/index.html
>
> As of this morning, there was nothing about COMMtronincs or its CEO.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

716. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3728.686C3342@usa.net>
Source record: 122764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> You mean I JUST THEN CONFIRMED IT. Your credibility ain`t good ,

Yea when everyone else confirmed it LAST WEEK, YOU just confirmed it TODAY!!!! Congrats on your BIG accomplishment! Your confirmation concurred with what I had said thereby demonstrating my credibility to be quite strong! thanks!!!

> Other people confirmed the existence of the article after me, so you

No, you were the only fool still saying it needed to be confirmed.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

717. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3B86.5E20A7FB@usa.net>
Source record: 122765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Bud (the original) wrote:

> You are a chronic, probably complusive, liar.
> And that statement is absolute proof of it.

That post did NOTHING to provice proof of your accusation.

> But it's the LAST time I'll ever read anything of yours,
> I just can't take you any more, the newsgroup is all yours,
> and the people who want to dance with you.

GOOD!!! I hope you are leaving!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

718. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3C91.6633A3B7@usa.net>
Source record: 122766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bud,
as you will see from Daniel;s & Fred's responses, you are wrong & have made a fool of yourself again. A wife can not be forced to testify against her husband, but she may if she choses. Perhaps you need to take a "Personal Law 101" class at your local community college.

Bud (the original) wrote:

> On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:22:59 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >Remember, Cindy could always turn & cut a a deal to be used
> >as a witness AGAINST Bill!
>
> Gee, John, I KNEW you were stupid, but THAT is a new low even for you.
>
> A wife CAN NOT testify against her husband.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

719. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3E94.DD560D5E@usa.net>
Source record: 122767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bwahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!

Peter wrote:

> On 5 Apr 1999 20:28:52 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
> >small point,
>
> Not shit it's a small point. About as small as the point on your fucking
> head. Get a life.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

720. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3F02.5060B6CE@usa.net>
Source record: 122768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Wrong Phil, I want Bill to get the fairness & due process the the
constitution
promises him. Apparently you don't like that, how pathetic you are!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AF837.B8FF4392@usa.net>...
> >Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the
> >Judge & Jury decide those types of things!
>
> Why? In your twisted little mind, he's already been tried, convicted and
> sentenced!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

721. Re: What a jerk.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C402F.977679BB@usa.net>
Source record: 122769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

WRONG!! Bill persistant desire to speak & fantasize of homosexual
activities has NO WAY to demonstrate or prove guilt. You attempt
to link the two is prejudice, plain & simple!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AFAD4.8CCE6198@usa.net>...
> >Phil - you have to admit, speaking of homosexuality in prisons
> >was one of Bill's favorite discussions & interests. Now he will
> >have his chance to get all he wants & more!
>
> So you're asserting that he's guilty even though there has been no trial and
> no mention of WHY he was arrested, John? If anyone else

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

722. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370C3FA1.D4C72E79@usa.net>
Source record: 122770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I've always been in support of Cheek doing good & right things. Sometimes, he& I had opposing opinions of precisely what that should be.

TandKMoran wrote:

> support Bill in his time of need John. I see where you think organizing a legal
> defense fund is a good idea. I wasn't aware you were such a big fan of his.

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

723. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <82B0EF2D6E690433.CD33533EC86E88FB.A7671ACC72756F1D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122771

They need to state that the decoder as marketed as being able
to HELP decode MDT transmissions, not worded to where it sounds like
the decoder intercepts the transmissions themselves as a radio has to do intercepting.
The decoder simply converts the MDT's frequency shift keyed tones to digital data, which
is an extremely common process used everyday in modems, hard drives, etc.

724. MDT Data and Lawyers. Why is it legal for them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <AD66460CA1E64855.3A25E4A1BA7E6C6D.3BF15BD52471362F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122772

Ever get a ticket and the next day in the mail, just by coincidence, get 10
letters from lawyers telling you how to get out of your ticket?
This happened to me after getting a ticket in Euless Texas and I found out
that lawyers are able to monitor realtime MDT data, obtain MDT data dumps
and then solicit their services.
Go figure!

725. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7efdr5$m73$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You mean I JUST THEN CONFIRMED IT. Your credibility ain`t good , Looney - tune. Other people confirmed the existence of the article after me, so you better try jumping on them , too. Also, unlike you, I don`t spend my every waking hour on here panting and grunting like some two - dollar fancy woman. How many hours a day do you spend on your phony "support group" to make that look real, when anybody with an IQ above a toaster can see how phony it is ! You have about as much imagination and intelligence as the average ROCK. Save it for your momma, "007". Nobody else on here believes a word YOU have to say....

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709C2A4.D78BD5EE@usa.net...
> Gee whiz, this it what people brought to the surface & substantiated THREE days
> ago and you JUST NOW figured it out!!!
>
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
> > is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island
> > newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

726. Re: Give peace another chance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7efeb8$m99$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122787
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner

Another Mackey "creation" is heard from . How many do you have now ? 12 ? 15 ? Every time you start up again, about 10 or 12 of these "suddenly appear" and start patting you on the back. Gee, i wonder why that happens every time......

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3707D6D7.1E353D52@usa.net...
>
>
> orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > John,
> > Thanks for posting on topic in the scanner groups recently.
>
> My posts have been no different than normal.
>
>
> > Hopefully you
> > can continue to do so without other people jumping all over you and flaming
> > you as I see someone had done to one of your replys.
>
> Such pathetic bahavior is sad, isn't it.
>
> > I think its time for everyone to forget the flaming and get on with the
> > subject of scanning. I'm sure we all have better things to do with our time
> > than to continue this garbage.
>
> I couldn't agree with you more!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

727. Languages...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stefan Gofferje <stefan.gofferje@planet-interkom.de>
Message-ID: <7efih7$a2e$1@fu-berlin.de>
Source record: 122788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

majic <mjultra@hotmail.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
370a8569.2077584@supernews.nildram.co.uk...
> I do have to point out that this particular newsgroup is aimed at the
> UK scanner enthusiast, hence the name "alt.radio.scanner.uk"
> Don't get me wrong, I appreciate posts from all over the globe but
> people need to understand that many of us are not bi-lingual & cannot
> benefit from any words of wisdom in a language we fail to understand.

Well, if you have had a look on the header, you'd have seen that the whole thread is crossposted to a variety of international scanner-newsgroups, including de.alt.radio-scanner (Germany). So, if one just selects "answer to newsgroup", his answer will, of course, also be posted to all newsgroups in the header of the original message. You might call it careless, not to "clean" the header from destinations in other countries. I do not tend to do so, because as I (as a German) am able to understand and speak (and write) English, there might be some non-Germans which are able to understand German. IMHO one of the real benefits of the Internet (and therefore of the Usenet - if anybody wishes to insist on keeping them seperately) is the opportunity to communicate and exchange information across all borders. For me, the direct conclusion is to be tolerant and to respect others, in this case, not to complain about somebody posting in a language _I_ cannot understand. What would be the next step? Complaining about somebody posting about I topic, I do not understand? Or maybe complaining about someone posting about a topic, I don't like???
I must confess that I am relatively "fresh" on the Web but I thought, tolerance and acceptance were some of the primary directives of the "netiquette".
I do not mean to offend anybody! This is just meant to be an expression of my thoughts about what I have read here.

Greetings and best wishes from Germany!

Stefan

PS: English speakers please excuse mistakes in spelling and / or grammar.

Stefan Gofferjï¿½
Emergency Medical Technician
email: stefan.gofferje@planet-interkom.de
home: http://www.planet-interkom.de/stefan.gofferje

728. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: StevieW@webtv.com
Message-ID: <37104284.3909532@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122789

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 06:06:57 GMT, cloaked@spamshield.com (Shiva Nataraja) wrote:

>On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 16:07:09 GMT, StevieW@webtv.com (Steve W.) wrote:
>
>>On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I must say - I sure do feel sorry for Bill. Seems like a real bum rap from what
>>>we know at this point.
>>
>>How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold.
>>This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that.
>
>In a sane world, this statement would be correct. However, this is the
>United States Secret Service we're talking about here - the same USSS
>that virtually destroyed Steve Jackson's game company by confiscating
>all his computer equipment simply because he was making a role playing
>game with a "Cyberpunk" theme.

I didn't realize that the same investigating officers were involved. Where did you get this information?

729. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: StevieW@webtv.com
Message-ID: <370f4215.3798705@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122790

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 12:56:46 -0500, Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
wrote:

>"Steve W." wrote:
>>
>> On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:
>>
>snip
>>
>> How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold.
>> This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that.
>> However, if he sold it along with explicit instructions of how to use it in an
>> illegal manner, that's another story. Obviously, he did something wrong or he
>> wouldn't have been charged. Bill never was the most ethical character around.
>>
>snip
>>
>
>This wouldn't be the first time that the government
>has wrongfully arrested or prosecuted someone.

True. It certainly could be a big mistake.

However, I'll put my money on the law enforcement team that made the bust.
I'm sure the odds are around 10Mil::1 against Cheek - if not more.

730. bc8500 for a BC9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: frankm <frankm@unitz.on.ca>
Message-ID: <TIIO2.423$or5.45246@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122791

Willing to trade an 8500w/CTCSS board in excellent cond. w/manual 9out of 10 for 9000 in about same cond.

731. Re: Languages...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ssomill <ssomill@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7efk82$o210$1@reader2.wxs.nl>
Source record: 122792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Zeg Gommert, is je vraag nu al beantwoord?
Oh, het was geen vraag, nou even twee weken terug.
Oh ja, ok, leuk, doei bye aufwiedersehen, hastalavista, seijonara,
ofsniederwietsel!

732. FS: Rs 2006 and OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <370B5742.7686B0DC@home.com>
Source record: 122793

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.

This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules.

Just an update. So far the highest bid is $380.00 thanks james.

733. Re: Cell on 42 mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370b5912@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 122794

pvboy33@aol.com (PVBoy33) writes: > Hi there...
> I routinely listen to CHP on 42 mhz with a TrunkTracker. Lately, I've been
> getting one side of cell calls over the top of the dispatcher!!! It seems it is
> the landline side of the conversation I'm getting, but I'm not sure. I'm
> positive it is cellular because I can hear the cells hand off, and cell just
> has that funny little tone in the background that makes it distinctive. Anyone
> know what this is? It's typical that I'm within .5 miles of the cell site, and
> I can usually see it (on the side of the freeway and such) when I hear this
> stuff. Thanks for any info.
> Please reply via e-mail
>
> PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

You're either getting a very strong harmonic from the cell
site on a cheap scanner without conversion...or receiving
a cordless phone on the 42 mhz channels. There are no
cellular allocations on the lower bands.

734. Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <8XIO2.20$gs3.158@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 122795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very "heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.

The freq. was 11175 and I am located in Nuuk - Greenland. Could it have been relay's from Thule Air Base ?

Please let me know what you think is going on. - And no I have no monitary interest in generating hits.

Med venlig Hilsen/Regards

Stephan Walther-Larsen
KIMIK IT a/s
PO Box 740 <Mailto:swl@ki.gl>
DK-3900 Nuuk Phone: +299 34 20 00
Greenland Fax: +299 32 98 30
Visit <http://www.ki.gl>

Monitoring from Nuuk - Greenland.
ICOMPC1K, AOR8200, Ypeteru MVT 9000, Winradio 1500I og Sony SW77. Ten-Tec 320RX
Longwire, Discone, Active Ant.

735. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7efp0a$v64$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 122796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ricardo (XXX@hotmail.com) hath said:
: It seems to me he must've been aware he was breaking laws, and THEN he
: also put evidence of his law breaking on a frigging web site for the
: whole world to see, for christ sake! He was begging to be arrested.

I agree with you.. He should of known the chances of being caught
were going to be high, and I feel he gets what ever happens. I wouldn't
want people with this technology in their hands, able to find out
privacy information about me and you. I'm all for freedom of speech
and right to bare arms and the right to own scanners and listen to
UNENCRYPTED out in the open transsmissions, but when it comes to making
devices to break codes and listen to encrypted communications such as
those used with MDT's and so forth, throw the book at him. :)
Jimmy

736. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407102615.18122.00002905@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122797

>On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote: >>I must say - I sure do feel sorry for Bill. Seems like a real bum rap from what we know at this point.

>How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold. This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that. However, if he sold it along with explicit instructions of how to use it in an illegal manner, that's another story. Obviously, he did something wrong or he wouldn't have been charged. Bill never was the most ethical character around.

-#1.Ahhh, looks like you missed the part at the end - "from what we know at this point" (?). #2. Can you provide an example of illegal instructions in regards to using a dataslicer? Unless the instructions consist of "read your local MDT traffic and share it with everyone you know", I can't think of any, at least not technically. #3. I hate to agree with Mackey, but the idea that people wouldn't be charged if they hadn't done something wrong is the first step on the road to tyranny. This is disgusting.

>>The flamers and nit-pickers who have come out of the woodwork are pathetic.

>You mean the ones that have ALWAYS been here? Why are they pathetic? Becauase you are having a hard time with reality? You better wake up and realise how the world is and what laws you're, probably, flirting with yourself.

-Yes, that's them. The ones who turn so many people off to newsgroups. Yes, I sometimes have a very hard time with reality. I am awake. The world is in sad shape, let's make it better. What laws am I flirting with? The one that bans freedom of speech that you disagree with?

>>Ignore them, and like a bad cold, they'll go away.
>Not a chance.

-Yes, have to agree with you. Probably has something to do with me not wanting to deal with reality sometimes.

>>They only want an audience and contribute nothing.

>Nothing? Support for law enforcement is nothing? I strongly support their prosecution of him if he truly did sell illegal hardware and or information. If so, I don't want any association with a criminal such as Bill Cheek.

-Yes, nothing, zero, less than nothing. This isn't a newsgroup for law enforcement support groups, it's for scanning. I support Law Enforcement by working for the Police about 50 hours a week. I'm not allowed to associate with criminals, I'd lose my job. But one is not a criminal until you are convicted, at least for now. But if we elect more people with your point of view, that could change soon. Contribute something scanning related here, I dare you. He's

a "criminal" already?

>Either way, it couldn't have happened to a "nicer" guy. Cheek has to be the most constipated and grumpy old bastard I've ever heard spew his stuff.

-Yes he rubs a lot of people the wrong way, myself included at times. But this is a much bigger issue than personalities. Yes, we are both basing our arguements on a lot of assumptions here, but we have few hard facts to work with in this case. Bill has done more to advance the technical side of the hobby than you and I ever will. Does he deserve to be arrested because you don't like him?

-It's my opinion that there is nothing illegal about using a dataslicer to decode analog mobile data traffic, or any other data format being sent in an analog format.

-It's my opinion that the law which would appear to ban the decoding of true digital radio data is addle headed and wrong. One of the best ways to overturn a bad law is to get arrested for breaking it, get convicted, then get the law declared unreasonable on appeal. It's too bad there is such a stigma associated with arrest and initial conviction in this country today. And it's too bad most decent lawyers are sooooo expensive. (Actually, I met a cool one who does work for the ARRL pro-bono)

Mandatory Frequencies for ranting posts:
121.500 Aero Emergency Guard VHF
243.000 Aero Emergency Guard UHF
155.475 NLEEF
126.900 OHare Tower
123.050 Copter CTAF Route Areas
346.250 Wisc ANG Volk Controller / 640 A/B MOA
350.350 Hilltop MOA Northwest Indiana

737. Re: What a jerk...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407103628.18122.00002912@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122798

>So even though you have NOT SEEN OR EVEN HEARD the charges,
>evidence, or specific equipment, you are already to say that
>it is "a real streatch to try to implicate Bill"!!!!!!

Well, actually it was "stretch". You've omitted the "based on what we know now". But, yes, that's what I'm saying.

>Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the Judge & Jury decide those types of things!

Agreed. Personally, I prefer a bench trial. I'm glad to see how much you support Bill in his time of need John. I see where you think organizing a legal defense fund is a good idea. I wasn't aware you were such a big fan of his.

738. Re: Discriminator output for yupi 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7efqnqnfo1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 122799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <RhCO2.147$U5.3904632@news1.i1.net>, <unknown@internet.com> wrote:
>does anyone have plans or know of a successful Yupiter MVT 7100 modification
>which allows for a discrimintator output? thanks.

A simple Dejanews search would have turned this up:

From: Jason Reilly <jreilly@tassie.net.au>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Definitive answer:MVT7100 discrim output
Date: Mon, 06 Apr 1998 06:39:28 +1000
Organization: Tasmania's Access Server Pty Ltd

Hi all,
After much of the weekend looking for the real discriminator output on
the Yupiteru MVT7100 receiver, I have the following to offer.
The discriminator output (for Narrow FM) appears on pin 9 of the TA
31136 sufrace mount chip on the IF board. However the output level of
this is pretty high, and I have found that my Hamcomm slicer interface
works well (and this is probably recommended) if you tap the
discriminator not at this point, but on the other side of the 56k
surface mount resistor which takes the unde-emphasised audio to pin 8 of
the 4066 surface mount IC.
If your slicer interface does not like the lower level, I guess you
could take the high level output, but make sure you use some form of
protection against shorting, such as a 5K ohm bleed resistor.
Now, some interesting things: This point that I mentioned here works
perfectly for PD (pager Decoder etc) but I really wanted it to look at
an EDACS control channel, 9600 bps, which it did not do initially.
Switching the radio to AM mode worked! I have one theory on this: the
output that I describe is constantly on, but selecting different modes
alters the bandwidth, with AM selected over FM seeming to give a
slightly wider bandwidth, which helps in decoding fast datastreams. The
damned thing even worked when selecting SSB (work that out!) but no
output is detected for wide-FM.
So, there you have it. If your discriminator tap doesn't work using
this info, try bleeding off a higher level output, and try selecting AM
mode.
Thanks - Jason

739. FS: Realistic Pro-34 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhipp@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7efu4m$7tg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122800

I have a Relaistic Pro-34 scanner for sale. $145 or best offer. Includes cellular mod, manual, and antenna. Shipping included in U.S. with prepay.

Thanks
Kevin B. Hipp
KF4RNK

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

740. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407104119.18122.00002914@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122801

>There is nothing illegal about a data slicer, just as there is nothing illegal about a kitchen knife. However, both can potentially be used to do something illegal. As is typical of the techno-illiterate news media, the staff writer at that paper didn't understand this and made some erroneous statements.

-I agree 99 percent. But for me the give-away was the business about the terminals being "password protected". I doubt the reporter came up with that on his own. I smell a government official double-speaker. Makes me wonder to what degree this reporter got spoon fed his information by the authorities, as opposed to doing independent research.

741. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <TzKO2.78$gs3.220@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 122802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Forgot the link http://www.greenweb.gl/swl

742. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <VzKO2.79$gs3.220@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 122803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Forgot the link http://www.greenweb.gl/swl

>"heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
>understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
>
>The freq. was 11175 and I am located in Nuuk - Greenland. Could it

743. Re: Languages...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <me8KGDAma2C3EwQ5@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 122804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7efk82$o210$1@reader2.wxs.nl>, ssomill <ssomill@tref.nl>
writes
>
>Zeg Gommert, is je vraag nu al beantwoord?
>Oh, het was geen vraag, nou even twee weken terug.
>Oh ja, ok, leuk, doei bye aufwiedersehen, hastalavista, seijonara,
>ofsniederwietsel!
p'nawn da.

744. NEW VERTEX VX-10 VHF on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <370B7E62.2BFB7F41@alaska.net>
Source record: 122805

I am selling this BRAND NEW Vertex VX-10 mini
portable on e-bay, complete with software, cables
and new extra 1800 ma NiMh batt. This portable has

alpha tag and optional two-tone decoder. The
radio is unused, opened to check only. This radio

is going way below the the discount priced I paid
for it.
See the Vertex website for details.....

This is my first time to sell on e-bay.

745. NEW VERTEX VX-10 VHF on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <370B7E76.B960BAF4@alaska.net>
Source record: 122806

I am selling this BRAND NEW Vertex VX-10 mini
portable on e-bay, complete with software, cables
and new extra 1800 ma NiMh batt. This portable has

alpha tag and optional two-tone decoder 40
channels. The
radio is unused, opened to check only. This radio

is going way below the the discount priced I paid
for it.
See the Vertex website for details.....

This is my first time to sell on e-bay.

746. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407120521.20415.00003813@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I'm all for freedom of speech
>and right to bare arms and the right to own scanners and listen to
>UNENCRYPTED out in the open transsmissions, but when it comes to making
>devices to break codes and listen to encrypted communications such as
>those used with MDT's and so forth, throw the book at him. :)
>Jimmy

But Jim - MDT's are not encoded. They use plain vanilla ascii - Motoraola as usual has sold law enforcement a bill of goods. Maybe they should arrest them.

Larry

747. The Cheek Fuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curious George"@large.now
Message-ID: <370b82ac.1393786@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122808

Since there are no reports of any actions, that do lead one to suppose there is/will be no charges against BC in the matter.

Just because He was arrested do not mean he can/should/will be charged.

Once the actual facts of what he sold are evident, there will probably be no charges filed.

Considering the stupidity of the jerk with the data on the web page (GET A ROPE) it is no wonder anyone with whom he did commerce would be suspect.

Pondering lifes humor... LCM

748. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <37147b42.18435445@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122809

On 7 Apr 1999 14:41:19 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

>>There is nothing illegal about a data slicer, just as there is nothing illegal
>about a kitchen knife. However, both can potentially be used to do something
>illegal. As is typical of the techno-illiterate news media, the staff writer at
>that paper didn't understand this and made some erroneous statements.
>
>-I agree 99 percent. But for me the give-away was the business about the
>terminals being "password protected".

If you were really a cop as you said in a previous post, then you'd know that
this "password protection" is used for signon purposes from the cruiser. It
has nothing to do with monitoring the transmissions.

749. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eg0db$1gv$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Hairman2000@pacifier.com wrote in message <370B4D43.B6609E8@pacifier.com>...
<SNIP>

An FYI to all,

Here is another troll to add to your "plonk me"'s. Responding to these people only makes it worse, so please just ignore idiots like this.

-Phil

750. Re: What a jerk.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eg0k6$1ip$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AFAD4.8CCE6198@usa.net>...
>Phil - you have to admit, speaking of homosexuality in prisons
>was one of Bill's favorite discussions & interests. Now he will
>have his chance to get all he wants & more!

So you're asserting that he's guilty even though there has been no trial and no mention of WHY he was arrested, John? If anyone else said that about you, you;d be having kittens over it. What a hypocrite...

You and williarsch are two of a kind (or should I say one and the same)

Troll.

-Phil

751. Re: What a jerk...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eg0ph$1is$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AF837.B8FF4392@usa.net>...
>So even though you have NOT SEEN OR EVEN HEARD the charges,
>evidence, or specific equipment, you are already to say that
>it is "a real streatch to try to implicate Bill"!!!!!!
>
>Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the
>Judge & Jury decide those types of things!

Why? In your twisted little mind, he's already been tried, convicted and
sentenced!

Why don't you go back to work John. McDonalds' misses you....

-PHil

752. info:bc145 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <tYLO2.418$E4.1982@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 122813

does anyone know where i can get a manual for the bc-145 scanner online
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

753. New FCC rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hans K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <7eg5rseiu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122814

SB QST @ ARL $ARLB024
ARLB024 FCC Issues Strengthened Scanner Receiver Rules

ZCZC AG24
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 24 ARLB024
From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT April 7, 1999
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB024
ARLB024 FCC Issues Strengthened Scanner Receiver Rules

The FCC has amended its rules to strengthen existing prohibitions on scanning receivers that can receive cellular telephone transmissions. While the new rules contain specific exemptions for the Amateur Service, they will have important ramifications for the manufacture of new Amateur Radio equipment that scans frequencies outside the ham bands.

The new rules--released March 31 in a Report and Order--broaden the definition of a scanning receiver to include receivers that automatically switch among two or more frequencies between 30 and 960 MHz and are capable of stopping at and receiving a detected signal. Still exempted are receivers designed solely for operation as a part of a licensed station. In response to an ARRL request, the FCC clarified that the rules do not apply to Amateur Service receivers unless they cover frequencies outside the ham bands.

The FCC also widened its definition of ''test equipment'' exempted from the cellular reception restriction. The adopted definition defines test equipment by function, rather than by end user as proposed, thus permitting sale to the general public.

Receivers that allow reception of cellular frequencies because of their poor image response would be illegal under the new rules. As it proposed, the Commission adopted a 38 dB rejection standard for signals in the cellular bands ''for any frequency to which the receiver can be tuned.''

The FCC abandoned a proposal to require manufacturers to limit reception of cellular service frequencies by ''direct pickup'' through the cabinet. The FCC also backed away from a proposal that could have required epoxy potting and nonremovable components to prevent scanner modification. The League had argued that this could raise the cost of buying and repairing equipment and could preclude ham

gear modification for CAP and MARS or for experimental purposes.

The FCC adopted a more generalized requirement that receivers be designed so that tuning, control circuits, and filtering be inaccessible, and that the design be such that any attempted modification would render the receiver inoperative.

The new FCC rules also prohibit modification of scanning receivers as a business or on an ongoing basis ''regardless of the date of manufacture or number of units modified.'' The Commission also said modification of any scanning receiver is prohibited and invalidates the equipment authorization. New, permanent labels on scanning receivers also will be required.

Kits for scanning receivers would be treated the same as assembled equipment. The Commission said that ham radio scanning receivers ''already cover frequency ranges needed by amateurs'' and a prohibition against scanner kits ''will not impact frequency converter kits used to expand the frequencies covered by amateur equipment.'' The League plans to look closely at this provision to make sure it will not prevent amateur manufacturers from producing legitimate transverting equipment.

The FCC said it was modifying its rules ''to clarify that the prohibition on modifying scanning receivers to receive Cellular Service transmissions contained in Section 15.121 overrides the home built device provisions of Section 15.23.''

The proposed new rules become effective due 30 days after their publication in the Federal Register. But the FCC said it will include ''transitional provisions in our rules to allow the acceptance of equipment certification applications for scanning receivers under the current rules for up to 90 days after the publication of the R&O.

A copy of the Report and Order is available at
http://www2.arrl.org/announce/et98-RandO.html.
NNNN
/EX

~~~
I called them mad, and they called me mad, but damn
them, they outvoted me.
~~~

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

754. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eg1ul$1ud$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The NeXus wrote in message <7efp0a$v64$1@osh1.datasync.com>...
>I agree with you.. He should of known the chances of being caught
>were going to be high, and I feel he gets what ever happens. I wouldn't
>want people with this technology in their hands, able to find out
>privacy information about me and you. I'm all for freedom of speech
>and right to bare arms and the right to own scanners and listen to
>UNENCRYPTED out in the open transsmissions, but when it comes to making
>devices to break codes and listen to encrypted communications such as
>those used with MDT's and so forth, throw the book at him. :)

Jeez... wake up people!

MDT transmissions are no more encrypted than this NG post. Anyone out there with a dataslicer, fire it up on an ARDIS frequency and see what you get. It'll probably look something like this: @#$!rssdfgse~~~12@@3%$#4@1214WwGe3e45 because it is *encrypted*. Fire it up on your local PD's MDC4800 system and compare it to what you got off the ARDIS network. Notice anything different? These messages are readable - because they are *encoded* (<-different word entirely) plain old ASCII, just like the message you're reading right now.

Now guess what? (M) came out with a new protocol, RD-LAP to replace MDC4800. Is it because average joe hobbyists all over the country were building data slicers and reading the messages? I doubt it, since these systems also transmit simple unencrypted ASCII. The protocol encoding (hey, there's that "e" word again) is there simply to allow messages to be sent to specific terminals and keep the data intact as it is transmitted over the air.

One could, using the same 4 level circuit available all over the net, decode this information provided they know or have access to the protocol specs. Just like the older MDC4800 systems.

-Phil

755. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kew@-nospam-teleport.com
Message-ID: <370b9254.3890063@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 122816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

[posted/mailed]
Hi,
What is the URL for the real audio file?

Here is the URL for the World Utility News web page.
They have a web page with lots of information and
a e-mail list.

http://www.gem.net/~berri/wun/wun_home.html

On Wed, 7 Apr 1999 11:20:09 -0200, "Stephan Walther-Larsen"
<swl@ki.gl> wrote:

>I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
>"heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
>understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
>
>The freq. was 11175 and I am located in Nuuk - Greenland. Could it have been
>relay's from Thule Air Base ?
>
>Please let me know what you think is going on. - And no I have no monitary
>interest in generating hits.
>
>Med venlig Hilsen/Regards
>
>Stephan Walther-Larsen
>KIMIK IT a/s
>PO Box 740 <Mailto:swl@ki.gl>
>DK-3900 Nuuk Phone: +299 34 20 00
>Greenland Fax: +299 32 98 30
>Visit <http://www.ki.gl>
>
>Monitoring from Nuuk - Greenland.
>ICOMPC1K, AOR8200, Ypeteru MVT 9000, Winradio 1500I og Sony SW77. Ten-Tec
>320RX
>Longwire, Discone, Active Ant.
>

---
http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
PGP Key via finger
---

756. Re: DO YOU BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION? 7422

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy's Player Piano Service <tempola@i1.net>
Message-ID: <QkMO2.161$U5.4256547@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 122817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

I used to not believe in reincarnation.....
however, your posting convinced me of this:

I do believe, Because I dont think you could become so dumb in just ONE
lifetime!
Andy
Trent Phillips wrote in message <7ee4vp$c43$1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>
>In a previous article, bfomsd@starmedia.com () says:
>
>>Do you believe in REINCARNATION?
>>
>>Do you want to know who you were and where you lived in your past life?
>>
>>Click here -> http://orbita.starmedia.com/~luizhenrique/reincarnation.html
>>ckomlojfcpuoeyhxcmxjvjegpbkwsrcproxsuflencueoisevxrzdwsmkdvwbu
>>
>>
>
> Let's see, since we posting this stuff on rec.radio.shortwave...
>
>
>
> My Drake SW8 was a Philco Tombstone in it's past life.
>
> My Sony 7600G was a transistor AM back in the late 50's
>
> My Sangean 818 was an 8-track player back in '73.
>
> As for my Baygen, it was a detector back in 1915 !
>
>
>--
>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

757. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <FKMO2.152$gs3.370@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 122818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Url is http://www.greenweb.gl/swl
Keith wrote in message <370b9254.3890063@news.teleport.com>...
>[posted/mailed]
>Hi,
>What is the URL for the real audio file?
>
>Here is the URL for the World Utility News web page.
>They have a web page with lots of information and
>a e-mail list.
>
>http://www.gem.net/~berri/wun/wun_home.html
>
>
>
>On Wed, 7 Apr 1999 11:20:09 -0200, "Stephan Walther-Larsen"
><swl@ki.gl> wrote:
>
>>I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
>>"heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
>>understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
>>
>>The freq. was 11175 and I am located in Nuuk - Greenland. Could it have
been
>>relay's from Thule Air Base ?
>>
>>Please let me know what you think is going on. - And no I have no monitary
>>interest in generating hits.
>>
>>Med venlig Hilsen/Regards
>>
>>Stephan Walther-Larsen
>>KIMIK IT a/s
>>PO Box 740 <Mailto:swl@ki.gl>
>>DK-3900 Nuuk Phone: +299 34 20 00
>>Greenland Fax: +299 32 98 30
>>Visit <http://www.ki.gl>
>>
>>Monitoring from Nuuk - Greenland.
>>ICOMPC1K, AOR8200, Ypeteru MVT 9000, Winradio 1500I og Sony SW77. Ten-Tec
>>320RX
>>Longwire, Discone, Active Ant.
>>
>
```

>--
>http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>PGP Key via finger
>--

758. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjones387@aol.com
Message-ID: <370d8e4b.23308952@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122819
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 7 Apr 1999 16:05:21 GMT, lf686@aol.com (LF686) wrote:

>> I'm all for freedom of speech
>>and right to bare arms and the right to own scanners and listen to
>>UNENCRYPTED out in the open transsmissions, but when it comes to making
>>devices to break codes and listen to encrypted communications such as
>>those used with MDT's and so forth, throw the book at him. :)
>>Jimmy
>
>But Jim - MDT's are not encoded. They use plain vanilla ascii - Motoraola as
>usual has sold law enforcement a bill of goods. Maybe they should arrest them.

Depends on the MDT. The newer Motorola's are encrypted.

759. Re: The Cheek Fuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjones387@aol.com
Message-ID: <370c8dd1.23187154@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122820

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 16:14:53 GMT, Curious George@large.now (kimeyer at ix.netcom.com) wrote:

>Since there are no reports of any actions, that do lead one to suppose >there is/will be no charges against BC in the matter.

How do you figure? The papers aren't necessarily going to follow every detail of the story. The news wasn't even Cheek to begin with. He was mentioned as a "by the way" type of story.

> Just because He was arrested do not mean he can/should/will be >charged.

But I'm sure he was. Care to put some cash on it?

760. Re: Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <370b9ea8.3953173@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122821

Hi,

My old BC 210-XL has 18 channels. It still works great!

I have it here in my office and I still think the audio surpasses my
Pro-2006!

On Tue, 6 Apr 1999 22:06:57 -0500, <munsch@ibm.net> wrote:

>Well, it is about 20 years old, has 10 channels, (maybe the XL has 20) and
>covers 30-50, 146-174 and 450-512. Those are from memory, but I think it
>skipped 144-146, but may have gone down to 440 on UHF. The sensitivity was
>as good as anything Bearcat made, image rejection a little iffy in metro
>areas, but it is about 25 year old technology. The search function is
>useful occasionally, too. If it has a little pod on the back, that is the
>keep-alive battery for the memory.

761. Re: DO YOU BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION? 7422

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mshannon@[nospam]
Message-ID: <370b9479.137021276@news.istep.com>
Source record: 122822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

On 6 Apr 1999 23:22:33 GMT, jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent Phillips) wrote:

>
>In a previous article, bfomsd@starmedia.com () says:
>
>>Do you believe in REINCARNATION?
>>
>
Yes.
I believe you will be reincarnated as the only tree on a planet populated exclusively by dogs.

762. Re: Need PL Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eg8koqck1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where is "Mr. Perfect" when he is needed ? HEY MJ! he needs the "civialian
PL LISTINGS" !

James O. Jones Jr. <jjones1340@erols.com> wrote in message
news:370817A3.CD631A45@erols.com...
> I need the PL code (ctcss) for United Airlines on 460.725 at Dulles
> International Airport.
>

763. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eg8gqqbs1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370AF3DB.82196D33@usa.net...
> I have NEVER told a lie on this or any other newsgroup.
>
WHAT ? I need a BIG SHOVEL.It`s getting DEEP now, Looney - tune !

764. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370BA900.DE243FEF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:

> I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
> "heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
> understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
>
> The freq. was 11175 and I am located in Nuuk - Greenland. Could it have been
> relay's from Thule Air Base ?
>
> Please let me know what you think is going on. - And no I have no monitary
> interest in generating hits.
>
> Med venlig Hilsen/Regards
>
> Stephan Walther-Larsen
> KIMIK IT a/s
> PO Box 740 <Mailto:swl@ki.gl>
> DK-3900 Nuuk Phone: +299 34 20 00
> Greenland Fax: +299 32 98 30
> Visit <http://www.ki.gl>
>
> Monitoring from Nuuk - Greenland.
> ICOMPC1K, AOR8200, Ypeteru MVT 9000, Winradio 1500I og Sony SW77. Ten-Tec
> 320RX
> Longwire, Discone, Active Ant.

All I got from your site is a load of text, not a real audio file.

765. AOR AR-3000 Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbparti@sixguns.net
Message-ID: <7egdgc$lb9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a AOR AR-3000 scanner for sale. This is a .1-2000mhz continuos coverage all mode reciever. The unit stores 400 Frequencies and is computer controllable via a RS-232 serial port. The Scanner is in perfect condition, Im asking $600.00/OBO.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

766. check this out everyone!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990407151646.13103.00005294@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122827

ZCZC AG24
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 24 ARLB024
From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT April 7, 1999
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB024
ARLB024 FCC Issues Strengthened Scanner Receiver Rules

The FCC has amended its rules to strengthen existing prohibitions on scanning receivers that can receive cellular telephone transmissions. While the new rules contain specific exemptions for the Amateur Service, they will have important ramifications for the manufacture of new Amateur Radio equipment that scans frequencies outside the ham bands.

The new rules--released March 31 in a Report and Order--broaden the definition of a scanning receiver to include receivers that automatically switch among two or more frequencies between 30 and 960 MHz and are capable of stopping at and receiving a detected signal. Still exempted are receivers designed solely for operation as a part of a licensed station. In response to an ARRL request, the FCC clarified that the rules do not apply to Amateur Service receivers unless they cover frequencies outside the ham bands.

The FCC also widened its definition of ''test equipment'' exempted from the cellular reception restriction. The adopted definition defines test equipment by function, rather than by end user as proposed, thus permitting sale to the general public.

Receivers that allow reception of cellular frequencies because of their poor image response would be illegal under the new rules. As it proposed, the Commission adopted a 38 dB rejection standard for signals in the cellular bands ''for any frequency to which the receiver can be tuned.''

The FCC abandoned a proposal to require manufacturers to limit reception of cellular service frequencies by ''direct pickup'' through the cabinet. The FCC also backed away from a proposal that could have required epoxy potting and nonremovable components to prevent scanner modification. The League had argued that this could raise the cost of buying and repairing equipment and could preclude ham gear modification for CAP and MARS or for experimental purposes.

The FCC adopted a more generalized requirement that receivers be designed so that tuning, control circuits, and filtering be inaccessible, and that the design be such that any attempted modification would render the receiver inoperative.

The new FCC rules also prohibit modification of scanning receivers as a business or on an ongoing basis ''regardless of the date of manufacture or number of units modified.'' The Commission also said modification of any scanning receiver is prohibited and invalidates the equipment authorization. New, permanent labels on scanning receivers also will be required.

Kits for scanning receivers would be treated the same as assembled equipment. The Commission said that ham radio scanning receivers ''already cover frequency ranges needed by amateurs'' and a prohibition against scanner kits ''will not impact frequency converter kits used to expand the frequencies covered by amateur equipment.'' The League plans to look closely at this provision to make sure it will not prevent amateur manufacturers from producing legitimate transverting equipment.

The FCC said it was modifying its rules ''to clarify that the prohibition on modifying scanning receivers to receive Cellular Service transmissions contained in Section 15.121 overrides the home built device provisions of Section 15.23.''

The proposed new rules become effective due 30 days after their publication in the Federal Register. But the FCC said it will include ''transitional provisions in our rules to allow the acceptance of equipment certification applications for scanning receivers under the current rules for up to 90 days after the publication of the R&O.

767. Audio adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <370BB362.3B6BB948@pagesz.net>
Source record: 122828

I am looking for a device to attach to my scanner and the car stereo (or any stereo i guess) that will allow the audio of the scanner to be heard in place of the radio station etc., but while the scanner is not receiving anything you can hear the radio. I know they exist, but don't know where to find them or what they are called. Email me or post response here.

--
****
Ruffin Blackard

ruffin@pagesz.net

768. Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S.O." <soving@magma.ca>
Message-ID: <3BOO2.1036$MR1.5050799@news.magma.ca>
Source record: 122829

I have been trying to sell this new scanner (10 days use) for weeks now and nobody will get serious enough to buy it. Why is that? the price seems fair. It is cheaper than a new one by quite a bit? Please some feedback would be appreciated!

here is the add (as if you haven't seen it already!)

AOR 8200 guaranteed same as new. Unblocked cellular band. Purchased Feb 26, used for 10 days and put back in box. Warranty is transferable. Not interested in shipping to US. I am in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Save big dollars... Must sell this week...

Want to get $490 US or $740 Canadian + shipping. Do your homework and let me know if this isn't a good deal.

you get, AOR 8200 unblocked scanner
4 ni-cad rechargeable batteries
12v car lighter adapter
AOR Premium Leatherette carry case with clear keypad cover ($16-20 US)
wrist-carry strap
Warranty certificate transferred to your name, manuals and original box / packaging.

769. Help: Need Y2K Info MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: captkfd308@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407155553.04953.00003476@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122830

Will the software work on the MR 8100 after the year 2000 rolls around?
THANKS

Bill Carreira Jr. Email:CaptKFD308@aol.com
CAPT NREMT-I :n1nfc@hotmail.com
Kingsland Fire Rescue
Kingsland, GA 31548
http://www.eagnet.com/edipage/user/n1nfc/n1nfc.htm

770. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <370bbeee.189396125@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 122831

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 19:45:03 GMT, "S.O." <soving@magma.ca> wrote:

>I have been trying to sell this new scanner (10 days use) for weeks now
>and nobody will get serious enough to buy it. Why is that?

[snip]

OK, I'll tell you why I didn't.

> Not interested in shipping to US.

You just lost, I suspect, 99% of your potential buyers. Whatever your
reasons for this, and I don't debate or question them, it cuts into
your potential buyers. If you decide to relax this requirement, I
suspect you might have a lot of interest. And cash. :)

Tony

771. Re: bc235xlt leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Orshan <sdo@beasys.com>
Message-ID: <370BC3BF.AACD6C4C@beasys.com>
Source record: 122832

yabyum@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if the Radio Schack's leather case for the Pro-90 will fit
> on the Uniden BC235XLT. The shape of the pro-90 looks a bit different than
> the 235 in the catalog.
>
> Thanks!
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

It fits. I have one.

772. Re: needed: some good freqs for LA area - PD/FIRE/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370bbfab$0$2487@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 122833

Check out my page:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

It should have most of what you need. Though it says West Valley, the San Fernando Valley is part of the LA area, and I've included valid city-wide freqs for LA.

Jayg359 <jayg359@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990406172118.08673.00003537@ng95.aol.com...
> Hello All.
> Will be traveling to Los Angeles CA for a week shortly. Need some good freqs
> to listen to. Any Freqs and PL tones would be greatly appreciated. I would be
> glad to offer in return anything I can on Long Island Info.
>
> Thanks!
> Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
> Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>
> "Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"
>

773. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7egfompip3@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 122834
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In article <jS5O2.6527$LX.2762863@WReNphoon3>, kd5boc@adisfwb.com (Thomas D Jones Jr) wrote:
>GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were
>talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
>But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered cell
>phones?

IMHO you have to pay more attention to a cell phone than a radio.
I see every idiot on the planet now with one of those silly things. I see kids LEAVING college classes so they can answer their stupid ass cell phone!
Freakin' amazing...Whats WORSE is the women who do their hair and makeup while driving, you want to make a new law.....

Tom

774. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Klap <klap@nl.net>
Message-ID: <7eggc2$sl1$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 122835

Why not tell us why you sell an AR8200 of one week?

Most people will think that performane is terrible because you don't sell this beauty after a week...

you get, AOR 8200 unblocked scanner
4 ni-cad rechargeable batteries
12v car lighter adapter
AOR Premium Leatherette carry case with clear keypad cover ($16-20 US)
wrist-carry strap
Warranty certificate transferred to your name, manuals and original box / packaging.

775. bc235xlt leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: yabyum@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eeeri$244$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122870

Does anyone know if the Radio Schack's leather case for the Pro-90 will fit on the Uniden BC235XLT. The shape of the pro-90 looks a bit different than the 235 in the catalog.

Thanks!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

776. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hairman2000@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <370B4D43.B6609E8@pacifier.com>
Source record: 122871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I hope Bill doesn't get too used to the 'anal boogie' in
jail. I'd hate to see his marriage suffer over some prision
homosexuality.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Mike Burch wrote:
>
> > jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >
> > > Hey George, I haven't posted a DAMM THING on here all the days this was
> > > brewing, so WHY are YOU chosing to drag me into it now?
> > >
> > > George Vetterle wrote:
> > >
> > > > I bet you know all about dropping the soap being you think
> > > > Mackey's an "o.k. guy." Were you in jail with him?
> > >
> >
> > I think John Mackey is a great guy because he has the stones to stand
> > up to what he thinks is right regardless of anything else. He does not
> > cave in. I think Bill Cheek is an arrogant ass and need to get knocked
> > down a few pegs and the feds are going to do that. Mike K8MB
>
> --
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

777. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <370aab91.142310@news>
Source record: 122872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Phil-
>
>How dare you!
>
>How MEAN you are to kick a man when he is down!
>
>I am offering legitimate concern & assistance for Cheek in this dark hour

You mean like these statements of yours?

>1. Bill (& Cindy) were arrested on federal charges & charges from New York
>State.
>2. Bill has a known history of monitoring the public AND confidential
>communications
>of law enforcement. (according to his web site)
>3. Bill has had previous troubles with the law - including violence (according
>to admissions he has made on this NG)
>4. Bill has been known to use false identities in the past. (actions on this
>NG)
>5. Bill has been known to have people forge themselves as him to gain his
>desired goal.

Nope, absolutely no concern or assistance in those words.
Your own words show the entire newsgroup what a pathetic liar
you really are. Carry on with your veiled attacks, secure in the
knowledge that you're fooling no-one.

>Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope
>others do the same.

I'm sure his shoes are the least of his worries.

>You should be ashamed.

Unfortunately, that's something that a twisted personality
like yours is incapable of.

...Andy

778. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: richard@nospam.com
Message-ID: <370abd36.956820@172.16.1.166>
Source record: 122873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

There is no extradition when you are in Fed custody.

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 16:02:37 GMT, Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
wrote:

>Michael Derryberry wrote:
>>
>> Extradition would have required a court hearing. The earliest that could
>> have happened would have been today. So, chances are he is still in San
>> Diego out on bail.
>>
>As of 2 days ago, He was not it the computer for San Diego.
>
>--
>
>
>Don't Dream it Be it!!!
>http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

779. Re: PRO 2035 Cell Mod Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: STEVE <sgiron@home.com>
Message-ID: <5zzO2.42079$qt5.5983@news.rdc2.occa.home.com>
Source record: 122874

I used to own one of those.

From my understanding, you need to replace the CPU with a european version
for full 800 mhz
coverage. Where and how you get one.. I dont' know.
cameraone <cameraone@access1.net> wrote in message
news:370aa8f9.0@news.access1.net...
>Can anyone help me with info. on how to cell mod a PRO-2035
>
>Thanks
>
>J.Vern
>
>

780. For Sale: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <370AC988.8B8B565D@home.com>
Source record: 122875

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.

This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules.Thanks

781. Richmond/Henrico County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: themik111@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <19990407000536.18106.00003770@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 122876

Will be in Richmond for about a month starting April 20th, I have a couple years old freq list. Would anyone provide me with or direct me to a site with updated info for FD,PD and other intersting freqs in the area?

Thanks
MM

Email them to me if possible

782. Re: PRO-2004 backlight source?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <370AF0D5.80565437@gnc.net>
Source record: 122877

A while back someone was selling a mod for the PRO-2004 to convert the backlight from the existing "EL" to an LED (muliple LEDs with a difuser plate) system. I have no longer have the individuals name but if someone sells such a kit, that means you can build your own.

Just for yucks and giggles, I looked into modifying other "ELs". I took a Limelight brand nightlight, which uses an EL, and sacrificed it to my quest. I was able to cut the EL essentially in half (perpendicular to the electrical connections) and relaminate the EL with the electrical contacts exposed. I supplied power and it still worked. The reason I tried this is MANY products still use ELs (search the internet for electroluminescent panels). If you find one slightly larger than the dimensions you need, you should be able to trim it to size, relaminate it, then replace the old unit. Another option would be to use two Limelight ELs (one isn't large enough) to replace the backlight (color seems correct). At only $3 each, this might be the cheap fix.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Glenn wrote:

> Radio Shack lists parts online wouldn't have them. The PRO-2004 backlight
> has been out of stock for quite some time now. I would like to find one
> also as mine is a 7.5 on a scale of 1-10 but the years are wearing on him.
>
> eeewee <n2ojq@gw.total-web.net> wrote in message
> news:923276955.720.63@news.remarQ.com...
> > .
> > Follow the link below:
> > http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm
> >
> > Scotter1 <scotter1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:19990404193218.29704.00000771@ng138.aol.com...
> > > Well, I don't know either - not there's two of us looking!
> >
> >

783. Discriminator output for yupi 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: unknown@internet.com
Message-ID: <RhCO2.147$U5.3904632@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 122878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

does anyone have plans or know of a successful Yupiter MVT 7100 modification which allows for a discrimintator output? thanks.

matt@i1.net

784. Florida MDTs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ayc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407015358.26291.00002145@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122879

Someone posted a message stating one of the police agencies in Florida actually posts their MDT data on the web. Any ideaa of the URL?

785. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek Flamers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370af55f.11751390@news>
Source record: 122880

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 16:07:09 GMT, StevieW@webtv.com (Steve W.) wrote:

>On 6 Apr 1999 11:04:13 GMT, tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:
>
>
>>I must say - I sure do feel sorry for Bill. Seems like a real bum rap from what
>>we know at this point.
>
>How do you figure? Everyone is ASSUMING that a dataslicer is all he sold.
>This CAN'T be correct as there is absolutely nothing illegal about that.

In a sane world, this statement would be correct. However, this is the
United States Secret Service we're talking about here - the same USSS
that virtually destroyed Steve Jackson's game company by confiscating
all his computer equipment simply because he was making a role playing
game with a "Cyberpunk" theme.

786. Re: Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cloaked@spamshield.com
Message-ID: <370af39e.11302354@news>
Source record: 122881

On Tue, 6 Apr 1999 13:07:32 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>Specifically, to the LINE input on your sound card.
>
>Wha???? No, no no.... The Hamcomm decoder goes on your COM port.

Sorry, I was thinking of the kinds of programs that work by sampling
the discriminator output using a PC sound card. You are, of course,
correct about the Hamcomm.

787. Re: CA Scanner Law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <HnFO2.303$or5.30073@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122882

Now WHY couldn't the experts in Washington adopt something like this instead
of the Cellfone Industry's ECPA,bought and paid for with lobbying
$$???????
They are trying to hide the fact that a Cellfone is a RAdio.....their
whole argument for privacy is based on a lie ....

Of course , the Communications Act of 1934 that the FCC derives it's
authority from says almost the same thing that CAlifornia's does.....
N3DDY
<joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7ecs5b$n9u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> California Penal Code Section 636.5 Wrongful Interception and
> Divulgence of Police Radio Communication.
>
> Any person not authorized by the sender, who intercepts any police
> radio service communications, by use of a scanner or other means, for
> the purpose of using that communication to assist in the commission
> of a criminal offense or to avoid or escape arrest, trial, conviction,
> or punishment or who divulges to any person he or she knows to be a
> suspect in the commission of any criminal offense, the existence,
> contents, substance, purport, effect or meaning of that communication
> concerning escape from arrest, trial, conviction, or punishment is
> guilty of a misdemeanor. Nothing in this section shall preclude
> prosecution of any person under section 31 or 32.
>
> As used in this section "police radio service communications" means
> a communications authorized by the Federal Communications Commission
> to be transmitted by a station in the police radio service.
>
> Leg.H. 1976 ch. 1129, 1991 ch. 515.
>
> ==
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Joseph Henry
> Environmental Studies
> 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
> Washington, DC 20007
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------

> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

788. Re: VHF antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407052205.06277.00003414@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 122883

>I'll try that, but has anybody tried to pick up ATIS Airport weather channel
>on their scanner succesfully? (Automatic Terminal Information Service)
>Or listen to aircraft on the ground?
>

I work at an airport and routinely listen to ATIS (when it's foggy) and ground/clc delivery on a regular trunktracker with the factory rubber duck antenna. I get them loud & clear in the terminal bulidings & in my car up to 3 miles away.

PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

789. Cell on 42 mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407054003.06277.00003415@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 122884

Hi there...
I routinely listen to CHP on 42 mhz with a TrunkTracker. Lately, I've been getting one side of cell calls over the top of the dispatcher!!! It seems it is the landline side of the conversation I'm getting, but I'm not sure. I'm positive it is cellular because I can hear the cells hand off, and cell just has that funny little tone in the background that makes it distinctive. Anyone know what this is? It's typical that I'm within .5 miles of the cell site, and I can usually see it (on the side of the freeway and such) when I hear this stuff. Thanks for any info.
Please reply via e-mail

PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

790. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7efcr5$m30$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122885
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You mean I just then CONFIRMED it, Looney - tune ! I told you, your credibility ain`t good. And, unlike you , I don`t spend every waking minute on here panting and wheezing like some two - dollar fancy woman ! How many hours a day do you spend trying to make your "echoes" look like the real thing ? 10 hours ? 14 hours ? Your phony forged "support group" is more work than it is worth ! How many hours a day do you spend trying to think up "winning strategies", when you got all the imagination, wit, and intelligence of the average ROCK ? Anybody with an IQ above a toaster can see right through you. Save it for your momma "007", because nobody else believes you.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709C2A4.D78BD5EE@usa.net...
> Gee whiz, this it what people brought to the surface & substantiated THREE days
> ago and you JUST NOW figured it out!!!
>
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
> > is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island
> > newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

791. Re: Hear Sputnik RS19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Ireland <direland@drdale.com>
Message-ID: <7ej1hreij1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 122636

It's a matter of opinion regarding the legality since they are not going to transmit any ads (which you probably already know) they are just paying the bills and taking just credit for it on their web site, and what exactly are the penalties? I think all of us scanner jocks have little sympathy for the so-called HAMs who break the real laws every day with illegal, profane, overpowered, broadcasts but have a good-ole-boy society to keep anyone they don't approve of off "their" territory. They don't own the airwaves!!! Screw 'em, GO SWATCH!
Check out Swatch.com and read the spew and threats from a few HAM's. Swatch is posting them and the HAM's should be very embarrassed.

--
Dale Ireland
Astronomy Page http://www.drdale.com
Comets, Eclipses, Satellites, Photography, Fabrications
>
>>
>> The launch of the sputnik RS19 will be on the night of 15 /16 April .
>> During the Space Walk (EVA) Wiktor Afanasyev and Sergei Avdeyev will
>> exit the Space Station MIR. RS19 will be hand launched by Cdt
>> Afanasyev. JP Haignere will stay inside the Station and coordinate the
>> EVA activities. RS19 can be heard on 145.812/....815 Mhz. You can hear
>> it with any scanner (outdoors). It will transmit 7second messages in
>> many languages with a 7 second pause between messages

Ian Wrote
>> --Please also note that it is illegal and SWATCH are using the Amatuer
>bands for COMMERCIAL things...it's wrong !!
>
>ian k.

792. PC Cable for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Yahn <slots1@cyberenet.net>
Message-ID: <370D09B5.1D3D9857@cyberenet.net>
Source record: 122637

Is there a site that has a schematic, for the PC cable for the Uniden BC895XLT?

TIA

793. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ej5hv$80m$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370C3B86.5E20A7FB@usa.net...
>
>
> Bud (the original) wrote:
>
> > You are a chronic, probably complusive, liar.
> > And that statement is absolute proof of it.
>
> That post did NOTHING to provice proof of your accusation.
>
Well, Looney - tune, You still have not "Posted the PROOF" that I am Bill cheek yet EITHER. If you are going to require the proof of others, then you should be held to the same standard. So now, YOU "POST THE PROOF" that I am actually Bill Cheek, as you were claiming I was a week ago. I think the answer to that contention is OBVIOUS to ANYONE on this news group by now , since Bill got arrested and I didn`t ! POST THE PROOF, Looney

794. Re: What a jerk...(John Macaroon)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dboom@aol.com
Message-ID: <370e123d.844265@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Once again, the retarded macaroon spews an original response.

On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 12:20:22 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>BWAhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!
>
>
>HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com wrote:
>
>> At least someone misses him... unlike you.
>>
>> Phil Smalley wrote:
>> > Why don't you go back to work John. McDonalds' misses you....
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

795. Need info on PLL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Corbin <corbin@zk3.dec.com>
Message-ID: <370D249D.2C1939AE@zk3.dec.com>
Source record: 122640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a data sheet on the Sony CXA1356N PLL.
It has been discontinued from Sony and their local field
office as well as the corporate office no longer have
data sheets on this part.

Can anyone send me a photocopy or fax/email of this
data sheet? Any help would be greatly appreciated.

- steve corbin

Reply to:
corbin@cwixmail.com

796. Re: kern county, bakersfield, calif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VW9P2.601$s83.29557@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 122641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bakersfield PD
155.31
155.19
155.28

TR

Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <370AD6C0.C6F@worldnet.att.net>...
>Gilbert Barraza wrote:
>>
>> need info on scanner freqs for kern county, bakersfield,calif send info
>> to
>> gbjr69331@webtv.net thank-you group!!!!
>>
>> gilbert barraza jr
>
>
>Check out the following URL:
>
> http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

797. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: FCarr@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <370d2f93.11088918@news.virgin.net>
Source record: 122642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 09:42:59 GMT, ftbonnemeyer@t-online.de
(Frank-Th. Bonnemeyer) wrote:

<snip>

>Do you think serbian fighters - or NATO - will talk in clear without
>encryption? I won't!

As I am typing this post I am listening to calls from NATO to
pilots to abort their missions. They are transmitting in the
clear on 13.200, 11.175 and 8.992 (USB), I am receiving them at
a signal 20+ and the time is 1715 GMT and I am in the UK.

I monitor these two frequencies quite a lot and have heard many
transmissions relating to the Kosovo conflict. Many of the pilots
are requesting phone patches to various ground bases, some are
aircrafts carrying troops and/or civilians, and some are
requesting destination orders, and others requesting WX
conditions for various landing strips.

--
Frank Carr. Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, England.
The Work And Play Website - http://www.bigfoot.com/~fcarr/
Mirror Site at - http://WorkAndPlay.future.easyspace.com/

798. For sale: FCC Frequency Database CD-ROM, 1998

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: lily@abive.net
Message-ID: <371033cc.21170261@news.flashcom.com>
Source record: 122643
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.scanner,alt.radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For sale on Ebay:

The Mr. Scanner CDROM (vol 3, 1998) contains the entire USA frequency
and callsign allocation plan for Commercial, state and local
government, public service/utilities, and special event systems.
The listings are arranged and searchable by callsign, frequency, city,
state and service. Supports DOS, Windows and Windows 95/NT systems.
Special POLICE listings help you find the most active frequencies in
your area. Listed frequency ranges: 30 to 1000 MHZ.

Only $19.99! GO HERE:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=88639687

799. For sale: FCC Frequency Database CD-ROM, 1998

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: yc@NOSPAM.lansol.com
Message-ID: <371134b0.21398889@news.flashcom.com>
Source record: 122644
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.scanner,alt.radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For sale on Ebay:

The Mr. Scanner CDROM (vol 3, 1998) contains the entire USA frequency
and callsign allocation plan for Commercial, state and local
government, public service/utilities, and special event systems.
The listings are arranged and searchable by callsign, frequency, city,
state and service. Supports DOS, Windows and Windows 95/NT systems.
Special POLICE listings help you find the most active frequencies in
your area. Listed frequency ranges: 30 to 1000 MHZ.

Only $19.99! GO HERE:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=88639687

800. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgrol2399@aol.com
Message-ID: <370e2bdb.7402950@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

To contact Cheek's, send him mail at his new address bubba@cellmate.com

801. Re: bc-250 reset, how

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Teddy Tedford <tedford@margolin.com>
Message-ID: <370D39BA.63E@margolin.com>
Source record: 122646

mikevman wrote:
>
> Anyone know how to reset the bc250, or is there a keypad reset code.
> thanks.
>
> mike.
>
> ---
> To reply to msg. take out the noospam in address.

If you mean the old BC 250 of circa 1980, then the only reset is to remove the power cord and reconnect the power cord until it starts to scan the 1-10 group of channels.

You may have to do it many times before it scans again.

802. Freq's in Asia or near by Countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7ejfkfdht1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 122647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for Freq's for near Asia by Countries ASAP

803. F.S.PRO-46 scanner,full800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <370D500B.66F6A2EE@direct.ca>
Source record: 122648

Hi there,
I am selling a near new condition PRO-46 SCANNER,
It gets full cellular coverage,
Is a 100 channel hand held,
Its mint condition,
I will take best offer over $150
Thanks
Russ

804. FS: Pro-2005/2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <7ecP2.543$Se3.23737@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 122649

Hi All,
I have a Pro-2005 (same as a PRO-2006) for sale
with owners manual. Very good condition, 400 channel.

$225.00 plus shipping

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Thank You,
Boyd

805. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <370D52A6.2CB7804C@uswest.net>
Source record: 122650

Can you post Bill's site here please.
I seem to have lost it over the course of time.

Hawke

hero504@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> I took a look at Knipschild's web site and the offending information has
> been removed. I looked at Bill Cheek's site and I still see the converters
> listed. If these were illegal wouldn't they have also been removed? Just a
> thought.....
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

806. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <370D548F.F7E0FC57@uswest.net>
Source record: 122651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The just post the URL.
We can all read it from there...

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Ok, I have substantiated the Cheek bust as being "legit". In other words, it
> is not a hoax. You also can substantiate it by going to the Long Island
> newspaper site, www.newsday.com, and reading the article , which was
> published on Friday, the 2nd. You can also get there by a link from
> www.knip.com
>
> I could post the page in HTML, but that is frowned upon in this type of
> group. Anyway, now that we know that the report is correct, we can proceed
> from there in the case of legal defense funds. I wuld assume that Bill wil
> have to appear in New York for his arraignment. So we won`t have input from
> him for a while yet.

807. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <370D5365.BAE68618@uswest.net>
Source record: 122652

Stupid question here,:
if the authorities made such a big deal, then why didn't the post the gentlemens real name? Because of this one small point, I begin to wonder if this isn't some kind of hoax perpatrated by a few to drag Bill's name even further throguh the mud.

Hawke

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: pjonsn88@aol.com (Peter)
>
> >
> >No. Cheek was arrested for selling illegal devices to an undercover agent in
> >NY. Didn't ANYONE read the goddamned article??????????????
>
> Well it is apparent that Zombie Wolf and nobody@replay.com didn't read the
> article. Now, it is apparent that you did read the goddamned article either.
> Here's a direct quote:
>
> Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to
> intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have
> arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant
> charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police
> communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased
> a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily
> available yesterday."
>
> Note the agent bought the device from the Cheeks and shipped it to NY. It's a
> small point, but if you're going to bitch about other people's accuracy, then
> you should hold to the same standard, no?

808. Re: Newbie looking for recomendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cool_Hand <stealthx@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7ejkg1$mf4@world1.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 122653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just bought the Radioshack Pro-90 Trunktracker 300 channel scanner, It
was on sale from $299 for $199 and they gave me an additional discount of
10% because it was the display model.
I have it now 2 days but I can tell you that the truncking is good.

Pieter

Skoiboy wrote in message ...
>Hey everyone...let me start by saying I know very little about scanners.
>
>Anyway I was looking at the scanners and I know I want a Uniden. I was
>wondering what everyone thought of the SC150. Like I said I don't know
much
>so simple is good, but then again I do want to be able to do stuff. Now
>about Trunk tracking- as many definitions as I have read about it I still
>don't know weather I should get one with it or not. I am from a small town
>in Connecticut and I don't know if the locals use the trunk system. Is it
>something I'll really miss? Is it something I will want in the future or
is
>it real confusing and to confusing for a beginner. I would not really like
>to spend over $200 and less is better so I could get the truck tracker
model
>for about $199...if everyone thinks I need it. Anyway less confusing but
>more features is better...let me know what everyone thinks....
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>Dave
>zerotwist@home.com
>AOL: Skoiboy
>ICQ: 542112
>
>

809. pro 2005 discriminator output ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dgregor <dgregor@means.net>
Message-ID: <370D5BF7.FD80BE05@means.net>
Source record: 122654

Where will I find the discriminator output for my radio shack pro 2005 ?

and does this need to be coupled to my sound card or a direct
connection.

thanks in advance

dave

810. Mods and Software Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <PodP2.205$U5.5403548@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 122655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have just updated my site with a few more frequency list and a few more downloadable programs, if anyone would like to take a look. The mods for scanners, cb's and shortwave radios are still there also. Thanks.
http://www2.nightowl.net/tyler

Todd

811. Chicago Simplex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <370D6A52.9BDB9D1B@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 122656

Could someone please post a common simplex frequency for 2 meters band used in Chicago?

Thanks

-VW

812. Re: PC Cable for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <370D686F.9D1B330A@excite.com>
Source record: 122657

the cable i use is a cdrom audio cable from officemax (3 connectors on
end, use the one that is the same as the connector on the other end of
the cable)

http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/dig/895.txt
http://gary.burkett.org/BC895XLT_Cable.html

Tim Yahn wrote:
>
> Is there a site that has a schematic, for the PC cable for the Uniden
> BC895XLT?
>
> TIA

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

813. Re: Legal defense fund for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <370D6F36.DE8F9E8B@albany.net>
Source record: 122658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bud:

I believe that a wife cannot be compelled to testify against her husband
but could indeed testify against him if she so wished.

Joe

"Bud (the original)" wrote:
>
> On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 02:22:59 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >Remember, Cindy could always turn & cut a a deal to be used
> >as a witness AGAINST Bill!
>
> Gee, John, I KNEW you were stupid, but THAT is a new low even for you.
>
> A wife CAN NOT testify against her husband.
>
> To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

814. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <VOeP2.17722$cq3.339656@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122659

Thanks for the information John.

I still bet it all stems from this section on his WWW page;
****
*Cutting to the chase, it is well within the casual hobbyist's
*grasp to decode the following types of non-voice signals:

*Trunk System Control Mobile Display Terminals (MDT)
*Mobitex Systems Fax
*Packet (ham) Morse Code (CW)
*Pagers <illegal?> RTTY

*All you need to explore decoding digital data from the
*airwaves are the following items:
*
*Any 800 MHz Scanner (cellular not required) *
*Computer, 386DX/33 or better
*Free or low cost software (a lot is free)
*A simple 2-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface <see below>
*
*
****

With the attitude our Congress has taken toward our hobby this was just
waving a red cape seeing if and when the bull would charge. The dude that
got busted just rolled over on Bill said his web page says its cool.

Any word on Opto and their data slicer? Black helos hovering over them yet?

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:19990408215043.20677.00000264@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> All,
>
> I spoke with Agent Denise Gibson with the U.S. Secret Service today.
Agent
> Gibson is the media contact for the USSS's New York office. She didn't
have
> many details, but the affadavit on which the arrest warrant was based said
that
> Bill & Cindy Cheek had violated the law by marketing a device,
specifically a
> digital data decoder, that could be used to illegally intercept police MDT
> transmissions.
> Sorry, I don't have more info than that, and I know it still leaves a
lot
> of unanswered questions. Agent Gibson promised to confer with the lead

agent
> on the case and get back to me tomorrow with more specifics, or to have
that
> agent call me directly. When I know more, I will post more.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

815. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <r0fP2.1058$_o1.138119@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 122660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Sean,

How about checking the local asylum and checking to see if Mackey is still
in custody?

TR

Sean Wolfe wrote in message <3709B63E.1898DB6C@home.com>...
>Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
>talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)
>
>Sean Wolfe wrote:
>
>> If it is true and it is true that he was arrested by federal agents, then
>> he would be at the MCC in downtown SD on Front St.
>> BTW, they must tell you if someone is in custody.
>> Phone 619-232-4311
>>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>
>> > Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
>> > by the
>> > federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he
>> > will know we are all thinking of him!
>> >
>> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

816. Re: Hear Sputnik RS19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <4lfP2.17739$cq3.345503@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122661

Dale Ireland <direland@drdale.com> wrote in message
news:7ej1hreij1@remarQ.com...

> It's a matter of opinion regarding the legality since they are not going
to
> transmit any ads (which you probably already know)

Where do you get your info from Dale? Check out Wired News at
http://www.wired.com/news/news/technology/story/18968.html

It states the following:

******start quote*************
The radio messages will advertise Internet Time, Swatch's new timekeeping
standard, according to Swatch's Web site. The company is calling for readers
to submit voice messages. If they contain a Swatch marketing buzzword, they
will be broadcast around the globe from the satellite
******end quote******************

Want to lay some money out in a wager that many of these messages will be
nothing more than Swatch commercials? How much?

>they are just paying the
> bills and taking just credit for it on their web site,

Wrong, and broadcast commercial messages on bands allocated to amateur radio
through international treaties.

>and what exactly are
> the penalties?

I for one will hope their net worth plumets if it is as commercial as it
sounds. I also suspect AMSAT will never trust the Russians again, they are
the idiots that snuck this though on a satellite pegged for educational and
ham usage. Of course you already knew this,

> I think all of us scanner jocks have little sympathy for the
> so-called HAMs who break the real laws every day with illegal, profane,
> overpowered, broadcasts
> but have a good-ole-boy society to keep anyone they
> don't approve of off "their" territory. They don't own the airwaves!!!
Screw
> 'em, GO SWATCH!

As a HAM who doesn't break the real laws every day with illegal, profane,
overpowered, broadcasts nor do I belong to a good-ole-boy society I find
the above quite offensive. If I were to follow your lead I would have to
believe you are one of those pervert scanner jocks that listens in on

private conversations on cordless phones, cell phones and baby monitors. I think you scanner jocks are also known for stalking people, right? They keep passing laws to try ands slow you perverts down, eh? Does that sound about right Dale. You ALL are like this, right? Worldwide.

> Check out Swatch.com and read the spew and threats from a few HAM's.
Swatch
> is posting them and the HAM's should be very embarrassed.

Few? You should be Dale. Your characterization of hams is offensive, you seem to have no clue to the international laws that are being stepped on and seemed to miss quite a bit on Swatches site.

Shall we start over?

817. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <PXfP2.17751$cq3.349753@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:r0fP2.1058$_o1.138119@news1.giganews.com...
> Sean,
>
> How about checking the local asylum and checking to see if Mackey is still
> in custody?

He was just recently. Do a Dejanews search on John-Bowl. When you see the daily stream of 30 offtopic posts all of a sudden stop you know Mackey-Loon is in for more treatments. They never will cure him of his obsession with Cheek.

818. Re: F S non - working UNIMETRECS scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <y%fP2.17752$cq3.350204@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122663

Hey, I have one too, Unimetrics Digiscan-8, non-working.

Wanna trade? :-)

Wbelsito <wbelsito@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990409000319.00114.00000423@ng140.aol.com...
> i have a UNIMETRECS non - working scanner
>
> if interested e - mail me . wbelsito@aol.com

819. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D8ADF.6671DA4C@usa.net>
Source record: 122664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Thanks for the information, please keep us informed!

JTWard01 wrote:

> All,
>
> I spoke with Agent Denise Gibson with the U.S. Secret Service today. Agent
> Gibson is the media contact for the USSS's New York office. She didn't have
> many details, but the affadavit on which the arrest warrant was based said that
> Bill & Cindy Cheek had violated the law by marketing a device, specifically a
> digital data decoder, that could be used to illegally intercept police MDT
> transmissions.
> Sorry, I don't have more info than that, and I know it still leaves a lot
> of unanswered questions. Agent Gibson promised to confer with the lead agent
> on the case and get back to me tomorrow with more specifics, or to have that
> agent call me directly. When I know more, I will post more.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

820. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D8C89.6AE20BE@usa.net>
Source record: 122665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

OK, I back off, I don't think you are Bill Cheek.

Now since THAT issue is resolved, post YOUR proof!!!!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370C3B86.5E20A7FB@usa.net...
> >
> >
> > Bud (the original) wrote:
> >
> > > You are a chronic, probably complusive, liar.
> > > And that statement is absolute proof of it.
> >
> > That post did NOTHING to provice proof of your accusation.
> >
> Well, Looney - tune, You still have not "Posted the PROOF" that I am Bill
> cheek yet EITHER. If you are going to require the proof of others, then you
> should be held to the same standard. So now, YOU "POST THE PROOF" that I am
> actually Bill Cheek, as you were claiming I was a week ago. I think the
> answer to that contention is OBVIOUS to ANYONE on this news group by now ,
> since Bill got arrested and I didn`t ! POST THE PROOF, Looney

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

821. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D8E04.72E09CF3@usa.net>
Source record: 122666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

OK, if it is public knowledge them state your contact & how we may contact them for verification. Zombie Wolf is VERY firm on substantiating anything like this. Otherwise, it can not be accepted as factual.

Tektot wrote:

> Cheek is not being held anywhere. He was release later that night, from the SS
> office where they did the paperwork. I have a pretty reliable contact, and this
> is, or will be available as public information.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

822. Re: kern county, bakersfield, calif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <370DA34D.668FE367@netxn.com>
Source record: 122667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Correct freqs for Bakersfield Police Department are ...

Ch 01 155.310 DPL 165 Main Dispatch
Ch 02 154.800 DPL 265 Car-to-Car
Ch 03 155.190 PL 103.5 Administrative
Ch 04 154.740 DPL 465 Detectives
Ch 05 155.550 DPL 565 Detectives
Ch 06 154.920 No PL CLEMARS
Ch 07 155.475 No PL NLEMARS
Ch 08 155.430 DPL 023 Vice Detail
Ch 09 155.640 DPL 025 Vice Detail

Channels 4,8 & 9 are normally digitally encrypted.

Hope this helps ... E-mail if you need further for Bakersfield or Kern
County ...

Mike Derryberry

tcrpe wrote:
>
> Bakersfield PD
> 155.31
> 155.19
> 155.28
>
> TR
>
> Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <370AD6C0.C6F@worldnet.att.net>...
> >Gilbert Barraza wrote:
> >>
> >> need info on scanner freqs for kern county, bakersfield,calif send info
> >> to
> >> gbjr69331@webtv.net thank-you group!!!!
> >>
> >> gilbert barraza jr
> >>
> >>
> >Check out the following URL:
> >
> > http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

823. Re: Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <370DA3E8.A629A245@netxn.com>
Source record: 122668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have used them several times with very good results.

Mike Derryberry

Skoiboy wrote:
>
> I was wondering if anyone could tell me the reputation of Scanner World
> (http://www.albany.net/~scanner/). Since I might order something from
> them...
>
> --
> Dave
> zerotwist@home.com
> AOL: Skoiboy
> ICQ: 542112

824. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <4C95B80D098FD384.50A200B087F15414.513C876E739287F3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122669

Oh really?
And why does it bother you that Bill will become a hero?

> What is starting to bother me is that all of this about
> Cheek's arrest is going to make him into some kind
> of hero.

825. Re: MDT Data and Lawyers. Why is it legal for them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <BDA1193DF8718E1C.7FE19EC1F6B97BF4.74565D753EE585E2@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122670

Oh really?
So, you are telling me that lawyers bought this information which was gathered from
a third party and then obtained this information fast enough to send a letter out to me
to arrive at my house the day after I received the ticket?
I think you are missing my point.
The lawyers would have had to have known about my ticket and sent out mail to me
within a couple of hours in order for their solicitation to be in my home mailbox the day
after I received my ticket.
This is exactly what happened to me in Euless,Tx when I received a ticket.
The exact same day I had letters from several lawyers in the mid cities,tx area claiming
they could get me out of my ticket.
Surely they don't have someone sitting at a radio all day listening to voice so the only
way
they could get this data is through MDT dumps.

> I don't know where you heard this. Lawyers buy this information from third
> party companies who research this information from public courthouse
> records.

826. Data on 154.475

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <370d1196.4433458@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 122671

Does anyone know what the data transmissions are on 154.475 Mhz in the NY Area?

Mike
Visit the "Telechron Gallery"
@
www.frontiernet.net/~mdemeo
Great Old Electric Clocks

827. Re: 4 Lvl decoder, same as cellular DDI ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370c346c.6883208@news>
Source record: 122686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 7 Apr 1999 18:49:35 -0700, "pnw100" <pnw100@spiritone.com>
wrote:

>I am curious, is a 4 level FSK decoder, the type of which are currently
>circulating the hobby for use with programs like WinFlex (and also like that
>which B Cheeks markets)

You know, that is an excellent point!

BILL CHEEK IS STILL MARKETING HIS FSK DECODERS ON HIS WEB SITE!!!

You'd think that if the FSK decoders were the "illegal devices" that
were responsible for his arrest, the offending pages would have been
pulled from the site in short order (just as the MDT logs were pulled
from knip.com).

Curious...

828. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370c33ce.6724999@news>
Source record: 122687

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 20:54:28 -0400, Io <noway@gte.net> wrote:

>What about this soloution? Ship the case as replacement parts, ship the
>guts as electronic spare parts.

<GASP!!!!>

Don't you know that BIG BROTHER AND HIS UNCLE CHARLIE ARE WATCHING YOU
discuss this proposed method of circumventing US law, and are just
waiting for an excuse to crack down on scanner hobbyists even more
than they already are?!?!?!?!!? No need for you guys to give the
authorities more ammunition!!!!!!!!!!!!

829. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370c3500.7031547@news>
Source record: 122688

On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 03:13:24 GMT, James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
wrote:

>I kind of have my own little auction going right now, then I don't have
>to pay ebay prices.

Huh?!??!
eBay doesn't charge sellers anything, do they?

830. PRO-2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Zagora <bzagora@cellone.net>
Message-ID: <370C3778.E1362D00@cellone.net>
Source record: 122689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anybody know where i can get mods for the Radio Shack Pro-2048?

Please let me know if there is any.\

thanks,

BRyan

831. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <370C349A.EC9AE500@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 122690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Maybe it would be better to dicuss B.C's wifes BUST

HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com wrote:

> Philly,
> If you can't take a joke then get off the internet jackass.
> Look at you.. you are the idiot who has
> made some of the rudest comments towards John
> Mackey.
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Hairman2000@pacifier.com wrote in message <370B4D43.B6609E8@pacifier.com>...
> > <SNIP>
> >
> > An FYI to all,
> >
> > Here is another troll to add to your "plonk me"'s. Responding to these
> > people only makes it worse, so please just ignore idiots like this.
> >
> > -Phil

832. Another bust

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990408015204.13111.00005822@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122691

Federal Communications Commission
1919 - M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554 News media information 202 / 418-0500
Fax-On-Demand 202 / 418-2830
Internet: http://www.fcc.gov
ftp.fcc.gov

This is an unofficial announcement of Commission action. Release of the full text of a Commission order constitutes official action. See MCI v. FCC. 515 F 2d 385 (D.C. Circ 1974).

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
March 22, 1999 News Media Contact: David Fiske or
Rosemary Kimball at (202) 418-0500

Report No. CI 99-14 COMPLIANCE AND INFORMATION ACTION

U.S. DISTRICT COURT ISSUES INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLICENSED NEW YORK CITY RADIO STATION

On March 12, 1999, the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted the government's motion to enjoin operation of an unlicensed radio broadcast station in New York City known as "Steal This Radio." In his 26 page opinion, Judge Michael B. Mukasey also denied a complaint filed by "Steal This Radio" that sought to enjoin the FCC from taking enforcement action against the unlicensed operation.
As a result of an investigation, the New York Field Office (Field Office) of the Compliance and Information Bureau found that "Steal This Radio," which began broadcasting in November of 1995, was operating on frequency 88.7 MHz without a license. Despite a warning from the Field Office, "Steal This Radio" continued to operate without a license. Subsequently, the operators of "Steal This Radio" and two listeners of the station filed their eight count complaint in U.S. District Court, challenging the constitutionality of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and the FCC's licensing regulations, and seeking an injunction against the FCC. The U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York filed a motion seeking to enjoin "Steal This Radio" from further operation and moved for dismissal of the "Steal This Radio" complaint.

The District Court dismissed all eight counts in the complaint filed by "Steal This Radio." The Court rejected "Steal This Radio's" First Amendment arguments. According to the Court, the regulatory framework for licensing radio stations withstands constitutional review because it specifies procedures which the FCC

must follow in such cases and it provides for judicial review of any FCC ruling granting or denying a license.

In granting the United States' request for an injunction against operation of the unlicensed radio station, the Court stated that "[g]iven plaintiffs' three-year-long, nearly continuous violations of the [statutory] licensing requirement, there is every indication that plaintiffs will continue to violate this provision in the future unless restrained by judicial order."

The FCC urges operators of all unlicensed stations to voluntarily cease their illegal broadcasting operations. Unlicensed or unauthorized operation of a radio transmitter or station (AM, FM, International Short Wave and TV) is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 301. Under the Communications Act, violators may be subject to penalties up to $11,000 and the equipment used is subject to seizure and forfeiture by court order. Unlicensed operators also could be subject to criminal fines of up to $100,000 and/or imprisonment for up to one year, or both, for a first-time offense.

-FCC-
Compliance and Information Bureau contact: Pamera Hairston at (202) 418-1160
Office of the General Counsel contact: David Silberman at (202) 418-1740; TTY (888) 835-5322

833. Re: Scanner Commercial/900 MHZ Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goodfella99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12729-370C3E35-85@newsd-231.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122692

Thats sounds funny,I'm suprised they showed someone breaking the law like that,Yes the 900MHz ANALOG phones can be picked up,but no the Digital CANNOT,try searching from 910-929 MHz but close your ears cause it's against th law.

"Over and under"

834. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <370C38CC.982007BF@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 122693
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think this guy mixed up his preperation H and LSD

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Mon, 5 Apr 1999 12:35:39 -0700 (PDT), mgdforty@webtv.net (Radio
> Dude) wrote:
>
> >I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars!
>
> Yeah, right. Pull this one and it plays "Jingle Bells." ;-)

835. Re: SOLD! Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <370C3A0C.134B503@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 122694
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Trade:::::AOR 8000 unblocked,RS 232 inteface,carry case and all accessories for BMW Z3

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> $675 for an old Pro2005. I'm sorry but that is way too much money for
> that radio. Someone needs to have there head examined.
>
> Oh well, as they say ... there is a sucker born every minute.
>
> Glad you got that much for the radio.
>
> Mike Derryberry
>
>
>
> Radio Dude wrote:
> >
> > I just sold the Pro 2005 for $675 american dollars! You see, it does not
> > mean that i'm a get a buyer to pay $800 dollars. Thats why you bid lower
> > to you think both buyer and seller are happy with the price. Thanks all
> > for your rude commets but i'm happy now!

836. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <370C47DE.D149C379@mich.com>
Source record: 122695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

"Frank-Th. Bonnemeyer" wrote:

> Lists with different KOSOVO frequencies is - I do not want to use the word
> shit.

He doesn't care -- he just wants those web hits for some reason...

> E.G. the frequency 11300, declared as HOT with "Serbian Fighters in very
> excited voices" is nothing else than the normal AFI3/MID2; 6G 13H frequency
> for upper altitude flights over North Africa / Middle East.

"Av8tor" already admitted (or excused...) this by indicating HE didn't hear it,
& was just sharing what someone else did... For the most part, "Av8tor" & his
web are an example of a clueless guy trying to attract equally clueless people,
and occasionally these clueless people try to defend him here.

> Do you think serbian fighters - or NATO - will talk in clear without
> encryption? I won't!

Um, well it's hard to converse in the clear WITH encryption! ;) But the
reality is that the allied aircraft have & are transmitting in non-secure mode,
even while engaged in tactical air combat. Further, some old static calls (such
as MAGIC...) are in use, providing negligible communications security.

> Frank

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

837. cell mod info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cameraone <cameraone@access1.net>
Message-ID: <370C4915.432B@access1.net>
Source record: 122696

Looking for info on how to cell mod a PRO-2035.

thanks

J. Vern

838. Re: Help me find Skip Station ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <370C487D.F161849D@mich.com>
Source record: 122697

Better yet, spend about $70 on two CD-ROMs:

1. Percon's Spectrum.
2. DeLorme's Street Atlas USA 6.0

Both simplify the detective work involved in identifying sources of much
US-based skip transmissions.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

839. mfj949e SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9ORJ <dingman@mail.netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <7ehij1pdu1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 122698
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for all the inquirys!!! unit is sold.......

73!

840. pro 2034 sold!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9ORJ <dingman@mail.netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <7ehilb$ko0$1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 122699
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for all inquirys unit is sold!!!!!!!!

73!!!!!!

841. Harris ts 22 SOLD!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9ORJ <dingman@mail.netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <7ehinm$ad0$1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 122700
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for all the inquirys.... Unit is sold!!!!!

73!!!!!

842. UPDATED>>Updated stuff 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9ORJ <dingman@mail.netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <7ehj1rmeg1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 122701
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Interested parties mail to
KB9ORJ@yahoo.com

here goes.....

Texas instruments data terminal...will interface with telco or
can be used for
ham RTTY use...--w/manual---75.00

Standard inc..."horizon" marine radio...all channels
standard---30.00!!!!!

Bearcat 350a mobile/base scanner w/manual, box, accessories..NEW
IN BOX
-----85.00

MT811 linemans handset....----75.00

Tripplett VOMS 1 w/case---45.00
1by itself--35.00

Hallicrafters antique cb radio.....---45.00

ALL EQUIP IS BRAND NEW OR REAL CLOSE!!!!!!!!
NO JUNK HERE!!!!!!!!

843. US Frequency Allocation Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7ehjmrfbp1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 122702

I was just at Groves site http://www.grove-ent.com/ I haven't been in a while. Anyway if you click on "FREE STUFF" you can download pretty nice Freq Allocation Chart. Its an Adobe Acrobat file. If you don't have an Acrobat reader, they have a link for a free download.

844. bc-250 reset, how

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikevman <mikevman@NOOSPAMgeocities.com>
Message-ID: <370C6EF4.6BAE24E2@NOOSPAMgeocities.com>
Source record: 122703

Anyone know how to reset the bc250, or is there a keypad reset code.
thanks.

mike.

To reply to msg. take out the noospam in address.

845. Re: Cell on 42 mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408045802.25213.00004140@ng115.aol.com>
Source record: 122704

>You're either getting a very strong harmonic from the cell
> site on a cheap scanner without conversion...or receiving
> a cordless phone on the 42 mhz channels. There are no
> cellular allocations on the lower bands.
>

It's a dual conversion (i think, may be triple) scanner, and I know it's not a cordless.. It's always had the handshake tone behind it...
PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

846. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <QI_O2.37$Xy4.225@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

>>Do you think serbian fighters - or NATO - will talk in clear without
>>encryption? I won't!
>
>Well they have been. I say all credit to the guy for posting them, I
>have heard a lot of operations as a result.
>
>You seem to think you know what you are talking about so back it up
>and give us some real stuff then so that we can enjoy our hobby.
>
>Thanks
>
>ï¿½ Dunk
>

Correct, a lot of Air-to-Ait combat are broadcast in the clear. You can also listen to practice combat here in the states all the time if you live near the coast. When I reported the activity on 11.300 on my website I know exactly what that freq's primary use is. I myself have been a pilot for over 14 years. However I was told by someone else they heard fighters there, and at the same time I heard "very" exctied voices. That is what I heard and that is what I reported. 3 hours after hearing the excited voices CNN had pictured of a downed F117. Could there have been a connection? I don't know and I'm sure I never really will.....

I shall keep posted freqs as I hear them and/or receive them from others, what you do with them is all up to you......

Webmaster of RadiosOnline.
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Latest Kosovo Radio Activity+Tons of other radio stuff!

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

847. FS: Scanners/Misc Radio EQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408054159.12645.00003443@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122706

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for Pentium computer EQ. All working last time tested unless noted.Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: Tube type Ham Transceive with Power Supply.Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobil power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Lafayette: HB444/25A Looks and works great. This is an all tube 25 channel base unit with a nice chrome trim all around. Would rate an 8-9 in looks. I think the mobile mounting bracket is also included, with nice heavy cast alum mic. $125

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks OK and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low mic. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Swan Phone patch: with hook up cords. This unit looks real good, but is also untested. As is $35.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Bearcat 210XL and 220 Scanner: One of each complete, but not working. $25 each.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

848. FS: UNIDEN BC890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: DICK 33 <DICK33@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ehvr6$mb3$1@mtinsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122707

THIS IS A BASE UNIT WHICH IS UNBLOCKED FOR CELL BAND UNIT IN GOOD SHAPE.
ASKING $200.00 WILL SHIP FED EX COD....PLEASE E-MAIL BACK ANY RESPONSES

849. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: edwin@cs.uu.nl
Message-ID: <slrn7gp63p.1h2.edwin@magic.cs.uu.nl>
Source record: 122708

On Wed, 7 Apr 1999 18:32:26 -1000, Tom Jenkins <tjenkins@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:

: I've recently purchased an M9000-M3 from Bandercom and frankly, I can't yell
: loud enough about this radio.

:-) I own a `regular' MVT-9000 and I love it too. This is in fact the
third Yupiteru scanner that I (have) own(ed): it started with a 5000,
then came the VT-225, now the 9000. (yes, I'm an airband listener).

The VT-225 (civil/military airband only) compared pretty well with the
airband reference receiver, the Signal R-535 (I own that one too).

What the MVT-9000 is lacking, is a computer interface: typing several
hundreds of frequencies with alpha-tagging gets boring in the end. The
good news is that the memory is EEPROM type.

Regards,

--
Edwin H. Kremer, senior systems- and network administrator. <edwin@cs.uu.nl>
Dept. of Computer Science, Utrecht University, The Netherlands [WHOIS: ehk3]
-------------------- http://www.cs.uu.nl/people/edwin/ ----------------------

850. Re: New FCC rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <370CA6FC.98AE1C60@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 122709

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Reason #1: These provisions effectively protect the Cellular Service bands,
> eliminating the need and driving force behind HR-514. Citing this report,
> all of us should be able to convince even the dimmest Congress member that
> HR-514 is redundant and useless.

HR-514 is already redundant in its wording, and therefore unnecessary.
But since the CTIA wants it, it will probably go through regardless. And
keep in mind that the new FCC mandates, if passed, will dictate new
standards and testing for scanning receivers...and have nothing to do
with
the illegality of listening to cellular...which is already in place with
the Digital Telephony bill enacted on '94 and seeks to be needlessly
reinforced with HR 514. The CTIA cares about illegality of listening and
being able to tell its customers that they are safe.

> Reason #2: These provisions mean the next generation of scanners available
> on the market will be much less susceptible to ANY images, not just those in
> the cellular band. It is my opinion that dual conversion scanners like the
> SC150, PRO 2026, BC230, BC890, MS-200 and others are sloppily designed,
> making them too susceptible to images and intermod which detracts from the
> scanning experience. When I'm listening to our local Police on 453.1000 I
> sure as hell have no desire to listen to some pager tower broadcasting its'
> noise a whopping 500+ Mhz away from the frequency I'm listening to! Even my
> BC895 with it's triple conversion design fails miserably when connected to a
> high gain antenna. Thank God it has CTCSS or I'd go nuts! I'm really looking
> forward to buying a BC245xlt now...

I believe the BC235 and BC895 both use Uniden's latest and greatest
receiver technology which was designed to conform to the image rejection
specs being mandated in the FCC's latest docket (which were originally
submitted by Uniden themself). Not that the current design couldn't be
revamped or beefed up, but I would assume that the BC245 will perform
identically to the BC235 (which does seem to have better dynamic range
than the BC895). So receiver-wise, I don't think you'll be getting
something which is not already available today.

> Reason #3: These rules are fair and unbiased, unlike the HR514 Bill. The FCC
> states clearly that it views scanning receivers as valuable devices:
> " In doing so, we recognize that there are many beneficial and lawful uses
> for scanning receivers, such as monitoring police, fire and rescue
> transmissions, obtaining weather notifications, sporting event
> communications, and disaster communications. The Commission's rules will
> allow continued consumer availability of these valuable devices."

While more concrete than HR 514, the ARRL has pointed out holes in the
FCC's proposals as they relate to testing and subsequent certification.
If passed as is, it has the potential to increase the prices of all
scanners not to mention the extended certification processes.

I have a link to the ARRL reponse to the FCC docket which everyone
should read to come up to speed on what the FCC is proposing and
how it is likely to effect both amateur radio and scanning hobbies.
See my home page news or past news for more details.

> Bravo! This is a far cry from the CTIA's obvious opinion of scanners being
> nothing more than a public threat that should no longer be allowed. This is
> more ammunition to use to ensure that HR-514 either dies a quick death or is
> amended to ensure the availability of analog AND digital scanners both now
> and in the future.

While a lightbulb might be able to be turned on in the heads of some
Senators,I think the CTIA will not be happy with a dismissal of HR 514.
They will push hard and dig deep to get it through. As such, they'll be
able to spread more FUD which will will probably be enough to easily
get a majority Senate vote.

> I'd personally like to know how we could get together and create a proposal
> of our own to bring before the Commission and push for strong encryption of
> Cellular communications. Perhaps someone like JTWard or Rich Wells could
> head up such an operation. If we can get FCC rules amended to require
> cellular encryption then we can eliminate further threats like HR-514.

Your idea is definitely intriguing but without an official sanctioning
body (like the ARRL, etc.) or pockets with which to fund necessary legal
work, I wonder what effects our efforts would have other than to fall on
deaf ears? Those in Washington do not listen unless you wield power in
the form of money (preferably) or the masses necessary to effect voting
opinion. I'm afraid our small hobbyist group has neither. Now, if we
could
only turn someone like Bill Gates into a scanning fanatic...we would
have
the money, a spokesperson and a national platform from which to lobby...

Thanks for the thoughts Phil. I look forward to any and all rational
discussion on this matter from whomever cares to debate it and explore
whatever options we might have available.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

851. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408091155.00533.00000017@ng120.aol.com>
Source record: 122710

>I've always been in support of Cheek doing good & right things. Sometimes, he& I had opposing opinions of precisely what that should be.

Oh yes, John, now I understand. That's why you write with glee about the possibility of him being attacked while incarcerated. Because you have always supported him. Thanks for clearing that up for us.

Frequencies:
138.350 HOSS Flights (F-16's) ACM in 640 A/B MOA (AM mode)
384.900 OHare USAF KC-135's Command Post
252.100 Grissom AFB, IN, BRICKYARD Command Post
146.520 Ham Radio 2 Meter Band Calling Frequency
156.800 Marine Channel 16 Calling Frequency
157.050 USCG Search and Rescue
153.830 Common Fireground Frequency, many areas

852. Re: O.P.P. Listeners - HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: lord_j@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eifft$b0u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122711

In article <7e5ubs$51b$1@news.auaracom.net>,
"H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> Any tips on how to monitor without a CTCSS scanner?
>
> What I do with my radio is program in the freq's in order (1a mobile, then 1a

base, 1b mobile, then 1b base...etc) I lockout all the base freq's (the ones with ctcss tone)When a mobile unit keys up to talk and you hear him, manually step back and forth from the base and mobile to hear the whole conversation. A pain in the butt I know but it works if you don't have a ctcss board. From the information I have received lately it looks like the O.P.P. are soon going digital anyways and then nothing can help us....

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

853. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408100422.00533.00000028@ng120.aol.com>
Source record: 122712

>If you were really a cop as you said in a previous post, then you'd know that this "password protection" is used for signon purposes from the cruiser. It has nothing to do with monitoring the transmissions.

#1- I didn't say I was a "Cop" (what does that stand for - great trivia question) or a Police Officer. I said I worked for the Police, I'm not sworn (but sometimes at!) Hmmm, now think real hard, what would a radio geek such as myself being doing working for the police??? (Could there be a way to turn this hobby into a very legal career???)

#2- Are you sure about your second assumption - That because someone is a "cop" they would know this? Most of our officers are shocked and amazed when it's proven to them how easily their 4800 baud MDT's are read by people outside the department. If anything, the sign-in process on the terminal adds to the false sense of privacy on these systems, wouldn't you agree? Currently, I've heard that our state's training for officers covers this fact, but it wasn't always so. I don't know what other academys teach.

#3- Re-read the rest of my post. You express the point I was trying to make. Clearly, the fact that someone at the scene advised the reporter that the terminals are "password protected" was an attempt by the authorities to imply that the system was somehow broken into, when you and I and everyone else here knows better. The offense is in disclosing the information, not the reception of the signal, nor the reading of the un-encrypted messages. I see you have quoted me out of context.

From a technical standpoint, there's little difference between reading un-encrypted MDT data signals and reading morse code signals. They are nothing more than tones. People have been reading radio teletype signals off of shortwave for more than 50 years.

Other folks have expressed concerns regarding the privacy of information being disseminated on MDT's. The vast majority of these details are also broadcast in the clear on voice channels. (Vehicle registrations, Driver's License info and history, even Criminal History File data).

Both MDT data and voice traffic are protected from disclosure to third parties by the Communications Act of 1934, there is no difference. It boils down to: Dont tell other people the details of conversations that you monitor - it's illegal. And frankly, if a department is using un-encrypted mobile data terminals for critical, sensitive criminal investigations it's my opinion they are using very poor judgement. The privacy of radio communications is a responsibility that should lay entirely on the users and providers of the circuit. Using a radio is like yelling out the window - why should you have an expectation of privacy?

It's a matter of common sense - if you are worried about me, a casual hobbyist, listening in or breaking your "encryption" (which is currently already illegal and something I don't do) what do you think your target is doing??? I have very little money and am motivated only by the entertainment value. They have bunches of dough and are trying to stay out of jail. Stop making my hobby illegal!!! Okay - I'll stop singing to the choir.

PS - No e-mail address??? Worried about your privacy? ;-)

Happy Scanning - Ted

460.175 - Chgo PD Citywide 2 - Evidence Techs and other units
154.130 - Chgo Fire Main - Northside
153.770 - Chgo Fire Englewood - Southside
460.600 - Chgo Fire EMS North
460.625 - Chgo Fire EMS South

854. Re: Audio adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7eid3d$p39$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122713

In article <370BB362.3B6BB948@pagesz.net>, ruffin@pagesz.net says...
>
>I am looking for a device to attach to my scanner and the car stereo (or
>any stereo i guess) that will allow the audio of the scanner to be heard
>in place of the radio station etc., but while the scanner is not
>receiving anything you can hear the radio. I know they exist, but don't
>know where to find them or what they are called. Email me or post
>response here.
>
>--
>***************
>Ruffin Blackard
>
>ruffin@pagesz.net
>
>
Try asking this in one of the rec.audio.auto groups, or go to a "high end"
auto/audio store. They offer devices just like you want kind of pricy, a
freind paye around $50(US) for a device that switches inputs to the added
CD unit when its line out goes "hot".
Good luck

855. Pro-66

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: RazorSaw <razorsaw@usa.net>
Message-ID: <370cbda5.0@news.gulliver.fr>
Source record: 122714

Anyone know any Radio Shack Pro-66 mods?

Dan
razorsaw@usa.net

856. FS MINT PRO 2045 W/CTCSS AND DISC. TAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408105833.17593.00000083@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 122715

I HAVE A MINT CONDITION RADIO SHACK 2045 BASE SCANNER 200 CH.
COMES WITH DISCRIMINATOR TAP DONE AND CTCSS TONE BOARD......
I HAVE ORIG BOX AND MANUAL FOR THE UNIT...WILL COD FOR $200..KEVIN

857. ALAN-1 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: AL-VARUS <FRANKBLACK@MILLENNIUMGROUP.COM>
Message-ID: <7eif6hgni1@pthp35.telecom.pt>
Source record: 122716

Dear friends :
I have a ALAN-1 scanner, and is coverage is :

26~30
68~88
188~138
138~178
380~512 Mhz

Only in AM/FM mode

My question is :
Is it possible to put that scanning 30 to 68 and 88 to 188 Mhz ??????
With my best 73"

�lvaro Rocha
CT01264

Porto
Portugal

--
AL-VARUS :-{)
" SI DEVS PRO NOBIS, QVI CONTRA NOS "
ICQ # 15161256

858. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: swl@gh.gl
Message-ID: <370db7f1.13004349@news.uni2.dk>
Source record: 122717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 19:50:40 +0100, Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:
>
>> I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
>> "heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
>> understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
>>
>
>All I got from your site is a load of text, not a real audio file.

Others have succes. Perhaps its your player
Hilsen/Best Regards

Stephan Walther-Larsen
Nuuk - Greenland

859. Re: UNIDEN BC890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hescar Trodistienne <frogol@upstill.net>
Message-ID: <7ehn26gvu1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122718

Since Uniden is selling these for $176, I'm wondering if your unit has
special gold dials or something like that???

DICK 33 wrote in message <7ehvr6$mb3$1@mtinsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>THIS IS A BASE UNIT WHICH IS UNBLOCKED FOR CELL BAND UNIT IN GOOD SHAPE.
>ASKING $200.00 WILL SHIP FED EX COD....PLEASE E-MAIL BACK ANY RESPONSES
>
>

860. Hear Sputnik RS19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Ireland <direland@drdale.com>
Message-ID: <370CD63F.C1CD7E30@drdale.com>
Source record: 122719

The launch of the sputnik RS19 will be on the night of 15 /16 April .
During the Space Walk (EVA) Wiktor Afanasyev and Sergei Avdeyev will
exit the Space Station MIR. RS19 will be hand launched by Cdt
Afanasyev. JP Haignere will stay inside the Station and coordinate the
EVA activities. RS19 can be heard on 145.812/....815 Mhz. You can hear
it with any scanner (outdoors). It will transmit 7second messages in
many languages with a 7 second pause between messages

--
Dale Ireland
47.7N 122.7W
Astronomy web page http://www.drdale.com
Comets, Eclipses, Satellites, Photography, Fabrications
mailto:direland@drdale.com

861. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7eilf9$oc8$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <370a8569.2077584@supernews.nildram.co.uk>,
mjultra@hotmail.com says...
>
>On 6 Apr 1999 11:34:21 GMT, we@bulldog.org (HighWaysinHiding) wrote:
>
>>In article <3709b077.513704@news.netspace.net.au>,
>>pillowbiter@carpet.licker.com says...
>>>
>>>SPRECHEN ZIE ENGLISH????
>>snip
>>Contrary to popular opinion, the web is international and non english
>>speakers use the web. Lets not show the world how narrow minded we
really
>>are. After all we big and powerfull enough that we should not feal
>>threatened by non english posts. Just ignore them and read on.
>>
>
>I do have to point out that this particular newsgroup is aimed at the
>UK scanner enthusiast, hence the name "alt.radio.scanner.uk"
>Don't get me wrong, I appreciate posts from all over the globe but
>people need to understand that many of us are not bi-lingual & cannot
>benefit from any words of wisdom in a language we fail to understand.
>
>Majic
Unfortunatly this thread is being crossposted to many newsgroups. I can
not go back to the origin to see if it was intentionaly
posted/crossposted to your newsgroup, but I am reading this on the more
general rec.radio.scanner and (foolishly) did not notice the origin and
crossposts. I did not mean to further muddy the waters, I only
speak/read English [ok I can read Spanish at a 1st grade level) and I
can not decipher the few non English posts, I was just trying to suggest
the we Anglophiles not jump on the non English speakers out there.
Sorry for the confussion.

862. Re: Scanner Frequencies Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408125020.25974.00000128@ng123.aol.com>
Source record: 122721

Your best bet would be to go by the book, Police Call from your local radio shack store.

863. FS: Eprom programmer & DSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: universat@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370ee2b8.2511398@news.destination.ca>
Source record: 122722

For Sale:

Sunshine Eprom writer 24-28 pins programmer.

DSS Hughes with 18" dish with 3M card and blocker ready
to work. If you are in Canada in can ship COD.

e-mail me for price: universat@hotmail.com

864. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2001: A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com;
Message-ID: <370D92E4.5CE01A94@pacifier.com>
Source record: 122723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Thats more than I care to give him!

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Wrong Phil, I want Bill to get the fairness & due process the the
> constitution
> promises him. Apparently you don't like that, how pathetic you are!
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AF837.B8FF4392@usa.net>...
> > >Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the
> > >Judge & Jury decide those types of things!
> >
> > Why? In your twisted little mind, he's already been tried, convicted and
> > sentenced!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

865. Re: What a jerk...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2001: A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com;
Message-ID: <370D932A.F7996CD2@pacifier.com>
Source record: 122724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

At least someone misses him... unlike you.

Phil Smalley wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AF837.B8FF4392@usa.net>...
> >So even though you have NOT SEEN OR EVEN HEARD the charges,
> >evidence, or specific equipment, you are already to say that
> >it is "a real streatch to try to implicate Bill"!!!!!!
> >
> >Perhaps you should let Bill have his right of "DUE PROCESS" & let the
> >Judge & Jury decide those types of things!
>
> Why? In your twisted little mind, he's already been tried, convicted and
> sentenced!
>
> Why don't you go back to work John. McDonalds' misses you....
>
> -PHil

866. WTB: Sony PC Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408135732.04143.00000255@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122725

I'm in the market for a lightly-used Sony ICF-SC1PC scanner (it's the PC-controlled version) with all of the original accessories and packaging materials. If you're looking to sell one, please reply by e-mail with selling price, thanks.

867. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xp6P2.585$_o1.38201@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 122726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How much money have YOU sent, fool?

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <370AF36C.6EB90997@usa.net>...
>Read it YOURSELF Glenn! I was trying to help Bill, then the guy said
>I made a fool of myself, when I was attempting to help Bill. In essence,
>he states that helping Bill is foolish.
>
>Glenn wrote:
>
>> Read it again John-Bowl. He is saying, like most all in this NG do, that
you
>> are a fool. Learn to read Mackey-Loon. Get a life, man, you've made a
fool
>> of yourself. AGAIN!
>
>> > > Get a life, man, you've made a fool of yourself.
>
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

868. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <zA6P2.11118$cq3.288356@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370AF36C.6EB90997@usa.net...

> Read it YOURSELF Glenn!
> I made a fool of myself

We all know this John, since you came back a few days ago you have posted over 100 off topic messages. Yes, agreed, you ARE a fool John-Bowl, no further proof by you needed,

869. Re: Hear Sputnik RS19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7eiskuha1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122728

>
> The launch of the sputnik RS19 will be on the night of 15 /16 April .
> During the Space Walk (EVA) Wiktor Afanasyev and Sergei Avdeyev will
> exit the Space Station MIR. RS19 will be hand launched by Cdt
> Afanasyev. JP Haignere will stay inside the Station and coordinate the
> EVA activities. RS19 can be heard on 145.812/....815 Mhz. You can hear
> it with any scanner (outdoors). It will transmit 7second messages in
> many languages with a 7 second pause between messages
>
> --Please also note that it is illegal and SWATCH are using the Amatuer
bands for COMMERCIAL things...it's wrong !!

ian k.
> Dale Ireland
> 47.7N 122.7W
> Astronomy web page http://www.drdale.com
> Comets, Eclipses, Satellites, Photography, Fabrications
> mailto:direland@drdale.com
>
>

870. Re: Kosovo Crise Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Moore <chazmoo@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <c07P2.412$xA.290@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 122729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

that is cool I can hear the British talking they are assholes

Tpierre <tpierre@freemail.c3.hu> wrote in message
news:3709e1f4.0@ganymede.datanet.hu...
> GOOD DAY EVERYONE !
>
>
> Here are all the freqencies that I have found so far on the web to
> monitor the
> Kosovo crisis.
>
>
>
> Freq;Freq2;Type;Category1;Category2;Name;Chanell;Mode;Mode Comment
> 51,94;;Kososvo;Military;;Russian Navy, ???;;50bd/85 36-50, tfc;
> 1 476,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;B92 (Beograd-Wien);;;
> 2 962,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
> 3 081,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NB;;
> 3 097,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
> 3 160,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;"Army 352nd SOG in Bosnia ""Black
Hat""
> and ""Promenade""";;;
> 3 178,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push Unknown;;;
> 3 225,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NC;;
> 3 357,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
> 3 840,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;"VFT: 2ch of
Piccolo-6,
> eng ch ""muh 04 de mkk lolololololo pal kkilo""";
> 3 840,91;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;VFT: 2ch of
Piccolo-6,
> crypto tfc;
> 3 900,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
> 3 930,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
> 4 542,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NE;;
> 4 612,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
> 4 720,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NF;;
> 4 724,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
> 4 724,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 4 742,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Royal Air Force?? Miller Time;;;
> 4 758,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NH;;
> 4 777,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
> 5 218,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push Unknown;;;
> 5 245,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;;;;

> 5 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Freq;;;
> 5 325,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;;
> 5 349,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Training NET;Ch661G;;
> 5 691,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
> 6 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
> 6 628,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
> 6 693,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;USN Voice Coordination Net;;;
> 6 693,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 6 695,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";KF;;
> 6 697,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Navy High Command Voice Freqs;;;
> 6 712,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 6 712,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 6 712,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;France AirForce;;;
> 6 728,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO AWACS Net;;;
> 6 728,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Awacs;;;
> 6 729,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
> 6 734,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Serb Military;;;
> 6 738,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
> 6 739,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 6 739,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
> 6 739,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 6 754,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XC;;
> 6 760,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";KD;;
> 6 761,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Night Freq;;;
> 6 761,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Air Refueling Primary;;;
> 6 762,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NI;;
> 6 773,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;;
> 6 819,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
> 6 865,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 6 865,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81B;;;
> 6 870,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
> 6 912,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;French AirForce;;;
> 6 932,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81B;;;
> 6 959,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
> 7 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Yugoslavia;;;
> 7 115,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Sweden;;;
> 7 125,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 7 130,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Yugoslavia;;;
> 7 160,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
> 7 160,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
> 7 180,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 7 180,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 7 195,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
> 7 250,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 7 701,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch
> ""muh 45 de mkd"" RIs RBQPZ, RBQPY";
> 7 701,91;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
> tfc for exercise net;

> 7 916,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch
> ""gyu de mkd qsy to ft065 m8y kkilo""";
> 7 916,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto;
> 7 919,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;METO-IFOR in Bosnia;;;
> 7 960,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;DCN 8 ch. interleaved vft;on
> USB. Only chan2 active.
> 7 960,77;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift;"Chan2
in
> VFT. ""iry's qbf figs test de MKD"" Note the use of 75 not 50bd. "
> 8 046,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 81V;;;
> 8 048,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 8 080,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
> 8 825,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
> 8 921,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 8 968,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 8 968,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 8 971,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 8 971,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";AB;;
> 8 971,00;;Kososvo;;;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
> 8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";A4;;
> 8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XD;;
> 8 980,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Awacs Magic;;;
> 8 986,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NJ;;
> 8 987,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;CW, TAF WX for EG-series
> airfields;
> 8 989,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Miliary Trafic;;;
> 8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 8 992,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
> 8 992,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 8 992,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Miliary Trafic;;;
> 9 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 9 023,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 9 037,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 9 118,50;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 9 118,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 82B;;;
> 9 130,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;ITA2 75Bd 850Hz
shift;CARB
> 9 190,51;;Kososvo;Military;;British Forces, ???;;"VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
eng
> ch ""mkd de muh 45 have sync now pal""";
> 9 191,31;;Kososvo;Military;;British Forces, ???;;VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
> crypto tfc;
> 9 260,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 82B;;;
> 9 269,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 9 570,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
> 9 620,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;

> 9 650,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Tirana;;;
> 9 925,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Croatia;;;
> 10 199,34;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;"VFT: 7ch of
50bd/200
> Baudot, ""ryiryi... test de mkk""";
> 10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Naval Net;;;
> 10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";A9;;
> 10 315,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";XE;;
> 10 780,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 10 865,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
> 11 031,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch
> idle;
> 11 031,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto
> tfc;
> 11 032,32;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto
> tfc;
> 11 167,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Airlift CommandTransport;;;
> 11 173,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bookshelf Net Push 83A;;;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;NATO Kosovo Standard Freq;;USB;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;WAR Started;;;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 11 175,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
> 11 176,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 11 228,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";AA;;
> 11 230,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Trafic Heard;;;
> 11 233,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Trafic Heard;;;
> 11 239,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Bomber Dumbo-Bravo;;;
> 11 243,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Gibralta FlightWach giving aviation weather for
> Italy Military;;;
> 11 244,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 11 244,00;;Kososvo;;;Long EAM;;;
> 11 245,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa ;;;
> 11 246,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;;;;
> 11 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Navy High Command Voice Freqs;;;
> 11 267,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;WAR Started;;;
> 11 270,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NK;;
> 11 271,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 11 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Serbia Fighters;;;
> 11 309,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
> 11 370,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;Air Trafic Controll;;;
> 11 415,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio B92;;USB;
> 11 830,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Bulgaria;;;
> 12 000,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 12 020,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;

> 12 110,00;;Kososvo;Press;;ROMPRES News, Bucharest;;50bd/500 Baudot, NX
about
> EU integration;
> 12 145,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;VFT;DCN 8 chan
> interleaved vft on USB. Chans idle.
> 12 919,30;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;VFT;4 chan vft on USB.
> 12 919,90;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;100Bd crypto 200Hz
> shift;Chan 1. One line encrypted.
> 12 920,41;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;75Bd crypto 85Hz
> shift;Chan 2. Blocked. Online encrypted ACF 7bit.
> 12 921,09;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;ITA2 75Bd 340Hz
shift;Chan
> 3 in vft. CARB with ID MGJ.
> 12 921,85;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Navy, Faslane;;100Bd crypto 850Hz
> shift;Chan 4 in vft. On line encrypted.
> 13 200,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 13 200,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;
> 13 200,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 13 354,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Freqs Actually Heard;;;
> 13 430,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch
> ""muh de mkd zub 1312z lolololololo""";
> 13 430,92;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto
> tfc;
> 13 431,32;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto
> tfc;
> 13 580,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;Piccolo;VFT with
> single idle channel on USB
> 13 580,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force, London;;Piccolo;"13580.510.
> Eng channel idle till 0200z when ""MTS deMKK zub 0200z lo pal plse qsy to
f6
> f6 f6 kkkk"" 0202z"
> 13 820,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Radio Croatia;;;
> 14 593,51;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""de mts plse to
> qsy to the f61 f61 f61 mate k zub 1108z""";
> 14 599,00;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;VFT;8 channel interleaved
DCN
> vft on USB. Only channel 2 active.
> 14 599,70;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift;"Chan
2
> in cft. RYIs qbf figs and ""test de MKD""."
> 14 708,52;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""de mkk
rgrgrgrg
> m8 kkilo""";
> 15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 15 016,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;US Military GHFS;;;

> 15 016,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;USAF Global HF System Primary;;;
> 15 050,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NL;;
> 15 595,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 15 595,00;;Kososvo;Brodcast;Brodcast;Voice of Russia;;;
> 15 959,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
> 16 424,40;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 16 442,40;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Naval Voice Coordination Hotel Tracking Net;;;
> 16 442,40;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
> 16 444,00;;Kososvo;Air;Military;NATO Kosovo;;USB;
> 17 967,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;;;USB;
> 17 967,00;;Kososvo;Military;Air;Air Mobile Command (AirForce);;;
> 17 996,50;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";NM;;
> 18 006,00;;Kososvo;;;ANDREWS;;;
> 18 422,02;;Kososvo;Military;;Royal Air Force;;"Piccolo-6, ""ryryryryr gec
de
> mts qsy f3401 m8y cip cip cip""";
> 20 015,00;;Kososvo;Meteo;Meteo;US Navy Cuttler;;;
> 23 214,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;"NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""";??;;
> 25 012,30;;Kososvo;;;NAVY FASLANE;;RTTY;FW
> 121 375,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Traffic around Padova Military;;;
> 122 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common VHF Tower/GCA/Approach Control;;;
> 123 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Tasz&#65533;r Tower (Hungary-NATO)Airport;;;
> 123 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Primary;;;
> 123 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common VHF Low Level/GCA/Final
Approach;;;
> 123 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Szolnok Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport;;;
> 124 500,00;;Kososvo;Air;Rep;Kapos&#65533;jlak Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport;;;
> 129 735,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Air Traffic around Padova Military;;;
> 130 425,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Unicom;;;
> 138 700,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searh and Rescue VHF Secundary;;;
> 156 300,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Air/Ship;;;
> 156 800,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Distress;CH16;;
> 160 600,00;;Kososvo;Military;Military;Marine VHF Emergency;Ch00;;
> 241 900,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
> 243 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;International Calling / Emergency Wordwide;;;
> 243 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Guard /Watch;;;
> 244 600,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searc and Rescuse (Scene of Search);;;
> 249 875,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Discrete Operations;;;
> 252 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Searc and Rescuse (traning);;;
> 257 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Tower;;;
> 277 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;US Navy Fleet Common;;;
> 278 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
> 279 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Combat Scene of Action;;;
> 280 600,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Jamming /Strike Safety;;;
> 282 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Saearc and Rescue (secundary);;;
> 282 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Saearc and Rescue (primary);;;
> 300 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Low Level;;;
> 317 500,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar Fix;;;
> 337 800,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Transit Control;;;

> 344 000,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar / Director;;;
> 356 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Jamming /Strike Safety;;;
> 362 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Approch Control;;;
> 369 100,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;Helicopter Common;;;
> 372 300,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO German AirForce;;;
> 385 400,00;;Kososvo;Air;Air;NATO Common Radar/Final Approach;;;
>
>
>
>
>

871. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruce <bpk@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D01A7.491F72CA@home.com>
Source record: 122730

yes-they do

Shiva Nataraja wrote:
>
> On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 03:13:24 GMT, James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I kind of have my own little auction going right now, then I don't have
> >to pay ebay prices.
>
> Huh?!??!
> eBay doesn't charge sellers anything, do they?

872. Re: What a jerk... (MAlexder)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D01A5.1591B083@usa.net>
Source record: 122731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,REC.RADIO.SCANNER,ALT.FAN.BILL.CHEEK

MAlexder wrote:

> Really, Johnny Boy, do you seriously think anyone is conned by you absurd
> charade? You've already accused, prosecuted and convicted Cheek, well in
> advance of knowing any facts about this case. You've already proposed setting

WRONG!!! Infact the opposite is true & documented here on usenet. I have
statedthat Bill has his right to "Due Process" & being heard by a Judge & jury of
his peers.
That is HARDLY fitting to YOUR warped view as demonstrated by your comment
of "accused, prosecuted and convicted"!

> up a "defense fund," and even have gone so far as to say you will contribute an
> unspecified amount to this fund.

THAT is correct. I believe that regardless of guilt, Bill (or anyone in the USA)as
a right to the best defense possible. What do you see as being wrong with that?

> Well, I have offered to collect it on Cheek's behalf. When do you propose to
> send the money, eh?

IF the MAJORITY of the users of "*.radio.scanner" newsgroups concur thatYOU are to
be the one to collect the funds then I will promptly send my
donation. So far, NOT ONE SINGLE PERSON has supported YOU
as being that point of contact for the collection. Given your conduct here
& in the past, I doubt you will get that support.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

873. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370D07AB.F5CD54F2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:

> On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 19:50:40 +0100, Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:
> >
> >> I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
> >> "heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
> >> understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
> >>
> >
> >All I got from your site is a load of text, not a real audio file.
>
> Others have succes. Perhaps its your player
> Hilsen/Best Regards
>
> Stephan Walther-Larsen
> Nuuk - Greenland

I'll try again then!
Paul {;-)

874. Re: What a jerk...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D0176.B97AFC61@usa.net>
Source record: 122733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

BWAhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!

HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com wrote:

> At least someone misses him... unlike you.
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > Why don't you go back to work John. McDonalds' misses you....

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

875. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370CFECA.C7384785@usa.net>
Source record: 122734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I NEVER wrote with any glee of him being attacked. I only mentioned that with Bill's
WELL DOCUNENTED LIKENESS of HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVITIES, ACCORDING TO HIS POSTS HERE ON USENET, that perhaps he would get to experience these actions while in prison or detention.

TandKMoran wrote:

> Oh yes, John, now I understand. That's why you write with glee about the
> possibility of him being attacked while incarcerated. Because you have always

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

876. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370D0139.819C9D87@usa.net>
Source record: 122735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

While I can see your point of view, I feel that regardless of what Bill has done here in the past, he should be forgiven & supported during this crisis.

HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com wrote:

> Thats more than I care to give him!
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > Wrong Phil, I want Bill to get the fairness & due process the the
> > constitution
> > promises him. Apparently you don't like that, how pathetic you are!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

877. Strange noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: davew@wizzards.net
Message-ID: <N.040799.232441.46@davew>
Source record: 122773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Oregon State Police had a high pitched noise that was transmitted instead of any voices. Is this normal or does this indicate something.

878. Re: Cheek's Bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2001: A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com;
Message-ID: <370CA2AA.44CE31A1@pacifier.com>
Source record: 122774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Philly,
If you can't take a joke then get off the internet jackass.
Look at you.. you are the idiot who has
made some of the rudest comments towards John
Mackey.

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Hairman2000@pacifier.com wrote in message <370B4D43.B6609E8@pacifier.com>...
> <SNIP>
>
> An FYI to all,
>
> Here is another troll to add to your "plonk me"'s. Responding to these
> people only makes it worse, so please just ignore idiots like this.
>
> -Phil

879. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S.O." <soving@magma.ca>
Message-ID: <cVSO2.1073$MR1.5179658@news.magma.ca>
Source record: 122775

> That kinda limits your market..

Yes, you are right..

I'm nervous about shipping to US because if it gets confiscated then
everybody loses. I don't want that.

NOTE:
"Importing radio receivers that cover the cellular phone frequencies or
non-FCC approved CB radios to the United States is a criminal act and may be
prosecuted (refer to FCC rules Part 95, 95.409). " snipped from a reputable
dealers web-site.

In lieu of the above statement, I would not be interested in tangling with
the US Feds over a $500 dollar scanner.

Either way, there must be someone in Canada looking to save $200+ dollars.

thanks, for your input..
Sean

Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote in message
news:370bf3c7@news.oaktree.net...
> transferable. Not interested in shipping to US. I am in Ottawa, Ontario,
> Canada. Save big dollars... Must sell this week...
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> That kinda limits your market..
>
> Dan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

880. Re: New FCC rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904080057.CAA04927@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 122776

Hans K0HB (K0HB@arrl.org) wrote:

: Receivers that allow reception of cellular frequencies because of
: their poor image response would be illegal under the new rules. As
: it proposed, the Commission adopted a 38 dB rejection standard for
: signals in the cellular bands ''for any frequency to which the
: receiver can be tuned.''

38 db below what? db are a useless unit unless they're compared with
something else. Does this mean I take my scanner, go to a cell tower,
unplug the coax feeding the cell site's antenna array, and plug that coax
into my scanner I won't be able to hear cell calls cause they'll be
suppressed by "38 db". Even in this case??

Look what happens when the federal government hires engineers not based
on any rudimentary skill but on some g**d**ed quota they have to fill.
Rules like this get passed.

The good thing is the smart people are still out here and the idiots in
the government.

881. Re: Help: Need Y2K Info MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jklein1050@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407211718.11246.00004327@ng30.aol.com>
Source record: 122777

Hope so unless yours has a clock in it~~~

Not so shure about your water heater though...

LoL Joel>Subject: Help: Need Y2K Info MR-8100
>From: captkfd308@aol.com (CaptKFD308)
>Date: 4/7/99 2:55 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990407155553.04953.00003476@ng-ch1.aol.com>
>
>Will the software work on the MR 8100 after the year 2000 rolls around?
>THANKS
>
>
>
>Bill Carreira Jr. Email:CaptKFD308@aol.com
>CAPT NREMT-I :n1nfc@hotmail.com
>Kingsland Fire Rescue
>Kingsland, GA 31548
>http://www.eagnet.com/edipage/user/n1nfc/n1nfc.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>

Jklein1050@aol.com

882. Newbie looking for recomendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com>
Message-ID: <K2UO2.2983$gr5.3218@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 122778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey everyone...let me start by saying I know very little about scanners.

Anyway I was looking at the scanners and I know I want a Uniden. I was wondering what everyone thought of the SC150. Like I said I don't know much so simple is good, but then again I do want to be able to do stuff. Now about Trunk tracking- as many definitions as I have read about it I still don't know weather I should get one with it or not. I am from a small town in Connecticut and I don't know if the locals use the trunk system. Is it something I'll really miss? Is it something I will want in the future or is it real confusing and to confusing for a beginner. I would not really like to spend over $200 and less is better so I could get the truck tracker model for about $199...if everyone thinks I need it. Anyway less confusing but more features is better...let me know what everyone thinks....

Thanks

--
Dave
zerotwist@home.com
AOL: Skoiboy
ICQ: 542112

883. Cheapest Place to buy online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com>
Message-ID: <r3UO2.2985$gr5.3111@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 122779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know who has the best deals online?

Thanks

--
Dave
zerotwist@home.com
AOL: Skoiboy
ICQ: 542112

884. Duke University LifeFlight Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: otello@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eh62j$at3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122780

Anyone in possession of frequencies for Dukes copter could you please send them to RescueMD@aol.com? Thanks for any and all help

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

885. Re: MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990407223709.21219.00002035@ngol01.aol.com>
Source record: 122781

In article <3706DF3F.A2AEAE62@hotmail.com>, GB <rancid@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Wow, is it just me or has this MDT just become really popular lately!
>

Just you

```
-----------------------------------------------------------
| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance. |
-----------------------------------------------------------
```

886. Re: Where's the BUD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris@michweb.net
Message-ID: <370c0d84.11633250@news.michweb.net>
Source record: 122782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Umm yeah, there is software called Back Orifice

www.l0pht.com

Chris

On Sun, 04 Apr 1999 16:24:44 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bud, there has never been any software called "Back Orifice" as you mention.
>Second, I HAVE NEVER forged cancels, account names, or tried to hack any
>system. Third, if Bill had caught me trying to hack his computer why didn't he
>state as such? He CERTAINLY is capable of speaking for himself (at least up until
>he was arrested)!!!
>
>
>Bud (the original) wrote:
>Barely more than a week ago, Bill caught you trying to hack his computer with
>Back Orifice. You HAVE been caught, more than once, forging cancels to other
>peoples messages, forging account names, and trying to hack into other peoples
>systems.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

887. FS: Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <370C1E5D.C7BA3EA6@home.com>
Source record: 122783

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.
This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the
Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules. Thanks james

I kind of have my own little auction going right now, then I don't have
to pay ebay prices. I have set the 11th as the end of my auction and who
ever has the highest bid by 24:00 MST will be the winner. Right now the
bid is $400.00 . Thanks james

888. Re: Cheek, Jackson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370c25ca.3136673@news>
Source record: 122784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 08:50:37 GMT, joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Are details of the Jackson case on the web?

Sure, www.eff.org has lots of info on this. One example:

http://www.eff.org/pub/Legal/Cases/SJG/gurps_labor_lost.article

889. Scanner Commercial/900 MHZ Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD FLORES <RICHARD_FLORES@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7ehadq$5nfi$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122785

There's a new commercial for a $170 scanner out on TV. I'm not sure if it's a national spot. It doesn't talk at all about the scanner's features, the manufacturer, or the complexity of use. It just shows the user listening in on his neighbors wife phone calls to her backdoor man with a big grin on his face. Wierdest commercial I've seen in awhile.

Anyways can the 900MHZ phones be intercepted? How about "Digital Spread Spectrum 900 MHZ"?

Regards:
R.

890. Re: Kosovo Crise Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <370e46f9.3518666@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 122486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 8 Apr 1999 20:00:58 +0100, "Charles Moore" <chazmoo@indigo.ie>
wrote:

>that is cool I can hear the British talking they are assholes

And what brings you to that conclusion? At least *they're*
trying to do something about it. What are you doing to help?

Did you have to quote the whole post in three newsgroups?

--
- 73 de Jim, g(m)4rga | Probably Slough. The name has an
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | echo of horror about it.
dynastic%cwcom.net |
marula%zdnetmail.com | - David Starkey on where he is
g4rga@gb7tjf.#45.gbr.eu | least likely to live.
Rallies Info: www2.prestel.co.uk/jimdee
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

891. Re: Request info on radio frequency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370e6706@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 122487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

"c.b.store" <c.b..store@village.uunet.be> writes: > HELO FROM WERNER FROM BELGUIM CALL
O.N.4.CPR ALL DE BEST FROM MY TO YOU OK
> SEE YOU BAY BAY BAY
> eLeCtrOelF heeft geschreven in bericht <7e3ii9$1t3$1@lnews.actcom.co.il>...
> >Just a few basic question, i didn't feel like reading
> >tons of info in FAQ's or stuff like that.. i just
> >hope that some of you can quickly answer the following
> >questions.
> >
> >Can a radio frequency scanner intercept digital data
> >transmissions? If yes, what kind of data it is likely
> >to intercept, i mean who interchanges data by radio,
> >apart from cellphones, pagers etc? If there
> >are some sorts of digital data transmissions on what
> >frequencies i'm most likely to pick something?
> >
> >I know that rfs is not a transmitter, but still, can
> >it be sorta triangulated or located in any way?
> >
> >That's it, just a few straight to the point questions.
> >Feel free to reply in newsgroup or by email.
> >
> >Cheers.
> >
> >
> An analog...or voice...scanner can hear digital "squaking".
But you won't be able to hear what's being said. US
Federal Agencies also transmit a "quiet" signal that can
be heard only as a sudden burst of white noise. Eventually,
the market will sell a digital-reception scanner. But
it's likely to be closely regulated, if legal at all.

Dan
>

892. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <370E67DA.61CFB6AC@home.com>
Source record: 122488

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> >From: HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com
> >Date: 04-09-1999 23:35 EST
> >
> >What is starting to bother me is that all of this about
> >Cheek's arrest is going to make him into some kind
> >of hero.
>
> Well, maybe you'll feel better when they start arresting the guys down at
> Optoelectronics because their new interface includes a dataslicer.
> Look, Bill can be a major jerk. He's proved that by his continuing the
> flame was with Mackey (also a major jerk, but at least on good behavior this
> week), but Cheek has also done a lot to advance the hobby - okay, not so much
> recently, but for years his books were the bibles of scanner mods.
> Whatever he's done on this newsgroup he doesn't deserve to be arrested if
> the few details we have prove to be correct. I can support anybody who gets a
> raw deal, even if I don't like everything they say or do. If we don't stand up
> when somebody gets the shaft pretty soon there will be nobody left to stand up
> for us when the shaft comes our way.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

Just for the record, I believe that Bill stepped out of the flame with
mackey long ago. Mackey and a few others carry it on now.
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

893. weather warnings by zip code to digital phones - free trial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: crashtest@earthling.net
Message-ID: <7elvneath1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122489

http://weatherwindow.com/digital.html

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

894. WTB regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Denise L. Ewer" <dlewer@snet.net>
Message-ID: <370E6764.3782@snet.net>
Source record: 122490

WANT TO BUY REGENCY ACT-R-10HLU BASE/MOBILE SCANNER

895. Re: PRO-2036 descriminator output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <x9uP2.47$Ji.662704@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122491

The disc chip is IC 003 pin 10. It is an NJM3359D-A, 18 pin chip.I think it is located here: With the radio facing you and the top case off, it should be
in the rear, center of the main circuit board

<news1@airmail.net> wrote in message news:D97B00A742FF2F4D.CAAB0ED8ED5E92FA.EF8B79B9B9CE0924@library-proxy.airnews.net...
> Looking for the descriminator output point in a pro-2036.
> Thanks

896. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 122492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over

Ian wrote:

> Hi all, After so many visits recently i thought i would upgrade it a little
> . You can now get the latest MDT monitor (v 1.5.1) and many others, the
> source code for this will also be there soon.
>
> I also have the MIR space station online SSTV galleries and some other areas
> which are still being constructed so please be patient.
>
> Look forward to seeing you all
>
> Eavsdropper

897. Re: Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mikeruc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7elshr$j53$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 122493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They're not going to rip you off, but their customer service and technical assistance are sub-par. I've had better experiences with Grove and Bandercom. Just my opinion...
Mike

Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com> wrote in article <8WbP2.3813$gr5.3432@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>...
> I was wondering if anyone could tell me the reputation of Scanner World
> (http://www.albany.net/~scanner/). Since I might order something from
> them...
>
>
>
> --
> Dave
> zerotwist@home.com
> AOL: Skoiboy
> ICQ: 542112
>
>
>

898. Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: boo@myremarq.com
Message-ID: <OHuP2.17001$LX.6373528@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 122494

I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?

The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she had heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out the farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick up my phone, but what do I know.

The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

899. ** FOR SALE** 895XLT,BCT 7,BCT 12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: esfn100@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990409182059.29984.00000655@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 122495

THE FOLLOWING SCANNERS ARE FOR SALE..ALL ARE IN EXCELLENT SHAPE AND LESS THAN 4 MONTHS OLD..

895XLT(NEWEST) 225.00
BCT-7 130.00
BCT 12 150.00
OR ALL OF THEM FOR 485.00..I WILL SHIP C.O.D OR YOU CAN PREPAY IT IS UP TO YOU..THEY WILL BE INSURED..E-MAIL ME AT ESFN100@AOL.COM IF INTERESTED..

ROB
ESFN93@HOTMAIL.COM

900. yupiteru/aor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <C0vP2.106$uU2.4208@mors.clara.net>
Source record: 122496

Ive been told about the
yupiteru mvt9000.
AOR AR8200
any good?

Email tct@clara.net

901. Re: Kosovo Crise Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: stranglerz@geocities.com
Message-ID: <370e4afa.972009@news.virgin.net>
Source record: 122497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 8 Apr 1999 20:00:58 +0100, "Charles Moore" <chazmoo@indigo.ie>
wrote:

>that is cool I can hear the British talking they are assholes
>
How witty,must be a troll...

902. FS:Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface installed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <370E86C2.BBC094DD@home.com>
Source record: 122498

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.

This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules.

Just an update. So far the highest bid is $420.00 thanks james.

903. Re: Grove-ent upgrade Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7em38t$9f1$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know anything about the "Duckie" antenna, but I will say that 50 miles is kinda far for a portable. Here in the DC Metropolitan area, a base antenna is needed to hear Baltimore, MD (about 45 miles). I'm not saying that it can't be done, you have to consider things like repeater output power and elevation, it's just hard around here.

Skoiboy wrote in message ...
>I was wondering what everyone thought of the Duckie antenna they are
>selling...I am going to get a BC235 from them and I asked about the antenna
>and the lady said the antenna that comes with it is pretty bad...and I live
>far away from any big cities so I think I might want to order a better
>antenna when I order my Scanner and she said to get there duckie
>antenna...Anyone think this is a good idea...I'm in Danbury, Connecticut so
>I'm about 50 miles from New York city and about 50 from Hartford....
>
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>Dave
>zerotwist@home.com
>AOL: Skoiboy
>ICQ: 542112
>
>

904. Re: Grove-ent upgrade Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: junglejim <junglejim@telix.com>
Message-ID: <370E873E.D051D8AA@telix.com>
Source record: 122500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you want a dedicated 800 antenna you might consider the $20 Radio Shack cell phone antenna with the $3.00 TNC to BNC adapter. Works great on my BC235 and 895 with a right angle. I don't usually recommend this particular brand but in this case I like the product and the price is within reason.

junglejim

Skoiboy wrote:

> I was wondering what everyone thought of the Duckie antenna they are
> selling...I am going to get a BC235 from them and I asked about the antenna
> and the lady said the antenna that comes with it is pretty bad...and I live
> far away from any big cities so I think I might want to order a better
> antenna when I order my Scanner and she said to get there duckie
> antenna...Anyone think this is a good idea...I'm in Danbury, Connecticut so
> I'm about 50 miles from New York city and about 50 from Hartford....
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Dave
> zerotwist@home.com
> AOL: Skoiboy
> ICQ: 542112

905. SO CAL: LAFD invites you to Open House & Pancake Breakfast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7em470$2as4$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Los Angeles Fire Department enjoys tremendous support from monitoring enthusiasts in Southern California. As such, I would like to personally invite local scanner hobbyists to:

Open House at all Los Angeles City Fire Stations

Saturday April 10, 1999
10AM - 4PM

Come join the fun at any of our 102 Neighborhood Fire Stations, or see extensive displays at 12 focal sites throughout the City. Be sure to bring your camera - and your scanner (a list of our frequencies can be found at the link below).

The day starts with a Pancake Breakfast from 08:30 - Noon in Hollywood.

==========
Fire Service Day - Open House: http://www.lafd.org/fsd.htm

Pancake Breakfast: http://www.lafd.org/pancake.htm

LAFD Frequencies: http://www.lafd.org/freqncy.htm
==========

Monitor Safely!

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department

LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org

906. SCANNER EQUIP/SALE(ICOMR1 /OPTO XPLORER)/BESTOFFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990409192815.29590.00000768@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 122502

ICOM R1 NO GAPS WITH MANUAL AND ACCESORIES
BEST OFFER

OPTO XPLORER 30-2GHZ/2 LINE LCD FREQ DISPLAY DECODE CTCSS,DCS AND DTMF
500 MEMORIES
1000FREQ LOCKOUT
BUILT IN PC INTERFACE
ONLY 2 WEEKS OLD FINISHED PLAYING WITH IT BOX MANUAL AND PAPER WORK
BEST OFFER
EMAIL OFFERS TO CWEST69521 NO DREAMERS OR LOW BALLING PLEASE

907. Whats the range.....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doc Holiday <j9355@idt.net>
Message-ID: <370E8CCE.4421@idt.net>
Source record: 122503

Hello all a question if i can ....whats the range for cell freqs
in a big city like NYC (if i were to listen even though i would never
with it being against the law and all .;;smiles;..) i hear that its
sorta very local iv`e heard that the cordless ones are only a block or
2 is it the same with the cells......?
brand new 3000xlt from Bandercom ..if it matters

908. PRO-2005 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <GmwP2.39$Fu5.11263@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 122504

I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 For Sale. (same as PRO-2006)
Comes with Owners Manual, and Antenna. Excelent Condation.
Has Cel-Mod.

$225.00 plus shipping

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Thanks,
Boyd

909. Re: Wrong Name?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7em52bqr1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7em168
> And you keep up the vendetta on your side. What's the difference? For all
>the shrill accusations, you've never really proven your claims; you just
>repeat them endlessly. It's just unending mudslinging, and you like it. We
>know about the forgeries by the Cheek-A-Likes.
>
>By the way, since Cheek hasn't been in jail on this device sale charge,
>according to one of our participants, does that leave open the possibility
>that "Zombie Wolf" is The Master Himself? The nom de plume has the same
ring
>of omnipotence as "Cosic Badass", another moniker Cheek hung on himself.
>
>No doubt Cheek will find the martyrdom he seeks in this matter. He always
was
>the victim in his own mind.

Jesus, people... you're missing the whole damn point. Who really gives two turds if ZW is Bill or if Cheek is reading these posts or what? My concern is the fact that the USSS says data slicers are "illegal devices" simply because one was used to violate the law, yet they do not hold the same standard towards alcohol, guns, knives, cars, etc. which are far more often used illegally, are much more readily available, require much less knowledge to use, and are far more dangerous in the hands of a criminal!

Doesn't anyone here give a damn that the friggin government is just making the rules up as they go along? Did any of you stop this pissing contest long enough to read JTWard's post this morning? He's not one to stretch the truth, folks, John Ward tells it like it is. You all read the quote - Bill was arrested for selling a perfectly legal product because of some jackass bureaucrats' ignorant assuptions. Yet all you fools care about this "did not, did too" horsecrap.

WAKE UP PEOPLE!!! WHILE YOU'RE BICKERING LIKE SCHOOLGIRLS YOUR GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE VOTING TO LEGISLATE US RIGHT OUT OF OUR HOBBY!!!!

Let's bury the hatchet for now, huh? We've got enough problems on our hands as it is (or maybe you guys forgot about HR-514???? Coming soon to a senator near you!)

-Phil

910. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122506
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE

Tom@astro.net wrote:
>
> In article <jS5O2.6527$LX.2762863@WReNphoon3>, kd5boc@adisfwb.com (Thomas D Jones Jr)
wrote:
> >GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were
> >talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
> >But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered cell
> >phones?
>
> IMHO you have to pay more attention to a cell phone than a radio.
> I see every idiot on the planet now with one of those silly things. I see kids
> LEAVING college classes so they can answer their stupid ass cell phone!
> Freakin' amazing...Whats WORSE is the women who do their hair and makeup while
> driving, you want to make a new law.......
>
> Tom

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

911. WTB: MOTOROLA RADIOS : VISAR, SPECTRA, MT2000, HT1000, GM300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sparky_Prog@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <370EA99B.1427@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122507
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio

Looking for :
Motorola (UHF):
VISAR (UHF/ VHF) (Can have DTMF or not)
MT 2000 (Can have DTMF or not)
HT 1000
GM 300
SPECTRA (DASH MOUNT)

All radios must be in working condition and contain everything need for proper operation (Bat, Mic, Power Cables, Ant etc...). Also Must be to work within the 470 - 512 Mhz.

Contact me with an asking price if you have any of the above that you are willing to let go of.

Let me know
Kevin, N3JMD

912. FS: PRO 2040 100 ch 800 MHZ $75 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <me_hamman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7emcmnlvp1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 122508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FS:
Radio Shack PRO 2040
100 Channel Hyper scan scanner (base / mobile)
all standard bands including 800MHZ
(less cellular)
BNC antenna connector
Like new in orignal box with manual and
wall wart xformer
Shipped Conus $75

Physically in Southern New Jersey

Thanks
Michael
me_hamman@yahoo.com

913. FS 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <370EB1B8.6E598068@lor.net>
Source record: 122509

Hi all, I have to sell some stuff. I have a pro2006 in 9-10 condition. It has a opto 456 full board in it. It also comes with the ce-232. Probe software, Pro-turbo software, data slicer, and Percon Jan1999 cd database. The external ce-232 also has a nice volt/sw meter on it.I guess I'll just take offers. If you have any questions, just ask. All works perfectly. Cal Skyler

914. FS pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <370EB23D.43F67EC4@lor.net>
Source record: 122510

I have a pro-43 in nice shape. Cell mod. I'll take offers. If interested please email.
Thanks, Cal Skyler

915. Re: BC895XLT Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7eme6d$b77$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122511

Norman,

You did not say what systems you are planning on listening to in
New Orleans, but I think the city's system is an EDACS (GE) system
which that particular scanner will not follow as a trunked system.
Uniden is supposed to be releasing a new scanner soon that will
follow the EDACS systems.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Norman wrote in message ...
>Hello All,
>
>I am new to this hobby and have purchased the BC895XLT, because there are
>many trunked systems around New Orleans. Can someone explain the computer
>interface for me? I have an open serial port on my PC and am wondering what
>I would gain by using the scanner with the PC. Do I need anything other
than
>software and a cable? Does anyone know of possible Radio Shack part #'s
for
>the 2 plugs?
>
>Thanks in advance for any help,
>
>Norm

916. RS "Manuals" website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.P." <fires@cjnetworks.com>
Message-ID: <370EBBFE.8F468FA9@cjnetworks.com>
Source record: 122512

Can someone post the url for the website that has manuals for Radio
shack Scanners.
thanks in advance

917. Re: PRO-2005 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <SszP2.111$Fu5.21180@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 122513

JPG of the PRO-2006 on request.
Thanks,
Boyd

Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com> wrote in message
GmwP2.39$Fu5.11263@news2.randori.com...
>I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 For Sale. (same as PRO-2006)
>Comes with Owners Manual, and Antenna. Excelent Condation.
>Has Cel-Mod.
>
>$225.00 plus shipping
>
>Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com
>
>Thanks,
>Boyd
>
>

918. Re: ** FOR SALE** 895XLT,BCT 7,BCT 12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netranger <netranger@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <923716138.471.50@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122514

225.00 for a USED 895xlt
You can get them new for 189.99 at copper.com

ESFN100 <esfn100@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990409182059.29984.00000655@ng38.aol.com...
> THE FOLLOWING SCANNERS ARE FOR SALE..ALL ARE IN EXCELLENT SHAPE AND LESS
THAN 4
> MONTHS OLD..
>
> 895XLT(NEWEST) 225.00
> BCT-7 130.00
> BCT 12 150.00
> OR ALL OF THEM FOR 485.00..I WILL SHIP C.O.D OR YOU CAN PREPAY IT IS UP TO
> YOU..THEY WILL BE INSURED..E-MAIL ME AT ESFN100@AOL.COM IF INTERESTED..
>
> ROB
> ESFN93@HOTMAIL.COM

919. WTB: Cubic, CIR, Astro, Swan, etc. for repair or parts also Satan Electronics gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOB DUCKWORTH <bob@atl.org>
Message-ID: <370DDAFE.3357@atl.org>
Source record: 122515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Looking for any of the early synthesized radios.
Prefer inop as I enjoy working on them and these
don't cost as much but will also look at nicer working models.
Esp if it's something new.

some HF examples.
CIR Astro
Swan Astro
Cubic Astro

I'm sure there are others but these are the one's I
know about so far.

Also looking for anything by Satan Electronics
ie Brimstone 144 or ???(are there others)

-bob
wb4mnf
Atlanta
<mailto:bob@atl.org> or
404-888-0389

920. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4YzP2.640$Jc7.63621@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 122516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Man, Mackey, you are a wacko.

Thank God you're not my neighbor.

Have you considered psychiatric treatment? You need it.

All I see from you is a lot of big talk from a puny little twit.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3709D19C.4F0E381F@usa.net>...
>You said "I seriously doubt..... But I wish I knew for sure", obviously
>showing you are
>mearly speculating with no factual basis to speak from.
>
>So lets speak from the facts that we ARE aware of:
>
>1. Bill (& Cindy) were arrested on federal charges & charges from New York
>State.
>2. Bill has a known history of monitoring the public AND confidential
>communications
>of law enforcement. (according to his web site)
>3. Bill has had previous troubles with the law - including violence
(according
>to admissions he has made on this NG)
>4. Bill has been known to use false identities in the past. (actions on
this
>NG)
>5. Bill has been known to have people forge themselves as him to gain his
>desired goal.
>(having BUD post messages under his ID)
>
>OBVIOUSLY, he is a risk under the catagories of "flight or violent".
>
>Now, some VERY REAL possibilities:
>
>It is a well known fact that he left Colorado with dependent children
>under the age of 18. If he has any un-resolved child custody, child
>support payments, (or ANY other) legal actions he would likely be
>facing eventual extradition to ANY state to address those matters
>IN ADDITION to the current charges.
>
>If he is on parole or probation for the previous legal problems
>he has had then these recent actions would be seen as a violation
>of his conditions of parole/probation & he would be looking at

>more time even YET regardless of being found guilty or innocent
>of the current charges.
>
>(Something as simple as an un-paid parking ticket from 10 years
>ago could trip him up even worse than he is already!)
>
>Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope others
>do the same.
>
>allsafe wrote:
>>I seriously doubt that the magistrate/federal authorities would
haveconsidered
>him a flight or >violent offender risk. He and Cindy were probably
released
>the same day pending a trial >date.
>SNIP
>> But I wish I knew for sure.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
>

921. Re: RS "Manuals" website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <CcAP2.649$Jc7.66366@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 122517

http://support.tandy.com or http://www.radioshack.com/support

L.P. <fires@cjnetworks.com> wrote in message
news:370EBBFE.8F468FA9@cjnetworks.com...
> Can someone post the url for the website that has manuals for Radio
> shack Scanners.
> thanks in advance
>

922. Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: seleong@gte.net
Message-ID: <7emi2o$f73$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 122518
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fraud Alert Notice:

Steve Seaver
aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
821 Spokane #7
Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432

possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
previous phone number: (775)348-1157

eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com

Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never received the radio. No return contact via e-mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).

923. Re: Cheapest Place to buy online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Hilger <mh60625@navix.net>
Message-ID: <370ED0D3.6F6F390A@navix.net>
Source record: 122519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

usascan.com seems to have good prices even after you mix in their
outrageous shipping and handling charges. A newcomer that I have been
very happy with is wholesale car audio. com.
They carry a full line of Uniden and have some Icom on hand but it isn't
on the web page yet. There prices shown include shipping I believe.
They are a couple of really nice guys and I recommend them highly.
Here's the link.
Matt
CBs and Scanners

Skoiboy wrote:

> Anyone know who has the best deals online?
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Dave
> zerotwist@home.com
> AOL: Skoiboy
> ICQ: 542112

924. Re: RS "Manuals" website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7emjtc$84i$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122520

>> Can someone post the url for the website that has manuals for Radio shack Scanners <<

http://support.tandy.com

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

925. Re: RS "Manuals" website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8QAP2.66$Ji.870924@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122521

Try this link. Gets you right were you want to be

http://139.60.219.10/search97cgi/s97_cgi.exe?action=View&VdkVgwKey=http%3A%2F%2Fsupport%2Etandy%2Ecom%3A80%2Fsupport%5Felectronics%2F2849%2Ehtm&DocOffset=2&DocsFound=10&QueryZip=pro+2038&Collection=ProductSupport&SearchUrl=http%3A%2F%2F139%2E60%2E219%2E10%2Fsearch97cgi%2Fs97%5Fcgi%2Eexe%3Faction%3DFilterSearch%26QueryZip%3Dpro%2B2038%26Filter%3Dspfilter%252Ehts%26ResultTemplate%3Dspresult%252Ehts%26QueryText%3Dpro%2B2038%26Collection%3DProductSupport%26ResultStart%3D1%26ResultCount%3D15&

I know it's big, but it's the one you want

L.P. <fires@cjnetworks.com> wrote in message
news:370EBBFE.8F468FA9@cjnetworks.com...
> Can someone post the url for the website that has manuals for Radio
> shack Scanners.
> thanks in advance
>

926. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wan@visti.0.net
Message-ID: <370e621d.25936298@news.suomi.net>
Source record: 122522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 22:49:18 GMT, FCarr@bigfoot.com (Frank Carr)
wrote:

>I monitor these two frequencies quite a lot and have heard many
>transmissions relating to the Kosovo conflict. Many of the pilots
>are requesting phone patches to various ground bases, some are
>aircrafts carrying troops and/or civilians, and some are
>requesting destination orders, and others requesting WX
>conditions for various landing strips.
>
>--
>Frank Carr. Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, England.
>The Work And Play Website - http://www.bigfoot.com/~fcarr/
>Mirror Site at - http://WorkAndPlay.future.easyspace.com/

Why do you think that what you are hearing has anything to do with
Nato or Kosovo. I suppose you listening to your nearist airport and
normal local air traffic .

If you are hearing some foreign language, can you distinguish Serbian
from Russian. Then again I bet you are just hearing St Petersburg
air control wx reports or possibly some Italian maritime telephone
service.

Regards from real life,

W

927. Re: Why is Cheeks in the Pokey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <RcCP2.18578$cq3.454896@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122523

Posting that the decoder you sell works on MDT and Pagers may cause you some headaches though. That is what Bill did and I suspect that has quite a bit to do with Bill and Cindy's problems/arrest. This hobby is becoming a dangerous place for those who flaunt what they are doing with their scanners. Those on the commercial have to be even more careful what they say to the public. Bills web page put him on that thin ice with the feds. He should have learned from Groves problems of past.

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote in message
news:370ED63F.F6FFDE8@home.com...
> What is the real story. What did Cheeck do? I do not believe that it is
> illegal to sell a MDT decoder.

928. Bearcat 895XLT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: realty@sheffield-pub.com
Message-ID: <370e8cdc.316697@news.vgernet.net>
Source record: 122586

I purchased a new Bearcat 895XLT a few weeks ago, programed about 6 channels than turned it off.

I went to do programing today and nothing is displaying, no matter what button I use, the display will not come up. The scanner does pick up what I did program but did not display any information.

Any suggestions?

scanner@sheffield-pub.com

929. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D973C.99F2135D@home.com>
Source record: 122587

Mackey.. I have only been reading this NG for a week or so but I have already determined that you are a pest. I hope Bill Cheek sues you for your slander, I mean sues your parents, you being a minor and all he couldn't sue you...

> You said "I seriously doubt..... But I wish I knew for sure",
> obviously
> showing you are
> mearly speculating with no factual basis to speak from.
>
> So lets speak from the facts that we ARE aware of:
>
> 1. Bill (& Cindy) were arrested on federal charges & charges from New
> York
> State.
> 2. Bill has a known history of monitoring the public AND confidential
> communications
> of law enforcement. (according to his web site)
> 3. Bill has had previous troubles with the law - including violence
> (according
> to admissions he has made on this NG)
> 4. Bill has been known to use false identities in the past. (actions on
> this
> NG)
> 5. Bill has been known to have people forge themselves as him to gain
> his
> desired goal.
> (having BUD post messages under his ID)
>
> OBVIOUSLY, he is a risk under the catagories of "flight or violent".
>
> Now, some VERY REAL possibilities:
>
> It is a well known fact that he left Colorado with dependent children
> under the age of 18. If he has any un-resolved child custody, child
> support payments, (or ANY other) legal actions he would likely be
> facing eventual extradition to ANY state to address those matters
> IN ADDITION to the current charges.
>
> If he is on parole or probation for the previous legal problems
> he has had then these recent actions would be seen as a violation
> of his conditions of parole/probation & he would be looking at
> more time even YET regardless of being found guilty or innocent
> of the current charges.

>
> (Something as simple as an un-paid parking ticket from 10 years
> ago could trip him up even worse than he is already!)
>
> Things don't look good for him. I will pray for his sole & I hope
> others
> do the same.
>
> allsafe wrote:
> >I seriously doubt that the magistrate/federal authorities would
> haveconsidered
> him a flight or >violent offender risk. He and Cindy were probably
> released
> the same day pending a trial >date.
> SNIP
> > But I wish I knew for sure.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
>

930. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D97B1.669BD425@home.com>
Source record: 122588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

It's "radio_bubba@cellmate.org" :)
\

Steve Grolsh wrote:

> To contact Cheek's, send him mail at his new address bubba@cellmate.com

931. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D982E.387C6810@home.com>
Source record: 122589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

He was an officer who answered the phone at the federal pokey in SD.. I have since learned that he was in fact arrested, but apperantly he was not held at the SD MCC at the time of my call..

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Who was the officer & what dept were they with?
>
> Sean Wolfe wrote:
>
> > Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
> > talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

932. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D9852.8C191EA7@home.com>
Source record: 122590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You know, I should have asked if he ever was there.. I didn't think of that..

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Tue, 06 Apr 1999 07:23:08 GMT, Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
> >talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)
>
> But was he *ever* in custody at that facility? Or were you barking up
> the wrong tree? ;-)

933. BC895XLT Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman <nam62@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <e7SfU2kg#GA.309@upnetnews05>
Source record: 122591

Hello All,

I am new to this hobby and have purchased the BC895XLT, because there are many trunked systems around New Orleans. Can someone explain the computer interface for me? I have an open serial port on my PC and am wondering what I would gain by using the scanner with the PC. Do I need anything other than software and a cable? Does anyone know of possible Radio Shack part #'s for the 2 plugs?

Thanks in advance for any help,

Norm

934. Re: MDT Data and Lawyers. Why is it legal for them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jones <KJones@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ek5b5$b9p$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122592

I don't know where you heard this. Lawyers buy this information from third party companies who research this information from public courthouse records.

--
Ken Jones
KJones@sprintmail.com

Spot wrote in message ...
Ever get a ticket and the next day in the mail, just by coincidence, get 10 letters from lawyers telling you how to get out of your ticket?
This happened to me after getting a ticket in Euless Texas and I found out that lawyers are able to monitor realtime MDT data, obtain MDT data dumps and then solicit their services.
Go figure!

935. PRO-2006 Schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Dernbach <stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <923639229.459.85@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122593

Does anyone have a copy of the schematic for the Pro-2006 scanner that they can scan & send via email to me? I tried Raido Snack and they would not sell/order one. I had purchased one a while back, but due to several moves over the past few years it was lost/thrown out by my wife!

Thanks for any help in this matter!
Scott

936. Re: RS PRO 63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rofcal Trudischioux <usstom@opexial.net>
Message-ID: <7ejiad$1vv$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122594

At $120 I'd say its worth it but realize that its only a dual i.f. scanner and if you are in a city or likely to have intermod problems, it may not work for you. For a bit more you can get a triple i.f. one or better yet , one where the CTCSS codes can be used, like the Relm 200, which also has faster scan rate. Maybe consider the BC235 or HS 200 for about $40 more.

Gary Kerr wrote in message <370DA344.EC8C5E6F@home.com>...
>Thinking of buying RS Pro 63 model. Is being discontinued and sold at
>low price of approximately $120US. Is it worth buying?

937. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37489c6d.46255534@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122595

Sean Wolfe <thewolfe@home.com> wrote:

>Mackey.. I have only been reading this NG for a week

Well, there's your problem. Believe me, Cheek is hardly innocent when
it comes to stirring the pot.

> or so but I have
>already determined that you are a pest. I hope Bill Cheek sues you for
>your slander, I mean sues your parents, you being a minor and all he
>couldn't sue you...

Already with the ad hominem. Sigh.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

938. Re: PC Cable for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <370DA4DC.7806905E@home.com>
Source record: 122596

Darren Mulligan wrote:
>
> the cable i use is a cdrom audio cable from officemax (3 connectors on
> end, use the one that is the same as the connector on the other end of
> the cable)
>
> http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm
> http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/dig/895.txt
> http://gary.burkett.org/BC895XLT_Cable.html
>
> Tim Yahn wrote:
> >
> > Is there a site that has a schematic, for the PC cable for the Uniden
> > BC895XLT?
> >
> > TIA
>
> --
> PGP 0x12209D33
> http://www.metacrawler.com

And just as a note, I used an old mouse cable and put new connectors on
the end that goes to the scanner. Works great
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

939. Re: Chicago Simplex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goodfella99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14092-370DA38D-17@newsd-231.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122597

147.42,Elmhurst area,York radio club

"Over and under"

940. FS: GRE Super Converter 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7ekbih$lm4$1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 122598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FS: GRE Super Converter 9001, gives full 800 MHz on any programmable scanner, like new $75 shipped CONUS.

Bill K9KZ
k9kz@indy.net

941. Re: Decoding FSK Data in Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <370DB133.E5033A60@excite.com>
Source record: 122599
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.mycal.net/projects/digital/encode.html

Miguel Puchol wrote:
>
> Hi Scott,
>
> I am working on a project which decodes FSK in real time. Basically, an FSK
> signal is made up of slots. Each slot can be one of two frequencies, for
> example 1200 Hz or 2400 Hz. Say we transmitted data at 1200 bps. Then we
> would know that each bit uses up 0,833 miliseconds of time. During this
> time, the frequency of the carrier is either 1200 Hz, which signals a
> logical 1, or 2400 Hz, which signals a logical 0.
> We can, if we sample data in real time, count how many zero-cross points we
> find, and so determine what the frequency of the signal is at any stage. We
> need to syncronize with the data stream first. In this example, a logical
> zero would be at 1200 Hz, or one complete sinusoidal cicle. This means three
> zero-cross points. If it were a one, we would have two complete cicles, or
> five zero-cross points, all during the 0,833 milisecond slot.
>
> There is no need for complex FFT routines, as long as the signal is
> phase-syncronous, i.e. the start of a bit coincides with a wave zero-cross
> point.
>
> I hope this helps.
>
> Mike
>
> Scott Coleman escribiï¿½ en mensaje <36f7b2ce.2314236@news>...
> >I've seen several programs (see for example
> >http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm) that use a SoundBlaster or other
> >audio card to sample the audio output from a radio receiver and decode
> >the FSK data (e.g. POCSAG, MDT, RTTY, etc.) My understanding is that
> >the basic technique is to sample the data in real time, process it
> >using some technique such as a discrete Fourier transform, and somehow
> >produce a binary digit stream for further processing. I know virtually
> >nothing about DSP, but I'd like to understand more about how these
> >programs work, both in theory as well as in actual code. I'm also
> >interested in learning about the various modulation techniques, such
> >as FSK, AFSK, BFSK, etc. etc.
> >
> >Can you point me towards some good books and/or other information
> >which would help me? Thanks very much.
> >

> >Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

942. For Sale Radio Shack pro 23 Scanner 800 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <370db202.3982876@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122600

selling a pro 23 radio shack handheld police scanner
50 chs ok cond works very good.. has 800 mhz hears some of the good
stuff!!! selling it for 100.00 plus shipping date code is 2A4..email
me for a scanner which you don't have to bid!! on...

943. Re: Bearcat 895XLT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <370DB2F0.66F4F01B@excite.com>
Source record: 122601

Try the dimmer button, or try 2-9-Enter while turning it on. If nothing
is on the display, try to get it repaired under warranty.

Irwin Broverman wrote:
>
> I purchased a new Bearcat 895XLT a few weeks ago, programed about 6
> channels than turned it off.
>
> I went to do programing today and nothing is displaying, no matter
> what button I use, the display will not come up. The scanner does pick
> up what I did program but did not display any information.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> scanner@sheffield-pub.com

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

944. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ekmk3fbd1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370C3728.686C3342@usa.net...
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > You mean I JUST THEN CONFIRMED IT. Your credibility ain`t good ,
>
> Yea when everyone else confirmed it LAST WEEK, YOU just confirmed it
> TODAY!!!! Congrats on your BIG accomplishment! Your confirmation
> concurred with what I had said thereby demonstrating my credibility to be
> quite strong! thanks!!!
>
> You don`t know the difference , do you, Looney ? What you had to say last
week did not contstitute confirmation... Yeh, your credibility is real
strong, considering that last week, you were still claiming that I was Cheek
!

> > Other people confirmed the existence of the article after me, so you
>
> No, you were the only fool still saying it needed to be confirmed.

You better look again, Mackalooney. At least two other people posted
confirmation of the article after I did.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

945. Re: Range Of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <370DE0A0.E89F3957@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 122603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Skoiboy wrote:
> I was wondering how many miles range I could get out of my BC235 I am going
> to get...And then what upgrade antenna could I get that would up that the
> most?

See my New Scanner Users FAQ:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

946. PRO-2036 descriminator output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: news1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <D97B00A742FF2F4D.CAAB0ED8ED5E92FA.EF8B79B9B9CE0924@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122604

Looking for the descriminator output point in a pro-2036.
Thanks

947. Re: Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <370DF881.1C64DCAD@pagesz.net>
Source record: 122605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On a few items I have noticed their pricing a little high, but other than
that I would say they are okay. They have been around awhile.

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> I have used them several times with very good results.
>
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Skoiboy wrote:
> >
> > I was wondering if anyone could tell me the reputation of Scanner World
> > (http://www.albany.net/~scanner/). Since I might order something from
> > them...
> >
> > --
> > Dave
> > zerotwist@home.com
> > AOL: Skoiboy
> > ICQ: 542112

--
****
Ruffin Blackard

ruffin@pagesz.NOSPAM.net

948. Last day: Two scanners FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <370DFD6A.6C1F@webdeals.net>
Source record: 122606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=87727425

Two scanners up for bid. Reserve is very close.

949. Re: Newbie looking for recomendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio Daze <radiologist@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01be8203$5e9fdb40$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 122607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You asked about the SC150. It was my first scanner, and I loved it. I would recommend it as a first scanner to anyone who asks.

About trunk tracking, find out if your local police and fire departments use it. The ones near me do not, so TT would be worthless to me. I understand that trunking is used in most cities nowdays, and I hear that following trunked communications without TT is virtually impossible.

Ron

950. Re: Decoding FSK Data in Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: aerosoft@agt.net
Message-ID: <370E0F28.3A12@agt.net>
Source record: 122608
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi there,

Zero crossing demodulation works well provided that there is not too much noise. For the best noise performance, you need a pair of matched filters. An FFT using the Goertzel algorithm also performs reasonably well. Also, all of the above only works properly if the signal level is controlled by a HW AGC circuit, else you have to do that in SW too, which is quite tricky in itself.

Cheers,

Herman
http://www.agt.net/public/aerosoft

Darren Mulligan wrote:
>
> http://www.mycal.net/projects/digital/encode.html
>
> Miguel Puchol wrote:
> >
> > Hi Scott,
> >
> > I am working on a project which decodes FSK in real time. Basically, an FSK
> > signal is made up of slots. Each slot can be one of two frequencies, for
> > example 1200 Hz or 2400 Hz. Say we transmitted data at 1200 bps. Then we
> > would know that each bit uses up 0,833 miliseconds of time. During this
> > time, the frequency of the carrier is either 1200 Hz, which signals a
> > logical 1, or 2400 Hz, which signals a logical 0.
> > We can, if we sample data in real time, count how many zero-cross points we
> > find, and so determine what the frequency of the signal is at any stage. We
> > need to syncronize with the data stream first. In this example, a logical
> > zero would be at 1200 Hz, or one complete sinusoidal cicle. This means three
> > zero-cross points. If it were a one, we would have two complete cicles, or
> > five zero-cross points, all during the 0,833 milisecond slot.
> >
> > There is no need for complex FFT routines, as long as the signal is
> > phase-syncronous, i.e. the start of a bit coincides with a wave zero-cross
> > point.
> >
> > I hope this helps.
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > Scott Coleman escribiï¿½ en mensaje <36f7b2ce.2314236@news>...

> > >I've seen several programs (see for example
> > >http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm) that use a SoundBlaster or other
> > >audio card to sample the audio output from a radio receiver and decode
> > >the FSK data (e.g. POCSAG, MDT, RTTY, etc.) My understanding is that
> > >the basic technique is to sample the data in real time, process it
> > >using some technique such as a discrete Fourier transform, and somehow
> > >produce a binary digit stream for further processing. I know virtually
> > >nothing about DSP, but I'd like to understand more about how these
> > >programs work, both in theory as well as in actual code. I'm also
> > >interested in learning about the various modulation techniques, such
> > >as FSK, AFSK, BFSK, etc. etc.
> > >
> > >Can you point me towards some good books and/or other information
> > >which would help me? Thanks very much.
> > >
> > >Scott Coleman, President ASRE (American Society of Reverse Engineers)
>
> --
> PGP 0x12209D33
> http://www.metacrawler.com

951. Re: Scanner Commercial/900 MHZ Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD FLORES <RICHARD_FLORES@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7ekvi6$16cg$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122609

I'm buying a new phone, I suspect someone may be listening and I'm hearing
my neighbors too. Would like privacy

952. RCA RP-6198 "Scantrak" Racing Scanner for sale on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <PUnP2.7821$oD5.4485@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=88883379

Minimum bid is $100.00. No reserve.

953. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <370E0F01.C939A91F@albany.net>
Source record: 122611

Interesting question. I would have to say that since so many people do it and don't get arrested, the answer would be no. It would be hard to distinquish you from the average gawker if you weren't holding your scanner in your hand. Where you could conceivably run into problems is if you in any way interfered with ongoing response and/or operations or refused to obey any lawful request from whoever (police officer, EMS personnel or fire chief) is in charge including not remaining behind any bariers set up.

As a volunteer firefighter and EMT I have gotten to know the local scanner freaks who show up at different scenes and to be honest for the most part they aren't a problem. They don't get in the way when we are responding, they don't park their cars in the way and they don't get in our way during or after the operation. Do I wish they would stay home and monitor the action from the safety of their living rooms? Yes. It's bad enough dealing with some very human tragedy without having gawkers all over the place but if they have the right to be on that street then who are we to take that away from them.

Joe Nooney

Anonymous wrote:
>
> Is it illegal to hear something on a police scanner and then show
> up at the scene of the crime?
>
> ~nancy~

954. Re: Scanner Commercial/900 MHZ Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wet@n.wild
Message-ID: <37100aca.5960607@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122612

On Fri, 9 Apr 1999 09:32:28 -0400, "RICHARD FLORES"
<RICHARD_FLORES@prodigy.net> wrote:

>
>I'm buying a new phone, I suspect someone may be listening and I'm hearing
>my neighbors too. Would like privacy
>

Get a phone with spread spectrum technology. That's 100% secure (as of now).

955. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2001: A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com;
Message-ID: <370EC6F0.CAB4D774@pacifier.com>
Source record: 122613

What is starting to bother me is that all of this about
Cheek's arrest is going to make him into some kind
of hero.

JTWard01 wrote:

> All,
>
> I spoke with Agent Denise Gibson with the U.S. Secret Service today. Agent
> Gibson is the media contact for the USSS's New York office. She didn't have
> many details, but the affadavit on which the arrest warrant was based said that
> Bill & Cindy Cheek had violated the law by marketing a device, specifically a
> digital data decoder, that could be used to illegally intercept police MDT
> transmissions.
> Sorry, I don't have more info than that, and I know it still leaves a lot
> of unanswered questions. Agent Gibson promised to confer with the lead agent
> on the case and get back to me tomorrow with more specifics, or to have that
> agent call me directly. When I know more, I will post more.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

956. Re: What a jerk... (Malexder)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370E1A36.96A302ED@usa.net>
Source record: 122614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is really funny since YOU are the biggest liar on this NG!

MAlexder wrote:

> I have spent (make that wasted) a lot of time punching
> holes through your lies, circumlocutions, and idiotic logic as well as exposing

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

957. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370E19A8.7F591D0D@usa.net>
Source record: 122615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Yes, how sad that when discussing Bill Cheek, that lands us to the subject (as you pointed out) of "homophobe losers".

KC2AYC wrote:

> Gee, I expected to see posts about the radio hobby here but it looks like I
> have stumbled on homophobe losers.
>
> RE: with Bill's
> >WELL DOCUNENTED LIKENESS of HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVITIES, ACCORDING TO HIS POSTS
> >HERE ON USENET, that perhaps he would get to experience these actions while

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

958. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Ireland <direland@drdale.com>
Message-ID: <923672767.026.60@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122616

No it is not illegal to listen to the police.
It is illegal to retransmit or "communicate" the contents of such
transmissions. In the strictest sense if you just discuss the details of
what you heard with a friend you could be breaking the law, technically.

--
Dale Ireland
Astronomy Page http://www.drdale.com
Comets, Eclipses, Satellites, Photography, Fabrications
>
>Anonymous wrote:
>>
>> Is it illegal to hear something on a police scanner and then show
>> up at the scene of the crime?
>>
>> ~nancy~

959. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370E1ADA.F658F1E8@usa.net>
Source record: 122617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Yea, & aboput a dozen people confirmed the WEEK BEFORE you!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

>You better look again, Mackalooney. At least two other people posted

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

960. Re: What a jerk... (Retarded Mackey)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@suck.shit
Message-ID: <370e21a1.1547995@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

And how appropriate and predictable of you to reply to it!! You are the KING
of "homophobe losers". Bawhawhawhawhawhaw!!!

On Fri, 09 Apr 1999 08:15:53 -0700, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Yes, how sad that when discussing Bill Cheek, that lands us to the subject (as
>you pointed out) of "homophobe losers".
>
>KC2AYC wrote:
>
>> Gee, I expected to see posts about the radio hobby here but it looks like I
>> have stumbled on homophobe losers.
>>
>> RE: > with Bill's
>> >WELL DOCUNENTED LIKENESS of HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVITIES, ACCORDING TO HIS POSTS
>> >HERE ON USENET, that perhaps he would get to experience these actions while
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

961. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990409125155.02576.00000172@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122619

>From: HairMan2001:A_Hair_Odyssey@pacifier.com
>Date: 04-09-1999 23:35 EST
>
>What is starting to bother me is that all of this about
>Cheek's arrest is going to make him into some kind
>of hero.

Well, maybe you'll feel better when they start arresting the guys down at Optoelectronics because their new interface includes a dataslicer.
Look, Bill can be a major jerk. He's proved that by his continuing the flame was with Mackey (also a major jerk, but at least on good behavior this week), but Cheek has also done a lot to advance the hobby - okay, not so much recently, but for years his books were the bibles of scanner mods.
Whatever he's done on this newsgroup he doesn't deserve to be arrested if the few details we have prove to be correct. I can support anybody who gets a raw deal, even if I don't like everything they say or do. If we don't stand up when somebody gets the shaft pretty soon there will be nobody left to stand up for us when the shaft comes our way.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

962. Traffic 'copter freq's for Boston?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Traurig <scott.r.traurig@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <8DA383CBAscottrtraurignospamc@svlnews.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 122620

Hi all,

I checked dejanews, but couldn't find anything, soooooo...

I would appreciate any and all info. on frequencies for monitoring traffic reports directly from traffic helicopters, airplanes or other direct observers in the Boston & southern NH areas.

Thanks!

Scott

*** sorry, but you must despaminate my address by ***
*** substituting "lmco" for "no-spam" ***

963. Mororola Sabre systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7elbfhpka1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122621
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio for $650. I am specificaly looking for kenwood mobile and portable that is field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no interest in Motorola products.

Units offered must be in great shape and complete with mobile mike and power cord for mobile and battery, charger antenna ect for the portable. Both units must be at least 16 channels and capable of DPL as well as pl scan ect .

Email offers to lfray1@yahoo.com please dont post to board.
thanks for your time
Leroy

964. Re: MDT Data and Lawyers. Why is it legal for them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7elaubjmn1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122622

chalk another one up to revisionist history...!

965. Re: MDT Data and Lawyers. Why is it legal for them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ele3anaj1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122623

Check out your local PD. Give them a call and ask how you can obtain the previous day's MDT traffic. You will find that it is as easy as going to the station and filling out a form. It's public record, and because of the FOIA, they cannot deny such a request.

Try it and see. ;-)

-Phil

966. FS : BC-200XLT / BC-9000XLT Priced to sell...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cfraser@maya-tech.com
Message-ID: <E8F2D4DB62A7E599.67F4CAEAB692AB08.B2101E9CF4AA9053@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bearcat BC-200XLT $160.00 FIRM
==========
EXCELLENT CONDITION! UNBLOCKED!
I have: 1) Original Manual (Operating guide) in mint cond. 3) Original Leatherette Case in mint cond. 4) Original Flexible atenna 5) Original AC Adapter/Charger.

Covers 200 channels in ten 20-channel banks. Scan speed is 15 channels per second in scanning mode. 10 Priority channels (first in each bank) * 12 Band Coverage including Aircraft, All Public Services, Ham Radio, Federal, Military, Police, Weather, and 800 MHz Key Pad Lock * Search Limit * Direct Entry Keypad * Detachable NiCad Battery Pack * Channel Lockout * Scan Delay * Automatic Search * Illuminated Display Frequency range is 29.0-54.0 mhz, 118-174 mhz, 406-512 mhz, 806-824 mhz, 849-869 mhz and894-956 mhz

Shipped Fedex Economy COD Only - Will Split shipping Money Order or Cashiers check only. Prepaid and ground shipping is FREE.

Bearcat BC-9000XLT $275.00 FIRM
==========
Bearcat BC-9000XLT scanner for sale. Immaculate. Used about 3 weeks. All original packaging, manuals, etc. Absolutely new condition.

Shipped Fedex Economy COD Only - Will Split shipping Money Order or Cashiers check only. Prepaid and ground shipping is FREE.

--
Clark Fraser
Arlington, TX
(817) 561-6442

967. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122625

Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.

It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.

Mike

jnooney@albany.net wrote in message <370E0F01.C939A91F@albany.net>...
>Interesting question. I would have to say that since so many people do
>it and don't get arrested, the answer would be no. It would be hard to
>distinquish you from the average gawker if you weren't holding your
>scanner in your hand. Where you could conceivably run into problems is
>if you in any way interfered with ongoing response and/or operations or
>refused to obey any lawful request from whoever (police officer, EMS
>personnel or fire chief) is in charge including not remaining behind
any
>bariers set up.
>
>As a volunteer firefighter and EMT I have gotten to know the local
>scanner freaks who show up at different scenes and to be honest for the
>most part they aren't a problem. They don't get in the way when we are
>responding, they don't park their cars in the way and they don't get in
>our way during or after the operation. Do I wish they would stay home
>and monitor the action from the safety of their living rooms? Yes.
It's
>bad enough dealing with some very human tragedy without having gawkers
>all over the place but if they have the right to be on that street then
>who are we to take that away from them.
>
>Joe Nooney
>
>Anonymous wrote:
>>
>> Is it illegal to hear something on a police scanner and then show
>> up at the scene of the crime?
>>
>> ~nancy~

968. EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7elh71$j0q$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all, After so many visits recently i thought i would upgrade it a little . You can now get the latest MDT monitor (v 1.5.1) and many others, the source code for this will also be there soon.

I also have the MIR space station online SSTV galleries and some other areas which are still being constructed so please be patient.

Look forward to seeing you all

Eavsdropper

969. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370E4957.EFA2BD24@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Luggar wrote:

> Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 19:50:40 +0100, Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:
> > >
> > >> I have placed a realaudio-file on my webpage. I was monitoring some very
> > >> "heavy" traffic this night and there are mentioned some locations i can't
> > >> understand - They are spoken with a very broad US accent.
> > >>
> > >
> > >All I got from your site is a load of text, not a real audio file.
> >
> > Others have succes. Perhaps its your player
> > Hilsen/Best Regards
> >
> > Stephan Walther-Larsen
> > Nuuk - Greenland
>
> I'll try again then!
> Paul {;-)

All my browser can see is a text file. {;-(

970. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eli99$oi9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122628

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
>Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
>the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
>once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
>reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
>side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
>etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.
>
>It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.
>

You could also enquire with your local city and state government about getting press credentials (A must if you're going to sight-see at incidents). Why? Because then they CAN'T make you leave unless you are just making an absolute nuisance of yourself and obstructing officers.

Bill Conduit might be a good one to ask about this subject, as he is a freelance videographer and films incidents in his area for the news stations there.

-Phil

971. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: k8oi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990409150920.20682.00000623@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122629

Lo, how the mighty have fallen

ï¿½

972. Re: kern county, bakersfield, calif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7CsP2.875$Lm4.131714@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 122630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are you a Bullard HS grad?

TR

Michael Derryberry wrote in message <370DA34D.668FE367@netxn.com>...
>Correct freqs for Bakersfield Police Department are ...
>
>Ch 01 155.310 DPL 165 Main Dispatch
>Ch 02 154.800 DPL 265 Car-to-Car
>Ch 03 155.190 PL 103.5 Administrative
>Ch 04 154.740 DPL 465 Detectives
>Ch 05 155.550 DPL 565 Detectives
>Ch 06 154.920 No PL CLEMARS
>Ch 07 155.475 No PL NLEMARS
>Ch 08 155.430 DPL 023 Vice Detail
>Ch 09 155.640 DPL 025 Vice Detail
>
>Channels 4,8 & 9 are normally digitally encrypted.
>
>Hope this helps ... E-mail if you need further for Bakersfield or Kern
>County ...
>
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>
>
>tcrpe wrote:
>>
>> Bakersfield PD
>> 155.31
>> 155.19
>> 155.28
>>
>> TR
>>
>> Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <370AD6C0.C6F@worldnet.att.net>...
>> >Gilbert Barraza wrote:
>> >>
>> >> need info on scanner freqs for kern county, bakersfield,calif send
info
>> >> to
>> >> gbjr69331@webtv.net thank-you group!!!!
>> >>

>> >> gilbert barraza jr
>> >
>> >
>> >Check out the following URL:
>> >
>> > http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

973. Re: Request info on radio frequency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "c.b.store" <c.b..store@village.uunet.be>
Message-ID: <7ello8lep1@nickel.uunet.be>
Source record: 122631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

HELO FROM WERNER FROM BELGUIM CALL O.N.4.CPR ALL DE BEST FROM MY TO YOU OK
SEE YOU BAY BAY BAY
eLeCtrOelF heeft geschreven in bericht <7e3ii9$1t3$1@lnews.actcom.co.il>...
>Just a few basic question, i didn't feel like reading
>tons of info in FAQ's or stuff like that.. i just
>hope that some of you can quickly answer the following
>questions.
>
>Can a radio frequency scanner intercept digital data
>transmissions? If yes, what kind of data it is likely
>to intercept, i mean who interchanges data by radio,
>apart from cellphones, pagers etc? If there
>are some sorts of digital data transmissions on what
>frequencies i'm most likely to pick something?
>
>I know that rfs is not a transmitter, but still, can
>it be sorta triangulated or located in any way?
>
>That's it, just a few straight to the point questions.
>Feel free to reply in newsgroup or by email.
>
>Cheers.
>
>

974. Re: Traffic 'copter freq's for Boston?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <370E5B8B.ED5F6B3E@dnai.com>
Source record: 122632

Have you tried the Dogpile search engine agent? It works really well and you can specify what areas of the net to search including ftp and newsgroups. http://www.dogpile.com/

Jeff

Scott Traurig wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> I checked dejanews, but couldn't find anything, soooooo...
>
> I would appreciate any and all info. on frequencies for monitoring traffic
> reports directly from traffic helicopters, airplanes or other direct
> observers in the Boston & southern NH areas.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Scott
>
> *** sorry, but you must despaminate my address by ***
> *** substituting "lmco" for "no-spam" ***

975. Re: BC895XLT Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7ellk9tkh1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122633

>> Does anyone know of possible Radio Shack part #'s for the 2 plugs? <<

Radio Shack doesn't carry the connector that goes to the BC895 end. One common name for it/them is/are .1" header strips, as this type of connector comes in long strips, with each connector 1/10 of an inch (.1") apart from the one next to it (the same dimension as perfboard holes, IC sockets, etc), and you just snap off a connector with as many individual parts as you need. However, if you have any kind of junkbox, you'll probably come up with something that works, such as connectors on a dead hard or floppy drive, sound card cable, or, in my case, the speaker cable from an original IBM PC or PC/XT. As you'll eventually see, you only need a 3 pin connector, even though the radio has a 5 pin connector.

You can get these header strips from the electronics parts places, such as Mouser, which advertises no minimum order. www.mouser.com. Other potential parts sources are www.jameco.com and www.jdr.com

The part that goes to your PC is a standard RS-232 connector, either 9 or 25 pin, male or female, depending on your PC. Radio shack has plenty of them.

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

artbecker@artbecker.com

976. Grove-ent upgrade Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com>
Message-ID: <C4tP2.4267$gr5.3765@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 122634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was wondering what everyone thought of the Duckie antenna they are selling...I am going to get a BC235 from them and I asked about the antenna and the lady said the antenna that comes with it is pretty bad...and I live far away from any big cities so I think I might want to order a better antenna when I order my Scanner and she said to get there duckie antenna...Anyone think this is a good idea...I'm in Danbury, Connecticut so I'm about 50 miles from New York city and about 50 from Hartford....

Thanks

--
Dave
zerotwist@home.com
AOL: Skoiboy
ICQ: 542112

977. Re: Traffic 'copter freq's for Boston?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <370E61FD.D84CF78F@excite.com>
Source record: 122635

MetaCrawler is the best.
http://www.metacrawler.com

Jeff Doyle wrote:
>
> Have you tried the Dogpile search engine agent? It works really well and you
> can specify what areas of the net to search including ftp and newsgroups.
> http://www.dogpile.com/
>
> Jeff
>
> Scott Traurig wrote:
>
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I checked dejanews, but couldn't find anything, soooooo...
> >
> > I would appreciate any and all info. on frequencies for monitoring traffic
> > reports directly from traffic helicopters, airplanes or other direct
> > observers in the Boston & southern NH areas.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > Scott
> >
> > *** sorry, but you must despaminate my address by ***
> > *** substituting "lmco" for "no-spam" ***

978. Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com>
Message-ID: <8WbP2.3813$gr5.3432@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 122672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was wondering if anyone could tell me the reputation of Scanner World (http://www.albany.net/~scanner/). Since I might order something from them...

--
Dave
zerotwist@home.com
AOL: Skoiboy
ICQ: 542112

979. Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@do.not.reply>
Message-ID: <199904090050.CAA01612@flashmail.com>
Source record: 122673

Is it illegal to hear something on a police scanner and then show
up at the scene of the crime?

~nancy~

980. WTB: RS2006 In Mint Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408204945.03031.00000852@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 122674

with box, antenna, instructions if possible.

Please email me at joegizjr@aol.com

Thanks

981. Range Of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skoiboy <zerotwist@home.com>
Message-ID: <pOcP2.3835$gr5.3580@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 122675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was wondering how many miles range I could get out of my BC235 I am going to get...And then what upgrade antenna could I get that would up that the most?

On average...

--
Dave
zerotwist@home.com
AOL: Skoiboy
ICQ: 542112

982. FS:Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface installed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D5A1B.AB27B3A8@home.com>
Source record: 122676

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.

This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules.

Just an update. So far the highest bid is $400.00 thanks james.

983. Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990408215043.20677.00000264@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122677

All,

I spoke with Agent Denise Gibson with the U.S. Secret Service today. Agent Gibson is the media contact for the USSS's New York office. She didn't have many details, but the affadavit on which the arrest warrant was based said that Bill & Cindy Cheek had violated the law by marketing a device, specifically a digital data decoder, that could be used to illegally intercept police MDT transmissions.
Sorry, I don't have more info than that, and I know it still leaves a lot of unanswered questions. Agent Gibson promised to confer with the lead agent on the case and get back to me tomorrow with more specifics, or to have that agent call me directly. When I know more, I will post more.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

984. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <370D602B.25B4205@home.com>
Source record: 122678

proudhawk wrote:
>
> Can you post Bill's site here please.
> I seem to have lost it over the course of time.
>
> Hawke
>
> hero504@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > I took a look at Knipschild's web site and the offending information has
> > been removed. I looked at Bill Cheek's site and I still see the converters
> > listed. If these were illegal wouldn't they have also been removed? Just a
> > thought.....
> >
> > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

http://www.comtronics.net/
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

985. strange frequencies in shelton, CT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <370d5b6d.84312007@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122679

This is from the white hills section, big horn rd:

159.5 There is a constant hum that will go away after about 10 miles out.

167.74 There is very busy digital traffic from 6pm to 10pm that doesn't seem to occur once I go about 5 miles out.

986. Re: Newbie looking for recomendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990408223913.03105.00000993@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 122680

Hi! I'm glad you mentioned the 10% discount; I recently purchased a Radio Shack scanner;
it also was on display and not in the box. I asked if there was a discount and the clerk said no. Prior to that purchase, I put a WTB (want to buy) posting in this newsgroup for the same scanner and unbelievable as it may seem-I located one! So, I'll be returning the original purchase with a copy of your posting about the discount! I'm sure it's an individual store manager decision-but they lost my sale! Customer service is SO important in today's economy; EVERY business needs to remember that there's competition right around the corner (or on the internet!)

>Subject: Re: Newbie looking for recomendation
>From: "Cool_Hand" <stealthx@ix.netcom.com>
>Date: 4/8/99 6:15 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7ejkg1$mf4@world1.bellatlantic.net>
>
>I just bought the Radioshack Pro-90 Trunktracker 300 channel scanner, It
>was on sale from $299 for $199 and they gave me an additional discount of
>10% because it was the display model.
>I have it now 2 days but I can tell you that the truncking is good.

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

987. FS - R/S Pro 2035 UK Logic Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray <bubba@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <370D70A1.35D7@ctaz.com>
Source record: 122681

UK Logic board for Pro 2035.$165.00 + $5.00 shipping & ins. No trades...
Works perfectly (I just removed it from my radio). References availible
upon request. Thanks...

Ray

988. Re: Chicago Simplex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990408231704.04144.00001245@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122682

146.520

remove nospam to email

989. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370d7694.3344913@news>
Source record: 122683

On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 18:09:57 -0700, proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
wrote:

>Stupid question here,:
>if the authorities made such a big deal, then why didn't the post the
>gentlemens real name? Because of this one small point, I begin to wonder if this
>isn't some kind of hoax perpatrated by a few to drag Bill's name even further
>throguh the mud.

If it's a hoax, why isn't Bill here posting about it?

990. RS PRO 63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Kerr <gary3910@home.com>
Message-ID: <370DA344.EC8C5E6F@home.com>
Source record: 122684

Thinking of buying RS Pro 63 model. Is being discontinued and sold at
low price of approximately $120US. Is it worth buying?

991. F S non - working UNIMETRECS scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990409000319.00114.00000423@ng140.aol.com>
Source record: 122685

i have a UNIMETRECS non - working scanner

if interested e - mail me . wbelsito@aol.com

992. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370fc061.1042665@news>
Source record: 122436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 17:31:05 GMT, chuck@chucks2way.com (Chuck) wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 14:53:43 GMT, bcheek@comtronics.net (Bill Cheek)
>wrote:
>
>>FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
>> [...]
>>Location: North San Diego, CA
>>
>>Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247
>
>What is that to pay for your legal troubles?

Or perhaps as part of a cease-and-decist and/or plea bargain
arrangement?

If only my CC&R's allowed a tower, I'd snap it up in a flash. ;-)

993. FS: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: yoga1948@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410172201.06794.00001210@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 122437

Realistic Pro 2004 in very good condition. Includes manual and original box...$175 plus shipping. FULL CELULAR COVERAGE!!!!

Frequency ranges:
25-520mhz
760-823.945mhz
851-868.945mhz
896-1300mhz

300 channels in 10 banks along with 10 search groups
Selectable mods (am, nfm,wfm)
This was Radio Shacks top mode and has many more features

994. Re: OO's, ARRL, FCC and NGO's (was: Richard Whiten - Meets his match)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <370fcb0c.10774517@news.planet.net>
Source record: 122438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Apr 1999 19:24:04 GMT, "Matdee" <V2165545@hotmail.com> wrote:
>A statement, reproduced below, was recently proclaimed:
>> ...Hollingsworth noted that Whiten had "apparently ignored notices from
>> Official Observers," and pointed out that the volunteer OOs work "in
>> accordance with an agreement between the Commission and the ARRL and in
>> accordance with our statutory authority."

>Really.
>If this is so, then please consider the following points:
>
>1) I would request for Corporate Officers of the ARRL to show us
>proof, meaning court-admissible legal documents, that the ARRL
>can legally act on the behalf of the FCC Division of the C.I.B. - in the
>capacity of a chartered Non-Governmental Organization. (N.G.O.)

Are you disputing that the ARRL, or for that matter...anyone,
can record and/or collect evidence which the FCC (or any other
enforcement agency) can use in a court case?

>2) I would like to see documents which indicate that the ARRL, acting in the
>capacity of a NGO (proxy?) to the FCC/CIB, is legally permitted to take an active
>part in Federal Law Enforcement activities.

First you have to identify just what active part you are
concerned about. Clearly anyone can take, record and
provide evidence of wrongdoings which are then used
by appropriate law enforcement officials to take action
against the law breakers. Many video tapes by
non police have been used to convict people of
crimes. How is acting as an OO any different?

>3) I would like to know where in the Federal Register, or Code of Federal
>Regulations, it has been published that the ARRL is now a division of the
>enforcement arm of the CIB. (I believe this must be published in the Federal
>Register(?) Is this akin to "deputizing" a private corporation (ARRL) to undertake
>law enforcement activities (FCC/CIB), much like in the capacity of bail collection
>agents?)

Private individuals can notify others of apparent
wrong doing at any time. That isn't against any law, nor
is any special permission needed. I can warn a
neighbor that their constantly barking dog is a
violation of the law. I can videotape the dog barking
on many different occasions and offer that as as
testimony in a court case without ever needing police

approval or any prior approval from anyone.

>4) Finally, I would like to know if the ARRL had to re-file it's 401-C Tax
>Exempt Status with the Internal Revenue Service, and a retainment
>for the same was legally tendered. This in lieu of their Organization now
>apparently acting in the capacity of a NGO. Are private, not-for-profit NGO's
>permitted by federal law to retain IRS Tax-Exempt status?

I couldn't care less. Ask a tax accountant.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

PS - Go Riley Hollingsworth!!!

995. Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <370FCD1C.12034ED8@flash.net>
Source record: 122439
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I can never figure out why people use fake names out here instead of
just letting people know who they are and how they can be contacted.
Why are they h"hiding"? Man, am I going to get flamed on this one!

"Steven E. Leong" wrote:

> Fraud Alert Notice:
>
> Steve Seaver
> aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
> 821 Spokane #7
> Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432
>
> possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
> previous phone number: (775)348-1157
>
> eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
> e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com
>
> Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on
> eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never
> received the radio. No return contact via e-
> mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate
> wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno
> Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).

996. F.S.PRO-46scanner,full800Mhz,CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <370FCF7E.82E7D143@direct.ca>
Source record: 122440

Hi there
Selling a Pro-46 scanner,
100 CHANNEL,HAND HELD,
Full 800 Mhz including cellular,
Looks like new.
Am reducing the price as I have to many radios around here...
$135 US plus shipping
e-mail if interested
Thanks
Russ

997. discriminator output for rs2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dgregor <dgregor@means.net>
Message-ID: <370FCDE3.3D97FCD6@means.net>
Source record: 122441

I need the discriminator output for a rs2005. and does this output need to be coupled
via a cap. ?

thanks in advance

dave

998. Poor Little Malex...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech185@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eoll7$b6p$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122442

Nobody loves him, everybody hates him. For very good reasons...apparently
Hey, Mikey, you poster child of the Ineffectual Twits of the World, are you
still mail bombing? Or, did losing that account teach you a lesson.
Dont' you just hate free mail accounts???? No ISP to whine and lie to.
No server mailbox to stuff up with a mail bomb. Nobody to lie about. Just a
series of very bad guesses about who might be here.

Got another paste up pseudo book in prep? Are you really the same guy as
that bald greaseball at Datamation??? I don't think so. I think you have
the same name are just riding on his coat tails, you pathetic piece of trash.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

999. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7eoja2rot1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122443
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well Don, I for one am in the Federal Witness Protection Program and also
have crazy relatives !! ;-}

-ERIC

Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net> wrote in message
news:370FCD1C.12034ED8@flash.net...
> I can never figure out why people use fake names out here instead of
> just letting people know who they are and how they can be contacted.
> Why are they h"hiding"? Man, am I going to get flamed on this one!
>
> "Steven E. Leong" wrote:
>
> > Fraud Alert Notice:
> >
> > Steve Seaver
> > aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
> > 821 Spokane #7
> > Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432
> >
> > possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
> > previous phone number: (775)348-1157
> >
> > eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
> > e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com
> >
> > Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on
> > eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never
> > received the radio. No return contact via e-
> > mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate
> > wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno
> > Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).
>

1000. Re: reset a BC895XLT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <370FB5A9.8F7AB8BF@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 122444

Press 2,9, and MANUAL while turning on the power.

Hall wrote:

> How to you do this? I'm wanting to erase ALL my memories, pref.'s,
> etc...Mine gets the "error" now when it trunks. Is it possible to clear ALL
> memory on this scanner?
> Thanks in advance!
>
> hall

1001. FS pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <370FDD39.595C6AD9@lor.net>
Source record: 122445

I have a pro-43 in very good condition.Original Box, Manual, and
charger.
Cell mod.
$235.00 + shipping.
Email if Interested. I'm in Ohio
Thanks, Cal

1002. Re: CW ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lyndx <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <7eooke$215$1@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 122446

You can use Spectrogram. It will give a visual of the CW so you can look at it and decode it with a chart of the code if you don't know it. Don't remember site where this program is posted, but you can probably locate it thru a search engine.

Good luck.

1003. Incident Notification Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <370FE574.EF1510ED@intsvc.com>
Source record: 122447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The below website lists incident notification groups throughout the US
that use alphanumeric pagers and radio's to notify members of incidents.
Most groups are fire related, but some are involved in Police & EMS
also. If you know of a group not listed here, email me and I will post
thier information.

http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ# 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
ICP509 - Dispatcher & National Coordinator

Listing of incident notification groups throughout the country
http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless
otherwise stated.***

1004. HELP! Mods for Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29700-370FE6A4-42@newsd-152.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122448

Ok, so what AM I getting in the 850 to 860 range anyway? It sure sounds like cell to me. Or are these what they call 'images'?Anyway, If anyone has any info on mods for full coverage on this unit, I would appreciate it. Vic.

1005. Re: OO's, ARRL, FCC and NGO's (was: Richard Whiten - Meets his match)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <370FEF92.7F3E@mail.firstinter.net>
Source record: 122449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 10 Apr 1999 19:24:04 GMT, "Matdee" <V2165545@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >A statement, reproduced below, was recently proclaimed:
> >> ...Hollingsworth noted that Whiten had "apparently ignored notices from
> >> Official Observers," and pointed out that the volunteer OOs work "in
> >> accordance with an agreement between the Commission and the ARRL and in
> >> accordance with our statutory authority."
> >
> >Really.
> >If this is so, then please consider the following points:
> >>
> >1) I would request for Corporate Officers of the ARRL to show us
> >proof, meaning court-admissible legal documents, that the ARRL
> >can legally act on the behalf of the FCC Division of the C.I.B. - in the
> >capacity of a chartered Non-Governmental Organization. (N.G.O.)
>
> Are you disputing that the ARRL, or for that matter...anyone,
> can record and/or collect evidence which the FCC (or any other
> enforcement agency) can use in a court case?
>
> >2) I would like to see documents which indicate that the ARRL, acting in the
> >capacity of a NGO (proxy?) to the FCC/CIB, is legally permitted to take an active
> >part in Federal Law Enforcement activities.
>
> First you have to identify just what active part you are
> concerned about. Clearly anyone can take, record and
> provide evidence of wrongdoings which are then used
> by appropriate law enforcement officials to take action
> against the law breakers. Many video tapes by
> non police have been used to convict people of
> crimes. How is acting as an OO any different?
>
> >3) I would like to know where in the Federal Register, or Code of Federal
> >Regulations, it has been published that the ARRL is now a division of the
> >enforcement arm of the CIB. (I believe this must be published in the Federal
> >Register(?) Is this akin to "deputizing" a private corporation (ARRL) to undertake
> >law enforcement activities (FCC/CIB), much like in the capacity of bail collection
> >agents?)
>
> Private individuals can notify others of apparent
> wrong doing at any time. That isn't against any law, nor
> is any special permission needed. I can warn a

> neighbor that their constantly barking dog is a
> violation of the law. I can videotape the dog barking
> on many different occasions and offer that as as
> testimony in a court case without ever needing police
> approval or any prior approval from anyone.
>
> >4) Finally, I would like to know if the ARRL had to re-file it's 401-C Tax
> >Exempt Status with the Internal Revenue Service, and a retainment
> >for the same was legally tendered. This in lieu of their Organization now
> >apparently acting in the capacity of a NGO. Are private, not-for-profit NGO's
> >permitted by federal law to retain IRS Tax-Exempt status?
>
> I couldn't care less. Ask a tax accountant.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
> PS - Go Riley Hollingsworth!!!

And further, there is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the
FCC and the ARRL detailing the agreement to utilize information provided
by OO's in prosecuting cases. Check with your local Division Director,
or Rod Stafford for details.

Rick T.

1006. Re: mods and cell unlock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pscott1@uakron.edu
Message-ID: <pscott1-1004992057050001@130.101.2.216>
Source record: 122450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow. An English major.

In article <7dqnv0$6p3@newsops.execpc.com>, george@execpc.com wrote:

> I sit here reading e-mails from users in this group asking how to do a
> mod for a scanner and all they get is a bunch of its illegal to do ok
> users let try this its not illegal to listen to the cell band but you
> cant tell everyone what u hear...all scanners produced after 1994
> cant br moded for the cell band anyway...so buy a used one that has the
> mod done or one that receives the band outright....
> remember you cant do it but big brother can....they are even listing to
> you calls from home.....this gov. has to much power.......good luck
> scanner listners.....we still have a little time left before this
> hobby will be dead.............replys welcome

1007. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabo <dbowman@li.net>
Message-ID: <370FF40F.4C21@li.net>
Source record: 122451

Hi
PS , Here is a highlight of the article as it appeard on the newsday website , just do a search on CHEEK and you get this highlight and an option to purchase this complete story .
I suppose you can send email to the author @newsday.com , and ask him if he has printed a retraction.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police

Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site
The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers, federal age..
Author:
Hugo Kugiya; Size: 3K; 04-02-1999; Page Number: A31; Section: News

n7zzt@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Tell you what guy,
> better try better than this.
> Tried the link below and it appears as though no such story exists there.
> Called the customer service support center and gave them the specifics.
> I was informed by "the Library" (thelibrary@newsday.com) that the story was
> pulled due to the incident never happeneing. It seems that they got wind of an
> attempt to defame Mr. Cheek's name and had the story pulled before any real
> damage could be done (most especially to their reputations as a news source).
>
> Better luck next time (either that or post a URL where the story can be found,
> like at the fcc news page [http"//www.fcc.gov] et al).
>
> DE N7ZZT
> Huron Kansas
>
> In article <37058f4a.2013388@news.fcc.net>,
> >
> > In a nutshell, the Secret Service has arrested Bill and Cindy Cheek of
> > San Diego on a New York warrant charging they sold illegal devices on
> > the Internet to intercept police communications.
> >
> > The complete article can be found here:
> >
> http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129120922x0y9290&OIDS=1Q001D027&Form=RL&bp=no
> >

> > --
> > Roger Pettengill
> >
> > anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
> > To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1008. Re: OO's, ARRL, FCC and NGO's (was: Richard Whiten - Meets his match)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <philkane>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.f9zuil2.pminews@nntp1.ba.best.com>
Source record: 122452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Apr 1999 19:24:04 GMT, Matdee wrote:

>A statement, reproduced below, was recently proclaimed:
>
>> ...Hollingsworth noted that Whiten had "apparently ignored notices from
>> Official Observers," and pointed out that the volunteer OOs work "in
>> accordance with an agreement between the Commission and the ARRL and in
>> accordance with our statutory authority."
>
>Really.
>
>If this is so, then please consider the following points:
>
>1) I would request for Corporate Officers of the ARRL to show us
>proof, meaning court-admissible legal documents, that the ARRL
>can legally act on the behalf of the FCC Division of the C.I.B. - in the
>capacity of a chartered Non-Governmental Organization. (N.G.O.)

See Section 4(f)(4)(b) of the Communications Act and the
Memoranda of Understanding entered into by the FCC and the
ARRL.

>
>2) I would like to see documents which indicate that the ARRL, acting in the
>capacity of a NGO (proxy?) to the FCC/CIB, is legally permitted to take an active
>part in Federal Law Enforcement activities.

See Section 4(f)(4)(b) of the Communications Act and the
Memoranda of Understanding entered into by the FCC and the
ARRL.

>3) I would like to know where in the Federal Register, or Code of Federal
>Regulations, it has been published that the ARRL is now a division of the
>enforcement arm of the CIB. (I believe this must be published in the Federal
>Register(?) Is this akin to "deputizing" a private corporation (ARRL) to undertake
>law enforcement activities (FCC/CIB), much like in the capacity of bail collection
>agents?)

See Section 4(f)(4)(b) of the Communications Act and the
Memoranda of Understanding entered into by the FCC and the
ARRL.

>4) Finally, I would like to know if the ARRL had to re-file it's 401-C Tax
>Exempt Status with the Internal Revenue Service, and a retainment
>for the same was legally tendered. This in lieu of their Organization now
>apparently acting in the capacity of a NGO. Are private, not-for-profit NGO's

>permitted by federal law to retain IRS Tax-Exempt status?
>

See a competent tax accountant.

>Show me.

Excerpted from the Communications Act:

Section 4 [47 USC Section 154]. Provisions Relating to the Commission

(f)(4)(B)(i) The Commission, for purposes of monitoring violations of any provision of this Act (and of any regulation prescribed by the Commission under this Act) relating to the amateur radio service, may --

(I) recruit and train any individual licensed by the Commission to operate an amateur station; and

(II) accept and employ the voluntary and uncompensated services of such individual.

(ii) The Commission, for purposes of recruiting and training individuals under clause (i) and for purposes of screening, annotating, and summarizing violation reports referred under clause (i), may accept and employ the voluntary and uncompensated services of any amateur station operator organization.

(iii) The functions of individuals recruited and trained under this subparagraph shall be limited to --

(I) the detection of improper amateur radio transmissions;

(II) the conveyance to Commission personnel of information which is essential to the enforcement of this Act (or regulations prescribed by the Commission under this Act) relating to the amateur radio service; and

(III) issuing advisory notices, under the general direction of the Commission, to persons who apparently have violated any provision of this Act (or regulations prescribed by the Commission under this Act) relating to the amateur radio service.

Nothing in this clause shall be construed to grant individuals recruited and trained under this subparagraph any authority to issue sanctions to violators or to take any enforcement action other than any action which the Commission may prescribe by rule.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
ARRL Pacific Division Asst. Director
(Volunteer Counsel Coordinator)

1009. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <370FEED4.1198AFF3@qsl.net>
Source record: 122453

Dave wrote:
>
> I attend a Public High School on the north side of Chicago, and I'm
> seeking frequencies used by the police and security officers in the
> school. If someone in the area that has these frequencies, please post
> them. (Mather High School)
>
> Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about
> getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am
> not totally sure.
>
> Thanks for the help
>
> -Dave
Dave
If you go to the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau web site at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
you can search for any frequency in the FCC's database!
--
To reply remove the extra @

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<at>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

1010. FS: RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: TailGator <Tailgatr@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3710041B.7CEB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122454

Going out of biz sale!

Radio Shack Trunk Tracker Scanner Model Pro-2050 - new, all accessories, manual, etc - $220

Icom T-21AT 2-meter handitalkie w/Icom speaker mic, charger, batt like new - $150

Azden PCS-7000H 2-meter mobile like new w/manual - $250

Cushcraft AR-70B vertical antenna 4 mos old - $75

Psion palmtop computer - NEVER USED new cost $500 - $150

Packard Bell 486 PC CD ROM, mini-tower multimedia w 15" monitor 8 MB RAM like new - $300

Also some firearms and a new dobro as well...email for details.

John
KF4ANC

TailGator ~~~~~~~~,~~~~~~~~,~~^^-----

"Lache pas la patate, mes amis"

'KF4ANC'

1011. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <jevais@reler.net>
Message-ID: <371006DA.9A7E28DD@reler.net>
Source record: 122455

Well, the security will beat be over the head with the radio if I ask them to see it, but I do have a frequency counter laying around.. But I really have to be close to capture it. I could not find it on that site that was posted prior to your message.
I'll figure it out sooner or later I guess.

Thanks..

> Ask someone to show you one of their radios. Then you could figure out
> what band they are on. More than likely the VHF or UHF Business band.

1012. GP68 VHF 20ch 5watt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: EG <penstar@vsta.com>
Message-ID: <371006ae@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 122456

For sale: Motorola GP68 20ch 5watt VHF front-panel programmable transceiver 136-174 w/high capacity battery, overnight charger, antenna, and removeable belt clip in box with documentation and the all so important label/FCC ID: AZ489FT3789 Model No: P63VNB20H2AA Like new, 9+

Asking $200.00 US plus $8.50 shipping via UPS within continental USA. UPS tracking number emailed to buyer.
or
Asking $150.00 US plus programming software for either MTSX, Syntor 9000, or Saber, and I'll pay the shipping! USA only.

Email for details.

Edward Gonzales
penstar@vsta.com

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
----------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

1013. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37100602.7BD8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122457

I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. 14channels, 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link.

http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

1014. Re: Why can't I sell? AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Q <q1@netcom.ca>
Message-ID: <HGTP2.21323$134.223962@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 122458

Is the radio still forsale? If so contact me via email......
S.O. wrote in message <3BOO2.1036$MR1.5050799@news.magma.ca>...
I have been trying to sell this new scanner (10 days use) for weeks now and nobody will get serious enough to buy it. Why is that? the price seems fair. It is cheaper than a new one by quite a bit? Please some feedback would be appreciated!

here is the add (as if you haven't seen it already!)

AOR 8200 guaranteed same as new. Unblocked cellular band. Purchased Feb 26, used for 10 days and put back in box. Warranty is transferable. Not interested in shipping to US. I am in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Save big dollars... Must sell this week...

Want to get $490 US or $740 Canadian + shipping. Do your homework and let me know if this isn't a good deal.

you get, AOR 8200 unblocked scanner
4 ni-cad rechargeable batteries
12v car lighter adapter
AOR Premium Leatherette carry case with clear keypad cover ($16-20 US)
wrist-carry strap
Warranty certificate transferred to your name, manuals and original box / packaging.

1015. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <37100865.D2C6CE1C@fnal.gov>
Source record: 122459

boo@myremarq.com wrote:

> I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless
> phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?
>
> The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors
> and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she had
> heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she
> has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I
> live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's
> really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to
> the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out the
> farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her
> house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick
> up my phone, but what do I know.
>
> The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can
> reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

Baby monitors use 5 of the 10 cordless channels (or 25 if you have one of those). So you could avoid using one of the channels that are the same. Of course now you're going to ask which are they. I don't have that info handy, although I'm pretty sure the first and last (1 and 10) channels are not used by baby monitors.

Rick

1016. Re: HELP! Mods for Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rofcal Trudischioux <usstom@opexial.net>
Message-ID: <7eoebq$j8$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122460

Just go to : http://home1.inet.tele.dk/ehh/mods/index.htm and click on Radio Shack on the top. Then click on Pro34 on the side and you'll have all kinds of mods, including the cell restore one. There's also one there to get rid of your birdies.

Vic Martinez wrote in message <29700-370FE6A4-42@newsd-152.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Ok, so what AM I getting in the 850 to 860 range anyway? It sure sounds like cell to me. Or are these what they call 'images'?Anyway, If anyone has any info on mods for full coverage on this unit, I would appreciate it. Vic.

1017. Monitoring Trunked Talkgroups Type II Special Status Bits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7ep51l$8qn@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How do I program a BC235/895 with a single talkgroup ID to receive all of the Type II Special Status Bits????

I've seen a formula posted on some chat room but it's beyond me. Need a simpler answer, if there is one!

Thanks.

Randall Buxton

1018. Re: Wanted: Fire Frequencies - Disney/Orlando area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <371031C5.3B45@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122462

Check out the following URL:

http://www.oitc.com/Disney/WDW/WDW_Freqs.html

chadbourne505@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone out there have any fire frequencies in the Disneyworld/Reedy Creek
> or Orlando areas? I went on vacation without looking them up first and can't
> seem to find anything. Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> --
> James Chadbourne
> c9@RowleyFire.org
> http://www.RowleyFire.org
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1019. Pro-43 FS on eBay! No Reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <10041999222916255 5%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 122463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pro-43 FS on eBay! No Reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89625460

1020. Re: South Florida Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3710339D.59A9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the following URL:

http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/ohsflpag.html

JL wrote:
>
> I am moving to Fla (Boynton Beach) - Need Freq for West Palm (PD,
> Fire, Airport), Ft Lauderdale and evrything inbetween.
>
> Thanks
>
> Jay

1021. Re: [Fwd: FDNY live broadcasts?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37103288.234E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122465

Franï¿½ois F. wrote:
>
> ----------
>
> Subject: FDNY live broadcasts?
> Date: Sat, 10 Apr 1999 12:48:26 -0400
> From: "Franï¿½ois Ferland" <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
>
> Hi!
> Could anyone tell me if FDNY, LAFD and LA County Fire broadcasts can be
> heard live in Real Audio or such.
> If not yet, are there any projects going on? Thanks.
> Franï¿½ois, Montrï¿½al (Quï¿½bec).

The following Police Departments are now avaiable on the APB Online
site at http://www.apbonline.com/

Boston PD
Chicago PD
Dallas PD
Los Angeles PD
New York City PD
Philadelphia PD
San Diego PD
San Francisco PD
Seattle PD
Washingon DC PD

The following Police Departments are now available on the
Policescanner.com site at http://www.policescanner.com/police.stm

Dallas PD
Dallas Sheriff
Los Angeles PD
Miami PD
New York City PD
Plano Texas PD

The following Police Departments are now available on the
StormBringer's site at http://206.156.18.79/~strmbrgr/real.html

Arlington County VA PD
Alexandria City VA PD
Fairfax County VA PD
Washington DC OD

US Park PD (Washingon DC area)

The following Fire and Rescue Departments are now availble on the Police World Scanner site at http://www.policeworld.net/user/bobcann/live.html

Indianapolis FD
Monroe County New York FD
Montgomery County Maryland FD
Providence FD
Rochester FD

1022. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7em180maf1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

<whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net> wrote in message
news:370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net...
> Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over
>
DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)

Here ti si

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

regards
Eavsdropper

1023. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7em1gkmhe1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122525

<boo@myremarq.com> wrote in message
news:OHuP2.17001$LX.6373528@WReNphoon3...
> I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless
> phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?
>
> The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors
> and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she
had
> heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she
> has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I
> live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's
> really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to
> the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out
the
> farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her
> house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick
> up my phone, but what do I know.
>
> The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can
> reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.
>

I have picked up babay monitors from nearly a mile away from source before
now !!, you probably have either a cheap phone or she has a cheap baby
monitor and they are getting crosstalk somehow, they are not on the same
frequencys but very close none the less.

eavsdropper

1024. Re: Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7em4jjmtl0@208.207.68.4>
Source record: 122526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 09 Apr 1999 00:34:12 GMT, "Skoiboy" <zerotwist@home.com>
wrote:

>I was wondering if anyone could tell me the reputation of Scanner World
>(http://www.albany.net/~scanner/). Since I might order something from
>them...
>

My dealings with them, for many years now, have always been good.

1025. Re: FS pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990409224255.03107.00001790@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 122527

Would you be interested in trading for a Pro-90 trunktracker also in good shap?

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

1026. Why is Cheeks in the Pokey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <370ED63F.F6FFDE8@home.com>
Source record: 122528

What is the real story. What did Cheeck do? I do not believe that it is illegal to sell a MDT decoder.

1027. For Sale Bearcat 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: catdoc <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <370EDD0D.2857@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 122529

I have two bearcat 9000xlt scanners for sale..One of them is brand new
in the box and the second one is two months old.. and has only been
played about two hours..
There super fast scanners that have band coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz.
The new one is $300.00..
The used one is mint condition that has all the paper work and box with
it..$275.00

1028. NEEDED **** BC 55XLT MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Oakeson <oakie@tamu.edu>
Message-ID: <370EE1AE.EDB69B82@tamu.edu>
Source record: 122530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please anyone that knows some mods for the bearcat 55xlt please let me know.

Ken

1029. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <7emor4$5ti$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122531

This is false. Doing this would actually *make* it illegal. In addition to not divulging what you hear,
you are not supposed to use it for personal gain. You are describing clear personal gain and
would most certainly remove all doubt about it being illegal.

Bill - n2asa

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
>Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
>the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
>once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
>reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
>side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
>etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.
>
>It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.
>
>Mike

1030. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <7empoe$9rf$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Read the whole thing...

William Donnelly wrote in message <370962B3.3E052585@banet.net>...
>OK DONT KNOW WHAT PEOPLE ARE LOOKING AT the new york article has
keith
>knipschild being areested
>
>
>
>Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
>on Web site
>
>By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
>
> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
>unemployed electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally
>intercepted communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals
>installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.
> Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in
>his home at 29 Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting

.
.
.
>Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New
>York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to
>intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
>undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to
>New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.

1031. Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech@grabmail.com
Message-ID: <7emtn2$1h7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122533

still a butthead. Mailbomber, harasser and failed author. Gosh, he has it all including the dreaded Penis Breath.

My local library system, at my suggestion, weeded his badly done, pasted up book on "Computer Security" last year. Not missed at all.

Take a hike, Mike.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1032. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dawson Wright <dawsonw@cari.net>
Message-ID: <370EEFE9.10B6@cari.net>
Source record: 122534
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steven E. Leong wrote:
>
> Fraud Alert Notice:
>
> Steve Seaver
> aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
> 821 Spokane #7
> Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432
>
> possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
> previous phone number: (775)348-1157
>
> eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
> e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com
>
> Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on
> eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never
> received the radio. No return contact via e-
> mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate
> wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno
> Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).
Wow, Ebay is such a friendly place to shop!!!

1033. Re: BC895XLT Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <OAq6Soxg#GA.314@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 122535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Norman" <nam62@email.msn.com> asked:
> Can someone explain the computer interface for me?

The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1" centers).
The mating socket is standard (TechAmerica's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
plus 15 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
work just as well. The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones)
carrying data in (to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and
pin 3 is ground.

The cable connections are:

BC895 DB9 DB25
1 <= to radio 3 2
2 from radio => 2 3
3 <= ground => 5 7
(TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
(RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *

* If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control signals.

The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the radio
should be terminated with a C/R only.

BC895 Computer Control Commands

q AC Clear Clears all memory and resets the radio.
- ARo Record status of LINE for AUX OUT
q CC CTCSS reports CTCSS Decode status
- CDo CTCSS CTCSS search function. Reports 'xx' when found
- CS CTCSS reports CTCSS tone number; CSxx set CTCSS tone
- CTo CTCSS CTCSS function
q DLo Delay status of delay function
(reports DLN in trunk mode, but controls OK)
- DSo Data status of data skip function
+ IC le List selects scan list 'l', entry 'e'
responds with group ID 'nnnnnn'; KEY00 to resume scan
+# IDo I.D. reports trunk ID at start/end
format: ID s nnnnnn s=S/E n=I.D.
+ IL ID Lock reports locked out trunk IDs; ILR nnnnnn lock out ID
(list ends w/ "END") ILD nnnnnn cancel L/O
+ IS Bank reports current scan lists; ISa..a select lists 'a'
q KEYnn Keyboard simulates keypress; see listing
- LL LimLow report lower search limit; LLfffffffff set limit.

- LOo LockOut current channel lockout status
- LU LimUp report upper search limit; LUfffffffff set limit.
- MA Channel report status of current channel. Non-trunk stopped only
MAccc set channel number and report. Halts scanning.
report: Cccc Fffffffff To Do Lo Ao Ro Nnn
To trunked Do delay Lo lock out
Ao (attenuate) Ro record Nnn CTCSS number
q MD: Status reports MDnn - see listing
q MUo Mute mute audio MU report mode; MU? report condition
MUN Mute; MUF Force un-mute; MUA normal squelch
- PC b Priority reports priority channel for bank b.
PC bnnn set priority channel for bank (force manual)
q PMccc Channel reports status of memory channel ccc. (see MAccc)
PMccc ffffffff program channel with freq. (halt scan)
- PRo Priority status of PRIORITY scan function.
QUo Squelch reports +/- as squelch opens/closes
RIo Priority reports PST/PRT at start/end of priority reception
- RF Freq. current non-memory frequency RFfffffffff sets frequency
RM Mode reports modulation mode, AM, NFM, (WFM)
- SB Banks reports scan bank letter(s) SBb..b selects banks 'b'
SG S-meter reports S-meter reading and freq (Snnn Fffffffff)
S-meter range S000-S255 (S014-S026 ?)
q SQ Squelch reports '-' when closed, '+' when open
- SS Skip reports "search skip" freqs SSfffffffff set skip freq
(list ends w/ "END")
- ST Step reports step size ST00nnn00 set step size
000=default, 050=5 kHz, 125=12.5 kHz, 250=25 kHz
WI (unknown): reports Wnnn Fffffffff

|| |--- o =blank, report status; =N, turn on; =O, turn off
||-------- # runs continuously when enabled
|--------- q either mode, + TT mode, - normal mode,

KEYnnh Keyboard "h" =blank, press key; =H, hold key

00 SCAN
01 MANUAL
02 n numeric key (n = 0-9)
03 "." (decimal on numeric keypad)
04 "E" (Enter on numeric keypad)
05h PRIORITY (same as PR)
06h L/O (same as LO)
07h HOLD (up arrow)
08h LIMIT (down arrow)
09h SRC
10 WX
11 DATA
12 DELAY (same as DL)
13h TRUNK
14 DIM
15 STEP (see also ST)

16 AUX (Line out)
17 ALRT/RMT (deactivate only)
18 SEND
19 AUTO.
20h CTCSS (same as CT)
21 b bank key (b = A-J) (after a KEY13 or KEY13H)
22 FREQ/CHAN
23 LOCK

Mode Status reported by MD command (as MDnn)

00 Scanning
01 Manual
02 Limit search
03 Limit search Hold
04 Weather scan
05 Weather scan Hold
06 Program trunking frequency (select trunk ?)
07 Trunk Search ("ID search")
08 Trunk Hold ("ID search Hold")
09 Trunk Scan ("ID scan")
10 Trunk Manual ("ID manual")
11 ID Lockout review
12 search for trunk control channel
13 Program CTCSS
14 Weather Alert
15 "Frequency Send"
16 Auto Store
17 Non-Memory frequency (after RF command - "Rotary tuned")

1034. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Patterson <communications@csi.com>
Message-ID: <u8GTUvxg#GA.341@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 122536

Many baby monitors and cordless phone do share the same frequencies, as well as a number of cheap 49 MHz walkie talkies (kid's toy types). If she goes out walking on the street with her receiver she could easily pick you up.

Lesson: If you use an analog cordless phone, don't say anything private. The most secure are the spread spectrum type of cordless. (But not the new 2.4 GHz ones from Panasonic, they are spread spectrum one way only, analog the other way)

charles

Charles Patterson
communications@csi.com
Global Communications
Tarrytown, NY

boo@myremarq.com wrote in message ...
>I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless
>phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?
>
>The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors
>and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she
had
>heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she
>has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I
>live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's
>really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to
>the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out the
>farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her
>house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick
>up my phone, but what do I know.
>
>The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can
>reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.
>
>
>
>
>**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
(tm) ****

1035. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370ef9d4.10757089@news>
Source record: 122537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Thu, 8 Apr 1999 21:04:19 -0700, "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com> wrote:

>How about checking the local asylum and checking to see if Mackey is still
>in custody?

I'll be checking myself in there voluntarily (after reading all this
flaming, and reading all the nutcases who think that all MDT traffic
is encrypted, I can't HELP but go crazy!) so I'll ask at the front
desk.

1036. Re: Wrong Name?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <370F0F4E.7064ECDC@home.com>
Source record: 122538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7em168
> > And you keep up the vendetta on your side. What's the difference? For all
> >the shrill accusations, you've never really proven your claims; you just
> >repeat them endlessly. It's just unending mudslinging, and you like it. We
> >know about the forgeries by the Cheek-A-Likes.
> >
> >By the way, since Cheek hasn't been in jail on this device sale charge,
> >according to one of our participants, does that leave open the possibility
> >that "Zombie Wolf" is The Master Himself? The nom de plume has the same
> ring
> >of omnipotence as "Cosic Badass", another moniker Cheek hung on himself.
> >
> >No doubt Cheek will find the martyrdom he seeks in this matter. He always
> was
> >the victim in his own mind.
>
> Jesus, people... you're missing the whole damn point. Who really gives two
> turds if ZW is Bill or if Cheek is reading these posts or what? My concern
> is the fact that the USSS says data slicers are "illegal devices" simply
> because one was used to violate the law, yet they do not hold the same
> standard towards alcohol, guns, knives, cars, etc. which are far more often
> used illegally, are much more readily available, require much less knowledge
> to use, and are far more dangerous in the hands of a criminal!
>
> Doesn't anyone here give a damn that the friggin government is just making
> the rules up as they go along? Did any of you stop this pissing contest long
> enough to read JTWard's post this morning? He's not one to stretch the
> truth, folks, John Ward tells it like it is. You all read the quote - Bill
> was arrested for selling a perfectly legal product because of some jackass
> bureaucrats' ignorant assuptions. Yet all you fools care about this "did
> not, did too" horsecrap.
>
> WAKE UP PEOPLE!!! WHILE YOU'RE BICKERING LIKE SCHOOLGIRLS YOUR GOVERNMENT
> OFFICIALS ARE VOTING TO LEGISLATE US RIGHT OUT OF OUR HOBBY!!!!
>
> Let's bury the hatchet for now, huh? We've got enough problems on our hands
> as it is (or maybe you guys forgot about HR-514???? Coming soon to a senator
> near you!)
>
> -Phil

Hey Phil, You can lead them to water but you can't make them drink
it...:-)
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

1037. Re: Scanner World Reputation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410051413.22299.00000989@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 122539

$canner world is much higher priced than most places.
Try www.strongsignals.net he has al the dealers on there and you can shop
around for the best price.
Anyone will beat $canner worls prices.

/

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S."
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1038. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: n7zzt@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7en8ce$a2o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122540

Tell you what guy,
better try better than this.
Tried the link below and it appears as though no such story exists there.
Called the customer service support center and gave them the specifics.
I was informed by "the Library" (thelibrary@newsday.com) that the story was
pulled due to the incident never happeneing. It seems that they got wind of an
attempt to defame Mr. Cheek's name and had the story pulled before any real
damage could be done (most especially to their reputations as a news source).

Better luck next time (either that or post a URL where the story can be found,
like at the fcc news page [http"//www.fcc.gov] et al).

DE N7ZZT
Huron Kansas

In article <37058f4a.2013388@news.fcc.net>,
>
> In a nutshell, the Secret Service has arrested Bill and Cindy Cheek of
> San Diego on a New York warrant charging they sold illegal devices on
> the Internet to intercept police communications.
>
> The complete article can be found here:
>
http://library.newsday.com/getdoc.cgi?id=129120922x0y9290&OIDS=1Q001D027&Form=RL&bp=no
>
> --
> Roger Pettengill
>
> anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
> To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1039. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <eIC+$XB7QyD3Ewf6@virgin.net>
Source record: 122541
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In article <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>, SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!!
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
>SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
>WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
>YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE
Well over here Jerry it is now considered to be a criminal offense to
use a cell phone whilst driving. This is the opinion of our motorway
traffic police. There have been a number of fatal accidents caused by
drivers using cell phones. In the light of this then it probably follows
that the use of CB radios and similar may also have a contribution to
road accidents. I have seen even heavy truck drivers using cell phones
whilst they make turns at road junctions and that is really scary
because they are supposed to be professional drivers.
--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!

Please note that correct e-mail address is:-

trojan.horse@virgin.net

1040. Re: Traffic 'copter freq's for Boston?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kennyblues@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410071231.20681.00001006@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122542

Try searching between 450.000 to 451.000 mhz and also 455.000 to 456.000. Alot of air to air comms are on 123.050. You can also monitor the smart routs operation center on 472.4625.
Hope this helps

1041. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: FCarr@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <370f1b63.4871847@news.virgin.net>
Source record: 122543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 09 Apr 1999 20:26:04 GMT, wan@visti.0.net (Wiljami)
wrote:

<snip>

>Why do you think that what you are hearing has anything to do with
>Nato or Kosovo. I suppose you listening to your nearist airport and
>normal local air traffic .

Read my previous post and look for the clues, use your brain
before you start flaming people.

>If you are hearing some foreign language, can you distinguish Serbian
>from Russian. Then again I bet you are just hearing St Petersburg
>air control wx reports or possibly some Italian maritime telephone
>service.

It is all in English, sometimes coded and sometimes not coded.
You only need to be able to understand English to know what they
mean when they say 'Abort Mission and return to.....' or when
they ask for a phone patch through to various ground bases.
The callsign 'Mainsail' is used a lot and I have also heard the
callsigns 'Skybird' 'Skyking' 'Mac' and 'Spar'.

>Regards from real life,

Thanks, but I get the feeling that your 'real life' is different
to everyone elses. I don't know why you have the attitude problem
but have you thought about listening to the frequencies so you
can hear the transmissions yourself?.

Why can't you just admit that you have made a mistake and that
you made yourself look very foolish because of your big mouth and
attitude problem?

--
Frank Carr. Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, England.
The Work And Play Website - http://www.bigfoot.com/~fcarr/
Mirror Site at - http://WorkAndPlay.future.easyspace.com/

1042. Re: Cheek bust - substantiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7enej4$s96$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:370E1ADA.F658F1E8@usa.net...
> Yea, & aboput a dozen people confirmed the WEEK BEFORE you!
>
> So what ? Just what is your point here ? that I didn`t "jump to it" just because you opened your mouth ? It isn`t like I am getting paid for this, you know. Also I would like to point out that I am not in the world to do things at your beck and call, or on YOUR time table (That will be the day).

> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> >You better look again, Mackalooney. At least two other people posted
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1043. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410084828.28894.00001548@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122545

>From: beech@grabmail.com

And you Frank Wells are still a skulking lowlife coward trying to hide behind various names to harrass me after I had your butt kicked off your ISP. Looks like I'll take of this one too (as well as let the folks at NCSA know that you're still up to your usual antics).

1044. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410085206.28894.00001551@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122546

Willie the Ass whined:

> Yep, he does His Master's bidding without hesitation.

As a Mackeyschitzoid, you should know all about it..

> That will assure his staying, but I understand your sentiment.

One can say the same about you.

1045. WTB AUSTIN FERRET ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410090838.09959.00000858@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 122547

LOOKING FOR A NEW OR MINT FERRET,,,,,,,,,,,,KEVIN

1046. FS MINT RS 2045 w/ctcss and discrim. tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410091053.09959.00000859@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 122548

200 ch radio shack 2045 with ctcss tone board and discrim. tap
installed.....all
manuals and box.............mint condition $150 i ship........kevin

1047. Re: weather warnings by zip code to digital phones - free trial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <370F4D6C.6DA52CBA@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 122549

It sends weather info to your E-Mail? So, you have to be logged on
anyway.
I think I would rather go to places like:

http://www.earthwatch.com/STORMWATCH/SWUS2D.html

and get it for free, as well as several local an national radar image
sites,
once again, for free.

Thanks anyway,
Bruce

crashtest@earthling.net wrote:
>
> http://weatherwindow.com/digital.html
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1048. Motorola Saber systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7enjak$4g0$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122550
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio for $650. I am specificaly looking for kenwood mobile and portable that is field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no interest in Motorola products.

Units offered must be in great shape and complete with mobile mike and power cord for mobile and battery, charger antenna ect for the portable. Both units must be at least 16 channels and capable of DPL as well as pl scan ect . Will consider some cb and scanner equiptment as long as dollar values equal my asking price, however I am primarilly looking for uhf equiptment or equiptment plus some cash. I will make slight adjustments for your shipping costs of multiple items

Email offers to lfray1@yahoo.com please dont post to board.
thanks for your time
Leroy

1049. Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matdee <mattde@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <01be8356$960fda40$LocalHost@85j82d949b943f>
Source record: 122551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Took delivery 2 wks ago of a new FT-100. I am waiting to take
my ham test next week and thought I would start off with
the new mini-radio by Yaesu. Power it up from a regulated
lab grade power supply for rcv only. The display went DEAD
and actually smelled something burning just after 1/2 hour of
use. Shipped it back to the dealer for a warranty claim - Hamradio
outlet Nv. I now hear since then many other people have had their
FT-100 crap out on them. STAY AWAY from the FT-100.
It's the radio equivalant of a Yugo or Vega. A real radio LEMON!

Matt D. N.Mex

1050. Frequencies in France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: François Ferland <francfer@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <370F64DD.A1DCC077@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 122552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everyone!
I'm planning to visit France in June and I just can't find any info on
Police, Fire and SAMU frequencies there.
Is listening illegal in that country? Can I bring along my scanner? I
own an AOR-8000 and I appreciate it for shortwave too when I travel.
Thanks.
François. (Montréal, Canada)

Bonjour à tous.
Je visiterai la France en juin et je suis incapable de trouver quelque
référence sur les fréquences Police, Pompiers et SAMU.
L'écoute est-elle illégale en France? Pourrais-je apporter mon
récepteur AOR-8000. J'aime bien l'utiliser pour les ondes-courtes
lorsque je voyage. Merci.
François (Montréal, Canada)

1051. FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@comtronics.net
Message-ID: <370f645b.28970264@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 122553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.

$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.

Location: North San Diego, CA

Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247

1052. Re: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: S <kf4nnqNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7enpg8$9l1@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Let's help the Cheeks raise cash by seriously considering purchasing some of his gear. I just don't need a tower at this time or I'd jump on this offer.

Bill Cheek wrote in message <370f645b.28970264@news2.cts.com>...
>FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
>similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
>bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
>stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
>1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.
>
>$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.
>
>Location: North San Diego, CA
>
>Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247
>

1053. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: S <kf4nnqNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7enpkg$9o1@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Conveniently outside the grip of the FCC...

Ian wrote in message <7em180maf1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>
><whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net> wrote in message
>news:370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net...
>> Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over
>>
>DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)
>
>Here ti si
>
>http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>regards
>Eavsdropper
>
>

1054. CW ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7enpri$45e$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122556

Is there a program available that works like CWGET, but will interpret a CW ID from a WAV file instead of through the sound card? I use RecAll to record the radio, but when I want to identify a CW ID, I have to copy the ID from the WAV file to a cassette, and then play the cassette into the mike. I'm trying to get around this.

1055. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: quatsein@island.net
Message-ID: <370f759c.391617339@news.cr.island.net>
Source record: 122557
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And what government agency do you work for.

Steve Carlisle VE7AHL

On Fri, 9 Apr 1999 21:13:13 -0700, seleong@gte.net (Steven E. Leong)
wrote:

>Fraud Alert Notice:
>
>Steve Seaver
>aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
>821 Spokane #7
>Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432
>
>possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
>previous phone number: (775)348-1157
>
>eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
>e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com
>
>Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on
>eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never
>received the radio. No return contact via e-
>mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate
>wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno
>Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).
>

1056. Re: Frequencies in France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Francois Michaud-Herbst <frmichaud@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <370F6D9F.3A0E@compuserve.com>
Source record: 122558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Franï¿½ois Ferland wrote:
>
> Hi everyone!
> I'm planning to visit France in June and I just can't find any info on
> Police, Fire and SAMU frequencies there.
> Is listening illegal in that country? Can I bring along my scanner? I
> own an AOR-8000 and I appreciate it for shortwave too when I travel.
> Thanks.
> Franï¿½ois. (Montrï¿½al, Canada)
>
> Bonjour ï¿½ tous.
> Je visiterai la France en juin et je suis incapable de trouver quelque
> rï¿½fï¿½rence sur les frï¿½quences Police, Pompiers et SAMU.
> L'ï¿½coute est-elle illï¿½gale en France? Pourrais-je apporter mon
> rï¿½cepteur AOR-8000. J'aime bien l'utiliser pour les ondes-courtes
> lorsque je voyage. Merci.
> Franï¿½ois (Montrï¿½al, Canada)

Depuis 2 ou 3 ans les Scanners sont interdits en France faites attention
de ne pas vous faire prendre par la police
Francois

1057. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370f75f7.4497242@news>
Source record: 122559
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 07:30:01 +0100, Dawson Wright <dawsonw@cari.net>
wrote:

>Wow, Ebay is such a friendly place to shop!!!

Yeah, and people are lulled into a false sense of security because
everone has to "register," yet eBay never checks the registration
information. I'm surprised we haven't heard more reports of fraud...

1058. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heli Man <heli.manREMOVETHIS@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7ensta$b3h$1@nclient3-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 122560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

I to have received transmissions on these HF frequencies that are
defenitally related to Kosovo, in particular a number of days ago I was
lisitning to aircraft being returned to airfield LTAC and LIPA. These
airfields are Ankara and Aviano.

It's a shame that we have a half life moron [Wiljami] attempting to converse
/ contribute to a very interesting post / discussion.

Sean

Wiljami wrote in message <370e621d.25936298@news.suomi.net>...
>On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 22:49:18 GMT, FCarr@bigfoot.com (Frank Carr)
>wrote:
>
>
>>I monitor these two frequencies quite a lot and have heard many
>>transmissions relating to the Kosovo conflict. Many of the pilots
>>are requesting phone patches to various ground bases, some are
>>aircrafts carrying troops and/or civilians, and some are
>>requesting destination orders, and others requesting WX
>>conditions for various landing strips.
>>
>>--
>>Frank Carr. Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, England.
>>The Work And Play Website - http://www.bigfoot.com/~fcarr/
>>Mirror Site at - http://WorkAndPlay.future.easyspace.com/
>
>
>
>Why do you think that what you are hearing has anything to do with
>Nato or Kosovo. I suppose you listening to your nearist airport and
>normal local air traffic .
>
>If you are hearing some foreign language, can you distinguish Serbian
>from Russian. Then again I bet you are just hearing St Petersburg
>air control wx reports or possibly some Italian maritime telephone
>service.
>
>Regards from real life,
>
>W

1059. Re: Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <370F82F3.BCA6B2F@netdoor.com>
Source record: 122561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What was the voltage and did you have a fuse in line?
Butch KF5DE
Matdee wrote:

> Took delivery 2 wks ago of a new FT-100. I am waiting to take
> my ham test next week and thought I would start off with
> the new mini-radio by Yaesu. Power it up from a regulated
> lab grade power supply for rcv only. The display went DEAD
> and actually smelled something burning just after 1/2 hour of
> use. Shipped it back to the dealer for a warranty claim - Hamradio
> outlet Nv. I now hear since then many other people have had their
> FT-100 crap out on them. STAY AWAY from the FT-100.
> It's the radio equivalant of a Yugo or Vega. A real radio LEMON!
>
> Matt D. N.Mex

1060. Scanners in France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "François F." <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <370F8676.39911916@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 122562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hi everybody!
I'm planning a trip to France in June and I'd like to know if I may bring my AR-8000 along. Could anyone help me with French Fire, Police and SAMU (EMS) freqs? They're almost impossible to find. Thanks.
Frnak, Montréal (Canada).

Bonjour à tous!
Je visiterai la France en Juin et j'aimerais savoir si je peux y apporter mon récepteur AR-8000. Aussi, où puis-je me procurer les fréquences de la Police, des pompiers et des SAMU. Elles sont impossibles à trouver. Merci.
François (Montréal, Canada)é

1061. [Fwd: FDNY live broadcasts?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "François F." <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <370F855A.36FCDAAC@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 122563

Hi!
Could anyone tell me if FDNY, LAFD and LA County Fire broadcasts can be heard live in Real Audio or such.
If not yet, are there any projects going on? Thanks.
François, Montréal (Québec).

1062. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <370f8aa9.93938495@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 14:53:43 GMT, bcheek@comtronics.net (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
>similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
>bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
>stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
>1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.
>
>$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.
>
>Location: North San Diego, CA
>
>Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247

What is that to pay for your legal troubles?

Geez!

-Chuck
Chuck's 2 way Radio Service and Computer Sales
Http://www.chucks2way.com
Phone: 1-313-389-2171

1063. Re: kern county, bakersfield, calif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <370F9045.C9E49331@netxn.com>
Source record: 122565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No, I live in Bakersfield and went to school here ...

Mike

tcrpe wrote:
>
> Are you a Bullard HS grad?
>
> TR
>
> Michael Derryberry wrote in message <370DA34D.668FE367@netxn.com>...
> >Correct freqs for Bakersfield Police Department are ...
> >
> >Ch 01 155.310 DPL 165 Main Dispatch
> >Ch 02 154.800 DPL 265 Car-to-Car
> >Ch 03 155.190 PL 103.5 Administrative
> >Ch 04 154.740 DPL 465 Detectives
> >Ch 05 155.550 DPL 565 Detectives
> >Ch 06 154.920 No PL CLEMARS
> >Ch 07 155.475 No PL NLEMARS
> >Ch 08 155.430 DPL 023 Vice Detail
> >Ch 09 155.640 DPL 025 Vice Detail
> >
> >Channels 4,8 & 9 are normally digitally encrypted.
> >
> >Hope this helps ... E-mail if you need further for Bakersfield or Kern
> >County ...
> >
> >
> >Mike Derryberry
> >
> >
> >
> >tcrpe wrote:
> >>
> >> Bakersfield PD
> >> 155.31
> >> 155.19
> >> 155.28
> >>
> >> TR
> >>
> >> Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <370AD6C0.C6F@worldnet.att.net>...
> >> >Gilbert Barraza wrote:

> >> >>
> >> >> need info on scanner freqs for kern county, bakersfield,calif send
> info
> >> >> to
> >> >> gbjr69331@webtv.net thank-you group!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> gilbert barraza jr
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Check out the following URL:
> >> >
> >> > http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

1064. Re: Why is Cheeks in the Pokey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <370F9245.4FB0C89E@banet.net>
Source record: 122566

Glenn wrote:

> Posting that the decoder you sell works on MDT and Pagers may cause you some
> headaches though. That is what Bill did and I suspect that has quite a bit
> to do with Bill and Cindy's problems/arrest. This hobby is becoming a
> dangerous place for those who flaunt what they are doing with their
> scanners. Those on the commercial have to be even more careful what they say
> to the public. Bills web page put him on that thin ice with the feds. He
> should have learned from Groves problems of past.
>
> Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote in message
> news:370ED63F.F6FFDE8@home.com...
> > What is the real story. What did Cheeck do? I do not believe that it is
> > illegal to sell a MDT decoder.

i must start my post with this disclaimer: this is pure conjecture. as i read this post i got the thought that the USSS got involved in this case (busting Knipschild on long island) because they were aware of this issue (monitoring MDT's, data slicers. bill cheek and others who supply to the hobby) and wanted a test case to go after to the supply side to control the part of the hobby that they feel is in need of control (posting your local PD MDT info to the web.) i wonder if the cellfone thing with newt gingrinch had anything to do with stoking the fires of the Service's interest?

i freely admit that i am no legal scholar, hey i'm barley literate by some standards :)
i may go the the hearing. dose anyone know how i can find out when the hearing/trial of the cheeks is? i am pretty sure it will be in the brooklyn fed. courthouse.
i am really getting to not like zach carter (U.S. Attorney S. Dist. of NY) first he tried to put the company i work for out of biz., now he's goin after my hobby. this guys pissin me off..... wait i hear carters troopers kicking in the door downstai.....bye

1065. Western Washington State frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric <aneura@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AFMP2.380$lq5.37212@dfiatx1-snr1>
Source record: 122567

If anyone has freqs. for the Everett, Snohomish county, North King County area, it would be great if you could send them my way. Thanks, Eric

1066. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7eo4bi$h1v$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122568
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for the update!! Some of us out here actually appreciate being
informed of potential "scammers" !! Someone needs to turn the "lights on" on
these rodents!!!!
Not doing anything about it, only "Enables" them to continue there activity.
So, what I'm saying is don't stick your head in the sand and be an enabler
to these criminals!

-Enough said.

Steven E. Leong <seleong@gte.net> wrote in message
news:7emi2o$f73$1@news-2.news.gte.net...
> Fraud Alert Notice:
>
> Steve Seaver
> aka: Jack & Steve's Used Gear
> 821 Spokane #7
> Reno (Sparks), Nevada 89512 or 89432
>
> possible aka or partner: Jack William Taylor
> previous phone number: (775)348-1157
>
> eBay ID: kenwood21 (now inactive)
> e-mail: kenwood404@beer.com
>
> Individual posted used Bendix King radio for sale on
> eBay. Buyer paid for radio via personal check and never
> received the radio. No return contact via e-
> mail. Individual is being investigated for interstate
> wire fraud and other charges. (Agencies involved: Reno
> Police Department, USPS Fraud Unit).
>

1067. reset a BC895XLT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hall <hallREMOVE@ymr.org>
Message-ID: <7eo6es$qb8$1@camel18.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122569

How to you do this? I'm wanting to erase ALL my memories, pref.'s,
etc...Mine gets the "error" now when it trunks. Is it possible to clear ALL
memory on this scanner?
Thanks in advance!

hall

1068. RG-147

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <ke4iop@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <370F9F35.AE8472E1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122570

Can someone please tell me where I can find RG-174 cable?

Thanks

-George

1069. Re: Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: soderman@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <370f5cc8.1230585@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122571

Any idea where I can get a manual of copy of the manual for the 210?

On Wed, 07 Apr 1999 18:08:23 GMT, levys@earthlink.net (Larry Levy)
wrote:

>
>Hi,
>
>My old BC 210-XL has 18 channels. It still works great!
>
>I have it here in my office and I still think the audio surpasses my
>Pro-2006!
>
>On Tue, 6 Apr 1999 22:06:57 -0500, <munsch@ibm.net> wrote:
>
>>Well, it is about 20 years old, has 10 channels, (maybe the XL has 20) and
>>covers 30-50, 146-174 and 450-512. Those are from memory, but I think it
>>skipped 144-146, but may have gone down to 440 on UHF. The sensitivity was
>>as good as anything Bearcat made, image rejection a little iffy in metro
>>areas, but it is about 25 year old technology. The search function is
>>useful occasionally, too. If it has a little pod on the back, that is the
>>keep-alive battery for the memory.
>
>

1070. Re: Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: soderman@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <370f5cf6.1276114@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122572

Got the pod on the back, and I believe its got 18 memories. Still
trying to figure out some of the search/scan features. Maybe I'm
having trouble because it's not working correctly. That's why I'm
looking for a manual.

On Tue, 6 Apr 1999 22:06:57 -0500, <munsch@ibm.net> wrote:

>Well, it is about 20 years old, has 10 channels, (maybe the XL has 20) and
>covers 30-50, 146-174 and 450-512. Those are from memory, but I think it
>skipped 144-146, but may have gone down to 440 on UHF. The sensitivity was
>as good as anything Bearcat made, image rejection a little iffy in metro
>areas, but it is about 25 year old technology. The search function is
>useful occasionally, too. If it has a little pod on the back, that is the
>keep-alive battery for the memory.
>
>--
>Crazy George
>
>

1071. OO's, ARRL, FCC and NGO's (was: Richard Whiten - Meets his match)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matdee <V2165545@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be8387$7755fc20$LocalHost@85j82d949b943f>
Source record: 122573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A statement, reproduced below, was recently proclaimed:

> ...Hollingsworth noted that Whiten had "apparently ignored notices from
> Official Observers," and pointed out that the volunteer OOs work "in
> accordance with an agreement between the Commission and the ARRL and in
> accordance with our statutory authority."

Really.

If this is so, then please consider the following points:

1) I would request for Corporate Officers of the ARRL to show us
proof, meaning court-admissible legal documents, that the ARRL
can legally act on the behalf of the FCC Division of the C.I.B. - in the
capacity of a chartered Non-Governmental Organization. (N.G.O.)

2) I would like to see documents which indicate that the ARRL, acting in the
capacity of a NGO (proxy?) to the FCC/CIB, is legally permitted to take an active
part in Federal Law Enforcement activities.

3) I would like to know where in the Federal Register, or Code of Federal
Regulations, it has been published that the ARRL is now a division of the
enforcement arm of the CIB. (I believe this must be published in the Federal
Register(?) Is this akin to "deputizing" a private corporation (ARRL) to undertake
law enforcement activities (FCC/CIB), much like in the capacity of bail collection
agents?)

4) Finally, I would like to know if the ARRL had to re-file it's 401-C Tax
Exempt Status with the Internal Revenue Service, and a retainment
for the same was legally tendered. This in lieu of their Organization now
apparently acting in the capacity of a NGO. Are private, not-for-profit NGO's
permitted by federal law to retain IRS Tax-Exempt status?

Show me.

1072. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7eo97f$es0$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

S <kf4nnqNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7enpkg$9o1@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com...
> Conveniently outside the grip of the FCC...
>
That is part of the general idea :-)

eavsdropper> >
> >
>
>

1073. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech185@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eoc6b$48i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122575

No, you tiny brained fudge packer, I'm not him. I go much further back in the list of people who know just what kind of a creep you are. My ISP told you to go fly a kite because the guy who got your whining mail was a participant in the forums where you spew your drivel. Do you save your mail archives? I'm sure there can't be more that 2 or 3 hundred people out there who feel this way about you.

In article <19990410084828.28894.00001548@ng-cg1.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >From: beech@grabmail.com
>
> And you Frank Wells are still a skulking lowlife coward trying to hide behind
> various names to harrass me after I had your butt kicked off your ISP. Looks
> like I'll take of this one too (as well as let the folks at NCSA know that
> you're still up to your usual antics).
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1074. Re: Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matdee <V2165545@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be8388$4092b560$bfa12399@85j82d949b943f>
Source record: 122576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com> wrote in article <370F82F3.BCA6B2F@netdoor.com>...
> What was the voltage and did you have a fuse in line?
> Butch KF5DE

Hello Butch.

13.500 VDC (Tektronix laboratory grade PS.)

2 Amp - 32v fuse inline.

No fuse-blow.

1075. Yes Malex is Still...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech185@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eockm$4jj$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122577

a whining little dingleberry who has pissed of hundreds of people in many different forums. Michael, you tiny brained fudge packer, why don't you find somewhere else to vent your considerable spleen? I hear that Milosevic needs a press relations officer. Right down your alley, say what?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1076. Pro-2010 & Pro-33

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Thompson <trevortnospam@netcom.ca>
Message-ID: <9bOP2.21190$134.222316@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 122578

Has Anyone heard of these scanners......Why can't I find any info on them?
Any info would be great.

1077. Re: ar2500 - tr4500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubba_john@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eofbh$6p4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122579

In article <19990325102307.25640.00000048@ng153.aol.com>,
woolybulle@aol.com (WoolyBulle) wrote:
> If you got a useable schematics would you part with a copy?A company in UK was
> going to send one but they stopped at the last minute.
> Hi Wooly, I don't have a manual but maybe you can help me.
I have a ar8000 handheld and like it alot. I am looking to buy a base scanner,
preferably an AOR model. How much is a ar2500 worth on the used market.
Regards, John Masters

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1078. New FCC Scanner Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Control Agent <you@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <370FB057.ECD93FB9@nospam.com>
Source record: 122580

A must read at:

http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/#scanners

1079. BEARCAT SCANNERS FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioWalt <RADIOWALT@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <7eogh8$7l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122581

HELLO THERE... I HAVE (3) LIKE NEW... BEARCAT SCANNERS
IT WORKS FINE AND HAS THE ANTENNA ... ASKING
50.00 EACH PLUS 10.00 SHIPPING..

PLEASE DOWNLOAD THE PHOTOS AND LET ME KNOW.
THANKS, WALT 818 883 8282

http://members.aol.com:/RADIOWALT/BEAR.JPG

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1080. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: armadillo <armadillo@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <mTOP2.1679$Et5.36544@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 122582
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I believe that unless you've got a "hands-free" cell phone it should be a crime to use it whilst driving.
I drive a dump truck for a living and when my phone rings I pull over. There is just no way it can be safe to hold a cell phone to your ear and still drive, besides if you are using one hand to talk on the phone how can you say you have 100% control of your vehicle.
Dillo

1081. Cellular Laws in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <370fbed9.650878@news>
Source record: 122583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 20:36:12 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>
>S <kf4nnqNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:7enpkg$9o1@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com...
>> Conveniently outside the grip of the FCC...
>>
>That is part of the general idea :-)

Indeed, it is quite convenient. Hopefully there is also no applicable
extradition treaty. ;-)

Just out of curiosity, what are the laws re: scanning and cellular
monitoring in the UK? Don't you Brits have some kind of tax on radio
and TV receivers, and the government drives around in vans equipped
with directional antenna to track down unlicensed receivers? I know
the European versions of scanners are not blocked from receiving
cellular, but is it actually legal to listen? Is it legal to decode
the forward and reverse control channels? Part of the reason I ask is
that there is a web site in the UK somewhere that sells cellular
eavesdropping equipment, but stipulates it for export only (they will
not sell to people within the UK).

1082. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <370f9c09.1348717@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 122584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 00:07:40 +0100, "Ian" <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk
(please remove "nospam" from e-mail address to reply)> wrote:

>
><whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net> wrote in message
>news:370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net...
>> Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over
>>
>DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)

I think just about everybody does this!

--
Regards, Jim. | I am suspicious about those who love
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | humanity. I've noticed they become
dynastic%cwcom.net | terribly worried about Hottentots but
nordland%aol.com | don't actually like people they know.
marula%zdnetmail.com | - Brian Walden.
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1083. High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <jevais@reler.net>
Message-ID: <370FC02C.CC550421@reler.net>
Source record: 122585

I attend a Public High School on the north side of Chicago, and I'm seeking frequencies used by the police and security officers in the school. If someone in the area that has these frequencies, please post them. (Mather High School)

Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am not totally sure.

Thanks for the help

-Dave

1084. FS: Old tube radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411161022.24283.00001486@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 122337

Please stop by my website to see many old radios & misc. for sale. Brand name like RCA, Philco, Silvertone, Crosley, Admiral, Truetone, Temple, Granco, Emerson, Echophone, Stewart-Warner, Mitchell and more....

Website address: http://members.aol.com/Brandddd/HOMEPAGE.html

If you need a schematic please e-mail with information such as; model number and manufacturer name of radio.

E-mail: Brandddd@aol.com

1085. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Snap <Snap@Home.co>
Message-ID: <7er0c8erk1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122338
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
>And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
>Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
>Please see the URL below for details:
>http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>
>Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
>Plz advise. Thank U.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

They could be very dangerous to your health if you were
slack enough to mistake one for a suppository

------------Snap.

I go out and come in again

(Julian Cope)

1086. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: on3 <caston3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7er18u$2f0$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122339
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

You receive more em radiation from the sun standing outside for 5 minutes than you do from your cellphone in a month. Cell phones are only like 4 watt transmitters. And the radiation drops fast as you move away from the conductor (like by a factor of r^2), so you tell me. Have people dropped dead from exposure to the sun? Don't waste your cash. If you really want to throw your money away you can buy me a dual processor alpha board and a couple of the AMD-K7's when they come out.

on3

P.S.: There ain't no difference between digital and analog RF radiation. It's the carrier wave that everyone is bitching about not the modulation.

1087. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411163741.20671.00001518@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122340

>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on. I have had
>two handheld scanners in my day. One was a BC200 and the other was a
>Pro-62. Neither one of them could pick up my next-door neighbor with the
>standard rubber antenna. A baby monitor can't be much different.

I have a 900mhz DSS phone and I can get into my car and drive the grocery store before I lose contact with the home base. This was not the case with my older analog cordless.

What I noticed with my analog cordless, which was 49 mhz on one leg o fthe conversation and 1.8mhz on the other, was that I could not maintain contact with my base if I walked down to my girlfriend's apartment. But I could hear her phone from a receiver in my house. Same model phone.

Low propagation efficiency on the lower freq prevented me from receiving my own base, but higher propagation efficiency at the higher freq permitted me to monitor her phone. (It was a great intercom. She'd pick up, say "Hey,!" and my receiver would break squelch and open the channel. But that's another story)

The point is that the handset is transmitting on the higher frequency, and your neighbor is receiving. Even when you can't receive your own base to maintain a call. Many analog cordless phones utilized 49mhz channels, as to many of the baby monitors out there. So it is conceivable that your neighbor can hear your call, and you cannot reach your base.

And yes, the range of a 49mhz transmitter, depending on terrain, and coupling efficiency to the person holding it, (part of the transmit antenna) can easily reach a mile. AND can capture a baby monitor receiver over the local transmitter.

Especially if the parents using the baby monitor have figured out that the interference they were experiencing was your cordless. Then they just sit and wait.

1088. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry Andrews <vampire@wcc.net>
Message-ID: <37110b0c.0@news.wcc.net>
Source record: 122341
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

In my opinion the only time cell phones are hazardous to your health is when some idiot is trying to talk on one while driving a car.

Gerry

acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

1089. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <q88Q2.845$aW5.617@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122342
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

In article <37110b0c.0@news.wcc.net>, vampire@wcc.net says...
>
>In my opinion the only time cell phones are hazardous to your health is when
>some idiot is trying to talk on one while driving a car.
>
And thank God that some states are talking about making them illegal to use
while driving.
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Kosovo Frequencies & MORE!

--

____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
____

1090. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Justin Headley <jheadley@REMOVETHIScentralva.net>
Message-ID: <371111DE.4120B00B@REMOVETHIScentralva.net>
Source record: 122343
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

i once heard about this guy that got a cell phone surgically put in his hand, and everybody thought he was crazy when he talked into his hand. Then he got a fax machine put in his butt. Everybody thought he was crazy when he stuck a roll of toilet paper up his butt and said "hold on, I'm getting a fax".

i think i need to double my medication...

acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
> And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
> Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
> Please see the URL below for details:
> http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>
> Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
> Plz advise. Thank U.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1091. Re: List of Kosovo freq's !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Henk Teunissen <hj.teunissen@tip.nl>
Message-ID: <7er2o6$g9p$1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 122344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

> D u n c a n (> D u n c a n) <> D u n c a n (> D u n c a n)> heeft geschreven
in bericht <37176230.20626147@news.demon.co.uk>...
:On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 11:41:16 GMT, FCarr@bigfoot.com (Frank Carr)
:wrote:
:
:
:>Why can't you just admit that you have made a mistake and that
:>you made yourself look very foolish because of your big mouth and
:>attitude problem?
:
:I'm with Frank on dis one :-)
:
:> D u n c a n
:
:
I'm also on Frank his side,

Guys can't we give the dude a big applause, for trying (with success)to let
all of us see how incredible short sided en stupid he is.

Henk..

1092. *** Winflex Source Code *****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <3EC72A5888602469.E1FA1A93F83C89A0.2484AB74F0FF48F3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a copy of the Winflex v1.0 Source Code handy?

Could they PLEASE email me a copy.....?

Many thanks in advance!
-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
The SE US Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
lblant1@airmail.net

1093. Re: Wrong Name?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7er40m$d8u$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7em52bqr1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7em168
> > And you keep up the vendetta on your side. What's the difference? For
all
> >the shrill accusations, you've never really proven your claims; you just
> >repeat them endlessly.

Pathetic, "Wili_arse". This is what powers your entire life, isn`t it ? GET
A LIFE. I told you , I am not Cheek, and yet you just keep on harping on it,
don`t you ? And you have never been able to prove your accusations either,
have you , idiot ? You know why that is ? Because you cannot prove what
isn`t true ! The only thing that pisses you off is that Cheek might be back
in the news group and have something to say, Looney - tune (Ooops, I mean
"Willie"). You even thought you were going to harrass me through e-mail,
until I plonked your stupid buttski right out of my inbox. And what was the
address you SENT that e-mail to, numbskull ? It sure wasn`t Cheek`s address
! Cheek doesn`t enjoy pointing out your complete stupidity the way I do. It
just makes my day, that you think I am Bill, and that it pisses you off.
Rant on, Looney - echo........

1094. anyone have mod for pro 64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbiser@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9980-37110DC5-26@newsd-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122347

Does anyone have mod spec. for pro 64 scanner?

Thanks,
Tim

1095. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <371115A7.348C6D75@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 122348
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Don't know. But, there was a special on TV news the other night that
cops who use radar guns and hold them "in their lap" experience an
"above-normal" amound of prostate cancer.

Cell Phones are a HUGE market, so you aren't going to get the truth
from any study group. Too much money involved.

Interesting thread. Any medical PhD's out there?

Bruce

acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
> And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
> Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
> Please see the URL below for details:
> http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>
> Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
> Plz advise. Thank U.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1096. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <371116A7.3D567DC9@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 122349
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Several folks have almost hit me while THEY were talking on cell
phones.
I must admit I have been guilty 2 or 3 times, but only almost-messed-up
once which taught me a lesson and I don't do it anymore.

Laws aren't going to stop stupidity.

Bruce

Av8tor wrote:
>
> In article <37110b0c.0@news.wcc.net>, vampire@wcc.net says...
> >
> >In my opinion the only time cell phones are hazardous to your health is when
> >some idiot is trying to talk on one while driving a car.
> >
> And thank God that some states are talking about making them illegal to use
> while driving.
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Kosovo Frequencies & MORE!
>
> --
> ____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
> ____

1097. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7er4i3$db3$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122350

Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote in message
news:4C95B80D098FD384.50A200B087F15414.513C876E739287F3@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
Oh really?
And why does it bother you that Bill will become a hero?

It bothers them because the Cheek / Mackey thing is all they have for a life
! It consumes their every sweaty, trembling, monomaniacal waking hour. They
live for this lame crap. Any sign that Bill is actually WINNING incenses
them endlessly ! I love it ! I hope they tear their hair out with both
hands, and have a fit of apoplexy, because Bill is already a hero because of
this. As usual, he was out in the front, and took the heat !

Hey! Looney! You want fries with that ?

1098. Re: O.P.P. Listeners - HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vance LaSalle <lasalle@ican.net>
Message-ID: <01bddf5d$09da5ca0$265d9a8e@vance>
Source record: 122351

Program in 411.3125 and if the unit has there repeater on you will be able
to here both sides of the conversation..

Vance

lord_j@my-dejanews.com wrote in article
<7eifft$b0u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> In article <7e5ubs$51b$1@news.auaracom.net>,
> "H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> > Any tips on how to monitor without a CTCSS scanner?
> >

1099. Re: Cellular Laws in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <3710ea1b.1874308@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 122352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 21:17:00 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
wrote:

>Just out of curiosity, what are the laws re: scanning and cellular
>monitoring in the UK?

You're not allowed to listen to anything other
than Amateur Radio, CB and Entertainment radio.

Everyone does listen to what they want to, the
law is widely ignored.

>Don't you Brits have some kind of tax on radio
>and TV receivers, and the government drives around in vans equipped
>with directional antenna to track down unlicensed receivers?

That's right. Someone has to pay for the TV programmes.
It's worse in most other European countries. We only have
to pay a tax once per houshold, others have to pay the
tax on each TV set! Note that in France it is illegal
even to own a scanner.

> I know the European versions of scanners are not blocked
>from receiving cellular, but is it actually legal to listen?

Totally illegal. Many do although it would bore me silly.
Getting difficult apparently as analogue users move to
the higher frequency digital channels which are also
encrypted over the radio path.

>Is it legal to decode the forward and reverse control
>channels?

No....anything other than amateur, cb and broadcast...

>Part of the reason I ask is that there is a web site
>in the UK somewhere that sells cellular
>eavesdropping equipment, but stipulates it for export
>only (they will not sell to people within the UK).

Reminds me of PGP in the USA!

--
Regards, Jim. | I am suspicious about those who love
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | humanity. I've noticed they become
dynastic%cwcom.net | terribly worried about Hottentots but
nordland%aol.com | don't actually like people they know.

marula%zdnetmail.com | - Brian Walden.
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1100. WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7er57a$h6c$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122353

Looking for a 46" long extendable antenna to use with my handheld scanners. Similar to the old Grove ANT-8. Can't find the long full-length telescopic whips anymore.

Thanks.

1101. Re: *** Winflex Source Code *****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7er5nd$i8r$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Does anyone have a copy of the Winflex v1.0 Source Code handy?
>
> Could they PLEASE email me a copy.....?
>
> Many thanks in advance!
> -Lb
>

> I only have the latest MDTmonitor code at the mo I am scouting for winflex myself , i will post here as soon as i find it (if)

keep your eyes out and please do the same yourself if YOU find it

Evadropper
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1102. Re: WTB: MOTOROLA RADIOS : VISAR, SPECTRA, MT2000, HT1000, GM300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411182440.06276.00001636@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 122355

Hi
Know where there are 3 MTX-8000.

Interested?

James

1103. Re: WTB: MOTOROLA RADIOS : VISAR, SPECTRA, MT2000, HT1000, GM300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411182543.06276.00001638@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 122356

Hi
I know where there are 3 MTX-8000.

Interested?

James

1104. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <8F9Q2.5181$LM.10600@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 122357
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Probably not, but I heard cell phone scanning can be hazardous to your finances!!!

Bill

> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

1105. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <37112207.94292817@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 11 Apr 1999 01:06:09 GMT, you@some.com (Newbie) wrote:

>he he
>
>serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell his radio stuff to
>pay his attorney and court fees!!
>
>

If I'm not mistaken this stuff was for sale on Bill's web site before
the S.S. came knockin.

If Bill doesn't get out of these lame charges, it could mean we all
are in trouble as far as further restrictions on our hobby.

Also, If you knew anything about scanners you would know that Bill has
done more for this hobby than most of you "newbies" will ever be able
to match.

Unfortunatly Bill got caught having a battle of wits with a few
unarmed parties in these news groups and know everyone thinks he's a
bad guy.

Let's not turn this post into a flame war since that's all you people
that have nothing good to contribute to this "scanner" newsgroup know
how to do.

Remember the off topic posts and flame wars are supposed to be in
"alt.fan.john.mackey".

1106. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <3712250b.8760412@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122359

the database at http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/
should help you

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 16:18:36 -0500, Dave <jevais@reler.net> wrote:

>I attend a Public High School on the north side of Chicago, and I'm
>seeking frequencies used by the police and security officers in the
>school. If someone in the area that has these frequencies, please post
>them. (Mather High School)
>
> Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about
>getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am
>not totally sure.
>
>Thanks for the help
>
>
>-Dave
>

1107. Re: Monitoring Trunked Talkgroups Type II Special Status Bits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7er9ub$d08@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's the trick I'm referring to. Too difficult for me to figure. Now, if
I were able to put the formula into a Excel spreadsheet that would help.

Lindsay C. Blanton wrote in message
<5478CFF6C70AEA0E.CCAEC1ED072F49F6.8C2202927C2E15C7@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...
>See http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/motorola.htm
>
>I've got a link to the "Special Trick" listed there...
>
>-LB
>
>On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 20:41:54 -0700, "Randall Buxton"
><rbuxton@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>>How do I program a BC235/895 with a single talkgroup ID to receive all of
>>the Type II Special Status Bits????
>>
>>I've seen a formula posted on some chat room but it's beyond me. Need a
>>simpler answer, if there is one!
>>
>>Thanks.
>>
>>Randall Buxton
>

1108. F.S.PRO-46,full 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <37112ADC.3E25F294@direct.ca>
Source record: 122361

```
PRO-46 SCANNER,
100 channel,hand held scanner,
FULL 800 Mhz, including cellular,
MINT condition,
$135 US Postal Money order,
Thanks
Russ
```

1109. Commtel 216

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Landry <GlennLRN@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <37112EE9.1ED4F501@cwix.com>
Source record: 122362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm thinking about getting a Commtel 216. I know it's a cross between the Bearcat UBC3000XLT and PRO-26, but unsure if I should get it or not. I would like some input from current or past owners of the Commtel 216.

Thanks,

Glenn

1110. 800 mhz down converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: larry <larry@agt.net>
Message-ID: <01be847c$ed8e7520$bbe0b8a1@locxvbxm>
Source record: 122363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are these downconverters which let you hear 800-900mhz on a scanner which
has 400-500 capability
any good?
tks
lm

1111. Re: Bearcat 210XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: buddstv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411194426.15604.00006495@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122364

I might have one e-mail me directly.
Thanks
Bob

1112. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Enter your name here <steve-z@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7erbta$cgc@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122365
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

>besides if you are using one hand to talk on the phone how can you
>say you have 100% control of your vehicle.
>Dillo
>
>
>
if i have an automatic,then i have my shifting hand free.do what ever i
want with it.
how about no driving when your nails are wet and you lightly "palm" the
steering wheel?

1113. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37108419.AAC4C842@uswest.net>
Source record: 122366

Funny thing is,
he hasn't been posting under his real ident in over 6 months.
In fact, considering some of the phone calls I have made, there does not
appear to be anyone answering to the last name of cheek
under arrest or currently being held anywhere in the San Diego area (or in
surrounding communities).

In fact, a check with the local secret service office here in Phoenix
yeilded some interesting results. It seems that report was made regarding
Mr. Bill cheek and an investigative followup was done that turned up
nothing substantiating the report. This followup was performed some
4 weeks back. It seems that "someone" has it in for Bill, even to the
point of phoning in anonymous reports of illicit activities on his part.
Now, I wonder who it could be.l

Hawke

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Thu, 08 Apr 1999 18:09:57 -0700, proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Stupid question here,:
> >if the authorities made such a big deal, then why didn't the post the
> >gentlemens real name? Because of this one small point, I begin to wonder if this
> >isn't some kind of hoax perpatrated by a few to drag Bill's name even further
> >throguh the mud.
>
> If it's a hoax, why isn't Bill here posting about it?

1114. Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <371081FC.CC640430@uswest.net>
Source record: 122367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have?
Since when.
In the last 3 years, 4 months and 2 week, you have had nothing (thats right, NOTHING)
nice to say about bill cheeck or anything he has contributed.

In fact, your bad vibes on this subject started way back on the scannerradio echo on fidonet when you got botted after a particularly annoying spout of diatribe on your part because you were not voted to channel moderator like you wanted (I know, I was on the counil of moderators and was one of the ones that voted not to have you moderate that echo). In fact, it was because of similar problems with you over the course of several months that you were finally told to leave fidonet or change you ways.

John, there are entirely too many people with too many bad memories about you to ever entirely believe anything you have to say. Now get on the topic of scanners or leave.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> I've always been in support of Cheek doing good & right things. Sometimes,
> he& I had opposing opinions of precisely what that should be.
>
> TandKMoran wrote:
>
> > support Bill in his time of need John. I see where you think organizing a legal
> > defense fund is a good idea. I wasn't aware you were such a big fan of his.
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1115. Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lenore & Frank <ScruffnFluff@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <371138CD.52985CB4@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 122368

I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
Elyria Ohio.

1116. F/A: WinRadio 1500E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "skaatzX{remove X to reply}"@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37114613.47E1B642@ibm.net>
Source record: 122369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

6 mo. old. Excellent condition. Includes Digital Suite Software
Visit <A
HREF="http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89943427">http://cgi.ebay.com
/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89943427

Regards,
Steve Kaatz

1117. Re: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37115129@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122370

Diamond RH799 fits your description.
--
Crazy George

1118. Re: For sale: FCC Frequency Database CD-ROM, 1998

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <wm4t@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <37115113.3DC3@prodigy.net>
Source record: 122371
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.scanner,alt.radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Patricia Gibbons wrote:
>
> Note that this item sells brand new for only $25.00, direct
> from discmagic.com:
>
> <http://www.discmagic.com/electronics/mrscanner.htm>
>
> so don't get trapped into over-bidding on e-bay for it !!
>
> Trish
>
>

Same information is FREE at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Download the entire database also if you want. Covers everything
licensed by the fcc.

1119. Watson-889 Antenne

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Soy Bomb II <mm11720@navix.net>
Message-ID: <7erkub$9103@iac7.navix.net>
Source record: 122372

Can anyone tell me a place on the net or a mail order phone number where I can get a Watson-W889 attenne for my Icom R-2 (I already have the SMA to BNC adapter). Lentini had them but have been out of them for about 6 months and seem to have lost their distributor.

Thanks,
Soy

1120. Re: Bearcat BC 200 XLT Manual on-line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <jevais@reler.net>
Message-ID: <37115C95.27373333@reler.net>
Source record: 122373

I do think Uniden puts all of the manuals on their website in a PDF format. Goto their web page and check it out

Io wrote:

> Is the manual to this radio online? Thanks!

1121. University of Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <ic281h@moci.com>
Message-ID: <3711608D.F75DC18D@moci.com>
Source record: 122374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm going to be attending University of Iowa this coming school year,
and I was just wondering if anyone has any good frequencies in the
vicinity of U of Iowa campus, or have a web page that has some..

Thanks.

1122. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371158B1.F9F3C156@usa.net>
Source record: 122375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

HELLO ERIC OYEN!

proudhawk wrote:

> Funny thing is, he hasn't been posting under his real ident in over 6 months.
> In fact, considering some of the phone calls I have made, there does not
> appear to be anyone answering to the last name of cheek
> under arrest or currently being held anywhere in the San Diego area (or in
> surrounding communities).

Perhaps he was held at another location. Given the newspaper reported it, the New York SS
office confirmed it, Bill's absense for SEVERAL days from this NG,
& his SUDDEN change to NOT selling the mentioned articles; this give GREAT
credibility to support the occurance that it did indeed happen.

> In fact, a check with the local secret service office here in Phoenix
> yeilded some interesting results. It seems that report was made regarding
> Mr. Bill cheek and an investigative followup was done that turned up
> nothing substantiating the report. This followup was performed some
> 4 weeks back. It seems that "someone" has it in for Bill, even to the

The report never said the Phoenix office was involved. The report mentionedthe New York office of the Secret Service.

See Shiva's EXCELLENT point below!

> Shiva Nataraja wrote:
> > If it's a hoax, why isn't Bill here posting about it?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1123. hello ERIC OYEN!! was: Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37115C6D.9A5F74E1@usa.net>
Source record: 122376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

HELLO ERIC OYEN!!!!

proudhawk wrote:

> In the last 3 years, 4 months and 2 week, you have had nothing (thats right,
> NOTHING) nice to say about bill cheeck or anything he has contributed.

WRONG, I have been in support of Cheek. For example, I was one of the first& certainly
the most vocal about raising a legal defense fund to assist Cheek
in his current legal problems with federal authorities. As another example of
my support & fairness towards Cheek, when the reports came to the surface of his
arrest for molesting young boys by local authorities about a year ago, I was EXTREMELY
VOCAL in stating that without supporting proof the accusation should be considered
heresay & without merit.

> In fact, your bad vibes on this subject started way back on the scannerradio echo on
> fidonet when you got botted after a particularly annoying spout of diatribe on your

What is "botted"?

> part because you were not voted to channel moderator like you wanted (I know, I was
> on the counil of moderators and was one of the ones that voted not to have you
> moderate that echo).

You are WRONG on SEVERAL areas here!!!!!1. Fidonet does NOT have channels or channel
moderators.
2. There is no such thing as a council of moderators on fidonet.
3. I was NEVER part of ANY election for ANYTHING on the "scanradio" echo.

The ONLY thing that comes even close to what you have brought is that I did
run for moderator on another echo, & then resigned from the election before it
was finished. The other candidate won by default and then appointed me as his
assitant moderator. Elections were done by the echo members, there was NO
"council of moderators" involved as you state.

Simply put, you are a liar!

> In fact, it was because of similar problems with you over the
> course of several months that you were finally told to leave fidonet or change

I was never told to leave fidonet. It was Bill Cheek who was
told that. WHY you want to bring all this up is very puzzeling, almost
as if you want to put Cheek into some kind of shame!

> John, there are entirely too many people with too many bad memories about you to

hahahahahahaha! You saying THAT is the pot calling the kettle BLACK!!!!!
Why is uit that people are asking you for PROOF of your statements that the recent Cheek scandal is false?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1124. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7erpa2$l0c$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Come on, give Bill a break. He might have got into trouble, but he did it because he was passing on his products, and technical knowledge to the public. I got his books, and believe he was the one that started the now so popular idea of modified scanners, and modified CBs. I support Bill and his ideas, I just think he pissed off the wrong person, and is now getting the shaft.
As far as the antenna goes, being on the east coast, sorry no can do.
Good luck Bill.
Newbie wrote in message <7eosi1$97v$1@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>he he
>
>serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell his radio stuff to
>pay his attorney and court fees!!!
>
>
>
>
>In article <370f645b.28970264@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@comtronics.net says...
>>
>>FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
>>similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
>>bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
>>stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
>>1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.
>>
>>$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.
>>
>>Location: North San Diego, CA
>>
>>Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247
>
>

1125. BC-3000 XLT Replacement Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anlimc3 <anlimc3@net-connect.net>
Message-ID: <7erqra$2pl$1@news.net-connect.net>
Source record: 122378

Does anyone have any recommendations for replacement battery packs for the BC - 3000 XLT besides the standard pack that you get from Uniden?

How about modifying a factory pack with improved cells?

1126. San Bernardino County update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <923888576.490.44@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Web site has been update for system 6 and 7 major update.

1127. Re: needed: some good freqs for LA area - PD/FIRE/EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pabv <pabv@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7err7s$4mm$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122380

LAPD Rampart/North East. 506.9375
LAPD Hot shots 154.8300
LAFD Metro 859.4375
LACoFD Blue Dispatch 470.5375

Check out my webpage for lots more.

http://home.earthlink.net/~pabv/scan/scan

Pablo Valadez
http://www.pablo.valadez.com

Jayg359 wrote in message <19990406172118.08673.00003537@ng95.aol.com>...
>Hello All.
>Will be traveling to Los Angeles CA for a week shortly. Need some good
freqs
>to listen to. Any Freqs and PL tones would be greatly appreciated. I
would be
>glad to offer in return anything I can on Long Island Info.
>
>Thanks!
>Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
>Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>
>"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"
>

1128. Re: Watson-889 Antenne

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.117b23efc60dd78998971a@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122381

I have both the R2 and the Watson 889 and believe it or not, if you are not interested in stuff below 100mhz, the SMA Comet SMA503 actually will outperform it. I have tried the following antennas on it (1) RS 20-006, (2) Watson 889, (3) Stock FA-S270C (4) Russell DUCK15bnc, and of all of these the Comet SMA503 was the best performer giving it's significantly improved performance in the 450, 800 and 900mhz ranges. It cost around $35.00 and can be had from Scanners Unlimited or AES. It is even better than no adapter (loss) is necessary. It can be stuffed in your pocket with the antenna severely curled up with no adverse effects to either the antenna or performance. The only bummer is that it is totally deaf (has a null) below about 160mhz so if that part of the spectrum means a lot to you, I would recommend the 20-006 with a adapter. Good luck.

1129. Re: WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.117b243ba1d3faf698971b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122382

The first choice would be the 20-006 Radio Shack and second choice would be the Maxon mentioned on the Strongsignals.net web site reviews.

1130. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Constant <jerry_constant@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <5AfQ2.237$4i4.548503@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 122383
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Yes! Especially when your driving
acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7eqtir$1l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
>And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
>Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
>Please see the URL below for details:
>http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>
>Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
>Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>Plz advise. Thank U.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1131. All advantage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3710ccd5.94752203@news.zipworld.com.au>
Source record: 122384

Make $.50 per hour doing what you are doing right now!!!
No survey, no age limit, no restrictions.
When you refer people, you make money while they are surfing, too!!!
After each month, you will get a check written out to you.
It only takes a few seconds to sign up, and there is no survey.

Sign up for free at:
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AWN227

1132. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37104006@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 122386

Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov> writes: > boo@myremarq.com wrote:
>
> > I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless
> > phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?
> >
> > The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors
> > and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she had
> > heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she
> > has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I
> > live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's
> > really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to
> > the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out the
> > farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her
> > house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick
> > up my phone, but what do I know.
> >
> > The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can
> > reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.
> >
> > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****
>
> Baby monitors use 5 of the 10 cordless channels (or 25 if you have one of those). So
> you could avoid using one of the channels that are the same. Of course now you're
> going to ask which are they. I don't have that info handy, although I'm pretty sure
> the first and last (1 and 10) channels are not used by baby monitors.
>
>
> Rick
>
>
Time to get a digital spread-spectrum phone, or at least
one covering 900 mhz. Your neighbor is tuned in. Baby
monitors can receive cordless phones up to a mile in
flat terrain, about a block in the city. Amazing how
new parents getting an innocent device to monitor their
babies became the original "eavesdroppers".

1133. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7epgb3$8rq$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122387

This applies to the UK anyway

regards

EAVSDROPPER

Oh> Cordless Phones

Oh> Panasonic 900MHZ Cordless Frequencies:
Oh> Color of Phone CH BASE HANDSET
Oh> -------------- -- ------- -------
Oh> Blue 01 902.100 926.100
Oh> Hot Pink 02 902.130 926.130
Oh> Banana 03 902.160 926.160
Oh> Cerise 04 902.190 926.190
Oh> White 05 902.220 926.220
Oh> Off White 06 902.250 926.250
Oh> Gray 07 902.280 926.280
Oh> Fuschia 08 902.310 926.310
Oh> Shocking Pink 09 902.340 926.340
Oh> Chartreuse 10 902.370 926.370
Oh> Neon Purple 11 902.400 926.400
Oh> Black 59 903.870 927.870
Oh> --
Oh> ----- ----

Oh> Panasonic KX-T900 Cordless Phones

Oh> base 902.10 - 903.870 Base frequencies (30Khz spacing)
Oh> handset 926.10 - 927.870 Handset frequencies
Oh> CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
Oh> -- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ----- -------
Oh> 01 902.10 926.10 11 902.40 926.40 21 902.70 926.70
Oh> 02 902.130 926.130 12 902.430 926.430 22 902.730 926.730
Oh> 03 902.160 926.160 13 902.460 926.460 23 902.760 926.760
Oh> 04 902.190 926.190 14 902.490 926.490 24 902.790 926.790
Oh> 05 902.220 926.220 15 902.520 926.520 25 902.820 926.820
Oh> 06 902.250 926.250 16 902.550 926.550 26 902.850 926.850
Oh> 07 902.280 926.280 17 902.580 926.580 27 902.880 926.880
Oh> 08 902.310 926.310 18 902.610 926.610 28 902.910 926.910
Oh> 09 902.340 926.340 19 902.640 926.640 29 902.940 926.940
Oh> 10 902.370 926.370 20 902.670 926.670 30 902.970 926.970

Oh> CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
Oh> -- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ------- -------
Oh> 31 903.000 927.000 41 903.300 927.30 51 903.60 927.600

```
Oh> 32 903.030 927.030 42 903.330 927.330 52 903.630 927.630
Oh> 33 903.060 927.060 43 903.360 927.360 53 903.660 927.660
Oh> 34 903.090 927.090 44 903.390 927.390 54 903.690 927.690
Oh> 35 903.120 927.120 45 903.420 927.420 55 903.720 927.720
Oh> 36 903.150 927.150 46 903.450 927.450 56 903.750 927.750
Oh> 37 903.180 927.180 47 903.480 927.480 57 903.780 927.780
Oh> 38 903.210 927.210 48 903.510 927.510 58 903.810 927.810
Oh> 39 903.240 927.240 49 903.540 927.540 59 903.840 927.840
Oh> 40 903.270 927.270 50 903.570 927.570 60 903.870 927.870
Oh> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
Oh> ----- ----

Oh> General Electric 2-9925 Cordless Phones
Oh> base 902.125 - 903.985 Base frequencies (60Khz spacing)
Oh> handset 926.125 - 927.985 Handset frequencies
Oh> CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
Oh> -- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ----- -------
Oh> 01 902.125 926.125 11 902.725 926.725 21 903.325 927.325
Oh> 02 902.185 926.185 12 902.785 926.785 22 903.385 927.385
Oh> 03 902.245 926.245 13 902.845 926.845 23 903.445 927.445
Oh> 04 902.305 926.305 14 902.905 926.905 24 903.505 927.505
Oh> 05 902.365 926.365 15 902.965 926.965 25 903.565 927.565
Oh> 06 902.425 926.425 16 903.025 927.025 26 903.625 927.625
Oh> 07 902.485 926.485 17 903.085 927.085 27 903.685 927.685
Oh> 08 902.545 926.545 18 903.145 927.145 28 903.745 927.745
Oh> 09 902.605 926.605 19 903.205 927.205 29 903.805 927.805
Oh> 10 902.665 926.665 20 903.265 927.265 30 903.865 927.865
Oh> 31 903.925 927.925
Oh> 32 903.985 927.985
Oh> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
Oh> ----- ----

Oh> V-Tech DX90 Cordless Phones
Oh> 905.6 - 907.5 TRANSPONDER (BASE) FREQUENCIES (10 KHZ SPACING)
Oh> 925.5 - 927.4 HANDSET FREQUENCIES
Oh> CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
Oh> -- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ------- -------
Oh> 01 905.60 925.50 08 906.30 926.20 15 907.00 926.90
Oh> 02 905.70 925.60 09 906.40 926.30 16 907.10 927.00
Oh> 03 905.80 925.70 10 906.50 926.40 17 907.20 927.10
Oh> 04 905.90 925.80 11 906.60 926.50 18 907.30 927.20
Oh> 05 906.00 925.90 12 906.70 926.60 19 907.40 927.30
Oh> 06 906.10 926.00 13 906.80 926.70 20 907.50 927.40
Oh> 07 906.20 926.10 14 906.90 926.80

Oh> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
Oh> ----- ----
Oh> Cordless Frequencies
Oh> New Cordless Old Cordless
Oh> ch BASE: HANDSET: ch BASE: HANDSET:
Oh> 1 43.720 48.760 1 46.610 49.670
```

```
Oh> 2 43.740 48.840 2 46.630 49.845
Oh> 3 43.820 48.860 3 46.670 49.860
Oh> 4 43.840 48.920 4 46.710 49.770
Oh> 5 43.920 49.020 5 46.730 49.875
Oh> 6 43.960 49.080 6 46.770 49.830
Oh> 7 44.120 49.100 7 46.830 49.890
Oh> 8 44.160 49.160 8 46.870 49.930
Oh> 9 44.180 49.200 9 46.930 49.990
Oh> 10 44.200 49.240 10 46.970 49.970
Oh> 11 44.320 49.280
Oh> 12 44.360 49.360
Oh> 13 44.400 49.400 Superphone
Oh> 14 44.460 49.460
Oh> 15 44.480 49.500 BASE HANDSET
Oh> 16 46.610 49.670 46.8500 68.5500
Oh> 17 46.630 49.845
Oh> 18 46.670 49.860
Oh> 19 46.710 49.770
Oh> 20 46.730 49.875
Oh> 21 46.770 49.830
Oh> 22 46.830 49.890
Oh> 23 46.870 49.930
Oh> 24 46.930 49.990
Oh> 25 46.970 49.970
```

1134. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3710462E.8EB0EF58@excite.com>
Source record: 122388

Yeah, they share the same channels. Say something like you are going to
bash her windshield in with a brick on the phone and see if anything
comes of it. If anything does, she has proven that she is listening on
your phone.

boo@myremarq.com wrote:
>
> I know you can hear baby monitors on scanners, but can you hear cordless
> phones on baby monitors? If so, how far can they pick up a signal?
>
> The reason I ask is because I had a conversation with one of my neighbors
> and she said something to me that she only could have known about if she had
> heard my phone conversations. I doubt if she has a scanner, but I know she
> has a baby monitor because she had it in her hand when we were talking. I
> live 4 houses down from her. The houses aren't close together, so it's
> really much further than you might think. I have walked out of my house to
> the end of my driveway while talking on the phone and the phone cut out the
> farther I got from the house. This is nowhere near as far as it is to her
> house, so I can't possibly believe that her little baby monitor could pick
> up my phone, but what do I know.
>
> The antennas on those baby monitors probably restrict how far they can
> reach, correct? Anyway, thanks for any info.
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

1135. Re: Ebay says YES to PORN, NO to cell scanners !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <37104ad7.50489198@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 122389

"Steve" <nospam@ooo.com> wrote:

>eBay gets rid of firearms & certain type of radio scanners, but keeps the
>prono. Tells you alot about the people who's running eBay.

Steve,

Sure does. The president of eBay just last week hosted a "fund
raiser" for VP Gore at her home in Woodside (for those not familiar
with the Bay Area, Woodside is the "high rent district": a shack in
that area is $1M plus).

It is obvious her political agenda is driving what eBay will and
will not accept for action. That is why I personally will have nothing
to do with that outfit.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA
>
>
>ScanShack <scanshack@aol.communicate> wrote in message
>news:19990327093750.08410.00000690@ng43.aol.com...
>> Ebay says YES to PORN, NO to cell scanners !
>> Think about it.....who would you want to babysit your kids ? A
>non-perverted
>> scanner owner who bought a cell capable scanner or a person who will never
>own
>> a cell capable scanner (heavens forbid, its against the law !) but will
>agree
>> to the below and enters the world of the Ebay OK to view, buy or sell porn
>> items ?
>>
>> EBAY Terms of Use
>> Erotica, Adults Only Category
>> ("Terms of Use")
>>
>> The pages for the Erotica, Adults Only category may only be accessed and
>used
>> by responsible adults over the age of 18 (or the age of consent in the
>> jurisdiction from which it is being accessed). Materials available within
>this
>> category include graphic visual depictions and descriptions of nudity and
>> sexual activity. Federal, state or local laws may prohibit visiting this
>adult

>> category if you are under 18 years of age. By entering your User ID and
>> Password to access this site, list an item, or bid on an item in this
>category,
>> you are making the following statements:
>>
>> 1) I am a member of the eBay community and I will follow the eBay User
>> Agreement governing my use of the eBay web site.
>> 2) I am willing to provide eBay with my valid credit card number and
>expiration
>> date, which will be left on file with eBay in order to verify that I am at
>> least 18 years of age.
>> 3) I will not permit any person(s) under 18 years of age to have access to
>any
>> of the materials contained within this site.
>> 4) I am voluntarily choosing to access this category, because I want to
>view,
>> read and/or hear the various materials that are available.
>> 5) I understand and agree to abide by the standards and laws of the
>community
>> in which I live or from which I am accessing this site.
>> 6) By entering my User ID and Password and viewing any part of this adult
>> category, I agree that I shall not hold eBay or its employees responsible
>for
>> any materials located in the adult category, and I waive all claims
>against
>> eBay relating to materials found at this site.
>> 7) If I use these services in violation of these Terms and Use, I
>understand I
>> may be in violation of local and/or federal laws and am solely responsible
>for
>> my actions.
>> 8) I am an adult, at least 18 years of age, and I have a legal right to
>possess
>> adult material in my community.
>> 9) I do not find pornographic images of nude adults, adults engaged in
>sexual
>> acts or other sexual material to be offensive or objectionable.
>> 10) I will exit from this site immediately if I am in any way offended by
>the
>> sexual nature of any materials on this site.
>> 11) By entering my User ID and Password at the bottom of these Terms of
>Use,
>> and by listing, bidding an item, or entering the adult site, I agree to
>abide
>> by these terms.
>> 12) If you do not agree to these terms, click on the back button of your
>> browser and exit this adult category page.
>> --
>>
>> PS I clicked on the back button of my browser !

>>
>> HEY EBAY.... get a clue !!!!!!
>>
>>
>> Visit The SCAN SHACK
>> A FREE scanner information web page.
>> Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
>> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>>
>> http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>>
>> Happy Scanning !
>
>

1136. FT: Pro2032 for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2032@trap.altavista.net
Message-ID: <p7YP2.215$ju.56807@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 122390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Pro2032 in very good condition, Date Code 3A4.

I'm looking for a BC895XLT in good condition.

If you have one and wish to trade,
respond by email to pro2032@trap.altavista.net.

Be sure to remove "trap." from my email address.
The trap is there to foil spambots.

1137. FT: Pro2022 for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2022@trap.altavista.net
Message-ID: <q7YP2.216$ju.56807@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 122391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Pro2022 in very good condition, Date Code 5A0.

I'm looking for a BC895XLT in good condition.

If you have one and wish to trade,
respond by email to pro2022@trap.altavista.net.

Be sure to remove "trap." from my email address.
The trap is there to foil spambots.

1138. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <s3PsSGAN9EE3Ewi5@virgin.net>
Source record: 122392
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In article <mTOP2.1679$Et5.36544@news3.ispnews.com>, armadillo
<armadillo@worldlynx.net> writes
>besides if you are using one hand to talk on the phone how can you
>say you have 100% control of your vehicle.
This is the problem in a nutshell.
Why I have even seen one guy using a cell phone with one hand, with a notebook in the other hand, and using his knees to wedge the steering wheel! Also watch the drivers who have manual shift gears negotiate inter-sections and traffic light controlled road junctions whilst chatting away on their phones, in no way are they in control of their vehicle. Locally here you can see 18 wheeler dump truck drivers using cell phones whilst in motion every day. The area is known for it's quarries and sand pits. It's possible the employers supply phones so they can then pass on instructions to their drivers.
--
From the
ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!

Please note that correct e-mail address is:-

trojan.horse@virgin.net

1139. Re: Why is Cheeks in the Pokey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.comNoSpam
Message-ID: <19990411050828.25830.00002081@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122393

Why would the US Secret Service get involved in a case the the FBI usually handles? or for that matter why wouldn't the FCC handle the entire affair?

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

1140. Re: Range Of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37105BC7.65FE1CCD@ibm.net>
Source record: 122394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Take the square root of the height of the scanner antenna and do the
same to the station you are trying to listen to. Then add the
numbers together. That is about therange in miles. You can
somtimes use the fudge factor and multiply this number by 1.2 to
1.3. The first is a line of sight distance and the fudge factor is
because radio waves will thed to follow the curve of the earth. Say
the scanner antenna is 4 feet above ground and the transmitter is
100 feet above ground. That would be 2 + 10 for a total of 12
miles, then add a small fudge factor of 1.2 for around 14 miles.

Skoiboy wrote:
>
> I was wondering how many miles range I could get out of my BC235 I am going
> to get...And then what upgrade antenna could I get that would up that the
> most?
>
> On average...
>
> --
> Dave
> zerotwist@home.com
> AOL: Skoiboy
> ICQ: 542112

1141. Realistic PRO-52 Scanner Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Warwick <kwarwick@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <37106B0C.4248@bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 122395

Has anyone out there got a manual for a Realistic PRO-52 Scanner?

Thanks,

Ken

1142. Manual for Sanyo Model TA-901C Mobile CB Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Warwick <kwarwick@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <37106C04.1A8E@bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 122396

Does anyone have a manual for a Sanyo TA-901C cb unit?

Thanks,

Ken

1143. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <uQ#gyYAh#GA.206@upnetnews03>
Source record: 122397
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

BB Wolf wrote in message <7eoja2rot1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
> Well Don, I for one am in the Federal Witness Protection Program and
also
>have crazy relatives !! ;-}
>
>-ERIC

So what's the point? Undesirables are going to find were you live from an
nationwide ISP account and show up at your home?

Does not make sense to me as long as one does not give out an address or
send someone money who will defraud them.

Ivan Ivanovich

1144. motorola Sabre systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eq0nt$s54$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122398
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio for $650. I am specificaly looking for kenwood mobile and portable that is field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no interest in Motorola products.

Units offered must be in great shape and complete with mobile mike and power cord for mobile and battery, charger antenna ect for the portable. Both units must be at least 16 channels and capable of DPL as well as pl scan ect . Will consider some cb (base) and scanner (portable) equiptment as long as dollar
values equal my asking price, however I am primarilly looking for uhf equiptment or equiptment plus some cash. I will make slight adjustments for your shipping costs of multiple items

Email offers to lfray1@yahoo.com please dont post to board.
thanks for your time
Leroy

1145. Re: Why is Cheeks in the Pokey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990411075127.15601.00005910@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122399

>From: labtech1@aol.comNoSpam (Labtech1)
>Date: 04-11-1999 04:08 EST
>
> Why would the US Secret Service get involved in a case the the FBI usually
>handles? or for that matter why wouldn't the FCC handle the entire affair?
>

When the violation is of an FCC regulation then the FCC handles it, but
when it's a violation of federal law the FCC only assists the FBI, USSS,
Customs etc. with technical assistance in the prosecution. In the Cheek's
case, the Secret Service investigated because it's the agency charged with
investigating computer related crimes, particularly (but not restricted to)
when a government (federal, state or local) computer is involved, and the MDTs
are considered computers.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1146. Nashville Sounds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannut@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19821-3710903E-13@newsd-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122400

Hoping someone will send me their freq.
Plan on catching a few ball games this year, and want to listen to
"behind the scenes".

Thanks in advance for your help.

Jim, in Clarksville, Tennessee

"The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death." I Corinthians 15:26

1147. Re: HELP! Mods for Pro-34?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990411084232.03100.00002319@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 122401

>From: t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez)

>Or are these what they call 'images'?Anyway, If anyone
>has any info on mods for full coverage on this unit, >>>>

Yes, the previous post and web address will get you to a site where the mod is described. I've got that same info but also an additional illustration if that would help at all.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1148. Re: OO's, ARRL, FCC and NGO's (was: Richard Whiten - Meets his match)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matdee <V2165545@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be8418$ebf77fe0$30a02399@85j82d949b943f>
Source record: 122402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thank you Phil. This is the information I was looking for.

Regards,

M. Dee
Roswell N. Mex
(Home of all those little grey men Art Bell talks about :)

Phil Kane <philkane [at] commlawcenter [dot] com> wrote in article
<cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.f9zuil2.pminews@nntp1.ba.best.com>...

> See Section 4(f)(4)(b) of the Communications Act and the
> Memoranda of Understanding entered into by the FCC and the
> ARRL.

Excerpted from the Communications Act:

> Section 4 [47 USC Section 154]. Provisions Relating to the Commission
>
> (f)(4)(B)(i) The Commission, for purposes of monitoring
> violations of any provision of this Act (and of any regulation
> prescribed by the Commission under this Act) relating to the amateur
> radio service, may --
>
> (I) recruit and train any individual licensed by the
> Commission to operate an amateur station; and
>
> (II) accept and employ the voluntary and uncompensated
> services of such individual.
>
> (ii) The Commission, for purposes of recruiting and training
> individuals under clause (i) and for purposes of screening,
> annotating, and summarizing violation reports referred under
> clause (i), may accept and employ the voluntary and uncompensated
> services of any amateur station operator organization.
>
> (iii) The functions of individuals recruited and trained
> under this subparagraph shall be limited to --
>
> (I) the detection of improper amateur radio transmissions;
>
> (II) the conveyance to Commission personnel of information
> which is essential to the enforcement of this Act (or
> regulations prescribed by the Commission under this Act)
> relating to the amateur radio service; and

>
> (III) issuing advisory notices, under the general direction
> of the Commission, to persons who apparently have violated
> any provision of this Act (or regulations prescribed by the
> Commission under this Act) relating to the amateur radio
> service.
>
> Nothing in this clause shall be construed to grant individuals
> recruited and trained under this subparagraph any authority to
> issue sanctions to violators or to take any enforcement action
> other than any action which the Commission may prescribe by
> rule.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> ARRL Pacific Division Asst. Director
> (Volunteer Counsel Coordinator)

1149. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: frohro@wwc.edu
Message-ID: <frohro-1104990416160001@10.0.2.15>
Source record: 122403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Actually here on the news groups you can have almost the same security as
ebay, if you'll take the time to be careful. You just search for bad
experiences with anyone you deal with using dejanews (www.dejanews.com).
If people post their bad experiences here that helps the newsgroups to be
a bit safer. So thanks to the poster of this "Fraud Alert!"

73,

Rob, KL7NA/W7

In article <7epa7l$hh7$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "BB Wolf"
<bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Ebay is just like here. It's not 100% perfect. That would be foolish to
> think it was. As for a false sense of security. (?) I don't know about that,
> one has to make there own educated decision on who they buy from. It's up to
> one's own judgement. Through Ebay, you at least have a feedback system to
> give you another tool in your decision making process. I personally shy away
> from bidders and sellers with "0" feedbacks or have "mask" attached to
> them. But that's me. Buying here in the NG's, you pretty much have no way
> to know who you are dealing with !
>
> As the saying goes, Buyers Beware!
>
> Just my 2 cents.
>
> -ERIC
>
>
>
>
> Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:370f75f7.4497242@news...
> > On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 07:30:01 +0100, Dawson Wright <dawsonw@cari.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Wow, Ebay is such a friendly place to shop!!!
> >
> > Yeah, and people are lulled into a false sense of security because
> > everone has to "register," yet eBay never checks the registration
> > information. I'm surprised we haven't heard more reports of fraud...
> >

1150. Freq's Oakland Co. Mich

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dgmercer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411102546.25933.00001528@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122404

```
Frequency Location Service Call Sign
155.3400 PONTIAC EMS F#2 AMBULANCE -- HOSPITAL WZJ375
155.2800 PONTIAC EMS F#1 EMS WZJ375
154.4300 CLARKSTON FIRE DEPT F# 1 WNJE672
159.2250 CLARKSTON CONSERVATION - PARKS KSI429
154.2950 CLARKSTON FIRE DEPT F# 2 WNJE672
153.8900 CLARKSTON FIRE DEPT F# 3 WNJE672
155.3700 PONTIAC INTER-CITY PD KQF725
155.1450 CLARKSTON LOCAL GOV. KNHF687
159.0450 DAVISBURG OAK CO REPEATER KZF68
851.0620 PONTIAC OAK CO SHERIFF F#1 WNIR926
155.9700 PONTIAC OAK CO SHERIFF F#2 KQF725
155.8650 PONTIAC OAK CO SHERIFF F#3 KM6603
154.9800 PONTIAC OAK CO SHERIFF F#4 KCR931
155.0250 PONTIAC OAK CO SHERIFF F#7 KTD378
39.1400 PONTIAC OAK CO. MARINE DIV. KQR511
155.4000 PONTIAC EMS F#3 PARAMEDICS WZL375
151.4450 CLARKSTON PARKS & RECREATION KTX829
159.3600 CLARKSTON PARKS & RECREATION WPCY376
156.1650 INDEPENDENCE TWSHP ROAD COMMISSION WPDX839
158.9850 INDEPENDENCE TWSHP ROAD COMMISSION KZF68
155.2650 PONTIAC SEVERE WEATHER ALERT WZJ375
460.0500 WATERFORD BASE TO CAR PD F#1 KJH268
465.0500 WATERFORD CAR TO CAR PD F#2 KJH268
155.1900 WATERFORD POLICE DEPT F#3 KJH268
151.4000 WATERFORD POLICE DEPT F#4 WNZO936
42.4800 MICH STATE F#4 STATEWIDE TACTICAL KOA269
42.5800 MICH STATE POST 27 METRO N DISPATCH KOA269
42.7400 MICH STATE F#1 STATEWIDE KOA269
42.9400 MICH STATE F#2 STATEWIDE KOA269
45.4600 MICH STATE AIRCRAFT KA2255
154.6650 MICH STATE DETECTIVES KOA269
155.5050 MICH STATE ROBBERY - LARCENY KOA269
151.0850 MICH STATE STATEWIDE REPEATOR KAX323
154.3400 PONTIAC FIRE F#1 KLR459
156.1950 PONTIAC MAIN REPEATOR KUJ523

Frequency Location Service USE
126.3000 FLINT BISHOP INTERNATION TOWER
121.3000 DETROIT DETROIT CITY TOWER
126.8500 DETROIT DETROIT CITY APPROACH & DEPART
118.4000 DETROIT METRO TOWER
135.0000 DETROIT METRO TOWER
124.0500 DETROIT METRO APPROACH
```

125.1500 DETROIT METRO APPROACH
126.8500 DETROIT METRO APPROACH
118.9500 DETROIT METRO DEPARTURE
125.5250 DETROIT METRO DEPARTURE
132.0250 DETROIT METRO DEPARTURE
134.3000 DETROIT METRO DEPARTURE
120.5000 WATERFORD OAKLAND--PONTIAC TOWER

Frequency USER Service NOTES
161.3250 AMTRAK ROAD
161.5050 AMTRAK POLICE
161.3550 ANN ARBOR SWITCHING
161.4900 ANN ARBOR ROAD F#1
161.2200 ANN ARBOR ROAD F#2
161.4450 ANN ARBOR YARD
161.2800 CENT MICH ROAD
161.3100 CENT MICH YARD
160.4700 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN SPECIAL AGENTS
160.5300 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN DISPATCH F#2
160.5900 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN ROAD F#1
160.7400 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN YARD
160.7550 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN POLICE
160.8150 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN YARD PONTIAC
160.8450 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN YARD F#3
160.9200 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN PBX
160.9500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN MAINTENANCE
161.0100 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN PBX F#4
161.0400 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN YARD
161.2800 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN SPECIAL AGENTS
161.3850 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN FLAT ROCK PBX
160.7700 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN HAND HELDS
161.5500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN HAND HELDS

Frequency Location Service LOCATION
44.6400 MICH - DRN - OAK CO RECREATION AREAS HOLLY -
ORTONVILLE
44.7200 MICH - DRN - OAK CO
44.8000 MICH - DRN - OAK CO
44.8400 MICH - DRN - OAK CO
44.8800 MICH - DRN - OAK CO
44.9200 MICH - DRN - OAK CO
151.2500 MICH - DRN - OAK CO KENSINGTON-METRO MILFORD
460.2250 MICH - DRN - OAK CO PONTIAC CENTRAL CONTROL

1151. Trunk Scanning in San Diego

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank <homeless@electriciti.com>
Message-ID: <37108BBD.4B242E8@electriciti.com>
Source record: 122405

Some days my RS Pro-90 won't pick up trunked banks. It seems not to be finding ID's. Then, the next day it's working again. I've read the manual inside out, but can't find a clue. Anyone know if this is the local system, or the scanner? There's often also a "P" that is on the display that I can't find in the manual. I don't know if this is connected with my problem.

1152. ICOM R2, YAESU VX5, YAESU FT100+ MOD, YAESU VX1,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7eqd76$j44$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 122406
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5

Has over 396 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
.Has about 89 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio with a great following of over 165 vsers on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100

So Far we only have 176 users! Not to bad for a radio that is not even available!! If you are even thinking about one of these units come join us we may all learn somthing!!! Join the group Get the Mod!!

1153. Re: new to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lynn Hill <lhill@bitstreet.com>
Message-ID: <7eqdc7$ch9$1@freddie.bitstreet.net>
Source record: 122407

Try the City Search on the link below.
Good Luck.
http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

Mark A. <markarch@samnet.net> wrote in message
news:k9yO2.189$zl5.35097@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Is there a web site that offers look up freq. #'s
>
>

1154. Re: new to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lynn Hill <lhill@bitstreet.com>
Message-ID: <7eqdssche1@freddie.bitstreet.net>
Source record: 122408

Here's another one.
http://www.panix.com/clay/scanning/frequencies/

Mark A. <markarch@samnet.net> wrote in message
news:k9yO2.189$zl5.35097@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Is there a web site that offers look up freq. #'s
>
>

1155. FS:Pro-2006 with OS-456 computer interface installed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <3710BE84.63C38C46@home.com>
Source record: 122409

I have a pro-2006 for sale. The speed mod and the cell mods are done.
This scanner comes with the hard to find OS-456 board installed.

This package contains:
-Pro-2006 400 Ch. Base scanner
-OS-456 full version (not lite version) installed and working great.
-Scan Star Commercial Edition software which will work with any 386 or
above in DOS.
-Owners Manual
-The hard to find Service manual.
-OS-456 and Scan Star manuals.
The scanner is about a 8.5 or 9 out of ten. Small scratches on the
display, if you hold to the light at just the right angle you will see
them.

I am open to offers for this complete package. I will split the Shipping
to anywhere in North America by UPS. I thought of selling this on ebay
but I decided not to because of there recent rules.

Just an update. So far the highest bid is $500.00 thanks james.

1156. Re: Monitoring Trunked Talkgroups Type II Special Status Bits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <5478CFF6C70AEA0E.CCAEC1ED072F49F6.8C2202927C2E15C7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

See http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/motorola.htm

I've got a link to the "Special Trick" listed there...

-LB

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 20:41:54 -0700, "Randall Buxton"
<rbuxton@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>How do I program a BC235/895 with a single talkgroup ID to receive all of
>the Type II Special Status Bits????
>
>I've seen a formula posted on some chat room but it's beyond me. Need a
>simpler answer, if there is one!
>
>Thanks.
>
>Randall Buxton

1157. Ideal Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John H. Minehan" <minehan@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <Dn3Q2.38253$BZ6.6948380@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 122411

With all the scanners available today, I find it frustrating that manufacturers still have not been able to produce the ideal, for me, mobile scanner. Around home I use a scanner in my car to listen to local Police, Fire & EMS just to stay on top of what is going on. When traveling, I like to program State Police, Highway Patrol and State Highway Departments into the scanner so I can get some sense of what lies ahead on the road.
I know that everybody has different features that they look for in a scanner and I don't expect someone to build a scanner just to my taste but, in case some manufacturer cares what one user is looking for, here is my description of the ideal scanner:

1. Broad frequency coverage from 25 Mhz to 900 Mhz (except, of course, cellular). This would allow for CB as well as all Public Service frequencies.
2. SSB, AM, WFM & NFM modes.
3. At least 10 banks of frequencies with each bank to hold at least 20 channels.
4. Alpha tagging of channels in a LARGE display (the eyes on us over 50 types aren't what they used to be).
5. CTCSS/DCS.
6. Adjustable backlighting of the display and all controls that goes from very bright to off.
7. The ability to program by computer.
8. Trunk tracking.
9. Triple conversion
10. High selectivity and low IM distortion with a minimal number of birdies.
11. A well constructed, all metal enclosure, preferably DIN sized.
12. LOTS of audio output (our over 50 ears aren't getting any better either).
13. Fast scanning speed
14. Adjustable delay before resuming scanning by channel
15. A priority channel for each bank.
16. The ability to temporarily lock out several channels

A couple of units have come close (the Relm MS200 and the Uniden MR8100) but fall short of meeting all my requirements. Still, the search goes on. Now, if only someone would build a scanner with built in GPS and frequency database so that it automatically switches to the proper frequency depending on where I am.....

John Minehan W1PC

1158. Ref: Disney Wold Orlando Freqs / Codes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <OM3Q2.763$BA4.5355597@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 122412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

To the person who I sent a response to about the frequencies for Disney
world, I sent the wrong file.

Here is what you need.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-2260

Walt Disney World Trunked Radio Information
Walt Disney World Orlando
System Type: Motorola Type I
System ID :2000

Submitted by:
-ANONYMOUS
-Daveg126 [Daveg126@aol.com] - Great Job Dave on this information!

This system is licensed and operated by the Reedy Creek Improvement
District. This is the actual government agency which handles many
local government tasks around Disneyworld.

Reedy Creek operates the FIRE/EMS system for Disneyworld they are a
type II system

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

1/22/98 0900

Walt Disney World/Reedy Creek Improvement District Trunked Radio System

Note: "Reedy Creek Improvement District" is the governmental jurisdiction
authorized by the Florida Legislature which Walt Disney Company controls
(unofficially). It provides regulatory oversight to the geographical area
which
includes Walt Disney World.

==========

FLEETMAP:

A custom fleetmap which provides excellent Trunktracker results is:

Block Custom Size code
0 S-3
1 S-3
2 S-11
3 S-11
4 S-4
5 S-11
6 S-4
7 S-0

Note: If you do not want to bother programming the custom fleetmap (or you don't
know or remember how), you can use the Trunktracker preprogrammed fleetmap of
E1P13 with fair results. The talkgroups listed for blocks 0, 1, 2, 4, and 7 will
be exactly correct. This includes all the Security and Fire/EMS talkgroups which
are the most popular talkgroups for many listeners.

==========
==

RADIO FREQUENCIES:

Program Trunktracker with all 18 of the listed frequencies:

Frequency Call Sign Licensee Class Notes

1. 851.3125 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
2. 851.8875 WNVV862 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY GB (See note 1, 2)
3. 852.2375 WNWH709 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DIST GP (See note 1, 2)
4. 852.4125 WNZV899 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY GB (See note 1, 2)
5. 852.7375 WNRU709 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DIST YP (See note 1)
6. 852.8125 WNSS890 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY GB (See note 1, 2)
7. 852.9625 WPCF887 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY GB (See note 1, 2)
8. 853.4625 WNWH709 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DIST GP (See note 1, 2)
9. 854.3375 WNRU709 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DIST YP (See note 1)
10. 855.0375 WNSF344 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY GB (See note 1, 2)
11. 855.1875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
12. 855.6875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
13. 855.7875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
14. 855.8875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
15. 856.9125 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
16. 857.7875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)

17. 858.8125 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)
18. 859.7875 WNJA857 WALT DISNEY WORLD COMPANY YB (See note 1)

....and recently brought to our attention the following freqs...

856.2625, 857.2625, 858.2625

Frequency Notes:

1) The frequency and information listed was obtained from Percon Corp online
data base.

2) Percon Corp lists frequencies under this call sign as "conventional" radio
service GP or GB; however, these frequencies have been verified to be an integral part of the trunking system and must be used for satisfactory trunktracking results

==========
====

TALKGROUPS (for the custom fleetmap):

Talkgroup Notes:

1) For blocks 0 through 6, which are Type I talkgroups, the "S-xx" type identifier following a talkgroup is to emphasize that the ids listed are confimed using that specific size code for the block. For example, The S-11 following 300-10 indicates the info listed is valid when the size code for block
3 is S-11. For example,

300-10 S-11: Fort Wilderness Lodge: Duty/Wilderness/Engineering/Runner/ Housekeeping base/Pioneer Hall/Outpost

means that with block 3 size code set for 11, talkgroup id 300-10 represents Fort Wilderness Lodge activities including units with callsigns "Duty, Wilderness, Engineering, ..." etc.

2) If an identifier is listed in ALL CAPS (such as MED 1 following 57488) it means that is the term the units use to refer to the channel/talkgroup itself
(e.g. "Ambulance-11 switch to MED 1" would mean the ambulance was switching to
Trunktracker talkgroup id 57488).

3) The identifiers listed following a talkgroup id size code which are separated
by slashes refer to a part of a unit's radio callsign. For example, on talkgroup
003-0, you might hear "Animation-5" calling "Animation-7".

4) An identifer following a talkgroup id size code (preceeding the detailed call

signs) notes the common characteristic of the units on that talkgroup, usually a
common purpose or location. For example, 200-4 is used by Grand Floridian Resort
Hotel for various units including Lake Patrols, Housekeeping etc.

5) A talkgroup listed with a size code, but without an identifier following the
size codes (such as 201-7) means the talkgroup has been heard to be active, but
not with sufficient dialog to catch the specific identifiers for the units using
that talkgroup.

6) A talkgroup listed without a any size code or identifiers following (such as
100-4) means the talkgroup has not been heard to be active, but SHOULD exist.
This is applicable to blocks 2 through 7 only.

7) Blocks 0 and 1 are relatively inactive. The size codes listed seem to work
acceptably for the small number of active talkgroups used. Due to the large
number of potential active talkgroups for size code S-3, the listing on includes
talkgroups which have actually been heard.

8) Block 6 contains several construction and maintenance talkgroups and remains
very active "after hours" when Disney performs much of it's magic to prepare the
park for the upcoming day or days, out of sight of the guests.

9) Security channels use a large number of fairly obvious call signs which are
not listed. "Trooper" callsigns such as "Trooper 1", "Trooper 2" etc are Florida
Highway Patrol troopers working the Disney area; they are often heard
coordinating accident scenes. Units with callsigns "Motor", are the primary
"mobile" WDW security force which assists with traffic accidents and crime
scenes. Disney security personnel are not "sworn officers" so any significant
activities which need law enforcement action/investigation are inevitably turned
over to FHP (for traffic incidents) or Orange County Sheriff's Office for
criminal investigations.

10) Visitors are consitently referred to as "Guests"; line employees are
referred to as "cast members"; PR folks/tour guides are referred to as "Hosts";
security personnel are referred to as "Security Hosts".

11) On the appropriate security talkgroups, an announcment is made for all Emergency Fire/EMS calls to alert the security personnel of the situation. On the Security talkgroups, the Reedy Creek Ambulances are referred to as "Alpha Units"; that is, "Alpha-13" is "Ambulance-13". The announcements consist of an Alert Tone followed by a message of the form "Attention all units this frequency, Alpha-11 responding to Space Mountain unload area for a guest injury."

12) There seems no obvious pattern to why some Fire/EMS calls are dispatched on 57392, and others on 57456. To catch all dispatches, make sure to listen to both talkgroups.

13) Listening suggestions- The security talkgroups in Block 4, and the Fire/EMS talkgroups in block 7 are most interesting. Several talkgroups such as 200-6 broadcast weather alerts when foul weather threatens the area. 500-2 is very active at night for the Pleasure Island nightclub district, and you can often hear "wait times" for different clubs; Pest Management on 501-14 can provide some unique listening- particularly when an errant alligator strolls into a public area.

Talkgroup listing:

==========
Block 0; S-3: (Only verified talkgroups are listed for this block)
000-6 S-3:
002-0 S-3:
003-0 S-3: Animation/FA base
004-0 S-3: Alarm checkouts
005-0 S-3: (telemetry/data)

==========
Block 1; S-3: (Only verified talkgroups are listed for this block)
101-0 S-3: Audio/Video Support
102-0
103-0
104-0
105-0
106-4 S-3: Phone patch

==========
Block 2; S-11:
200-0 S-11:
200-1 Front desk/
200-2 S-11: Radio/Adventure/
200-3 S-11: Accessories/Information Desk/Stockroom

200-4 S-11: Grand Floridian Resort Hotel: Duty/Lake Patrol/Recreation/
Engineering/Barbados/Martinique/Front Desk/Marlin base/
Control(weather alerts)
200-5 S-11: ___ Hotel: / Luggage Room/Reservation
200-6 S-11: Control(weather alerts)/
200-7 S-11: (Telemetry/Data transmission)
200-8
200-9
200-10
200-11
200-12
200-13
200-14 S-11: (Telemetry/Data transmission)
200-15 S-11: /Surf/Scenic

201-0 S-11: Distribution base
201-1 S-11:
201-2 S-11: ___ Golf course roaming concession: Control (weather alerts)/
201-3
201-4
201-5
201-6
201-7 S-11:
201-8 S-11: Music
201-9 S-11: Allstar Resort Hotel:
Centercourt/Country/Music/Jazz/Engineering/
Broadway/Calypso/Sports/Star base
201-10
201-11 S-11: Control (Weather alert)
201-12 Recreation/Control
201-13 Starbase/Engineering
201-14 S-11: Allstar Resort Hotel: Star base/Homerun/Allstar/
Centercourt/Country/Touchdown
201-15

==========
Block 3; S-11:
300-0
300-1
300-2
300-3
300-4 S-11: Blizzard Beach:
300-5 S-11: Fort Wilderness Lodge & River Country: Housekeeping/Outpost/
Wilderness/Lost & Found/Runner
300-6 S-11: Admin/Ticket
300-7 S-11:
300-8 S-11: Typhoon Lagoon: Typhoon/Lake
Patrol/AC/Housekeeping/Bayou/Surf/
Control(weather alerts)/
300-9 S-11: River Country: Recreation/Bell Services/River Cruise/

Housekeeping/Lake Patrol/Marina
300-10 S-11: Fort Wilderness Lodge: Duty/Wilderness/Engineering/Runner/
Housekeeping base/Pioneer Hall/Outpost
300-11 S-11: Control(weather alerts)/
300-12 S-11: Yatch and Beach Resort Hotel: Housekeeping/Beach/Warehouse/
Engineering/Custodial
300-13 S-11: Banquet/Convention
300-14
300-15 S-11: Key control (locksmiths): Keystone

301-0
301-1 S-11: Dixie Landings Resort Hotel: Engineering/Housekeeping base/
Electric/Port/Runner/Bell
301-2 S-11: Control(weather alerts)/Recreation/
301-3 S-11: ___ Hotel: Housekeeping
301-4 S-11: Control(weather alerts)/
301-5 S-11: Launch/Service/Housekeeping
301-6
301-7
301-8
301-9
301-10 S-11: Engineering base/
301-11
301-12 S-11: ___ Hotel: Lobby/Mechanic
301-13 S-11:
301-14 S-11:
301-15

==========
Block 4; S-4:
400-0
400-1 S-4: Magic Kingdom, Fort Wilderness, Grand Floridian Security;
(Dispatch/base is call sign "Mike One");
400-2 S-4: EPCOT, Disney Institute Security, Dixie Landing Resort;
(Dispatch/base is call sign "Echo Two")
400-3 S-4: MGM Studios Security; (Dispatch/base is "Sierra Three")
400-4 S-4: Typhoon Lagoon/Disney Westside/Downtown Disney security/
Yatch and Beach Resort;
(Dispatch/base is call sign "Delta Five")
400-5 S-4:Animal Kingdom/Blizzard Beach Security/Allstar Resort Hotel;
(Dispatch/base is call sign "Kilo Four")
400-6 S-4: Wide World of Sports Complex & Walt Disney Speedway Security;
Traffic/Race/Infield/Lot/Track/Grandstand/Midway/Tunnel
400-7
400-8 S-4: Computer voice transmission-seems security-related
400-9
400-10 S-4: Delivery Administration
400-11
400-12
400-13 S-4: Security detectives: Ivy/Service

400-14
400-15 S-4: Phone patches

==========
Block 5; S-11:
500-0
500-1
500-2 S-11: Pleasure Island Duty/Parking/Valet/Transportation/Westside
Duty
500-3 S-11:
500-4 S-11: ___ Townhouses: Vista/Room ready/Treehouse runner/
Carpet (carpet cleaners!)
500-5 S-11:
500-6 S-11: Utility/Engineering/Facilities/Roadway
500-7 S-11: Facilities
500-8 S-11: E6/E11
500-9
500-10
500-11
500-12 S-11:
500-13
500-14
500-15

501-0 S-11: Resort/
501-1 S-11:
501-2 S-11: Magnolia Golf course: Golf/Osprey Ranger/
501-3
501-4 S-11: Reedy Creek Utilities?: Maintenance/Phone patches
501-5 S-11: ___ Hotel: Show base/runner
501-6 S-11: ___ Hotel: Front Desk
501-7
501-8 S-11: Caterers
501-9
501-10 S-11: Service (Shuttles & taxis)
501-11
501-12
501-13
501-14 S-11: Pest Management ("PM"): PM/
501-15

==========
Block 6; S-4:
600-0 S-4:
600-1 S-4: Construction Channel "A": Construction/Control
(weather & park status alerts)/
600-2 S-4: Construction Channel "B": Construction/
600-3 S-4:
600-4 S-4: (NOT Channel "A")
600-5 S-4: Control(weather alerts)/
600-6 S-4: Starbase/Engineering/

600-7 S-4: Channel "A"; Project/Park Central base/
600-8 S-4: Channel "B"; (for 600-7)
600-9 S-4: Control(weather alerts)/
600-10 S-4: Control(weather alerts)/
600-11
600-12 S-4:
600-13 S-4:
600-14 S-4: Ops base?
600-15

==========
Block 7; S-0 (Type II):
57360
57392 DISPATCH (Fire/EMS): Dispatch/base is call sign "Reedy Creek";
<57399> DISPATCH- Multiselect (57392 alarm traffic is simulcasted here)
57424 TACT 1 (Fire calls)
57456 UTILITY (Includes some Fire/EMS dispatches and non emergency calls)
<57463> UTILITY - Multiselect (57456 alarm traffic is simulcasted here)
57488 MED 1 (EMS calls)
57520
57552 MED 2 (EMS calls)
57584 Fire Alarm maintenance
57626
57658 Building inspectors: Station 1/
57680
57712 Permits
57744
57776 TALKGROUP 4B: Reedy Creek Utilities: Solid Waste/
57808 (TG 3B?) Reedy Creek Utilities: Air conditioning/
57840 (TG 2B?) Reedy Creek Utilities:
57872 (TG 1B?) Reedy Creek Utilities: Electric/High Voltage/
57904 (TG 4A?) Reedy Creek Utilities: Electric/
57936 TALKGROUP 3A: Reedy Creek Utilities: Water/
57968 (TG 2A?) Reedy Creek Utilities: Solid Waste/Recycling/
(Note: these are Mickey's Garbage Trucks!)
58000 TALKGROUP 1A; Reedy Creek Utilities (Note: this seems to be the main
Talkgroup for utilities; units often establish contact here, then
switch to other channels to continue their discussions):
Wastewater/Electric/Drainage/Roadway/Material/Stockroom/Water/
58032
58064
58096 TALKGROUP 6A: Reedy Creek Utilities:
58128 MEDCALL (Ambulances to Sand Lake Hospital EMS Channel)
58160
58192
58224 OPS DIRECT (Fire/EMS Unit-to-Unit chit chat)
58256

==========
==

REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FIRE/EMS APPARATUS DESIGNATORS:

A = Ambulance
Br = Brush
E = Engine
Sq = Squad
T = Tower (Ladder) Truck
U = Utility vehicle
Z = Zone (commander)

First digit = Station/Zone number (1, 2, or 3)
Second digit = Sequential designator for the units

Example: A14 is Ambulance 4 from Station 1.
E31 is Engine 1 from Station 3.

Note: Reedy Creek Fire/EMS makes extensive use of "AirCare-1" Medevac Helicopter
from Orlando Regional Healthcare System (Downtown Orlando). AirCare-1 is often
heard on the Reedy Creek fire/EMS talkgroups coordinating response/service.
(AirCare dispatches and patient reports use AirCare's conventional frequency of
155.34 MHz.)

==========

FIRE/EMS APPARATUS ALLOCATION/ASSIGNMENTS:

Station 1 - Located on Buena Vista Drive across from Carribean Beach Resort,
just west of Pleasure Island; Serving East areas including Downtown Disney,
Pleasure Island, Magic Kingdom (southern end), EPCOT, Marketplace,
Crossroads, Fort Wilderness Resort

Home to:
Zone 1
A11, A12, A13, A14, A15 (reserve unit)
Br11
E11, E12
Sq11
T11
U11

Station 2-Serving Central areas including Boardwalk, peripheral roadways, Animal
Kingdom

Home to:
A21
E21

Station 3 - Serving Northwest areas, including Contemporary Hotel, Downtown Disney, Disney Westside, MGM Studios, Magic Kingdom (nothern end), Reems Road
Service facilities, Wilderness Lodge

Home to:
Zone 3
A31, A32, A33
E31
Br31

Other Fire/EMS units:

EMS 1

Alarm xx Alarm maintenance
203 Shift Commander?
203A Shift Commander?
3xx Fire Inspectors

Prepared by Lindsay Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1
Last updated: 1/22/98

1159. Re: How to contact Bill Cheek??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tektot <tektot@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3710C825.A66E972A@usa.net>
Source record: 122413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Sorry, contacts don't stay reliable if you give out their phone numbers. I'm sure though, that you can call either the San Diego office of the NY office and ask to speak to somone who has knowledge about the case. Then you will find out that my information is correct. See my earlier post with the information direct from the SS press release.

That's all for now.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> OK, if it is public knowledge them state your contact & how we may contact
> them for verification. Zombie Wolf is VERY firm on substantiating anything like
> this. Otherwise, it can not be accepted as factual.
>
> Tektot wrote:
>
> > Cheek is not being held anywhere. He was release later that night, from the SS
> > office where they did the paperwork. I have a pretty reliable contact, and this
> > is, or will be available as public information.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1160. Re: Range Of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SR3Q2.23068$oa3.260050@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 122414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Note that while this is indeed a good rule of thumb, it was intended originally to give RELIABLE, as in 'always works' ranges, for two way systems. For simple reception, where you don't mind if it drops out sometimes, is scratchy, etc, the real range may be as much as double this.

Either way, the primary determinor of how far away a transmitter can be, for you to hear it on your scanner, is the height of the transmitting antenna. (Unless you're standing on a good size hill, relative to surrounding terrain, in which case your height will start making a good difference). You can hear shuttle astronauts, on the ham bands, running a 5 watt transmitter, from a thousand miles away... since they're at 250 miles up. ;)

An example, for San Diego: Mt Soledad is about 800 feet. Police (and other) transmitters there would be probably receivable for about 56 miles. (sqrt(800)*2)=56 and a bit. Best reliability would be within 28 miles.

Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net> wrote in message news:37105BC7.65FE1CCD@ibm.net...
> Take the square root of the height of the scanner antenna and do the
> same to the station you are trying to listen to. Then add the
> numbers together. That is about therange in miles. You can
> somtimes use the fudge factor and multiply this number by 1.2 to
> 1.3. The first is a line of sight distance and the fudge factor is
> because radio waves will thed to follow the curve of the earth. Say
> the scanner antenna is 4 feet above ground and the transmitter is
> 100 feet above ground. That would be 2 + 10 for a total of 12
> miles, then add a small fudge factor of 1.2 for around 14 miles.
>
>
> Skoiboy wrote:
> >
> > I was wondering how many miles range I could get out of my BC235 I am going
> > to get...And then what upgrade antenna could I get that would up that the
> > most?
> >
> > On average...
> >
> > --
> > Dave
> > zerotwist@home.com
> > AOL: Skoiboy
> > ICQ: 542112

1161. PRO-2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <clairvoyant1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3710CC65.1452@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122415

anyone know of any mods for this scanner?

1162. Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: navsaria@prairienet.org
Message-ID: <9a4Q2.148$zf.51@firefly>
Source record: 122416
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings. Will an inexpensive Weatheradio with alert (like the ones at Radio Shack) work in a car? I'm contemplating simply placing a battery-powered one in the car, or, if need be, a desktop model hooked into the car's cigarette lighter or something.

I was told by a local Radio Shack that this wouldn't work too well since the metal of the car would possibly prevent the alerts from being picked up. I'd rather not go to the expense and trouble of a CB with an ext. antenna.

I'm doing this because I commute 70 miles/day in East Central Illinois. Last week, while I knew there was a chance of thundershowers, it wasn't until I got home that I learned that there had been severe weather warnings issued (which hit an hour after I got home), as well as tornado watches (which spawned a tornado north of us a few hours later). I don't usually listen to the radio in the car (I listen to taped BBC World Service programmes), so that doesn't help much. I could also hook one up at work, but in last Thursday's case, that wouldn't help -- I wasn't at my usual work site in the afternoon and evening.

I'd appreciate any replies. Please reply by e-mail and I'll summarize if there's interest...

Peace and Prosperity,
Dipesh

-<*>--<*>--
Dipesh Navsaria Apologies for the semi-munging of my address below:
<navsaria at the machine prairienet in the top-level domain org>

Unsolicited e-mail that does not relate directly and immediately to the contents of this post is hereby refused. Any mail that involves adding my address to a mailing list is hereby refused whether it is relevant to the topic or not. Please also note my strict adherence to The Boulder Pledge:

"Under no circumstances will I ever purchase anything offered to me as the result of an unsolicited e-mail message. Nor will I forward chain letters, petitions, mass mailings, or virus warnings to large numbers of others. This is my contribution to the survival of the online community."

1163. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7eqj29lro1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122417
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I knew someone would fall for it !!! I WAS JOKING !!! (About the FWPP)
although, I do have crazy relatives, don't you !!!

- ERIC

Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com> wrote in message
news:uQ#gyYAh#GA.206@upnetnews03...
>
> BB Wolf wrote in message <7eoja2rot1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > Well Don, I for one am in the Federal Witness Protection Program and
> also
> >have crazy relatives !! ;-}
> >
> >-ERIC
>
>
>
>
> So what's the point? Undesirables are going to find were you live from an
> nationwide ISP account and show up at your home?
>
> Does not make sense to me as long as one does not give out an address or
> send someone money who will defraud them.
>
> Ivan Ivanovich
>
>

1164. Bill Cheek Added Notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: anonoymous <4@spam.org>
Message-ID: <3710D3F8.15631BB4@spam.org>
Source record: 122418

NOTICE: We no longer sell data decoder interfaces
of any type or kind.

http://www.comtronics.net/index.html

Increase censorship of government information and control the news
media government information gathering ability.
The info control laws started during the Reagan years, accelerated
during the Bush years, and continues through the Clinton years.

Does this mean they want to have a One Police State or do they
already?

It would be justice if he wins the case.

1165. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904111701.TAA08282@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 122419

Ron Goch (rongoch@aol.com) wrote:
: i thought it was ok to listen to whatever, as long as you didnt speak of it??

In real life the rule is if you don't speak of it, you won't get caught.

1166. My Reply to Mikey's E-Scree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech185@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eqofutcb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122420

No, Mikey, wrong on all counts. I had done a dejanews search to check something out re: scanners and one of your screes came up and it reminded me of what a lot of effort you put into a failed attempt trying to silence me some time ago. So I thought that I'd use a throw away mailer for a couple of hours worth of fun. You go to such extraordinary extremes that it is a lot like watching the really neurotic chimp in the monkey house.

I'm very well employed, doing something I love in one of the most beautiful places on earth. And, I haven't ever really needed to work since I won the gene pool at birth, thanks to grandpa. Tweaking you is just a momentary recreation. Don't be flattered, that warm feeling is just me pissing on you from a very high place.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1167. FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@amdyne.net
Message-ID: <923851487.303.59@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122421

SS-70A descrambler/scrambler

Hook it up to your scanner speaker terminals and you re in business.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/scstore/hprod/ss70a.htm

$60/obo

1168. Re: Bill Cheek Added Notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7eqm4qbas1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122422

anonoymous <4@spam.org> wrote in message news:3710D3F8.15631BB4@spam.org...
> NOTICE: We no longer sell data decoder interfaces
> of any type or kind.
>
> http://www.comtronics.net/index.html
>
> Increase censorship of government information and control the news
> media government information gathering ability.
> The info control laws started during the Reagan years, accelerated
> during the Bush years, and continues through the Clinton years.
>
> Does this mean they want to have a One Police State or do they
> already?
>
> It would be justice if he wins the case.
>
>
Oh , they already have a "One police State", especially where the feds are concerned, don`t worry ! What they want is to be anonymous, they don`t want folks listening in on their next WACO murder spree, or listening to them murder another family, like they did to Randy Weaver ! Do a search some time for Linda Thompson`s articles on the matter, it is a real eye - opener. She was a reporter at the WACO massacre. They don`t want their dirty tactics on your computer screen , and that is what this is all about.

1169. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3710DB14.CDE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122423
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

KNOW IF THERE WAS A WAY TO STOP DRUG DEALERS, USERS, AND PUSHERS FROM
USINGG THESE CONTRAPTIONS AND PAGERS.

The English Patient wrote:
>
> I am somewhat shocked.....and saddened by this news.......
> There are some really nasty criminal types out there.....Why doesn't the
> Government send out a S.W.A.T team?
>
> SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>...
> >SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
> >WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
> >YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Tom@astro.net wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <jS5O2.6527$LX.2762863@WReNphoon3>, kd5boc@adisfwb.com (Thomas
> D Jones Jr) wrote:
> >> >GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were

> >> >talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
> >> >But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered
> cell
> >> >phones?
> >>
> >> IMHO you have to pay more attention to a cell phone than a radio.
> >> I see every idiot on the planet now with one of those silly things. I see
> kids
> >> LEAVING college classes so they can answer their stupid ass cell phone!
> >> Freakin' amazing...Whats WORSE is the women who do their hair and makeup
> while
> >> driving, you want to make a new law.......
> >>
> >> Tom
> >
> >--
> >This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> >Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> >that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> >Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

1170. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3710DC55.3466@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122424
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.usa,soc.culture.canada

oh no, not CB radio. Breaker Breaker, anyone got their ears on? Come
on.

Nice to know that the law is finally putting a stop to all this driving
and cell phone usage.

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>, SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!!
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
> >WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
> >YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE
> Well over here Jerry it is now considered to be a criminal offense to
> use a cell phone whilst driving. This is the opinion of our motorway
> traffic police. There have been a number of fatal accidents caused by
> drivers using cell phones. In the light of this then it probably follows
> that the use of CB radios and similar may also have a contribution to
> road accidents. I have seen even heavy truck drivers using cell phones
> whilst they make turns at road junctions and that is really scary
> because they are supposed to be professional drivers.
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>
> trojan.horse@virgin.net

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

1171. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3710DCBB.329@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122425
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote:
>
> KNOW IF THERE WAS A WAY TO STOP DRUG DEALERS, USERS, AND PUSHERS FROM
> USINGG THESE CONTRAPTIONS AND PAGERS.
>
> The English Patient wrote:
> >
> > I am somewhat shocked.....and saddened by this news.......
> > There are some really nasty criminal types out there.....Why doesn't the
> > Government send out a S.W.A.T team?

(S)peacial (W)eapons (A)nd (T)actical? Hut, hut, hut
> >
> > SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! wrote in message <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>...
> > >SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
> > >WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
> > >YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Tom@astro.net wrote:

> > >>
> > >> In article <jS5O2.6527$LX.2762863@WReNphoon3>, kd5boc@adisfwb.com (Thomas
> > D Jones Jr) wrote:
> > >> >GOOD! I have been involved in two accidents caused by people who were
> > >> >talking on their cell phones instead of watching the road.
> > >> >But what about those of us who talk on our radios? Are they concidered
> > cell
> > >> >phones?
> > >>
> > >> IMHO you have to pay more attention to a cell phone than a radio.
> > >> I see every idiot on the planet now with one of those silly things. I see
> > kids
> > >> LEAVING college classes so they can answer their stupid ass cell phone!
> > >> Freakin' amazing...Whats WORSE is the women who do their hair and makeup
> > while
> > >> driving, you want to make a new law.......
> > >>
> > >> Tom
> > >
> > >--
> > >This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> > >Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> > >that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> > >Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!
>
> --
> This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
> Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
> that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
> Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

--
This man has E.S.P.. In other words, I am Exciting,
Sexy, and Polish. Come on now, you actually thought
that E.S.P. was an abbreviation for Extra Sensory
Perception? Ha Ha. Get real!!!!

1172. Specific BCT7 Beartracker info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <3710e5f8.239549929@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 122426

Have one, and need to know some specifics. Are user entered
freq's scanned for repeaters? and where is the arbitrary 3 mile range
determined from?

1173. Re: Yes Malex is Still...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3710a42c@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 122427

In article <7eockm$4jj$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, beech185@my-dejanews.com says...
>
>a whining little dingleberry who has pissed of hundreds of people in many
>different forums. Michael, you tiny brained fudge packer, why don't you find
>somewhere else to vent your considerable spleen?

I second the motion.

I hear that Milosevic needs
>a press relations officer. Right down your alley, say what?
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1174. Scanner frequencies !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjcarels <pjcarels@westbrabant.net>
Message-ID: <7eqpd5upj7@news.concepts.nl>
Source record: 122428

```
SCANNER FREQUENCIES
Nieuw !! Nu met live stereo scanner Arizona
New, now with live stereo scanner Arizona
http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/scanja5.html
Groeten / Regards,
Piet.
```

1175. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904111839.UAA22181@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 122429
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Don Bertone (dbertone@flash.net) wrote:
: I can never figure out why people use fake names out here instead of
: just letting people know who they are and how they can be contacted.
: Why are they h"hiding"? Man, am I going to get flamed on this one!

There are many reasons. Perhaps some people don't like to advertise to
the whole world who they are and the fact they own valuable,
easy-to-steal, radio gear. Remember, these posts are accessible by
millions worldwide, for virtually forever. A few people reading them
might be less scrupulous than the average honest citizen.

1176. Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: acunet3278@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122430
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
Please see the URL below for details:
http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html

Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
Plz advise. Thank U.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1177. Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: acunet3278@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7eqtir$1l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122431
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?
Please see the URL below for details:
http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html

Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
Plz advise. Thank U.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1178. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Badder <j_badder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3710BD2D.4556@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <370E9C0B.99A@ameritech.net>, SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!!
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >SOME GUY RECENTLY GOT ARRESTED FUR FAILURE TO YIELD TO A SHERRIFF'S CAR
> >WITH EMERGENCY LIGHTS ON. WHY? BECAUSE HE WAS DISTACTED BY TALIKNG ON,
> >YOU GUESSED IT, A CELL PHONE
> Well over here Jerry it is now considered to be a criminal offense to
> use a cell phone whilst driving. This is the opinion of our motorway
> traffic police. There have been a number of fatal accidents caused by
> drivers using cell phones. In the light of this then it probably follows
> that the use of CB radios and similar may also have a contribution to
> road accidents. I have seen even heavy truck drivers using cell phones
> whilst they make turns at road junctions and that is really scary
> because they are supposed to be professional drivers.
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>
> trojan.horse@virgin.net

I don't think there's anything wrong with a law restricting the use of
cell phones while driving although, I'll admit, I do it every once in a
while. People drive bad enough without a phone stuck to their ear. If
you think about it, it does take your concentration away from driving.
Oh, well. The decision is not up to me anyways...

1179. Re: For sale: FCC Frequency Database CD-ROM, 1998

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3710F53D.5625@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122433
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.scanner,alt.radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Note that this item sells brand new for only $25.00, direct
from discmagic.com:

<http://www.discmagic.com/electronics/mrscanner.htm>

so don't get trapped into over-bidding on e-bay for it !!

Trish

lily@abive.net wrote:
>
> For sale on Ebay:
>
> The Mr. Scanner CDROM (vol 3, 1998) contains the entire USA frequency
> and callsign allocation plan for Commercial, state and local
> government, public service/utilities, and special event systems.
> The listings are arranged and searchable by callsign, frequency, city,
> state and service. Supports DOS, Windows and Windows 95/NT systems.
> Special POLICE listings help you find the most active frequencies in
> your area. Listed frequency ranges: 30 to 1000 MHZ.
>
> Only $19.99! GO HERE:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=88639687

--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu/pks-commands.html>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

1180. Bearcat BC 200 XLT Manual on-line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Io <noway@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3710FA4D.7E12@gte.net>
Source record: 122434

Is the manual to this radio online? Thanks!

1181. FS: Maxtrac & Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7er0mm$41k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122435

I have the following for sale:

1 D45MWA5GB7AK Motorola Maxtrac 840 Trunked radio
features: 10 Trnkd Systems/10 Subs ea., 10 Conv Systems,
Phone (Inbound), Private Call, Scan, Palm Mic
Radio is in excellent shape, currently in use and recently back from
Motorola Depot Shop.

1 PRO-2046 Radio Shack 800 Mhz Mobile scanner
features: 100 channels (10 banks), 10 channel temporary bank,
selectable priority channel, data skip, band search,
service search (Fire, Police, EMS, DOT, Hwy Patrol)
Scanner is in excellent condition, works fine, box & manual included
if I can find them.

Make an offer! Both have NO PHYSICAL DEFECTS OR SCRATCHES!

Please reply to alanmcferrin@att.net OR alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com

Thanks

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1182. Policescanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <370fcd28.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 122466

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

1183. Re: Cellular Laws in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7eomj8tcl1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >> Conveniently outside the grip of the FCC...
> >>
> >That is part of the general idea :-)
>
> Indeed, it is quite convenient. Hopefully there is also no applicable
> extradition treaty. ;-)
>
> Just out of curiosity, what are the laws re: scanning and cellular
> monitoring in the UK? Don't you Brits have some kind of tax on radio
> and TV receivers, and the government drives around in vans equipped
> with directional antenna to track down unlicensed receivers?

Yeah it's called the F***ing TLA television license authority...Ba****ds (i
feel better now)

I know
> the European versions of scanners are not blocked from receiving
> cellular, but is it actually legal to listen? Is it legal to decode
> the forward and reverse control channels? Part of the reason I ask is
> that there is a web site in the UK somewhere that sells cellular
> eavesdropping equipment, but stipulates it for export only (they will
> not sell to people within the UK).

It is basically illegal under the wireless telegraphy act and various other
acts and add-ons to listen to anything that is not intended for yourself or
for public consumption. This means it is illegal for us to monitor Police,
fire, aircraft, ambulance,taxi, baby monitors, cordless phones, and a cat
farting !.

However, they basically cannot do squat if you 1: do not have the
frequencies in memory or programmed in the scanner at time of interception
by the "authority's", or if you are caught red handed listening to it you
are also doomed (possibly).

It is not illegal to own a scanner, own decoding software, distibute
software or basically anything else like that. The united kingdom is full of
bull***t laws like that where you are allowed to have this and have that but
you are not allowed to ever use it...stupid or what ?.

Why allow people to sell something legally in a place where it is illegal to
ever use it for it' intended purpose ?.

Anyway sod 'em !

EAVSDROPPER !!

1184. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7eommgtel1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >>
> >DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)
>
> I think just about everybody does this!
>
> --
Bloody 'eck it's Jim Dunnet and he has not had a go at my spelling this
time...ARRGGHHHH !

this is not normal Jim, i caneee take it !.

How did you escape my filter,,,,Ah i just installed OE5!

get back in there.......or perhaps not ?.

we shall see :-)

EAVSDROPPER

1185. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <370FE7FB.B7630AB1@usa.net>
Source record: 122469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Hello Eric Oyen (N7ZZT)-

You have been known to lie in the past & you have been known to slant
your support towards Bill Cheek in the past.

Your statement below VERY HIGHLY appears as another lie.

First, you state that the paper "newsday" pulled the story as it is no
longer available because it was a lie. THE WEB SITE STATES THAT
ANY STORY MORE THAN 7 DAYS OLD IS ARCHIVED & ONLY AVAILABLE
TO PAID SUBSCRIBERS, THE CHEEKS STORY IS 8 DAYS OLD NOW!

Second, if there was a lie or mis-information then the standard practice is
to print a retraction or correction. I have not seen newsday do that. NOT doing
so makes them open for a law suit & to be shuned by the journalist community.

Third, people FAR MORE CREDIBLE than YOU have confirmed the report
with federal authorities. (namely JT Ward)

Better luck next time, Eric.

n7zzt@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Tell you what guy,
> better try better than this.
> Tried the link below and it appears as though no such story exists there.
> Called the customer service support center and gave them the specifics.
> I was informed by "the Library" (thelibrary@newsday.com) that the story was
> pulled due to the incident never happeneing. It seems that they got wind of an
> attempt to defame Mr. Cheek's name and had the story pulled before any real
> damage could be done (most especially to their reputations as a news source).
>
> Better luck next time (either that or post a URL where the story can be found,
> like at the fcc news page [http"//www.fcc.gov] et al).
>
> DE N7ZZT
> Huron Kansas
>
> In article <37058f4a.2013388@news.fcc.net>,
> > In a nutshell, the Secret Service has arrested Bill and Cindy Cheek of
> > San Diego on a New York warrant charging they sold illegal devices on
> > the Internet to intercept police communications.
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1186. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rongoch@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990410202151.22299.00001260@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 122470

i thought it was ok to listen to whatever, as long as you didnt speak of it??

1187. Anyone have a copy of Freetracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@spam.com>
Message-ID: <QISP2.2692$rv1.211693@typhoon.mbnet.mb.ca>
Source record: 122471

Does anyone have a copy of Freetracker that they would be willing to email to me.

If so, please send to ve4tjr@rac.ca

Thanks.

1188. Dutch scannersite / links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bommeltje <bommeltje@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7eorpa$491o$1@reader2.wxs.nl>
Source record: 122472

Hi from Holland,

For the (international scanner-) linkspage of my scannersite I am looking
for great scannerpages, with all kind of information about scannerlistening.
If you know of a good one, you can add the link via an online-formular on my
site:

Peter's Scanner Page: http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

For the visitor who doesn't speak dutch (99.9% of the world); you can go to
the english pages by clicking the UK-flag!

Greetings, Peter van Bommel
E-mail: bommeltje@tref.nl ICQ15915751
Website: http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

1189. Trade PRO-90 for Pro-43, Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990410210103.17593.00001453@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 122473

Howdy's

I have a PRO-90 trunktracker and I would like to trade it for either a PRO-43,
or PRO-26

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

1190. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <7eosi1$97v$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

he he

serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell his radio stuff to pay his attorney and court fees!!

In article <370f645b.28970264@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@comtronics.net says...
>
>FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
>similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
>bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
>stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
>1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.
>
>$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.
>
>Location: North San Diego, CA
>
>Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247

1191. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1004992118220001@pm53-38.magicnet.net>
Source record: 122475

In article <370FC02C.CC550421@reler.net>, jevais@reler.net wrote:

> I attend a Public High School on the north side of Chicago, and I'm
> seeking frequencies used by the police and security officers in the
> school. If someone in the area that has these frequencies, please post
> them. (Mather High School)
>
> Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about
> getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am
> not totally sure.
>
> Thanks for the help
>
>
> -Dave

Ask someone to show you one of their radios. Then you could figure out
what band they are on. More than likely the VHF or UHF Business band.

1192. Re: Western Washington State frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwil@tdn.com
Message-ID: <371007a9.95277626@news.tdn.com>
Source record: 122476

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 18:22:24 GMT, "Eric" <aneura@hotmail.com> wrote:

>If anyone has freqs. for the Everett, Snohomish county, North King County
>area, it would be great if you could send them my way. Thanks, Eric
>
>
Try www.wolfe.net/~daydream/html/washington.html
Bruce W
bwil@tdn.com

1193. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990410224429.20679.00001410@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122477

>From: n7zzt@my-dejanews.com
>Date: 04-10-1999 05:15 EST
>
>Tell you what guy,
>better try better than this.
>Tried the link below and it appears as though no such story exists there.
>Called the customer service support center and gave them the specifics.
>I was informed by "the Library" (thelibrary@newsday.com) that the story was
>pulled due to the incident never happeneing. It seems that they got wind of
>an
>attempt to defame Mr. Cheek's name and had the story pulled before any real
>damage could be done (most especially to their reputations as a news source).
>Better luck next time.
>
>DE N7ZZT
>Huron Kansas

I cannot believe that. More than a week now, and there are still people
who think the Cheek arrest is a joke. Well, friend, I can tell you from my
e-mail exchange with him that Bill Cheek doesn't think it's a joke. And neither
does the reporter that wrote the original Newsday story, nor did Agent Denice
Gibson of the U.S. Secret Service's office in New York, when she read the
arrest affadavit to me over the telephone.
This is no joke or fraud. It may well have been a bad bust, and if what
I've learned so far is true Bill may come out okay, but the arrest did happen -
either that or Bill, the Secret Service and Newsday are all conspiring to
circulate the story for some reason.
If your message was just an attempt to protect Bill's reputation then
sorry, it was way too little, way too late. Bill's reputation - at least as
far as the criminal charges go - will be restored if and when he's acquitted or
the charges dropped, which I hope happens soon, and not by some idiot
fabrication such as yours.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1194. Trunk tracker mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MCSE_2_B@softhome.net
Message-ID: <37100dae.23907784@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

any mods for the handheld trunktracker the bearcat one?
cant remember the model number heh

IRC Channel
#MCSE on the ICQ network...
(irc.icq.com)
for the discussion of MCSE related topics

1195. Wanted: Fire Frequencies - Disney/Orlando area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: chadbourne505@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ep5co$n29$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122479

Does anyone out there have any fire frequencies in the Disneyworld/Reedy Creek or Orlando areas? I went on vacation without looking them up first and can't seem to find anything. Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks.
--
James Chadbourne
c9@RowleyFire.org
http://www.RowleyFire.org

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1196. FS: Massachusetts Scannermaster 5th Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mc@nospam.sover.net
Message-ID: <37102639.27729955@news2.sover.net>
Source record: 122480

For all you scanner fans who live in MASS or close to it....

This is the last edition of this popular guide to scanning in the Bay State. I don't need it anymore and will sell it for $15.00 plus postage. Please reply by e-mail if interested.

Cordially,

Michael

1197. @WTB: SEX! That got your attention! "Mods for the AR2000"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ZEVP2.2$V56.20@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 122481

Hi,
I need some mods for the AR2000 (know as "cammis" or Trio).
Any one who's owned one can they please email me with some tips/suggesttions
etc...
Plus I need a good ANT design for the 25-13.gzh range,that I can make myself

Pickards@zen.co.uk

David

1198. Re: Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bshort@speedchoice.com
Message-ID: <24B06E5BEFFFBA64.B4D3FE5153856208.5CB58E716AB9AFD1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122482

Ok, check out my page while you're at it:
http://www.qex.net/k7on/

In article <7ec4b3$4fe$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, mikrounit@hughes.net
says...
> Hello All,
>
> I have a Ham Radio & Electronics page
> that has lots of info on Satellites, Satellite Tracking,
> Yaesu, FT-847, HF, VHF/UHF, a Ham Radio Resources Page with
> tons of USEFUL links, My own HF rig reviews, plus other interesting info.
> Come and check out my page, and drop a message off to my Guest Book.
> The URL:
>
> http://kq6mu.8m.com
>
> Thanks & 73,
>
> Mike Green KQ6MU
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

--
Brian Short, K7ON 1994 E. Laguna Dr. Tempe, Az 85282
bshort@speedchoice.com >or< http://www.qex.net/k7on/
--

1199. Re: Fraud Alert: Steve Seaver, aka:kenwood404@beer.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7epa7l$hh7$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ebay is just like here. It's not 100% perfect. That would be foolish to think it was. As for a false sense of security. (?) I don't know about that, one has to make there own educated decision on who they buy from. It's up to one's own judgement. Through Ebay, you at least have a feedback system to give you another tool in your decision making process. I personally shy away from bidders and sellers with "0" feedbacks or have "mask" attached to them. But that's me. Buying here in the NG's, you pretty much have no way to know who you are dealing with !

As the saying goes, Buyers Beware!

Just my 2 cents.

-ERIC

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message news:370f75f7.4497242@news...
> On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 07:30:01 +0100, Dawson Wright <dawsonw@cari.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >Wow, Ebay is such a friendly place to shop!!!
>
> Yeah, and people are lulled into a false sense of security because
> everone has to "register," yet eBay never checks the registration
> information. I'm surprised we haven't heard more reports of fraud...
>

1200. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bshort@speedchoice.com
Message-ID: <58711FA021B907E6.31E3DDBD04B92CF1.EFA814DB706951D3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, while you're at it, check my web page:
http://www.qex.net/k7on/

In article <7elh71$j0q$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>,
ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk says...
> Hi all, After so many visits recently i thought i would upgrade it a little
> . You can now get the latest MDT monitor (v 1.5.1) and many others, the
> source code for this will also be there soon.
>
> I also have the MIR space station online SSTV galleries and some other areas
> which are still being constructed so please be patient.
>
> Look forward to seeing you all
>
> Eavsdropper
>
>
>

--
Brian Short, K7ON 1994 E. Laguna Dr. Tempe, Az 85282
bshort@speedchoice.com >or< http://www.qex.net/k7on/
--

1201. FS Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <37103B2C.932CFC53@lor.net>
Source record: 122485

I have a pro-43 in very good condition.Original Box, Manual, and
charger.
Cell mod.
$235.00 + shipping.
Email if Interested. I'm in Ohio
Thanks, Cal

1202. Regency MX3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <Ken@binary.net>
Message-ID: <3712B414.C020A8C2@binary.net>
Source record: 122187

I have just acquired two Regency MX3000 scanners and could use a little info on them.

Does anyone have the manual or know the freq ranges for this scanner?

Also could use any valid mods for this model.

Thanks!

Ken
TekWar@mindspring.com

1203. Re: CORDLESS PHONES IN THE 1.2 GHZ OR 2. 0 GHZ RANGE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <8EyQ2.363$rM2.57792@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 122188

But be aware last I heard their handset commas were straight analog

Rob Lang
KC2ENO.
Karl Dahlquist wrote in message
<7etbpr$9l4$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
>
>Panasonic sells 2.4 gigahertz phones.....
>
>
>

1204. How To Help Bill And Cindy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <OIyQ2.364$rM2.45376@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 122189

Whatever your position on what the Cheeks may or may have not done wrong, this is sure to be a test case with far reaching repercussions. I for one would welcome any well thought out plans of action to help support the cheeks, beit a defense fund, letter wring campaign, etc. Anyone have any ideas?

Rob Lang
KC2EOM

1205. Re: So now the FBI, SS...has the names/address of everyone who dealt with Bill Cheek????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <rMyQ2.365$rM2.57835@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 122190

<sigh>

To quote Gordo Liddy - "Head shots, head shots"

Who said it was too late for he ballot box, but too early for the cartridge box?

<Tongue only half in cheek)
Ron Lang
KC2EMO

nospam@canada.com wrote in message <3713243a.53908187@news.sprint.ca>...
>Is it safe to assume that anyone who bought anything from Bill now
>will have had their name and address on his computers of which the FBI
>I'm sure got a copy of that data?
>
>WHat will they do with that information is my question, add it to
>their files of information that they have on people? or will there be
>future raids on other people who dealt with Bill?
>
>I wonder if Bill's cellular capable (if he had any) scanners were
>seized?
>
>Anyone care to guess if they think the FBI will stop at the Cheeks?
>
>Anyone know anyone at Optoelectronics and if they are worried about
>this?
>
>Right now, I'm glad that I'm in Canada. At least we hear the police
>on their horses trotting up before they politely knock on our doors
>and ask for permission before they can come in.
>
>All kidding aside, I think people in this newsgroup have contributed
>to all this mess because of their blatant discussions about MDT data
>here.
>
>

1206. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01be8492$a4862920$LocalHost@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 122191
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in article
<371115A7.348C6D75@hiwaay.net>...
> Don't know. But, there was a special on TV news the other night that
> cops who use radar guns and hold them "in their lap" experience an
> "above-normal" amound of prostate cancer.

Some states have banned their use , due to litigation from affected
officers. Ouch.

Dan

1207. ebay BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cobalt <skold@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3712C285.4EF5C4FA@netscape.net>
Source record: 122192

300 channels, trunktracker... great scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89447465

if you have any questions email skold@netscape.net

1208. Re: Fort Wayne IN Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David S." <kb9akpNOSPAM@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3712CA7B.DAA98A4D@ibm.net>
Source record: 122193

ClayFyre61 wrote:

> Does anyone have codes or signals for Fort Wayne IN, or know where I can find
> them?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> JLJ

There is a list of the Allen County police & fire codes on IndyScan -
http://www.IndyScan.com/.
Look under the Allen County section. Do you listen to the FWPD often? They are
supposed to be going trunked soon.

David

1209. ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7eumps$m9e$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 122194
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5

Has over 415 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a
super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
.Has about 97 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio
with a great following of over 174 vsers on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100

So Far we only have 189 users! Not to bad ! If you are even thinking about
one of these units come join us
we may all learn somthing!!!

1210. Re: live scanner broadcast?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3712E04D.683B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

R.Bruins wrote:
>
> Hi evryone,
>
> I am from holland and have a question. Does anybody have a url where i
> can hear live scanner broadcast from a fire department. The only fire
> department i know is dallas perhaps anyone knows a nother one.
>
> thanx
>
> Richard
>
> p.s. sorry for the incorrect spelling

The following Fire and Rescue Departments are now availble on the Police World Scanner site at http://www.policeworld.net/user/bobcann/live.html

Indianapolis FD
Monroe County New York FD
Montgomery County Maryland FD
Providence FD
Rochester FD

1211. Re: NEEDED FREQUENCIES FOR WASHINGTON STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3712DEDB.7320@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122196

TRAWICK wrote:
>
> DOES ANYONE KNOW ANY FREQUENCIES FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.
> --
> Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
> Surf Usenet at home, on the road, and by email -- always at Talkway.

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.wolfe.net/~daydream/
http://www.3-cities.com/~gpaulson/scanner.htm
http://schuelaw.whitman.edu/wwfreq.html
http://www.televar.com/~scanman/
http://rowlf.cc.wwu.edu:8080/~n8845031/ps_freqs.html

http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/wa.html
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/wa.html

1212. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <3712599e.1259588@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 122197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
>Elyria Ohio.

As opposed to most the the low band frequencies used in the rest of
the state, they use 155.445 . . . . also listen to 465.55 for mobile
repeater traffic . . . .

1213. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <7etje4$6jv@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 122198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

VERY well said!!!

Charlie

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote in article
<37112207.94292817@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
> On 11 Apr 1999 01:06:09 GMT, you@some.com (Newbie) wrote:
>
> >he he
> >
> >serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell his radio stuff
to
> >pay his attorney and court
fees!!
> >
> >
>
> If I'm not mistaken this stuff was for sale on Bill's web site before
> the S.S. came knockin.
>
> If Bill doesn't get out of these lame charges, it could mean we all
> are in trouble as far as further restrictions on our hobby.
>
> Also, If you knew anything about scanners you would know that Bill has
> done more for this hobby than most of you "newbies" will ever be able
> to match.
>
> Unfortunatly Bill got caught having a battle of wits with a few
> unarmed parties in these news groups and know everyone thinks he's a
> bad guy.
>
> Let's not turn this post into a flame war since that's all you people
> that have nothing good to contribute to this "scanner" newsgroup know
> how to do.
>
> Remember the off topic posts and flame wars are supposed to be in
> "alt.fan.john.mackey".
>

1214. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com>
Message-ID: <371210F0.5F9F51A5@americasm01.nt.com>
Source record: 122199
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

??? Your Nuts...

Radio Dude wrote:
>
> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

1215. Re: So now the FBI, SS...has the names/address of everyone who dealt with Bill Cheek????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7et96anpm1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122237

<nospam@canada.com> wrote in message
news:3713243a.53908187@news.sprint.ca...
> Is it safe to assume that anyone who bought anything from Bill now
> will have had their name and address on his computers of which the FBI
> I'm sure got a copy of that data?

It s running scared of this that gives them power !

you know what your middle finger is for don't you :-)

EAVSDROPPER

1216. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Costin <bcostin@clark.net>
Message-ID: <7etal5$3s$1@callisto.clark.net>
Source record: 122238

Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com> wrote in message
news:7emor4$5ti$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> This is false. Doing this would actually *make* it illegal. In addition to
> not divulging what you hear,
> you are not supposed to use it for personal gain. You are describing clear
> personal gain and
> would most certainly remove all doubt about it being illegal.
>
> Bill - n2asa

I guess I'm missing something. How is this any different from what news reporters do all the time? And for that matter why should the police care if you recieve personal gain by responding to a public safety transmission, so long as you stay out of the way and don't break any laws? I can see that this would be true for intercepted private communications, but how can this apply to a public safety situation?

Not arguing, just asking.
-Bryan

>
> Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
> >Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
> >the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
> >once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
> >reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
> >side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
> >etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.
> >
> >It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.
> >
> >Mike
>
>
>

1217. Weather Radio in other countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher R. Cuomo" <hotmail@com.chriscuomo>
Message-ID: <3712333B.7B05@com.chriscuomo>
Source record: 122239

Both the U.S. and Canada have 24 hr weather radio on 162.4 - 162.55. Do any other countries have a similar system on the same (or other) frequencies outside the standard AM/FM broadcast bands?

1218. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnglmassiv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412140131.04132.00003548@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122240

>>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.

I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks away. Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't have anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older cheappy Pro-33.

1219. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: MadAdmin@not.here
Message-ID: <3734352d.359339850@news.gte.net>
Source record: 122241
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 14:52:03 +0100, Andrew Blyth
<ablyth@pcbs.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <3722f2e8.342372121@news.gte.net>, <Enter your favorite Lewis
>Carroll character here> <MadAdmin@not.here> writes
>>Or like the guy who slipped in the shower and had to have it
>>surgically removed from his asshole
>What was he doing with a cell phone in the shower anyway?

Supposedly his dog drug it in..... I don't know it was in one of those
humor newsletters of supposedly true wierd news....

>Andrew Blyth
>
>Illiterate? Write for free help.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
The sig.....

What do Alexander The Great, John the Baptist
and Winnie the Pooh all have in common?
The same middle name.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1220. Re: Grove-ent upgrade Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37123200.2294795@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122242

Using the Grove Beam antenna here. Tried disconne, and several others,
the beam worked the best, bc895xlt.

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 00:11:43 -0400, "Storm"
<Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>For anything other than your local surrounding towns, you will need to hook
>up to an external antenna on the roof, or atleast outside a window. I live
>in Fairfield, CT and can pick up Danbury FD and well beyond with my base
>antenna, sometimes with the mobile antenna as well. The aftermarket duckie
>antenna is an improvement over the stock antenna, but for real range you
>need an antenna outside, and as high as you can safely put it. I would go
>with Grove's Scanner beam antenna.
>Good luck
>KM
>Fairfield County Fire Monitoring
>Skoiboy wrote in message ...
>>I was wondering what everyone thought of the Duckie antenna they are
>>selling...I am going to get a BC235 from them and I asked about the antenna
>>and the lady said the antenna that comes with it is pretty bad...and I live
>>far away from any big cities so I think I might want to order a better
>>antenna when I order my Scanner and she said to get there duckie
>>antenna...Anyone think this is a good idea...I'm in Danbury, Connecticut so
>>I'm about 50 miles from New York city and about 50 from Hartford....
>>
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>>--
>>Dave
>>zerotwist@home.com
>>AOL: Skoiboy
>>ICQ: 542112
>>
>>
>
>

1221. Re: BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <3713325e.2389142@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122243

I've tried all that scanner software. It slows down my scanner.
Don't bother with it!! Get a good antenna, get 2 scanners, one for
your auto, don't waste your cash on that software junk.

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 10:44:18 -0500, "Bryan Stethem"
<bstethem@attco.com> wrote:

>I would like to know from experienced users: what is the best software to
>control a 895XLT ?
>
>

1222. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <3712383b.9065296@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 122244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 00:07:40 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>
><whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net> wrote in message
>news:370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net...
>> Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over
>>
>DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)
>
>Here ti si
>
>http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>regards
>Eavsdropper
>

Well, the powers that be have somehow prevented it from being
downloaded. I get an error message (temporary network error - yeah
right) every time, from different machines and ISPs, etc. Anybody
have any success, or has our big brother the all-knowing government
blocked this? I tried to get Winflex and MDT monitor, to no avail,
but I couldn't get ANY of the software on this site.

Tony

tdowden@c-cube.com

1223. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Heibult <dheibult@prairie.lakes.com>
Message-ID: <3712573E.85524325@prairie.lakes.com>
Source record: 122245
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack sells combination CB/weather radios that work pretty well if you're within 30 miles or so of the wx transmitter site. These are mobile rigs that mount in your vehicle.

Dipesh Navsaria wrote:

> Greetings. Will an inexpensive Weatheradio with alert (like the ones at
> Radio Shack) work in a car? I'm contemplating simply placing a
> battery-powered one in the car, or, if need be, a desktop model hooked
> into the car's cigarette lighter or something.
>
> I was told by a local Radio Shack that this wouldn't work too well since
> the metal of the car would possibly prevent the alerts from being picked
> up. I'd rather not go to the expense and trouble of a CB with an ext.
> antenna.
>

snipped.

1224. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnglmassiv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412141859.04132.00003556@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122246

> Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about
>getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am
>not totally sure.

Almost all CPD uses 460 MHz..You mentioned that you have a freq counter..the radios that the security uses are probably powerful enough that you do not need to be standing on top of the cop while transmitting. I would play around with the counter at or near Mather. My 1999 edition of Police Call doesn't list which police freqs are allocated to school security use, but many are concentrated between 460-461, and 465-466.

Brendan

1225. Re: CORDLESS PHONES IN THE 1.2 GHZ OR 2. 0 GHZ RANGE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7etbpr$9l4$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122247

Panasonic sells 2.4 gigahertz phones.....

1226. Re: trunker software and interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: swtempo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7etimc$8vb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122248

In article <37078b40.6439276@enews.newsguy.com>,
Lextor@earthlink.com (Lethal Lextor) wrote:
> I don't use any software crap on my bc895xlt.
>

I sure hope you know EVERY frequency of the trunked group your trying to listen to. I hook up my 2006 to the com port, program in the control frequency, run trunker and watch ALL of the frequencies I need to program into my pro 2066 and I'll never miss a message!!!!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1227. UK scanner site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sniff <sniff@snatch.com>
Message-ID: <37124570.0@news1.mcmail.com>
Source record: 122249

http://www.martex.mcmail.com

1228. Re: F.S Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.comNoSpam
Message-ID: <19990412151603.28880.00002971@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122250

>Subject: F.S Opto Scout

> For Sale. Like new, comes with charger, carrying case, DB32 and
>telescoping antennas.
>box and manual. $200 includes shipping.

consider this sold (if its not already)...
e-mail me your name & address so I can send
you a Money Order...

labtech1@aol.com
labtech1@nospam.aol.com

1229. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kontos@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7etknraie1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122251
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9a4Q2.148$zf.51@firefly>,
navsaria@prairienet.org (Dipesh Navsaria) wrote:
> Greetings. Will an inexpensive Weatheradio with alert (like the ones at
> Radio Shack) work in a car? I'm contemplating simply placing a
> battery-powered one in the car, or, if need be, a desktop model hooked
> into the car's cigarette lighter or something.
>
> I was told by a local Radio Shack that this wouldn't work too well since
> the metal of the car would possibly prevent the alerts from being picked
> up. I'd rather not go to the expense and trouble of a CB with an ext.
> antenna.
>

I was able to hear NOAA radio using a handheld scanner with a stock antenna
at a range of about 45 Miles. I think it was geography that prevented me
from getting further more than distance. Whatever radio you find to suit
your needs should be fine even if the antenna is inside the car.

****
Dave Sparro
Note: for quickest responce to E-mails,
send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1230. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <a3sQ2.156$Ur1.1407@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122252

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990412101748.04129.00002849@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> Here's a list of potential resources from the EFF that I sent to Bill. I'm
> posting them here in case anyone has interest in looking into this
further:
>
>Massive clip to save bandwidth<

Given the depth of feeling about the entire subject of Radio Censorship
that has beengrowing in this country since the ECPA was enacted in 1986 by
the Cellfone Industry, I am wondering if this case could be the beginnings
of a massive Class Action Lawsuit on behalf of All the Scanner listeners in
the Country, to try and put common sense back into the Communications Law,
and not let the country be the laughingstock of the world yet again.....

Bill, N3DDY

1231. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tork <tork@cts.com>
Message-ID: <7etiu0$9mn$1@nusku.cts.com>
Source record: 122253
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

You wouldn't have to put up a CB antenna, a smaller scanner antenna would do just fine for the 162mhz range. If you can, you could also auto multicoupler and hook it up to your car antenna. The Grove sells them for $16.95. Then you wouldn't have to have another antenna at all.
Good Luck.

David
KF6DGN
>
>Dipesh Navsaria wrote:
>> I was told by a local Radio Shack that this wouldn't work too well since
>> the metal of the car would possibly prevent the alerts from being picked
>> up. I'd rather not go to the expense and trouble of a CB with an ext.
>> antenna.

1232. Re: scancat??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3712567c$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 122254

Just got a refurbished SC150 from Grove for $99. Works pretty well; There are images, which you may or may not want.... However, in a heavily urban area, expect to get interference on many UHF freqs from paging, etc.

Might be good as a travel/throw around scanner....
Mike V <omzig@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:IipQ2.2054$%K3.10156@news.megsinet.net...
> Anyone have any good or bad experiences with the Uniden Sportcat scanner??
>
>
>

1233. Hamcomm/ Trunker 3.7/ Pro2006 Help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wSsQ2.610$Ji.2425520@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122255

Has anyone got the Hamcomm interface working with Trunker 3.7. I have the Hamcomm plugged into the 2006 discriminator and it is sending a signal through the hamcomm (checked with a program called Slicer) but Trunker doesn't seem to understand it. I have the correct pin (DSR) selected and I've tried the inverting option still no go. Any help would be appreciated. Please reply via email or to tne newsgroup
email swtempo@yahoo.com
Thanks!!

1234. FS Yeasu VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <279-3712546B-88@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122256
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The VX-5R is a miniature tri band FM transceiver with extensive receive frequency coverage, providing leading-edge features for 2M, 440MHz and 50MHz amateur communications along with unmatched monitoring capability.

The VX-5R's super thin profile and durable lightweight design allows you to take it anywhere- hiking, skiing, or while walking around town, and its operation flexibility brings the user many avenues of operating enjoyment. Besides the 50, 144, and 430 MHz transmit operation, the VX-5R provides receive coverage of the AM and FM broadcast bands, HF short-wave bands up to 16 MHz, VHF and UHF TV bands, the VHF AM aircraft band, and a wide range of commercial and public safety frequencies! With its unique optional Barometer pressure sensor unit provides readout of barometric pressure and altitude while mountain climbing and hiking.

The transmitter section provides 5 watts of clean power output on the 50 MHz and 144 MHz bands with the supplied FNB-58LI high-capacity Lithium-Ion battery pack, 7.2V @ 1100 mAh and 4.5 watts output on 430 MHz. When 13.8 V DC power is supplied from external source, power output is 5 watts on all bands. Freqs recieve from 0.5-16 MHZ, 48-729 MHZ,and 800-999 (less cell). Transmitt from 50-54 MHZ,140-174MHZ, and 420- 470 MHZ. Comes with manual , box, ac charger adapter, and leather case. 1 month old. Asking $320 dollars firm.
Art

1235. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <37125FC0.15AFFEBB@banet.net>
Source record: 122257

you are right. wow i wonder if the press will help the cheeks in their battle with the secret service? after all the press scan all day every day. i bet that they decode MDT info too. the press also get in the way at many scenes. i wonder if they all have ham licenses? after all, as i understand it, in most states you must be a ham inorder to scan in a car?
i realize that the media must look down at us (hobbyists) as a bunch of geeks. and that it is ok for them to scan,decode and even listen to cell calls but for us.
any thoughts?
steven

Bryan Cosl - n2asa

> I guess I'm missing something. How is this any different from what news
> reporters do all the time? And for that matter why should the police care if
> you recieve personal gain by responding to a public safety transmission, so
> long as you stay out of the way and don't break any laws? I can see that
> this would be true for intercepted private communications, but how can this
> apply to a public safety situation?
>
> Not arguing, just asking.
> -Bryan
>
> > Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
> > >Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
> > >the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
> > >once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
> > >reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
> > >side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
> > >etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.
> > >
> > >It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.
> > >
> > >Mike
> >
> >
> >

1236. Re: coax for 900 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <371262FC.E7C88F60@netxn.com>
Source record: 122258

RG-6 works great for 800 & 900 mhz. I am using RG-6 for a 50 foot run
from a discone to one of my scanners and listening to numerous freqs in
th 950mhz range. Radio Shack has all of the adaptors to go from the 'F'
connector on the coax to BNC and PL259.

Good luck ... Happy Scanning ...

Mike Derryberry

Chris Ellis wrote:
>
> All,
>
> What coax would you reccomend for a 25 foot run, from a discone, for 900
> MHz coverage?
>
> -chris

1237. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill in Cleveland <poohbear@bridgepc.com>
Message-ID: <ArtQ2.76$h51.13228@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 122259

Lenore & Frank wrote in message <371138CD.52985CB4@centuryinter.net>...
>I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
>Elyria Ohio.

155.445

1238. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990412172507.15602.00007040@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122260

>> >Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
>> >Get them developed IMMEDIATELY and see if you can sell them to
>> >the news.

From: "Bryan Costin" <bcostin@clark.net>
Date: 04-12-1999 12:30 EST
>
>I guess I'm missing something. How is this any different from what news
>reporters do all the time?

It isn't really. I did it for about 10 years as a newspaper photographer
and reporter.
I suppose some cop could get picky about it and start causing problems for
the media, but most police departments and reporters realize it's in both
parties best interests to get along.

>And for that matter why should the police care if
>you recieve personal gain by responding to a public safety transmission, so
>long as you stay out of the way

They don't really. However, it is a clear violation of federal law, at
least with freelancers. With staffers it's a bit different. They'd be getting
paid whether they showed up at the scene or were working on a totally different
story, so the link between scanner and personal gain is less clear.
The personal gain issue dates back many years ago to when tow truck
operators (and some funeral homes, ambulance services and lawyers, too) would
monitor police transmissions and race to be the first one at the scene of an
accident in hopes of getting the business. It got so bad in some places the
competitors would actually get into fist fights to see who would get to haul
away the car/body.
Believe it or not, Tampa was pretty much this way when I first moved here
in 1973.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1239. Re: scancat??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gizmo <mrgizmo@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <WxtQ2.2086$%K3.10507@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 122261

Sorry but i'm new...
Is Grove a dealer on the net or local to you?

Glenn Highcove wrote in message
<3712567c$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>...
>Just got a refurbished SC150 from Grove for $99. Works pretty well; There
>are images, which you may or may not want.... However, in a heavily urban
>area, expect to get interference on many UHF freqs from paging, etc.
>
>Might be good as a travel/throw around scanner....
>Mike V <omzig@megsinet.net> wrote in message
>news:IipQ2.2054$%K3.10156@news.megsinet.net...
>> Anyone have any good or bad experiences with the Uniden Sportcat
scanner??
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1240. Re: NY STATE POLICE FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3712672F.EC1A390B@banet.net>
Source record: 122262

FIRST GET YOUR CAPS LOCK KEY FIXED
then spend 12 bucks for a copy of police call+
finally, try this :
155.5050
42.14
158.9400
155.5200
155.5650

next time, list what you are monitoring so you dont get those freqs sent
back to you.

gig wrote:

> WHY CAN I NEVER HEAR NYS POLICE ARE THEY USING SOME REMOTE BASE SETUP
> OR SOMETHING?? LOOKING FOR INFO ON EASTERN LONG ISLAND NY AND
> FARMINGDALE BARRACKS
> THANKS gig@i-2000.com

1241. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37126865.ECA04A2F@netxn.com>
Source record: 122263

Thank You John for forwarding this. There are alot of us very interested in this but staying quite so as to not be pulled into the middle of the ongoing flame war. This answers many questions.

GOOD LUCK BILL & CINDY !!!

We will have goos thoughts and say a prayer that our government wakes up and realizes how stupid this is ....

Mike Derryberry

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> To my friends, associates, and well-wishers:
>
> Please forgive this form-response. So much e-mail
> has poured in that I can't possibly answer it all.
> I think it's better to offer some kind of an
> explanation than to just keep silent. You are
> receiving a "blind carbon copy" so that no one
> knows who is on my mail list.

1242. Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7etqailkh1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122264
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ranger 2970 all mods done professionally. Radio is only about 4 months old.
will trade for base cb with mods done (cobra 2000 president washington ect)
or will sell for 350 cash. or make offers on trades.
Reply to email directly. do not post to board.
thanks
Leroy

1243. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ieee802_1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7eu07j$l5r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7elh71$j0q$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>,
"Ian" <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail address to reply)> wrote:
> Hi all, After so many visits recently i thought i would upgrade it a little
> . You can now get the latest MDT monitor (v 1.5.1) and many others, the
> source code for this will also be there soon.
>
> I also have the MIR space station online SSTV galleries and some other areas
> which are still being constructed so please be patient.
>
> Look forward to seeing you all
>
> Eavsdropper
>
>

Might you answer a question from radio / scanning newbie? What is a 'Hamcomm interface', and would a Icom ICR-100 or 1000 work?

Thank you.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1244. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7etsml$b2l$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Well, the powers that be have somehow prevented it from being
> downloaded. I get an error message (temporary network error - yeah
> right) every time, from different machines and ISPs, etc. Anybody
> have any success, or has our big brother the all-knowing government
> blocked this? I tried to get Winflex and MDT monitor, to no avail,
> but I couldn't get ANY of the software on this site.
>
> Tony

You sound like a true scanner enthusiast....paranoid :-)
there were some server problems during last night -this morning it should be
ok now i have checked it and all seems well !

EAVSDROPPER

1245. F.S.BC-200XLT scanner,Unblocked cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <russsmith99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3712746B.5A8ED6BA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122267

```
Hello there,
Selling a Bearcat BC-200XLT,
200 Channel hand held scanner,
Cellular is unblocked,
Radio is in excellent codition!
$165 US shipped
```

1246. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7etso2$ef3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122268
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

Dipesh Navsaria wrote in message <9a4Q2.148$zf.51@firefly>...
>Greetings. Will an inexpensive Weatheradio with alert (like the ones at
>Radio Shack) work in a car? I'm contemplating simply placing a
>battery-powered one in the car, or, if need be, a desktop model hooked
>into the car's cigarette lighter or something.

You should just go get a cheap scanner, like a SC150 or something like that.
Then you can listen to the highway patrol, local PD AND the weather
channels. You may even stumble across some local stormchasers frequency or
SKYWARN channels which are great when the weather goes south....

-Phil

1247. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <RXuQ2.126$tu1.1755@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122269
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

>>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

>A CDMA digital phone's output is also constant in amplitude
>in the same manner, though the frequency is rapidly shifting
>or "spread", and coding gain can often permit it to operate at
>a lower average output power than an analog phone. So if
>there were a hazard, a CDMA phone would reduce it slightly
>on average.

Actually, buried somewhere in that study released a couple years ago is a portion which noted higher tissue heating and higher possible genetic changes with digitally modulated signals, as opposed to analog modulation. Sorry, can't site the specific part of that study.

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

1248. WTB: ar8000 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gerald M." <GDmedal@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7ett2v$p9u$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122270

Want to buy ar8000 unblocked. please send details to:
gurpx@mail.freetown.com thanks!

1249. Re: FS on EBAY UHF Radio TEKK-T-60 Portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <U3vQ2.802$BA4.5855794@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 122271
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,alt.emergency-services.dispatcher

I am a TEKK Dealer, so if the buyer of this needs the freqs. changed, let me know.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-2260
Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads wrote in message
<37120E88.46F6@epix.net>...
>Hello,
>
> Check out my auction on Ebay for a TEKK T-60 5 watt 2 channel UHF
>portable radio! Like new condiditon. With nicad pack (holds good
>charge) and charger. Currently on "brown dot" business frequencies, but
>can be changed to your frequency by your local TEKK dealer. BIDDING
>STATRTING AT $45.00!!!! This is a great deal!! Check it out!!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90177678
>--
> This Message Originated from the Computer of
> Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads
> mailto:ccrc@epix.net
> Have a Nice Day.

1250. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37127DC7.2EBDEB23@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 122272

This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
to reach that far.

I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)

Bruce

JnglMassiv wrote:
>
> >>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
>
> I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
> validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks away.
> Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't have
> anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older cheappy
> Pro-33.

1251. Re: scancat??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37127e8b$0$2485@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 122273

Grove is a fairly well-known dealer, and publishes Monitoring Times as well.
Here's their web site:
http://www.grove-ent.com/

Check out the bargain bin for the $99 SC-150. It's a pretty good deal for
someone that wants a startout scanner for a low price.
Gizmo <mrgizmo@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:WxtQ2.2086$%K3.10507@news.megsinet.net...
> Sorry but i'm new...
> Is Grove a dealer on the net or local to you?
>
> Glenn Highcove wrote in message
> <3712567c$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>...
> >Just got a refurbished SC150 from Grove for $99. Works pretty well;
There
> >are images, which you may or may not want.... However, in a heavily
urban
> >area, expect to get interference on many UHF freqs from paging, etc.
> >
> >Might be good as a travel/throw around scanner....
> >Mike V <omzig@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> >news:IipQ2.2054$%K3.10156@news.megsinet.net...
> >> Anyone have any good or bad experiences with the Uniden Sportcat
> scanner??
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1252. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7etvc5far1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Newbie wrote in message <7eosi1$97v$1@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>he he
>
>serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell his radio stuff to
>pay his attorney and court fees!!!
>

Figures. All the "Mackaloons" are out in force cackling with glee over the demise of Bill Cheek... Ah, but who will be laughing when the government comes knocking on YOUR door to collect your scanner, along with everyone elses'??

I won't find that funny, even if you do deserve it.

-Phil

1253. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <371285af.28893421@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 122275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 23:39:17 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>
>>
>> Well, the powers that be have somehow prevented it from being
>> downloaded. I get an error message (temporary network error - yeah
>> right) every time, from different machines and ISPs, etc. Anybody
>> have any success, or has our big brother the all-knowing government
>> blocked this? I tried to get Winflex and MDT monitor, to no avail,
>> but I couldn't get ANY of the software on this site.
>>
>> Tony
>
>You sound like a true scanner enthusiast....paranoid :-)
>there were some server problems during last night -this morning it should be
>ok now i have checked it and all seems well !
>
>EAVSDROPPER
>
"I find the government stupid" kinda paranoid. Yep! But I been
around too long to think that some folks in some branches of the
government doesn't pull some stinking stunts. And, for some reason,
your site still refuses to download due to "temporary network error"
while every other site does just fine. There's other stuff it could
be too, including a hitch in the routers between here and there. But,
I get the same stuff from home and work. I'll try another buddy's ISP
tonight. Nobody else having this problem? If not, must be my end.

Tony

"Be wary of strong drink. It can make you shoot at bureaucrats - and
miss."

1254. FS- VHF GE PORTABLE RADIO COMPLETE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <XFvQ2.751$Up1.10027@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 122276

GE Model PCS, 8ch, 138-174mhz, 4watts
Radio rates near mint ! Accessories 8/10 or better
c/w Batt, Leather Case, Ant, Desk Charger + Spkr-mic
Programming also avail (CTCSS tone - YES)

View at the link below. Questions ?? Email me.

http://www3.ns.sympatico.ca/schertzer/pcsradio.jpg

http://www3.ns.sympatico.ca/schertzer/pcscharger.jpg

http://www3.ns.sympatico.ca/schertzer/pcsmicused.jpg

$315USD + ship

1255. Re: Cellular Laws in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marylou@star.net
Message-ID: <3712B297.7DE9@star.net>
Source record: 122277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

And I thought the cellular industry in the USA was paranoid.....

You people sure have a problem with the authorities.....I'm not suprised
at some other countries, some of them people can't fart without being
arrested.....I really thought you were much more far advanced in
positive thought.

Jim Dunnett wrote:
>
> On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 21:17:00 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
> wrote:
>
> >Just out of curiosity, what are the laws re: scanning and cellular
> >monitoring in the UK?
>
> You're not allowed to listen to anything other
> than Amateur Radio, CB and Entertainment radio.
>
> Everyone does listen to what they want to, the
> law is widely ignored.
>
> >Don't you Brits have some kind of tax on radio
> >and TV receivers, and the government drives around in vans equipped
> >with directional antenna to track down unlicensed receivers?
>
> That's right. Someone has to pay for the TV programmes.
> It's worse in most other European countries. We only have
> to pay a tax once per houshold, others have to pay the
> tax on each TV set! Note that in France it is illegal
> even to own a scanner.
>
> > I know the European versions of scanners are not blocked
> >from receiving cellular, but is it actually legal to listen?
>
> Totally illegal. Many do although it would bore me silly.
> Getting difficult apparently as analogue users move to
> the higher frequency digital channels which are also
> encrypted over the radio path.
>
> >Is it legal to decode the forward and reverse control
> >channels?
>
> No....anything other than amateur, cb and broadcast...
>

> >Part of the reason I ask is that there is a web site
> >in the UK somewhere that sells cellular
> >eavesdropping equipment, but stipulates it for export
> >only (they will not sell to people within the UK).
>
> Reminds me of PGP in the USA!

1256. Atlanta Helicopter Rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7eu128$4kc$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122278

anyone catch this on the scanner?...click the link for amazing photo

http://www.cnn.com/US/9904/12/BC-FIRE.reut/

or real time video on msnbc

http://www.msnbc.com/news/258540.asp

Karl

1257. Re: NOAA Weather Balloon Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37128999.8715D668@ibm.net>
Source record: 122279

Try this sute. It describes the frequency & more.
http://www.osb.nws.noaa.gov/upper-air/radiosonde-facts.html

Jerry
N5GPC
==========

Joe Walker wrote:

> Does anyone know the radio frequencies that relay the telemetry of the
> weather balloons that the National Weather Service launches several
> times a day? Heard it was in the 400 mhz range.
>
> Thank

1258. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <2SvQ2.2309$Et1.26206@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 122280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

What a dick.

Toll Free

Newbie <you@some.com> wrote in message
news:7eosi1$97v$1@news.cyberhighway.net...
> he he
>
> serves cheeks right, he gets arrested now he has to sell
his radio stuff to
> pay his attorney and court
fees!!
>
>
>
>
> In article <370f645b.28970264@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@comtronics.net says...
> >
> >FOR SALE: 50-ft radio tower (5-sections w/top);
> >similar to Rohn 20; two rotor plates; carrier/thrust
> >bearing; Dutton-Lainson crankup winch with 3/16"
> >stranded aircraft cable; 4" pulleys; egg insulators;
> >1/8" stranded aircraft cable guys; Ham IV rotator.
> >
> >$500 obo + freight or you-haul-it.
> >
> >Location: North San Diego, CA
> >
> >Contact: bcheek@comtronics.net Fax: 619-578-9247
>
>

1259. Pro-2035 Cellular Open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johan Lehmann <jlehmann@global.co.za>
Message-ID: <923924651.262254@hermes.csir.co.za>
Source record: 122281

Is there any way to open up the cell block on the Pro-2035. In my country is is not illegal and our UHF TV broadcasts fall into the blocked section of the scanner.

Thanks
Johan Lehmann
jlehmann@global.co.za

1260. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <371299c1@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122282

Great work! I was hoping the EFF would check in on this.
--
Crazy George

1261. Re: NEW LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPRING HAS SPRUNG !!!! <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <371296AB.3C26@ameritech.net>
Source record: 122283
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

HOW ABOUT A LAW THAT STATES; YOU CANNOT TIE UP THE CURB LANE WITH YOUR
CAR DURING THE MORNING RUSH TO WORK BECASUE YOU HAVE TO KISS YOUR
HUSBAND/WIFE/BOYFRIEND/GIRLFRIEND FOR 15 FREAKING MINUTES.
GIVE IT UP. PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO GET TO WORK MAN

Enter your name here wrote:
>
> >besides if you are using one hand to talk on the phone how can you
> >say you have 100% control of your vehicle.
> >Dillo
> >
> >
> >
> if i have an automatic,then i have my shifting hand free.do what ever i
> want with it.
> how about no driving when your nails are wet and you lightly "palm" the
> steering wheel?

--
GIVE CREDIT; WHERE CREDIT IS DUE!

1262. CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John W. Klink, Jr." <colonel@erinet.com>
Message-ID: <7eu6mr$7oi$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 122284

So what is the real deal with the CE-232. Another government take over?
Who gets the components for these devices. I am sure they are being sold
under a different name by a slew of venders. What's the verdict?

1263. Re: FS: Radio Tower - More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Eckert <DADECK@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7eu7tq$2rdu$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Does anyone have any Media frequencies for the Philadelphia /South Jersey area? I am trying to monitor them from South Jersey thanks alot Jim Eckert

1264. Regency MX3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <Ken@binary.net>
Message-ID: <3712B3E6.1A47785C@binary.net>
Source record: 122286

I have just acquired two Regency MX3000 scanners and could use a little info on them.

Does anyone have the manual or know the freq ranges for this scanner?

Also could use any valid mods for this model.

Thanks!

Ken

1265. Re: Edmonton & Grande Prairie Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Karl B. Staddon" <ve6kbs@agt.net>
Message-ID: <37113E7E.3A5BC813@agt.net>
Source record: 122287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In response to your request, I think you will find the search engine listed below to be just the scratch for your itch. Select the region you are searching in (must hold down the mouse to get to the right region). Then put in the location, sit back and enjoy the output!
Cheers Karl, VE6KBS

S Thibeault wrote:

> I am looking for police, fire and any other interesting ones please.
>
> Any help greatly appreciated.
>
> Regards,
>
> Steve T.

1266. Re: Edmonton & Grande Prairie Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Karl B. Staddon" <ve6kbs@agt.net>
Message-ID: <37113EBE.517539DD@agt.net>
Source record: 122288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Attachment to prior post. The website for the search engine is:

http://neon.polkaroo.net/dw/tafl/start.html

Cheers Karl.

S Thibeault wrote:

> I am looking for police, fire and any other interesting ones please.
>
> Any help greatly appreciated.
>
> Regards,
>
> Steve T.

1267. coax for 900 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ellis <csellis@home.com>
Message-ID: <37115742.6618890C@home.com>
Source record: 122289

All,

What coax would you reccomend for a 25 foot run, from a discone, for 900 MHz coverage?

-chris

1268. Fort Wayne IN Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990411223433.14636.00001310@ng146.aol.com>
Source record: 122290

Does anyone have codes or signals for Fort Wayne IN, or know where I can find them?

Thanks in advance.
JLJ

1269. Looking for MDT freqs. in NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd2pm@home.com
Message-ID: <37115e0c.428272112@news>
Source record: 122291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone has frequencies for MDT use in NJ please forward them on.
Ed Velez
kd2pm@ultracom.net
<http://www.qsl.net/kd2pm>
Virago Owners Club #6034
AMA #584133

1270. Re: 800 mhz down converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <37115eff.169123234@news.mint.net>
Source record: 122292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes they are and they work very well......

On Sun, 11 Apr 1999 23:45:20 GMT, "larry" <larry@agt.net> wrote:

>Are these downconverters which let you hear 800-900mhz on a scanner which
>has 400-500 capability
>any good?
>tks
>lm

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

1271. My Goodness, Folks Dislike Malex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: beech185@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ertra$rm0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122293

I thought I'd have a few chuckles pinching Mikey's chubby little cheeks and listening to his oh so predictable squeals. He hates anonymity because there's no ISP to whine to or server mail box that he can plug up with mail bombs. Like I really care about "fair".

Imagine my surprise when I logged into this throwaway mail account and found it stuffed (Well, 22 msg's, that's close to stuffed). Each and every message is from somebody who loaths him, most having had him whine and kvetch to their providers. Now, if 22 people took the time to mail a heart felt bitch, there have to be a lot more who didn't take the time. Oh well. I'm just so grateful that I'm not Mikey. So unloved, so disliked. Ugh, what a desolation.

Well, I'm out of here because I have a real life.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1272. Re: Grove-ent upgrade Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7errss$48u$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For anything other than your local surrounding towns, you will need to hook up to an external antenna on the roof, or atleast outside a window. I live in Fairfield, CT and can pick up Danbury FD and well beyond with my base antenna, sometimes with the mobile antenna as well. The aftermarket duckie antenna is an improvement over the stock antenna, but for real range you need an antenna outside, and as high as you can safely put it. I would go with Grove's Scanner beam antenna.
Good luck
KM
Fairfield County Fire Monitoring
Skoiboy wrote in message ...
>I was wondering what everyone thought of the Duckie antenna they are
>selling...I am going to get a BC235 from them and I asked about the antenna
>and the lady said the antenna that comes with it is pretty bad...and I live
>far away from any big cities so I think I might want to order a better
>antenna when I order my Scanner and she said to get there duckie
>antenna...Anyone think this is a good idea...I'm in Danbury, Connecticut so
>I'm about 50 miles from New York city and about 50 from Hartford....
>
>
>Thanks
>
>--
>Dave
>zerotwist@home.com
>AOL: Skoiboy
>ICQ: 542112
>
>

1273. Re: Fort Wayne IN Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard H. Emmelman" <bluemax@indy.net>
Message-ID: <37117E40.AEB5F1FC@indy.net>
Source record: 122295

Try

www.indyscan.com

Welcome to IndyScan!

ClayFyre61 wrote:

> Does anyone have codes or signals for Fort Wayne IN, or know where I can find
> them?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> JLJ

1274. Feds are here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412014600.26351.00001746@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 122296

A couple of weeks back, I posted a message warning not to divulge anything a scanner enthusiest may aquire. Aparently some of us haven't taken heed.

Keep in mind that this is a public newsgroup. Avalible to everyone including law enforcement.

1275. NOAA Weather Balloon Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: joepwalker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26875-37118158-131@newsd-162.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122297

Does anyone know the radio frequencies that relay the telemetry of the weather balloons that the National Weather Service launches several times a day? Heard it was in the 400 mhz range.

Thank

1276. Re: Freq's Oakland Co. Mich

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <37118B5E.E4A1B366@mich.com>
Source record: 122298

Nice effort, but much is several years out of date.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1277. Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990412030437.14822.00001695@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 122299

To my friends, associates, and well-wishers:

Please forgive this form-response. So much e-mail has poured in that I can't possibly answer it all. I think it's better to offer some kind of an explanation than to just keep silent. You are receiving a "blind carbon copy" so that no one knows who is on my mail list.

Since our case is pending and there are any number of possible outcomes, I think it best for now to stick to the facts. Here they are:

At about 7:00am on Wednesday, March 31, 1999, Cindy and I were enjoying our first cup of coffee of the day when there came a loud banging on the door. We supposed it to be an early morning overnight FedX or UPS delivery, so I answered the door.

A badge was shoved in my face with the announcement that there was a warrant for Cindy's and my arrests, and for a search of the premises. I stepped back and a dozen (or so) armed agents from the US Secret Service, FBI, Customs, Postal Inspectors, and even a local cop or two charged in.

I was handcuffed and put on the couch. Cindy groggily wandered into the area wondering what the commotion was all about, and was handcuffed.

I have to leave out a lot of details for the sake of time and brevity, but the next two hours were a typical TV-style search & seizure situation. Then we were hauled off to be fingerprinted and jailed.

That afternoon we were hauled into US District Court; given public defenders; and released on bail. We didn't actually get out of the slammer until about 9:30pm that evening. We have to appear back in court on April 13 for an "identity" and "removal" hearing.

We got home to find ten computers disconnected and gone; along with most of our business records; and a host of other stuff.

The worst could be yet to come.....

Our arrest warrant was issued by a US Magistrate judge
in Brooklyn, New York. The warrant specifically addresses
a simple "data slicer", to wit (exact quote - misspellings
are theirs; not mine):

US Code Title 18, Sec 2512(1)(a) and 371
==========
"conspiring to send in intrestate commerce devices
having reason to know that the design of such device
renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the
surreptitious interception of electronic communications,
to wit: Mobile Data Terminal information broadcast by
law enforcement agencies and emergency service
organizations"

I will leave it to you to interpret those charges, but as
written, I can't imagine why companies like Optoelectronics
and MFJ Enterprises weren't raided, too. They and dozens
of others sell the same "device".

In any event, the matter worsens. Since the warrant was
issued by a judge in the Eastern District, Cindy and I
apparently have to be tried there. It doesn't matter that
we can't afford to travel to and fro, coast-to-coast; and
that we have no peers in New York (I've never set foot in
the state of New York and have no peers there.....)

The fact is....we'll have to go to New York for the
indictment hearing and for the trial, should it go that
far. That's the bleak side of it; that coupled with the
fact that we can't afford the travel nor to hire competent
attorneys who are skilled in electronic communications law.

On the lighter side: over the days since our arrest,
all of our computers have been returned to us, along with
copies of most of our business records. We should get 90%
of everything back by this coming week.

I know almost nothing else at this point; at least nothing
else that I am free to discuss. I can say that Cindy and
I did not knowingly break any laws...and at this stage, I'm
not sure that we unknowingly broke any, either. At best,
I think "they got the wrong man (and woman)", and at worst,
they got a very tiny fish in a great big puddle.

I appreciate all the offers of help, though I can't suggest
where help is needed most yet. I guess if the case goes
to trial, it would be most helpful to have attorneys who
are skilled in communications law. But that is far out of
our reach and unless our financial picture changes, we'll
have to accept the services of New York public defenders.

Knowing that, if you know any attorneys who might be
interested in this case, I guess we'd appreciate your

mentioning it to them. Frankly, Cindy and I are rather demoralized and beaten down by this massive disruption in our lives, and it's probable that we don't know the best course of action to take.

Therefore, your suggestions and referral of our plight to others who might be qualified to know what's best, will certainly be appreciated by us.

I'll let you know more as we know more, if you remain interested. Thanks for your care and concern thus far.

Kindest regards,

Bill & Cindy Cheek
bcheek@comtronics.net
ccheek@comtronics.net

1278. Re: BC-3000 XLT Replacement Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990412030927.14822.00001696@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 122300

>From: "Anlimc3" <anlimc3@net-connect.net>
>Date: 04-11-1999 22:41 EST
>
>Does anyone have any recommendations for replacement battery packs for
the
>BC - 3000 XLT besides the standard pack that you get from Uniden?
>How about modifying a factory pack with improved cells?
>

I don't know about "improved cells," but I had my battery pack rebuilt by a local custom battery company for about $35, which is about $20 less than a new pack from Uniden will cost.
The 3000XLT I have now also has a rebuilt pack (it came that way when I bought it used) and I had to have a friend resolder all the connections inside due to sloppy work by whoever rebuilt it. I must say that it works well though, giving me a good eight hours on a full charge.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1279. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: on3 <caston3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7es74qic1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122301
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

Don't know. But, there was a special on TV news the other night that cops who use radar guns and hold them "in their lap" experience an "above-normal" amound of prostate cancer.

There is a difference between a cell phone and a RADAR gun, a radar gun produces more power (like 600WATTS) or so and they are a higher frequency in the em band (about 12 GHz which is microwave.) This is like cooking your crotch with a microwave, any cop who left the thing transmitting in his/her lap would know about it almost immediately. Plus there is a thing called heat which is generated when the transmitter keeps pumping power out (I'm talking about the heat generated in the circuits). If a radar gun transmitted continuosly it would literally burn up its own guts. So there is this thing built in called a duty cycle. Radar guns can usually transmit for about 10-15seconds and then need to cool for about 1 minute - 2minutes depending on ambient air temperature.
All this bullshit about em radiation has been taken to the extreme. And now we have a bunch of uninformed people running around and spewing info like they are so knowledable. Info that was taken from a unreliable source to begin with but because the majority of people in this country are too fucking lazy too do just a little research the unreliable source is taken at their word. Come on people and wake up!
People trying to compare a 900mhz or 1.2ghz 4 watt transmitter to a RADAR gun. SHEESH!!!!
we have a wonderful tool for research right here let's use it.

on3

P.S.: Cops would have less prostate cancer if they would quit eating so much fast food and donuts. Everything in moderation is ok.

1280. Re: NOAA Weather Balloon Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412034051.02563.00001902@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122302

>
>Does anyone know the radio frequencies that relay the telemetry of the
>weather balloons that the National Weather Service launches several
>times a day? Heard it was in the 400 mhz range.
>

I have copied NOAA on the following freqs:
410.100- Voice Link
410.575- Voice Link
415.900- Voice Link
416.375- Voice Link
416.875- Packet Data
419.025- Packet Data

Brett

1281. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crawdad <crawdad7513@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7esbsl$7fg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122303
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

Only if you try to swallow them whole...
:-)
Crawdad
>--|
<:((>>>><
>--|

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1282. Re: Bill Cheek arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3711ABC1.A1D22044@uswest.net>
Source record: 122304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I see you insist on confusing me with Eric Oyen..
What is it with you?

Oh well, you continue on your dilusions. I have more
important things to do.

Hawke

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> HELLO ERIC OYEN!
>
> proudhawk wrote:
>
> > Funny thing is, he hasn't been posting under his real ident in over 6 months.
> > In fact, considering some of the phone calls I have made, there does not
> > appear to be anyone answering to the last name of cheek
> > under arrest or currently being held anywhere in the San Diego area (or in
> > surrounding communities).
>
> Perhaps he was held at another location. Given the newspaper reported it, the New York
> SS
> office confirmed it, Bill's absense for SEVERAL days from this NG,
> & his SUDDEN change to NOT selling the mentioned articles; this give GREAT
> credibility to support the occurance that it did indeed happen.
>
> > In fact, a check with the local secret service office here in Phoenix
> > yeilded some interesting results. It seems that report was made regarding
> > Mr. Bill cheek and an investigative followup was done that turned up
> > nothing substantiating the report. This followup was performed some
> > 4 weeks back. It seems that "someone" has it in for Bill, even to the
>
> The report never said the Phoenix office was involved. The report mentionedthe New
> York office of the Secret Service.
>
> See Shiva's EXCELLENT point below!
>
> > Shiva Nataraja wrote:
> > > If it's a hoax, why isn't Bill here posting about it?
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1283. Re: NOAA Weather Balloon Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arkie_Ranger@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24498-3711A7F2-133@newsd-164.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122305

Thanks Brett for the frequencies.

1284. Re: hello ERIC OYEN!! was: Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: proudhawk <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3711AD1D.42FF86A4@uswest.net>
Source record: 122306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

AAAW! Whats a matter John? Have nothing better to do than LIE?

You continue to bury yourself in lies.
Been reading Mein Kamph again?

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
> WRONG, I have been in support of Cheek. For example, I was one of the first& certainly
> the most vocal about raising a legal defense fund to assist Cheek
> in his current legal problems with federal authorities. As another example of
> my support & fairness towards Cheek, when the reports came to the surface of his
> arrest for molesting young boys by local authorities about a year ago, I was EXTREMELY
> VOCAL in stating that without supporting proof the accusation should be considered
> heresay & without merit.
>

1285. FS: Scanners/Misc. Radio EQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412071039.14820.00001932@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 122307

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for Pentium computer EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $300

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Swan Phone patch: with hook up cords. This unit looks real good, but is also untested. As is $35.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $175 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

1286. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3711DFC3.366A212B@four-way.net>
Source record: 122308
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: KA8TOK.vcf

go to this site http://eng.utoledo.edu/~jpryor/scanner/main.html

Lenore & Frank wrote:

> I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
> Elyria Ohio.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1287. Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7esof9hal1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I accidentally hit l/o while listening to county trunked and now do not receive anything for that department. is there any way to unlock without having to reprogram all the frequencies?

Thanks in advance
Ted

1288. Re: Traffic 'copter freq's for Boston?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Traurig <scott.r.traurig@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <8DA652414scottrtraurignospamc@svlnews.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 122310

Folks,

Thanks for all the replies on how to search both the net and the airwaves.

I lieu of that, however, I am first going to try the following list of
frequencies that I received:

Metro Traffic: 450.1125
Smart Routes: 472.4625
Shadow: 450.5625
SkyWay (wrko) 450.5875
WBZ radio: 450.650
SkyEye4: 450.0375, 450.5375
NewsCopter5 450.4125, 450.2875
Sky7: 450.6125

Thanks,

Scott

*** sorry, but you must despaminate my address by ***
*** substituting "lmco" for "no-spam" ***

1289. Re: WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <3711e64d.852668@news.icx.net>
Source record: 122311

On Sun, 11 Apr 1999 17:49:21 -0500, "Frank Bals"
<FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Looking for a 46" long extendable antenna to use with my handheld scanners.
>Similar to the old Grove ANT-8. Can't find the long full-length telescopic
>whips anymore.
>
>Thanks.
>
>
I made mine with a long whip from RS and BNC connector..works great
for the 46 mhz freqs!!

1290. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Baxter <XXXsbaxter@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7esog1rhn2@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 122312
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

If you think Cell phones are hazardous, then do not drive your car. Cars can kill you. I have yet to see one person die from a Cell phone.

Also, do not eat anything. All the vegetables are loaded with pesticides and the meat has hormones and nitrates in it. If you eat you will get cancer and die. This could happen before the Cell phone or Car kills you.

Jerry Constant wrote in message <5AfQ2.237$4i4.548503@news.bctel.net>...
>Yes! Especially when your driving
>acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
><7eqtir$1l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>>
>>And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
>>Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the
problem?
>>Please see the URL below for details:
>>http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>>
>>Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
>>Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>>Plz advise. Thank U.
>>
>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1291. Re: Scanner Commercial/900 MHZ Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD FLORES <RICHARD_FLORES@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7esq5u$19h2$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122313

I haven't seen the commercial anymore, but I bet they sold husands of those scanners with that tag line.

R.

1292. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: MadAdmin@not.here
Message-ID: <3722f2e8.342372121@news.gte.net>
Source record: 122314
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 08:46:14 GMT, Crawdad
<crawdad7513@my-dejanews.com> wrote:

>In article <7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
>Only if you try to swallow them whole...
>:-)
>Crawdad
> >--|
> <:((>>>><
> >--|
>

Or like the guy who slipped in the shower and had to have it
surgically removed from his asshole (yeah right - somebody probably
told him to shove his phone up his ass and he thought "wow! kinky!")

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
The sig.....

What do you do with an elephant with 3 balls?
Walk him and pitch to the giraffe.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1293. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. F. Cimperman" <cimperman@tusco.net>
Message-ID: <7esrmg$ne3@news1.ee.net>
Source record: 122315

Try this link.

http://www.qsl.net/kb8vju/osp.htm

>
>> I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
>> Elyria Ohio.
>

1294. Re: FEDS:MAN EAVESDROPED ON POLICE!!! KINGS PARK NY , LONG ISLAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. F. Cimperman" <cimperman@tusco.net>
Message-ID: <7esrv2$nq4@news1.ee.net>
Source record: 122316

Sorry people, I subscribe to several Law Enforcement only news groups, and I did see the Cheek story stuck in with several other items. stuck out in my mind as a result of all the flames about him.

Dave
FOP lodge 4

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990410224429.20679.00001410@ng-cr1.aol.com>...
>>From: n7zzt@my-dejanews.com
>>Date: 04-10-1999 05:15 EST
>>
>>Tell you what guy,
>>better try better than this.
>>Tried the link below and it appears as though no such story exists there.
>>Called the customer service support center and gave them the specifics.
>>I was informed by "the Library" (thelibrary@newsday.com) that the story was
>>pulled due to the incident never happeneing. It seems that they got wind of
>>an
>>attempt to defame Mr. Cheek's name and had the story pulled before any real
>>damage could be done (most especially to their reputations as a news source).
>>Better luck next time.
>>
>>DE N7ZZT
>>Huron Kansas
>
>
> I cannot believe that. More than a week now, and there are still people
>who think the Cheek arrest is a joke. Well, friend, I can tell you from my
>e-mail exchange with him that Bill Cheek doesn't think it's a joke. And neither
>does the reporter that wrote the original Newsday story, nor did Agent Denice
>Gibson of the U.S. Secret Service's office in New York, when she read the
>arrest affadavit to me over the telephone.
> This is no joke or fraud. It may well have been a bad bust, and if what
>I've learned so far is true Bill may come out okay, but the arrest did happen -

>either that or Bill, the Secret Service and Newsday are all conspiring to
>circulate the story for some reason.
> If your message was just an attempt to protect Bill's reputation then
>sorry, it was way too little, way too late. Bill's reputation - at least
as
>far as the criminal charges go - will be restored if and when he's
acquitted or
>the charges dropped, which I hope happens soon, and not by some idiot
>fabrication such as yours.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1295. Pro-43 on eBay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <120419990718264867%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 122317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pro-43 on eBay (w/ cell mod):

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89625460

1296. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412101748.04129.00002849@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122318

Here's a list of potential resources from the EFF that I sent to Bill. I'm posting them here in case anyone has interest in looking into this further:

USA
Advocates for Self-Government (ASG)
Self-Government is responsibility combined with tolerance. Our logoshows the result -- the blessings -- of self-government. The grain vrepresents abundance (from responsibility in economics). The olive branch represents social harmony (from tolerance in personal
expression).
ASG: http://www.self-gov.org/index.html or contact at: Advocates@self-gov.org

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)
the leading organization for the protection of civil and constitutional rights in America.
ACLU: http://www.aclu.org or contact at: infoaclu@aclu.org

American Library Association/Library and Information Technology Association (ALA/LITA)
The Library and Information Technology Association shall concern itself with the planning, development, design, application, and integration of technology within the library and information environment, with the impact of emerging technologies on library service, and with the effect of automated technologies on people.
ALA/LITA: http://www.lita.org/ or contact at: lita@ala.org

The Cato Institute
22 years of promoting public policy based on individual liberty, limited government, free markets and peace.
CATO: http://www.cato.org/ or contact at: cato@cato.org

Center for Civic Networking (CCN/CivicNet)
The Center for Civic Networking (CCN) is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization dedicated to applying
information infrastructure to the broad public good - particularly by putting information
infrastructure to work within local communities to improve delivery of local government services, improve access to information that people need in order to function as informed citizens, broaden citizen participation in governance, and stimulate economic and community development.
CCN: http://www.civic.net/ccn.html or contact at: mfidelman@civicnet.org

Center for Democracy and Technology (CDT)
The Center for Democracy and Technology works to promote democratic values and constitutional liberties in the digital age.
CDT: http://www.cdt.org/ or contact at: info@cdt.org

Center for Governmental Studies (CGS)
Our mission is to enhance the quality and quantity of governmental information available to citizens through the use of modern communications technologies;
CGS: http://www.cgs.org or contact at: center@cgs.org

Center for Study of Responsive Law (CSRL)
The Center for Study of Responsive Law is a nonprofit Ralph Nader organization that supports and conducts a wide variety of research and educational projects to encourage the political, economic and social institutions of this country to be more aware of the needs of the citizen-consumer.
CSRL: http://www.csrl.org/ or contact at: csrl@CSRL.org

Coalitiion for Academic Freedom of Expression (CAFE)
CAFE is a group of students, faculty, staff and alumni who are willing to work to protect freedom of
expression at Carnegie Mellon. We would be delighted to have you join us.
CAFE: http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/user/alma/web/cafe.html
or contact at: cafe@gs140.sp.cs.cmu.edu.

Coalition for Networked Information
CNI is an organization to advance the transformative promise of networked information technology for the advancement of scholarly communication and the enrichment of
intellectual productivity. (Includes HEIR Alliance)
CNI: http://www.cni.org/ or contact at: sharon@cni.org

Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility (CPSR)
CPSR is a public-interest alliance of computer scientists and others concerned about the
impact of computer technology on society. We work to influence decisions regarding the
development and use of computers because those decisions have far-reaching consequences and reflect our basic values and priorities.
CSPR: http://www.cpsr.org/home or contact at: webmaster@cpsr.org

Consumer Project on Technology (CPT)
Interested in anti-trust, telecommunications and privacy.
CPT: http://www.cptech.org/ or contact at: cecile@cptech.org

Corporation for National Research Initiatives (CNRI)
The Corporation for National Research Initiatives (CNRI) undertakes, fosters, and promotes research in the public interest. The activities center around strategic development of network-based information technologies. CNRI is a not-for-profit organization.
CNRI: http://www.cnri.reston.va.us/ or contact at:
web-curator@cnri.reston.va.us

Corporation for Research and Educational Networking (CREN)
CREN's strategic mission is to support low-cost access to worldwide electronic networking and its use for the benefit of education and research communities.
CREN: http://www.cren.net/ or contact at: cren@cren.net

Digital Freedom Network (DFN)
The Digital Freedom Network (DFN) promotes the use of the Internet to advance

free speech and human rights around the world. An international organization, DFN publishes material
written by human rights activists on its Web site.
DFN: http://www.dfn.org/ or contact at: info@dfn.org

Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF)
The Electronic Frontier Foundation has been established to help civilize the electronic frontier; to make it truly useful and beneficial not just to a technical elite, but to everyone; and to do this in a way which is in keeping with our society's highest traditions of the free and open flow of information and communication.
EFF: http://www.eff.org/ or contact at: ask@eff.org

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)
EPIC is a public interest research center in Washington, D.C. It was established in 1994 to focus public attention on emerging civil liberties issues and to protect privacy, the First Amendment, and constitutional values.
EPIC: http://www.epic.org/ or contact at: info@epic.org

Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting (FAIR)
FAIR is the national media watch group that offers well-documented criticism of media bias and censorship. We seek to invigorate the First Amendment by advocating for greater diversity in the press. We scrutinize media practices that marginalize public interest, minority and dissenting viewpoints.
FAIR: http://www.fair.org/ or contact at: fair@fair.org

Feminists for Free Expression (FFFE)
Feminists for Free Expression is a group of diverse feminists working to preserve the individual's right and responsibility to read, listen, view and produce materials of her choice, without the intervention of the state "for her own good."
FFFE: http://www.well.com/user/freedom/ or contact at: freedom@well.com,

First Amendment Laywers Association (FALA)
The FALA is an organization of lawyers dedicated to the defense of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, and who represent clients in anti-censorship matters.
FALA: http://www.FALA.org/ (No e-mail on their website, but good archives)

Freedom Lawyers of America (FLoA)
Its goal is to have an alliance of libertarian lawyers--a strike force able to handle governmental attacks.
FLoA: http://www.libertarian.com/shelly/floa.html or contact at: swaxman@ix.netcom.com

Fund for Constitutional Government (FFCG)
The Fund for Constitutional Government is a publicly supported, charitable, nonprofit
corporation established in 1974 to expose and correct corruption in the federal

government and other major national institutions through research and public education.
FFCG: http://epic.org/fcg/ or contact at: FunConGov@aol.com

Global SchoolNet Foundation (GSF)
The Global SchoolNet Foundation (GSN), a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation, is a major contributor to the philosophy, design, culture and content of Internet-based learning.
GSN: http://www,gsn.org/ or contact at: helper@gsn.org

Internet Society
Internet Society Mission Statement: "To assure the beneficial, open evolution of the global Internet and its related internetworking technologies through leadership in standards, issues,
and education."
ISOC: http://www.isoc.org or contact at: isoc@isoc.org

Institute for First Amendment Studies (IFAS)

Founded in 1984, the Institute is an IRS 501(c)(3) nonprofit educational and research organization focusing on the First Amendment's provision for separation between church and state.
IFAS: http://www.ifas.org or contact at: ifas@berkshire.net

Junkbusters
The purpose of our web site is to show people that they have it in their power to achieve
independence from junk communications. We immodestly compare this task to the historic
achievement of Thomas Paine: in 1776 he anonymously authored the pamphlet Common Sense,
which quickly led Americans to decide that they could and should declare themselves independent of the British monarchy.
Junkbusters: http://www.junkbusters.com/ or contact at: ideas@junkbusters.com

League of Women Voters (LWV)
The League of Women Voters is a multi-issue organization whose mission is to encourage
the informed and active participation of citizens in government and to influence public
policy through education and advocacy.
LWV: http://www.lwv.org or contact at: lwv@lwv.org

The Libertarian Party (LP)
The Libertarian Party wants to be your representative in American politics. LP says it is the only political organization which respects you as a unique and competent individual.
LP: http://www.lp.org/ or contact at: "<hq@lp.org>

Libraries for the Future
a not-for-profit organization dedicated to information equity, literacy and the preservation and renewal of libraries as essential tools for a democratic society.
LFF: http://www.lff.org/ or contact at: lff@lff.org

National Campaign for Freedom of Expression (NCFE)
The National Campaign for Freedom of Expression is an educational and advocacy network of artists, arts organizations, audience members and concerned citizens

formed to protect and extend freedom of artistic expression and fight censorship throughout the United States.
NCFE: http://www.ncfe.net/ or contact at: ncfe@ncfe.net

The Morino Institute
We believe people must be reengaged to improve their lives and their communities. We believe that reenergizing peoples participation in the world around them will help to reestablish a larger social connection.
Morino Institute: http://www.morino.org/ or contact at: feedback@www.morino.org

Organization For Community Networks (OCN)
The Organization For Community Networks is an Ohio non-profit corporation which has been
established to be a central repository for information dealing with Free-Nets/Community Networks. There is a collection of documents which have been supplied by various systems to share with new and existing systems.
OCN: http://ofcn.org/ or contact at: jmk@ofcn.org

OMB Watch
OMB Watch is a nonprofit research, educational, and advocacy organization that focuses on budget issues, regulatory policy, nonprofit advocacy, access to government information, and activities at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).
OMB Watch: http://ombwatch.org/ or contact at: carterm@ombwatch.org

Progress and Freedom Foundation (PFF)
The Progress & Freedom Foundation was founded in 1993 to study the digital revolution and its
implications for public policy. The Foundation believes that the digital revolution portends fundamental cultural, economic, political and social changes. While these changes bring challenges, they also create the potential for a new era of human progress.
PFF: http://www.pff.org/ or contact at: mail@pff.org

Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (RCFP)
The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press is a nonprofit organization dedicated to providing free legal help to reporters and news organizations.
RCFP: http://www.rcfp.org/ or contact at: rcfp@rcfp.org

Student Association for Freedom of Expression (SAFE)
MIT Student Association for Freedom of Expression (SAFE). We work to organize support for freedom of speech and other forms of freedom of expression.
SAFE: http://www.mit.edu:8001/activities/safe/home.html
or contact at: safe-request@mit.edu

Taxpayer Assets Project (TAP)
The Taxpayer Assets Project (TAP) was founded by Ralph Nader in 1988 to monitor the
management and sale of government property.
TAP: http://www.tap.org/ or contact at: tap@tap.org

Webmasters Coalition for Freedom of Speech (WCFS)
The Webcasters Coalition for Free Speech has a simple goal: "to promote

protection of free speech on the Internet." To that end, the Coalition will distribute information on potential threats to Internet free speech via the mechanisms of webcasting.
WCFS: http://thesync.com/wcfs/ or contact at: info@thesync.com

1297. Motorola Saber systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7esvn8$8ql$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122319
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio for $650 FIRM plus s/h costs. I am specifically looking for kenwood mobile and portable that is
field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no interest in Motorola products.

Units offered must be in great shape and complete with mobile mike and power cord for mobile and battery, charger antenna ect for the portable. Both units must be at least 16 channels and capable of DPL as well as pl scan ect . Will consider some cb (base) and scanner (portable) equiptment as long as dollar
values equal my asking price, however I am primarilly looking for uhf equiptment or equiptment plus some cash. I will make slight adjustments for your shipping costs of multiple items

Email offers to lfray1@yahoo.com please dont post to board.
thanks for your time
Leroy

1298. Re: South Florida Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb4dyu@arrl.net
Message-ID: <wb4dyu-1204991050070001@ppp-48.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 122320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3710339D.59A9@worldnet.att.net>, rheisner@worldnet.att.net wrote:

> Check out the following URL:
>
> http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/ohsflpag.html
>
>
> JL wrote:
> >
> > I am moving to Fla (Boynton Beach) - Need Freq for West Palm (PD,
> > Fire, Airport), Ft Lauderdale and evrything inbetween.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Jay
The BEST So. Fl. freq guide...hands down...is the one published by Brian
Cathcart. His email address is scannerdude@juno.com.

--
Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

1299. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371807fd.6130377@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122321
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 00:25:18 -0700, "on3" <caston3@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Don't know. But, there was a special on TV news the other night that
>cops who use radar guns and hold them "in their lap" experience an
>"above-normal" amound of prostate cancer.
>
>There is a difference between a cell phone and a RADAR gun, a radar gun
>produces more power (like 600WATTS) or so and they are a higher frequency in
>the em band (about 12 GHz which is microwave.) This is like cooking your
>crotch with a microwave, any cop who left the thing transmitting in his/her
>lap would know about it almost immediately. Plus there is a thing called
>heat which is generated when the transmitter keeps pumping power out (I'm
>talking about the heat generated in the circuits). If a radar gun
>transmitted continuosly it would literally burn up its own guts. So there is
>this thing built in called a duty cycle. Radar guns can usually transmit for
>about 10-15seconds and then need to cool for about 1 minute - 2minutes
>depending on ambient air temperature.

Umm, Radar "guns" run around 100 milliwatts. I've seen that story
you're talking about. It's not new, however, they forgot to interview
any scientists or engineers on this subject. And it's not that I
doubt that the cops all got testicular cancer. I'm sure they have it.

The problem is that most scientists and engineers can't explain how a
very low power microwave transmitter could have caused this phenomenon
without causing other obvious health problems anywhere else. In all
probability it didn't and something else was at work (maybe ground
water supplies? Chemical exposure? --you just can't tell).

>All this bullshit about em radiation has been taken to the extreme. And now
>we have a bunch of uninformed people running around and spewing info like
>they are so knowledable. Info that was taken from a unreliable source to
>begin with but because the majority of people in this country are too
>fucking lazy too do just a little research the unreliable source is taken at
>their word. Come on people and wake up!

Most folks see the word radiation and confuse it with nuclear weapons.
They do not understand the difference between ionizing radiation and
non-ionizing radiation. Even many medical researchers are confused
about it. I've seen no small number of studies where the researchers
think they have one radiation level and it turns out they didn't
understand the antenna theory well enough to measure it properly.
Some even think EM Radiation is cumulative. Unfortunately, most
medical research of this sort is not done with the help of competent

electrical engineers.

Not that I have anything bad to say about the medical science
profession; it's just that they're very much out of their element when
they start getting in to this sort of thing.

>People trying to compare a 900mhz or 1.2ghz 4 watt transmitter to a RADAR
>gun. SHEESH!!!!
>we have a wonderful tool for research right here let's use it.
>
>on3
>
>P.S.: Cops would have less prostate cancer if they would quit eating so much
>fast food and donuts. Everything in moderation is ok.

I appreciate your skepticism. Moderation is the key...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1300. FS on EBAY UHF Radio TEKK-T-60 Portable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <37120E88.46F6@epix.net>
Source record: 122322
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,alt.emergency-services.dispatcher

Hello,

Check out my auction on Ebay for a TEKK T-60 5 watt 2 channel UHF portable radio! Like new condiditon. With nicad pack (holds good charge) and charger. Currently on "brown dot" business frequencies, but can be changed to your frequency by your local TEKK dealer. BIDDING STATRTING AT $45.00!!!! This is a great deal!! Check it out!!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90177678
--
This Message Originated from the Computer of
Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads
mailto:ccrc@epix.net
Have a Nice Day.

1301. FS on EBAY Portable Radio Pair- VHF Radio Shack 2 channel, 1 watt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <371210DB.625@epix.net>
Source record: 122323
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,alt.emergency-services.dispatcher

Hello,

Check out my auctiion on EBAY for a pair of Radio Shack VHF portable
radios. These are two channel, 1 watt VHF business band radios set on
freqeucny 154.600 (channel a). Other freqeucneies are avaiable from you
Radio Shack dealer for channel b. Normal wear, but in good condition.
Includes nicad packs, belt clips, and flexible antenna for each radio.
Also will include a charger at no extra cost!!! BIDDING IS STARTING AT
$45.00 FOR THE PAIR!!!!!!!! This is a great deal!!!! Check it out!!!!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90172120
--
This Message Originated from the Computer of
Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads
mailto:ccrc@epix.net
Have a Nice Day.

1302. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3714f206.75652567@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 122324
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 11 Apr 1999 19:35:55 GMT, acunet3278@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

Yes, if you try to operate them while driving, or while walking
in a hazardous area. The distraction of the phone could cause
you to have an accident.

>And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
>Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the problem?

No. It doesn't address any credible hazard.

>Please see the URL below for details:
>http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html

I nicely pseudo-scientific scam (or some really faulty engineering,
see below).

>Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?

Probably not. Both can be equally distracting to a person.
An analog phone is ordinary FM, so its output is constant
amplitude except for commanded power changes to deal
with the propagation to the nearest cell site.

A CDMA digital phone's output is also constant in amplitude
in the same manner, though the frequency is rapidly shifting
or "spread", and coding gain can often permit it to operate at
a lower average output power than an analog phone. So if
there were a hazard, a CDMA phone would reduce it slightly
on average.

A TDMA phone does pulse its carrier on and off at a fairly
low rate. There is some evidence that this sort of pulsing
might have non-thermal effects on the brain, but only at a
much lower pulse rate than is used by a TDMA phone.

>Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
>Plz advise. Thank U.

The thing to firmly understand about portable cellphones
is that even if the entire output power of the phone were
absorbed by the head (it won't be), the effect would be less
than walking into a room with a 1 degree higher ambient
temperature, or equivalently the difference before and after
a normal haircut.

The quiescent brain generates about 40 watts of heat.
That nearly doubles when concentrating intensely on
something. Blood flow in the brain is designed to handle
that sort of heating rate. A cellphone generates a maximum
of 0.6 watt of RF energy, a small fraction of which could
be converted to heat in tissue.

The amount of thermal insulation change before and after
a haircut has a similar effect on brain temperature as operating
a portable cellphone does, IE a negligible effect. There is no
credible thermal heating problem with portable cellphones.
(There can be a problem with higher power handheld radios
whose output is a substantial fraction of the design heat load
capacity of the brain.)

There is also no credible evidence for non-thermal effects
at cellphone frequencies, though there is some credible
evidence of effects at much lower frequencies, IE in the
range of 2 to 100 Hz, and particularly near 7.92 Hz.
(Aberrant psychological effects have been observed
in subjects exposed to fields near this frequency, or pulsed
at rates near this frequency.) Some electrophoretic effects
are possible too at very low ELF.

Biochemical reactions can't follow the extremely rapid
potential changes of signals above ELF, so there are
no electrophoretic effects on cellular division or other
normal cellular processes at frequencies above ELF.
Since a cellphone doesn't emit any significant energy
down in the ELF range, or pulse its carrier on and off
at a low ELF rate, there is no credible reason to consider
a non-thermal hazard to be present.

Even if a cellphone *did* emit or pulse at an ELF rate,
the shields offered on the referenced website would be
of no value in shielding the head from those effects. They
are simply too small to be an effective barrier at such low
frequencies.

If we are to believe the graph presented, the presence of
the shield *degrades* attenuation of cellular signals by
about 22 dB compared to no shield at all. In other words,
the shield makes the signal to the head stronger! I suspect
that they have accidentally reversed the colors of the so
called surrounding noise line and the unshielded signal line
on the graph to produce this unfortunate result. Reversing
red and green should present what they're trying to present
correctly. (But perhaps the graph is correct as shown, see
below.)

Two things of note here. First, note the roll off in shielding
effectiveness at low frequencies. Since this is the only
area of possible hazard, their own graph shows their system

to be ineffective.

Second, all the graph gives us is dB, which is a relative ratio.
There are no absolute signal levels given. We can infer what
they must be, however, from the "surrounding noise" line. If this
represents ambient thermal noise, then its level is approximately
-174 dBm (dB referenced to 1 milliwatt). If it represents ordinary
RFI at 900 MHz, then it should be between about -110 dBm and
-140 dBm depending on whether it is intended to represent urban
or rural levels. These are extremely weak signal levels. They are
in the picowatt range *before* we consider the effect of the shield!

I called this website's pitch for the product a scam above. It may
well be. But the state of scientific and engineering education today
is so abysmally low, it could simply be the result of not understanding
the nature of what they're trying to do, and not understanding how to
properly interpret honestly made measurements.

I would seriously question the validity of their measurement technique
in any event. If their equipment is actually setup as diagrammed, it isn't
measuring what they claim it is. They're in the far field, and are measuring
the total pattern of the phone antenna, and the effect on that pattern of
their shield. That's not the information that they need to be measuring.
What they purport to shield is the *near field* from the phone body to
an immediately adjacent head. Their setup can't measure that.

But their setup *can* show that improving the counterpoise of the
cellphone antenna will *increase* its signal strength in the far field.
That's exactly what their graph *does* show. If it is not in error, then
it is showing exactly what we'd expect it to show. Amateurs do a
somewhat similar thing to improve the signal strength from their
handheld radios. They add a counterpoise, called a "Tiger Tail",
to their HTs to improve the counterpoise provided by the radio
body and the hand/arm holding it. The so-called shield offered
on this website may be inadvertently serving the same purpose.

In any event, it doesn't do what they claim it does, and even if it
did do what they claim it does, it wouldn't be of any value in
reducing any possible biohazard created by use of a portable
cellular phone. It is junk science at best, an outright scam at
worst.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1303. BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7et4cl$d0f$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 122325

I would like to know from experienced users: what is the best software to control a 895XLT ?

1304. Wanted: Dead Pro43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7et46scuu1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 122326

I need a dead Pro 43 for parts. Case must be good! Send replies to bstethem@no-spam.attco.com with asking price. Remove the "no-spam" from address.

1305. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7et97rcr1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122327

Hello All,

I have a wep page that has info on
Satellites, Satellite Tracking, VHF/UHF/HF,
Yaesu, FT-847 Page, My HF Rig Reviews, Classifieds,
and TONS of useful Ham Radio & misc Reource Links.

Please visit my web page @ URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Drop into my GuestBook, and sign my GuestBook.
Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

Web Masters:

Please add me to your links section, in return
I will add you to my links section.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1306. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990412121340.18249.00001256@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122328

>From: malexder@aol.com (MAlexder)
>Date: 04-12-1999 09:17 EST
>
>Here's a list of potential resources from the EFF that I sent to Bill. I'm
>posting them here in case anyone has interest in looking into this further:

MAlexder,

You've been one of the major thorns in this newsgroup with your continued
battles with John Mackey and his group, but this time you've done good, buddy.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1307. CORDLESS PHONES IN THE 1.2 GHZ OR 2. 0 GHZ RANGE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371245aa.1495690@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 122329

hello again
is there any truth about spread sprectrum cordless phones in the 1.2 ghz range also 2.0 range? thanks
gig@i-2000.com

1308. NY STATE POLICE FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <37124523.1360320@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 122330

WHY CAN I NEVER HEAR NYS POLICE ARE THEY USING SOME REMOTE BASE SETUP
OR SOMETHING?? LOOKING FOR INFO ON EASTERN LONG ISLAND NY AND
FARMINGDALE BARRACKS
THANKS gig@i-2000.com

1309. scancat??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike V <omzig@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <lipQ2.2054$%K3.10156@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 122331

Anyone have any good or bad experiences with the Uniden Sportcat scanner??

1310. Re: Illegal GP68 & Software Piracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Attila <attila@hun.com>
Message-ID: <37121254.480@hun.com>
Source record: 122332

Edward,
Your dealing with two illegal issues here: front programmable radios
are NOT legal for use in the U.S., no matter what the FCC ID label
says. Second, attempting to traffic in pirated Big M software is a
crime under Federal law. You should know that Big M has been suing
people all over the world for doing just what your asking someone else
to get involved in. Do you REALLY want someone else conspire with you
to become an accessory to a crime?

EG wrote:
>
> For sale: Motorola GP68 20ch 5watt VHF front-panel programmable transceiver
> 136-174 w/high capacity battery, overnight charger, antenna, and removeable
> belt clip in box with documentation and the all so important label/FCC ID:
> AZ489FT3789 Model No: P63VNB20H2AA Like new, 9+
>
> Asking $200.00 US plus $8.50 shipping via UPS within continental USA. UPS
> tracking number emailed to buyer.
> or
> Asking $150.00 US plus programming software for either MTSX, Syntor 9000, or
> Saber, and I'll pay the shipping! USA only.
>
> Email for details.
>
> Edward Gonzales
> penstar@vsta.com
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
> ---------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

1311. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Help <for@morespam.net>
Message-ID: <371221D7.8DF4FBA2@morespam.net>
Source record: 122333

> Our arrest warrant was issued by a US Magistrate judge
> in Brooklyn, New York. The warrant specifically addresses
> a simple "data slicer"

What is the name of the judge?
Which court system is it?

This site might help Bill Cheek.
New York Law Journal
http://www.nylj.com/guide/

1312. F.S PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioAktiv@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6626-37121CC7-12@newsd-171.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122334

For Sale: Pro-2004. Radio is in Excellent
condition. Has complete 800Mhz. coverage.
Comes with original box, manual and antenna.
$225 including shipping if needed.

Thanks, Steve

1313. F.S Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioAktiv@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6625-37121E4B-24@newsd-171.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122335

For Sale. Like new, comes with charger, carrying case, DB32 and
telescoping antennas.
box and manual. $200 includes shipping.

Thanks, Steve

1314. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Blyth <ablyth@pcbs.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hg15DAADqfE3Ewz6@pcbs.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 122336
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3722f2e8.342372121@news.gte.net>, <Enter your favorite Lewis Carroll character here> <MadAdmin@not.here> writes
>Or like the guy who slipped in the shower and had to have it
>surgically removed from his asshole
What was he doing with a cell phone in the shower anyway?
Andrew Blyth

Illiterate? Write for free help.

1315. Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7erbpenag1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

For those who have asked me for the Winflex source code I have put it here
for download along with all the other software, I hope somebody takes up
this great programme where the Authoer had to, no sorry was made to end!

tell me if you do

EAVSDROPPER
HTTP://WWW.KMED70.FREESERVE.CO.UK

PS. i have noticed some server problems on my site today so if you have any
problems please try later on !!

thanks

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1316. F.S.PRO-46 $125,full 800Mhz.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <russsmith99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3714188D.37BB5C8C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122087

```
Selling Pro-46 handheld scanner,
Full 800 Mhz,
100 channels
Mint condition
$125
```

1317. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <3713A9E6.2350F932@tfn.net>
Source record: 122088
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Of course any local with a scanner can usually get the same information by listening to normal 2M ragchewing and nets....and these people live right down the road.

Anonymous wrote:

> Don Bertone (dbertone@flash.net) wrote:
> : I can never figure out why people use fake names out here instead of
> : just letting people know who they are and how they can be contacted.
> : Why are they h"hiding"? Man, am I going to get flamed on this one!
>
> There are many reasons. Perhaps some people don't like to advertise to
> the whole world who they are and the fact they own valuable,
> easy-to-steal, radio gear. Remember, these posts are accessible by
> millions worldwide, for virtually forever. A few people reading them
> might be less scrupulous than the average honest citizen.

1318. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlogo@NOSPAM.zipworld.com.au
Message-ID: <37134eef.1266390@news.zipworld.com.au>
Source record: 122089
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

On 14 Apr 1999 14:59:08 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
S. Maddison) wrote:

>
>I was thinking of buying a Uniden DX-834 cordless phone with voice
>scrambling. I would like to know how secure its voice scrambling is. Is it
>simply voice inversion? If not, what encryption scheme does it use? Uniden
>were not able to tell me :-(

I would expect the signal protection on these cordless phones to be
either simple speech inversion or spread-spectrum digital encoding. I
would also suspect the latter if they operate around the 900MHz or
2GHz+ range.

Neither method is totally secure.

Remove "NOSPAM" when replying via E-mail

1319. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <A492B8D854FDF7AC.09A7CC2AF104BDA2.3C6BE491C9B388AB@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122090

I don't get it.
You are jealous because Bill and Mackey lives for radio?
Makes no sense to me.
Sounds like you are consumed with Bill and Mackey's consumation. ;)
Anyhow, ever heard of a killfile?
Killfile their entire frigging domains.

> It bothers them because the Cheek / Mackey thing is all they have for a life
> !

1320. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7evgf9$u34$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >
> >
>
> Might you answer a question from radio / scanning newbie? What is a
'Hamcomm
> interface', and would a Icom ICR-100 or 1000 work?

It is a simple interface tat goes between your radio and the computer serial
port for transfering data to and fro. and Yes a pcr 100-1000 would work as
it has a disciminator output which is ideal for taking audio from for
decoding purposes

regards

eavsdropper

1321. Re: How To Help Bill And Cindy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413091746.04135.00000016@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 122138

THose truly interested in helping out BIll and Cindy and our slowly diminishing rights can send an e-mail to DeFund@aol.com . I will then see who is interested and let people know how we can help. I dont want to do it on the NEWSGROUP due to the flame wars and wated time from THOSE people. I ahve set up this screen name to see if there are enough responsible folks out there. I have been in touch with Bill and once we get a feel for the help potential I will find out how and what he needs.
We know money will be needed for plane trips and legal expenses. But, as important, if there is an attorney out there interested in this type of forum to assist us hobbyists in keeping our rights and keeping the Feds at bay let me know. If someone can donate their time to fight this it would help tremendously.
Please reply if truly interested in helping a freind and fellow hobbyist.
Thank you, Ira Cooper

1322. New offerings from Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio Daze <radiologist@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01be84f5$085e3c00$312da2ce@default>
Source record: 122139

I just received the following in an email from Bandercom. From what I can tell, these are some excellent prices. I paid $305 for my Yupi 7100 from them a year ago, and now it's $50 less! I guess the other prices are as good, but I don't really know about any of the other products. Anyway, for those newbies who are wondering:

1) Bandercom is very reputable (don't take my word for it, check the archives),
2) I have no interest in Bandercom (other than as a very satisfied customer).

Ron

THE BEST SPRING PRICES FROM BANDERCOM
Valid April 10 - May 10.

See complete information about scanning receivers at
http://www.bander.com/export/exscan.htm

All scanners are full coverage, no blocks.

ICOM

IC-R2 so tiny so good! $199 shipped
price valid for advance orders only. Delivery 3rd week of April.

Yupiteru

MVT-9000 $399 shipped
MVT-7100 $255 shipped
See what we can do to the MVT-9000 at
http://www.bander.com/export/9000m.htm

AOR

AR-8000 $379 shipped
AR-8200 $510 shipped
Full line of accessories available. E-mail for package prices.

Uniden

UBC-3000XLT $255 shipped
UBC-9000XLT $355 shipped

Yaesu Ham Radio

VX-1R export version $229 shipped
VX-5R export version $369 shipped

FT-100 export version $TBA - Please, e-mail.
Delivery of VX-5R/FT-100: Mid April. Prices valid for
advance orders only.

President CB/10m radio

Jackson $229 shipped
Lincoln $289 shipped
George $339 shipped
JFK $229 shipped

SHIPPED VIA POSTAL AIR MAIL. ALLOW 15 DAYS FOR DELIVERY
AT RUSH.
EXPRESS DELIVERY 2-3 DAYS VIA UPS OR DHL AVAILABLE FOR
MOST ITEMS FOR AN EXTRA $33

Prices are US dollar and valid for deliveries to North American
destinations.
For orders outside this region please, contact for prices and shipping
information.

Contact sales desk by e-mail at mailto:bandercom@bander.com
Technical questions by e-mail at mailto:tech@bander.com

Phone +358 50 558 0090
Fax +358 50 855 80090

Bandercom, Inc.
P.O.Box 48 (street Hirsimetsantie 10)
15801 Lahti
Finland

http://www.bander.com

"Supplying Communications' Equipment to 42 countries all over the
World"

1323. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <37134BCD.4343@erienet.net>
Source record: 122140

Lenore & Frank wrote:
>
> I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
> Elyria Ohio.

Lenore $ Frank
Jeffrey was correct with his frequencies of 155.445 and 465.55. 155.445 is the tactical frequency and it takes quite a while to hear something here. 465.55 is also one of theirs and I monitor it regularly but very rarely hear anything. The most common is 155.685. This is the Turnpike patrol. It is so busy that sometimes I lock it out. 45.020 is the airplane that they use for speeders. You will hear activity here on a nice sunny Sunday afternoon. They are usually stopping cars on the Turnpike or I-90 between Amherst and Elyria. Several other ones are 44.74 which is HP Elyria Base, 44.86 HP Elyria Mobile, 45.100 HP Special. Another Turnpike one is 155.790 but not very active around here. Also 465.375 and 465.525 are used occasionly.

Happy Scanning
Rick

1324. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kontos@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7evm8k$2dl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122141

In article <19990413082840.20559.00000022@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >From: somebody@somewhere.com
>
> >Bill Cheeks case hinges upon the question of the
> >MDC4800 protocol being encryption or not.
>
> I'm not convinced this is really true. The violation is "intercepting
> communication." Why would that fact that the intercepted transmission is
> encrypted have any bearing on it?
>

It's got to do with the principle of reasonable expectations of privacy. If you were to shout across a busy waiting room "I've got herpes!" you cannot expect that information to be private. If, on the other hand, you go in to the doctor's office and close the door and say the same thing, you can reasonably expect the information to remain private.

Or, in the world of radio communications...
If you broadcast something without taking any measures to encrypt, or scramble it, you cannot expect the information to remain private.

Of course all that get's blown out of the water when laws get passed that say that it is illegal to listen to "X"

****
Dave Sparro
Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1325. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jj772@aol.com
Message-ID: <37144738.3292478@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122142

On 13 Apr 1999 12:33:20 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>Bill mentioned in his letter that the feds returned his computers almost
>immediately and he expects to get the rest back soon. Based on that, I wonder
>just how intent the feds are in prosecuting him? Certainly they didn't have the
>equipment long enough to do any in-depth analysis of what they contained or to
>get any potentially damaging evidence. Pure speculation, of course.

How long do you think it takes do make a copy of a disk drive? Pop it out,
put it in a system set up with another drive and copy away.

I can back up my 15GB of data on my P133 in about 1.5 hours.

1326. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413105530.20563.00000120@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122143

>From: jj772@aol.com (Harry)

>How long do you think it takes do make a copy of a disk drive?

That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing the contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.

Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would boot you out of the court room!

1327. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jjesson@ais.net
Message-ID: <7evs0t$7qb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Ian, your site is down per your message? Could you attach the winFLEX source and email back to me?

Thanks, Joe Jesson

In article <7erbpenag1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>,
"Ian" <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail address to reply)> wrote:
> For those who have asked me for the Winflex source code I have put it here
> for download along with all the other software, I hope somebody takes up
> this great programme where the Authoer had to, no sorry was made to end!
>
> tell me if you do
>
> EAVSDROPPER
> HTTP://WWW.KMED70.FREESERVE.CO.UK
>
> PS. i have noticed some server problems on my site today so if you have any
> problems please try later on !!
>
> thanks
>
> --
> EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
> http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1328. Re: hello ERIC OYEN!! was: Re: What a jerk... (Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ppKQ2.12411$Jc7.584875@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 122145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <37115C6D.9A5F74E1@usa.net>...

>Blah, blah, blah

You need to get a life, asshole.

TR

1329. WTD: Transcrypt SC-470 Operating Manual/Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: leongst@mail.northgrum.com
Message-ID: <MPG.117d0d2fe97b54039896a3@news.northgrum.com>
Source record: 122146
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wanted: Operating or Users Manual for a Transcrypt Model SC-470 option board. Unit is installed in a Bendix King LPU 4141A radio. Any information is greatly appreciated! Thanks!

Steve KC6IJM

1330. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7evthh$35f$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122147

> it, you cannot expect the information to remain private.
>
> Of course all that get's blown out of the water when laws get passed that
say
> that it is illegal to listen to "X"
>
> **
> Dave Sparro
> Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
> send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net
>
But they have ALREADY PASSED such laws ! And NOW they are seeking to EXPAND
THE SCOPE of those laws, even though there is NO SUCH LAW THAT APPLIES TO
MDT !

Their "Reasonable expectation of privacy" Was CREATED by Motorola LYING TO
THEM about "security features" THAT DID NOT EXIST !

1331. Re: CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7evtnn$36c$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122148

John W. Klink, Jr. <colonel@erinet.com> wrote in message
news:7eu6mr$7oi$1@news.erinet.com...
> So what is the real deal with the CE-232. Another government take over?
> Who gets the components for these devices. I am sure they are being sold
> under a different name by a slew of venders. What's the verdict?
>
>
This case has nothing to do with the CE-232. Nobody sells the 232 , other
than Bill.

1332. Re: Check out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7evu0a$37g$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122149

WELL ! I guess you will have to buy yours from Texas Two - Way, then !

Ken <w2bdp@planet.net> wrote in message
news:3712a657.4724317@news.planet.net...
> http:www.comtronics.net/ Cheeks company not selling data decoders
> anymore.

1333. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7f01bacti1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122150

Hello All,

I have a wep page that has info on
Satellites, Satellite Tracking, VHF/UHF/HF,
Yaesu, FT-847 Page, My HF Rig Reviews, Classifieds,
and TONS of useful Ham Radio & misc Reource Links.

Please visit my web page @ URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Come on by my GuestBook, and sign my Guest Book.
Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

Web Masters:

Please add me to your links section, in return
I will add you to my links section.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1334. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jj772@aol.com
Message-ID: <3714770b.15537227@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122151

On 13 Apr 1999 14:55:30 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: jj772@aol.com (Harry)
>
>>How long do you think it takes do make a copy of a disk drive?
>
>That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing the
>contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.

This has nothing to do with the assumption of why his hardware was returned to
him so fast.

The fact remains that they HAVE a copy of his data. You'd be foolish to
assume otherwise. They can take as much time as they want now to analyze this
data. There is no need for them to keep Cheek's systems.

>Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to
>submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would boot
>you out of the court room!

You are a total moron if you believe this.

1335. Broken Keypad of Radio Shack Pro 39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <8DLQ2.9453$6W3.19691785@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122152

I have a RS Pro 39 handheld scanner, which is several years old. The rubber buttons either do not work, or need to be pressed very firmly. I believe I have heard about this problem with some other old Radio Shack handhelds. Can someone advise about the best solution to repairing the keypad? Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

1336. "UHF Skip" on BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <fNLQ2.9454$6W3.19698486@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122153

A few nights ago, my BC895 picked up some frequencies from Richmond, Virginia, that it does not normally receive. This occurred from about midnight on. I'm in Williamsburg, which is about 45 minutes from Richmond. I was using the Radio Shack extendable whip antenna, 20-006. I have not identified any major sources of interference that might be active since this night. The weather was clear and somewhat warm. This reception has not occurred on any night since then. What could be the possible cause for this? Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

1337. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: navsaria@prairienet.org
Message-ID: <tLLQ2.244$zf.50@firefly>
Source record: 122154
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley (ziggy7445@yahoo.com) wrote:

: You should just go get a cheap scanner, like a SC150 or something like that.
: Then you can listen to the highway patrol, local PD AND the weather
: channels. You may even stumble across some local stormchasers frequency or
: SKYWARN channels which are great when the weather goes south....

Yes, but the idea is that I already listen quite happily to my tape of
last night's BBC World Service while I'm driving -- I don't want to be
caught by surprise by bad weather, that's all.

It sounds like I should have no problem with a portable weatheradio in
the car, even w/o an external antenna, from what I've been hearing from
others. Thanks to everyone who sent advice!

Peace and Prosperity,
Dipesh

-<*>--<*>--
Dipesh Navsaria Apologies for the semi-munging of my address below:
<navsaria at the machine prairienet in the top-level domain org>

Unsolicited e-mail that does not relate directly and immediately to the
contents of this post is hereby refused. Any mail that involves adding
my address to a mailing list is hereby refused whether it is relevant to
the topic or not. Please also note my strict adherence to The Boulder
Pledge:

"Under no circumstances will I ever purchase anything offered to me as
the result of an unsolicited e-mail message. Nor will I forward chain
letters, petitions, mass mailings, or virus warnings to large numbers
of others. This is my contribution to the survival of the online
community."

1338. Vegas frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Zukowski <mfzukows@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <6LLQ2.98$o55.10607@news20.ispnews.com>
Source record: 122155

I'll be at the Rio and am interested in theirs as well as other police, fire, etc. Any sites of note? Reply to mfzukows@iserv.net

1339. Re: DO YOU BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION? 7422

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7f02i3$ifv@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 122156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

In article <370b9479.137021276@news.istep.com>,
<mshannon@[nospam]fusionsites.com> wrote:
>On 6 Apr 1999 23:22:33 GMT, jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent
>Phillips) wrote:
>
>>
>>In a previous article, bfomsd@starmedia.com () says:
>>
>>>Do you believe in REINCARNATION?
>>>
>>

now i do

i recall being a wooden clothes pin
(not the type with the spring)

i hope to, someday, be a small can of green beans

billh

1340. Re: DO YOU BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION? 7422

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pathagarus <n2yii@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3713875B.2E21869F@erols.com>
Source record: 122157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

I've always fancied myself as being a no pest strip!

- wrote:

> In article <370b9479.137021276@news.istep.com>,
> <mshannon@[nospam]fusionsites.com> wrote:
> >On 6 Apr 1999 23:22:33 GMT, jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent
> >Phillips) wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>In a previous article, bfomsd@starmedia.com () says:
> >>
> >>>Do you believe in REINCARNATION?
> >>>
> >>
>
> now i do
>
> i recall being a wooden clothes pin
> (not the type with the spring)
>
> i hope to, someday, be a small can of green beans
>
> billh

1341. FS scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7f04q7kol1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122158

For Sale, Uniden BC9000XLT, $200. For condition and details, E-mail:

lebear@cyberhighway.net

Please reply by e-mail, I don't check the group often, and posting there
likely will not get you a response. Thanks

1342. Re: High School Security: Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f05ck$73e$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122159

Dave wrote in message <371006DA.9A7E28DD@reler.net>...
>Well, the security will beat be over the head with the radio if I ask them
>to see it, but I do have a frequency counter laying around.. But I really
>have to be close to capture it. I could not find it on that site that was
>posted prior to your message.
>I'll figure it out sooner or later I guess.
>
>Thanks..
>
>> Ask someone to show you one of their radios. Then you could figure out
>> what band they are on. More than likely the VHF or UHF Business band.
>

Try these:
*Black Dot 462.62500
*Blue Star 467.92500
*Brown Dot 464.50000
*Gold Star 467.87500
*Green Dot (Drive Thru A & E) 154.60000
(Drive Thru A) 35.02000
Blue Dot (Drive Thru B & F) 154.57000
(Drive Thru B) 30.84000
(Drive Thru C) 33.14000
(Drive Thru C) 151.89500
(Drive Thru D) 31.00000
(Drive Thru D) 170.30500
(Drive Thru E) 171.10500
(Drive Thru F) 170.24500
*Purple Dot 151.95500
*Red Dot 151.62500
*Red Star 467.90000
*Silver Star 467.85000
*White Dot 462.57500
*Yellow Dot 464.55000

Courtesy of Ben Saladinos' Web Site:
http://www.interplaza.com/scandfw/lpfreqs.htm

I put a '*' next to the most likely suspects...

-Phil

1343. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <FNMQ2.3296$8m5.5276@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 122160

Harry wrote in message <3714770b.15537227@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>
>>That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing
the
>>contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.
>
>This has nothing to do with the assumption of why his hardware was returned
to
>him so fast.
>
>The fact remains that they HAVE a copy of his data. You'd be foolish to
>assume otherwise. They can take as much time as they want now to analyze
this
>data. There is no need for them to keep Cheek's systems.

Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
files. It cannot be done from a copy.

>>Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to
>>submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would
boot
>>you out of the court room!
>
>You are a total moron if you believe this.

Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are rude
about it.

There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that may apply to this
situation. Look it up.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01
A.S. Criminal Justice '97
B.S. Criminal Justice ('99)
Phi Theta Kappa
Alpha Chi
Alpha Phi Sigma

1344. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f0794jps1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

<jjesson@ais.net> wrote in message news:7evs0t$7qb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Hey Ian, your site is down per your message? Could you attach the winFLEX
> source and email back to me?
>
> Thanks, Joe Jesson
>
>
Just to assure you all the site is most definately still there !

there seems to be a few trans-atlantic hiccups recently (hmmmmmm, i wonder
:-))

EAVSDROPPER

1345. Asheville/Buncombe County NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Smith <dick5@home.com>
Message-ID: <3713ACB2.E8FD64AE@home.com>
Source record: 122162

Anyone have any info on Police, Fire, Railroad or Airport radio frequencies in this area of NC. I haven't had any luck so far.

Thanks

1346. Re: Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <#T7Wrveh#GA.134@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 122163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes there is.

Ted Silverman wrote in message <7esof9hal1@camel15.mindspring.com>...
>I accidentally hit l/o while listening to county trunked and now do not
>receive anything for that department. is there any way to unlock without
>having to reprogram all the frequencies?
>
>Thanks in advance
>Ted
>
>

1347. Re: Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <uiT4rveh#GA.159@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 122164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes
Ted Silverman wrote in message <7esof9hal1@camel15.mindspring.com>...
>I accidentally hit l/o while listening to county trunked and now do not
>receive anything for that department. is there any way to unlock without
>having to reprogram all the frequencies?
>
>Thanks in advance
>Ted
>
>

1348. Radio Shack Pro Scanners For Sale LOOK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3713afc9.288442@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122165

selling 2 nice radio shack scanners
Pro 23 handheld 50 ch 800 mhz date code 2a4
price is 90.00 plus shipping so cal
Pro 2039 base scanner 200 ch hyperscan nice!!!
Box and Manual price is 120.00 plus shipping
email me if you want a nice scanner thanks.

1349. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James <James@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <01be85f2$49c241c0$b94012d0@icu>
Source record: 122166

The Computer Forensics Dept of the State of Kansas now uses programs such as Drive Image Pro and other forensic programs to take a complete image of a hard drive. These programs record the entire drive bit by bit. That image is acceptable in court just as is a photo from any crime scene.

1350. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413172148.13863.00000145@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 122167

>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
>files. It cannot be done from a copy.

Hi Dave,

You mention a "copy by regular means" however I would have to believe that the secret service and FBI have access to equipment and methods to make a bit by bit image of any drive.

Larry

1351. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <3713B5BA.4F34E000@asan.com>
Source record: 122168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Try going directly to http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/software.htm
it seems like that page will load while the other will not

jjesson@ais.net wrote:

> Hey Ian, your site is down per your message? Could you attach the winFLEX
> source and email back to me?
>
> Thanks, Joe Jesson
>
> In article <7erbpenag1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>,
> "Ian" <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
> address to reply)> wrote:
> > For those who have asked me for the Winflex source code I have put it here
> > for download along with all the other software, I hope somebody takes up
> > this great programme where the Authoer had to, no sorry was made to end!
> >
> > tell me if you do
> >
> > EAVSDROPPER
> > HTTP://WWW.KMED70.FREESERVE.CO.UK
> >
> > PS. i have noticed some server problems on my site today so if you have any
> > problems please try later on !!
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > --
> > EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
> > http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
> >
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1352. Busch Gardens in Virginia Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <hNOQ2.9465$6W3.19815903@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122169

Does anyone have a current list of frequencies, channels, and uses for Busch Gardens in Williamsburg, Virginia? I have found 461.75 (131.8) to be in use there for security, and 461.525 (131.8) is also active for something there. These two are usually not included in the traditional lists of frequencies for Busch Gardens. Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

1353. New Edition of Monitor America?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <WPOQ2.9466$6W3.19816892@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122170

Anyone know if a fourth edition of this great guide is in the works?
Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

1354. Kosovo Frequency Update - RadiosOnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <38PQ2.860$SI4.970@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122171

Hello All:
I just updated the Kosovo Military Frequency List on RadiosOnLine.
Check it out for the latest frequency to monitor the armed forces in Kosovo.
Thanks,
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---Latest Kosovo Freqs HERE!
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1355. Grove Pre-5A Preamp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <zaPQ2.9467$6W3.19831493@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 122172

Is the Grove Pre-5A Preamp a good unit? What about the price ($90)? I might be interested in buying one for a BC895. Thanks.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

1356. Re: New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <bjPQ2.892$o31.8135@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 122173

Any idea if 500-mhz trunking will be included??

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:19990413012953.18242.00001752@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> >From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
> >Date: 04-12-1999 20:06 EST
> >
> >I was wondering if anybody has heard when RS is going to be coming out
> >with there scanners that will do the EDACS trunk system and not the
> >Motorola like the pro 90 does? I heard sometime this summer.
> >
>
> Uniden had initially said July for production on the TrunkTracker II, but
> they're now saying August or September. BTW, according to Greg Knox
(developer
> of the TrunkTracker software) the 245XLT (which he has had "hands on"
with)
> will not only do Ericsson, Motorola and conventional, it will do all three
at
> one time. Not two at once, but all three at once!
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1357. Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7f0l3o$um0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122174

Hello All,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
I have a NEW Yaesu FT-50R Page.
It includes its specs., features, and other
technical info about the FT-50R Dual Band HT.
Please check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com/ft-50r.html

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1358. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990413184431.04441.00000217@ng121.aol.com>
Source record: 122175

>Subject: Re: Letter from Bill Cheek
>From: lf686@aol.com (LF686)
>Date: 4/13/99 5:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990413172148.13863.00000145@ng-fa1.aol.com>
>
>>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
>>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
>>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
>>files. It cannot be done from a copy.
>
>Hi Dave,
>
>You mention a "copy by regular means" however I would have to believe that
>the
>secret service and FBI have access to equipment and methods to make a bit by
>bit image of any drive.
>
>Larry
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Whats to say they didn't copy his hard drive keep the original and give him the copy.
rjrems

1359. LAPD Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Ritch <fritch@symantec.com>
Message-ID: <3713C8B0.76A85CA9@symantec.com>
Source record: 122176

Does anyone know were I can get a list of LAPD (Los Angeles) scanner freqs?

Thanks

1360. Re: LAPD Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3713d0c3$0$2485@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 122177

Check out my site:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

I should be updating it with some extra stuff (like detective freqs) fairly
soon.

Fred Ritch <fritch@symantec.com> wrote in message
news:3713C8B0.76A85CA9@symantec.com...
> Does anyone know were I can get a list of LAPD (Los Angeles) scanner
> freqs?
>
> Thanks
>

1361. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f0ja7$b92$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122178

LF686 wrote in message <19990413172148.13863.00000145@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>Hi Dave,
>
>You mention a "copy by regular means" however I would have to believe that
the
>secret service and FBI have access to equipment and methods to make a bit
by
>bit image of any drive.
>
Regardless though, for Bill to receive his computer equipment and records back so quickly is unusual. I friend of mine is still waiting to receive his stolen computer back after it was recovered almost two years ago. This is because the computer is still evidence in the burglary case. If Bill's computers and records were considered evidence, he wouldn't get them back until after the trial.

This means one of two things: Either the case against the Cheeks is hopeless due to insufficient evidence (which, by reading the warrant text as it was posted, I doubt) or this whole thing is a witch hunt and the gathering of "evidence" was simply a formality. There's no way to know until the trial happens. Bill was supposed to be arraigned today, so maybe we'll hear back from him an a couple of days.

Speaking of that warrant text, did that bother anyone else the same way it bothered me? Here it is again:

US Code Title 18, Sec 2512(1)(a) and 371
==========
"conspiring to send in intrestate commerce devices
having reason to know that the design of such device
renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the
surreptitious interception of electronic communications,
to wit: Mobile Data Terminal information broadcast by
law enforcement agencies and emergency service
organizations"

Couldn't a radio scanner itself be classified under this wording? After all, its' primary purpose is for the "surreptitious interception of electronic communications" too, isn't it?

And again, there are other companies who sell decoder circuits. Would the same have happened if Knipschild used the MFJ or Opto units instead? Does the CEO of GM get subpoenaed everytime there is a drunk driving accident involving a GM product? What happened to the responsibility of ones actions being solely his/her own?

I think there's more to this whole thing. It's almost as if this entire hobby is being singled out and eliminated, systematically out of fear of the fact that we know too much. I may be paranoid (and after what has happened in the last thirty days, I'd be a fool if I wasn't) but that's how I see it.

You may be asking yourself "Why would the government have a cow over someone posting MDT data on the web?" Those of us who have monitored such systems know the answer. Because these agencies have been duped into believing these channels are secure from snoopy scanner listeners, they treat them like landlines and they put info up there that you wouldn't believe. I've seen messages that would cause a national scandal in short order, and we'll just leave it at that. Most agencies don't mind a casual monitor here or there because they know we'll generally keep it to ourselves. But then, when some fool puts that data on the web for all (including idiot criminals who otherwise would never see such a thing in their lives) to read, ohhh boy.....

Recently, when I posted a message about a local agencies' MDT system, I got a nice little "we're watching YOU too" kind of message. When I confronted the author on it (I guarantee he did NOT expect that!) he denied any implied threats or legal actions, but I know to this day that message was meant to shut me up. This is the mentality Bill is up against now. Those MDT's are damn near sacred in the eyes of their users and the law be damned when it comes to protecting their precious little toys. Reminds me of ANOTHER very popular communications device that people don't like to have monitored....sound familiar??? ;-)

I see bad things coming on the horizon for people like us. Bad, bad things...
-Phil

1362. Re: Cellular Laws in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <3713aaba.32901611@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 122179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 19:57:27 -0700, Marylou@star.net wrote:

>And I thought the cellular industry in the USA was paranoid.....
>
>You people sure have a problem with the authorities.....I'm not suprised
>at some other countries, some of them people can't fart without being
>arrested.....I really thought you were much more far advanced in
>positive thought.

You need a licence to fart here.

Pat a kiddie on the head and you end up in prison
as a paedophile.

Use PGP and you automatically become a terrorist.

If you're black or gay (or God forbid, both) then
not even God can help you.

73

--
Regards, Jim. | The only people worried about Scottish
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | independence are the English. Why is
dynastic%cwcom.net | that?
nordland%aol.com |
marula%zdnetmail.com | - Alex Salmond, Leader SNP.
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1363. Re: New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990413193828.09319.00000242@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122180

>From: "Bob Esposito" <rjespo@voicenet.com>
>Date: 04-13-1999 17:12 EST
>
>Any idea if 500-mhz trunking will be included??
>
It's my understanding that the new 245XLT will trunktrack VHF/UHF, 800/900
systems.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1364. Scanning Law Mailing List Created

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f0q0a$d7e$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Due to the amount of flaming this topic seems to receive around here, I have created a more appropriate forum where we can more freely (and without flamers ruining it for everyone else) discuss the legal ramifications of this latest incident, along with any others (Like HR-514!)

FIRST READ THIS!!! IMPORTANT!!!!!
It is a restricted list, I will consider each subscription on a case by case basis. Please note: If your main goal is to be disruptive and cause a bunch of problems, you are wasting your time if you try to subscribe to this list (especially if you have a history of doing that here). If you think it is a place to post your gear for sale, or troll for frequencies, or whatever, guess again. This list is ONLY for discussing the law as it pertains to scanning.

I will require all potential subscribers to explain their interest in the list, so if you don't want to do that, don't bother either. This is a serious discussion list, and I will give no warnings for disruptive or abusive behavior. You will just be gone. This is not to be mean, or enjoy some sort of power trip, but rather maintain focus on the topic at hand. You're allowed to disagree with another member, but if it gets offensive or personal, you get the boot. I'm hoping that those in the legal profession choose to participate, as there is often a lot of conjecture in how law is interpreted by the layman.

Still interested? Good. To subscribe to the list, click on this link:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/scanlaw

Some people will know doubt criticize me for this, maybe even call me a hypocrite for it, but I really don't care. This list is, in my opinion, long overdue.

I will begin reviewing subscriptions tomorrow (4/14/99), if anyone is interested.

-Phil

1365. Re: ebay BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <3713F81B.4A957E1C@gnc.net>
Source record: 122182

Better read the FINE print when dealing with CEI. Look at their shipping
and handling costs. A major complaint is their outrageous hidden costs...
You won't pay a mere $169 for that radio there!

RC

Galman Frienschoss wrote:

> Its at $152 and the "reserve price is not yet met"????? They are $169 brand
> new at CEI. Just another Ebay buying frenzy rip off. A fair selling price
> here would be about .75 x 170 = $127 or so.

1366. Re: CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <3713F712.3DC75ABC@gnc.net>
Source record: 122183

That's correct, has nothing to do with the CE-232... but I do have
a couple of CE-232 kits for sale on my website:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

A group of us got together a few months back and we split the cost
of parts. By buying in bulk, we were able to get the parts at a reduced
cost. Unfortunately, a couple of people backed out of their commitments
after everything was ordered. Consequently, I have a couple of spare
kits if anyone is interested. The $75 price includes priority mail shipping.
Anyone interested, please contact me directly for more details.

Thanks,
Robert (rc123@gnc.net)

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> This case has nothing to do with the CE-232. Nobody sells the 232 , other
> than Bill.

1367. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <3713fade@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122184

At this point in the thread, I have to ask if you are familiar with the Steve Jackson case mentioned a couple of posts above? If not, kindly read "The Hacker Crackdown" by Bruce Sterling, and then get back to us about "Rules of Evidence" vis a vis The USSS. I would like a learned review of the happenings described, both to Jackson and the other geeks who, while asking for it, were the target of some curious approaches to law enforcement. Particularly in regards to the "stolen" value of a publicly available document from one of the branches of Ma Bell.

--
Crazy George

1368. Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ty <tyler@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3713FDB3.F070C79E@i-55.com>
Source record: 122185

Can anyone direct me to a website with scanner modification instructions?

1369. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Help <for@morespam.net>
Message-ID: <37140ACF.B0155EAB@morespam.net>
Source record: 122186

> Our arrest warrant was issued by a US Magistrate judge
> in Brooklyn, New York. The warrant specifically addresses
> a simple "data slicer", to wit (exact quote - misspellings
> are theirs; not mine):

OK, this must be the institution involved.
http://criminaljustice.state.ny.us/dcjs1.htm

1370. Re: Cheek arrest details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <37129565.1424907@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 122200

A hero ain't nuthin but a sandwich.On 9 Apr 1999 01:50:43 GMT,
jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>All,
>
> I spoke with Agent Denise Gibson with the U.S. Secret Service today. Agent
>Gibson is the media contact for the USSS's New York office. She didn't have
>many details, but the affadavit on which the arrest warrant was based said that
>Bill & Cindy Cheek had violated the law by marketing a device, specifically a
>digital data decoder, that could be used to illegally intercept police MDT
>transmissions.
> Sorry, I don't have more info than that, and I know it still leaves a lot
>of unanswered questions. Agent Gibson promised to confer with the lead agent
>on the case and get back to me tomorrow with more specifics, or to have that
>agent call me directly. When I know more, I will post more.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1371. New Airband Segment Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net>
Message-ID: <37124401.7928@iamerica.net>
Source record: 122201

Anyone else log comms in the new airband segment?

I'm hearing Emory Air on 136.525 and Chevron Flighttrack (ACARS) on 136.75.

Tom

E. Louisiana.

1372. IC-R2 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ufwQ2.5235$LM.10813@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 122202

Any good software available for the ICOM IC-R2?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1373. NEEDED FREQUENCIES FOR WASHINGTON STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: TRAWICK <TRAWICK@ROCKETMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <vgwQ2.1294$fH2.683@c01read04.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 122203

DOES ANYONE KNOW ANY FREQUENCIES FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Surf Usenet at home, on the road, and by email -- always at Talkway.

1374. New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <3712982D.F862A900@home.com>
Source record: 122204

I was wondering if anybody has heard when RS is going to be coming out with there scanners that will do the EDACS trunk system and not the Motorola like the pro 90 does? I heard sometime this summer.

1375. Digital Scanner Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990412212328.24897.00001963@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 122205

So what's going on in digital scanner development?
Did that Michigan guy ever finish his digital scanner
project? Any word from the scanner manufacturers
on digital scanners?

1376. Re: Atlanta Helicopter Rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7eu7rv$nl0$1@east43.supernews.com>
Source record: 122206

In article <7eu128$4kc$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Karl Dahlquist"
<karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> wrote:
>anyone catch this on the scanner?...click the link for amazing photo
>
>
>http://www.cnn.com/US/9904/12/BC-FIRE.reut/
>
>or real time video on msnbc
>
>http://www.msnbc.com/news/258540.asp
>
>
>Karl

I saw it live on MSNBC, AWESOME story! That firefighter has balls the size
of watermelons! Great job by the firefighter AND helicopter pilot. True heros!

Tom

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

1377. Re: Watson-889 Antenne

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <3715aa1a.16125926@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122207

www.durhamradio.com

On Sun, 11 Apr 1999 21:12:07 -0500, "Soy Bomb II" <mm11720@navix.net>
wrote:

>Can anyone tell me a place on the net or a mail order phone number where I
>can get a Watson-W889 attenne for my Icom R-2 (I already have the SMA to BNC
>adapter). Lentini had them but have been out of them for about 6 months and
>seem to have lost their distributor.
>
>Thanks,
>Soy
>

1378. ar 8200 best price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.com
Message-ID: <3712b178.29006423@news>
Source record: 122208

who offers the radio at the lowest price. and what is the software to run this scanner like

1379. Re: ar2500 - tr4500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: woolybulle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413000123.12816.00002253@ng105.aol.com>
Source record: 122209

3 to 4 hundered if everything works.I gave 5 for my ar2500 new and 4 fora tr4500 last year

1380. F S old LAFAYETTE 30 - 50 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413001609.00535.00002347@ng120.aol.com>
Source record: 122210

i have for sale an old LAFAYETTE model PB - 50 mobile 30 to 50 mhz monitor rcvr
.

this is NOT a converter , it is a rcvr , with speaker . it works fine .

comes with a repro of the manual and a small A C power pack . best offer over
$ 20 , from zip code 06705 , ct .

WALT

1381. Re: Digital Scanner Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <XzzQ2.5271$LM.10321@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 122211

I think after the recent news, everyone is laying low, waiting to see what shakes out. What digital scanner project was going on in Michigan? I live in Michigan, didn't hear anything about it?

Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

Talkyal <talkyal@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990412212328.24897.00001963@ng15.aol.com...
> So what's going on in digital scanner development?
> Did that Michigan guy ever finish his digital scanner
> project? Any word from the scanner manufacturers
> on digital scanners?

1382. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3712c8c5.6073907@news>
Source record: 122212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 23:39:17 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>You sound like a true scanner enthusiast....paranoid :-)

It's truly sad that scanner enthusiasts *have* to be paranoid. :(

1383. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3717c672.43027257@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122213

On 12 Apr 1999 18:01:31 GMT, jnglmassiv@aol.com (JnglMassiv) wrote:

>>>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
>
>I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
>validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks away.
>Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't have
>anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older cheappy
>Pro-33.

With an old PRO 30 RS, circa 1983 portable, I regularly picked up
cordless signals at over 4 miles distance.
Rural area at the time.

Used a discone in the attic for antenna.

good signals... LCM

1384. Re: How To Help Bill And Cindy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3719c7d7.43384327@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122214

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 23:21:30 -0400, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
wrote:

>Whatever your position on what the Cheeks may or may have not done wrong,
>this is sure to be a test case with far reaching repercussions. I for one
>would welcome any well thought out plans of action to help support the
>cheeks, beit a defense fund, letter wring campaign, etc. Anyone have any
>ideas?
>
>Rob Lang
>KC2EOM
>
>

IT would probably be prudent to learn what, if any action is actually
taken against them before launching a 'rescue attempt'.

Cautious Scanning... LCM

1385. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3712c907.6140124@news>
Source record: 122215

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 18:12:07 -0500, Bruce or Tracy
<bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote:

>This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
>the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
>to reach that far.

What's the difference?

>I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)

You are. The only variable is whether or not there is a sensitive and
selective enough receiver waiting there to receive your signals. Do
what I do: invest in some good Digital Spread Spectrum cordless
phones. These are the best you can get in terms of privacy in a
consumer-grade cordless phone.

1386. AIRShows.org -- The Airshow Authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: airshowguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413010829.03748.00002094@ng62.aol.com>
Source record: 122216

More than just a website...www.AIRShows.org is the airshow authority.

And now, new on the website is the latest and most up to date information about airshows, airshow performers, the official 1999 airshow schedule and the Message Board System where you can talk all about your favorite airshow happenings...including frequencies for your favorite teams.

Post messages, read what others have to say and get involved with the airshow industry....AIRShows.org -- The Airshow Authority

http://www.airshows.org

1387. Re: F.S.BC-200XLT scanner,Unblocked cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jefg1951@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413012612.27488.00002080@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 122217

Let's do it for $150.00. Contact me . My E-Mail address is JEFG1951. I will await your answer. JEFF

1388. Re: Digital Scanner Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990413012646.18242.00001750@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122218

>From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
>Date: 04-12-1999 20:23 EST
>
>So what's going on in digital scanner development?
>Did that Michigan guy ever finish his digital scanner
>project? Any word from the scanner manufacturers
>on digital scanners?

I can't say much, but there is a company well known in the hobby that
hopes to have an ASTRO compatible add-on fora particular scanner available
later this summer.
No word on a digital EDACS unit.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1389. Re: New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990413012953.18242.00001752@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122219

>From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
>Date: 04-12-1999 20:06 EST
>
>I was wondering if anybody has heard when RS is going to be coming out
>with there scanners that will do the EDACS trunk system and not the
>Motorola like the pro 90 does? I heard sometime this summer.
>

Uniden had initially said July for production on the TrunkTracker II, but they're now saying August or September. BTW, according to Greg Knox (developer of the TrunkTracker software) the 245XLT (which he has had "hands on" with) will not only do Ericsson, Motorola and conventional, it will do all three at one time. Not two at once, but all three at once!

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1390. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3712DF15.73B0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122220

I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. 14channels, 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

1391. Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: somebody@somewhere.com
Message-ID: <C7BQ2.3$wn3.13083@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 122221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheeks case hinges upon the question of the
MDC4800 protocol being encryption or not. I don't for
one second believe that it is, however, let's
all pretend for a minute that MDC4800 *IS* encryption.

Has anyone thought to do a freedom of information
search for all export permits issued to Motorola
for the purpose of marketing MDC4800 equipment/programs
outside the USA? Say, fer instance to Iraq?

It seems to me that if MDC4800 is determined by a
Learned Judge to be encryption, then Motorola may
have violated US law if they failed to declare
MDC4800 as an encryption method when obtaining their
export permits.

You see, encryption is considered to be a Munition and
export of munitions is strictly controlled by US law.
I imagine the penalties are pretty stiff.

Back when MDC4800 was new, it would have been considered
strong encryption and therefore subject to the law
preventing the export of strong encryption.

So Motorola, what DID you say on your export permits?
You ARE the experts.
Is MDC4800 encryption, or is it not?

Perhaps you should assist Mr. Cheek with some of your
high-priced lawyers to prove that MDC4800 is *NOT*
encryption and thereby keep a few Motorola employees
and officers out of jail as well.

1392. Re: ebay BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Galman Frienschoss <propon@oppiso.net>
Message-ID: <7eu6sl$o8n$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 122222

Its at $152 and the "reserve price is not yet met"????? They are $169 brand new at CEI. Just another Ebay buying frenzy rip off. A fair selling price here would be about .75 x 170 = $127 or so.

Cobalt wrote in message <3712C285.4EF5C4FA@netscape.net>...
>300 channels, trunktracker... great scanner
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89447465
>
>if you have any questions email skold@netscape.net
>
>

1393. Re: Regency MX3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37130A48.841522D3@netxn.com>
Source record: 122223

I have several of these little jewels ... Don't have a manual but I think the freq range is 30-50, 146-174, 440-512mhz. You can sometimes get the radio to take the governments 410-415mhz freqs but it doesn't always want to see them as valid frequencies. If your scanner shows 'error' try hitting the decimal point (.) before entering the frequency. That seems to work well for the 415mhz Forrest Service freqs I listen to.

Good Luck ...

Mike Derryberry

Kenneth wrote:
>
> I have just acquired two Regency MX3000 scanners and could use a little
> info on them.
>
> Does anyone have the manual or know the freq ranges for this scanner?
>
> Also could use any valid mods for this model.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Ken
> TekWar@mindspring.com

1394. Re: IC-R2 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <371312FF.C2F3FA66@t-online.de>
Source record: 122224

```
Bill Crocker schrieb:
>
> Any good software available for the ICOM IC-R2?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker

on my page

--
-------------------------------------------
Goran Vlaski ( Ingra-Beton@t-online.de )
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
-------------------------------------------
```

1395. Re: PRO-2036 descriminator output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: news1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <2C9B7559EE54BD86.4E4DEDA856769617.02FB696A2D73DE79@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122225

You're right! Thanks for the help and you folks with the mods pages
might want to add this one. Thanks again...

On Fri, 9 Apr 1999 16:19:29 -0500, "SwTempo" <swtempo@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>The disc chip is IC 003 pin 10. It is an NJM3359D-A, 18 pin chip.I think it
>is located here: With the radio facing you and the top case off, it should
>be
>in the rear, center of the main circuit board
>
>
><news1@airmail.net> wrote in message
>news:D97B00A742FF2F4D.CAAB0ED8ED5E92FA.EF8B79B9B9CE0924@library-proxy.airnew
>s.net...
>> Looking for the descriminator output point in a pro-2036.
>> Thanks
>
>

1396. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Taylor <getaylor@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <37131633.8A8EDB32@telepath.com>
Source record: 122226

If listening to scanners and reporting on the activities of the police is illegal, then essentially ALL TV and radio news operations are breaking the law. many times have I heard them report that they were listening to the scanners and that they would immediately report on things like accidents, weather problems, emergency vehicle dispatches and the like.

Dale Ireland wrote:

> No it is not illegal to listen to the police.
> It is illegal to retransmit or "communicate" the contents of such
> transmissions. In the strictest sense if you just discuss the details of
> what you heard with a friend you could be breaking the law, technically.
>
> --
> Dale Ireland
> Astronomy Page http://www.drdale.com
> Comets, Eclipses, Satellites, Photography, Fabrications
> >
> >Anonymous wrote:
> >>
> >> Is it illegal to hear something on a police scanner and then show
> >> up at the scene of the crime?
> >>
> >> ~nancy~

--

1397. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Taylor <getaylor@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <37131814.4EC76096@telepath.com>
Source record: 122227

The laws that govern monitoring radio reception of the type discussed here do not make exceptions for any kind of "public service" uses. It is illegal, period. The law just looks the other way until the SS chooses to play ruffian with the Cheeks. My guess is that all news agencies also monitor the MDT info. We get closer and closer to 1984 every day, government behind curtains of unwarranted secrecy will very quickly become tyrannical. It happens every time.

Bryan Costin wrote:

> Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com> wrote in message
> news:7emor4$5ti$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is false. Doing this would actually *make* it illegal. In addition to
> > not divulging what you hear,
> > you are not supposed to use it for personal gain. You are describing clear
> > personal gain and
> > would most certainly remove all doubt about it being illegal.
> >
> > Bill - n2asa
>
> I guess I'm missing something. How is this any different from what news
> reporters do all the time? And for that matter why should the police care if
> you recieve personal gain by responding to a public safety transmission, so
> long as you stay out of the way and don't break any laws? I can see that
> this would be true for intercepted private communications, but how can this
> apply to a public safety situation?
>
> Not arguing, just asking.
> -Bryan
>
> >
> > Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7elgcf$1hn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >Look, just show up with a camera, and actually take some pictures.
> > >Get them developed IMMEDIATLY and see if you can sell them to
> > >the news. It doesn't have to be a big paper, even just the local
> > >once-a-week info paper for your town. Now you have a 'legitmate'
> > >reason for being there, and you might make a few bucks on the
> > >side. If nothing else, enough to get another scanner, another camera,
> > >etc., and treat it as a 'hobby' business.
> > >
> > >It's a shame you have to do this, but then you'd be 'squeaky clean'.
> > >
> > >Mike
> >
> >
> >

- -

1398. something about bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: xx <xxx@xxx.xxxxxx>
Message-ID: <37132548.0@news.rivernet.com.au>
Source record: 122228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

in Australia we thought bill was going tobe charged because he confessesd
why don they charge monica instead

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709CC17.5FE03B5E@usa.net...
> Phil-
>
> How dare you!
>
> How MEAN you are to kick a man when he is down!
>
> I am offering legitimate concern & assistance for Cheek in this dark hour
> for
> him by trying to promote letter writing to him to raise his morale after
his
>
> recent arrest on federal charges & encouraging people to contibute to a
> legal defense fund for him.
>
> Yet you use this as another stage for your childish attack on me AGAIN!
>
> You should be ashamed.
>
>
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3707F1C6.EFA2F1DD@usa.net>...
> > >Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest
> > >by the federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This
> > way, he
> > >will know we are all thinking of him!
> >
> > TO ALL:
> > Please ignore any postings you find from this individual. He only wants
> > attention.
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1399. monica and bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: monica fathers <xxx@xxx.xxxxxx>
Message-ID: <3713259e.0@news.rivernet.com.au>
Source record: 122229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I think its time bill turned the other chick monica getting gravel rash in this spot from excisive use/. come on bill give monica a break

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3709C391.3C4F2B1B@usa.net...
> Who was the officer & what dept were they with?
>
> Sean Wolfe wrote:
>
> > Follow up: I was curious and called myself.. After 15 minutes of ringing, I
> > talked to an officer who said he IS NOT in custody. (at this time)
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1400. Re: How To Help Bill And Cindy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7evabl$h2$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122230

Curious George <not@home.now> wrote in message
news:3719c7d7.43384327@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 23:21:30 -0400, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Whatever your position on what the Cheeks may or may have not done wrong,
> >this is sure to be a test case with far reaching repercussions. I for
one
> >would welcome any well thought out plans of action to help support the
> >cheeks, beit a defense fund, letter wring campaign, etc. Anyone have any
> >ideas?
> >
> >Rob Lang
> >KC2EOM
> >
> >
>
> IT would probably be prudent to learn what, if any action is actually
> taken against them before launching a 'rescue attempt'.
>
> Cautious Scanning... LCM
>
This is not a "rescue attempt". This is known as standing by your friends,
and standing up for our liberties. You want to help Bill ? Then send him a
few dollars, if you can spare it. The cost of defending this (not to mention
the cost of traveling back and forth from coast to coast) is going to be
high. No "Defense funds" are needed here. Just send it to Bill directly.
This is already costing Bill and his family more than they can afford to
pay. And we cannot afford to wait around with our thumbs up our noses while
people bicker over setting up "defense funds". It is time , right now, to
give something back.......

1401. Re: So now the FBI, SS...has the names/address of everyone who dealt with Bill Cheek????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7evap6il1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122231

Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail address to reply)> wrote in message news:7et96anpm1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> <nospam@canada.com> wrote in message
> news:3713243a.53908187@news.sprint.ca...
> > Is it safe to assume that anyone who bought anything from Bill now
> > will have had their name and address on his computers of which the FBI
> > I'm sure got a copy of that data?
>
>
So what ? It makes no difference. Tomorrow, they may decide that, because you own a scanner at all, you are a criminal. It looks like that is what they are trying to ultimately achieve. Because you own a scanner, you *might* use it in the commission of a crime ! This concept is known as "Prior restraint". They want to take your scanner away , because you "might" use it in conflict with some "unwritten law" of theirs. And that is just what their case is all about. Enforcing their little UNWRITTEN LAWS

1402. motorola Sabre systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7evcav$ee9$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122232
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use
for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing
for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio
for $650 FIRM plus s/h costs. I am specifically looking for kenwood mobile
and portable that is
field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu
standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no
interest in Motorola products.

1403. Ranger 2970 for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leroy Fray <lfray1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7evc8b$ec0$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122233
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ranger 2970 all mods done professionally. Radio is only about 4 months old.
will trade for base cb with mods done (cobra 2000 president washington ect)
or will sell for 350 cash. or make offers on trades.
Reply to email directly. do not post to board.
thanks
Leroy

1404. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413082840.20559.00000022@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122234

>From: somebody@somewhere.com

>Bill Cheeks case hinges upon the question of the
>MDC4800 protocol being encryption or not.

I'm not convinced this is really true. The violation is "intercepting communication." Why would that fact that the intercepted transmission is encrypted have any bearing on it?

1405. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413083320.20559.00000025@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122235

Bill mentioned in his letter that the feds returned his computers almost immediately and he expects to get the rest back soon. Based on that, I wonder just how intent the feds are in prosecuting him? Certainly they didn't have the equipment long enough to do any in-depth analysis of what they contained or to get any potentially damaging evidence. Pure speculation, of course.

In the Steve Jackson case (and in a couple of others), the feds held onto the computers for several months and even then, they were slow to return them even when it appeared they had no case.

1406. WTB Dynamo Generators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <37133DE4.8C8CF949@pagesz.net>
Source record: 122236

Does any one know where I can buy one of these? I see them on am/fm radios all around and believe that I saw these things a while back for sell with out the radio part.

--
****
Ruffin Blackard

ruffin@pagesz.net

1407. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Opper <dopper@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <37150F6C.87223E7D@flinet.com>
Source record: 121987
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yea, I modified mine also, but let me ask you abou this shortwave conversion??...How do you get it into SSB mode? With the current circuitry it seems sort of impossible.

"Fredric J. Einstein" wrote:

> >
> >Radio Dude wrote:
> >>
> >> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
> >> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
> >> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
> >> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
> >> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
> >> more. Serious offers please! Thank You
> >
> > A fair price for this scanner with its mods is in the 200-250 US$
> > price range depending on condition.

1408. A Queston On Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ricturner@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414185052.22405.00000698@ng21.aol.com>
Source record: 121988

My name is Rick and I have decided to get back into the scanning hobby after a long hiatus. At the present time, I am using a PRO-2004 while trying to save up money to buy one of the newer trunk-tracking radios.
My question is this. I vaguely remember reading a long time ago that if an agency has a trunked repeater on, say 860.2625, one can follow the transmissions somewhat by plugging in five frequencies that are 1 Mhz lower (in this case, 860.2625, 859.2625, 858.2625, 857.2625 and 856.2625), keeping in mind that the data channel may change from day to day. Am I remembering this correctly, or is it OK to plug in just the listed frequencies that show a trunked repeater system?
Bristol County (MA) Sheriff's Department has four listed frequencies for trunked repeaters. Do I plug in the four frequencies, or twnty frequencies, using the above format.
Thank you in advance for any light that you can shed on this question.

1409. Re: Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f378g$7pa$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

GARR wrote in message ...
>yes

Thank you, Captain Obvious......

Anyhow, if you hold the l/o key down, you should get it back.

-Phil

1410. Re: Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <37152706.EE2486E8@gnc.net>
Source record: 121990

Here's another one:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html

Robert

Ty wrote:

> Can anyone direct me to a website with scanner modification
> instructions?

1411. CTCSS Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: hunta <hunta@wans.net>
Message-ID: <7f39t9srq2@server2.wans.net>
Source record: 121991

If anybody can help!
What is the CTCSS Freqs. for DEA, BATF, USCS, U.S. Marshalls, and Diplo Sec Serv. (State Dept.)

Thanks Again

Al

1412. Discriminator Mod for BC220/230XLT handheld???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f3ajt$8q6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121992

Asked before, but all I got was a sea of blank faces. So what the hell, I'm asking again.

I've scoured the search engines for such a thing, but it was no help, and the IC listed on Bill Cheeks list doesn't seem to work.

If anyone knows the magic spot, please post it here for everyone. Thanks.

-Phil

1413. Re: BC235XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <ic281h@moci.com>
Message-ID: <3715317E.DB285C1D@moci.com>
Source record: 121993

No, I don't believe there is a specific program to use with the bc-235XLT.

Lt. Brian L. McGlothin wrote:

> Is there any computer software and hardware out there for the BC235XLT?

1414. Re: 800mhz on long island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <371532D0.1B7C75D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121994

Kevin,

I live in CT and pick up Suffolk county comms on the following freqs:
867.675
867.425
867.850
867.925
868.125
868.250
867.285
868.675

By the way, it surprises me that i can pick up LI stuff here in Shelton,
CT but cannot pick up anything from Norwalk, Stamford etc.

KSK 635 21 wrote:
>
> hi all i just purchased a vintage bc 800xlt, its in mint shape....i live on the
> island,
> are there any 800 goodies still on the air?????? kevin

1415. WTB Used Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4028-37152E6D-62@newsd-163.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121995

I freelance shooting TV news. I need some used scanners, I don't care how few freqs these scanners will hold since I'll only be programming one freq in each. I need to monitor five freqs all at the same time.

Can anyone help? Any suggestions? I've already tried pawn shops and they want almost "new" prices. Absurd!

Please e-mail:

BlackjackElliot@webtv.net

☐Street Beat News Service
☐Street Beat Productions

1416. Re: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gerald M." <GDmedal@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7f3hip$j9e$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Still, check out www.Bander.com !!

Don Stegner wrote in message <1DbR2.38$Z42.70@news.rdc1.va.home.com>...
>Didn't take it personal. Just wanted to make sure that comparison shoppers
>had all the facts. BTW, for all you shoppers, my AR8000 also has the
birdie
>mod.
>
>
>
>Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1qup$2qb$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>>Just letting everyone know that they should give bander.com a look....it's
>>just shopping around, nothing personal.
>>
>>Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>>>I did check their pricing before I posted my ad. The model with case,
>>scout
>>>mod, US bandplan is going for $499. My AR8000 have these but has the
>>>discriminator tap and voice inverter chip. Also, you do not have to pay
>>>customs and run certain risks if you buy mine. Therefore I think my
price
>>>is fair.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1l7g$s8h$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>>>>ar8000 are going for $379 and bandercom...just on sale from the regular
>>>>price of $388. check www.bander.com
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1417. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phantom <khk1@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <371552ce@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 121997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Would it be possible for someone in the know to post the names and /or addresses for the judges involved.
Email as well as physical address.

I agree, that everyone needs to stand up- to this political bullshit, and possibly help Mr. Cheek get these charges dropped. I thank him for his contributions to this hobby as well as this newsgroup , despite the feelings of some out there. Now is not the time for ill feelings to drive the group as a whole apart. We need to stand united because if we don't , who will?

Phantom
Never Seen, therefore I wasn't really there!

1418. Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <371551E9.9689CF82@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 121998

Well , who is Bill Cheek ??

1419. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <SBcR2.26$Ps.8166@nntp1>
Source record: 121999

Hell, bad laws DESERVE to be broken.
Rob Lang
KC2EMO

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote in message <37189751.399789587@news.tiac.net>...
>On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:
>
>>Sir,
>>
>>Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
>>Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
>>
>Yeah so? It's their (the cell provider's) fault for not encrypting
>it. There should be no law prohibiting reception, the same way there
>should not be any law prohibiting the use of radar detectors. If
>we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
>availiable on shelves. I could care less about recieving
>cellphones, but from a standpoint of principle, its downright STUPID
>to have to restrict the coverage of recievers due to a mistake the
>cellular industry has made.
>
>-Mike
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1420. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7f3kk7kcp1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 122000

>
>the> Law enforcement has it's share of scumbags too.
>
> But, in Cheek's case, all they're looking for is all references to selling
> dataslicers and to whom they were sold. That's all the charges state.

But, you also must see just how stupid the charges ARE!

1. There is no law currently on the books that specifically outlaws the use
, ownership, or selling of data slicers !

2. Texas Two - Way is STILL selling 4 - level slicers, and nobody has
bothered THEM !

3. The Optoelectronics OptoCom, a radio that was recently TYPE APPROVED FOR
SALE BY THEIR OWN FCC, contains a data slicer ! This is tantamount to their
ENDORSING THE LEGALITY OF DATA SLICERS IN WRITING !

And yet, they see fit to bust Bill Cheek for selling a LEGAL DEVICE THAT
THEY HAVE ALREADY APPROVED FOR SALE !

The sheer STUPIDITY of the Federal Government BOGGLES THE MIND !

1421. Pro-43 on eBay! wow~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <14041999200943659 9%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 122001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I saw a Pro-43 on ebay...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89625460

1422. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7f3n4e$817$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 122002

Not sure how up-to-date it is, but Clay Irving's site has a lot of
references to scanner laws, at
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/rules.shtml

Harry Marnell, N6URU

whose homepage is at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
>On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 10:51:48 -0400, "Rudolph M. Marcelletti"
><rudym@net-link.net> wrote:
>
>>I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher to have
>>scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the regs for IL,
IN,
>>OH, PA or any other states?
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
>In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
>a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.
>
> -Benji.
>

1423. Re: CTCSS Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <371568b4@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122003

Why do you care? Planning on transmitting to them? They are almost exclusively DES now anyway. And, if you really need to know the CTCSS frequencies, get an Optoelectronics receiver and measure them.
--
Crazy George

1424. Re: "UHF Skip" on BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37156a08@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122004

Ducting: It is a very common occurrence along the Gulf coast. In fact, the phenomenon caused a "freeze" of television channel allocations in the early 1950s because the signals were so strong and unexpected. The phenomenon is well known and documented now. See any modern text on VHF/UHF propagation. The land/sea interface is the physical temperature driver.
--
Crazy George

1425. Re: A Queston On Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <37156acd@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 122005

Do a search in the 'net. There are several sites devoted to the arcane details of trunking, and frequency lists.

--
Crazy George

1426. Wanted laptop tone decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: honda <honda@netconx.net>
Message-ID: <37156F06.14A9@netconx.net>
Source record: 122006

I am looking for a tone decoder that uses the mic in a laptop. is there any software that can do this. If I set a scanner or phone by my laptop I would like to capture the numbers. Thank You if you can help. E-mail me if you have any idea

honda@netconx.net

1427. Re: New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <924152187.846.100@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 122007

I was trying to track a trunked system in a nearby town. I am quite certain I had all the freqs programed (data channel and all). But I was not successful, I'm sure it was not a Motorola system. Is it reasonable to assume it would be an Ericsson system? What I mean to say is, are there other trunking systems besides Ericsson and Motorola?

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990413193828.09319.00000242@ng-cc1.aol.com>...
>>From: "Bob Esposito" <rjespo@voicenet.com>
>>Date: 04-13-1999 17:12 EST
>>
>>Any idea if 500-mhz trunking will be included??
>>
>It's my understanding that the new 245XLT will trunktrack VHF/UHF, 800/900
>systems.
>
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1428. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <A435963B3B8E0533.87988FA04A3C21E6.6F362C847CD117FB@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122008

Oh yeah boy, real private information!
A bunch of anon slobs ordering food all day.
Makes for some great listening!
I would rather listen to those chirp channels at 29Mhz
all day.

>What I find curious is that they
> don't make a big deal about the home phones or even fast food freqs.
> BURGER KING McDONALD'S

1429. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <F2AB4516BA5BE759.66D626859322D48E.087BC2508028C5A9@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 122009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is like making tic-tac breath mints illegal because someone could slip
and fall on one resulting in the tic-tac poking their eye out.
This is like making scratching my balls illegal as my hairs might generate an
electromagnetic
field which could render the electronics in any 20 year old 727 jet just coincidentally
passing overhead
inoperable, thus causing a crash.

> Using the government's logic in this case, an employee of the Radio
> Shack parts department in Texas could be arrested for sending a
> component anywhere in the US that ended up being used in an "illegal"
> manner, to wit: in a speech inversion descrambler.

1430. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Waldron <bogart@nationwide.net>
Message-ID: <3714B578.B58EDE9A@nationwide.net>
Source record: 122010
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Many "additions" make an otherwise "dangerous" product more friendly.
Seatbelts in cars.
Safety on a gun.
Locks on the doors/windows of your home.
Grounded electrical equipment.
Condoms (doh!)

=)

Alvin wrote:

> If you think cell phones are hazardous to health,then why you want to use
> it?
> Change to other type of phones or use a ear-piece
> <acunet3278@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
> news:7eqtir$1l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> > Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
> >
> > And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
> > Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the
> problem?
> > Please see the URL below for details:
> > http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
> >
> > Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
> > Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> > Plz advise. Thank U.
> >
> > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1431. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <371563D0.17929ADC@qsl.net>
Source record: 122011

"Rudolph M. Marcelletti" wrote:
>
> I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher
> to have scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the
> regs for IL, IN, OH, PA or any other states?

Rudolph
If you go to one of these web sites they have the state by state info!
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/Frequencies/handy-info/scan-law.txt
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

--
To reply remove the extra @

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

1432. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f20mj$s74$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

It may also be a good idea checking this server status page for freeserve if you are having any problems trying to access my site
http://www.freeserve.net/support/status.htm

EAVSDROPPER

1433. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <371486fb.395607363@news.tiac.net>
Source record: 122038

On Sun, 11 Apr 1999 13:39:03 -0700, "on3" <caston3@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>You receive more em radiation from the sun standing outside for 5 minutes
>than you do from your cellphone in a month. Cell phones are only like 4 watt
>transmitters.

Try 500-600mw for a handheld phone.

-Mike

1434. Mr DDI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f20ti$sb7$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122039

Hi mate, is there a stand alone version ?

thanks
EAVSDROPPER

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1435. Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin & Shannon Coleiro <coleiro@home.com>
Message-ID: <q%%Q2.5210$Ay2.931614@news1.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 122040

I have a Radio Shack Pro 43 Hand Held 200 Channel scanner for sale.
With re-chargeables...best offer.
coleiro@home.com

1436. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414092346.20551.00000825@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 122041

>From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com

>If Bill's
>computers and records were considered evidence, he wouldn't get them back
>until after the trial.

That's exactly the point. Copying the drive and then returning it to Bill would not be sufficient "evidence."

Any reasonable lawyer would quickly argue that the copy was incomplete or tampered with in some other way.

>This means one of two things: Either the case against the Cheeks is hopeless
>due to insufficient evidence (which, by reading the warrant text as it was
>posted, I doubt) or this whole thing is a witch hunt and the gathering of
>"evidence" was simply a formality

You could also speculate that they are using the tactic to get him to stop without ever really intending to go to trial. By simply starting a prosecution, many accused peoplewould quickly back down because the financial burden of a defense would be too great to resist.

In the Craig Neidorf case (who published supposed proprietary documents in an hacker newsletter), the government dropped the case midway through the trial. Neidorf, however, was stuck with $100,000 in legal bills.

>I see bad things coming on the horizon for people like us. Bad, bad
>things...

Who knows? There may be a feeling that too many people are thumbing their noses at the law and the feds have finally decided to do something about it. That seems to be the issue with eBay and the fraud claims. In addition to getting rid of the scam artists, eBay now wants to knock off the sales of cell-capable of scanners or anything else that might be objectionable.

1437. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <37189751.399789587@news.tiac.net>
Source record: 122042

On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:

>Sir,
>
>Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
>Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
>
Yeah so? It's their (the cell provider's) fault for not encrypting
it. There should be no law prohibiting reception, the same way there
should not be any law prohibiting the use of radar detectors. If
we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
availiable on shelves. I could care less about recieving
cellphones, but from a standpoint of principle, its downright STUPID
to have to restrict the coverage of recievers due to a mistake the
cellular industry has made.

-Mike

1438. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <no-spam_bshoop@county.tippecanoe.in.us>
Message-ID: <7f25cg$p6b$1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 122043
Cross-posted to: bit.listserv.wx-talk,rec.radio.scanner

Where do you travel in East Central Illinois??? If your around the Danville Area on east into Indiana, the signal of the NOAH weather station disappears alot. I use to drive that area with a scanner in the car with the mag mount antenna from RS. If your closer to Terre Haute or Champaign you'll be fine, just buy a cheap handheld scanner and listen when it looks like conditions are getting bad or could. NOAH repeats it's info every 5 minutes so you can check it every once in a while.

Brian
East Central Illinois and Central Indiana frequencies and more:
http://www.frontiernet.net/~shoop/scan

Dipesh Navsaria wrote in message <9a4Q2.148$zf.51@firefly>...
>Greetings. Will an inexpensive Weatheradio with alert (like the ones at
>Radio Shack) work in a car? I'm contemplating simply placing a
>battery-powered one in the car, or, if need be, a desktop model hooked
>into the car's cigarette lighter or something.
>
>I was told by a local Radio Shack that this wouldn't work too well since
>the metal of the car would possibly prevent the alerts from being picked
>up. I'd rather not go to the expense and trouble of a CB with an ext.
>antenna.
>
>I'm doing this because I commute 70 miles/day in East Central Illinois.
>Last week, while I knew there was a chance of thundershowers, it wasn't
>until I got home that I learned that there had been severe weather
>warnings issued (which hit an hour after I got home), as well as tornado
>watches (which spawned a tornado north of us a few hours later). I don't
>usually listen to the radio in the car (I listen to taped BBC World
>Service programmes), so that doesn't help much. I could also hook one up
>at work, but in last Thursday's case, that wouldn't help -- I wasn't at
>my usual work site in the afternoon and evening.
>
>I'd appreciate any replies. Please reply by e-mail and I'll summarize if
>there's interest...
>
>Peace and Prosperity,
>Dipesh
>
>-<*>--<*
>--
>Dipesh Navsaria Apologies for the semi-munging of my address below:
><navsaria at the machine prairienet in the top-level domain org>
>

>Unsolicited e-mail that does not relate directly and immediately to the
>contents of this post is hereby refused. Any mail that involves adding
>my address to a mailing list is hereby refused whether it is relevant to
>the topic or not. Please also note my strict adherence to The Boulder
>Pledge:
>
> "Under no circumstances will I ever purchase anything offered to me as
> the result of an unsolicited e-mail message. Nor will I forward chain
> letters, petitions, mass mailings, or virus warnings to large numbers
> of others. This is my contribution to the survival of the online
> community."
>
>
>

1439. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414093726.24018.00000440@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 122044

>That's exactly the point. Copying the drive and then returning it to Bill
>would
>not be sufficient "evidence."
>
>Any reasonable lawyer would quickly argue that the copy was incomplete or
>tampered with in some other way.
>
>

I wonder then could the contents of a hard drive ever be considered evidence.
Even the original could easily be tampered with, files added or deleted.
Magnetic media is just too volatile to be considered as solid evidence. Not
that our benevolent government would ever do such a thing ... uh huh !

Regards .. Larry

1440. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7f27a6cbj1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 122045

LF686 wrote in message <19990414093726.24018.00000440@ng14.aol.com>...

>I wonder then could the contents of a hard drive ever be considered
evidence.
>Even the original could easily be tampered with, files added or
deleted.
>Magnetic media is just too volatile to be considered as solid
evidence. Not
>that our benevolent government would ever do such a thing ... uh huh
!
>
>Regards .. Larry

There are many people in prison at this very moment who could answer
that question.
My.02

1441. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <3714A384.74994092@albany.net>
Source record: 122046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It is obvious that it is more than a coincidence that Mr. Cheek was arrested at about the same time CONgress is trying to push through more anti-scanning legislation. CONgress now has a high profile bust they can point to when touting the passage of this legislation.

I am sickened and disgusted by this blatant abuse of power by our federal government. Regardless of what you think of Mr. Cheek personally, everyone who gives a crap about our hobby should write their congressmen now protesting not only the proposed legislation but also Mr. Cheek's politically motivated arrest. When a judge is assigned to hear Mr. Cheek's case we should also flood the court with letters expressing our disgust. If there were ever a time our hobby was being threatened and action needed to be taken by everyone who enjoys scanning, it is now!

Joe

1442. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414104002.27338.00000457@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 122047

>how far can you here a cordless phone
>freq. with the help of a great antenna?
>

If you're in a heavily populated area, you will hear too much if you use a really great antenna. Cordless phones generally are only good for a few hundred (very few) yards at best. If you are in a sparsly populated area, with the absolute best antenna designed for the 46-49+ Mhz band, in perfectly flat terrain, no obstructions and perfect ground, it's still only good for a few hundred yards.

1443. Re: PRO-2006 Schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3714A9B7.E376C569@home.com>
Source record: 122048

I have the service manual with all of the schematics. They are bigger
than I can copy or scan. What part of the schematic do you want? I
will either email or fax it.
73
Alan
W1HYV

marc_k wrote:
>
> Scott Dernbach (stalker@lvcm.com) wrote:
> : Does anyone have a copy of the schematic for the Pro-2006 scanner that they
> : can scan & send via email to me? I tried Raido Snack and they would not
> : sell/order one. I had purchased one a while back, but due to several moves
> : over the past few years it was lost/thrown out by my wife!
>
> : Thanks for any help in this matter!
> : Scott
>
> Why won't R.S. sell you the service manual...they only stopped selling the
> manuals for the late models-the 2006 is not one of them.
> Did they say they can't sell it, or did they say that it was out of print?

1444. scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rudolph M. Marcelletti" <rudym@net-link.net>
Message-ID: <7f2a5e$mtv@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 122049

I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher to have scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the regs for IL, IN, OH, PA or any other states?

1445. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gyoung389@aol.com
Message-ID: <3715a6fd.7431441@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122050

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 09:04:50 -0500, "Bryan Stethem" <bstethem@attco.com>
wrote:

>
>LF686 wrote in message <19990414093726.24018.00000440@ng14.aol.com>...
>
>>I wonder then could the contents of a hard drive ever be considered
>evidence.
>>Even the original could easily be tampered with, files added or
>deleted.
>>Magnetic media is just too volatile to be considered as solid
>evidence. Not
>>that our benevolent government would ever do such a thing ... uh huh
>!
>>
>>Regards .. Larry
>
>
>There are many people in prison at this very moment who could answer
>that question.
>My.02
>

Exactally. Think about all the sicko child porn lovers who have had their
data confiscated. You read about that in the news every week somewhere in the
country.

1446. FS: Radio Shack Pro 64 / 2041 scanner programming cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyrREMOVE@home.net>
Message-ID: <3714B9C4.45E7@home.net>
Source record: 122051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
cable comes with a shareware program for uploading frequencies to the
scanner.
$25.oo shipped in the US.
\|||/
(0-0)
----------------------oOOO--(_)--OOOo--
My Email address is altered due to
the prevalence of Spamers. Remove the
REMOVE from my return .oooO
address to reply! ( ) Oooo.
------------------------\ (----( )----
\_) ) /
(_/
```

1447. WTT:My Car Stereo Equip for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Test <Test@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7f2ea2$7j4$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 122052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm trying to get out of one hobby and into another :

Looking to trade some Car Stereo Equipment (I have am/fm Cassettes, AM/FM CD, CD Changer) for a scanner.

What I'm interested in, is a handheld scanner with Cell unblocked or modded or whatever you call it. I "might" be interested in a base (home?) unit too (or instead?)!!!!!!!

What I have to offer is :

I have 3 tape units, 1 cd player, and 1 cd changer (models and description will be provided on request!) All are name brands (Kenwood, Pioneer, Sony) and I have the receipts for most (if not all, everything is from my PERSONAL collection!)

I'm located in Racine, Wisconsin but will ship!

email if interested!

davepop@execpc.com

PLEASE DON'T MAKE ME HAVE TO EBAY THIS STUFF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1448. AOR3000 & PRO2006 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414120418.26020.00000466@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 122053

AOR3000- FEATURES 400 CH(4 BANKS) 4 PRI CH RF ATT SETTING AND LOCKOUT STATUS COVERS 100KHZ-2036MHZ AM-FM-WFM-NFM-USB-LSB-CW SCANS A MAX OF 50 CH OR STEPS PER SECOND SENSITIVITY IS 100KHZ TO 2.5 MHZ (SSB/CW 1.0uV) BUILT IN RS232 FOR COMPUTING INTERFACING COMES WITH TELESCOPIC WHIP,12 VOLT WALL ADAPTER,DC POWER CORD FOR MOBILE USE AND MOBILE BRACKET

500.00 FIRM(US DOLLARS)

CAN BE SEEN OR PICKUP IN NORTHERN NJ
LOCAL SALE PREFERED
RATHER NOT GET INVOLVED WITH SHIPPING

I want you to see what you are buying,therefore no mistakes will be made

I ALSO HAVE A PRO 2006 FOR SALE @ 250.00 (US DOLLARS)

1449. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <3714bf46.174708015@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 122054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 12:58:05 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>Now we have two possiibilitys here
>1) i have been updating the site here and there a lot recently and it could
>be sods law that you have been trying to access it at the same times as i
>have been changing it hence the 404 errors
>
>2) Freeserve think they are being big brother and slowing the site
>down/stopping it on purpose
>3) Motorola or the American authorities have contacted freeserve and asked
>them to do it
>
>If the last two is the case then i will put this up on several sites all
>over the place in which case if the last two are reading this dont do it as
>i will expand this sites contents TENFOLD and on more servers !!
>
>I will look into it shortly but personally i have no trouble with it at the
>moment
>
>EAVSDROPPER
>
I finally got access to your site from another location. Got what I
wanted without interference. So I suspect "my" problem was on "my"
end.

And I'd like to add a big THANK YOU for the service you are rendering
here. It's very much appreciated.

Tony

1450. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414122924.22927.00000591@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 122055

>Exactally. Think about all the sicko child porn lovers who have had their
>data confiscated. You read about that in the news every week somewhere in
>the
>country.

But it makes you think. Suppose you piss off some DA or other local official. They come in on some type of trumped up warrent, confiscate you computer, and load it up with a bunch of that type of stuff. Your toast. Kind of scary. I know everyone who goes to prison claims to be innocent .... some probably are, they just pissed off the wrong people.

1451. FS Saber 1 uhf 12ch $240 w/2batt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: xeon <xeon@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3714C482.2527EB58@ultinet.net>
Source record: 122056

Saber 1 uhf 12ch
6D core, H/pwr, non secure
Model # H44SAN7139CN
comes w/ 1 batt.motorola used (not so good)
plus 1 brand-new batt motorola (7.2v @ 1800 ma)
& ant. the shape of the radio is in
good shape I'll give it a 7.

*** Note ****

Asking $240.+ you paid for S+H

**** Need to read radio for band spread ? ****
Don't know band spread!

I'm not going to paid someone $40 or $30 so i can read
the radio. If I'm not going to kept it.

Then i used my scanner to see what were the
CH. And this is what i found.

Ch. 1 447.285
Ch. 2 449.925
Ch. 3 460.000
Ch. 4 460.500
Ch. 5 449.125
Ch. 6 447.125
Ch. 7 --- Blank
Ch. 8 --- Blank
Ch. 9 --- Blank
Ch. 10 --- Blank
Ch. 11 --- Blank
Ch. 12 --- Blank

Save $40 or $30.

And this is why I'm selling it!
1) I got it with a trade!
2) It cost to much for me to keep this radio.
I would need a charger, software, cable,
rib box and get this a 386 computer. Ha

You can e-mail me

Xeon@ultinet.net

1452. Nashville Sounds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannut@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12538-3714C6F9-23@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122057

Looking for the frequency that the Nashville Sounds use, please.
Thanks for your help.
Jim in Clarksville, Tennessee

"But as truly as I live, all the earth shall be filled with the glory of the Lord."
Numbers 14:21

1453. Re: FA: iCOM PCR-1000 with DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7f2it7$nf2$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <LVTQ2.8417$oD5.4816@news.rdc1.va.home.com>, dstegner@home.com says...
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90965823
>
>Note: this is the older version!
>
>
I love my PCR1000 and the U104(or is it U105) DSP module. New they cost 399 and 130 each. The DSP is very effetive at notching one hydrodyne.
The older '1000s could be made to receive the prohibited cellular band with a simple software eeprom flash upgrade. They also are slightly prone to going brain dead. The eeprom can get hosed during normal operation.
Inspite of the bad press the 1000 gets, I find it to be a verry good radio. Could be a good deal to be had here.
Note: I do not know the seller,and have nothing to do with Ebay or any auction house. Just some comments on a radio I own and like.

1454. Re: Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7f2jp7$nf2$4@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122059
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <3713A9E6.2350F932@tfn.net>, gfloyd7@tfn.net says...
>
>Of course any local with a scanner can usually get the same information
by
>listening to normal 2M ragchewing and nets....and these people live
right
>down the road.
>
>Anonymous wrote:
>
>> Don Bertone (dbertone@flash.net) wrote:
>> : I can never figure out why people use fake names out here instead
of
>> : just letting people know who they are and how they can be
contacted.
>> : Why are they h"hiding"? Man, am I going to get flamed on this one!
>>
>> There are many reasons. Perhaps some people don't like to advertise
to
>> the whole world who they are and the fact they own valuable,
>> easy-to-steal, radio gear. Remember, these posts are accessible by
>> millions worldwide, for virtually forever. A few people reading them
>> might be less scrupulous than the average honest citizen.
>
There are many reasons to "hide".
I get net access as a perk with my job.
Last year I nearly got fired and/or lost my "net" access due to the
unholly amount of spam that one little post brought.
If anyone really wants to spend the time, they could almost certainly
track me down. Any police agency would have no trouble "backtracking",
but the spammers are not that resosurcefull. Yet!
So, to any and all that dislike me hiding, tood bad, it is not going to
cange. It is either this, or lurk only.
And I like to think that I have at least a little to contribute.

1455. Re: computer monitor noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7f2ju1$nf2$5@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122060

In article <7f202p$g47$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>,
ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.ukpleaseremove"nospam"frome-mailaddresstor
eply says...
>
>
>Frank Lawrence <lawrencf@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:371469E3.DD5F5227@bellsouth.net...
>> I have a Pro-2029 in the same room as my pc and am almost unable to
use
>> it due to rf noise from my computer monitor. Are there any "miracle"
>> fixes for this? The interference apparently is entering through the
>> plastic case of the radio. Same with built-in and outside antenna.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Frank
>>
>
>You could always seal the inside of the radio case with a foil like
>substance or metalic spray, but be VERY careful and dont short anything
!!.
>
>or you could always put some ferrite coils in line with the leads
>
>eavsdropper
>
>
You will need to do sheild the inside and put ferrite cores on both the
power and the signal leads. My Sony VGA was so bad as to make listening
to either SW or the scanner next to imposible. After sheilding and the
cores, I still get a little interference, but so slight that I can live
with it.

1456. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Help <for@morespam.net>
Message-ID: <3714D4BE.335702E3@morespam.net>
Source record: 122061

> Apparently some folks don't know the difference between the
federal (the
>U.S. Government) judicial system and state (or local) court systems.
Bill was
>charged in federal court and arrested on a federal warrant issued by
the U.S.
>Attorney's Office, not a state of New York prosecutor.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

Thanks John this must be who is involved or I am wrong again.
Let me know.
http://www.uscourts.gov/

1457. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <rL4R2.714$Qd.883@news.flash.net>
Source record: 122062

In article <37189751.399789587@news.tiac.net>, drgrant@ziplink.net says...
>
>On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:
>
>>Sir,
>>
>>Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
>>Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
>>
>Yeah so? It's their (the cell provider's) fault for not encrypting
>it. There should be no law prohibiting reception, the same way there
>should not be any law prohibiting the use of radar detectors. If
>we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
>availiable on shelves. I could care less about recieving
>cellphones, but from a standpoint of principle, its downright STUPID
>to have to restrict the coverage of recievers due to a mistake the
>cellular industry has made.
>
>-Mike

VERY WELL PUT Mike! I too couldn't care less about receiving cellphone as I think they are 99.9% of the time downright boring. But again on the standpoint of principle and freedoms we shouldn't impose laws which do NOTHING but help an industry survive.

One think Mike, what do you mean by "if we followed the europeans" how did they get around this non-sence?? please educate me....
Thanks,
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--- Much UpTo Date Radios Info!

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1458. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcharles@webtv.com
Message-ID: <371dd0dd.18151268@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122063

>>Exactally. Think about all the sicko child porn lovers who have had their
>>data confiscated. You read about that in the news every week somewhere in
>>the
>>country.
>
>But it makes you think. Suppose you piss off some DA or other local official.
>They come in on some type of trumped up warrent, confiscate you computer, and
>load it up with a bunch of that type of stuff. Your toast. Kind of scary. I
>know everyone who goes to prison claims to be innocent .... some probably are,
>they just pissed off the wrong people.

Yep. But that's no different than the small town cop who hates teenagers and drops a dime bag in their car on a routine traffic stop and charges them with it.

Law enforcement has it's share of scumbags too.

But, in Cheek's case, all they're looking for is all references to selling dataslicers and to whom they were sold. That's all the charges state.

1459. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990414150007.19279.00000571@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 122064

>From: Help <for@morespam.net>
>Date: 04-14-1999 12:47 EST
>
>Thanks John this must be who is involved or I am wrong again.
>Let me know.
> http://www.uscourts.gov/
>

You're on the right track. More specifically, I believe it's the URL below.
You can sign up for an account and read the information in the case files
on-line at 60 cents per minute.

http://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/

I think this is the right district, although New York has three federal court
districts and I'm not familiar enough with the city to be absolutely certain.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1460. concorde frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <au5R2.6410$uU2.76729@mors.clara.net>
Source record: 122065

Hi
Does anyone have the frequency for concorde?
Thanks
Email
tct@clara.net

1461. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f2ogj$7kg$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >EAVSDROPPER
> >
> I finally got access to your site from another location. Got what I
> wanted without interference. So I suspect "my" problem was on "my"
> end.
>
> And I'd like to add a big THANK YOU for the service you are rendering
> here. It's very much appreciated.
>
> Tony
>

thats what this is all about !!!

cheers

eavsdropper

1462. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3714E512.74211C23@bwn.net>
Source record: 122067

LF686 wrote:
>
> >That's exactly the point. Copying the drive and then returning it to Bill
> >would
> >not be sufficient "evidence."
> >
> >Any reasonable lawyer would quickly argue that the copy was incomplete or
> >tampered with in some other way.

That is exactly why there is a chain of evidence. If his original hard
drive was in fact returned to him neither the hard drive nor any
information on it will be used in court against him. Once it was
returned the chain of evidence was broken.
Gerry
> >
> >
>
> I wonder then could the contents of a hard drive ever be considered evidence.
> Even the original could easily be tampered with, files added or deleted.
> Magnetic media is just too volatile to be considered as solid evidence. Not
> that our benevolent government would ever do such a thing ... uh huh !
>
> Regards .. Larry

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

1463. Re: reset a BC895XLT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f2osn$3b1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122068

Jeff Kilgore wrote in message <370FB5A9.8F7AB8BF@dev.tivoli.com>...
>> How to you do this? I'm wanting to erase ALL my memories, pref.'s,
>> etc...Mine gets the "error" now when it trunks. Is it possible to clear
ALL
>> memory on this scanner?
>> Thanks in advance!

WAIT!!! DON'T DO IT!!!!!!
Hope I'm not too late....

Chances are you got the "error" message because the scanner wasn't in trunked mode when you put the frequencies in. Press the TRUNK key and hold it down until the scanner beeps twice. You'll notice that the bank letters are now flashing. Select the bank you want to program for trunking and then you can put in your frequencies.

Then select the type of system it is and press SRC. You're now ready to feed it some talkgroup ID's or just sit back and listen to the system doing its thing. It's still just about the coolest little toy I've ever seen....

Hope that helps,
-Phil

1464. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f2pds$3h3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 122069

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote in message <37189751.399789587@news.tiac.net>...
>If we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
>availiable on shelves.

Yes and we would have laws that either ban you from owning such a thing entirely(France), or that would impose stiff penalties just for listening to the police or fire department, or anything else that isn't meant for public ears(England). Unless you live in the Netherlands, you'll have SOME restrictions on what you can listen to.

We'd also have trucks driving around to make sure we paid our TV taxes this year...

Given the options, I'd still rather live over here, thanks. ;-)

-Phil

1465. Re: computer monitor noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <3714ED9D.FC641851@dnai.com>
Source record: 122070

There are a number of companies now selling TEMPEST compliant computer hardware and filters, unfortunately I don't have the link info anymore but if you search on dogpile with TEMPEST you will find alot of info on products that do not put out RF and EMI information that can be eavesdropped upon or interfere with other radio equipment.

HighWaysinHiding wrote:

> In article <7f202p$g47$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>,
> ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.ukpleaseremove"nospam"frome-mailaddresstor
> eply says...
> >
> >
> >Frank Lawrence <lawrencf@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >news:371469E3.DD5F5227@bellsouth.net...
> >> I have a Pro-2029 in the same room as my pc and am almost unable to
> use
> >> it due to rf noise from my computer monitor. Are there any "miracle"
> >> fixes for this? The interference apparently is entering through the
> >> plastic case of the radio. Same with built-in and outside antenna.
> >>
> >> Thanks,
> >> Frank
> >>
> >
> >You could always seal the inside of the radio case with a foil like
> >substance or metalic spray, but be VERY careful and dont short anything
> !!.
> >
> >or you could always put some ferrite coils in line with the leads
> >
> >eavsdropper
> >
> >
> You will need to do sheild the inside and put ferrite cores on both the
> power and the signal leads. My Sony VGA was so bad as to make listening
> to either SW or the scanner next to imposible. After sheilding and the
> cores, I still get a little interference, but so slight that I can live
> with it.

1466. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3714EEDE.11D08EE7@netxn.com>
Source record: 122071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

At this rate we will not have a hobby in the not to distant future. The only thing we will have is Big Brother knocking down our doors to confiscate our scanners and computers.

It's not too far in the future ...

Scary thought ... a very scary thought ...

Mike Derryberry

jnooney@albany.net wrote:
>
> It is obvious that it is more than a coincidence that Mr. Cheek was
> arrested at about the same time CONgress is trying to push through more
> anti-scanning legislation. CONgress now has a high profile bust they
> can point to when touting the passage of this legislation.
>
> I am sickened and disgusted by this blatant abuse of power by our
> federal government. Regardless of what you think of Mr. Cheek
> personally, everyone who gives a crap about our hobby should write their
> congressmen now protesting not only the proposed legislation but also
> Mr. Cheek's politically motivated arrest. When a judge is assigned to
> hear Mr. Cheek's case we should also flood the court with letters
> expressing our disgust. If there were ever a time our hobby was being
> threatened and action needed to be taken by everyone who enjoys
> scanning, it is now!
>
> Joe

1467. Re: BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Hilger <mh60625@navix.net>
Message-ID: <3714ED80.737F2E2@navix.net>
Source record: 122072

I have tried a few different ones like freetracker and some other freeware programs but have really enjoyed the PC895 version 2 by Phil Smalley. It is very easy to use and you can store unlimited frequencies on the computer and then just bump them over to the scanner when you want to scan them. Here is a link to the download site.
Download PC895
Matt

Bryan Stethem wrote:

> I would like to know from experienced users: what is the best software to
> control a 895XLT ?

1468. JvE with Realaudio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.v.e@worldonline.nl
Message-ID: <7f2qck$5ad$1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 122073

http://home.wish.net/~jve/

1469. Re: computer monitor noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <3714F0A9.8F4EA3D6@dnai.com>
Source record: 122074

here are some links
http://www.tempest-inc.com/
http://www.emsec.com/whatdo.htm
http://www.atsc-usa.com/products.html
http://www.thecodex.com/tempeav.html
http://www.blackmagic.com/ses/ses.html

Jeff Doyle wrote:

> There are a number of companies now selling TEMPEST compliant computer
> hardware and filters, unfortunately I don't have the link info anymore but
> if you search on dogpile with TEMPEST you will find alot of info on products
> that do not put out RF and EMI information that can be eavesdropped upon or
> interfere with other radio equipment.
>

1470. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990414161543.24889.00000583@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 122075

>Subject: Re: Charges Against Cheek
>From: jnooney@albany.net
>Date: 4/14/99 10:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3714A384.74994092@albany.net>
>
>It is obvious that it is more than a coincidence that Mr. Cheek was
>arrested at about the same time CONgress is trying to push through more
>anti-scanning legislation. CONgress now has a high profile bust they
>can point to when touting the passage of this legislation.
>
>I am sickened and disgusted by this blatant abuse of power by our
>federal government. Regardless of what you think of Mr. Cheek
>personally, everyone who gives a crap about our hobby should write their
>congressmen now protesting not only the proposed legislation but also
>Mr. Cheek's politically motivated arrest. When a judge is assigned to
>hear Mr. Cheek's case we should also flood the court with letters
>expressing our disgust. If there were ever a time our hobby was being
>threatened and action needed to be taken by everyone who enjoys
>scanning, it is now!
>
>Joe
>
>
>
>
>
>

I guess more and more people will 'risk' it to buy a scanner from an overseas
internet source.
rjr

1471. Re: concorde frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7f2svkbfu1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 122076

In article <au5R2.6410$uU2.76729@mors.clara.net>, tct@clara.net says...
>
>Hi
>Does anyone have the frequency for concorde?
>Thanks
>Email
> tct@clara.net
>
>
>
>
>
Over land the Concord, like all other aircraft, use standard VHF
(108-138MHZ AM) aircraft freqs. They use whatever approach/departure and
tower freqs as all other civil aircraft in an area. Since you do not say
where you are, it is a little hard to guess at your local tower freqs.
Out over the Ocean they use HF freqs. You might try the shortwave group, or
do a net search for WUN (world utility news) and try "aircraft in route"
or some phrase similiar.
Good luck

1472. Re: F.S. Pro 2005 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: feinstei@ford.com
Message-ID: <3714f8c2.1127067@news.ford.com>
Source record: 122077
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
>Radio Dude wrote:
>>
>> I have a great condition Pro2005 base scanner with cell mod, short wave
>> mod, and memory mod which instead of 400 memory's, it has 6,400
>> memory's! It's like having 16 of these scanner unit. It also comes with
>> manual and service manual which radio shack does not give out no more.
>> I'm asking $800 american dollars. May be high but it's really worth
>> more. Serious offers please! Thank You

A fair price for this scanner with its mods is in the 200-250 US$
price range depending on condition.

1473. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7f2tjh$63k$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 122078

>That is exactly why there is a chain of evidence. If his original
hard
>drive was in fact returned to him neither the hard drive nor any
>information on it will be used in court against him. Once it was
>returned the chain of evidence was broken.
>Gerry

This is true. However, if they copied his hard drive and it contains sales information, the next door they knock on might be yours!

But seriously, what the Feds want to do is stop people from blantently making a profit from an illegal (in their mind) operation. They won't go to every house on Bill's hard drive and ask for the forbidden device.

They know thousands of people are monitoring cell freqs but they will only hassle people who do stupid things with tape recorders. If you put up a web site and sell scanners that are modified to receive cell freqs, as an on going business (not a private sell) they will find you.

Just my own squacks
Bryan

1474. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <3714FAEA.647C2319@home.com>
Source record: 122079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ian wrote:
>
> > >EAVSDROPPER
> > >
> > I finally got access to your site from another location. Got what I
> > wanted without interference. So I suspect "my" problem was on "my"
> > end.
> >
> > And I'd like to add a big THANK YOU for the service you are rendering
> > here. It's very much appreciated.
> >
> > Tony
> >
>
> thats what this is all about !!!
>
> cheers
>
> eavsdropper

Ian, you da man!!!!
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

1475. Re: Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <37152EEF.7815@sgi.net>
Source record: 122080

You can check these out:

http://www2.nightowl.net/tyler/
http://www.enteract.com/~moressic/mods/mods.html

Ty wrote:
>
> Can anyone direct me to a website with scanner modification
> instructions?

1476. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Smith <Andy@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <VAHj6MAekPF3Ew+8@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 122081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7f0lf4$2sh$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>, Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.fre
eserve.co.uk> writes

>On another note i hope to getting delivery of my AR500 and SDU5500 in the
>next few weeks for some serious digital data research so i will post the
>results on the site (depending on wether or not i find a better combination
>or not but this is unlikely)
>
>EAVSDROPPER
>

What digital data research are you hoping to do with a AR5000 and
glorified bandscope ?

If you want to do some digital signal research buy a code 30 or a
Wavecom 4100.

Andy.
andrew.smith@iname.com

1477. LAPD MDT Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7f31drcjk1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122082

I believe the racist messages were discovered during the Rodney King trial. I seem to remember the terms "Gorillas in the Mist" term used by Sgt. Stacy Koon. Maybe the Feds found it during the second trial. I know the Christopher Commission set up after the riots searched years of messages and found hundreds of messages....written by certain officers....most of them have since retired.

With regards to FOIA requests for LAPD records, I looked into the process. The person I talked to didnt know if you could just walk in and request info on certain things. He stated that policy usually dictates that you have to be involved with an incident to get a record of it. He also stated that four MDT messages are recorded per LAPD incident, and that 250,000 MDT messages are archived per day. So, you would have to probably have an incident number, etc to seach records. Also, with regards to lawyers searching the MDT database, California privacy laws would probably restrict that activity unless it was part of a discovery motion in a case.

1478. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.117ebda69929dcd998971c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122083

I hate to tell you but on either my AR8200 or IC-R2 I can easily receive cordless phones over distances of at least 1/4 to 1/2 mile with just a Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic antenna (the area below the coil not raised at all and the area above the coil extended full length minus the top section only. As a little turbo boost (especially effective on the 8200) just cut a 5ft hunk of speaker wire and terminate it with a large alligator clip. Place the clip below the insulator or on the BNC knurled portion. Extend the wire (I place mine vertically with an additional clip to blinds or drapes). With the wire attached it seems to dramatically boost signal strength. Sometimes receiving signals that without it aren't there at all. Another tip is for frequencies above 100mhz, place the clip above the insulator or on the lower shaft portion of the antenna. Again this dramatically increases signal strength. Real cheap to make too.

1479. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37151132.9FFE6A1C@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 122084

I agree. Besides, if it is in the form of a radio wave and passing
through
my house, then its mine, if I can catch it.

Av8tor wrote:
>
> In article <37189751.399789587@news.tiac.net>, drgrant@ziplink.net says...
> >
> >On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:
> >
> >>Sir,
> >>
> >>Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
> >>Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
> >>
> >Yeah so? It's their (the cell provider's) fault for not encrypting
> >it. There should be no law prohibiting reception, the same way there
> >should not be any law prohibiting the use of radar detectors. If
> >we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
> >availiable on shelves. I could care less about recieving
> >cellphones, but from a standpoint of principle, its downright STUPID
> >to have to restrict the coverage of recievers due to a mistake the
> >cellular industry has made.
> >
> >-Mike
>
> VERY WELL PUT Mike! I too couldn't care less about receiving cellphone as I
> think they are 99.9% of the time downright boring. But again on the standpoint
> of principle and freedoms we shouldn't impose laws which do NOTHING but help an
> industry survive.
>
> One think Mike, what do you mean by "if we followed the europeans" how did
> they get around this non-sence?? please educate me....
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--- Much UpTo Date Radios Info!
>
> --
> ____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
> ____

1480. BC235XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lt. Brian L. McGlothin" <bmcgloth@treco.net>
Message-ID: <et8R2.94$CZ1.1729@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 122085

Is there any computer software and hardware out there for the BC235XLT?

1481. 800mhz on long island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414183414.14942.00000712@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 122086

hi all i just purchased a vintage bc 800xlt, its in mint shape....i live on the island,
are there any 800 goodies still on the air?????? kevin

1482. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f0lf4$2sh$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thomas <thomas@asan.com> wrote in message news:3713B5BA.4F34E000@asan.com...
> Try going directly to
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/software.htm
> it seems like that page will load while the other will not
>

Please bear in mind that the opening page has a 67k grphic on it so it will take a mo to come up, i will try to make this smaller for you all in the near future

On another note i hope to getting delivery of my AR500 and SDU5500 in the next few weeks for some serious digital data research so i will post the results on the site (depending on wether or not i find a better combination or not but this is unlikely)

EAVSDROPPER

1483. Ecouteurs sans frontieres :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9rVyOIAP89E3EwRN@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 122092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Just trying to compile details of the laws regarding radio receivers in
some European countries.... Here is what I have so far, can anyone
correct mistakes or help with filling in details from other countries?

Country Legal position

UK legal to own both scanners and HF RX's
- however, reception of anything other than broadcast
and amateur bands not officially permitted.
- unofficial 'blind eye' turned to monitoring of
maritime and aviation traffic
- monitoring emergency services and/or cellular phones
can result in criminal prosecution/confiscation of
equipment
- many police bands encrypted
- decryption of traffic forbidden

FR - posession of both scanners and HF RX *forbidden*!
- severe penalties for getting caught listening
- encryption of public safety radio traffic relatively
uncommon [only used by mil traffic]

DE - legal to own HF RX, not sure about scanners, or
listening regs

NL - legal to own scanners and HF RX
- legal to listen to *all* radio traffic but if message
intercepted which contains details of criminal activity
this should be passed to the police
- encryption of police radio used since 1970s/80s, but
was cracked by squatter/anarchist groups soon
afterwards,and may have been abandoned until TETRA is in
use [which the Dutch are already attempting to crack!]
- legal to attempt to decrypt encrypted traffic!

IT - legal status scanners unknown, HF RX's contain gap in
frequencies around 3.5-3.8Mhz [?] used by military..

SE - legal to own scanners and HF RX
- listening regs unknown
--
Mr R@T - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
"Wir richten Antennen ins Firmament / Empfangen die Tï¿½ne die Niemand kennt.."
Attention: The headers of this news message have been altered for security
To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"

1484. Scout 40 Display Problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413205905.14472.00000253@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122093

Has anyone else had problems with the Scout Model 40 display? It looks blank until I push or tap on it, then it looks normal.

Brett

1485. Re: IC-R2 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <qwSQ2.5331$LM.11015@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 122094

Got it!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

Vlaski Goran <Ingra-Beton@t-online.de> wrote in message
news:371312FF.C2F3FA66@t-online.de...
> Bill Crocker schrieb:
> >
> > Any good software available for the ICOM IC-R2?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Bill Crocker
>
> on my page
>
> --
> ---
> Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
> http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
> http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
> ---

1486. FA: OptoCom PC controlled scanner with E-trax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <bATQ2.8416$oD5.4845@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90953515

1487. Soundcards and decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcspooky@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f149b$b3k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122096

Seeing the variety of programs that decode different radio protocols using scanner audio input into a soundcard, I'm wondering what the limits are.

Is the bitrate max about 1/2 of the sampling rate of the card?
I see that 2level FSK is possible with a soundcard; how about 4 level FSK like RD-LAP?
Are other modes possible such as PSK,etc?

It must be obvious that I am very new to this so if anyone can "get the gist" of what I am asking and answer it, I would be grateful.

McSpooky

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1488. FA: iCOM PCR-1000 with DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <LVTQ2.8417$oD5.4816@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90965823

Note: this is the older version!

1489. FS: 800 mhz scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990413233034.15029.00000300@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 122098

Clean pro-39 with nicads, charger $165.00

Excellent Bearcat 200xlt with charger $170.00

Excellent Bearcat 2500xlt with charger/manual $165.00

Greg

1490. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alvin <expressway@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7f128hmeh1@mawar.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 122099
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

If you think cell phones are hazardous to health,then why you want to use
it?
Change to other type of phones or use a ear-piece
<acunet3278@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7eqtir$1l7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
> And if you think Cellular phones are hazardous to health, would the use of
> Radiation Shields like the one sold at the URL below alleviate the
problem?
> Please see the URL below for details:
> http://www.goaegis.com/aegisguard_phone_radiation_shields.html
>
> Additionally, are Analog phones safer than digital ones ?
> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> Plz advise. Thank U.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1491. FS: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <r_TQ2.8418$oD5.4848@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ar8000 with scount mod, discriminator tap for use with Optotrakker, ACARS decoders, etc. and descrambler chip installed. Full coverage. US band plan. Excellent condition. $400 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please respond via email to dstegner@home.com

Thanks.

1492. FS: Timewave DSP 599zx (digital signal processor)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <n9UQ2.8419$oD5.4851@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

See:

http://www.nevada.co.uk/tw-dsp599zx.html

for details. Excellent condition, hardly used. $350 or best offer. USPS money order only. Email me at dstegner@home.com if interested.

1493. FS: Opto Micro counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <2dUQ2.8420$oD5.4820@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Optoelectronic Micro Counter. So small it's housed in a pager style case, yet sill featuring the quality and performance customers expect from Optoelectronics. See http://www.optoelectronics.com/microcounterpage.htm for further details. Excellent condition. $50 or best offer. USPS money order only. Email if interested. dstegner@home.com

1494. Opto Frequency Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <FeUQ2.8421$oD5.4851@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Works with AR8000 / 8200. See
http://www.optoelectronics.com/scoutfreqrecorder.htm for further details.
$250 or best offer. USPS money order only. Email if interested.

dstegner@home.com

1495. FA: Sony SC1PC computer programmable scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <RnUQ2.8422$oD5.4682@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

See:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90986047

1496. FS: Grove SP-200B Sound Enhancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <ouUQ2.8423$oD5.4851@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Whether your listening interests include scanning, shortwave, or ham radio, or even if you need to "clean up" the audio on another sound system, the Grove SP-200B is an effective, multi-functional accessory to increase the intelligibility and sound quality of voice, music, and data.

Using all-analog circuitry to avoid the distortion contributed by many digital signal processors (DSP), the SP-200B combines a powerful audio amplifier and four inch speaker along with separate bass and treble equalizers, a variable passband notch/peak filter to reject interfering tones or boost desirable audio, an adjustable noise limiter to reduce irritating pulse interference, a variable-hang 0-45 second squelch control to remove background noise between sound transmissions, and a tape recorder activator. Powered by 12 VDC, the SP-200B may be operated in a mobile environment or from an optional 12 VDC supply.

Housed in a stylish, hand crafted, oak cabinet, and constructed of sturdy, black finished aluminum with white legends.

$100 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please email if interested at dstegner@home.com

1497. FS: Alpha Delta VRS Variable Response Console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <2zUQ2.8426$oD5.4852@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The purpose of the Model VRC is to make shortwave listening and radio communicating more understandable and more enjoyable even if there is poor reception or heavy interference. The VRC is an amplified wide range speaker in a heavy, rugged acoustically designed cast aluminum enclosure. The amplifier includes feequency adjustable filers which can be tuned to cut out the interference and boost the desired signals. Whistles can be removed, high frequency noise can be chopped off, even single-signal CW reception is possible, and with the low frequency control, music sounds almost like there is a 10 inch woofer.

$150 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please email if interested.
dstegner@home.com

1498. Re: LAPD Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: redog31@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414000454.14351.00000309@ng64.aol.com>
Source record: 122107

I have all of the LAPD freq's in two different formats:
http://www.manahan.net/scanner-lapd.html
http://www.manahan.net/scanner-d-lapd.html

Mike Manahan ReDoG31@aol.com
http://www.manahan.net/scanner.html

1499. Re: Vegas frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: redog31@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414000751.14351.00000310@ng64.aol.com>
Source record: 122108

I have a list of freq's that I used when I traveled from Los Angeles to Las Vegas. You can view it at:
http://www.manahan.net/scanner-d-canv.html

Mike Manahan ReDoG31@aol.com
http://www.manahan.net/scanner.html

1500. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFA5vGI.JCA@netcom.com>
Source record: 122109

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Recently, when I posted a message about a local agencies' MDT system, I got
>a nice little "we're watching YOU too" kind of message. When I confronted
>the author on it (I guarantee he did NOT expect that!) he denied any implied
>threats or legal actions, but I know to this day that message was meant to
>shut me up. This is the mentality Bill is up against now. Those MDT's are
>damn near sacred in the eyes of their users and the law be damned when it
>comes to protecting their precious little toys. Reminds me of ANOTHER very
>popular communications device that people don't like to have
>monitored....sound familiar??? ;-)
>
>I see bad things coming on the horizon for people like us. Bad, bad
>things...

So... like lots of things _could_ be used to break laws... It is OUR
duty to report them to the govenment, and make sure the government cracks
down on EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THEM!

[bear with me a moment]

In Jackson, California, the government is putting the royal screw to
one man. The man may or may not be guilty of some of what they claim
(we're talking about EPA laws) but he was targeted selectivly and with
the full force allowed BEYOND the law... IE, the government is sticking
it to him every which way they can...

A radio talk show host in San Francisco became aware of this selective and
exagerated enforcement, and put out a call to arms and is digging for
information and reporting similar violations which are occuring at the
hands of these same government officials. A leaking fuel storage tank
at a CHP office... the sort which was required by law to be removed from
service by last December... the same for the county airport up there...
violations of the same on city and county officials personal property...
And the DA, now that he is aware of it is required to nail these fuckers
who are screwing the citizen.

They even pulled some cheap trick to convince a neighboring county DA
to reactivate a warrant on a citizen who was trying to get information
on the case under the FOIA... arrested his ass when he showed up to
get the information... A little adverse publicity made the neighboring
DA aware of the crap the county was pulling, and she cancelled the warrant
and appologized to the victim of the scam.

It's real... Our government is getting too big for it's britches, and
it is now "the people for the government and by the government."

That Jackson County story can be looked at, at http://www.pushback.com/ select the "Robert Womack Case".

Anytime you see the government pulling this sort of bullshit, you've got to make sure that they also clean their own stall... they won't on their own.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1501. FS:Radio Shack PRO-62 Hand Held Scanner On Ebay NO-RESERVE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <xandrew@tropicom.net>
Message-ID: <371415FF.AE6A0A@tropicom.net>
Source record: 122110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have on Ebay a Radio Shack PRO-62 Hand Held Scanner on Auction. This
has NO RESERVE. This will sell to the highest bidder GUARANTEED.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90988866

--
********** DO NOT AUTOREPLY **********

TO REPLY REMOVE THE 'X' FROM MY EMAIL
ADDRESS. ANTI SPAM MEASURES IN EFFECT

XANDREW@TROPICOM.NET

****

1502. FS; Hoka Code 3 Gold Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <VCUQ2.8427$oD5.4828@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

See http://www.scancat.com/cod3gld2.html for details and specification.
This is the full mode version. Includes computer interface and software.
$250 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please email if interested.
dstegner@home.com

1503. FS: TrunkTrac computer interface card with software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <IKUQ2.8428$oD5.4762@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TrunkTrac
TrunkTrac® is a software/hardware combination that allows you to track and follow Motorola's trunked dispatch systems, controlling and observing a wide variety of trunking parameters and features. Smartnet® types I, II, IIi, and Hybrid, as well as Privacy Plus protocols can be followed. TrunkTrac gives you far more capabilities for tracking a system than any other product available, including the Uniden TrunkTracker scanners. While these scanners are excellent devices, they do not provide for alpha-tagging group ID's, they limit you to 50 talkgroups in memory, and they only allow you to track one system at a time. These are but some of the scanners' limitations. Trunktrac has the capability to track not only 800 MHz systems, but also 900 MHz systems and even all VHF and UHF trunking! That's right, whether it's public safety at 400 or 500 MHz, SMR (business) at 800 or 900 MHz, or Federal trunking on VHF or 400 MHz, wherever it is TrunkTrac can track it.

TrunkTrac does all this and more.

TrunkTrac consists of non-infringing software, an FCC Class B approved signal processing board that plugs into an ISA slot in your PC, a serial interface and discriminator buffer for your scanncer (buffer not included but can be obtained from Scanner Master), plus all required cables. Works with:

1. Uniden Bearcat BC-895 TrunkTracker
2. Radio Shack PRO-2006, PRO-2035 or PRO-2045 with the OptoScan 456 Option
3. ICOM R7000, R7100, R8500, R9000, PCR1000

I recommend that you let Scanner Master do the mods to your scanner to get you up and running. They did a great job with my BC-895!

Please see http://www.scannermaster.com/prod03.htm This is the Pro version. $150 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please email if interested. dstegner@home.com

1504. 4 level Discriminator cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KTUQ2.989$87.100326@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 122113

Where can I get a 4 level Discriminator cable?

Robert in Austin, TX

1505. FS: Brove Pre-5A VHF / UHF Signal Booster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <xaVQ2.8429$oD5.4847@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

30-1000 MHz preamplifier. Offers continuous gain control from -10dB to +18 dB amplification. Works great. $45 or best offer. Email if interested. dstegner@home.com

1506. FS: AR8200 add on cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <adVQ2.8430$oD5.4816@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Tone eliminator $35
Chip based recording & playback card $45
Extended memory (4000) card $45

All new and unopened in orginal packaging. Email if interested.
dstegner@home.com
```

1507. Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <3714209c.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 122116
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

I was thinking of buying a Uniden DX-834 cordless phone with voice scrambling. I would like to know how secure its voice scrambling is. Is it simply voice inversion? If not, what encryption scheme does it use? Uniden were not able to tell me :-(

David Maddison

1508. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990414005709.24889.00000409@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 122117

>From: Help <for@morespam.net>
>Date: 04-13-1999 22:26 EST
>
>OK, this must be the institution involved.
> http://criminaljustice.state.ny.us/dcjs1.htm
>
No, it is not. This is a URL for a state of New York agency. Bill's
letter says U.S. Magistrate in Brooklyn. That would be the United States
District Court, not a state court.
Apparently some folks don't know the difference between the federal (the
U.S. Government) judicial system and state (or local) court systems. Bill was
charged in federal court and arrested on a federal warrant issued by the U.S.
Attorney's Office, not a state of New York prosecutor.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1509. FS: Viking 10 Hour Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <jwVQ2.8431$oD5.4828@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Built like a battleship"! Heavy duty commercial recorder with built in voice activation. Records up to 10 hours on a single 120 min cassette tape. for more information see www.vikingint.com . Lists for $189. Asking $100. Brand new condition. Email if interested. dstegner@home.com

1510. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <3714265E.3DB5A6BC@asan.com>
Source record: 122119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ian,
At least from the states it dosent appear that a slow load is the problem. A message comes up saying that the page simply does not exist. Its not that people are impatient and not waiting for the page to load or anything like that.

I love your pages and they are a service to the whole scaning community.
Thanks!
Thomas

Ian wrote:

> Thomas <thomas@asan.com> wrote in message news:3713B5BA.4F34E000@asan.com...
> > Try going directly to
> http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/software.htm
> > it seems like that page will load while the other will not
> >
>
> Please bear in mind that the opening page has a 67k grphic on it so it will
> take a mo to come up, i will try to make this smaller for you all in the
> near future
>
> On another note i hope to getting delivery of my AR500 and SDU5500 in the
> next few weeks for some serious digital data research so i will post the
> results on the site (depending on wether or not i find a better combination
> or not but this is unlikely)
>
> EAVSDROPPER

1511. Re: PRO-2006 Schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7f186cge2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 122120

Scott Dernbach (stalker@lvcm.com) wrote:
: Does anyone have a copy of the schematic for the Pro-2006 scanner that they
: can scan & send via email to me? I tried Raido Snack and they would not
: sell/order one. I had purchased one a while back, but due to several moves
: over the past few years it was lost/thrown out by my wife!

: Thanks for any help in this matter!
: Scott

Why won't R.S. sell you the service manual...they only stopped selling the
manuals for the late models-the 2006 is not one of them.
Did they say they can't sell it, or did they say that it was out of print?

1512. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <37142ADF.F7857BDB@home.com>
Source record: 122121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thomas wrote:
>
> Ian,
> At least from the states it dosent appear that a slow load is the problem. A
> message comes up saying that the page simply does not exist. Its not that
> people are impatient and not waiting for the page to load or anything like that.
>
> I love your pages and they are a service to the whole scaning community.
> Thanks!
> Thomas
>
> Ian wrote:
>
> > Thomas <thomas@asan.com> wrote in message news:3713B5BA.4F34E000@asan.com...
> > > Try going directly to
> > http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/software.htm
> > > it seems like that page will load while the other will not
> > >
> >
> > Please bear in mind that the opening page has a 67k grphic on it so it will
> > take a mo to come up, i will try to make this smaller for you all in the
> > near future
> >
> > On another note i hope to getting delivery of my AR500 and SDU5500 in the
> > next few weeks for some serious digital data research so i will post the
> > results on the site (depending on wether or not i find a better combination
> > or not but this is unlikely)
> >
> > EAVSDROPPER

It work 's fine for me. But then i'm on cable so everything haul's ass
:-)
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

1513. hamcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: elbertc**@home.com
Message-ID: <37143c33.37455969@news>
Source record: 122122

Hi,

I know this has most likely been asked before ...but what is a hamcom
program. I noticed that some software is referred to as hamcom. What
does that mean?
--
Elbert Clarke
elbertc**@home.com
remove the ** to e-mail

1514. Re: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gerald M." <GDmedal@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7f1l7g$s8h$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ar8000 are going for $379 and bandercom...just on sale from the regular
price of $388. check www.bander.com

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>Ar8000 with scount mod, discriminator tap for use with Optotrakker, ACARS
>decoders, etc. and descrambler chip installed. Full coverage. US band
>plan. Excellent condition. $400 or best offer. USPS money order only.
>Please respond via email to dstegner@home.com
>
>Thanks.
>
>

1515. Re: Scout 40 Display Problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: slapsho7@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <MBZQ2.3155$36.879659@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 122124

Yes, I've had the same problem with the newer Scout units. Optoelectronics redesigned the internal layout of the newer units and they did a piss-poor job of it.

The problem is a series of contacts along the right side of the display. These contacts aren't anchored properly and come loose; causing the display to go blank.
You can try to fabricate something to put pressure on the display in that area but it's a poor fix and I wouldn't recommend it. The best thing to do is send it back to Opto for a FREE repair.

You'll also notice that the CI-V jack on top of the unit will come loose and sink into the body of the Scout; causing even more problems when trying to Reaction Tune something.
Have them fix that also when you return it.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

1516. Re: FS: Opto Micro counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ligosa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414055709.22582.00000320@ng146.aol.com>
Source record: 122125

i'll take it

Chris
email = ligosa@aol.com

1517. Re: FS:Radio Shack PRO-62 Hand Held Scanner On Ebay NO-RESERVE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7f1p7p$fhq@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122126

UH OH!!! BAD BOY!!!

The display is showing...gasp...46.71...a bad awful cordless phone freq that mean evil scanner owners can hear what other people are saying on! The eBay police are probably staking out your home and car right now! Give up without a fight!

BTW it is a good price, I bought one new from someone on this group for US$135 about a year ago. Nothing special, but a good little radio...

1518. computer monitor noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Lawrence <lawrencf@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <371469E3.DD5F5227@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 122127

I have a Pro-2029 in the same room as my pc and am almost unable to use it due to rf noise from my computer monitor. Are there any "miracle" fixes for this? The interference apparently is entering through the plastic case of the radio. Same with built-in and outside antenna.

Thanks,
Frank

1519. Re: AR8200 add on cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <iYZQ2.1$Z42.14@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I also have the Voice Inverter card, new in original packaging, for $45.
Email if interested. Thanks.

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>Tone eliminator $35
>Chip based recording & playback card $45
>Extended memory (4000) card $45
>
>All new and unopened in orginal packaging. Email if interested.
>dstegner@home.com
>
>

1520. Re: 4 level Discriminator cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990414062003.14475.00000414@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 122129

>Where can I get a 4 level Discriminator cable?
>
>Robert in Austin, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>Where can I get a 4 level Discriminator cable?
>
>Robert in Austin, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Build one.
rj

1521. Re: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <I2_Q2.2$Z42.43@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I did check their pricing before I posted my ad. The model with case, scout mod, US bandplan is going for $499. My AR8000 have these but has the discriminator tap and voice inverter chip. Also, you do not have to pay customs and run certain risks if you buy mine. Therefore I think my price is fair.

Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1l7g$s8h$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>ar8000 are going for $379 and bandercom...just on sale from the regular
>price of $388. check www.bander.com
>

1522. Re: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gerald M." <GDmedal@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7f1qup$2qb$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just letting everyone know that they should give bander.com a look....it's
just shopping around, nothing personal.

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>I did check their pricing before I posted my ad. The model with case,
scout
>mod, US bandplan is going for $499. My AR8000 have these but has the
>discriminator tap and voice inverter chip. Also, you do not have to pay
>customs and run certain risks if you buy mine. Therefore I think my price
>is fair.
>
>
>
>Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1l7g$s8h$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>>ar8000 are going for $379 and bandercom...just on sale from the regular
>>price of $388. check www.bander.com
>>
>
>
>

1523. Re: hamcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37146F72.50EE103@ibm.net>
Source record: 122132

It is a program written to decode digital signals on the short wave/ham bands. Included in the Sharewear program is a simple interface diagram that has been used by other programs to decode various digital signals. It even works on some of the scanners to decode some of the digital signals. You will see it refered to as the Hamcom interface. It only uses one IC and several other small parts. Can be built into a DB 25 plug and plugged into the serial port of the computer.

Got to :

http://www.accessone.com/~tmayhan/

For more info on the program.

Elbert Clarke wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I know this has most likely been asked before ...but what is a hamcom
> program. I noticed that some software is referred to as hamcom. What
> does that mean?
> --
> Elbert Clarke
> elbertc**@home.com
> remove the ** to e-mail

1524. Re: "UHF Skip" on BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mad@avatar.sawkill.com
Message-ID: <924090107.487519@helium.cstone.net>
Source record: 122133

In article <fNLQ2.9454$6W3.19698486@firenze.visi.net>,
David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu> wrote:
>A few nights ago, my BC895 picked up some frequencies from Richmond,
>Virginia, that it does not normally receive. This occurred from about
>midnight on. I'm in Williamsburg, which is about 45 minutes from Richmond.
>I was using the Radio Shack extendable whip antenna, 20-006. I have not
>identified any major sources of interference that might be active since this
>night. The weather was clear and somewhat warm. This reception has not
>occurred on any night since then. What could be the possible cause for
>this? Thanks.
>
>Dave
>dtscho@wm.edu
>
>

I live near Charlottesville, Virginia. I also receive long distance UHF
from Richmond and DC (~200 miles). This is most likely caused by a
mechanism known as "ducting", which can be associated with atmospheric
phenomenon such as thermal inversion. The area around the warm/cold air
boundary becomes highly ionized, thus providing the propogation. I've
even had great success in listening to 800Mhz trunk systems over these
distances.

--
Mark A. Day The opinions I express herein, public or
mad@sawkill.com private, are entirely my own, and do not
Unix Systems Specialist represent the opinions of Cornerstone Networks.

1525. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <371473b2.1701018@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122134

On Tue, 13 Apr 1999 15:26:29 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Harry wrote in message <3714770b.15537227@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>>
>>>That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing
>the
>>>contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.
>>
>>This has nothing to do with the assumption of why his hardware was returned
>to
>>him so fast.
>>
>>The fact remains that they HAVE a copy of his data. You'd be foolish to
>>assume otherwise. They can take as much time as they want now to analyze
>this
>>data. There is no need for them to keep Cheek's systems.
>
>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
>files. It cannot be done from a copy.
>

Once again, YOU'RE WRONG.

You fucking morons amaze me. There are several commercially available
software products that will do a sector per sector copy of any type of
partitioned drive. Including ghosted data and overwritten sectors.

>>>Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to
>>>submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would
>boot
>>>you out of the court room!
>>
>>You are a total moron if you believe this.
>
>Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are rude
>about it.

Either quote case law or shut up. Fact remains that this is a total moronic
statement. I'll save you some time - there is no such case law.

>There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that...

....is 100% irrelevant to this discussion.

1526. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f1vsc$g0e$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Now we have two possiibilitys here
1) i have been updating the site here and there a lot recently and it could be sods law that you have been trying to access it at the same times as i have been changing it hence the 404 errors

2) Freeserve think they are being big brother and slowing the site down/stopping it on purpose
3) Motorola or the American authorities have contacted freeserve and asked them to do it

If the last two is the case then i will put this up on several sites all over the place in which case if the last two are reading this dont do it as i will expand this sites contents TENFOLD and on more servers !!

I will look into it shortly but personally i have no trouble with it at the moment

EAVSDROPPER

1527. Re: computer monitor noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f202p$g47$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122136

Frank Lawrence <lawrencf@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:371469E3.DD5F5227@bellsouth.net...
> I have a Pro-2029 in the same room as my pc and am almost unable to use
> it due to rf noise from my computer monitor. Are there any "miracle"
> fixes for this? The interference apparently is entering through the
> plastic case of the radio. Same with built-in and outside antenna.
>
> Thanks,
> Frank
>

You could always seal the inside of the radio case with a foil like
substance or metalic spray, but be VERY careful and dont short anything !!.

or you could always put some ferrite coils in line with the leads

eavsdropper

1528. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom KA4RKT <badger@telalink.net>
Message-ID: <VEvR2.4063$Ek.629@news2.atl>
Source record: 121887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com> wrote in message >
> All my browser can see is a text file. {;-(

I started to say the same thing. But then I discovered that I could click on the little "speaker" icon and get a Real Audio file to download.

Tom KA4RKT

1529. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <zCwR2.244$Yy4.18103@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<jnooney@albany.net> wrote in message news:3715FDD2.27E7A916@albany.net...
> The case against Mr. Cheek states the following:
>
> US Code Title 18, Sec 2512(1)(a) and 371
> ==========
> "conspiring to send in intrestate commerce devices
> having reason to know that the design of such device
> renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the
> surreptitious interception of electronic communications,
> to wit: Mobile Data Terminal information broadcast by
> law enforcement agencies and emergency service
> organizations"
>
> Now if there are other uses for a data slicer that the government could
> not object to and Mr. Cheek did not market/advertise the data slicers as
> a way to decode MDT transmissions,

That is the only stumbling block for Bill, he did mention they could be used for MDT on his WWW site. WHOOPS!

> it seems that the fed's case against
> him is rather weak at best.

Even with the Cheek Web blunder it still seems a bit heavy handed.

> Using the government's logic in this case, an employee of the Radio
> Shack parts department in Texas could be arrested for sending a
> component anywhere in the US that ended up being used in an "illegal"
> manner, to wit: in a speech inversion descrambler.

Not really...big stretch there. This group seems cought more in the emotions than the facts of all this. Heck, we still don't know much with any certainty.

> Scary stuff.

Not really. ECPA and the like was scary. If we couldn't get our act together for that, Mr. Cheek and his blunder into the law won't unify this cause.

Hey, I'm off to kick the dog. ;-(

Glenn

1530. Re: recomend a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <ic281h@moci.com>
Message-ID: <37169DB5.A69E6BC8@moci.com>
Source record: 121889

You might want to post this same message to alt.radio.scanner.uk, because to find a NEW scanner with that range w/ out gaps from a company in America. . .

Good luck!

Jacobo Bertran Lopez-Ibor wrote:

> Iï¿½m interested in buying a new scanner , I dont mind if it is a based
> or hanndle scanner . The range of the frequencies I need is between
> 100Khz and 2000Mhz with no gaps in it. I would also need to know where
> is a good place to buy it. As I live in Spain , i need the web page to
> try to connect from here.
> Thank you very much
> Reply to my e mail : jbertranm@seorl.org
> Jacobo Bertran

1531. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <L1xR2.3228$YU1.6037@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121890

Benji wrote in message <37164b95.97175722@news.rit.edu>...
>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 13:14:50 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
>>> I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
>>>In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
>>>a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.
>>
> It was a NEW YORK sheriff, in jurisdiction. If he's wrong, he
>doesn't know his own county then.

Like I said, don't ask cops what's illegal. They'll tell you what they would PREFER to be illegal, in many cases. Check the lawbooks, or ask an attorney. (Even a judge can't tell you outside of a legal proceeding because it isn't appropriate for them to express legal opinions unless they are ruling on a case.)

Since you said you were from PA, I concluded that your "sheriff" source was someone you encountered in your home state. Sorry for the misunderstanding.

1532. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <L1xR2.3227$YU1.6037@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121891

Harold wrote in message <37185bd8.1640659@enews.newsguy.com>...

>In other words, you are too fucking lazy to do it yourself.
>
>The mention of "parol evidence rule" is laughable. If you want to know
why,
>try picking up a book and educating yourself. It couldn't possibly do
>anything but make improvements.

In other words, you don't have a clue yourself. Thank you for clearing that
up. I'll put you in my twit filter now.

1533. IC-PCR1000 vs Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <ic281h@moci.com>
Message-ID: <3716A0C3.758B2A24@moci.com>
Source record: 121892

Both of these radios are in the same price range, what are the major differences between the two? Which one is better for what reasons?

1534. Re: scanlaws/scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7f67sh$6cj$1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 121893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In addition to Clay Irving's site, there's another scanner laws site at
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

D Stark wrote in message ...
>Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
>> I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
>>In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
>>a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.
>
>
>You shouldn't ask police officers about the law, especially if it's outside
>their jurisdiction. Your PA sheriff is wrong.
>
>In New York State, only ham operators or people with permits may have radio
>receivers capable of receiving police frequencies in their cars. For
>everyone else, it is a misdemeanor, not a felony. NYS V&T Sect 397.
>
>73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01
>
>
>

1535. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3716AC45.81EF245E@INVALID.com>
Source record: 121894

"J. Phillips" wrote:

>
> If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
> notches and back to reality.
>
> He hasn't even made the news in his own area. Nor will he. Pretty important
> case.

I see implications for most scanner hobbyists. But it's your choice. But you do seem to harbor a great deal of resentment. Were you competing in the scanner hobbyist book market?

1536. Re: IC-PCR1000 vs Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11806c3eb7370cfa98971e@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121895

Well, on the HF side, which the Optocom doesn't have (and IMHO with the poor performance the PCR1000 shouldn't have it either)the PCR1000 is supplied with the coverage anyway. The pure scanner performance of the PCR1000 isn't even in the same league as the Optocom, with it's superior software, scan speed, trunktracking etc. I really don't compare these two at all. A more fair comparison would be the WinRadio WR-1500e. They both do about the same job overall and the PCR1000 is less bucks.

1537. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3716CB4A.5F1C4101@uswest.net>
Source record: 121896

In regards to the second point,
It seems to me, if the communications are UNENCRYPTED
then anyon can recieve them. If, however, they are ENCRYPTED,
then the law applies..

The dictionary meaning of Encrypted seems clear enough.
Demodulating a digital signal is not the same as decrypting
and encrypted signal.

Hawke

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> In article <371551E9.9689CF82@bellatlantic.net>,
> Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net> wrote:
>
> > Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
> >
> >
>
> You'll get plenty of answers to this one!
>
> Right now, he is a focal point for a controversy over "scanner rights".
> Maybe his case will bring about a clarification of what those purported
> rights are. That issue is a little hazy because some scanner enthusiasts
> think they have a right to pry into their neighbors affairs. Is there a right
> to privacy?
>
> Another issue is whether a citizen has the right to monitor sensitive
> information on government communication systems. It should be an interesting
> discussion.
>
> --
> Joseph Henry
> Environmental Studies
> 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
> Washington, DC 20007
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1538. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3716CCB2.26AFC46D@uswest.net>
Source record: 121897

Funny thing here.
A person can be well known WITHOUT having much in the way of
financial means.

Published authors tend not to be vry rich unless their books are on the
New York Best sellers list more than 1 month running.

Besides, Bill hasn't published anything recent in book form (recent
defined as
anytime in the last 6 months).

Besides, he may not have made a "fortune" on the books he did publish.

Question for you is this:
why are you asking such inane questions when you have 2.5 Kg or grey
matter
sitting on your shoulders?

nospam@here.com wrote:

> I always thought Bill Cheek was a rich person. He sells books, lives
> out in the desert gets contracts.
>
> I have a hard time believing that he hasn't got a cent. Is he poor
> because of mismanagement of money or becase he has never made any??
>
> I would not give money to someone who can not handle their own
> finances properly. I don't make much money but I have managed to save
> a little for my retirement so far. If I could have saved money I think
> Bill should have too.

1539. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3716CDD4.1C70E098@uswest.net>
Source record: 121898

It isn't an obligation.
However, if Bill is maliciously prosecuted and the prosecutors succeed,
this will open the door to come after the rest of us who are exercising our
legal rights.

Personally, If I worked in a bank here in Arizona, I would set up the fund
ASAP.
However, I am not and as an ordinary citizen, may not be able to do so without
having some legal backup in case things gets funny.

Hawke

willi_arsch@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> >
>
> I missed exactly why Cheek selling his books here obligates the group to
> bail him out now.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1540. SO CAL: Emergency Preparedness Fair this weekend!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7f6mcpomu1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Your "shack" may be prepared for disaster, but are you? Are you really aware of the latest emergency preparedness products and procedures? Find out this weekend!

The Los Angeles Fire Department warmly welcomes Amateur Radio and Monitoring enthusiasts to the:

LOS ANGELES EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FAIR

April 16, 17 and 18, 1999
10 am - 4 pm
Los Angeles Zoo North Parking Lot

This is a FREE event for the whole family.

City departments will be demonstrating how families can prepare for effective response to emergencies. They will be joined by more than 140 agencies and vendors. Fire engines, rescue vehicles and emergency helicopters will be on display. Search and rescue teams will demonstrate the latest emergency response techniques. The United States Armed Forces will demonstrate their disaster support capabilities. Emergency supplies and services will be on sale from private vendors. There will be face painting, fingerprinting, food, free prizes and entertainment.

Remember, Admission is FREE !!!

The Los Angeles Zoo is near the intersection of the 5 (Golden State) and 134 (Ventura) Freeways in Griffith Park. Follow the "LA Zoo" signs from either freeway. The huge outdoor exhibits will be in the Zoo's north parking lot.

For more information, visit: http://www.lafd.org/eqfair.htm

See you there!

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department

LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org

1541. Re: "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <0719C16EED18808D.75FA360A61BBA8D1.7E2B11A0CD1F0A71@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121900

I find it ironic how both newspapers insisted that they use the term "encrypt" instead of "encode"
while even "encode" is too strong a term for converting raw ASCII characters to raw frequency shifts
and then back again.
This is typical of news agencies as they always somehow end up twisting the truth enough to make
a huge difference in what they are trying to communicate to the public.
Both newspapers need to get themselves a dictionary and learn the difference encrypt and encode.

1542. Re: "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <38ACE5A5CB481988.FE55FA9F59700341.16C2D31618627CA3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121901

> MDT transmissions are encoded --not encrypted. Most police don't know
> the difference. This difference is significant if a court case if
> Bill Cheek is to have a fair day in court.

Court fair or just?
Oh come on, we are talking about the insensitive, uncaring and lazy judicial system, not some utopian society.
According to the judicial system, all people are shit and are to be treated like shit equaly.

1543. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <FAD3D1ABFABEF3B8.7AF22219F8747FF8.27656A9947319B8D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121902

Heheh, reality in contrast to what is right and ideal. (_8(])

> Don't be surprised if they hand a fire hose or maybe even a shotgun and
> say "Cover me"! They will welcome you with open arms...and if you do a
> good job, you might get to drive a squad car or the big red fire truck
{SNIP}

1544. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <113F98FE2252D3F9.EB7C4B83273830E1.E4D1AC82B142084B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121903

Remote phone?
I'm not familiar with that term.
As far as cordless phones, a 2.4Ghz, digital spread spectrum phone is about as secure as you can get without voice scrambling.
99% of scanners don't even go up to 2Ghz so that excludes the majority.
For those who do have the equipment to pick up your signal, it will be hopping around so fast between frequencies in the 2.4Ghz range that they couldn't lock onto it for more than
maybe 1000'th of a second.
On the other hand, everything still goes back to your analog telephone line which means that
your fancy cordless phone won't keep enforcement from knowing what you are saying if they have
a wire tap on you, which is where proprietary encryption between two or more sites could come into play.

> What is the most secure remote phone available?

1545. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <EADC951B6E556385.F0A68C019AEFBB12.7D51CE870AD6956F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121904

See, this is the difference between normal people and lawyers.
The law tells lawyers the truth and let the public not have a clue as to
what the law really means, thus the need for lawyers to make sense
of something that would be free if put into layman's terms.

> Actually, the term felony doesn't mean it's a federal law. A felony
> is any crime punishable by greater than 1 year in jail. 365 days or
> less is a misdemeanor. Fines are not computed in the determination.
> -- A law student

1546. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <5937F67B14D0F36A.E85C69AE819E480D.4151AEC965C4F0F8@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121905

> Generally, felonies are punished by prison sentences (usually > 1 year),
> and misdemeanors by fines only or jail sentences (usually < 1 year).

Hey man, more than about 1 minute in Jail is like a lifetime around the wrong criminals.
All it takes is a minute or two for someone to get ahold of you and either rape you or beat the crap out of you which could injure you for life if done effectively.
Consider that before comitting crime or at least admitting it.

1547. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: voodoochile@altavista.com
Message-ID: <3715de4e.143357994@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 121937

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 10:57:50 GMT, no@mail (Harry) wrote:

>On Tue, 13 Apr 1999 15:26:29 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>Harry wrote in message <3714770b.15537227@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>>>
>>>>That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing
>>the
>>>>contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.
>>>
>>>This has nothing to do with the assumption of why his hardware was returned
>>to
>>>him so fast.
>>>
>>>The fact remains that they HAVE a copy of his data. You'd be foolish to
>>>assume otherwise. They can take as much time as they want now to analyze
>>this
>>>data. There is no need for them to keep Cheek's systems.
>>
>>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
>>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
>>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
>>files. It cannot be done from a copy.
>>
>
>Once again, YOU'RE WRONG.
>
>You fucking morons amaze me. There are several commercially available
>software products that will do a sector per sector copy of any type of
>partitioned drive. Including ghosted data and overwritten sectors.
>
>>>>Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to
>>>>submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would
>>boot
>>>>you out of the court room!
>>>
>>>You are a total moron if you believe this.
>>
>>Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are rude
>>about it.
>
>Either quote case law or shut up. Fact remains that this is a total moronic
>statement. I'll save you some time - there is no such case law.
>

>>There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that...
>
>....is 100% irrelevant to this discussion.

Hey, Harry, have you ever considered going into the field of diplomacy? Your comments here are delivered so tactfully! You should put that talent to good use . . .

1548. Re: voice activated computer audio recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: sells@sagebrush.com
Message-ID: <Q2dF3MgPa5rE092yn@sagebrush.com>
Source record: 121938

In article <371635fa.43534821@news.earthlink.net>,
pcuni@cris.com (Paul Cuni) wrote:
>Where can I find some software that will record upon getting a signal
>from the mic?

Try the program RecAll at

http://www.sagebrush.com

Jim
+-+-+-

1549. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7f4o7i$13p$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 121939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message
<3715BEB6.C0A3D030@strongsignals.net>...
>To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>
> Topics include :
> Look Before You Post

And a big thanks to you Rich. Your web site is by far the most
informative and professional I have seen and highly recommend
it to all new (or old) users.

Bryan Stethem

1550. Scanner Radio Link Swap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415101320.08304.00000904@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 121940

If you have a Scanner Radio website and would like to swap links to
increase your sites traffic, please email us with your url and title.
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1551. Re: FS Yeasu VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Vlack <k2dv@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3715F73F.EA0BD58A@rochester.rr.com>
Source record: 121941
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you have the software for it?
--

-73 from
-Don Vlack K2DV
-
-What Would You Attempt To Do If You Knew You Could Not Fail?

1552. Hartford CT parade freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jkalach@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3715fa49.7633951@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121942

Does anyone have any good frequencies for the UConn Huskie parade this Saturday in downtown Hartford, CT? Will anything be hot besides the Hartford PD?

1553. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <3715FDD2.27E7A916@albany.net>
Source record: 121943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The case against Mr. Cheek states the following:

US Code Title 18, Sec 2512(1)(a) and 371
==========
"conspiring to send in intrestate commerce devices
having reason to know that the design of such device
renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the
surreptitious interception of electronic communications,
to wit: Mobile Data Terminal information broadcast by
law enforcement agencies and emergency service
organizations"

Now if there are other uses for a data slicer that the government could not object to and Mr. Cheek did not market/advertise the data slicers as a way to decode MDT transmissions, it seems that the fed's case against him is rather weak at best.

Using the government's logic in this case, an employee of the Radio Shack parts department in Texas could be arrested for sending a component anywhere in the US that ended up being used in an "illegal" manner, to wit: in a speech inversion descrambler.

Scary stuff.

Joe

1554. Re: re : dvp buster software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <371601A6.7EAAA0B5@albany.net>
Source record: 121944

Gee John, maybe the reason this "brain dead can't be done" group has questioned your veracity is that you have yet to post a viable URL where this so-called software can be purchased or downloaded. Unless you can do that, go put another shrimp on the barbie, crack open a Fosters and leave us alone. Better yet, throw yourself on the barbie, drown yourself in a vat of Fosters and leave us alone.

Can anyone say vaporware?

Joe

1555. FT-90 FT-2600 ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7f50o3$3gl$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 121945
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/Ft90
Is a new list devoted to the new Micro micro mobile radio from Yaesu the
FT-90.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/ft2600
Is a new List devoted to the new Mystery radio from Yaesu the FT-2600.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5
Has over 429 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a
super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
Has about 101 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio
with a great following of over 182 vsers on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100
So Far we only have 198 users! Not to bad. If you are even thinking about
one of these units come join us. Join Us and get the MOD!!
we may all learn somthing!!!

1556. Nebraska Military Air Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Hilger <mh60625@navix.net>
Message-ID: <37160849.C2AF8B82@navix.net>
Source record: 121946

Live in eastern Nebraska and am seeking some military air frequencies
that are active. I have many mil. freqs. but hardly ever hear anything
happening. If someone knows of any good ones or at least half way
active ones, I would really appreciate you passing them on. Thanks.
Matt

1557. Re: Nebraska Military Air Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415115918.08355.00000858@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 121947

If you're interested in military monitoring then you should join join MilCom, the e-mail listserver
dedicated to military monitoring.

To join:

Send an e-mail message to: majordomo@qth.net
In the subject put: milcom
In the text area put: subscribe milcom

That's all there is to it. You should start receiving messages within a few hours.
To post a message to the list members address it to milcom@qth.net
We have members all over the country, in Europe and Japan.
For help finding frequencies in your area just post a message stating your location
and requesting frequency help and you should get some answers.

John Ward

1558. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415120408.08355.00000862@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 121948

Bill has specifically asked that NO fundraising be done at this time, pending a possible resolution of the case coming very quickly.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1559. F.S.PRO-46 scanner$125,full800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rysty@direct.ca
Message-ID: <37161043.73EFAC34@direct.ca>
Source record: 121949

```
Hello there,
Selling a PRO-46 Scanner,
FULL 800 Mhz coverage including cellular,
100 channels,
MINT condition,
$125 US
```

1560. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371b1800.13643147@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121950

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 11:20:19 GMT, bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
(Benji) wrote:
>
> I've been talking to him. I may be setting up a "Save Bill's
>Ass Telethon Page" Lawyers aren't cheap, and I'm sure a lot of us
>would throw in a few $$$ to fight big brother.

Don't expect a flood of money. Its hard enough to get most members of
this newsgroup to write a letter to their congresscritter. Why would
they pull money out of their wallet?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1561. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <37190ea3.2332530@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121951

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 12:45:02 GMT, voodoochile@altavista.com (voodoochile) wrote:

>On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 10:57:50 GMT, no@mail (Harry) wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 13 Apr 1999 15:26:29 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Harry wrote in message <3714770b.15537227@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>>>
>>>>That's hardly the issue. Of course it can be readily copied. But analyzing
>>>the
>>>>contents of a disk and copying it are completely unrelated.
>>>>
>>>>This has nothing to do with the assumption of why his hardware was returned
>>>to
>>>>him so fast.
>>>>
>>>>The fact remains that they HAVE a copy of his data. You'd be foolish to
>>>>assume otherwise. They can take as much time as they want now to analyze
>>>this
>>>>data. There is no need for them to keep Cheek's systems.
>>>
>>>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
>>>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
>>>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
>>>files. It cannot be done from a copy.
>>>
>>
>>Once again, YOU'RE WRONG.
>>
>>You fucking morons amaze me. There are several commercially available
>>software products that will do a sector per sector copy of any type of
>>partitioned drive. Including ghosted data and overwritten sectors.
>>
>>>>Further, if you were building a case against a person and you attempted to
>>>>submit as evidence a **copy** of a person's hard drive, the judge would
>>>boot
>>>>you out of the court room!
>>>>
>>>>You are a total moron if you believe this.
>>>
>>>Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are rude
>>>about it.
>>
>>

>>Either quote case law or shut up. Fact remains that this is a total moronic
>>statement. I'll save you some time - there is no such case law.
>>
>>>There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that...
>>
>>....is 100% irrelevant to this discussion.
>
>
>Hey, Harry, have you ever considered going into the field of
>diplomacy? Your comments here are delivered so tactfully! You should
>put that talent to good use . . .

You got my point, didn't you? 'Nuff said.

1562. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <371a0eed.2406284@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121952

bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu (Benji) wrote:

>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 04:53:41 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Someone should set up a defense fund for Bill Cheeks. Mr. Cheeks has
>>done alot
>>to advance the hobby of scanning such as writing books that explain how
>>to hack
>>scanners. His predicament is very unfortunate, and everyone in this
>>newsgroup should assist him.
>
>
> I've been talking to him. I may be setting up a "Save Bill's
>Ass Telethon Page" Lawyers aren't cheap, and I'm sure a lot of us
>would throw in a few $$$ to fight big brother.

I would love to fight big brother, but when Cheek is involved I wouldn't throw
a few $$$ in to buying a bucket of water to put him out if he was on fire.

The man is an asshole and he deserves to fight this one on his own. I hope it
bankrupts his sorry ass.

1563. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <371b0f6b.2532001@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121953

Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net> wrote:

>Well , who is Bill Cheek ??

Bubba's cellmate!

1564. POCSAG with HAM help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat <regi@nospam.gmx.net>
Message-ID: <CC2D34B7FA250CD5.regi@nospam.gmx.net>
Source record: 121954

Hi together.

Who can help me with making POCSAG message with a Yeasu Ham?

thanks a lot

Pat

1565. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <k5pR2.3131$YU1.5670@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121955

Harry wrote in message <371473b2.1701018@enews.newsguy.com>...
>
>You fucking morons amaze me. There are several commercially available
>software products that will do a sector per sector copy of any type of
>partitioned drive. Including ghosted data and overwritten sectors.
>>
>>Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are
rude
>>about it.
>
>Either quote case law or shut up. Fact remains that this is a total
moronic
>statement. I'll save you some time - there is no such case law.
>
>>There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that...
>
>....is 100% irrelevant to this discussion.

Well, you're still incredibly rude, and like to make blanket statements without any proof, while challenging others to prove their points. Are you perchance an attorney, or just a wannabe? How about some citations to back up YOUR assertions?

1566. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <k5pR2.3132$YU1.5670@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121956

Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
> I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
>In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
>a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.

You shouldn't ask police officers about the law, especially if it's outside their jurisdiction. Your PA sheriff is wrong.

In New York State, only ham operators or people with permits may have radio receivers capable of receiving police frequencies in their cars. For everyone else, it is a misdemeanor, not a felony. NYS V&T Sect 397.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1567. please repost scanlaw URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <JfpR2.3549$8m5.5988@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121957

I didn't have my password when the first message was posted, and apparently the list is not accessible from OneList's regular entry page. It does not show up in a search, so I presume there is a "special" URL to apply for a subscription.

73 de Dave, NF2G

1568. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.117fcdabfe552cd598971d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121958

With name like Lethal Lextor, what can I say. Anyway, what I said in my previous post is not only absolutely true and fact, but others can easily verify it. It is no well kept secret, nor am I the only one that has ever said it and meant it. Cordless phones can easily be picked up over some distance, and for that matter when I had a Channel Master "Monitenna" 40ft up on top of a roof, I used to receive phones approximately 1 mile away with the occasional use of a Grove Preamp as an aid. You can believe what you like. I would be very surprised to hear that my wire idea didn't work even for you!

1569. NOAA-14 Schedule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Geoff Smith <bogart@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <fupR2.2896$Et1.49748@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 121959

Hello

Is there a schedule for when NOAA-14 can be heard in the south Texas area?

1570. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <37162658.818EED4F@banet.net>
Source record: 121960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yeah, that's a good idea, mail bomb a federal judge. especially to his/her home. that's a fast track way to your local MDC, no not MDT, MDC (metropolitan detention center)
i think it would be better to write to the U.S. Attorney for the eastern dist. of NY, he's the prosecutor.
steven

Phantom wrote:

> Would it be possible for someone in the know to post the names and /or
> addresses for the judges involved.
> Email as well as physical address.
>
> I agree, that everyone needs to stand up- to this political bullshit, and
> possibly help Mr. Cheek get these charges dropped. I thank him for his
> contributions to this hobby as well as this newsgroup , despite the feelings
> of some out there. Now is not the time for ill feelings to drive the group
> as a whole apart. We need to stand united because if we don't , who will?
>
> Phantom
> Never Seen, therefore I wasn't really there!

1571. RS Pro-43 w/800 mod on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfoecke_sans_spam@nist.gov
Message-ID: <tfoecke_sans_spam-1504991435470001@nano.nist.gov>
Source record: 121961

see

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=91141908

Mint condition, original box, with RS multifreqency telescoping antenna.

Email with questions.

--
Tim Foecke, PhD
National Institute of Standards and Technology
http://nano.nist.gov

1572. Re: please repost scanlaw URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3716340C.E9FC9FDF@netxn.com>
Source record: 121962

Contact Phil Smally, he is the list owner. His e-mail address is:
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

D Stark wrote:
>
> I didn't have my password when the first message was posted, and apparently
> the list is not accessible from OneList's regular entry page. It does not
> show up in a search, so I presume there is a "special" URL to apply for a
> subscription.
>
> 73 de Dave, NF2G

1573. uk scanner site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cdr Info <cdr.info@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7f5dsh$1vu$1@nclient5-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 121963

http://freespace.virgin.net/lynx.uk/index/scanner.htm

1574. Baltimore 800 sys.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dave <dwwilson@udel.edu>
Message-ID: <37163999.94EE9D71@udel.edu>
Source record: 121964

Does anyone know the new freqs. for Baltimore fire 800 ????
thanks Dave N.F.D.

1575. Anyone using a VX-1R Yaesu?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <3716457A.455@wwd.net>
Source record: 121965

Looking for comments, good, bad or otherwise on the Yaesu VX-1R for public service monitoring, uploading frequencies with alpha tags to memory, battery life, etc.

thanks
--
73

Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

1576. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <371630cf.3864161@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121966

frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:

>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 11:20:19 GMT, bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
>(Benji) wrote:
>>
>> I've been talking to him. I may be setting up a "Save Bill's
>>Ass Telethon Page" Lawyers aren't cheap, and I'm sure a lot of us
>>would throw in a few $$$ to fight big brother.
>
>Don't expect a flood of money. Its hard enough to get most members of
>this newsgroup to write a letter to their congresscritter. Why would
>they pull money out of their wallet?

Particularly for a person who has browbeaten and verbally abused so
many, and whose supporters have even resorted to death threats to shut
up his detractors.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1577. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <37164b0b.97037642@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 121967

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 16:10:17 GMT, jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
wrote:

>I would love to fight big brother, but when Cheek is involved I wouldn't throw
>a few $$$ in to buying a bucket of water to put him out if he was on fire.
>
>The man is an asshole and he deserves to fight this one on his own. I hope it
>bankrupts his sorry ass.

Less venom in your underwear would do you good. What did he
ever do to hurt you?

1578. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415163342.24889.00001064@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 121968

>From: nospam@here.com
>Date: 04-15-1999 12:09 EST
>
>I would not give money to someone who can not handle their own
>finances properly.

Probably including your dear old mother, too. What do you know about Bill's life? How do you know he hasn't had to pay thousands of dollars in medical bills for a sick child or any one of a thousand other things that can cost a family its savings.
You don't like Bill? Fine. You don't want to donate, if and when it's needed? Fine. But be honest about it and don't try to hide behind this "holier than thou" reasoning.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1579. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <37164b95.97175722@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 121969

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 13:14:50 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
>> I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
>>In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
>>a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.
>
>
>You shouldn't ask police officers about the law, especially if it's outside
>their jurisdiction. Your PA sheriff is wrong.
>

It was a NEW YORK sheriff, in jurisdiction. If he's wrong, he
doesn't know his own county then.

>In New York State, only ham operators or people with permits may have radio
>receivers capable of receiving police frequencies in their cars. For
>everyone else, it is a misdemeanor, not a felony. NYS V&T Sect 397.
>

Well, shitty on me then.

-Benji, Defeated in wisdom.

1580. Discriminator Tap Pro 90..HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: prodigy2000@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f5lqn$8uq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121970

I am trying to tap the discriminator on a Pro 90 and have not been able to find any real great help on how to do this. I looked at Bill Cheek's web site and have found the chip that he specified but am kind of dumb-founded on which pins to tap.. Can anyone send me detailed instructions or possible a picture of where I should be making these connections... Any help would be greatly appreciated.

- Tim

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1581. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415164058.24889.00001076@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 121971

>From: jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
>Date: 04-15-1999 11:10 EST
>
>I would love to fight big brother, but when Cheek is involved I wouldn't
>throw
>a few $$$ in to buying a bucket of water to put him out if he was on fire.
>
>The man is an asshole and he deserves to fight this one on his own. I hope
>it
>bankrupts his sorry ass.

I wonder if you'll feel that way when Uniden cancels its plans to market
an ASTRO compatible scanner based on the government's contention that all
digital mode communications are protected.
This is what is at stake here, folks. There are plans to switch aviation
comms to digital in the next century, ASTRO and EDACS are spreading rapidly and
who knows what else Motorola designers are working on.
If the government is allowed to take the position that MDTs are protected
just because they're encoded into a digital format then don't expect to see
digitally compatible scanners on the market.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1582. FS: Icom R10 UNBLOCKED & YAESU VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37165175.77B1D0B0@bv.net>
Source record: 121972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have the two items for sale: Postal MO only, prepaid or COD cash

Icom R10 Scanner with NO GAPS. Includes all acessories plus case. $375.00

Yaesu VX-1R Unblocked A102 version with 2 Li batteries and case. $250.00

Let me know if interested...

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1583. Re: Anyone using a VX-1R Yaesu?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <ic281h@moci.com>
Message-ID: <37165C4D.5149E316@moci.com>
Source record: 121973

The VX-1R is one of the best HT's I have ever owned. The battery life could be better, but on the other hand it only takes 2 hours to charge. The software that comes with the link was very well designed, and as far as I know, pretty much flawless. If you have a specific question, just reply...
Nick Marsh wrote:

> Looking for comments, good, bad or otherwise on the Yaesu VX-1R for
> public service monitoring, uploading frequencies with alpha tags to
> memory, battery life, etc.
>
> thanks
> --
> 73
>
> Nick Marsh
> WB4SQI

1584. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415180025.27734.00001212@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 121974

If there is a legal defense fund set up it will be structured in such as way that Bill cannot touch the money. It will be set up as a non-interest bearing trust account in a bank, with a bank officer as the trustee. The attorneys will be able to withdraw money when they present a valid invoice. Any money left over at the end of the case will be donated to charity. Bill and Cindy will not profit from it, other than the benefit of having some of their legal expenses reduced.
As for Bill being penniless, I have no idea what his financial situation is, but I do know that you don't have to be penniless to have $100,000 or more in legal bills wipe you out.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1585. FS BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: accutron@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f5s76egs1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121975

I have a BC200 XLT I want to sell. It is in excellent condition,has a
eight digit number engraved on the right side, was there when I got it. It
has a new battery from Scannerworld ($30.00)
I also will include the original batt pack but it doesn't work.
Also comes with the original flex anntenna.
$140.00 plus shipping. I am selling because I have too many scanners,
and need to clean house. Email me if interested. Thanks.

Don

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1586. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <37185bd8.1640659@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121976

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 13:11:30 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Harry wrote in message <371473b2.1701018@enews.newsguy.com>...
>>
>>You fucking morons amaze me. There are several commercially available
>>software products that will do a sector per sector copy of any type of
>>partitioned drive. Including ghosted data and overwritten sectors.
>>>
>>>Obviously you have little or no knowledge of rules of evidence, and are
>rude
>>>about it.
>>
>>Either quote case law or shut up. Fact remains that this is a total
>moronic
>>statement. I'll save you some time - there is no such case law.
>>
>>>There is something called the "parol evidence rule" that...
>>
>>....is 100% irrelevant to this discussion.
>
>Well, you're still incredibly rude,

Then use a filter and stop whining.

>and like to make blanket statements
>without any proof, while challenging others to prove their points.

Excuse me? I have no doubt in what I say. Obviously you do you you wouldn't
NEED proof. If you NEED it, go find it.

>Are you
>perchance an attorney, or just a wannabe?

None of yer goddamned business.

>How about some citations to back
>up YOUR assertions?

In other words, you are too fucking lazy to do it yourself.

The mention of "parol evidence rule" is laughable. If you want to know why,
try picking up a book and educating yourself. It couldn't possibly do
anything but make improvements.

1587. 3 scanner 4 sale IC R1/aor3000/pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415182258.17300.00001009@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 121977

these unit are all for sale and in great working condition

pro2006--250.00
ICR1 225.00
AOR3000 --500.00

thanks

Prepayment of a U.S. Postal Money Order Only or Pickup in Northern N.J.

1588. wtb pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: iski@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10368-37165B75-73@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121978

i would like to buy a pro 2006 from someone in the s.e. mi area so i could come and pick it up

1589. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <371c62d8.3432921@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121979

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 20:25:18 GMT, bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu (Benji)
wrote:

>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 16:10:17 GMT, jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
>wrote:
>
>>I would love to fight big brother, but when Cheek is involved I wouldn't throw
>>a few $$$ in to buying a bucket of water to put him out if he was on fire.
>>
>>The man is an asshole and he deserves to fight this one on his own. I hope it
>>bankrupts his sorry ass.
>
>
> Less venom in your underwear would do you good. What did he
>ever do to hurt you?

The guy's a total asswipe. Why would I want to give $.01 to an asswipe?

He'll be selling off his hardware piece by piece to afford a 1st year law
student.

1590. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <371d635a.3562647@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121980

On 15 Apr 1999 20:40:58 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>>From: jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
>>Date: 04-15-1999 11:10 EST
>>
>>I would love to fight big brother, but when Cheek is involved I wouldn't
>>throw
>>a few $$$ in to buying a bucket of water to put him out if he was on fire.
>>
>>The man is an asshole and he deserves to fight this one on his own. I hope
>>it
>>bankrupts his sorry ass.
>
> I wonder if you'll feel that way when Uniden cancels its plans to market
>an ASTRO compatible scanner based on the government's contention that all
>digital mode communications are protected.

If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
notches and back to reality.

He hasn't even made the news in his own area. Nor will he. Pretty important
case.

1591. Re: WTB Used Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415185952.16461.00001205@ng10.aol.com>
Source record: 121981

Hi! Ref: used scanners - I've got three for sale...please check my web page
for details!
Anxious to sell! Thank you!

>Subject: WTB Used Scanners
>From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net (Blackjack Elliot)
>Date: 4/14/99 5:10 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <4028-37152E6D-62@newsd-163.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>I freelance shooting TV news. I need some used scanners, I don't care
>how few freqs these scanners will hold since I'll only be programming
>one freq in each. I need to monitor five freqs all at the same time.
>
>Can anyone help? Any suggestions? I've already tried pawn shops and
>they want almost "new" prices. Absurd!
>

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

1592. FS BC200 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Sorensen <accutron@mail.oeonline.com>
Message-ID: <7f5s1sliq1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 121982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a BC200 XLT I want to sell. It is in excellent condition,has a
eight digit number engraved on the right side, was there when I got it. It
has a new battery from Scannerworld ($30.00)
I also will include the original batt pack but it doesn't work.
Also comes with the original flex anntenna.
$140.00 plus shipping. I am selling because I have too many scanners,
and need to clean house. Email me if interested. Thanks.

Don

1593. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415191724.11458.00001936@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121983

>From: no@mail (Harold)

>try picking up a book and educating yourself. It couldn't possibly do
>anything but make improvements.
>

The only improvement available for the offspring of an incestuous relationship like you is to take a bath. Obviously, you're too ignorant and ill-mannered to get anything out of a book.

Now run along cretin, you have committed the most unpardonable sin-- you have become boring and irrelevant.

1594. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cBuR2.6287$_k.729@news1.atl>
Source record: 121984
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner

They are very hazardous to your health if some YOYO in first class tries to use one while a plane is landing.
He is sitting right over the plane's electronics bay!

<acunet3278@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:7eqtgv$1if$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Could you plz share Ur experience w/ me?
> Do you feel Cellular phone are hazardous to health?

1595. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: matthew@mobileREMOVEworld.org
Message-ID: <371a77b2.8769439@news.nat.orac.net.au>
Source record: 121985
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 19:05:59 -0400, L-A <artz@ll.mit.edu> wrote:

>David S. Maddison wrote:
>
>> I was thinking of buying a Uniden DX-834 cordless phone with voice
>> scrambling. I would like to know how secure its voice scrambling is. Is it
>> simply voice inversion? If not, what encryption scheme does it use? Uniden
>> were not able to tell me :-(
>>
>Why the hell do you care if it is scrambled or not?! In case you have not
>known, it is now illegal to monitor cordless phones, therefore, your
>conversations are now private as no one will be listening
>
>No longer is it required to scramble. The government fixed it by making it
>illegal so NO ONE will listen.

You are joking aern't you? It's illegal so no-one will do it?

Fool. Do you think criminals commit all these crimes because they
didn't know it was illegal?

And the person posting is in Australia with different laws.

Regards
Matthew
--
____
Matthew McDonald

MobileWorld http://www.mobileworld.org/

Gold Coast, Australia_____________________________________

1596. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990415195836.22916.00001127@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121986

>From: Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net>

>Well , who is Bill Cheek ??

Bill Cheek is one of the pioneers of scanner technology, modifications, improvements, etc. He is well documented and published. Unlike most of us, including me, on this newsgroup he is one who knows, does and documents. Before this newsgroup became a flame fest and an opinion based message sharing forum he, and others like him actually shared information about the scanning hobby. Now it is hard to do that due to the number of "know it alls", who lack Bill Cheek's type of knowledge. Not to say that no useful information is contained in this forum, it's just that you have to weed through all the other crap. Bill Cheek was around, giving good advise, long ago and I hope he will be around a lot longer.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1597. Re: A Queston On Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Fran&#65533;ois F." <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7f3bfd$8ue$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122012

Hi Rick and all!
Actually, the normal pattern in North America provides for licence delivery by blocks of 5, for a maximum of 20 per system. They are usually 1 MHz apart for a given customer, but this may vary on a regional basis or in Canada and also as a system evolves to become a network. As a general rule, you should find all related freqs. on a system by looking every 250 kHz from a given freq. For instance: 851,0000...851,2500...851,5000...851,7500...852,0000 could be one network, whereas: 851,1125...851,3375...851,5875...851,6125, etc. would be another.

The Data or signalling channel is normally fixed on older Motorola systems, variable on Ericcson EDAC'S and sub-audible (ditributed on voice channels) in LTR-type (e.g.Johnson's systems).

Hope this is of some help to you,
Fran&#65533;ois.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1598. Re: Winflex source code avaliable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f3arpngg1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> What digital data research are you hoping to do with a AR5000 and
> glorified bandscope ?
>
> If you want to do some digital signal research buy a code 30 or a
> Wavecom 4100.

TAKE A WILD GUESS !

1599. AR3000 Discrm.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: charly45@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3716374d.4537275@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122014

I lost the direaction for finding the discrimator chip.....
I know the IC number but not the location....
Someone posted it here once....
Before my HD died.....
And I could do it ....
The Mod .....
you know..

charly45@cyberhighway.net

1600. Cheek letter #2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990414204342.14352.00000693@ng64.aol.com>
Source record: 122015

From: bcheek@comtronics.net (Bill Cheek)
Reply-to: bcheek@comtronics.net
To: bcheek@cts.com

To my friends, associates, and well-wishers:

Again, this is a form letter because it isn't possible for me to personally reply to every e-mail right now. I DO READ EACH ONE, however!

The flood of support is, to put it mildly, overwhelming. At the very least, I owe you an update of the facts of the case as developments evolve.

If you do not want to receive these periodic infograms, let me know and I'll remove your address from my mail list.

Yesterday, Cindy and I had a hearing before a US Magistrate in District Court. Basically very little happened.

We got a continuance of the formal "identity" and "removal" hearing until May 18. We petitioned the judge to expand the limits of our "bail" bond to allow us to travel for business purposes.

There was no opposition from the US attorney (a good sign?) so the judge signed the order. At least we are free to make a living, such as it is......

So far, so good. On May 18, if nothing happens between now and then, Cindy and I will return to court where the case will almost certainly be remanded back to New York.

Between now and then, it is probable that we will have to travel to New York to meet with the US attorney. There is, however, a chance that the New York US attorney will come out here to San Diego to interview us, instead. THAT would be great, but nothing is certain at this time.

There has been a literal flood of heart-warming support from out and around the world! Not just

moral support....but loads of great suggestions,
cool information, referrals, and advice. I am
going to act or follow-up on a lot of it, believe me.

One powerful admonition from many sources is that
we will be dumb to reply on public defenders to
handle our case. Unilaterally, we are told to
retain an expert attorney, even if it means going
into hock. I guess I can agree, except I don't know
how to extract blood from turnips and/or turn lead
into gold.

A solid defense will require $100,000 or so.
We can't swing it. Two words: IM POSSIBLE.

So....our only hopes for expert counsel are:
(1) pro-bono, or (2) a fund raiser.

Lots of donations have been offered, but I
don't think that's the way to approach it....
it would take a hell of a lot of administration
to manage thousands of $5 and $10 donations,
assuming that many are possible.

A "Pro-bono" lawyer remains a viable prospect
since the things Cindy and I are charged with
loom as a major impact to the entire realm of
radio. While she and I are the current "victims"
of the government's charges, the bigger picture
victimizes all of Hobby Radio, and perhaps other
areas of radio and electronic communications
as well.

Therefore, a "pro-bono" lawyer or a professional
fund raising effort appear to be the best. I
will explore these soon, but I still need outside
input and direction because I'm kind of inept
on the legal and funding scenes.

The kicker right now is that I can't devote
much attention to either of these issues because
of a major computer consulting project that will
take me away from home and office for the next
seven days. Talk about a rock and a hard spot.

A man's got to make a living...but as he works
his butt off, there's no time to devote to saving
his skin. I will, however, be able to give more
attention to our plight after April 20.

Please don't give up on me if you don't hear
from me before then. And you probably won't....

Again, I can't give much in the way of inside information because it is so volatile to the case. I will say that our hopes remain high for a dropping of the charges. Sources tell me that Cindy and I aren't WHO they thought we were, and that the "evidence" is not at all what they thought would be found.

This is why I am not talking to the media yet. If this matter is mostly a mistake by the New York federal judicial system, I sure as heck don't want to aggravate them by saying any more than necessary.

One more thing to add.....nine of our ten seized computers have been returned, along with copies of most of our business records. The Secret Service still holds one old laptop computer, all of our floppy disks; a PRO-2006 scanner, and a miscellaneous hodgepodge of circuits, publications, records, and such. I figure it rather remarkable that we've gotten back so much as it is. This alone may suggest something about the case.

Who ever heard of seized property being returned within days? (I've never know it to happen, anyway.) Does this mean something favorable to our case?

I don't know. But I'll say more in due time. For now, I will be out of touch for a week or so. Please don't look ill upon me if I don't reply in the interim.

Heartfelt thanks and appreciation to all!

Bill & Cindy Cheek

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1601. voice activated computer audio recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <371635fa.43534821@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122016

Where can I find some software that will record upon getting a signal
from the mic?

1602. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Duane <dduane@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <37153A6E.468376D4@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 122017

To me Cell phones are just a complex radio, if the radio waves go through my space it's my right to listen to what ever I want. I also feel that owners of cellular phones should be told that their phones aren't as secure as they usually think they are. What I find curious is that they don't make a big deal about the home phones or even fast food freqs.

BURGER KING McDONALD'S
457.600 35.02 & 154.600
467.825 30.84 & 154.570
33.14 & 151.895

What gives?

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:

> On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:
>
> >Sir,
> >
> >Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
> >Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
> >
> Yeah so? It's their (the cell provider's) fault for not encrypting
> it. There should be no law prohibiting reception, the same way there
> should not be any law prohibiting the use of radar detectors. If
> we followed the europeans, we would have full coverage scanners, still
> availiable on shelves. I could care less about recieving
> cellphones, but from a standpoint of principle, its downright STUPID
> to have to restrict the coverage of recievers due to a mistake the
> cellular industry has made.
>
> -Mike

--

mailto:dduane@telusplanet.net
ICQ# 14025781

1603. Re: Brove Pre-5A VHF / UHF Signal Booster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <oybR2.36$Z42.87@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please note that this is a Grove Pre5A, not "Brove" Got fat fingered! It is in mint condition. I have two and and keeping one.

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>30-1000 MHz preamplifier. Offers continuous gain control from -10dB to +18
>dB amplification. Works great. $45 or best offer. Email if interested.
>dstegner@home.com
>
>

1604. Re: AOR AR8000 UK model many extras L@@K!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <1DbR2.38$Z42.70@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Didn't take it personal. Just wanted to make sure that comparison shoppers had all the facts. BTW, for all you shoppers, my AR8000 also has the birdie mod.

Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1qup$2qb$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Just letting everyone know that they should give bander.com a look....it's
>just shopping around, nothing personal.
>
>Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>>I did check their pricing before I posted my ad. The model with case,
>scout
>>mod, US bandplan is going for $499. My AR8000 have these but has the
>>discriminator tap and voice inverter chip. Also, you do not have to pay
>>customs and run certain risks if you buy mine. Therefore I think my price
>>is fair.
>>
>>
>>
>>Gerald M. wrote in message <7f1l7g$s8h$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>>>ar8000 are going for $379 and bandercom...just on sale from the regular
>>>price of $388. check www.bander.com
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

1605. Re: AR8200 add on cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <HDbR2.39$Z42.105@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

All cards are now sold! Thanks for your interest.

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>Tone eliminator $35
>Chip based recording & playback card $45
>Extended memory (4000) card $45
>
>All new and unopened in orginal packaging. Email if interested.
>dstegner@home.com
>
>

1606. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 122021

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 10:51:48 -0400, "Rudolph M. Marcelletti"
<rudym@net-link.net> wrote:

>I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher to have
>scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the regs for IL, IN,
>OH, PA or any other states?
>
>
>

I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.

-Benji.

1607. Re: Grove SP-200B Sound Enhancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <BEbR2.40$Z42.91@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 122022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is sold! Thanks for your interest.

Don Stegner wrote in message ...
>Whether your listening interests include scanning, shortwave, or ham radio,
>or even if you need to "clean up" the audio on another sound system, the
>Grove SP-200B is an effective, multi-functional accessory to increase the
>intelligibility and sound quality of voice, music, and data.
>
>Using all-analog circuitry to avoid the distortion contributed by many
>digital signal processors (DSP), the SP-200B combines a powerful audio
>amplifier and four inch speaker along with separate bass and treble
>equalizers, a variable passband notch/peak filter to reject interfering
>tones or boost desirable audio, an adjustable noise limiter to reduce
>irritating pulse interference, a variable-hang 0-45 second squelch control
>to remove background noise between sound transmissions, and a tape recorder
>activator. Powered by 12 VDC, the SP-200B may be operated in a mobile
>environment or from an optional 12 VDC supply.
>
>Housed in a stylish, hand crafted, oak cabinet, and constructed of sturdy,
>black finished aluminum with white legends.
>
>$100 or best offer. USPS money order only. Please email if interested at
>dstegner@home.com
>
>

1608. Re: BC235XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990414222625.11144.00000599@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 122023

How could there be, where do u hook up to your computer?

1609. Re: CORDLESS PHONES IN THE 1.2 GHZ OR 2. 0 GHZ RANGE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f3pcekbd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122024

In article <8EyQ2.363$rM2.57792@nntp1.nac.net>,
"Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:
> But be aware last I heard their handset commas were straight analog
>
> Rob Lang
> KC2ENO.
> Karl Dahlquist wrote in message
> <7etbpr$9l4$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >
> >
> >Panasonic sells 2.4 gigahertz phones.....
> >
> >
> >Got one, Handset transmits 900MHz in analog, comes in loud and clear on my
BC3000.............
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1610. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371563ab.3539721@news>
Source record: 122025

On 13 Apr 1999 12:33:20 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>Bill mentioned in his letter that the feds returned his computers almost
>immediately and he expects to get the rest back soon.
> [...]
>In the Steve Jackson case (and in a couple of others), the feds held onto the
>computers for several months and even then, they were slow to return them even
>when it appeared they had no case.

Perhaps they learned their lesson?

1611. Re: Broken Keypad of Radio Shack Pro 39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ices1185@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415003329.16591.00000878@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122026

Take the 39 to your local Radio Shack and have them send it to their repair facility. I wore out the keypad on my 39. Can't remember how much it was for sure but I believe around $20 - $25. Great radios, aren't they? Some folks have just gotten the rubber keypad and done the job themselves. I'm an expert at doing the things I do, but fixin radios ain't one of them.
Ed

1612. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <3715752f.2030322@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122027

This is a lie!!

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 15:59:24 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee
Rothman) wrote:

>I hate to tell you but on either my AR8200 or IC-R2 I can easily receive
>cordless phones over distances of at least 1/4 to 1/2 mile with just a
>Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic antenna (the area below the coil not raised
>at all and the area above the coil extended full length minus the top
>section only. As a little turbo boost (especially effective on the 8200)
>just cut a 5ft hunk of speaker wire and terminate it with a large
>alligator clip. Place the clip below the insulator or on the BNC knurled
>portion. Extend the wire (I place mine vertically with an additional clip
>to blinds or drapes). With the wire attached it seems to dramatically
>boost signal strength. Sometimes receiving signals that without it aren't
>there at all. Another tip is for frequencies above 100mhz, place the clip
>above the insulator or on the lower shaft portion of the antenna. Again
>this dramatically increases signal strength. Real cheap to make too.
>

1613. AD: EPROM PROGRAMMING SERVICE -- No questions asked. 24hr turnaround. No minimum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scottcarlson@mindless.com
Message-ID: <37156c8c.71624113@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 122028

Have files that you need programmed to an Eprom? Don't want to pay $150 for a programmer for 1 or 2 chips? This is the deal for you. $13.20 to have your file programmed on a device you supply. No questions asked. SHIPPING INCLUDED IN PRICE VIA PRIORITY MAIL Add $6 and I will supply the device 27c64-27c512 devices only. If you have a different device I can quote you a total. You must supply the file to be programmed in .bin format. Either by e-mail or on disk via snail mail.

For info, ordering or questions email Scottcarlson@mindless.com

If you submit the .bin file electronically I will burn it and ship upon receipt of payment.

Devices supported

GENERIC

2716
2732
2732A
2764
2764A
27128
27128A
27256
27512
27010
27020
27040

AMD

2716
2716B
2732
2732A
2732B
2764
2764A
27C64
27128
27128A
27C128
27256

27C256
27H256
27512
27C512
27C010
27H010
27C020
27C040
27C080
27C100
2817A
2864A
2864B
28C256
28F256
28F512
28F010
28F020

ATMEL

27HC64
27C128
27C256
27HC256
27C512
27C513
27C010
27C011
27C040
28C04
2816A
2817A
28C64
28CP64
28HC191

CSI

CAT2764A
CAT27128A
CAT27256
CAT27C256
CAT27512
CAT27010
CAT28C16A
CAT28C17A
CAT28C64A
CAT28C65A
CAT28C256
CAT28F010

CYPRESS

CY7C291
CY7C292
CY7C128
CY7C256

DALLAS

DS1225D
DS1225Y
DS1230Y
DS1235Y

EXEL

2804A
2816A

FUJITSU

2732
2764
27C64
27128
27C128
27256
27C256
27C256A
27C256H
27C512
27C1000
27C1001

GI

27C128
27C256
27C512

HITACHI

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
27128
27128A
27256
27C256
27512
27101
27C301
58064P

58C256

HYUNDAI

2764
27C64

ICT

27CX321
27CX641
27CX642

INTEL

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
2764A
P2764A
27128
27128A
27128B
P27128A
27256
27C256
27C256-V
27512
27C512
27513
27010
27C010
27C010A
27011
27C011
27020
27C020
27C040
27C100
2817A
28F256
28F256A
28F512
28F010
28F020
28F001BT
28F001BB
87C64
87C257

ISSI

27HC010

MACRONIX

27C256
27L256
27C512
27L512
27C1000
27C4000
27L4000
28F1000

MIPS_GMBH

M-245
M-255
M-355

MITSUBISHI

2716
2764
27128
27C128
27256
27C256
27512
27C100K
27C101K

MOTOROLA

68764
68766

NATIONAL

2716
27C16
27C16B
27C32
27C32B
27C64
27C64B
27CP128
27C128B
27C256
27C512
27C010
27C020

NEC

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
27128
D27256
PD27256
27C256
27C256A
27C512
27C1000
27C1001
27C2001
27C4001
27C8001

OKI

2532
2716
2732
2732A
2764
27128
27256
27256A
27C256

ROCKWELL

2764
27C64
87C64

SEEQ

2764
27128
27C256
2804A
2816A
2816AH
2817A
2817AH
2864
2864H
28C64
28C65
28C256
36C16
36C32
52B13

52B13H
52B33
52B33H

SIGNETICS

27C64A
27C256
27C512
27HC641

SGS_THOMSON

2716
2732
2732A
2764
2764A
27C64A
27128
27128A
27256
27C256
27C256B
27512
27C512
27C1000
27C1001
27C2001
27C4001
27C801
28F256
28F512
28F101

TI

2516
2532
2532A
2564
2732A
27C32
2764
27C64
27C128
27C256
27C512
27C010
27C020
28F512A
28F010

28F020

TOSHIBA

2764
2764A
27128
27128A
27256D
27256AD
27256BD
28256
57256AD
27512AD
571000
571001
574000
TC97208P
TC97218P

WAFERSCALE

WS27C64
WS27C128
WS27C256
WS27C512
WS27C010
WS27C020
WS27C040
WS57C128
WS57C512
WS57C010

XICOR

2804A
2816A

ZILOG

Z86E21

1614. Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <371570D4.A5C22744@home.com>
Source record: 122029

Someone should set up a defense fund for Bill Cheeks. Mr. Cheeks has
done alot
to advance the hobby of scanning such as writing books that explain how
to hack
scanners. His predicament is very unfortunate, and everyone in this
newsgroup should assist him.

1615. Re: AOR3000 & PRO2006 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3715710B.E0BB2576@home.com>
Source record: 122030

These are very reasonable prices.

CWest69521 wrote:
>
> AOR3000- FEATURES 400 CH(4 BANKS) 4 PRI CH RF ATT SETTING AND LOCKOUT STATUS
> COVERS 100KHZ-2036MHZ AM-FM-WFM-NFM-USB-LSB-CW SCANS A MAX OF 50 CH OR STEPS
> PER SECOND SENSITIVITY IS 100KHZ TO 2.5 MHZ (SSB/CW 1.0uV) BUILT IN RS232 FOR
> COMPUTING INTERFACING COMES WITH TELESCOPIC WHIP,12 VOLT WALL ADAPTER,DC POWER
> CORD FOR MOBILE USE AND MOBILE BRACKET
>
> 500.00 FIRM(US DOLLARS)
>
> CAN BE SEEN OR PICKUP IN NORTHERN NJ
> LOCAL SALE PREFERED
> RATHER NOT GET INVOLVED WITH SHIPPING
>
> I want you to see what you are buying,therefore no mistakes will be made
>
> I ALSO HAVE A PRO 2006 FOR SALE @ 250.00 (US DOLLARS)

1616. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37157582.8107811@news>
Source record: 122031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 13 Apr 1999 06:04:18 GMT, somebody@somewhere.com wrote:

>Bill Cheeks case hinges upon the question of the
>MDC4800 protocol being encryption or not.

An iffy assertion, but I'll let that one slide for now...

>let's all pretend for a minute that MDC4800 *IS* encryption.
>
>It seems to me that if MDC4800 is determined by a
>Learned Judge to be encryption, then Motorola may
>have violated US law if they failed to declare
>MDC4800 as an encryption method when obtaining their
>export permits.

Nice try, but encryption (even real encryption, not just encoding) is
legal for export as long as the key length is less than about 56 bits
or so (this is how Netscape and Microsoft can export their web
browsers).

1617. Discriminator on AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcerou@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415020055.13866.00000791@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 122032

Hi everybody,

How can'I installing discriminator inside my AR3000A ?

Thank you for respond to TSF70@francemel.com

1618. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <373f87dd.46508214@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122033

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>Someone should set up a defense fund for Bill Cheeks. Mr. Cheeks has
>done alot
>to advance the hobby of scanning such as writing books that explain how
>to hack
>scanners. His predicament is very unfortunate, and everyone in this
>newsgroup should assist him.

Don't hold your breath.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1619. Re: FS: Viking 10 Hour Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: TvE <egiaroll@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7f4g4r$6sg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 122034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

Is www.vikingint.com correct?. I couln't acces it.

Tom
-=-

In article <jwVQ2.8431$oD5.4828@news.rdc1.va.home.com>,
"Don Stegner" <dstegner@home.com> wrote:
> "Built like a battleship"! Heavy duty commercial recorder with built in
> voice activation. Records up to 10 hours on a single 120 min cassette tape.
> for more information see www.vikingint.com . Lists for $189. Asking $100.
> Brand new condition. Email if interested. dstegner@home.com
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1620. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3715BEB6.C0A3D030@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 122035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
*Computer Control
*Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1621. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <3715cb31.64302337@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 122036

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 04:53:41 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>Someone should set up a defense fund for Bill Cheeks. Mr. Cheeks has
>done alot
>to advance the hobby of scanning such as writing books that explain how
>to hack
>scanners. His predicament is very unfortunate, and everyone in this
>newsgroup should assist him.

I've been talking to him. I may be setting up a "Save Bill's
Ass Telethon Page" Lawyers aren't cheap, and I'm sure a lot of us
would throw in a few $$$ to fight big brother.

-Benji, Locksmith at Large

1622. FS: Service manual for Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28840-3717F355-100@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121787
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Who ever needs a copy of the sevice manual, I can make you a copy for $20 bucks which includes shipping and also for an extra $5 dollars, I can make a copy of the manual of the scanner too if needed. Thanks.

1623. hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3717FC47.681F72E4@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 121788

Can I use the Hamcomm (http://mfjenterprises.com/short/mfj1213.html) that is sold by MFJ with my BC-235XLT to decode DTMF? Is it in any way like the 2-level FSK? Please excuse my ignorance on this subject, and thanks for all the help!

-GTI

1624. Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Robbins <gatliffs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7f8ugt$76s$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121789

Help my pro 2042 get alot of noise like a fax machine on the 800 mhz in Ft Myers FL is there anything that help a FM Trap will not work I try gatliffs@mindspring.com

1625. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LcTR2.747$6B.39269@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 121790

Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:

402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters, emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

<jnooney@albany.net> wrote in message news:3717F0D5.512EFF07@albany.net...
> John:
>
> He asked if it was legal to show up at a scene after hearing a call on
> his scanner, not whether or not it was smart. As long as he breaks no
> laws or does not get in the way of the ongoing operations, it is legal.
> If it weren't the police would be busting 20-30 people at every
> structure fire we responded to. And just for the record, we have had
> bystanders who lived close by make us coffee or bring us cold drinks
> during the course of a fire before our auxiliary could respond and it
> was very appreciated.
>
> Joe
>
> John Johnson wrote:
> >
> > No...not at all illegal!
> > In fact, next time you hear a good call... just go to the scene and tap
> > your friendly public servant on the shoulder. Show them your scanner and
> > tell them that you heard the call and came to help.
> > Don't be surprised if they hand a fire hose or maybe even a shotgun and
> > say "Cover me"! They will welcome you with open arms...and if you do a
> > good job, you might get to drive a squad car or the big red fire truck,

> > with all the pretty lights and sirens, back to the station. You will get
> > an honorary badge and become the MC at this years 4th of July parade. A
> > plaque with your name on it will be mounted at the Town Hall, right next
> > to the Mayors picture, for all to see.
> >

> > Sure...Go for it!!!

1626. Re: Busted Scanners/Cheek article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3717FD99.AFCB7493@excite.com>
Source record: 121791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Another article writer who doesn't know the difference between encoding
and encrypting.
Listen to what he said:

According to the complaint, the Cheeks sold a team of undercover New
York police five kits over the Internet. The kits unscramble the
encrypted messages that pass between mainframe computers at police and
fire departments and those onboard computers, or mobile data terminals
(MDT), you see in the front seats of police cars these days.

"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
>
> Fellow Enthusiasts,
> Stop by my site for a link to this San Diego
> Reader article.
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

1627. Re: Your antenna or your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1181b19ecc3a32d8989721@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121792

Well, when I lived in Half Moon Bay (on the other side of the Coast Range of 1400ft Mtns {hills} and Had a stock Monitenna which is essentially a vertical dipole, mounted on the roof 40ft off the ground, I picked up Marin County, San Francisco, Oakland, Hayward and all of the South Bay, which I understand was virtually unheard of performance. Just ask Glen of Scanners Unlimited who sold it to me to verify it is true. So for my 50 bucks it is the Channel Master "Monitenna" every time!

1628. Re: For Bill Cheek: DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN vs UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <781726A55B10CEDD.A909F7D42F669080.BAEF9FD7FCBFE668@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121793

Keith, please summarize your point for posting this very lost post.
I don't want to read this all nor am I confident I can understand it all either.

1629. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hiram695@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416091555.27288.00001483@ng150.aol.com>
Source record: 121837

Sorry, guys, still wrong. See my reply on the other group. The law does not exempt hams; read it carefully. The law says it does not apply to licensed hams using gear capable of transmitting and receiving on frequencies assigned by the FCC exclusively for ham use.

1630. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <37184108.3739654@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121838

On 16 Apr 1999 12:50:39 GMT, n3taw@aol.comAntiSpam (N3TAW) wrote:

>The term felony means that the law
>is a federal govt law. I may not be the
>sharpest pencil in the box, but
>federal laws cover all states, not
>individual ststes or cities. And from
>my past experience a cop will tell
>you any kind of fairy tale that he
>wants you to believe. Remember
>cops are like everyone else, they
>have there fair share of morons,
>asshole's and misfits.

Actually, the term felony doesn't mean it's a federal law. A felony
is any crime punishable by greater than 1 year in jail. 365 days or
less is a misdemeanor. Fines are not computed in the determination.
-- A law student

1631. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904161440.QAA31976@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 121839

Eric J. Enos (enosej@erols.com) wrote:

: Actually, the term felony doesn't mean it's a federal law. A felony
: is any crime punishable by greater than 1 year in jail. 365 days or
: less is a misdemeanor. Fines are not computed in the determination.

The precise definition of felony differs depending on what state's laws
are being applied. A felony is a more serious crime than a misdemeanor.
Generally, felonies are punished by prison sentences (usually > 1 year),
and misdemeanors by fines only or jail sentences (usually < 1 year).

1632. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jbd$kng@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1633. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jbq$kni@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 17, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from
the crystals used in the conventional single channel
Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1634. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jbj$knh@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: February 27, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1635. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jb1$knd@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 20, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne
National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55
in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start
whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in
the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally
frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory
building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want
to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or
six pack.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1636. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jc8$knk@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1637. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jcf$knl@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1638. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jb7$knf@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 20, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1639. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jcn$knm@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed February 1, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is

slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new

frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency

of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100

components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan,

search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band

scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels

are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review

which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were

used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67,"

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan.

Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The

Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels.

Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes

quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no

scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X		no	
FR105	6	X		no	
FR2512	24	X		no	
FR2513	24	X		no	
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel

programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state,

and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central

time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1640. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7f7jc0$knj@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121848
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated April 10, 1999 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

APRIL 1999

April 11 (Sun): Middleton, WI. Madison Area Repeater Association. 8 AM - 1 PM Middleton Conference Center, 1313 John Q. Hammons Drive Middleton, WI 53562. The Middleton Conference Center is located in Middleton, WI, near the west side of Madison, WI. From the intersection of Interstate 90 and Highways 12 and 18 near Madison's South-East corner, take Highway 12 West. Stay on Highway 12 until you reach Greenway Blvd. Exit to Greenway Blvd. and turn left. Then turn left on John Q. Hammons Drive. The Conference Center is attached to the Marriot which is clearly visible from the intersection of Highway 12 and Greenway Blvd. Talk-in Frequency: 147.75/.15. Info: Paul Toussaint, N9VWH, MARA President, prt@execpc.com. The MARA telephone voice mail (608)245-8890 . http://skywarn.ssec.wisc.edu/mara/swapfest

Apr 17 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Apr 18 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

April 25 (Sun): Galva, IL. Area Amateur Radio Operators Hamfest. When: 8 am until 2pm, April 25, 1999. Where: The National Guard Armory Tickets: Advance tickets $5 double stubs, at the door $5 single stub, No advance sales after March 25th. Outside flea market space available. Electricity, available on a first come first serve basis. Bring your own extension cords. VE Testing will be available by appointment only. Talk in 145.4900 - 88.5 PL / 146.460 simplex. Breakfast and Lunch will be available and served by the National Guard Relief Members. For set up times, advance ticket sales or other information, contact: (1) Bill Anderson WB9TEW, 103 SE 2nd Ave. Galva, Illinois 61434-1854.

tel. 309-932-3023, bill@inw.net. (2) Tom Davis N9OSP, 802 Rose Street, Kewanee, Illinois 61443. Tdn9osp@cin.net

April ?? (Sun): Stickney, IL. Dupage Amateur Radio Club, Hawthorne Race Course. Hours 8 AM - 2 PM. Setup 6 AM.

MAY 1999

May 1 (Sat), Cedarburg, WI - Ozaukee Radio Club Swapfest, Info: Joe Holly, AA9HR, 1702 Holly Ln., Grafton, WI 53024, 414-377-2137

May 2 (Sun), Sandwich, IL - Kishwaukee Amateur Radio Club Hamfest, Sandwich Fairgrounds. Admission $?. Ends at 2 PM. Talk-in 146.73 MHz. Info: Bob Yurs W9ICU, phone 815-895-3219, email: w9icu@tbcnet.com. (Nice mid-sized hamfest out in country. Lots of tailgating. Few commercial exhibitors. Always a good time. Fairly good restroom facilities - AJ9S).

May 14, 15, 16 (Fri - Sun) - Dayton, OH. Dayton HamVention. See http://www.hamvention.org

May 15 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). | Dupage County Fairgrounds. |

May 16 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). orland Park Civic Center. |

JUNE 1999

Jun 6 (Sun), Princeton, IL - Starved Rock RC. info: Frank Carraro, KF9NZ, PO Box 198, Leonore, IL 61332/ 815-856-3773. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks/ E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 13 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Dupage County Fairgrounds. Six Meter Club of Chicago. info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Ave., Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. tel. 630-963-4922

Jun 13 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

JULY 1999

Jul 11 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Kankakee Area Radio Society. Will County fairgrounds. Admission $5 at the gate. Take I-57 to Peotone Exit 327 (east). 146.94 MHz (-600) talk-in. info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. tel. 815-939-7548, E-mail: k9nr@juno.com. http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/hangar/5711 For Reserved Tables and Advanced Tickets, send check (payable to

KARS) and S.A.S.E. to: CHIP MOORE, K9IOC, 289 S. Euclid Ave. Bradley, IL 60915, E-Mail: chipm@megsinet.net or to BILL DESALVO, W9OQW, 403 Birch Dr., Shorewood, IL 60431. 815-744-5099.

Jul 18 (Sun), Sugar Grove, IL. Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. For tables, tickets, and information: contact N9UZC James E. Von Olnhausen, n9uzc@amsat.org, (630)-879-3042, FRRL, Box 673, Batavia, IL 60510-0673. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 1999

Aug 7 (Sat) - Quincy, IL. Western Illinois Amateur Radio Club Ham Radio and Computer SWAPFEST 1999. 8:00AM to 2:00PM. The Eagles Alps Grounds (3737 North 5th Street) 4.5 miles north of downtown Quincy with easy access from US Highway 24. Admission $3.00 at the Gate ($2.50 in advance) per person, under 12 - Free. ARRL VEC testing for all license classes at 12:30PM. Indoor Flea Market space available for $5.00 which includes one 5' table. Outdoor tailgating space - Free. Talk-in on 147.63/147.03 MHz. Plenty of convenient parking available. Lunch will be served on the grounds. Children's playground area. For additional information contact N9JF, jfunk@adams.net

Aug 8 (Sun), Peotone, IL - Hamfesters Radio Club. Will County fairgrounds. info: Dave Brasel, NF9N, 6933 West 110th St., Worth, IL 60482. E-mail: nf9n@aol.com. tel. 708-448-0580 (Usually an excellent hamfest - AJ9S).

Aug 14 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). | Dupage County Fairgrounds. |

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info: Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized hamfest - AJ9S).

SEPTEMBER 1999

Sept 25, 26 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL Chicago FM Club. Lake County fairgrounds. info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Ave., Norridge, IL 60656-3245. tel.

708-457-0966 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1641. Re: 3 scanner 4 sale IC R1/aor3000/pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <37177CDB.5FC8@star.net>
Source record: 121849

Toscano wrote:
>
> Makw up your mind...do you have 3 or 4 scanners for sale?!?!
>
> Or just three scanners, with four for sale, but not any of the
> three you cared to list?

The subject line is self explanitory - 3 scanners for (4) sale.
Wow......

1642. "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Gould <rmedic@frii.com>
Message-ID: <GvIR2.449$g3.170596352@news.frii.net>
Source record: 121850

http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM

1643. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <EDIR2.3694$8m5.5740@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121851

HIRAM 695 wrote in message <19990416091555.27288.00001483@ng150.aol.com>...
>Sorry, guys, still wrong. See my reply on the other group. The law does
not
>exempt hams; read it carefully. The law says it does not apply to licensed
>hams using gear capable of transmitting and receiving on frequencies
assigned
>by the FCC exclusively for ham use.

There is case law that indicates a different interpretation. See my
website -

http://nf2g.com/scannist/nys_index.html and click on "Laws & Regs".

73 de Dave, NF2G

1644. Re: Anyone using a VX-1R Yaesu?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9906-37175925-26@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121852

I have a VX-1R and I really like it. It's feature out-weight some radios
twice its size and some that are even larger.
One drawback on scanning is, only e band at a time can be scanned.
Although I've heard of a programming mod to fix this, but when you do,
it disables the ARS (Auto-Repeater Shift) and the ARS must then be set
manually. An easy compromise if you want to scan VHF/UHF together.
The radio is very simple to operate (the basics) after a couple of days.
The DTMF is a little cumbersome, due to the absence of a keypad.

It's a great little radio!

Good Luck,
John - K6ZZZ

1645. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371a655f.640174@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The folks who are truly guilty here are the MDT marketing droids who sold these things under names like PrivacyLink or other such stupidity. Cops are not particularly bright about this sort of thing. You won't get far with them explaining the difference between encoding and encrypting.

I look forward to Bill's day in court. I hope the judge and jury can sort this stuff out. I won't admit to listening to police traffic or not, but I think as a tax paying citizen, that I have an obligation to ensure that my police are conducting themselves properly. The day the police start hiding this stuff from me is the day I'll start publishing papers on how to build your own decoder.

The last time I checked, we still have the right to publish their stupid assumptions.

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 18:46:34 -0700, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
><jnooney@albany.net> wrote in message news:3715FDD2.27E7A916@albany.net...
>> The case against Mr. Cheek states the following:
>>
>> US Code Title 18, Sec 2512(1)(a) and 371
>> ==
>> "conspiring to send in intrestate commerce devices
>> having reason to know that the design of such device
>> renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the
>> surreptitious interception of electronic communications,
>> to wit: Mobile Data Terminal information broadcast by
>> law enforcement agencies and emergency service
>> organizations"
>>
>> Now if there are other uses for a data slicer that the government could
>> not object to and Mr. Cheek did not market/advertise the data slicers as
>> a way to decode MDT transmissions,
>
>That is the only stumbling block for Bill, he did mention they could be used
>for MDT on his WWW site. WHOOPS!
>
>> it seems that the fed's case against
>> him is rather weak at best.
>
>Even with the Cheek Web blunder it still seems a bit heavy handed.

>
>> Using the government's logic in this case, an employee of the Radio
>> Shack parts department in Texas could be arrested for sending a
>> component anywhere in the US that ended up being used in an "illegal"
>> manner, to wit: in a speech inversion descrambler.
>
>Not really...big stretch there. This group seems cought more in the emotions
>than the facts of all this. Heck, we still don't know much with any
>certainty.
>
>> Scary stuff.
>
>Not really. ECPA and the like was scary. If we couldn't get our act together
>for that, Mr. Cheek and his blunder into the law won't unify this cause.
>
>Hey, I'm off to kick the dog. ;-(
>
>
>Glenn
>

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1646. Re: "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f7qfb$n3v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121854

Brian Gould wrote in message ...
>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>
>

Once again, the public at large is led like sheep to believe the ramblings of someone who doesn't know the difference between "encoded" and "encrypted".

Furthermore, the idiot (no doubt to lend credence and shock-factor to his story) says the primary purpose of MDT's is to hide sensitive communications. Total BS. The primary purpose of MDT's is to take routine, non-emergency traffic off the voice channels, freeing them up for emergency traffic.

It's amazing how many people who yesterday had no clue what MDT stands for are so willing to share what they "know" with the uninformed masses today.

-Phil

1647. Re: Live Nato Comm. Real Audio File from somewhere else :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <371771D3.9E047D8D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Tom KA4RKT wrote:

> Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com> wrote in message >
> > All my browser can see is a text file. {;-(
>
> I started to say the same thing. But then I discovered that I could click
> on the little "speaker" icon and get a Real Audio file to download.
>
> Tom KA4RKT

Now I got it working!
I thought Netscape 4.5 could read these files.....Doohhhh!
{;-)
Paul

1648. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371c7075.3478667@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121856

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 11:35:08 GMT, jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
wrote:

>On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 00:09:04 GMT, bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu (Benji)
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 22:08:12 GMT, jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>> Less venom in your underwear would do you good. What did he
>>>>ever do to hurt you?
>>>
>>>The guy's a total asswipe. Why would I want to give $.01 to an asswipe?
>>>
>>>He'll be selling off his hardware piece by piece to afford a 1st year law
>>>student.
>>
>>
>> Not to be rude, but calling him an asswipe doesn't tell me why
>>he's a bad guy....
>>
>
>
>Go to dejanews and look up and read his posts from the last year. Go back
>several years if you want. They speak for themselves.

A legal fund like this isn't for defending a person. You have to
separate the person from the issues. If Bill Cheek (dirtbag, saint,
or anything in between) is convicted, you'll have an interstate legal
precedent which can be used against any scanning enthusiast who
unscrews the cover off his scanner.

That's what this is all about. I couldn't care less about Bill Cheek
the person. It's my own interests which are at stake here.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1649. liste de freq.belges pour scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjcarels <pjcarels@westbrabant.net>
Message-ID: <7f7raa$db2$5@news.concepts.nl>
Source record: 121857

maintenant aussi en Francais! :
http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/scanja7.html
In het Nederlands: http://home.concepts.nl/~pjcarels/scanja5.html

1650. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3sKR2.219$HP5.6908@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121858

I notice in the San Diego Reader article about the Cheeks it states "Neither the Cheeks nor their lawyers, Howard Frank and Lynn Ball, ..."

I didn't know they had legal representation? Are these court appointed? Where did they come from? Where did Frank and Ball comer from and who are they? what's up?

1651. Re: "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371d73e6.4360423@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121859

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 09:16:57 -0600, "Brian Gould" <rmedic@frii.com>
wrote:

>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM

What's interesting is that the article also got confused between
encryption and encoding.

MDT transmissions are encoded --not encrypted. Most police don't know
the difference. This difference is significant if a court case if
Bill Cheek is to have a fair day in court.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1652. Re: Weatheradio in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21940-37177316-27@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121860

Go to www.oregonscientific.com and check a handheld unit Model# WR-8000.

I bought one of these and love it, it has all kinds of features (Time, temp, ALERT, freeze warnings, etc.).

I paid $40 for mine...brand new. the MSRP is quite a bit higher than what I paid...but aren't they always higher? 8^]

I you live within a reasonable proximity of a NWS transmitter, you should receive signals just fine. One thing I learned about Radio Shack is...When I have questions, They seldom "have answers".

Check it out!

1653. Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com
Message-ID: <MPG.118151e8f92a4ecc9896b1@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121861

Hi,

What is the most secure remote phone available? Do any of these digitally encode and encrypt the sound? I understand what spread spectrum is. What kind of device would be needed to listen in on a spread spectrum digital conversation. I'm normally not a paranoid person but I recently have reason to believe that somebody has been listening in. I only have remote phones and only one of them is 900mhz and digital. None of them is spread spectrum. The thought of having to go back to corded phones phones is not making me happy.

Vamp

1654. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f81u4gnu1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121862

Vamp <NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.118151e8f92a4ecc9896b1@news.ne.mediaone.net...
>
>
> Hi,
>
> What is the most secure remote phone available? Do any of these digitally
> encode and encrypt the sound? I understand what spread spectrum is. What
> kind of device would be needed to listen in on a spread spectrum digital
> conversation. I'm normally not a paranoid person but I recently have
> reason to believe that somebody has been listening in. I only have remote
> phones and only one of them is 900mhz and digital. None of them is spread
> spectrum. The thought of having to go back to corded phones phones is not
> making me happy.
>
> Vamp

M ost modern digital cellular phones use a5 encryption i belive , this
is quite secure although not toally and it takes even the Gov's a LONG time
to get anywhere with it

eavsdropper

1655. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowerfs@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7f838m$qc5$0@207.18.224.112>
Source record: 121863

On 15 Apr 1999 22:00:25 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> If there is a legal defense fund set up it will be structured in such as
>way that Bill cannot touch the money. It will be set up as a non-interest
>bearing trust account in a bank, with a bank officer as the trustee. The

Again I ask, what is the purpose of it being non-interest bearing?

I have no problem with the fund, just cannot understand your
reasoning here about the interest.

1656. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22441-371782A6-34@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121864

No...not at all illegal!
In fact, next time you hear a good call... just go to the scene and tap your friendly public servant on the shoulder. Show them your scanner and tell them that you heard the call and came to help.
Don't be surprised if they hand a fire hose or maybe even a shotgun and say "Cover me"! They will welcome you with open arms...and if you do a good job, you might get to drive a squad car or the big red fire truck, with all the pretty lights and sirens, back to the station. You will get an honorary badge and become the MC at this years 4th of July parade. A plaque with your name on it will be mounted at the Town Hall, right next to the Mayors picture, for all to see.

Sure...Go for it!!!

1657. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f856d$qe3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

R R M Tweek wrote in message ...
>Did cheeck mention on his site that the (X)-level decoders could decode
>"MDT" transmissions, or "protected MDT" transmissions?
His site simply mentions MDT as one of the many protocols you could decode
from this device.

>Is it illegal to
>receive and decode ALL "MDT" transmissions, or just some of a particular
>nature?

As the current law stands, ONLY encrypted comms (like the ARDIS Net) is off
limits (and incidentally, NOT decodeable by the kit offered by Bill.)

-Phil

1658. Re: Discriminator Mod for BC220/230XLT handheld???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f85eoqho1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121866

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7f3ajt$8q6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>Asked before, but all I got was a sea of blank faces. So what the hell, I'm
>asking again.
>
>I've scoured the search engines for such a thing, but it was no help, and
>the IC listed on Bill Cheeks list doesn't seem to work.
>
>If anyone knows the magic spot, please post it here for everyone. Thanks.
>

Just the same response I got last time.... Oh well! Guess I'll have to
figure it out on my own. I'll post it here when I find it.

Thanks anyway,

-Phil

1659. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990416162317.27332.00001537@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 121867

>From: bbowerfs@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
>
>Again I ask, what is the purpose of it being non-interest bearing?
>

Typically, banks will set up these "charity" trust accounts and waive all the fees if they aren't expected to pay interest. The fees on a normal trust account, particularly if a bank officer acts as trustee, can be substantial and could eat up all the donations if not a lot of people donate.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1660. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3717A03A.6355@erienet.net>
Source record: 121868

John Johnson wrote:
>
> No...not at all illegal!
> In fact, next time you hear a good call... just go to the scene and tap
> your friendly public servant on the shoulder. Show them your scanner and
> tell them that you heard the call and came to help.
> Don't be surprised if they hand a fire hose or maybe even a shotgun and
> say "Cover me"! They will welcome you with open arms...and if you do a
> good job, you might get to drive a squad car or the big red fire truck,
> with all the pretty lights and sirens, back to the station. You will get
> an honorary badge and become the MC at this years 4th of July parade. A
> plaque with your name on it will be mounted at the Town Hall, right next
> to the Mayors picture, for all to see.
>
> Sure...Go for it!!!

Hey John,

That's a great story but never happened to me yet. Maybe I'll get lucky in the furure. Imagine the fame and fortune I might get. In the meantime I will have to settle for just following the scanner to the scene and keeping quiet. Is it illegal? Probably, however all the newspapers and media people carry all kinds of scanners and use them on a regular basis to find their stories. Just use common sense. Do not interfere with any operations, stay out of the way and don't announce to the world that you have a scanner. Keep it low key and have fun.

You are not supposed to use your knowledge of a transmision for personal gain or profit or share it with anybody. When a journalist shows up with a scanner, a photographer, and an interview notebook on the scene of a fire and the photos and story show up in the daily news, what is that called? Looks like personal gain to me. The Newspapers gain and the Journalist getting paid to cover a story gains. When he or she get a paycheck at the end of the week, that is a personal gain. What gives?

Happy Scanning
Rick

1661. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3717A19D.BFD4134D@netxn.com>
Source record: 121869

You are just the unhappy person aren't you ... sorry that your life is
that bad that you have to take it out on others ...

Mike

"J. Phillips" wrote:
>
> Oh, I'm sorry. By news, I meant a real news format. Televised news. Not
> that local trivial drab written in some rag.
>
> Pssst. Who's that behind you?! Oh, maybe it's nothing. Or is it......
>
> On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 00:56:32 -0700, Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I guess you need to learn how to read a newspaper. There was an article
> >about Bill"s arrest in The San Diego Reader today. Isn't that a local
> >paper for Bill's area???? Maybe you need to learn how important this
> >case really is for scanner hobbiests !!!
> >
> >Just my 2cents ...
> >
> >
> >Mike Derryberry
> >
> >
> >
> >"J. Phillips" wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
> >> notches and back to reality.
> >>
> >> He hasn't even made the news in his own area. Nor will he. Pretty important
> >> case.

1662. Re: Ecouteurs sans frontieres :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: taboo
Message-ID: <282@itnlinx.win-uk.net>
Source record: 121870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <371658aa.1161994@news.giganews.com>, radioscanner (radioscanner@chez
>On Wed, 14 Apr 1999 01:19:27 +0100, Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
>wrote:
>
>>Just trying to compile details of the laws regarding radio receivers in
>>some European countries.... Here is what I have so far, can anyone
>>correct mistakes or help with filling in details from other countries?
>>
>>Country Legal position
>...
>>
>>FR - posession of both scanners and HF RX *forbidden*!
>> - severe penalties for getting caught listening
>> - encryption of public safety radio traffic relatively
>> uncommon [only used by mil traffic]
>>
>the possession of a scanner is criminal , HF rx is forbiden
>
>the selling of an HF rx is legal but not the possession , there is a
>problem in the law ...
>do you know an HF rx with only ham and broadcast bands in rx ?? me no.
>
>in somes Cities police use digital transmissions (Lyon) the
>gendarmerie too (in somes regions only) , phones are all in digital
>(GSM)
>

I am going to France this year on holiday. Does this mean that I
cannot listen to the BBC World Service?

--
T. Ashley Booth G8DPH
"Far away is close at hand in images of elsewhere"

1663. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990416170235.23372.00001554@ng65.aol.com>
Source record: 121871

Heck Mike, if the story were on local TV he'd say it wasn't important 'cause it wasn't on CNN.

>From: Michael Derryberry
>You are just the unhappy person aren't you ... sorry that your life is
>that bad that you have to take it out on others

In reference to:

>J. Phillips" wrote:
>>
>> Oh, I'm sorry. By news, I meant a real news format. Televised news. Not
>> that local trivial drab written in some rag.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1664. Ref: Esn Readers Cats Clips Magic Boxes!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfmcom123@aol.comhitachi
Message-ID: <19990416165922.00329.00002024@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 121872

http://members.aol.com/mfmcom123/index.htm
MFM Commuications Leading
cellular supplyer

1665. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwestfal@silicon.csci.csusb.edu
Message-ID: <7f87bo$vi@news.csus.edu>
Source record: 121873

> ... some scanner enthusiasts think they have a right to pry into their neighbors
> affairs.

In my opinion, listening to un-encrypted, in-the-clear radio communications is not much different than overhearing (and even paying attention to) a conversation in a restaurant between two people in the next booth over. Is this "prying"? Maybe, but prudence dictates that you don't go a-blabbin' things you don't want others to hear in public places. The radio waves had always been considered public till the ECPA of '82.

The ECPA is analogous to making it illegal to listen to conversations in public places that can be clearly heard by anyone with ears. Further, the ECPA makes it illegal to own ears that are capable of overhearing certain classes of conversations, even if they're inherently non-private.

> Is there a right to privacy?

Sure there is.
Is there a law against closing your curtains before getting undressed?
But if you leave the curtains wide open, do you have a "right", or even a reasonable expectation of privacy?

If the emperor wears his "new clothes" out in the street, does he have a right to have his underwear not seen? Should you be prosecuted if you do see his underwear when you look in his direction? Should you even be allowed to look in his direction?

What was the whole point of the story of the emperor's new clothes, anyway?
The emperor wears his new clothes when it is thought that unencrypted communications are "private".

> Another issue is whether a citizen has the right to monitor sensitive
> information on government communication systems.

That's like asking whether I have the right to listen to top secret information of concern to national security. It depends. Has the precaution of encryption been taken? Or is the information being blabbed out on the street corner?

Mike Westfall N6KUY
odc.net!mwestfal
http://odc.net/~mwestfal
Linux religious dogma: "The Gates of Hell shall not prevail."

1666. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21940-37179E8F-39@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121874

Well if you want to be as secure as possible and still remain with a cordless phone, spread spectrum is the most realistic way to go.
If money is no object (Lucky you!), then you may want to look at the new 2.4GHz spread spectrum cordless phones. I saw one that I liked, but it had a $350 price tag on it...Hey! Gotta couple (hundred) bucks that I could borrow?

1667. Re: REQ: '99 Blue Angels Freqs -- Thanks!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: beavis@butthead.com
Message-ID: <3717ae87.29460480@news.mem.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 121875

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 00:27:17 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:

George-
Thanks for the link!
SoundMan

>For both the Blue Angels and Thunder Bird freqs check out
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios I just put up lists for both. And these
>are pretty current freqs.
>
>Thanks,
>George
>--
>____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
>____
>

1668. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoECM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2784-3717AA40-26@newsd-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121876

What about those voice scrambling phones.I cant understand a damn thing
they say and they only run around $40.

1669. Are there any UK newsgroups re Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: fb18 <fb18@dial.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <3717B84B.6F64F8C1@dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 121877

Have looked at the group but it is US dominated. Where do yoy finf UK info please?. I have afriend who requires frequencies for UK auto racing/rally events.
Anybody help?

1670. Re: Discriminator Mod for BC220/230XLT handheld???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7f8dfekhj0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 121878

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote in message <7f3ajt$8q6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
> >Asked before, but all I got was a sea of blank faces. So what the hell, I'm
> >asking again.
> >
> >I've scoured the search engines for such a thing, but it was no help, and
> >the IC listed on Bill Cheeks list doesn't seem to work.
> >
> >If anyone knows the magic spot, please post it here for everyone. Thanks.
> >
>
> Just the same response I got last time.... Oh well! Guess I'll have to
> figure it out on my own. I'll post it here when I find it.
>
> Thanks anyway,
>
> -Phil

Hi Phil, I found a web page that has some info.
http://members.aol.com/noobody/discrim.htm

Here is what I found on that list:

BC-220XLT MC3361BD IC-1 9 *
* Not personally verified by me

That's from Bill Cheek's list.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

1671. police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com>
Message-ID: <3717A9F9.E0115EFF@americasm01.nt.com>
Source record: 121879

Read on..

Bill Cheek has been fiddling around with radio equipment and monitoring the airwaves in one way or another since the 1950s, when,
as a kid, he pried open a brand-new transistor receiver, tinkered with
the insides, and managed to pull in a signal from a station in faraway
Quito, Ecuador.

As Cheek grew up, his fascination with the frequencies grew too. During the 1960s, he moved into VHF and UHF monitoring, and when the first commercial police scanners hit the market in the 1970s,
Cheek began listening in on law-enforcement communications. In time, the Mira Mesa man became one of the country's better-known scanner enthusiasts. Since 1991, Cheek has written, edited, and published The World Scanner Report, a monthly newsletter containing "the hottest, rarest, juiciest, inside stuff about VHF-UHF scanner technology, engineering and operation that you ever saw in your life,"
according to WSR marketing materials.

Cheek is nothing if not passionate about his hobby. "It turns me on,"
he tells would-be WSR subscribers on his website, "to point a big ear
into the heartbeat of government, law enforcement, military, space, business, commerce, and politics: COMMUNICATIONS. You too? If you're serious about radio, you and I are stepping to the beat of the
same drummer."

Last month, that passion got Cheek a lot closer to law enforcement than he ever wanted. In late March, federal agents arrested Cheek and
his wife Cindy at their Mira Mesa home on a complaint out of the Eastern District of New York charging the couple with conspiring to use interstate commerce "to distribute prohibited electronic communication intercepting devices."

In an e-mail sent to fellow radio enthusiasts this week, Cheek recounted the arrest.

"At about 7:00 a.m. on Wednesday, March 31, 1999, Cindy and I were enjoying our first cup of coffee of the day when there came a loud banging on the door. We supposed it to be an early-morning overnight FedEx or UPS delivery, so I answered the door.

"A badge was shoved in my face with the announcement that there was a warrant for Cindy's and my arrests and for a search of the premises. I stepped back and a dozen (or so) armed agents from the U.S. Secret Service, FBI, Customs, Postal Inspectors, and even a local
cop or two charged in.

"I was handcuffed and put on the couch. Cindy groggily wandered into the area wondering what the commotion was all about and was handcuffed.

"[The] next two hours were a typical TV-style search & seizure situation. Then we were hauled off to be fingerprinted and jailed.

"Since the warrant was issued by a judge in [New York], Cindy and I apparently have to be tried there. It doesn't matter that we can't afford
to travel to and fro, coast-to-coast; and that we have no peers in New
York (I've never set foot in the state of New York....) The fact is...we'll have to go to New York for the indictment hearing and for
the trial, should it go that far. That's the bleak side of it; that coupled
with the fact that we can't afford ...to hire competent attorneys who
are skilled in electronic communications law...."

According to the complaint, the Cheeks sold a team of undercover New York police five kits over the Internet. The kits unscramble the
encrypted messages that pass between mainframe computers at police and fire departments and those onboard computers, or mobile data terminals (MDT), you see in the front seats of police cars these days.

The kits, the complaint alleges, "are primarily useful for the purpose
of the surreptitious interception of electronic communications, to wit:
mobile data terminal information broadcast by law enforcement agencies and emergency service organizations, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2512 (1) (a)."

The crackdown, radio buffs insist, is a big mistake. "These decoders
you can build at home, and you can use them to monitor legal things,

like ham radio and weather pictures that are transmitted over the air,"
says Lindsay Blanton, a Dallas-based scanning authority and a friend
of the Cheeks. "That's what a lot of people use these interfaces for. So
the interfaces themselves aren't illegal. What Bill might have gotten
himself in trouble for is that when he sold this interface, he said, you
know, this interface can be used to decode MDT, too. And that may be
where he got himself in trouble. But honestly, I think this is overkill."

U.S. law enforcement began moving some of their communications to MDT back in the 1980s, in an effort to put information about surveillance, ongoing investigations, and other sensitive matters out
of earshot of eavesdropping criminals. The transmissions still went out across the airwaves, but to anyone listening in on a regular police
scanner, they sounded just like a bunch of electronic beeps, whistles,
and screeches, the kind of noises a fax machine makes.

For scanner enthusiasts, the move to MDT took a lot of the fun out of
the hobby -- and created something of a challenge for them. As the Cheeks say on their website (www.comtronics.net), "The hell of it is,
there is getting to be less and less to hear out there on the airwaves,
but at the same time there is more and more to decode! Instead of having to give up radio and take up knitting or crocheting there is every reason to dig deeper into radio."

To help their fellow enthusiasts "dig deeper," the Cheeks posted free
instructions on their website on how to build a so-called "data decoder interface," a circuit made from parts readily available at Radio Shack, which transformed the MDT tones the scanner picked up into a series of ones and zeroes. When the decoder and scanner were hooked up to a computer loaded with the right software -- software anonymously written but widely available around the Internet -- users' computer screens would begin filling up with the unencrypted text of the intercepted transmissions. Pretty cool.

For radio buffs who didn't want to "roll their own," the Cheeks offered a fully assembled and tested data decoder interface with a 3.5-inch floppy disk containing the software for $48, delivered.

Many radio buffs, including Blanton, insist that every item in the kit
was either legal or, in the case of the decoding program, freely
available on the Web. What seems to have irked the feds and caused
them to haul the Cheeks into court was the instruction sheet the
couple included with the kit, which the government alleges,
"explicitly advises users about decoding MDT data and states that
COMMtronics would 'leave it up to you (the purchaser) to copy your
friends and neighbors driver history information as the traffic cop
feeds in their personal data.' "

Of course, intercepting data communications like that without
authorization is illegal under the Electronic Communications Privacy
Act, the 1986 law that also requires government agents to obtain a
court order to get access to electronic communications. And in the
complaint filed against the Cheeks, the government talks a lot about
the "highly sensitive information" that is transmitted by MDT,
including details about suspects' criminal histories, victims' medical
records, as well as DMV data.

But cops have been known to use MDT technology for other kinds of
communications. In 1991, the case against the Los Angeles police
officers accused of beating Rodney King got a big boost when it was
learned that computer messages sent via MDT by officers involved in
the King arrest included racial slurs and frank admissions of excessive
force. These included boasts like, "I haven't beaten anyone this bad in
a long time," as well as a description of a domestic dispute involving
African-Americans that compared the incident to something "right
out of Gorillas in the Mist." (The officer who received the message
responded: "HaHaHaHa. Let me guess who be the parties.")

"It's interesting," says Blanton. "I think one of the key reasons [the
Cheeks were indicted] is because when the cops use the MDTs, they
think of it as a secure connection, where they can talk to other officers
without anybody else hearing or monitoring. They believe that the
public can't listen to them. Now that people can listen to them,
they're really getting bent out of shape. It's a real shame. I think
they're really overreacting.

"I am worried about it," Blanton continues. "I am worried about the
government coming after me because I have all the plans for building
those interfaces on my website. There's nothing illegal about that.

But
I don't have the time or energy to devote to defending myself
legally
if that comes up. I've thought about just taking down my website.
But
that's probably exactly what they want."

On their website, the Cheeks warned potential kit buyers that they
were "Not responsible/liable for illegal use of this information
and/or
these products; nor for the consequences thereof. You must
determine
the lawfulness of these products for your applications and not use
them if illegal. Use of this information and/or these products is
100
percent exclusively at your own risk."

The Cheeks appear to have come to the attention of federal
authorities
in New York after Keith Knipschild, an unemployed electronics
enthusiast from Long Island, posted intercepted MDT
communications from Nassau County on his website. It's not clear
whether Knipschild was a customer of the Cheeks', but his actions
were not very smart.

"Posting that stuff on the Internet was a big no-no," says Blanton.

According to a story in Newsday, "Knipschild's website had 93 pages
of police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal
histories and warrants, motor-vehicle checks, medical information
about victims, and witness statements. Nassau police confirmed the
information was transmitted by police on that day."

The Cheeks' arrest, Newsday reported, was "a related case."

Neither the Cheeks nor their lawyers, Howard Frank and Lynn Ball,
wanted to talk last week about the charges. "I don't think I have
any
comment," Frank says. "The case is brand new to me, and I just
don't
know enough yet to make an intelligent comment. It would just be
stupid to say something now when I don't know what's going on."

But scanner buffs said the arrest sent a chill through their
community
-- at least in the United States.

"There were a number of individuals who were running Web pages to
help disseminate that software that could decode pagers or MDT,"
says Blanton. "What's happened is that now that folks have realized
that Bill has been arrested for this, everybody got scared and
pulled
the information. There's still one individual in the U.K., who, of

course, is not subject to U.S. laws, who has posted these
applications
on his Web page. But anyone in the U.S. who goes to his page
[www.kmed70.free serve. co.uk/ kmed70/software.htm]and downloads
is probably doing something illegal."

1672. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAB1o7.9qn@netcom.com>
Source record: 121880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>R R M Tweek wrote in message ...
>>Did cheeck mention on his site that the (X)-level decoders could decode
>>"MDT" transmissions, or "protected MDT" transmissions?
>His site simply mentions MDT as one of the many protocols you could decode
>from this device.

That's what I saw too.

>>Is it illegal to
>>receive and decode ALL "MDT" transmissions, or just some of a particular
>>nature?
>
>As the current law stands, ONLY encrypted comms (like the ARDIS Net) is off
>limits (and incidentally, NOT decodeable by the kit offered by Bill.)

That's what I thought too.

Someone restrain me before I attempt to bait Tom any further.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1673. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3717c80a.3001765@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121881

The Panasonic 2.4Ghz phone uses analog 900Mhz from the phone to the base. so it can be monitored somewhat.

Do a http://www.dejanews.com search for the thread from a few months ago. you can't miss it since it was very long.

My Uniden 9100 DSS spreads the signal 5Mhz wide which should make it nearly impossible to monitor. The also had newer DSS unit that was on sale for $80 last month.

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 15:31:25 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>Remote phone?
>I'm not familiar with that term.
>As far as cordless phones, a 2.4Ghz, digital spread spectrum phone is =
>about as secure
>as you can get without voice scrambling.
>99% of scanners don't even go up to 2Ghz so that excludes the majority.
>For those who do have the equipment to pick up your signal, it will be =
>hopping around
>so fast between frequencies in the 2.4Ghz range that they couldn't lock =
>onto it for more than
>maybe 1000'th of a second.
>On the other hand, everything still goes back to your analog telephone =
>line which means that
>your fancy cordless phone won't keep enforcement from knowing what you =
>are saying if they have
>a wire tap on you, which is where proprietary encryption between two or =
>more sites could come into play.
>
>> What is the most secure remote phone available?
>
>

1674. Millington, TN Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13638-3717C9BF-70@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121882

If anyone is going to the Millington, TN airshow
on Saturday, April 17 and would be interested in
meeting, please send me a message prior to 0400
CST on Saturday morning.

I will be transmitting on CB Channel 1 (26.965) and
FRS Channel 1 (462.5625).

Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

UHF-VHF-HF Aircraft
Callsign Identification
Air Refueling

Save America, Evict Clinton!

1675. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <3717E0B1.2921@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 121883

EAVSDROPPER wrote:
> M ost modern digital cellular phones use a5 encryption i belive , this
> is quite secure although not toally and it takes even the Gov's a LONG time
> to get anywhere with it

They have a common algorythm but the name escapes me. I read an article about how easy these things are to intercept and decode by the government agencies. Apparently they all use the same chipset and there are "only" 64,000 possible codes. With the power of computers today, these agencies are simply loading all 64,000 codes into the computer and then figuring out which one it is. Once it's found, it's not going to change during the conversation. Some use the same code for life.

1676. federal way wa. frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbe <jbelan@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3717EA78.B2C21677@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121884

anyone out there know the frequencies (police,fire,ect.)
for the city of federal way washington?
thanks in advance john

1677. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <3717F0D5.512EFF07@albany.net>
Source record: 121885

John:

He asked if it was legal to show up at a scene after hearing a call on his scanner, not whether or not it was smart. As long as he breaks no laws or does not get in the way of the ongoing operations, it is legal. If it weren't the police would be busting 20-30 people at every structure fire we responded to. And just for the record, we have had bystanders who lived close by make us coffee or bring us cold drinks during the course of a fire before our auxiliary could respond and it was very appreciated.

Joe

John Johnson wrote:
>
> No...not at all illegal!
> In fact, next time you hear a good call... just go to the scene and tap
> your friendly public servant on the shoulder. Show them your scanner and
> tell them that you heard the call and came to help.
> Don't be surprised if they hand a fire hose or maybe even a shotgun and
> say "Cover me"! They will welcome you with open arms...and if you do a
> good job, you might get to drive a squad car or the big red fire truck,
> with all the pretty lights and sirens, back to the station. You will get
> an honorary badge and become the MC at this years 4th of July parade. A
> plaque with your name on it will be mounted at the Town Hall, right next
> to the Mayors picture, for all to see.
>
> Sure...Go for it!!!

1678. WiNRADiO 1500E @ eBay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "skaatzX{remove X to reply}"@ibm.net
Message-ID: <3717F9F6.66B18E77@ibm.net>
Source record: 121886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WiNRADiO 1500E with Digital Suite Software...2 days left!

Visit http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=89943427

Regards,

Steve Kaatz

1679. recomend a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jacobo Bertran Lopez-Ibor <jbertranm@seorl.org>
Message-ID: <37166290.DFCD6B11@seorl.org>
Source record: 121906

Iï¿½m interested in buying a new scanner , I dont mind if it is a based
or hanndle scanner . The range of the frequencies I need is between
100Khz and 2000Mhz with no gaps in it. I would also need to know where
is a good place to buy it. As I live in Spain , i need the web page to
try to connect from here.
Thank you very much
Reply to my e mail : jbertranm@seorl.org
Jacobo Bertran

1680. Re: 3 scanner 4 sale IC R1/aor3000/pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7f5s6k$q1f@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121907

Makw up your mind...do you have 3 or 4 scanners for sale?!?!

Or just three scanners, with four for sale, but not any of the
three you cared to list?

1681. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415201256.23734.00001223@ng68.aol.com>
Source record: 121908

>
>If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
>notches and back to reality.

Cheek's case, by itself, isn't all that important, but if you don't think it could be a sign of things to come then you are in for a rude surpirse. Did you see the posting "Letter from Uniden?" Why do you think they have no plans to produce an ASTRO compatible radio? Could it be, perhaps, because the government considers all digital modes protected? I don't know, but it sure looks like that's a possibility.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1682. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990415200930.23734.00001222@ng68.aol.com>
Source record: 121909

>And JTWard wants to ride his coat-tails.
>PAthetic.
>

I'm not trying to, nor do I need to, ride ANYBODY's coat tail. As far as the reference to the other fellow's mother, it was satyrical. I don't know if Bill's got any kids, for that matter, but if someone doesn't want to donate fine, but they shouldn't use some excuse about the way Bill manages money when they have no idea of his financial situation.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1683. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <BnvR2.820$bB3.1146@news.flash.net>
Source record: 121910

In article <SBcR2.26$Ps.8166@nntp1>, robertlang@nac.net says...
>
>Hell, bad laws DESERVE to be broken.
>Rob Lang
>KC2EMO
Sometime ago I wrote a small editorial on my thoughts regarding cell phones.
Take a look at it at this url http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/celledt.htm

Thanks,
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--- Lots of current freqs!
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1684. Re: REQ: '99 Blue Angels Freqs -- Thanks!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <FtvR2.824$bB3.1146@news.flash.net>
Source record: 121911

For both the Blue Angels and Thunder Bird freqs check out
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios I just put up lists for both. And these
are pretty current freqs.

Thanks,
George
--

____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
____

1685. Re: Looking for opinions for the Sony ICF-SC1 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <2FvR2.33$d33.178@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Absolutely... even if just for ease of programming!

Bill Crocker

Omega-1 <Omega-1@Area-51.Building-15.siq> wrote in message
news:371776a2.14079874@enews.newsguy.com...
> Is the PC version worth the extra $40?
>
> thanks
> Omega-1

1686. I found this it might be of use ? jvcomm32 :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: me <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <7f63sahgk1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

its up to you to use it ?

http://members.xoom.com/_XOOM/mortal40/appzcrk_jr.htm

i

1687. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFA9E8q.M14@netcom.com>
Source record: 121914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote:
>This is like making tic-tac breath mints illegal because someone could =
>slip
>and fall on one resulting in the tic-tac poking their eye out.

Is it? Or is it ore like outlawing tic-tacs because someone could
shove them up their nose? Not an illegal action, but quite distastefull.

Did cheeck mention on his site that the (X)-level decoders could decode
"MDT" transmissions, or "protected MDT" transmissions? Is it illegal to
receive and decode ALL "MDT" transmissions, or just some of a particular
nature?

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1688. Desert racing freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <371694eb.26611821@news.lvcm.com>
Source record: 121915

I'm looking for any frequencies used by off-road race
teams. Any help would be appreciated.

Joe O'Neill

remove "(" and ")" to reply.

1689. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37179eff.5262739@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121916

I've tried several different antennas with those phones.

The best was a tv antenna turned sideways. Height approxr 25 feet.

Now using a grove beam antenna, as it is well made, get my police and aircraft . Cordless phone receptition on it is lousy, and I don't want cordless reception. I do get quite a few cellular, even though the bc895xlt is cell blocked.

As for distance of cordless phone, my 800 and 900 cordless phones have the longest range. Much further than 46mhz, old obsolete ones.

I've never been able to get more than 100-300 yards for those lower freq, 46. Really, a half mile? Sure, I believe it.

I have 3 scanners.

Just my own experience.

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 11:19:57 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:

>With name like Lethal Lextor, what can I say. Anyway, what I said in my
>previous post is not only absolutely true and fact, but others can easily
>verify it. It is no well kept secret, nor am I the only one that has ever
>said it and meant it. Cordless phones can easily be picked up over some
>distance, and for that matter when I had a Channel Master "Monitenna"
>40ft up on top of a roof, I used to receive phones approximately 1 mile
>away with the occasional use of a Grove Preamp as an aid. You can believe
>what you like. I would be very surprised to hear that my wire idea didn't
>work even for you!
>

1690. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415225423.28388.00001159@ng29.aol.com>
Source record: 121917

> I missed exactly why Cheek selling his books here obligates the group to
>bail him out now.

Certainly no one is obligated to help Bill, or anyone else for that matter. But I think in this case it has little to do with your personal feelings for Bill. As radio hobbyists our rights have been systimatically whittled away at since 1986. At the behest of the CTIA and Mr Wheeler we have been portrayed as bunch of techno-voyeristic stalkers. I'm sure that many would love to see us limited to AM and FM broadcast band with every thing else being "verboten" .

Providing the backing for a proper court fight and getiing a judge to say enough is in all of our best interests. Besides no one was forced to buy his books, but damn if I didn't get my moneys worth and then some.

Larry

1691. Re: FS BC200 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990415235745.13050.00000848@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 121918

DON ; hi just saw your ad .

is there any chance that you have the service manual for the 20 / 20 .

i need a copy . let me know .

WALT , waterbury , ct .

1692. Re: FS: Viking 10 Hour Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <KszR2.509$4F.40@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 121919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes. I just checked it and it works.

TvE wrote in message <7f4g4r$6sg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Hi,
>
> Is www.vikingint.com correct?. I couln't acces it.
>
>Tom
>-=-
>
>
>In article <jwVQ2.8431$oD5.4828@news.rdc1.va.home.com>,
> "Don Stegner" <dstegner@home.com> wrote:
>> "Built like a battleship"! Heavy duty commercial recorder with built in
>> voice activation. Records up to 10 hours on a single 120 min cassette
tape.
>> for more information see www.vikingint.com . Lists for $189. Asking
$100.
>> Brand new condition. Email if interested. dstegner@home.com
>>
>>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1693. FS: Realistic pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416005728.22927.00001393@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121920

6400 channels in good condition $225.00 shipped.

Greg

1694. FS: Yaesu FT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416005843.22927.00001394@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121921

The ultimate dual band ht with hardware mod. Clean shape
with 2 batteries and original accessories $280.00 shipped.

Greg

1695. FS: Bearcat 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416005932.22927.00001396@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121922

New condition with charger, case, manual $160.00 shipped.

Greg

1696. FA: AR8000 UK Model with Optolinx and many mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <pGzR2.510$4F.69@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 121923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

see http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=91821549

1697. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3716d5f6.12743662@news>
Source record: 121924

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 21:48:44 GMT, nospam@here.com wrote:

>Sorry but you seemed to misunderstand my post. Yes I wrote "I would
>not give money to someone who can not handle their own
>finances properly." but as I also said, I do not know how this Bill
>Cheek handles his money.
>
>If someone (anyone) can't manage money, I would never give to that
>person. They would probably just squander that away too.

Some thoughts:

Were you to contribute to a defense fund for the Cheeks, no matter how poorly they manage their funds they could not "squander" your gift - such funds are held in escrow and can *only* be used for legal bills. Any excess funds can be donated to charity.

Also, even if you hate Bill Cheek, you should still consider donating because the outcome of this case could very well end up setting a very important legal precedent. Thus, by helping to arrange for competent legal representation for the Cheeks, you are in reality helping yourself and everyone else interested in the scanning hobby.

1698. Re: Looking for opinions for the Sony ICF-SC1 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3716d8c2.13460025@news>
Source record: 121925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 23:33:30 GMT, Omega-1@Area-51.Building-15.siq
(Omega-1) wrote:

>Is the PC version worth the extra $40?

Absolutely!

1699. FA Box, no rocks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3716e403.4885494@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121926

Header says most of it, rest is here.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=91432154

Happy Scanning... LCM

1700. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3716ED30.5C2061D1@netxn.com>
Source record: 121927

I guess you need to learn how to read a newspaper. There was an article about Bill"s arrest in The San Diego Reader today. Isn't that a local paper for Bill's area???? Maybe you need to learn how important this case really is for scanner hobbiests !!!

Just my 2cents ...

Mike Derryberry

"J. Phillips" wrote:
>
>
> If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
> notches and back to reality.
>
> He hasn't even made the news in his own area. Nor will he. Pretty important
> case.

1701. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Doorey <doorey@tac.com.au>
Message-ID: <924252089.785936@slave.tac.com.au>
Source record: 121928
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Doesn't mean people won't still listen

1702. FS: Full R10 & Ver A102 VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37170507.ADAC9A86@bv.net>
Source record: 121929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have the two items for sale: Postal MO only, prepaid or COD cash

Icom R10 Scanner with NO GAPS. Includes all acessories plus case. $375.00

Yaesu VX-1R Unblocked A102 version with 2 Li batteries and case. $250.00

Let me know if interested...

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1703. Busted Scanners/Cheek article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3717131F.7BF830B7@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 121930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Fellow Enthusiasts,
Stop by my site for a link to this San Diego
Reader article.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1704. Re: Busted Scanners/Cheek article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37171831.523C9920@uswest.net>
Source record: 121931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Interesting website...
the site dedicated to the scanner decoder software is unique as well :0

Looks like the internet might prove a more forceful solution than the Us
Government can Handle.

Hawke

Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote:

> Fellow Enthusiasts,
> Stop by my site for a link to this San Diego
> Reader article.
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

1705. NEEDED NYC FIRE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: firecomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416080502.08494.00001268@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 121932

HI

LOOKING FOR THE PL TONES FOR THE NYC FIRE DEPT'S.

154.370
154.400
154.250
154.190

OR ANY OTHER PL TONES FOR NYC FIRE.

THANK YOU
VINNIE

1706. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <37172047.1894614@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121933

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 00:09:04 GMT, bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu (Benji)
wrote:

>On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 22:08:12 GMT, jphil388@aol.com (J. Phillips)
>wrote:
>
>>> Less venom in your underwear would do you good. What did he
>>>ever do to hurt you?
>>
>>The guy's a total asswipe. Why would I want to give $.01 to an asswipe?
>>
>>He'll be selling off his hardware piece by piece to afford a 1st year law
>>student.
>
>
> Not to be rude, but calling him an asswipe doesn't tell me why
>he's a bad guy....
>

Go to dejanews and look up and read his posts from the last year. Go back
several years if you want. They speak for themselves.

1707. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jphil388@aol.com
Message-ID: <37182096.1973660@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121934

Oh, I'm sorry. By news, I meant a real news format. Televised news. Not that local trivial drab written in some rag.

Pssst. Who's that behind you?! Oh, maybe it's nothing. Or is it......

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 00:56:32 -0700, Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:

>I guess you need to learn how to read a newspaper. There was an article
>about Bill"s arrest in The San Diego Reader today. Isn't that a local
>paper for Bill's area???? Maybe you need to learn how important this
>case really is for scanner hobbiests !!!
>
>Just my 2cents ...
>
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>
>
>"J. Phillips" wrote:
>>
>>
>> If you think Cheek's case is this important, you need to come down a few
>> notches and back to reality.
>>
>> He hasn't even made the news in his own area. Nor will he. Pretty important
>> case.

1708. FA Motorola HT600 VHF 6ch DTMF FINAL DAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416082547.05435.00001225@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 121935

ebay item # 89263200

Thanks!

1709. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3taw@aol.comAntiSpam
Message-ID: <19990416085039.05054.00001328@ng137.aol.com>
Source record: 121936

The term felony means that the law
is a federal govt law. I may not be the
sharpest pencil in the box, but
federal laws cover all states, not
individual ststes or cities. And from
my past experience a cop will tell
you any kind of fairy tale that he
wants you to believe. Remember
cops are like everyone else, they
have there fair share of morons,
asshole's and misfits.

1710. Re: Long Beach Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <371943D9.C8654C93@dnai.com>
Source record: 121687

well this is what my Radio Shack book says the freq's are . . .
Franchitti 466.5375
Andretti 461.2875, 468.3625, 468.2250
Papis 467.7500, 463.3500, 467.8000, 468.8125
Moore 466.8875
Kanaan 469.3125, 469.2125, 467.3125
Tracy 466.3250

Some other freq's listed are
Competition 457.0125
Operations 457.1875
Pace Cars 451.5250
Safety 451.8125

Whyme wrote:

> Anyone have the frequencies for the L.B.G.P.? Particularly interested in
> Franchetti and Andretti. Have Papis, Moore, Tracy and Kanaan and will share
> if you need them. Thanks

1711. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924387331.872382@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

My apologies Max, I know that Hamcomms are perfectly legal, but it scares me to think of the "authorities" using Bill Cheek as an example of why they should be made illegal.

Digitaal

Max Imo wrote in message ...
>Who told you that you could not make a HamCom decoder? The plans are
>published widely, ready made units are sold freely, and they are used quite
>a bit to receive SSTV and WEFAX transmissions on a regular basis. Your
>question may be rhetorical but apparently your information about the HamCom
>interface is incorrect.
>
>
>DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:924341155.557447@axilla.wwnet.net...
>> Hey are aliens in outer space also barred from listening to cell phone
>> calls, and making their own HamComm decoders?
>>
>> Digitaal
>>
>>
>
>

1712. Trade: Pro-43 for Pro-2004 or 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fbk08$b5f$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121689

The Pro-43 is in excellent condition with cellular mod and low band mod. Includes antenna and copy of manual. No box. All functions work 100%.

The Pro-2004 must have cellular modification done and backlight must be fully bright. Same goes for the 2005.

Reason for trade: I already have a hand-held scanner and want a good tabletop.

1713. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <371954CB.53B5FB11@netxn.com>
Source record: 121690

You just don't get it, do you ??? We as scanner listeners have enough problems with the government right now and really don't need idiots like you causing more problems ...

I suggest you go back and re-read the law. You can listen but not disclose what you hear. Nobody is going to care if you talk to your neighbors about what you hear, but posting it to the internet is something else all together ...

Open your eyes and ears and look and listen to what is happening ... do we need more problems ??? or do you really care ???

Mike Derryberry

FxBxIsnoop wrote:
>
> First, there is no law, including the Communications Act of 1934, which
> expressly forbids disclosing what you hear. Second, the government is aware
> that there are several websites which offer downloads of wav files of police
> radio traffic. Third, the Federal government is aware that thousands of people
> monitor their frequencies daily. There are books in bookstores which list all
> Federal agencies frequencies. If they were all that concerned, they would use
> the secure (scrambled) mode instead of speaking in the clear.

1714. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3719552D.2EC6EB1F@netxn.com>
Source record: 121691

You just don't get it, do you ??? We as scanner listeners have enough problems with the government right now and really don't need idiots like you causing more problems ...

I suggest you go back and re-read the law. You can listen but not disclose what you hear. Nobody is going to care if you talk to your neighbors about what you hear, but posting it to the internet is something else all together ...

Open your eyes and ears and look and listen to what is happening ... do we need more problems ??? or do you really care ???

Mike Derryberry

FxBxIsnoop wrote:
>
> First, there is no law, including the Communications Act of 1934, which
> expressly forbids disclosing what you hear. Second, the government is aware
> that there are several websites which offer downloads of wav files of police
> radio traffic. Third, the Federal government is aware that thousands of people
> monitor their frequencies daily. There are books in bookstores which list all
> Federal agencies frequencies. If they were all that concerned, they would use
> the secure (scrambled) mode instead of speaking in the clear.

1715. Re: WTB Mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3719575E.B4603054@netxn.com>
Source record: 121692

The Bearcat 760 doesn't follow trunked systems. You would want a Bearcat 895 for something like that, the 760 is a great scanner just not for trunked systems.

Mike Derryberry

billy wrote:
>
> Hello:
>
> Looking for a mobile scanner like a bearcat 760, or a good scanner
> to pick up trunked police system. Please e-mail.
>
> Thanks
> Bill

1716. Re: Audio Inversion Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <D_cS2.100$VM.13048@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121693

Radio Shack

JOEGIZJR <joegizjr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990417230548.14942.00002242@ng01.aol.com...
> I have an audio inverter "box" but I need to connect my 2006 to the box.
>
> Does anybody know where I can get a cable to connect 3.5mm on both ends ?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Joe

1717. Re: BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7fbmc3odj1@samsara0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121694

<< Where's the port?>>

It's on the back, hidden under a piece of black tape.

Save the tape for possible reinstallation.

<< Art >>

1718. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <37195E03.5B97F461@bwn.net>
Source record: 121695

Pro43 wrote:
>
> I just received this letter from EBAY tonight. I had an AOR 8000 listed. There
> are still 3 AOR 1000's and 2 AOR 8000's still on their site being auctioned.
> But, mine got booted. It is not modified it is stock-just for your info. Ive
> asked them how they decide to pull one and not another. I will wait to see if
> they are good enough to ansewer.
>
> The following auction(s):
>
> 91924925 AOR 8000 WIDE Range Recieving Scanner
>
> has been ended early. The content of your auction has been deemed
> inappropriate for
> inclusion in the eBay forum.
>
> Amps and scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay.
> Also scanners in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency
> used for cell scanners.

Interesting...cell is not on the 900MHz range, it's in the 800 MHz
range!
Gerry

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

1719. TELL ME IF THIS IS A GOOD BUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yo Yo Yo <buymeacar@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fbmhg$9ua$1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu>
Source record: 121696

Hi , I just bought this scanner from a auction on Ebay
its a Realistic Model # 20-403 Serial # 35064985 It covers frequency ranges
29-54, 108-136.975, 137-174, 406-512 MHz. 50 Channels with weather band. I
paid 66 dollars for it. Is that a good price? What all can I listen to on
it. Is there a way to modify to make it better or to listen to the cellular
band? Thanks. Please reply by email if possible

1720. RADIO REVOLUTION Bumber Sticker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <skdS2.114$VM.14599@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GET YOUR SCANNER REVOLUTION BUMPER STICKER NOW!

IT READS IN RED WHITE AND BLUE (sometimes purplish);

"WHEN FSK INTERFACES ARE OUTLAWED ONLY OUTLAWS WILL HAVE FSK INTERFACES"

$45.00 + 8.00 SHIPPING AND HANDLING
CASH / CASHIERS CHECK / MONEY ORDER ONLY

$1.00 FROM EVERY ORDER WILL BE DONATED TO THE SPRING BILL AND CINDY CHEEK
LEGAL DEFENSE FUND.

THE RESULTING PROFIT WILL BE USED TO FIGHT AGAINST BIG BROTHER BY PURCHASING
AS MANY SCANNERS / FSK INTERFACES/ AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS RADIO AND
DECODING DEVICES AS POSSIBLE.

HELP THE FIGHT AGAINST THE OPPRESSIVE GOVERNMENT JUGGERNAUT!

BUY YOUR BUMPER STICKER NOW!

SEND ME THE MONEY!

ORDER EARLY.....ORDER OFTEN.

GREAT GRADUATION GIFT / BELATED EASTER GIFT

PLEASE E-MAIL FOR ORDERING INFORMATION.

1721. Re: BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <37196D01.6E981356@dnai.com>
Source record: 121698

There is a port on the back but it comes shipped with a black sticker over it .
. .
Hard to miss . . . take another look

"David R. Ward" wrote:

> This is great because someone donated their time and effort to create this
> software and I, for one, am grateful. But at the risk (and I run this risk
> all the time) of sounding incredibly stupid - what gets us from the radio to
> the computer? Yes, I know a patch cord of some kind - but the 895 I just
> bought doesn't come with any dang port I can see. Somebody clue me in
> please.

1722. Cheap Bearcat 2500XLT batteries?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7fbrvf$44k$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121699

Anyone have a source less than $50?

I believe my battery is giving up the ghost....the battery light keeps blinking no matter how long I charge it...and am getting about an hour of use....

Karl
http://vistagroupusa.com/burbank.htm

1723. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <62B48C8D713D5002.3A1B961CD5B1C351.E98B2F2BB6E7ABB7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121700

Obstructing?!?
It went right through him!

> Take a look at NYS Penal Law Section 195 - Obstructing governmental
> administration.

1724. Re: Another stellar evening on 3.950 mHz SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <C8AF321D89990752.633D5F0975C726CA.C6A344A737168953@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Did you know that in these modern times, it is considered liberal to be racist?
It seems the majority of people are tight assed about racism now, which makes even
the old liberals more along the lines to conservative compared to us free thinkers who are
not afraid to admit that they prefer their race over others. ;)

1725. Re: MPT protocol decoder ariters needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <BB977C103248F966.A04C24DF522DDB0E.1D0AEA205588F3D7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

What is MPT?

1726. Re: Scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <37194D47.8EF82CC4@ktb.net>
Source record: 121703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner

Stephan Walther-Larsen wrote:
>
> Hi All..
>
> Bought this subject in Denmark and it was sold without a AC/DC
> adapter. Now i use another one but im not sure if that is okay.
The manual says: standard 5.5 mm outside diameter, 2.1 mm inside
diameter jack.
9-12 VDC, 500-1200 mA, center pin positive.

> How many hours of use do You get on a fully charged battery set ?
> Is the AC90 - Rapid Charger essential ?
I have not timed how many hours but a charge lasts me all day.
The AC90 that comes with the unit (9V 500mA) charges in about 90
minutes.
From the manual: to charge in less than an hour you need at least 1
amp.
Such as Radio Shack 273-1653. In a (12V) vehicle use 270-1562 set to
9V.
The unit will also get hot (sounds bad) when charged.

> I dont't know if this is a fault. But when it is connected to my 8200
> i ci-v pin needs very precise alignment. Anybody know how to fix is ?
Explain.

> Anyway - I absolutely love this little beaty :-)
me too!

Remove NULL from email address to reply.

1727. Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weiser Ambulance District <wad@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <01be891b$d07c9360$3213a1d1@default>
Source record: 121704

I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to? Quite frankly, I'm not that interested in MDT transmissions or encrypted communications because I don't figure it's really any of my business. There are plenty of other things to listen to that don't involved solving encryption problems, etc.
Maybe those of our compatriots in the hobby who figure they are entitled by some inherent right to listen to every transmission passing thought the air waves should simply stop and think rationally for a few seconds...are we really entitled [guaranteed a Constitutional right, perhaps] to listen to everything that is said? I doubt it!!
Big Unit

1728. FS-Unblocked scout-type frequency counter-NIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417112233.06005.00001376@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 121737

This is a Watson "UK" portable frequency counter. It covers from 10 MHZ -3 GHZ with NO GAPS. It is also capable of reaction tuning such scanners as the AR-8000, AR-8200...

This unit is a hand held counter, and works off an internal rechargeable battery pack. Its BRAND NEW in the box, with the manual, scanner reaction tune cable, and antenna.

Would consider offers around $275. I will consider trade for a shortwave radio, scanner or digital camera of comparable or greater value, in at least good condition. No junk please.

1729. Re: police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken brown <nitecrawler@home.com>
Message-ID: <3718A8C0.A83416FC@home.com>
Source record: 121738

the newsletter was called the 11meter repeater i have the whole thing

Curious George wrote:
>
> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 00:57:03 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
> Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
> >Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Bill Cheek has been fiddling around with radio equipment and
> >> monitoring the airwaves in one way or another since the 1950s,
> >>when,
> >> as a kid, he pried open a brand-new transistor receiver, tinkered
> >>with
> >> the insides, and managed to pull in a signal from a station in
> >>faraway
> >> Quito, Ecuador.
> >
> >All very interesting. Too bad the background puffery didn't mention
> >some of Cheeks' egregious hooliganism that he routinely indulged in
> >on-line, including many lengthy and heated screeds against the
> >government.
> >
> >Little if any of that was illegal, but it does put a whole different
> >light on the actions of this wannabee martyr.
> >
> >Personally, I hope the case gets dropped, because Cheek is about the
> >lousiest person I can think of to use in a test case of some of these
> >intrusive and (IMO) unConstitutional laws.
> >--
> >glenq@cyberhighway.net
> >WB7DOW
> >
> >http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>
> Nowhere did I see it mentioned that Cheek was busted for illegal
> modifications to CB radios about 20 years back.
>
> I recall he had a CB type newsletter with atricles on freebanding and
> power amps.
>
> Feds gave him a wrist slap and that was it.
> Mebbe this time a rap in the chops?
>

> Pondering that... LCM

1730. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3718AA96.72058378@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 121739

Keith, I don't know what you mean my moding the filters, but I did mod it to have the 'baseband audio out'.
and I thought the 2-level could work with CTCSS, SCA, FAX, RTTY. I would get the 4-level, but I have no idea where to buy it. Thanks for the help

-GTI

Keith wrote:

> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 09:50:19 -0500, VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>
> >So, does that mean I will be able to buy it from MFJ, and use it with my
> >BC-235XLT?
> >They have a pretty good price on it.
> >
> >Thanks Larry....
> > -GTI
> >
>
> Yes, you can however you need to modify your scanners filters and this
> type of decoder would only work with a pager service. A level 4
> decoder would work with MDT's.
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> --
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
> --
> Fletcher: Then you're not contending that the---our government's
> capacity to protect its communications is compromised. What you're
> asserting is that what's compromised is your capacity to eavesdrop on
> others.

1731. Re: do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pierre-Paul Raoult <ppraoult@asi.fr>
Message-ID: <3718AAE0.B3BDFB16@asi.fr>
Source record: 121740
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ppraoult.vcf

I did so!!!!

=?ISO-8859-1?Q?The_Man=99?= a ï¿½crit :

> I failed.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1732. Looking for PRO-2035 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: CyberGuy <kp4@iname.com>
Message-ID: <7faada$j7q$1@flood.xnet.com>
Source record: 121741

Can anyone help? Looking for PRO-2035 mods. THX

1733. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <3718AE90.248DE5C@albany.net>
Source record: 121742

Interesting Skip. As far as I know there is no law in New York like
that although I could be wrong. Anyone out there know of laws similar
to the one that Skip quoted.

Joe

Skip Sanders wrote:
>
> Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
>
> 402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
> with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
> emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
> is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
> or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
>

1734. FS:Pro-2022, Regency R3040, and manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Geith <jgeith@pcisys.net>
Message-ID: <924366559.067.28@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121743

Radio Shack Pro-2022 in good condition. It's been stored for a few years. I have the factory antenna and manual. This has several Bill Cheek type mods including: adjustable delay via front panel, faster scan speed, tape out, and 3200 memory channels (200ch x 16 banks) which are controlled with 4 mini toggle switches on the front panel. It also had the interior of the case coated with a metallic paint to help reduce interference.

Factory listed freq's=30-54, 108-174 MHz, 380-512 MHz, 806-823.9375 851.1125-868.9375, 896.1125-960.0. 800 mod has also been performed.

$150 OBO.

Regency R-4030 handheld. This is a duplicate of the Uniden BC 200xlt. This unit is rough but works. The factory battery is shot, and there is a damaged area on the LCD on the lest side, but it does not appear to block any of the functional areas. Inlcudes factory case, factory power supply (slight cosmetic damage) and rubber duck antenna. It also had the 800 mod done.

$70 OBO.

I also have the service manuals in good condition for these units and am selling them seperately.
RS Pro-2022 Service Manual cat. # 20-127/9127
Uniden BC200xlt/205xlt
Make me offer on these. I think they cost around $20 each new, and am not sure what the current market value may be.

1735. FS: ICOM IC-R2 & AOR AR-16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417124348.09318.00002037@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121744

I HAVE AN ICOM IC-R2 AND AN AOR AR-16 FOR SALE. I HAVE ALL THE
ORIGINAL ACCESSORIES, & MANUALS BUT I AM UNABLE TO LOCATE
THE BOX FOR THE AR-16. I HAVE THE BOX FOR THE R2. BOTH RADIOS
ARE A 9.5 ON A 10 SCALE (10 MEANS NEVER OPENED) I AM ASKING
$175 EACH OR $310 FOR BOTH. PLEASE PREPAYMENT ONLY.
IF INTERESTED PLEASE EMAIL ME

THANKS
TONY

1736. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3718BEA9.4E80039C@banet.net>
Source record: 121745

i got this from the websters dictionary at http://www.refdesk.com/
happy scanning
steven

Hypertext Webster Gateway: "felony"

From Webster's Revised Unabridged Dictionary (1913) (web1913)

Felony \Fel"o*ny\, n.; pl. {Felonies}. [OE. felonie cruelty, OF. felonie, F. f['e]lonie treachery, malice. See {Felon}, n.] 1. (Feudal Law) An act on the part of the vassal which cost him his
fee by forfeiture. --Burrill.

2. (O.Eng.Law) An offense which occasions a total forfeiture either lands or goods, or both, at the common law, and to which capital or other punishment may be added, according to the
degree of guilt.

3. A heinous crime; especially, a crime punishable by death or imprisonment.

Note: Forfeiture for crime having been generally abolished in the United States, the term felony, in American law, has lost this point of
distinction; and its meaning, where not fixed by
statute, is somewhat vague and undefined; generally, however, it is used to denote an offense of a high grade, punishable either capitally or by a term of imprisonment. In Massachusetts,
by statute, any crime punishable by death or imprisonment in the state prison, and no other, is a felony; so in New York. the tendency now is to obliterate the distinction between felonies
and misdemeanors; and this has been done partially in England, and completely in some of the States of the Union. The distinction is purely arbitrary, and its entire abolition is only a
question of time.

Note: There is no lawyer who would undertake to tell what a felony is, otherwise than by enumerating the various kinds of offenses which are so called. originally, the word felony had a
meaning: it denoted all offenses the penalty of which included forfeiture of goods; but subsequent acts of Parliament have declared various offenses to be felonies, without enjoining that
penalty, and have taken away the penalty from others, which continue, nevertheless, to be called felonies, insomuch that the acts so called have now no property whatever in common,
save that of being unlawful and purnishable. --J. S. Mill.

From WordNet (r) 1.6 (wn)

1737. Scanning in France - is it legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan <Jonathan@shillingsworth.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7faf4fkf1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121746

Scanning in France - Is it legal?

I am off to France with my car this summer and would like to use my AOR3000 scanner. What is the legal situation with regard to scanning in France? Is it legal or is it the same as it is here in the UK?

Jonathan

1738. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <7fafon$94$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 121747

In article <7f81u4gnu1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk (remove no spam from address to reply)> wrote:
>
>Vamp <NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com> wrote in message
>news:MPG.118151e8f92a4ecc9896b1@news.ne.mediaone.net...
>>
>>
>> Hi,
>>
>> What is the most secure remote phone available? Do any of these digitally
>> encode and encrypt the sound? I understand what spread spectrum is. What
>> kind of device would be needed to listen in on a spread spectrum digital
>> conversation. I'm normally not a paranoid person but I recently have
>> reason to believe that somebody has been listening in. I only have remote
>> phones and only one of them is 900mhz and digital. None of them is spread
>> spectrum. The thought of having to go back to corded phones phones is not
>> making me happy.
>>
>> Vamp
>
>M ost modern digital cellular phones use a5 encryption i belive , this
>is quite secure although not toally and it takes even the Gov's a LONG time
>to get anywhere with it
>
>eavsdropper
>
>
.."gov" doesn't bother with the wireless portion, they have the telco tap the
line after it's been decoded by the system. All they need are the phone
numbers, either the originating party or the recipient, the computers do the
rest....as for the casual scanner enthusiast he's relegated to what's
available on the market (or with enough $$$ what's available period!!!)......

1739. Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: fxbxisnoop@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417133056.22405.00001978@ng21.aol.com>
Source record: 121748

A four-star general who is one of President Clinton's advisors was at the Lansing Correctional Facility/Kansas State Penitentiary friday. A U.S. Marshal and some Secret Service Agents (one a good looking blonde) was at the prison providing security for
him. A voice-activated tape recorder hooked to a scanner (not on prison property, of course) recorded their radio traffic on 162.2875 Mhz and 164.100 Mhz. Anyone wanting to hear it, let me know & I'll e-mail a wav file of the traffic as soon as it gets made. It was pretty boring, one agent commenting that he was looking forward to eating peanuts on the plane, and an agent jokingly telling another agent that an inmate wanted to see him. Other than that, just talking about their location and destination in the prison. One of the agents showed up in a Volkswagen, of all things.

1740. Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <3718CA72.78D58548@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121749
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Are Cell phones dangerous? Is the Pope Catholic?

The ARRL annual handbook has some very interesting information in it regarding electromagnetic fields and your body. The government has released standards regarding maximum exposure limits per cubic centimeter of human tissues.

Not only is RF dangerous to humans, but so is the magnetic field around the antenna.
Cellular (not cell phone!) operations within the human body are altered by the presence of this magnetic field becuase unlike earths magnetic field, those of RF devices alternate rapidly between on and off. Actual damage has been documented if a field is too close to the cell. And, not only are radios dangerous to human cells, but so are magnetic fields from nearby power lines, some electric blankets, drills, hair dryers, etc.
Radio Shack sells a field detector, and I have heard that it is a good device, however, I do not know if it comes with information on exposure levels.

Power levels matter, but the closeness of the antenna to your body is really important.
Keep all antennas as far from your body as possible. Keep power levels down to the very minimum if the antenna is close to you. If using a cell phone, try to move the phone away from your ear as far as possible, or hold it in such a way that the antenna is pointing not along the side of you head, but away from it.
If you are using a mobile radio, try to avoid using more than 50 watts.
Depending on the frequency, you may experience what linemen who work for power companies experience after working on high voltage power lines. They say that the magnetic field causes a dull headed sensation, and you are not as able to think for some hours, or even as long as a day later. One lineman told me the only way he could overcome this sensation was to drink a "six-pack". I too have exprienced this literally mind numbing sensation after using 100 plus watts from an HF mobile. This surely is not good for your brain. Some brain level chemical operations are being altered by the magnetic field coming from the antenna.
Could something like this cause cancer? At this point, all the reaserchers are saying (is there big pressure on researchers to stay quiet??) is that documented damage has been seen in human cells after being exposed to high levels of RF and magnetic fields.

Some common sense rules that might extend your life:

1. Keep Hand Held Cell Phone usage to a minimum.

2. When possible, use an External Cell Phone Antenna on your vehicle.

3. The above applies to all Hand Held Radios.

4. In your vehicle, use antennas mounted on bumpers to minimize exposure.

5. Keep the mobile unit power levels under 50 watts if possible, and talk time to

a minimum.

6. Always use top quality cables with 95% shielding and keep the cables away from

your body in mobiles or at home.

7. Keep all connections points ultra clean! Dirty connections at the antenna
will increase your SWRs which will cause more RF to emit from the antenna cable.
Oxidation occurs when RF levels are high and moisture is added. The oxide
that
develops will lower the performance of your antenna, and may raise your SWRs.

8. Even on base stations, keep your power down unless the antenna is located far
away. It is not easy, but mounting any amplifier at the antenna is much more
efficient than running a 100 foot cable from your amp located inside your home.
Plus, you avoid the losses associated with long cable runs. High levels of Stray
RF from cables may harm you and your family.

9. Many newer power tools and hair dryers emit lower levels of magnetic fields.
A family member just bought
a new hair dryer that converts the 110 to 12 volts which greatly lowers the
magnetic field at the point where your hand is
holding it.

10. I suggest that you NEVER use an electric blanket, but you may find them to be
just too comfortable to avoid.
Most electric blankets use a series of wires and heater coils embeded
between two layers of cloth. Many blankets
use wall current to produce the higher temperatures you enjoy.
Essentially, you are laying a huge antenna over your body.
It is emitting 60hz magentic fields and it is located right next to your
skin. Even if the blanket was operated from highly
filtered DC, you still would have a magnetic field around it and you.
Remember how you used a D cell battery, a nail, and
a coil of wire to produce an electro magnet? Was that DC current you were
using? Sure! And, though magnetic fields
that turn on and off rapidly as in 60 hz power lines and RF devices is much
more dangerous, who can say that hours of
exposure each night to powerful DC magnetic fields is not harmful?
Think about your environment in which you exist on a normal day. Do what
you can to minimize exposure to magnetic
fields and RF. Keep power cords for tools, radios, hair dryers, away from
your body. Stay away from high powered
electric lines overhead. I would NEVER buy a home located next to
overhead powerlines. Especially those mounted on
large metal towers. Such a home would be bathed in 60hz magnetic fields
24 hours a day.

Everything I have stated here is of my opinion. I use RF and magnetic
fields to live and play, but I try to do all that I
can to keep exposure levels down when around them. It just makes sense.

Mark Levin

Ed wrote:

> >>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
> >A CDMA digital phone's output is also constant in amplitude
> >in the same manner, though the frequency is rapidly shifting
> >or "spread", and coding gain can often permit it to operate at
> >a lower average output power than an analog phone. So if
> >there were a hazard, a CDMA phone would reduce it slightly
> >on average.
>
> Actually, buried somewhere in that study released a couple years ago is a
> portion which noted higher tissue heating and higher possible genetic changes
> with digitally modulated signals, as opposed to analog modulation. Sorry,
> can't site the specific part of that study.
>
> REPLY INFO:
> ==========
> Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
> TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
> ==========

1741. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <3718F451.48BA@star.net>
Source record: 121750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Na, I spoke with one the other day and sheit said they throughout all the people in office that opposed scanner listening and law that revolve around scanners.

Get the message? Think and Vote "term limits", rid ourselves of these parasites.

DiGitaal_DiSrupTer wrote:
>
> Hey are aliens in outer space also barred from listening to cell phone
> calls, and making their own HamComm decoders?
>
> Digitaal

1742. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3718CABA.AA7A18BD@netxn.com>
Source record: 121751

It's things like this that are causing the government to come down on scanner hobbiests. You can listen to just about anything and that's OK, but you can not tell others what you hear. Making a wav file and posting it's availability on the internet is a clear violation of the law and just causes the government to get further involved in causeing us problems.

Just my 2cents ...

Mike Derryberry

FxBxIsnoop wrote:
>
> A four-star general who is one of President Clinton's advisors was at the
> Lansing Correctional Facility/Kansas State Penitentiary friday. A U.S. Marshal
> and some Secret Service Agents (one a good looking blonde) was at the prison
> providing security for
> him. A voice-activated tape recorder hooked to a scanner (not on prison
> property, of course) recorded their radio traffic on 162.2875 Mhz and 164.100
> Mhz. Anyone wanting to hear it, let me know & I'll e-mail a wav file of the
> traffic as soon as it gets made. It was pretty boring, one agent commenting
> that he was looking forward to eating peanuts on the plane, and an agent
> jokingly telling another agent that an inmate wanted to see him. Other than
> that, just talking about their location and destination in the prison. One of
> the agents showed up in a Volkswagen, of all things.

1743. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7faid2$4sj$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121752

FxBxIsnoop <fxbxisnoop@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990417133056.22405.00001978@ng21.aol.com...
>
> A four-star general who is one of President Clinton's advisors was at the
> Lansing Correctional Facility/Kansas State Penitentiary friday. A U.S.
Marshal
> and some Secret Service Agents (one a good looking blonde) was at the
prison
> providing security for
> him. A voice-activated tape recorder hooked to a scanner (not on prison
> property, of course) recorded their radio traffic on 162.2875 Mhz and
164.100
> Mhz. Anyone wanting to hear it, let me know

Snipped for the bollocks he has written !

What a prat you are, just what our hobby does not need

go away and do not come back , and I hope you get busted you prat

eavsdropper

1744. Re: police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3718C04B.6E5B@ptd.net>
Source record: 121753

I'm looking for Pennsylvania Fish Commission unit IDs.
Also Pennsylvania Game Commisssion
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Pennsylvania Fish Commission

45.040 PL 136.5 F-1 Admin
44.960 PL 136.5 F-2 Simplex
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5 F-3
44.700 PL 136.5 F-?
45.440 PL 136.5 F-?
45.000 PL 136.5 F-?
42.600 PL 136.5 F-10
Also use Game Comm. Freqs.
Also see DEP & DCNR some Fish Comm. Units use DCNR VHF Freqs.

On All Repeater outputs PL are 136.5 Fish Commission uses DIFFERING input
CTCSS (PL) tones for various area F-3 repeater
as follows:
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 KNIS318 MOUNT PENN BERKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/136.5 WNJB200 BOWMANS HILL BUCKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/186.2 KNIH869 PETERS MOUNTAIN DAUPHIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/167.9 KNIS319 CLARKS KNOB FRANKLIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 WNKE680 KITTANNING JEFFERSON
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/218.1 WNBV865 HOLT WOOD LANCASTER
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/156.7 WNKE680 LITTLE GAP LEHIGH
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/173.8 KNIH867 PENOBSCOT MOUNTAIN LUZERNE
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/192.8 WNAG965 SHAFFERS PATH LYCOMING
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/???.? WNAG966 HIGH KNOB PIKE

Northwest Region.
Box 349 (1281 Otter Street), Franklin, PA 16323; 814-437-5774.
F?? Butler F?? Lawrence
F?? Clarion F?? Mercer
F?? Crawford F?? Venango
F?? Erie F?? Warren
F?? Forest

Southwestern Region
RD 2, Box 39 (Lake Somerset), Somerset, PA 15501; 814-445-8974.
F27 Supervisor F36 Armstrong
F28 Asst. Supervisor F37 Indiana
F29 Reserved F38 Westmoreland

F30 Beaver F39 Fayette
F31 Washington F40 Cambria
F32 Greene F41 Somerset
F33 Allegheny, South F42 Three Rivers
F34 Allegheny, North
F35 Allegheny, East

Northcentral Region.
Box 187 (Fishing Creek Road), Lamar, PA 16848; 717-726-6056.
F?? Cameron F?? McKean
F?? Centre F?? Northumberland (W. of Rt. 147)
F?? Clearfield F?? Potter
F?? Clinton F?? Snyder
F?? Elk F?? Tioga
F?? Jefferson F?? Union
F?? Lycoming

Southcentral Region.
1704 Pine Road, Newville, PA 17241; 717-486-7087.
F56 Supervisor F63 Juniata / Mifflin
F57 Asst. Supervisor F64 Perry
F58 Reserved F65 Cumberland
F59 Blair F66 Adams
F60 Bedford F67 York
F61 Huntingdon F85 Daulphin
F62 Franklin / Fulton F86 Lebanon

Northeast Region.
Box 88 (Main Road), Sweet Valley, PA 18656; 717-477-5717.
F77 Luzerne F?? Montour
F78 Carbon F?? Wyoming
F?? Bradford F?? Lackawanna
F?? Sullivan F?? Susquehanna
F?? Columbia F?? Wayne
F?? Northumberland (E. of Rt.147) F?? Pike
F?? Monroe

Southeast Region.
Box 8 (Brubaker Valley Road), Elm, PA 17521; 717-626-0228.
F87 Lancaster F97 Montgomery South
F89 Schuylkill F?? Northampton
F90 Berks West F?? Delaware
F91 Chester F?? Bucks
F92 Lehigh F?? Philadelphia
F96 Berks East & Montgomery North

Pennsylvania Game Commission

44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
44.840 PL 141.3 F-2 Common Channel
44.880 PL 141.3 F-3 Mob. to Mob.
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington

44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
44.720 PL 141.3 F-? Mob. to Mob.
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
151.370/159.360

100-serice Northwest Region P.O. Box 31, Franklin 16323 Venango Co. Pa
1-800-533-6764 Howard Harshaw, Director
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
Counties Served
1?? Butler 1?? Jefferson
1?? Clarion 1?? Lawrence
1?? Crawford 1?? Mercer
1?? Erie 1?? Venango
1?? Forest 1?? Warren

200-serice Southwest Region P.O. Box A, Ligonier 15658 Westmoreland Co. Pa.
1-800-243-8519 Harry Richards, Director
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
Counties Served
215 Allegheny 226 Indiana
216 Allegheny 227 Indiana
217 Armstrong 228 Somerset North
218 Armstrong 229 Somerset Central
219 Beaver 230 Somerset South
220 Cambria North 231 Washington
221 Cambria South 232 Washington
222 Fayette 233 Westmoreland
223 Fayette 234 Westmoreland
224 Greene 235 Westmoreland
225 Greene

300-serice Northcentral Region Avis,P.O. Box 5038, Jersey Shore 17740 Clinton Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7551 Henry Stankewich, Director
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
Counties Served
3?? Cameron 326 Elk
317? Centre 3?? Lycoming
318? Centre 3?? McKean
319? Centre 3?? Potter
3?? Clearfield 3?? Tioga
3?? Clinton 340 Union

400-serice Southcentral Region P.O. Box 537,Huntingdon 16652 Huntington Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7554 Willis Sneath, Director
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
Counties Served
414 Adams 425 Franklin
415 Adams 426? Fulton
416 Adams 427 XXXXX

417? Bedford 428? Huntingdon
418 XXXXXX 429 XXXXX
419? Blair 430 Juniata
420 XXXXXX 431 XXXXX
421 XXXXXX 4?? Mifflin
422 Cumberland West 4?? Perry
423 Cumberland East 434 XXXXX
424 Franklin 435 Snyder

500-serice Northeast Region P.O. Box 220, Dallas 18612 Luzerne Co. Pa.
1-800-228-0789 Barry Warner, Director
44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
Counties Served
5?? Bradford 530 Northumberland
5?? Carbon 5?? Pike
520 Columbia 5?? Sullivan
5?? Lackawanna 536? Susquehanna N
5?? Luzerne 538? Susquehanna S
527 Monroe 5?? Wayne
528 Monroe 5?? Wyoming
529 Montour

600-serice Southeast Region RD 2, Box 2584, Reading 19605 Berks Co.
1-800-228-0791 Barry Moore, Director 610-926-3136
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
Counties Served
614 Berks Northwest 626 Lancaster South
615 Berks Northeast 627 Lebanon
616 Berks South 628 Lehigh
617 Bucks North 629 Montgomery North
618 Bucks South 630 Montgomery & Phila.
619 Chester North 631 Northampton
620 Chester South 632 Schuylkill West
621 Dauphin North 633 Schuylkill East
622 Dauphin South 634 York North
623 Delaware 635 York Southwest
624 Lancaster North 636 York Southeast
625 Lancaster Central
? Are Not Confirmed

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE FREQUENCY PLAN

CHANNEL MOBILE TX MOBILE RX PL TONE DISPLAY USE
01 154.755 154.755 186.2 PSP MOB. MOBILE/MOBILE
02 154.950 155.580 186.2 CH.A CH.A
03 155.910 155.670 186.2 CH.B CH.B
04 155.850 155.505 186.2 CH.C CH.C
05 158.910 154.665 186.2 CH.D CH.D
06 154.905 154.695 186.2 CH.E CH.E
07 154.830 154.920 186.2 CH.F CH.F
08 155.445 155.445 186.2 CH.G CH.G

09 155.475 155.475 C.Sq NEPF National Emerg.
10 154.755 159.210 186.2 RPTR1 Car Repeater
11 159.210 154.755 123.0 RPTR2 Base Repeater
12 155.460 155.460 186.2 TAC-1 Tactical 1
13 151.490 151.490 186.2 TAC-2 Tactical 2
14 154.950 154.950 186.2 MON A Ch.A Talk Around
15 155.910 155.910 186.2 MON B Ch.B Talk Around
16 155.850 155.850 186.2 MON C Ch.C Talk Around
17 158.910 158.910 186.2 MON D Ch.D Talk Around
18 154.905 154.905 186.2 MON E Ch.E Talk Around
19 154.830 154.830 186.2 MON F Ch.F Talk Around
20
21 156.015 158.760 151.4 ADMIN-1 With Phone
22 156.015 158.760 123.0 ADMIN-2 Without Phone
23 156.195 159.045 179.9 RPT 1 3 Turnpike Rpt.ODD*
24 156.225 159.075 179.9 RPT 2 4 Turnpike Rpt.EVEN*
25 159.000 159.000 C.SQ. PTCSMX Turnpike Sx.
26 158.835 158.835 C.Sq. PEMA Emerg. Management
155.580 155.790 186.2 CH.A Old CH.A
156.150 154.695 186.2 Ch.E Old CH.E
155.790 155.790 123.0 TAC-3 Tactical 3 Not Confirmed
156.150 156.150 123.0 TAC EAST Tactical East
154.905 154.905 123.0 TAC WEST Tactical West
159.030 159.030 123.0 TAC DES Tactical Des**
453.075 458.075 123.0 New Portables?W. PA (2/13/98)
Channels 27 - 32 Contain LOCAL AND/OR Other State VHF agency Frequencies.
Use VARIES by LOCATION.

* Tone on TX ONLY
** If, DES scrambling present, NO PL presnt, PL & DES are not compatible.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION
STATION CHANNEL ASSIGNMENT

LOCATION CHANNEL COUNTY
Troop A
A1 Greensburg (HQ) 154.920B/154.830M F Wesmoreland Co.
A2 Ebensburg 154.665B/158.910M D Cambria Co.
A3 Indiana 154.695B/154.905M E Indiana Co.
A4 Kiski Valley 155.580B/154.950M A Wesmoreland Co.
A6 Somerset 155.580B/154.950M A Somerset Co.

Troop B
B1 Washington (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Washington Co.
B2 Belle Vernon 154.695B/154.905M E Fayette Co.
B3 Findlay 155.670B/155.910M B Alleghney Co.
B4 Uniontown 155.670B/155.910M B Fayette Co.
B5 Waynesburg 155.505B/155.850M C Greene Co.
B6 Pittsburgh Barracks CLOSED 8/96) Alleghney Co.

Troop C
C1 Punxsutawney (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Jefferson Co.
C2 Shippensville 155.670B/155.910M B Clarion Co.
C3 Clearfield 155.670B/155.910M B Clearfield Co
C4 DuBois 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
C5 Kane 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.
C6 Ridgeway 154.920B/154.830M F Elk Co.
C7 Tionesta 155.670B/155.910M B Forest Co.
C? Mt. Jewell 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.

Troop D
D1 Butler (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Butler Co.
D2 Kittanning 155.505B/155.850M C Armstrong Co.
D3 Mercer 154.695B/154.905M E Mercer Co.
D4 Beaver 155.505B/155.850M C Beaver Co.
D6 New Castle 155.505B/155.850M C Lawrence Co.

Troop E
E1 Erie (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Erie Co.
E2 Corry 154.920B/154.830M F Erie Co.
E3 Seneca 155.580B/154.950M A Venange Co.
E4 Girard 154.665B/158.910M D Erie Co.
E5 Meadville 155.670B/155.910M B Crawford Co.
E6 Warren 155.580B/154.950M A Warren Co.

Troop F
F1 Montoursville(HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Lycoming Co.
F2 Coudersport 155.580B/154.950M A Potter Co.
F3 Emporium 154.665B/158.910M D Cameron Co.
F4 Lamar 155.580B/154.950M A Clinton Co.
F5 Mansfield 155.670B/155.910M B Tioga Co.
F6 Milton 155.580B/154.950M A Union Co.
F7 Selinsgrove 155.580B/154.950M A Snyder Co.
F8 Stonington 155.580B/154.950M A Northumbererland Co.

Troop G
G1 Holidaysburg (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E Blair Co. (Rpt.)
G2 Bedford 155.505B/155.850M C Bedford Co.
G3 Hutningdon 154.665B/158.910M D Huntingdon Co.
G4 Lewistown 154.920B/154.830M F Mifflin Co.
G5 McConnellsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Fulton Co.
G7 Rockview 155.505B/155.850M C Center Co.
G8 Phillipsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
G? Milesburg 154.665B/158.910M D Center Co.

Troop H
H1 Harrisburg (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.
H2 Carlisle 154.665R/158.910M D Cumberland Co. (Rpt.)
H3 Chambersburg 155.670B/155.910M B Frankin Co.
H4 Lykens 155.580B/154.950M A Dauphin Co.
H5 Newport 155.445B/155.445M G Perry Co.
H6 Gettysburg 155.580B/154.950M A Adams Co.
H7 York 155.505B/155.850M C York Co.

H? Hershey (Academy) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.

Troop J
J1 Lancaster (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster Co.
J2 Avondale 155.670B/155.910M B Chester Co.
J3 Embreeville 155.445B/155.445M G Chester Co.
J5 Eprhata 155.670B/155.910M B Lancaster Co.Temporarily located
155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster HQ

Troop K
K1 Philadelphia (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Philadelphia Co.
K2 Media 155.505B/155.850M C Delaware Co.
K3 Skippack 155.670B/155.910M B Montgomery Co.

Troop L
L1 Reading (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E* Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L2 Jonestown 155.505B/155.850M C Lebanon Co.
L3 Frackville 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.
L4 Hamburg 154.695R/154.905M E Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L7 Schuylkill Haven 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.

Troop M
M1 Bethlehem (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.
M2 Dublin 155.505B/155.850M C Bucks Co.
M3 Trevose 155.580B/154.950M A Bucks Co.
M5 Fogelsville 155.670B/155.910M B Lehigh Co.
M6 Belfast 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.

Troop N
N1 Hazleton (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Luzerne Co.
N2 Bloomsburg 155.670B/155.910M B Columbia Co.
N3 Fern Ridge 155.670B/155.910M B Monrose Co.
N4 Lehighton 155.505B/155.850M C Carbon Co.
N6 Swiftwater 155.670B/155.910M B Monror Co.

Troop P
P1 Wyoming (HQ) 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P2 Dushore 155.505B/155.850M C Sullivan Co.
P4 Shickshinny 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P5 Towanda 155.505B/155.850M C Brandford Co.
P6 Tunkhannock 155.505B/155.850M C Wyoming Co.

Troop R
R1 Dunmore (HQ) 155.445B/155.445M G Lackawanna Co.
R3 Honesdale 155.505B/155.850M C Wayne Co.
R4 Blooming Grove 155.580B/154.950M A Pike Co.
R5 Gibson 155.580B/154.950M A Susquehanna Co.

Note: Rpt. denotes that the channel is operated in REPEATER mode versus the
normal full duplex.

Troop S - Interstate Patrols
Eliminated 5/17/97

All Interstate/Highway patrols are now operated by the barracks in the area.

Troop T (See Turnpike)

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE TEN CODE LIST
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-26 ESTIMATED ARRIVAL TIME
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-27 LICENSE/PERMIT INFORMATION
10-3 STOP TRNSMITTING 10-28 OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-29 RECORDS CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO_____ 10-30 DANGER/CAUTION
10-6 BUSY 10-31 PICK-UP
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE AT HOME 10-32 ____UNITS REQUESTE
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-9 REPEAT YOUR MESSAGE 10-37 INTOXICATED PERSON
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-40 ADVISE IF_____AVAILABLE FOR CALL
10-11 ____ON DUTY 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO REGULATIONS
10-12 STAND-BY 10-43 ADVISE OF ROAD/WEATHER CONDITIONS
10-13 EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-44 ACKNOWLEDGE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-15 MESSAGE DELIVERED 10-46 DETAINING SUBJECT
10-16 REPLY TO MWSSAGE 10-47 NEED AMBULANCE
10-17 EN ROUTE *10-71 IBM SERVICE REQUESTED
10-18 URGENT *10-72 ELECTRICAL SERVICE REQUESTER
10-19 IN CONTACT *10-73 PLUMBING SERVICE REQUESTER
10-20 LOCATION *10-74 RESERVATIONS REQUESTED
10-21 TELEPHONE_____IMMEDIATELY 10-88 HAVE PACKAGE FOR_____
10-22 DISREGARD 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-97 RADIO CHECK
10-24 ASSIGNMENT SIGNAL 33 HELP ME QUICK
10-25 RETURN TO_____
10-99 EMERGENCY AT THIS STATION-ALL UNITS COPY-INTERFERING STATIONS STAND-BY
* NOT USE BY NON-TURNPIKE UNITS

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE STATUTE CODES
601 DRIVING WITHOUT LICENSE 3731 DRUNK DRIVING
2501 CRIMINAL HOMICIDE 3732 HOMICIDE BY VEHICLE
2502 MURDER 3925 RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY
2701 SIMPLE ASSAULT 3926 THEFT OF SERVICES
2702 AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 4101 FORGERY
2705 RECKLESSLY ENDANGERING 4105 BAD CHECKS
2706 TERRORISTIC THREATS 4106 FORGED CREDIT CARDS
2709 HARASSMENT 4304 ENDANGERING WELFARE OF CHILDREN
2901 KIDNAPPING 4905 FILING FALSE POLICE REPORT
3121 RAPE 4912 IMPERSONATING A POLICE OFFICER
3122 STATUTORY RAPE 5104 RESISTING ARREST
3125 CORRUPTION OF MINORS 5121 ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY
3126 INDECENT ASSAULT 5501 RIOT
3127 INDECENT EXPOSURE 5503 DISORDERLY CONDUCT
3301 ARSON 5504 HARASSMENT BY COMMUNICATIONS
3304 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF 5505 PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS

3306 OPENING FIRE HYDRANTS 5506 LOITERING OR PROWLING AT NIGHT
3502 BURGLARY 5513 LIIEGAL GAMBLING
3503 CRIMINAL TRESPASS 5902 PROSTITUTION
3701 ROBBERY 6308 UNDERAGE DRINKING
3714 RECKLESS DRIVING 6501 LITTERING
(STATUTE CODES SELDOM USED)

Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission
The PA. TURNPIKE is divided into five districts stating at the Ohio line eastward to the New Jersey line. This area encompasses Dist. 1 through 4. The Northeast Extension of the turnpike is District 5. Each district is served by an alternating frequency as listed below.

159.045/156.195 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 1,3 & 5
159.075/156.225 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 2 & 4
159.000 PL C.SQ Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
154.755 Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
155.460 PL186.2 PSP Tac 1
151.490 PL186.2 PSP Tac 2
453.150/458.150 C.SQ Tunnels
453.300/458.300 C.SQ Tunnels

District 1 159.045 District 4 159.075
Exit 1 Gateway (Ohio Line) 1.3mp Exit 23 Downingtown 312.0mp
Exit 2 Beaver Valley 12.8mp Exit 24 Valley Forge 326.0mp
Exit 3 Perry Highway 28.4mp Exit 25 Norristown (NE Ext.) 333.1mp
Exit 4 Butler Vaalley 39.1mp Exit 25aMid-Couty (I-476)
Exit 5 Allegheny Valley 47.5mp Exit 26 Fort Washington 339.5mp
Exit 6 Pittsburgh 56.5mp Exit 27 Willow Grove 342.9mp
Exit 7 Irwin 67.4mp Exit 28 Philadelphia 351.3mp
Exit 8 New Stanton 75.5mp Exit 29 Delaware Valley 357.7mp
Exit 9 Donegal 90.7mp Exit 30 Bridge (New Jersey) 359.0mp

District 2 159.075 District 5 159.045
Exit 10 Somerset 109.9mp Exit 31 Lansdale A10.3mp
Exit 11 Bedford 145.5mp Exit 32 Quakertown A23.9mp
Exit 12 Breezewood 162.9mp Exit 33 Lehigh Valley A37.2mp
Exit 13 Fort Littleton 179.5mp Exit 34 Mahoning Valley A55.7mp
Exit 14 Willow Hill 188.6mp Exit 35 Pocono A74.7mp
Exit 36 Wilkes-Barre A85.3mp
District 3 159.045 Exit 37 Wyomimg Valley A94.6mp
Exit 15 Blue Mountain 201.3mp Exit 38 Scranton (Terminus) A110.3mp
Exit 16 Carlisle 226.3mp
Exit 17 Gettysburg Pike 236.1mp
Exit 18 Harrisburg West 242.0mp
Exit 19 Harrisburg East 247.4mp
Exit 20 Lebanon/Lancaster 266.4mp
Exit 21 Reading/Lancaster 285.5mp
Exit 22 Morantown 298.0mp

PA. TURNPIKE UNITS
150-series are Ambulances 200-series are tow trucks
300-series are State Police 2000-series are highway maintenace

Police & Maint/Work Crews use same channels on.
PSP Troop T handles patrols on the turnpike. ALL Troop T dispatch
is done from Harrisburg. System is linked via microwave.

Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office

154.950 (F-1) -Drug Enforcement Mobile-to Mobile
155.445R/XXX.XXX (F-2) -Drug Enforcement Dispatch
155.490 (F-3) -Drug Enforcement Regional (CLEAN)
156.090 (F-4) -Drug Enforcement Special Operations
460.200/465.200 179.9 Department of Justice North Repeaters (F1)
460.475/465.475 179.9 Department of Justice South Repeaters (F2)

Use depends on if one of the channels has been assigned to local agencies.
Western PA is primarily 460.475

Pennsylvania State Police Liquor Control Board Detail>

158.7600R/156.0150 151.4 F-1 (Phone Patch Capable)
158.7600R/156.0150 123.0 F-2 (No Phone Patch)
453.1375R/458.1375 Harrisburg
45.3000 Simplex Portables (Old System)

Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA)

PEMA uses 5 channels:
453.525/458.525 Main Repeater
453.350/458.350 Mobile Repeater
453.525 Simplex
453.350 Simplex
158.835 VHF
45.300 (Old System)
PEMA uses DIFFERING CTCSS (PL) tones for various counties main
repeater as follows:

Allegheny Co. 210.7
Armstrong Co. 103.5
Carbon Co. 136.5
Clearfield Co. 179.9
Fayette Co. 118.8
Indiana Co. 136.5
Lawrence Co. 156.7
Washington Co. 156.7
Schuylkill Co. 210.7 rpt. tx 179.9 Input
Somerset Co. 136.5

PL is NOT used on 453.350 the mobile repeater or simplex.

169.425 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.500 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.525 PEMA Rain Gauges
170.275 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.025 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.085 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.125 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.850 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.875 PEMA Rain Gauges
412.625 PEMA Rain Gauges

Rain Gauges are scattered throughout the state, and transmit
data on the above
frequency back to the local EMA office. At that point it's
collected on a
computer using IFLOWS software, and relayed to PEMA HQ in HBG via
Ku band VSAT
satellite system.

PEMA also utilizes the Ku band satellite system known as SEVAN
to send
messages to local EMA offices regarding events around the state,
and weather
information from the NOAA Weather Wire.

SEVAN also provides for a voice channel to all EMA agencies,
and a video
channel from PEMA HQ.

Pennsylvania State Capitol Police
453.300R/458.300 PL192.8 Ch.1
453.100R/458.100 PL192.8 Ch.2

Pennsylvania State Senate Secuity
453.550R/458.550 PL156.7

Pennsylvania State Prisons
All use PL203.5
45.160 Statewide
453.300R/458.300 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.300R/458.300 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Camp Hill, Cumberland Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Dallas, Luzerne Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Pittsburgh-Western Pennitentary
453.450R/458.450 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.450R/458.450 Cresson Cambria Co. Pa.
453.500R/458.500 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.600R/458.600 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.625R/458.625 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.

453.675R/458.675 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.725R/458.725 Albion Erie Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Cambridge Springs Womens Prison Crawford Co.
453.825R/458.825 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.925R/458.925 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)
453.975R/458.975 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)

PA. DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) and
PA. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR)
151.175 SIMPLEX F-1 PL103.5
151.295 SIMPLEX F-2 PL103.5
151.385 SIMPLEX F-3 PL103.5
151.400 SIMPLEX F-4 PL103.5
151.160 SIMPLEX F-5 PL103.5
151.445 SIMPLEX F-6 PL103.5
151.460 SIMPLEX F-?
159.285 SIMPLEX F-7 PL103.5 "COMPACT" (Air-Air)
159.330 SIMPLEX F-8 PL103.5
151.175/159.225 F-9 Dist. 1,2,7,9,10,11
151.295/159.360 F-10 Dist. 6,13,12,15,20
151.385/159.420 F-11 Dist. 4,16,17,18,19
151.400/159.345 F-12 Dist. 3,5,8,14

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) RADIO IDENTIFIERS
DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE
1 ALPHA FAYETTEVILLE 11 LIMA SCRANTON
2 BRAVO MCCONNELLSBURG 12 MIKE SOUTH WILLIAMSPORT
3 CHARLIE BLAIN 13 NOVEMBER EMPORIUM
4 DELTA LIGONIER 14 PAPA WARREN
5 ECHO HUNTINGDON 15 ROMEO COUDERSPORT
6 FOXTROT EBENSBURG 16 SIERRA WELLSBORO
7 GOLF MIFFINBURG 17 TANGO BUCKTOWN
8 HOTEL CLARION 18 VICTOR CRESSONA
9 JULIETTE CLEARFIELD 19 WHISKEY STROUDSBURG
10 KILO RENOVO 20 XRAY BLOOMSBURG

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) FOREST FIRE READYNESS
CODES:
Code-1 Forest Fire danger threat low
Code-2 Forest Fire danger threat moderate
Code-3 Forest Fire danger threat high

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP)
Mobile Relay Frequency Data Mach 1, 1987
TX.FREQ RX.FREQ TX.PL RX.PL CALL SIGN SITE COUNTY
151.175R/159.255 103.5/141.3 KWI669 BIG FLAT CUMBERLAND
151.175R/159.255 114.8/114.8 KVN571 RATTLESNAKE CENTRE
151.175R/159.255 114.8/103.5 KDY285 ROCKTON CLEARFIELD

151.175R/159.255 114.8/127.3 KQN935 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 114.8/156.7 KQN936 RAINSARES CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 156.7/88.5 KFZ745 ELK MT. SUSQUEHANNA
151.175R/159.255 156.7/173.8 KUO922 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.175R/159.255 103.5/110.9 KLS512 TUSCARORA FULTON
151.175R/159.255 103.5/136.5 KWI698 KINTON KNOB BEDFORD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/192.8 KZF969 BEAVER SNYER

151.295R/159.360 141.3/141.3 KVN570 BLUE KNOB BEDFORD
151.295R/159.360 141.3/156.7 KFO902 LOWER YODER CAMBRIA
151.295R/159.360 114.3/94.8 KVN642 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.295R/159.360 173.8/173.8 KTI717 BOOT JACK ELK
151.295R/159.360 173.8/192.8 KUJ546 BROOKS CAMERON
151.295R/159.360 173.8/136.5 KUJ548 PROSPECT McKEAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/110.9 KNDV312 SOLAR MT.
151.295R/159.360 173.8/88.5 KVN641 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.295R/159.360 114.8/103.5 KDX454 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.295R/159.360 114.8/114.8 KDX455 NORTH MT. SULLIVAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/127.3 KDX453 BOYERS NORHTUMBERLAND
151.295R/159.360 141.3/186.2 WQD459 PINE CLEARFIELD

151.385R/159.420 127.3/103.5 KQO251 BEAR CAVE WESTMORELAND
151.385R/159.420 127.3/127.3 KQO253 PONDFIELD FAYETTE
151.385R/159.420 127.3/156.7 KQO252 NEGRO MT. SUMERSET
151.385R/159.420 88.5/110.9 KVV850 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.385R/159.420 103.5/88.5 KLU444 WELSH HILL LANCASTER
151.385R/159.420 103.5/94.8 KQL752 FLINT HILL LEHIGH
151.385R/159.420 103.5/173.8 KFX266 ELLENDALE DAUPHIN
151.385R/159.420 103.5/114.8 KNHM323 BEAR'S HEAD SCHUYLKILL
151.385R/159.420 103.5/156.7 KDX447 HIGH KNOB PINE
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (AP on=9 LD access=9? off=X Telephone Line=XXX-XXX-XXXX)
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 KFI584 POHOPOCO MONROE (AP on=9 LD access=9 off=X Telephone Line=717-646=5851)
151.385R/159.420 88.5/88.5 WNBJ427 QUARRY TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/100.0 KNDV312 CEDAR MT. TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/136.5 KZF970 KELLOGG BRADFORD
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 KNBT576 WEXFORD ALLEGHENY
151.385R/159.420 127.3/141.3 WQD460 BALD KNOB SOMERSET
151.385R/159.420 103.5/192.8 WQD458 PHILADELPHIA PHILADELPHIA
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 WHN497 BLACK EDDY BUCKS

151.400R/159.345 156.7/156.7 KQU276 BIG KNOB PERRY
151.400R/159.345 156.7/192.8 KTU287 LITTLE FLAT CENTER
151.400R/159.345 156.7/94.8 KTU258 JACKS MT. HUNTINGTON
151.400R/159.345 103.5/103.5 KSQ442 FRYBURG CLARION
151.400R/159.345 103.5/114.8 KWV591 GIBBS HILL McKEAN
151.400R/159.345 103.5/127.3 KSZ840 TOWNVILLE CRAWFORD
151.400R/159.345 103.5/156.7 KXA325 NEW CASTLE LAWRENCE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/136.5 WQD462 WATERFORD ERIE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/173.8 WQD461 PENN VIEW INDIANA

151.400R/159.345 103.5/141.3 WNHA827 ERIE ERIE
Also State Parks and some Fish Comm. units use DEP & DCNR Freqs.

Pennsylvania Fish Commission
45.040 PL 136.5 F-1 Admin
44.960 PL 136.5 F-2 Simplex
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5 F-3
44.700 PL 136.5 F-?
45.440 PL 136.5 F-?
45.000 PL 136.5 F-?
42.600 PL 136.5 F-10
Also use Game Comm. Freqs.
Also see DEP & DCNR some Fish Comm. Units use DCNR VHF Freqs.

On All Repeater outputs PL are 136.5 Fish Commission uses DIFFERING input
CTCSS (PL) tones for various area F-3 repeater
as follows:
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 KNIS318 MOUNT PENN BERKS (AP on=2206* off=# Telephone
Line=610-XXX-XXXX)
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/136.5 WNJB200 BOWMANS HILL BUCKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/186.2 KNIH869 PETERS MOUNTAIN DAUPHIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/167.9 KNIS319 CLARKS KNOB FRANKLIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 WNKE680 KITTANNING JEFFERSON
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/218.1 WNBV865 HOLT WOOD LANCASTER
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/156.7 WNKE680 LITTLE GAP LEHIGH
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/173.8 KNIH867 PENOBSCOT MOUNTAIN LUZERNE
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/192.8 WNAG965 SHAFFERS PATH LYCOMING
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/???.? WNAG966 HIGH KNOB PIKE

Northwest Region.
Box 349 (1281 Otter Street), Franklin, PA 16323; 814-437-5774.
F?? Butler F?? Lawrence
F?? Clarion F?? Mercer
F?? Crawford F?? Venango
F?? Erie F?? Warren
F?? Forest

Southwestern Region
RD 2, Box 39 (Lake Somerset), Somerset, PA 15501; 814-445-8974.
F27 Supervisor F36 Armstrong
F28 Asst. Supervisor F37 Indiana
F29 Reserved F38 Westmoreland
F30 Beaver F39 Fayette
F31 Washington F40 Cambria
F32 Greene F41 Somerset
F33 Allegheny, South F42 Three Rivers
F34 Allegheny, North
F35 Allegheny, East

Northcentral Region.
Box 187 (Fishing Creek Road), Lamar, PA 16848; 717-726-6056.

F?? Cameron F?? McKean
F?? Centre F?? Northumberland (W.ofRt.147)
F?? Clearfield F?? Potter
F?? Clinton F?? Snyder
F?? Elk F?? Tioga
F?? Jefferson F?? Union
F?? Lycoming

Southcentral Region.
1704 Pine Road, Newville, PA 17241; 717-486-7087.
F56 Supervisor F63 Juniata / Mifflin
F57 Asst. Supervisor F64 Perry
F58 Reserved F65 Cumberland
F59 Blair F66 Adams
F60 Bedford F67 York
F61 Huntingdon F85 Daulphin
F62 Franklin / Fulton F86 Lebanon

Northeast Region.
Box 88 (Main Road), Sweet Valley, PA 18656; 717-477-5717.
F77 Luzerne F?? Montour
F78 Carbon F?? Wyoming
F?? Bradford F?? Lackawanna
F?? Sullivan F?? Susquehanna
F?? Columbia F?? Wayne
F?? Northumberland (E. of Rt.147) F?? Pike
F?? Monroe

Southeast Region.
Box 8 (Brubaker Valley Road), Elm, PA 17521; 717-626-0228.
F87 Lancaster F97 Montgomery South
F89 Schuylkill F?? Northampton
F90 Berks West F?? Delaware
F91 Chester F?? Bucks
F92 Lehigh F?? Philadelphia
F96 Berks East & Montgomery North

Pennsylvania Game Commission

44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
44.840 PL 141.3 F-2 Common Channel
44.880 PL 141.3 F-3 Mob. to Mob.
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
44.720 PL 141.3 F-? Mob. to Mob.
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
151.370/159.360 Old link freq

100-serice Northwest Region P.O. Box 31, Franklin 16323 Venango Co. Pa
1-800-533-6764 Howard Harshaw, Director

44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
Counties Served
1XX Butler 1XX Jefferson
1XX Clarion 1XX Lawrence
1XX Crawford 1XX Mercer
1XX Erie 1XX Venango
1XX Forest 1XX Warren

200-serice Southwest Region P.O. Box A, Ligonier 15658 Westmoreland Co. Pa.
1-800-243-8519 Harry Richards, Director
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
Counties Served
215 Allegheny 226 Indiana
216 Allegheny 227 Indiana
217 Armstrong 228 Somerset North
218 Armstrong 229 Somerset Central
219 Beaver 230 Somerset South
220 Cambria North 231 Washington
221 Cambria South 232 Washington
222 Fayette 233 Westmoreland
223 Fayette 234 Westmoreland
224 Greene 235 Westmoreland
225 Greene

300-serice Northcentral Region Avis,P.O. Box 5038, Jersey Shore 17740 Clinton Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7551 Henry Stankewich, Director
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
Counties Served
3XX Cameron 326 Elk
317? Centre 3XX Lycoming
318? Centre 3XX McKean
319? Centre 3XX Potter
3XX Clearfield 3XX Tioga
3XX Clinton 340 Union

400-serice Southcentral Region P.O. Box 537,Huntingdon 16652 Huntington Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7554 Willis Sneath, Director
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
Counties Served
414 Adams 425 Franklin
415 Adams 426? Fulton
416 Adams 427 XXXXX
417 Bedford 428? Huntingdon
418 XXXXXX 429 XXXXX
419? Blair 430 Juniata
420 XXXXXX 431 XXXXX
421 XXXXXX 4?? Mifflin
422 Cumberland West 4?? Perry
423 Cumberland East 434 XXXXX
424 Franklin 435 Snyder

500-serice Northeast Region P.O. Box 220, Dallas 18612 Luzerne Co. Pa.
1-800-228-0789 Barry Warner, Director
44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
Counties Served
5XX Bradford 530 Northumberland
5XX Carbon 5XX Pike
520 Columbia 5XX Sullivan
5XX Lackawanna 536? Susquehanna
5XX Luzerne 538? Susquehanna
527 Monroe 5XX Wayne
528 Monroe 5XX Wyoming
529 Montour

600-serice Southeast Region RD 2, Box 2584, Reading 19605 Berks Co.
1-800-228-0791 Barry Moore, Director 610-926-3136
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
Counties Served
614 Berks Northwest 626 Lancaster South
615 Berks Northeast 627 Lebanon
616 Berks South 628 Lehigh
617 Bucks North 629 Montgomery North
618 Bucks South 630 Montgomery & Phila.
619 Chester North 631 Northampton
620 Chester South 632 Schuylkill West
621 Dauphin North 633 Schuylkill East
622 Dauphin South 634 York North
623 Delaware 635 York Southwest
624 Lancaster North 636 York Southeast
625 Lancaster Central
? Are Not Confirmed

Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)

PennDOT used an old half-duplex (base/mobile) system similar to PSP, but recently acquired several new frequencies and seems to be using simplex on them. The list below represents all frequencies assigned to PennDOT.

47.040
47.060
47.080
47.140
47.160
47.180
47.200
47.280
47.300
47.380

Listed below is the new system plan.
47.040B/47.200M F-B6? Dist. 5=Berks 192.8hz
47.040 Simplex F-? Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=Schuylkill-127.3hz, Berks-192.8hz
47.160 Pocono East Mt PocOno

47.180 Lehigh Garaga
47.200 Simplex F-B5 Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=167.9hz
47.300 Bucks Montgomery
Listed below is the old system plan.

47.300B/47.380M 146.2 F-1 Dist. 1,3,6,7,9,11
47.040B/47.200M 136.5 F-? Dist. 2,5,12
47.060B/47.080M 156.7 F-? Dist. 4,8,10
47.280 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Eastern Dist.3,4,5,6,7 & 8
47.140 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Western Dist.1,2,9,10,11 & 12
47.160 Simplex xxx.x F-? Dist. 1
47.180 Simplex 127.3 F-?

Listed is the old system district / Pl Tone chart.
Dist.1=
Dist. 2=
Dist. 3=136.5hz
Dist. 4=156.7hz
Dist. 5=146.2hz
Dist. 6=127.3hz
Dist. 7=127.3hz
Dist. 8=156.7hz
Dist. 9=
Dist. 10=
Dist. 11=
Dist. 12=

151.010 Simplex 110.9 PennDOT Motor Carrier Enforcement
151.100 ???
159.195 ???

Links:
452.4500+ 127.3hz Mill Hall (Rainsares Mtn.), Clinton Co.
453.0625+ 127.3hz Jim Thorpe, Carbon Co.
453.6125+ 127.3hz Pottsville (2nd. Mtn.), Schuylkill Co.-Repeats 47.200 136.5hz, 167.9hz
453.9625+ 156.7hz Carlisle, Cumberland Co.

PennDOT Districts

1 - Crawford, Erie, Forest, Mercer, Venago, Warren
2 - Cameron, Centre, Clearfield, Clinton, Elk, Juniata, Potter, Mckean,Mifflin
3 - Bradford, Columbia, Lycoming, Montour, Northumberland, Snyder,
Sullivan,Tioga, Union
4 - Lackawanna, Luzerne, Susquehanna, Pike, Wayne, Wyoming
5 - Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Monroe, Northampton, Schuylkill
6 - Bucks, Chester, Deleware, Montgomery
7 - Philadelphia
8 - Adams, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Lancaster, Lebanon, Perry, York
9 - Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Fulton, Huntingdon, Somerset
10 - Armstrong, Butler, Clarion, Indiana, Jefferson
11 - Allegheny, Beaver, Lawrence
12 - Fayette, Greene, Washington, Westmoreland

PennDOT Codes:
Code 4=OK

State Hospitals
453.150/458.150 Hamburg State Center - Hamburg
453.225/458.225 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Wernersville State Hospital - Wernersville
453.575/458.575 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Western Center - Canonsburg

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net
OR

1745. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3718cecc.8224770@news>
Source record: 121754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 23:09:43 GMT, tweek@netcom.com (R R M Tweek)
wrote:

>Someone restrain me before I attempt to bait Tom any further.

OK: If you don't stop, people will start calling you a master baiter.

1746. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <3718D1C0.DFB305C4@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

HAHA! Let me tell you a little story!

Before it became ILLEGAL to use 1ply toilet paper, and monitor
cordless phones, I built an antenna to see how far I might be able to receive
those signals.

I used inexpensive metal pipes that are found in the electrical parts area of
your hardware store. They cost about $1.20 each for ten foot lengths, so they are
really great
for making antennas.
I whipped out the ARRL handbook to compute the size of this Yagi antenna. That
was no big deal to figure with their formulas, and these days, software is
available to do it for you.
I cut the pipes to length, and mounted them on on pipe that was a little larger.
I was using .75 or 1 inch pipes as the crossmembers, and the boom was made from
1.25 diameter pipe or better known as conduit.
I added a small tuneable pf range capicitor between the second crossmember and
the grounded boom using a few inches of phone wire. This allows you to tune the
antenna perfectly. The capacitor was one of those tunable types from Radio Shack
that cost about $1.50 for three in a bag. They sell two colors: green and orange
or red. I used the green tunable pf capacitor.
The antenna was fairly large, but easy to handle. I connected it to the 2004 I
used to own and plugged in the 47 to 49 mhz range. WIth the antenna near the
scanner, I tuned the capacitor while listening to a very distant signal that was
constant in level. As I turned the capacitor very slowly, I could hear the
signal get louder, and then fade. I adjusted it for the loudest possible signal
and left it. Then I mounted the antenna on its
side or vertical. The poll was two Radio Shack TV antenna stackable polls. One
was
10 ft, and the other 5ft. So, the antenna as not very high off the ground.

BUT!!!! As I tuned around the baby monitor/portable phone band, I COULD HARDLY
BELIEVE HOW MANY PEOPLE I COULD HEAR!

This antenna cost nearly nothing to build, but it worked so well, I could hear
portable phones that were SEVERAL MILES away! And, because it was a Yagi
configuration,
I could turn it towards what I wanted to hear. In other words, it was focusable.

If you have the time, and the desire to build this antenna, you will be very
rewarded by its performance, believe me! I don't have the figures available for
the lengths of its various pieces, but it had only a grand total of four cross
members over the top of its boom. Picture a very small TV antenna, but on a
larger scale. Total cost was under $20.00 I had to research the formulas, and
buy some TV antenna poll mounts from RS

to connect each arm to the boom, but the total time was like one night and half the next day by the time I had the antenna up and running. You know how these projects are...you have to go to this store, and that store, and your hack saw blade breaks, and your forgot to buy some RG8mini cable from RS etc. So, if you want an antenna that allows you to hear a baby monitor from a mile away or more, build this and amaze your friends!

Bruce or Tracy wrote:

> This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
> the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
> to reach that far.
>
> I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)
>
> Bruce
>
> JnglMassiv wrote:
> >
> > >>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
> >
> > I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
> > validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks away.
> > Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't have
> > anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older cheappy
> > Pro-33.

1747. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <3718D67A.E17366ED@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121756

But, if your talking to your doctor in code while wispering into my left ear and he's listening to you through my right ear, is it illegal for me to try to decode what it is you two are talking about?

Your signal is hitting my body, so shouldn't I have the right to listen to it, and you have the right to encode it in such a way that I probably cannot figure it out?

That is the heart of the 30's law on received signals. Since then, in 1986, a congress filled with leftist democrats bowed to industry pressue, and passed the ECPA.
They just forgot about the rights of those being bombarded by radio signals.

>

>
> It's got to do with the principle of reasonable expectations of privacy. If
> you were to shout across a busy waiting room "I've got herpes!" you cannot
> expect that information to be private. If, on the other hand, you go in to
> the doctor's office and close the door and say the same thing, you can
> reasonably expect the information to remain private.
>
> Or, in the world of radio communications...
> If you broadcast something without taking any measures to encrypt, or scramble
> it, you cannot expect the information to remain private.
>
> Of course all that get's blown out of the water when laws get passed that say
> that it is illegal to listen to "X"
>
> **
> Dave Sparro
> Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
> send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1748. Re: FS: Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990417145714.14518.00001491@ng136.aol.com>
Source record: 121757

Would you be interested in trading your PRO-43 for a PRO-90 trunk tracker?

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

1749. Seattle-Tacoma Freqs resource needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me@biz.com
Message-ID: <3718e0e9.138818310@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 121758

If anyone could direct me to a web source of freqs. for seattle tacoma area I would appreciate it. Much thanks in advance.

1750. FS: RS Pro-43 w/800 MHz mod, ex. antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfoecke_sans_spam@nist.gov
Message-ID: <tfoecke_sans_spam-1704991434020001@asynce016.nist.gov>
Source record: 121759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Original box/packing/manual. 800 MHz mod completed. Model number 20-300,
Series 12A3. Extra RS multifrequency telescoping antenna. Excellent
condition. Email for details. $235 firm (includes US Mail shipping).
See picture at

http://nano.nist.gov/scanner.jpg

My auction on eBay was ended. US only. No personal checks.

Thanks.

Tim

--
Tim Foecke, PhD
National Institute of Standards and Technology
http://nano.nist.gov

1751. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7faohf$4lg$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121760

Instead of going through a lot of measures for something that you *MAY*
like, go to www.steaksandwich.com and D/L WinTone 2.02. It decodes DTMF,
CTCSS, and others without radio modifications. All you have to do is run a
line from the earphone jack on your 235 to the Line/Mic in on your
soundcard, and wallha! The software is shareware (30 days trial), so if it
is not exactly what you are looking for, you have not lost any funds, or
made any unnecessary mods to your scanner.

VWGTI wrote in message <3718AA96.72058378@rocketmail.com>...
>Keith, I don't know what you mean my moding the filters, but I did mod it
to
>have the 'baseband audio out'.
>and I thought the 2-level could work with CTCSS, SCA, FAX, RTTY. I would
get
>the 4-level, but I have no idea where to buy it. Thanks for the help
>
>
>-GTI
>
>Keith wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 09:50:19 -0500, VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >So, does that mean I will be able to buy it from MFJ, and use it with my
>> >BC-235XLT?
>> >They have a pretty good price on it.
>> >
>> >Thanks Larry....
>> > -GTI
>> >
>>
>> Yes, you can however you need to modify your scanners filters and this
>> type of decoder would only work with a pager service. A level 4
>> decoder would work with MDT's.
>>
>> Best Regards,
>>
>> Keith
>> ---
>> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>> --
>> Fletcher: Then you're not contending that the---our government's
>> capacity to protect its communications is compromised. What you're

>> asserting is that what's compromised is your capacity to eavesdrop on
>> others.
>

1752. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3718EB87.5EAF9AC5@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 121761

I do have the *registerd* version of wintone, and it does not work out good for me at all. So now I have a descriminator output on my scanner, and I want to use it with various programs that require 'hamcomm'.
-GTI

DeWat Productions wrote:

> Instead of going through a lot of measures for something that you *MAY*
> like, go to www.steaksandwich.com and D/L WinTone 2.02. It decodes DTMF,
> CTCSS, and others without radio modifications. All you have to do is run a
> line from the earphone jack on your 235 to the Line/Mic in on your
> soundcard, and wallha! The software is shareware (30 days trial), so if it
> is not exactly what you are looking for, you have not lost any funds, or
> made any unnecessary mods to your scanner.
>

1753. Software for Icom r-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@telia.com>
Message-ID: <3718f4d0.0@d2o21.telia.com>
Source record: 121762

For windows? anybody who knows?

1754. PRO-2042...will trade for good SW rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417165431.16795.00000416@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121763

I own a 2042 in exelent cond.(no mods) will trade for a "good"
communications receiver.
email me with info.
Gil

1755. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: fxbxisnoop@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417165545.14482.00001942@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121764

First, there is no law, including the Communications Act of 1934, which expressly forbids disclosing what you hear. Second, the government is aware that there are several websites which offer downloads of wav files of police radio traffic. Third, the Federal government is aware that thousands of people monitor their frequencies daily. There are books in bookstores which list all Federal agencies frequencies. If they were all that concerned, they would use the secure (scrambled) mode instead of speaking in the clear.

1756. Bearcat 211 schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tds1@psu.edu
Message-ID: <tds1-1704991631410001@nb16ppp115.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121765

I recently received an old (1979) BC211. When I first plugged it in, it actually worked. However, after I had removed and replaced the old battery, it refused to function. No lights, no sound, no nothing. When I opened it, there was a resistor on top of the circuit board, right about where the battery sits, that was only connected at one end. I don't know if this was cut as a mod years ago, or if I broke the connection fumbling with the battery. Can anyone help?
I can't even figure out where it might have been connected. Thanks.

1757. WTB:Opto R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Williams <ac6tsXX@n2.net>
Message-ID: <371900b1.0@wagner.n2.net>
Source record: 121766

I am looking for a OPTO R10 in good shape. To reply please remove "X"s
from my address. Thanks, John <ac6ts@n2.net>

---/---
---/---
----/----
-----/-----

AC6TS John Williams
LAT. 33.06.15 LON. -117.03.36 7.030Mhz CW
DM13KC 14.030Mhz CW

1758. Re: federal way wa. frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken MacLeod <ken81145@rockisland.com>
Message-ID: <924386872.471.44@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121767

Go to the following web site to get frequencies for any area you want. I am in Friday Harbor,WA and former associate editor for Washington state RCMA.
www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu

Hope this helps you.
Ken

jbe <jbelan@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3717EA78.B2C21677@ix.netcom.com...
> anyone out there know the frequencies (police,fire,ect.)
> for the city of federal way washington?
> thanks in advance john
>
>

1759. Re: Seattle-Tacoma Freqs resource needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken MacLeod <ken81145@rockisland.com>
Message-ID: <924387477.274.49@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121768

Go to the following web site to find frequencies for Seattle/Tacoma area.
www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu
This is a very good web site to look up frequencies. Bye the way I am in
Friday Harbor,WA and former associate editor for RCMA scanner magazine.

Ken
Dogface <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
news:3718e0e9.138818310@news.altopia.com...
> If anyone could direct me to a web source of freqs. for seattle tacoma
> area I would appreciate it. Much thanks in advance.
>

1760. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <371a0c97.2214229@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121769

On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 19:47:53 GMT, kew@-nospam-teleport.com (Keith)
wrote:

>Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:57:39 -0700 (PDT)
>
>Subject: HB3542
>
>Body: For those who have asked, here's the full text. I read in the
>paper this
>morning where Rep. Welsh has also introduced legislation prohibiting
>state
>employees from any activities that would reduce air pollution. I
>haven't
>seen the bill so don't know the context of this thought.
>
>
>
>70th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY--1999 Regular Session

<SNIP>

>(4) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a) of this section do
>not apply to the receiving or obtaining of the contents of any
>radio or television broadcast transmitted for the use of the
>general public.

This is the same clause in the federal statute (18 USCS 2511) that
allows people to use scanners for monitoring a very large range of
frequencies. The federal statute descripte "for the use of the
general public" as:
18 USCS 2510 (16) :
"readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect to a
radio communication, that such communication is not--
(A) scrambled or encrypted;
(B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of
preserving the privacy of such communication;
(C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a
radio transmission;
(D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common
carrier, unless the communication is a tone only paging system
communication; or
(E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart
D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal
Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication

transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not
exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the
communication is a two-way voice communication by radio.

<SNIP>

>(8) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d) and (e) of
>this section do not apply to any:
>(a) Radio communication which is transmitted by a station
>operating on an authorized frequency within the amateur or
>citizens bands; or
>(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
>transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
>or public safety communications system, including police and
>fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
>interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.

This also allows for a broad range of scanning. It is NOT illegal to
monitor any of those frequencies. I'd say the majority of range of
frequencies people are monitoring fall within that clause.

>Best Regards,
>
>Keith

<SNIP Sig>

I wouldn't say that this was an anti-scanner law at all. I'd say that
it was an enabling law. It states that it IS legal to use a scanner
and on a very wide range of frequencies. I'm curious as to why it
would be labeled an anti-scanner law? I'd consider an anti-scanner
law one that prohibits scanners totally. This seems to make them
legal in a very wide range.

1761. Scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: swl@gh.gl
Message-ID: <371d102c.35228511@news.uni2.dk>
Source record: 121770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner

Hi All..

Bought this subject in Denmark and it was sold without a AC/DC
adapter. Now i use another one but im not sure if that is okay.

How many hours of use do You get on a fully charged battery set ?
Is the AC90 - Rapid Charger essential ?

I dont't know if this is a fault. But when it is connected to my 8200
i ci-v pin needs very precise alignment. Anybody know how to fix is ?

Anyway - I absolutely love this little beaty :-)

Tia

Please also email to swl@ki.gl

1762. Re: Pro 2045 for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdaush@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990417185344.08348.00001758@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 121771

I have a realistic tabletop sw reciver mod.#398. Like new in box with inst.book.ecct. would like to trade for your 2045...C Daush

1763. Re: Seattle-Tacoma Freqs resource needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <Nk8S2.21$5h1.9887@nntp1>
Source record: 121772

Try http://rowlf.cc.wwu.edu:8080/~n8845031/ps_freqs.html It'll get you started. A little web searching will turn up a lot more .

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

Dogface wrote in message <3718e0e9.138818310@news.altopia.com>...
>If anyone could direct me to a web source of freqs. for seattle tacoma
>area I would appreciate it. Much thanks in advance.
>

1764. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fb3rp$e49$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121773

I'm sorry to hear that WinTone didn't work for you. I get a 80 to 95
percent accuracy rate when I use it to decode CTCSS; the more active the
frequency (longer transmissions), the better the accuracy. I don't have any
experience with any DTMF decoders other than WinTone, but I hope you find
something.

VWGTI wrote in message <3718EB87.5EAF9AC5@rocketmail.com>...
>I do have the *registerd* version of wintone, and it does not work out good
for
>me at all. So now I have a descriminator output on my scanner, and I want
to use
>it with various programs that require 'hamcomm'.
> -GTI
>
>DeWat Productions wrote:
>
>> Instead of going through a lot of measures for something that you *MAY*
>> like, go to www.steaksandwich.com and D/L WinTone 2.02. It decodes DTMF,
>> CTCSS, and others without radio modifications. All you have to do is run
a
>> line from the earphone jack on your 235 to the Line/Mic in on your
>> soundcard, and wallha! The software is shareware (30 days trial), so if
it
>> is not exactly what you are looking for, you have not lost any funds, or
>> made any unnecessary mods to your scanner.
>>
>

1765. Ebay auction: Uniden Bearcat 760xlt 100 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <phochief@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jD8S2.689$0%.5851@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 121774

Only 1 day remaining in this auction!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=90155507

1766. Re: Bearcat 211 schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marylou@usaor.net
Message-ID: <37194200.60A3@usaor.net>
Source record: 121775

check this site out for manuels:

http://www.w7fg.com

T. Salada wrote:
>
> I recently received an old (1979) BC211. When I first plugged it in, it
> actually worked. However, after I had removed and replaced the old
> battery, it refused to function. No lights, no sound, no nothing. When I
> opened it, there was a resistor on top of the circuit board, right about
> where the battery sits, that was only connected at one end. I don't know
> if this was cut as a mod years ago, or if I broke the connection fumbling
> with the battery. Can anyone help?
> I can't even figure out where it might have been connected. Thanks.

1767. Re: Bearcat 211 schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7fb4q2$1fe$2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 121776

T. Salada (tds1@psu.edu) wrote:
: I recently received an old (1979) BC211. When I first plugged it in, it
: actually worked. However, after I had removed and replaced the old
: battery, it refused to function. No lights, no sound, no nothing. When I
: opened it, there was a resistor on top of the circuit board, right about
: where the battery sits, that was only connected at one end. I don't know
: if this was cut as a mod years ago, or if I broke the connection fumbling
: with the battery. Can anyone help?
: I can't even figure out where it might have been connected. Thanks.

G&G communications in upstate NY will be able to help you.

1768. Re: Seattle-Tacoma Freqs resource needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanner@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <371a14f5.18905955@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121777

You will find a wealth of monitoring information for the Seattle
area at the Intercept Northwest site:
www.wolfenet.com/~daydream
Good luck.

-Marcus

On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 19:30:30 GMT, Me@biz.com (Dogface) wrote:

>If anyone could direct me to a web source of freqs. for seattle tacoma
>area I would appreciate it. Much thanks in advance.
>

1769. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max Imo <REMOVE@CAPS.net>
Message-ID: <b_8S2.3577$%K3.16096@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 121778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Who told you that you could not make a HamCom decoder? The plans are published widely, ready made units are sold freely, and they are used quite a bit to receive SSTV and WEFAX transmissions on a regular basis. Your question may be rhetorical but apparently your information about the HamCom interface is incorrect.

DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote in message news:924341155.557447@axilla.wwnet.net...
> Hey are aliens in outer space also barred from listening to cell phone
> calls, and making their own HamComm decoders?
>
> Digitaal
>
>

1770. FS;BC 350A mobile-Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417193610.17462.00002094@ng20.aol.com>
Source record: 121779

Have en excellent shape BC350 with all accessories for sale- make me an offer I need the money. Works like new

1771. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7fb6fg$t8m$1@camel18.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121780

FYI: The BC895XLT decodes CTCSS tones. You just go to the frequency you want to listen to, and the radio will scan all the CTCSS tones until it finds the one that frequency is using. If the transmission isn't long enough, the search features picks up where it left off on the next transmission.

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

1772. Bearcat 3000XLT on Military Aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fb83fjhh1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121781

How does the BC3000XLT perform in the 225 to 400 Mhz band? I've heard there are a lot of cellular images, but do they degrade it's perfromance? I'm considering purchasing one. Thanks.

1773. Re: hamcomm 4level fsk interface fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <371926E8.53CA72D0@asan.com>
Source record: 121782

You can pick up a four level fsk interface at

www.texas2way.com

They seem to put out high quality ones.

Thomas

DeWat Productions wrote:

> Instead of going through a lot of measures for something that you *MAY*
> like, go to www.steaksandwich.com and D/L WinTone 2.02. It decodes DTMF,
> CTCSS, and others without radio modifications. All you have to do is run a
> line from the earphone jack on your 235 to the Line/Mic in on your
> soundcard, and wallha! The software is shareware (30 days trial), so if it
> is not exactly what you are looking for, you have not lost any funds, or
> made any unnecessary mods to your scanner.
>
> VWGTI wrote in message <3718AA96.72058378@rocketmail.com>...
> >Keith, I don't know what you mean my moding the filters, but I did mod it
> to
> >have the 'baseband audio out'.
> >and I thought the 2-level could work with CTCSS, SCA, FAX, RTTY. I would
> get
> >the 4-level, but I have no idea where to buy it. Thanks for the help
> >
> >
> >-GTI
> >
> >Keith wrote:
> >
> >> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 09:50:19 -0500, VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >So, does that mean I will be able to buy it from MFJ, and use it with my
> >> >BC-235XLT?
> >> >They have a pretty good price on it.
> >> >
> >> >Thanks Larry....
> >> > -GTI
> >> >
> >>
> >> Yes, you can however you need to modify your scanners filters and this
> >> type of decoder would only work with a pager service. A level 4
> >> decoder would work with MDT's.
> >>
> >> Best Regards,

> >>
> >> Keith
> >> ---
> >> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
> >> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
> >> --
> >> Fletcher: Then you're not contending that the---our government's
> >> capacity to protect its communications is compromised. What you're
> >> asserting is that what's compromised is your capacity to eavesdrop on
> >> others.
> >

1774. Long Beach Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Whyme <hurtman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7fb968$4mk$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121783

Anyone have the frequencies for the L.B.G.P.? Particularly interested in Franchetti and Andretti. Have Papis, Moore, Tracy and Kanaan and will share if you need them. Thanks

1775. Re: hamcomm 4level fsk interface fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <37193654.F8EF1F0A@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 121784

Thanks Thomas!

I think I will end up getting that. But I have a couple more questions.

1)Does the 4-level FSK do everything the 2-level FSK does?

2) How reliable is this company?

-GTI
Thomas wrote:

> You can pick up a four level fsk interface at
>
> www.texas2way.com
>
> They seem to put out high quality ones.
>
> Thomas
>

1776. Re: UNIDEN BC890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dburnell@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7fbcr0bjj1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121785

Current BC890XLTs cannot be unblocked.

Hescar Trodistienne wrote in message <7ehn26gvu1@news.mr.net>...
>Since Uniden is selling these for $176, I'm wondering if your unit has
>special gold dials or something like that???
>
>
>DICK 33 wrote in message <7ehvr6$mb3$1@mtinsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>>THIS IS A BASE UNIT WHICH IS UNBLOCKED FOR CELL BAND UNIT IN GOOD SHAPE.
>>ASKING $200.00 WILL SHIP FED EX COD....PLEASE E-MAIL BACK ANY RESPONSES
>>
>>
>
>

1777. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37194027.7474C183@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 121786

Don't worry - I am sure some nice men in black suits from Washington DC
will be interested, whether you get any more takers or not.

FxBxIsnoop wrote:
>
> A four-star general who is one of President Clinton's advisors was at the
> Lansing Correctional Facility/Kansas State Penitentiary friday. A U.S. Marshal
> and some Secret Service Agents (one a good looking blonde) was at the prison
> providing security for
> him. A voice-activated tape recorder hooked to a scanner (not on prison
> property, of course) recorded their radio traffic on 162.2875 Mhz and 164.100
> Mhz. Anyone wanting to hear it, let me know & I'll e-mail a wav file of the
> traffic as soon as it gets made. It was pretty boring, one agent commenting
> that he was looking forward to eating peanuts on the plane, and an agent
> jokingly telling another agent that an inmate wanted to see him. Other than
> that, just talking about their location and destination in the prison. One of
> the agents showed up in a Volkswagen, of all things.

1778. Re: NEEDED NYC FIRE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416200512.05550.00001772@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 121794

186.2 For all NYC FD

1779. Re: police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: hero504@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f8nnm$v4i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121795

In article <3717A9F9.E0115EFF@americasm01.nt.com>,
Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com> wrote:
> What seems to have irked the feds and caused them to haul the Cheeks into
> court was the instruction sheet the couple included with the kit, which the
> government alleges,
> "explicitly advises users about decoding MDT data and states that
> COMMtronics would 'leave it up to you (the purchaser) to copy your
> friends and neighbors driver history information as the traffic cop
> feeds in their personal data.' "
>

So THAT'S what got Cheeks in hot water with the Feds!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1780. FS: ICOM PCR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <ZrQR2.194$d33.563@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121796

FOR SALE:

ICOM PCR-100
Complete, as new, with original box, cables, software, manual, and warranty card!

$225.00 + shipping

Bill Crocker
Warren, MI

1781. Re: Are there any UK newsgroups re Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sMza+IA5h9F3Ew41@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 121797

In article <3717B84B.6F64F8C1@dial.pipex.com>, fb18
<fb18@dial.pipex.com> writes

Hi,

>Have looked at the group but it is US dominated. Where do yoy finf UK
>info please?. I have afriend who requires frequencies for UK auto
>racing/rally events.

There is a UK newsgroup. Try alt.radio.scanner.uk

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1782. Re: Are there any UK newsgroups re Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <3717d6ca.38516115@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121798

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 23:23:08 +0100, fb18 <fb18@dial.pipex.com> wrote:

>Have looked at the group but it is US dominated. Where do yoy finf UK
>info please?. I have afriend who requires frequencies for UK auto
>racing/rally events.
>Anybody help?

alt.radio.scanner.uk

1783. Re: police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <373bcfea.22047449@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121799

Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com> wrote:

> Bill Cheek has been fiddling around with radio equipment and
> monitoring the airwaves in one way or another since the 1950s,
>when,
> as a kid, he pried open a brand-new transistor receiver, tinkered
>with
> the insides, and managed to pull in a signal from a station in
>faraway
> Quito, Ecuador.

All very interesting. Too bad the background puffery didn't mention some of Cheeks' egregious hooliganism that he routinely indulged in on-line, including many lengthy and heated screeds against the government.

Little if any of that was illegal, but it does put a whole different light on the actions of this wannabee martyr.

Personally, I hope the case gets dropped, because Cheek is about the lousiest person I can think of to use in a test case of some of these intrusive and (IMO) unConstitutional laws.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1784. Re: Are there any UK newsgroups re Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <3717cfe8.6807368@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 121800

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 23:23:08 +0100, fb18 <fb18@dial.pipex.com> wrote:

>Have looked at the group but it is US dominated. Where do yoy finf UK
>info please?. I have afriend who requires frequencies for UK auto
>racing/rally events.
>Anybody help?
>

alt.radio.scanner.uk

and try browsing the archives at deja news

Duncan
E17

In the royal U of K

1785. EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f8nsmvcd1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121801
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in the San diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for the article go here
http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM

For my response to them go here

http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm

Just thought i would say something :-)

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1786. Your antenna or your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <371de182.2514602@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121802

http://extra.newsguy.com/~mike9str/

My setup and 2 cents worth.

1787. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowerfs@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7f8nvrfkc0@63.65.164.175>
Source record: 121803

On 16 Apr 1999 20:23:17 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>>From: bbowerfs@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
>>
>>Again I ask, what is the purpose of it being non-interest bearing?
>>
>
>Typically, banks will set up these "charity" trust accounts and waive all the
>fees if they aren't expected to pay interest. The fees on a normal trust
>account, particularly if a bank officer acts as trustee, can be substantial and
>could eat up all the donations if not a lot of people donate.
>

Makes sense to me. Thanks.

1788. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7f8suo$3jd$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121804

Bill Cheek's data slicer was not solely designed to interecept MDT communications - it could also be used to intercept many other (legal) types of data. Unless it contained circuirty that solely worked with MDT's, there should not have been anything illegal about it. Futhermore, unless the MDTs are encrypted, intercepting them is not illegal under the ECPA. This law clearly states that a communication is only considered encrypted if its protocol is designed to prevent interception - MDTs are computerized to make data collection systematic.

In article <19990414092346.20551.00000825@ng-fi1.aol.com>, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com
>
> >If Bill's
> >computers and records were considered evidence, he wouldn't get them back
> >until after the trial.
>
> That's exactly the point. Copying the drive and then returning it to Bill would
> not be sufficient "evidence."
>
> Any reasonable lawyer would quickly argue that the copy was incomplete or
> tampered with in some other way.
>
> >This means one of two things: Either the case against the Cheeks is hopeless
> >due to insufficient evidence (which, by reading the warrant text as it was
> >posted, I doubt) or this whole thing is a witch hunt and the gathering of
> >"evidence" was simply a formality
>
> You could also speculate that they are using the tactic to get him to stop
> without ever really intending to go to trial. By simply starting a prosecution,
> many accused peoplewould quickly back down because the financial burden of a
> defense would be too great to resist.
>
> In the Craig Neidorf case (who published supposed proprietary documents in an
> hacker newsletter), the government dropped the case midway through the trial.
> Neidorf, however, was stuck with $100,000 in legal bills.
>
> >I see bad things coming on the horizon for people like us. Bad, bad
> >things...
>
> Who knows? There may be a feeling that too many people are thumbing their noses

> at the law and the feds have finally decided to do something about it. That
> seems to be the issue with eBay and the fraud claims. In addition to getting
> rid of the scam artists, eBay now wants to knock off the sales of cell-capable
> of scanners or anything else that might be objectionable.
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1789. Re: ICOM PCR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <02SR2.206$d33.648@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121805

This item has been sold.

Bill Crocker

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:ZrQR2.194$d33.563@news.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM PCR-100
> Complete, as new, with original box, cables, software, manual, and
warranty
> card!
>
> $225.00 + shipping
>
> Bill Crocker
> Warren, MI
>
>

1790. Re: Looking for opinions for the Sony ICF-SC1 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7f8u2a$48m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3716d8c2.13460025@news>,
Newsgroup wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Apr 1999 23:33:30 GMT, Omega-1@Area-51.Building-15.siq
> (Omega-1) wrote:
>
> >Is the PC version worth the extra $40?
>
> Absolutely!
>
> The PC version is more than worth it. Computer control is fun and the
programing options are awsome. I have been useing mine for about a month or so
and I love it! Just make sure you have an extra com port and that it is
functioning correctly. Mine was disabled and I had to go in to BIOS to fix
it. Not fun if your like me and don't know what you are doing.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1791. Re: Broken Keypad of Radio Shack Pro 39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: poscag@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416234230.09331.00002067@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121807

You can call the 800 parts number and order
it yourself for about 7 bucks or so, if you
are confident enough in yourself to do the replacement. I've done it to my
Pro-43
and it was pretty easy. It took about
5 days to get it.
Bob

>I have a RS Pro 39 handheld scanner, which is several years old. The rubber
>buttons either do not work, or need to be pressed very firmly. I believe I
>have heard about this problem with some other old Radio Shack handhelds.
>Can someone advise about the best solution to repairing the keypad? Thanks.
>
>Dave

1792. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <371805B5.26E22AAA@home.com>
Source record: 121808

Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about scanners
then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls" in this group.
He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that Mackie has
some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.
With all that Bill Cheek has given to the hobby, we should open our wallets and
support him.

"J. Phillips" wrote:
>
> Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net> wrote:
>
> >Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
>
> Bubba's cellmate!

1793. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <371805F8.6C32C95E@home.com>
Source record: 121809

Yes, but if you are a licensed ham radio operator, this does not apply.
You can monitor whatever you want.

D Stark wrote:
>
> Benji wrote in message <371545b4.30124356@news.rit.edu>...
> > I'm from pa. It's cool for joebob to have a mobile scanner.
> >In NY, possession in a car is ok, but if you are on a piggy freq, it's
> >a felony. Yes, I got this info straight from a sheriff.
>
> You shouldn't ask police officers about the law, especially if it's outside
> their jurisdiction. Your PA sheriff is wrong.
>
> In New York State, only ham operators or people with permits may have radio
> receivers capable of receiving police frequencies in their cars. For
> everyone else, it is a misdemeanor, not a felony. NYS V&T Sect 397.
>
> 73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1794. Re: FREESCAN needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbceo@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990416235452.15458.00001438@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 121810

Have you found a source , Thanks

1795. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37180644.A2107FB9@home.com>
Source record: 121811

Yes, buy alot of ham equipment receives out of band, and does cover
public service bands.

HIRAM 695 wrote:
>
> Sorry, guys, still wrong. See my reply on the other group. The law does not
> exempt hams; read it carefully. The law says it does not apply to licensed
> hams using gear capable of transmitting and receiving on frequencies assigned
> by the FCC exclusively for ham use.

1796. Re: police..State !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3718089e.47376510@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121812

On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 00:57:03 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>Mike <mhahaj@americasm01.nt.com> wrote:
>
>> Bill Cheek has been fiddling around with radio equipment and
>> monitoring the airwaves in one way or another since the 1950s,
>>when,
>> as a kid, he pried open a brand-new transistor receiver, tinkered
>>with
>> the insides, and managed to pull in a signal from a station in
>>faraway
>> Quito, Ecuador.
>
>All very interesting. Too bad the background puffery didn't mention
>some of Cheeks' egregious hooliganism that he routinely indulged in
>on-line, including many lengthy and heated screeds against the
>government.
>
>Little if any of that was illegal, but it does put a whole different
>light on the actions of this wannabee martyr.
>
>Personally, I hope the case gets dropped, because Cheek is about the
>lousiest person I can think of to use in a test case of some of these
>intrusive and (IMO) unConstitutional laws.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

Nowhere did I see it mentioned that Cheek was busted for illegal modifications to CB radios about 20 years back.

I recall he had a CB type newsletter with atricles on freebanding and power amps.

Feds gave him a wrist slap and that was it.
Mebbe this time a rap in the chops?

Pondering that... LCM

1797. Re: Broken Keypad of Radio Shack Pro 39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417011402.27410.00001625@ng109.aol.com>
Source record: 121813

Do you have the 800 # ?? I have a Pro-39 in a drawer with the same problem...I thought it was a lost cause and was going to toss it.

Thanks for your help.

1798. Re: Unusual HF encounters last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <37182741.F3E4DAAF@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello!

I was just going to post a note about some strange stuff I've been hearing when listening to the upper end of the HF spectrum.

I think it was 27.907 USB. Lots of buzzing sounds that change in intensity, and obvious conversations since one sound is louder than the other that responds, but they are encoded or something. Anyone got any ideas on this one? They almost seem to be
satellite based, but I dont think so. But, they do take on the rythem of a human conversation. Check it out and let me know what you think these odd signals are for, please. I've just never heard anything like them before!

Toscano wrote:

> Around 0200EST on the morning of 4/4/99, I heard the following.
> I am located in Worcester, MA.
>
> 3330kHz "tick-tocking" like the Ft. Collins, Colorado, time signal, from north?
>
> 24.005MHz "ringing" sound, did not seem to be encoded signal, perhaps radar?
>
> 27.705, AM mode, voices, HAM? Illegal CB?
>
> Any info appreciated, thanks!

1799. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7f99qg$9ef$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in the San
> >diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for the
> >article go here
> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >
> >For my response to them go here
> >
> >http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm
> >
> >Just thought i would say something :-)
>
> I will be following up with more information regarding this topic.
> There is a legal precedent for publishing source code. The best thing
> that anyone can do is simply publish the source code.
>
>
Meaning ?

eavsdropper

1800. Re: do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Void2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7020-37182544-45@newsd-253.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121816

I failed.

1801. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: The_Scanman <wombe_raider@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <924332858.482901@bell.dialix.com.au>
Source record: 121817
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Scott Doorey wrote in message <924252089.785936@slave.tac.com.au>...
>Doesn't mean people won't still listen
>
>
Cmon All this is a joke..... its illegal therefore no - one will listen ,,,
me and a friend had a great laugh over this guys outlook on illegality....
its like a drug dealer gets caught selling to an under cover "D" and says
"its illegal to sell drugs?? , I guess its illegal to take them too?"

Now seriously just because that phones cellular or cordless are illegal to
monitor doesn't mean no - one will do it.....

Get a Life....
-JH

1802. KOSOVO FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <37183C99.A23FE6BF@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 121818

I have seen some posts requesting frequencis in the
Balkans.If you want a ----load of them go to my page and
look for the KOSOVO link>>shortwave,HF,VHF,and yes even
UHF.There is a lot of "ducting"going on and I have logged
Magic "AWACS" and others on vhf and uhf here in Minnesota so
you never know what you may find
http://www.geocities.com:80/CapitolHill/Lobby/2395/390.html

1803. Re: F.S PRO-2004(SOLD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioAktiv@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13977-37183777-55@newsd-171.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121819

The 2004 is sold. Thanks for all of the response

Steve

1804. Re: F.S Opto Scout (SOLD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioAktiv@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13980-37183704-6@newsd-171.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121820

The Scout is sold. Thanks for all of the response.
Steve

1805. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417045157.08561.00001469@ng66.aol.com>
Source record: 121821

>Can I use the Hamcomm (http://mfjenterprises.com/short/mfj1213.html)
>that is sold by MFJ with my BC-235XLT to decode DTMF? Is it in any way
>like the 2-level FSK? Please excuse my ignorance on this subject, and
>thanks for all the help!

The hamcomm interface is exactly that, a 2 level data slicer. You can use it
with most any software that decides FSK.

Larry

1806. Re: Broken Keypad of Radio Shack Pro 39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <37185d77.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121822

1-800-THE SHACK

SFbobby <sfbobby@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990417011402.27410.00001625@ng109.aol.com...
> Do you have the 800 # ?? I have a Pro-39 in a drawer with the same
problem...I
> thought it was a lost cause and was going to toss it.
>
> Thanks for your help.

1807. Re: KOSOVO FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <37186018.3A6C8C7F@mich.com>
Source record: 121823

Just FYI, all this lame-ass did is link to a Grove web page article!

whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net wrote:

> I have seen some posts requesting frequencis in the
> Balkans.If you want a ----load of them go to my page and
> look for the KOSOVO link>>shortwave,HF,VHF,and yes even
> UHF.

> There is a lot of "ducting"going on and I have logged
> Magic "AWACS" and others on vhf and uhf here in Minnesota so
> you never know what you may find

Well gee, you can say that again, but I think I can top you! On a VHF frequency here in downtown Detroit Michigan, United States of America, North America, Earth, I was able to tune in the Martian Navy!! They very clearly ID'ed the net as being an official "NAVY MARS" net, and in English to boot!

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1808. Re: KOSOVO FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com
Message-ID: <371a75ec.2164982@news.fcc.net>
Source record: 121824

On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 02:47:37 -0500, whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
wrote:

>I have seen some posts requesting frequencis in the
>Balkans.If you want a ----load of them go to my page and
>look for the KOSOVO link>>shortwave,HF,VHF,and yes even
>UHF.There is a lot of "ducting"going on and I have logged
>Magic "AWACS" and others on vhf and uhf here in Minnesota so
>you never know what you may find
>http://www.geocities.com:80/CapitolHill/Lobby/2395/390.html

The Kosovo link above takes you directly to the Monitoring Times
Balkans Crisis web page http://www.grove-ent.com/balkanscrisis.html.

--
Roger Pettengill

anyone@a-nonexistant-domain.com is spambait.
To reply directly e-mail ca148 at hotmail dot com.

1809. Pro 2045 for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7f9t49ofk1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro 2045, 200 channel base scanner for sale or trade. Radio has the CTCSS tone board installed. The 2045 covers 29-54,108-136.975, 137-174,216-224.9875,225-399.9875,400-512,806-823.9375,896.125-1000 MHz. Features include weather alert, tuning knob, auto sort, auto store, frequency transfer, limit search, direct search, count feature, search skip, delay, data skip, 10 priority channels, hperscan, and hypersearch.

Radio is in excellent condition, includes AC adapter and manual.
Asking $150. Or will trade for tabletop SW receveiver.
Also have other radios for sale or trade-
GE Superadio III $30
Sangean 808 - $60
Sony SW 30 - $50

Thanks

D. Schopp

1810. Re: Unusual HF encounters last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Fran&#65533;ois F." <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7fa3fc$1b7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121826

About the tick-tock at 3330 kHz, it is Canadian station CHU, which broadcasts time signals like WWV. They're also on 7335 and 14670.

Fran&#65533;ois F.
Montr&#65533;al.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1811. Re: Ecouteurs sans frontieres :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Fran&#65533;ois F." <francfer@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7fa4f9$205$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In Canada:

The sale, posession and use of scanners are legal. The cellular band exclusion is not mandatory but, since most radios come from the US, they are often excluded.

Listening is legal but you may not mention what you've heard (even to your dog!), neither use the content of messages for commercial or criminal purposes. Officially, listening to private mobile phone conversations is illegal, but the technical means to do so are legal and available ;-)

Fran&#65533;ois
Montr&#65533;al (Qu&#65533;bec)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1812. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924341008.371820@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

As long as you have permission from the creators of the program to post the source code in public. It's ok.

If so.....Keith's reply is, well.....meaningless.

I think what your doing is cool,

Digitaal

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7f99qg$9ef$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>
>> >I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in the San
>> >diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for the
>> >article go here
>> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>> >
>> >For my response to them go here
>> >
>> >http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm
>> >
>> >Just thought i would say something :-)
>>
>> I will be following up with more information regarding this topic.
>> There is a legal precedent for publishing source code. The best thing
>> that anyone can do is simply publish the source code.
>>
>>
>Meaning ?
>
>eavsdropper
>
>

1813. What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924341155.557447@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hey are aliens in outer space also barred from listening to cell phone calls, and making their own HamComm decoders?

Digitaal

1814. FS: Bendix-King LPX2101B 800MHz radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37189A10.8979035B@bv.net>
Source record: 121830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have one 7/10 condition Model # LPX2101B 800MHx radio. System & Group knobs on top as well as SCAN & MON toggle switches. I cannot program. System beeps when keyed. Comes with antenna, rapid charger and unit w/ battery. Sell $100 obo

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1815. FS: Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Becker <beckerm@primary.net>
Message-ID: <3718B79A.209B@primary.net>
Source record: 121831

For Sale:

Radio Shack Pro-43
Cell and Audio Modification Perfomed
Excellent Working Condition
Minor Wear on Case Edges
Stock Radio Shack Antenna
Manual

$230, includes USPS Priority Shipping
Payment: Money Order or Certified Check

Reason for Selling: Wanting to upgrade to
more channels & trunk tracking.

Please E-mail if interest...

Marty Becker
St. Louis, MO

1816. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990417104811.11753.00001481@ng102.aol.com>
Source record: 121832

>From: "DiGitaal_DiSrupTer" <superwarbird@juno.com>

>Hey are aliens in outer space also barred from listening to cell phone
>calls, and making their own HamComm decoders? >>>

I bet if they could hear the conversations they would steer clear of planet earth and chalk another one up to "inhabited by nothing worth researching or invading".

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1817. Re: hamcomm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: VWGTI <vw@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <37189FAA.F169AE80@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 121833

So, does that mean I will be able to buy it from MFJ, and use it with my
BC-235XLT?
They have a pretty good price on it.

Thanks Larry....
-GTI

LF686 wrote:

> >Can I use the Hamcomm (http://mfjenterprises.com/short/mfj1213.html)
> >that is sold by MFJ with my BC-235XLT to decode DTMF? Is it in any way
> >like the 2-level FSK? Please excuse my ignorance on this subject, and
> >thanks for all the help!
>
> The hamcomm interface is exactly that, a 2 level data slicer. You can use it
> with most any software that decides FSK.
>
> Larry

1818. Oceanside, CA. USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tork <tork@cts.com>
Message-ID: <7fa7q0$s8q$1@nusku.cts.com>
Source record: 121834

Date sent: 17 Apr 1999 15:05:26 -0000
From: MAILER-DAEMON@onelist.com
To: tork@cts.com
Subject: failure notice

Hi. This is the qmail-send program at onelist.com.
I'm afraid I wasn't able to deliver your message to the following
addresses.
This is a permanent error; I've given up. Sorry it didn't work out.

<socalscan@onelist.com>:
validate: fatal
It appears that you are not a subscriber to this list or that this email
was sent from an account with a different email address than the address
you registered with ONElist. Only subscribers can post messages to
ONElist
lists. To subscribe to this list, go to the following web page:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/socalscan

For further assistance, email admin@onelist.com.

--- Below this line is a copy of the message.

Return-Path: <tork@cts.com>
Received: (qmail 16949 invoked from network); 17 Apr 1999 15:05:26 -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO neko.cts.com) (209.68.192.150)
by pop.onelist.com with SMTP; 17 Apr 1999 15:05:26 -0000
Received: from oemcomputer (putc421612010121.cts.com [216.120.10.121])
by neko.cts.com (8.9.3/8.9.3) with SMTP id HAA01722
for <socalscan@onelist.com>; Sat, 17 Apr 1999 07:47:07 -0700 (PDT)
Message-Id: <199904171447.HAA01722@neko.cts.com>
From: tork@cts.com
To: socalscan@onelist.com
Date: Sat, 17 Apr 1999 07:45:45 -0700
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Can anyone confrim what a "John" unit for Oceanside Police
Department is. OPD is the dept. I mainly monitor and have noticed
them using the John call sign recently.

Also recenlty heard the "Victor" unit ID for the CHP. I'm 90% sure
this is the volunteers for the CHP. Anyone else confirm this?

Thanks for any info.

David
KF6DGN

1819. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fa85l$t87$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> As long as you have permission from the creators of the program to post
the
> source code in public. It's ok.
>
> If so........Keith's reply is, well.......meaningless.
>
> I think what your doing is cool,

1) Yes I have with most of them that i have posted

2) those who i have not reached yet posted the source PUBLICLY anyway,
therefore allowing it to be posted into the public domain, of course i still
treat their source code with the required respect and do not change it or
anything (see below)

3) Most of this software (the free ones anyway) state in their txt files
that they wish for people to carry on with theri source code and to improve
or add to it if wished

so any stupid little boys threats will not carry any weight with me

EAVSDROPPER

1820. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11817387ff8e93bd9896b2@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121836

In article <7f81u4gnu1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>,
ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk says...
> M ost modern digital cellular phones use a5 encryption i belive , this
> is quite secure although not toally and it takes even the Gov's a LONG time
> to get anywhere with it
>
> eavsdropper

Why don't digital cordless phones use this scheme?

Vamp

1821. Vhf pager monitor with ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <ianmallory@forbin.com>
Message-ID: <371aa424@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 121587

Hello, Can i use the dtmf decoder on my radio to open the squelch on my local fire departments tones-vhf?

If so, how do I do it?

1822. Updated Mods Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <M1yS2.228$W5.763280@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 121588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I all, I just updated my site with transmit mods for the new Yaesu FT-100 HF/VHF/UHF transciever at http://www2.nightowl.net/tyler of course all the other mods and info are there too.

Todd

1823. Re: Trunked Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7fegaqguc1@samsara0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121589
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

>> You have the frequencies that are used - you should be able to find who the licensee is from those. <<

Hah, hah. Good one.

Nextel bought all the frequencies and is leasing them out. Apparently they don't have to tell the FCC who the actual end user is, as that info isn't in the databases. Your search has a good chance of hitting a Nextel dead end.

<< Art >>

1824. Re: Scanner owner's manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lawrence H. Zielasko" <lzielasko@home.com>
Message-ID: <7fecpr$k3p@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Or you can find'em for no cost at http://www.radioshack.com/Support/.

<miydejanews@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7fc2v1kuc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> I have the following Radio Shack owner's manuals for sale:
>
> PRO-34 (20-135) Excellent copy provided by Tandy
> PRO-43 (20-300) Original, like new
> PRO-2035 (20-460) Original, like new
>
> $7.00 each, including shipping in CONUS. Postal money order only.
>
> --
> Please respond directly to my e-mail address, not to this newsgroup.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1825. Re: RADIO REVOLUTION Bumber Sticker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <uFKwAyYi#GA.419@upnetnews03>
Source record: 121637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote in message ...
>GET YOUR SCANNER REVOLUTION BUMPER STICKER NOW!
>
>IT READS IN RED WHITE AND BLUE (sometimes purplish);
>
>"WHEN FSK INTERFACES ARE OUTLAWED ONLY OUTLAWS WILL HAVE FSK INTERFACES"
>
>$45.00 + 8.00 SHIPPING AND HANDLING
>CASH / CASHIERS CHECK / MONEY ORDER ONLY
>
>$1.00 FROM EVERY ORDER WILL BE DONATED TO THE SPRING BILL AND CINDY CHEEK
>LEGAL DEFENSE FUND.
>
>THE RESULTING PROFIT WILL BE USED TO FIGHT AGAINST BIG BROTHER BY
PURCHASING
>AS MANY SCANNERS / FSK INTERFACES/ AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS RADIO AND
>DECODING DEVICES AS POSSIBLE.
>
>HELP THE FIGHT AGAINST THE OPPRESSIVE GOVERNMENT JUGGERNAUT!
>
>BUY YOUR BUMPER STICKER NOW!
>
>SEND ME THE MONEY!
<<<< SNIP SNIP >>>>

For $53.00 one could purchase a lot of Hamcomm interfaces. One question how will purchasing said scanners and interfaces impact decisions made by our Government?

In my humble opinion, the voting booth would be more appropriate influence, therefore this money could be put to better use by educating the public whom is largely uninformed about scanners, ham radio and other electronic hobbies (other than the Internet).

1826. Re: ham freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7fchlkpkk1@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 121638

In article <7fbujnmrr1@news3.infoave.net>, "charley" <snoopy5@shawneelink.com> wrote:
>i neen the ham freq for illinois
>please help
>
>thanks

Which ham freq.? There are tons of them...

Tom

1827. Re: Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Fuller <karljb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3719CD35.1B44FF88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 121639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

to say the ft100 is a lemon is a bit drastic. how many have been sold?
how many of thease are bad? what percentage is this?did they refuse your
warenty claim?
i only think you need to reserch things a little bit more befor trashing
a new radio. a friend of mine didnt have any problems with his.

Matdee wrote:

> Took delivery 2 wks ago of a new FT-100. I am waiting to take
> my ham test next week and thought I would start off with
> the new mini-radio by Yaesu. Power it up from a regulated
> lab grade power supply for rcv only. The display went DEAD
> and actually smelled something burning just after 1/2 hour of
> use. Shipped it back to the dealer for a warranty claim - Hamradio
> outlet Nv. I now hear since then many other people have had their
> FT-100 crap out on them. STAY AWAY from the FT-100.
> It's the radio equivalant of a Yugo or Vega. A real radio LEMON!
>
> Matt D. N.Mex

1828. Another stellar evening on 3.950 mHz SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: loopse fruito <bh7424@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <01be8994$f71d24c0$56650e26@01248f848349>
Source record: 121640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, iffen you missed last evening's edition of
the Liberty Net (Saturdays 9:00 PM Central Tme LSB)
You missed another stellar performance by that
punch-drunken, slap happy ass from Arkansas.
(No..not the one in Washington, the one who's still
in Ak) Still can't get his callsign since he seems to
be smashed on ole-red-eye every time he's on the
air. Thinl his name is Bobby, and if you had 2 more
like him and a 6-foot round piece of plywood you'd have
all the makings for a great 3-leg'd coffee table.
Thanks for another fine even there Bobby! Just remember
to clean the cow shi+ from your shoes when you walk in the
house, OK?

1829. Re: Cheap Bearcat 2500XLT batteries?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990418084214.16042.00002257@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121641

>From: "Karl Dahlquist" <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>

>Anyone have a source less than $50?
>
>I believe my battery is giving up the ghost....the battery light keeps
>blinking no matter how long I charge it...and am getting about an hour of
>use.... >>>

They have them for $40 at the below site
http://www.racescan.com/access2.htm

If you want to run your BC2500XLT on AAs then you can check out my site below.
I converted one of my old packs for AAs or AAAs.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1830. Re: Looking for PRO-2035 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fcjretsf1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121642

Well, the best thing to do for that 2035 is to put an Optoelectronics Optoscan 535 in it, and get some decent software, like Probe 4.0 to run on it. You`ll love it, it decodes PLL tones ,DCS, etc.

CyberGuy <kp4@iname.com> wrote in message news:7faada$j7q$1@flood.xnet.com...
> Can anyone help? Looking for PRO-2035 mods. THX
>
>
>

1831. Uniden 9000XLT ... overload??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdgordon@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990418093032.16049.00002262@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121643

I was at a friends house and listening to his two 9000xlt's on outside discones. He mentioned that he has to use the attenuator on almost every VHF-Hi frequency just to hear them. If the ATT is off, there is no signal. Is anyone aware of a solution that would allow the Hi band stuff to come through without having to run the ATT??

CDGordon@aol.com

1832. MOBITEX software writers needed !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fcncf$lr2$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for someone to carry on the MOBITEX decoder software for us
enthusiasts !!

COME ON!

Some funds "may" be avaliable if it works wellMOBIEX

speak to me

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1833. MPT protocol decoder ariters needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fcnkc$m1a$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for people who can write software for decoding the MPT modes
(all of them pref), for us enthusiasts.

Some funds "may" be available if it works well

COME ON PEEPS !

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk

1834. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <371a06d1@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 121646

Pro43 <pro43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990417205408.24019.00002201@ng14.aol.com...
> I just received this letter from EBAY tonight. I had an AOR 8000 listed.
There
> are still 3 AOR 1000's and 2 AOR 8000's still on their site being
auctioned.
> But, mine got booted. It is not modified it is stock-just for your info.
Ive
> asked them how they decide to pull one and not another. I will wait to see
if
> they are good enough to ansewer.
>

Don't feel bad....I got the exact same letter from them for a 2005 I had listed with only 5 hours to go in the auction. I raised hell with them because there were a lot of 2004/5/6's going at the same time, and they let most of them stay. They also made mention of the 900mhz cellular thing. I asked them to cite a specific reference pertaining to the fact the cell phones were in the 900mhz band. NO where in my description did it make mention of the radio being modified for cellular. One peculiar thing did happen though. Right before it was canceled, someone with some hidden negative feedback placed a bid on it. I mailed the person asking them about it, and it turned out the address was fake, because the mail was bounced twice. I've about had it with Ebay and their bullshit practices. Good luck getting a reply. They know I am upset about what they did, but their customer support is pathetic. I'm taking my auction business to Amazon. I spent a good deal of time doing some work on this radio, replacing the backlight, keypad, and the front knobs/switches and getting it into really nice condition, plus hauling it halfway across town to get some digital photos taken. Oh well, the Dayton Hamvention is next month and it will look good sitting on a table. If you are lucky enough to get a reply, please let me know.

Dan

1835. Re: Another stellar evening on 3.950 mHz SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu
Message-ID: <FAE05w.HCs@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 121647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So... Who the heck gives a damn??? If you don't like it, turn
the dial! Everyone *else* should be censored - except YOU??
--
Cursive writing does not mean what I think it does...

1836. Re: RADIO REVOLUTION Bumber Sticker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3719F25A.FD76E785@bwn.net>
Source record: 121648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings,
Ivan, lighten up...it was a joke! It was a joke, wasn't it Glen? Tell me
it was a joke!
Gerry

Ivan Ivanovich wrote:
>
> Glenn wrote in message ...
> >GET YOUR SCANNER REVOLUTION BUMPER STICKER NOW!
> >
> >IT READS IN RED WHITE AND BLUE (sometimes purplish);
> >
> >"WHEN FSK INTERFACES ARE OUTLAWED ONLY OUTLAWS WILL HAVE FSK INTERFACES"
> >
> >$45.00 + 8.00 SHIPPING AND HANDLING
> >CASH / CASHIERS CHECK / MONEY ORDER ONLY
> >
> >$1.00 FROM EVERY ORDER WILL BE DONATED TO THE SPRING BILL AND CINDY CHEEK
> >LEGAL DEFENSE FUND.

>
> For $53.00 one could purchase a lot of Hamcomm interfaces. One question how
> will purchasing said scanners and interfaces impact decisions made by our
> Government?

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

1837. Re: Uniden 9000XLT ... overload??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7fc7gi$3pk$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 121649

Use a ground plane antenna. When I used my discone with either the BC9000 or a PRO-2004/2005/2006/2035/2042 I got the same results. I don't have this happen when I use the discone with BC895, AOR3000A, etc. Someone suggested that the discone is seen as a "short" when used with a radio having an attenuator. I have experiemented recently with my Optocom and it, too, acts strange when used with my discone. It seems to work best when used with a quarter-wave VHF high band ground plane antenna.

1838. Analogue Cellular Frequencies in the uk anyone ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hairy <Hairy@pits.com>
Message-ID: <0rnS2.72188$Eb.15297@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 121650

Thank you 8o)

1839. WTB: OptoCom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A035A.CA503518@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 121651

Looking to buy a used OptoCom in perfect condition only. Email with an offer if you have one to sell.

Dan
danr@azstarnet.com

1840. Etrax Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A03B5.56AE022F@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 121652

Anyone used this Etrax software? Grove and a few others are selling it.
Works with the OptoCom. How does it perform? Please reply to email if
you've used it.

Dan

1841. Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lionel B." <l.bertrand_nospam_@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7fd40i$mf3$1@mars.worldonline.fr>
Source record: 121653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
Hi
Newbie in Acars reception, in SW of France
Got these mess. today
What could it be ????Military, Gov ?
73's & Tnx
By the way, I'm searching for Name and location of ground stations,
designated as letters...Found some in UK, but what about French stations
????

G-OJSW
AIR ONE ? XX6023
Using Ground Station E
Message Type H1 GENERAL MESSAGE
#DF BENGHAZI G L ENG R |
N1 88.3 88.3 | PS3 .---- .---- |
N2 91.1 91.1 | P14 .---- .---- |
EGT 616 615 | P25 .---- .---- |
FF

G-OJSW
AIR ONE ? XX6023
Using Ground Station E
Message Type H1 GENERAL MESSAGE
#DFB0 DEPART:'''' DESTIN:''''
S/N R:000000 |--- --- --- --- --- --- --- -|
| <-FADEC EQUIPPED A/C ONLY-> |
ENG L ENG R |
```

1842. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <8AnS2.4200$8m5.6978@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121654

Keith wrote in message <371cc2c4.18460210@news.teleport.com>...
>On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 01:18:15 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
>>transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
>>or public safety communications system, including police and
>>fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
>>interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
>
>
>Read this sir. You can only monitor public agencies. You can not
>monitor private business or anything NOT public agency.

I did. So, we keep access to public safety, which is the most important aspect of scanning in a "free" society. With the telecommunications industry taking over the rest of the radio spectrum, it's the best we're probably going to get, until private hobby use of radio equipment is completely banned.

73 de Dave, NF2G (I wonder how long they'll keep ham radio around)

1843. FS: P110 Slow Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ComCentre <comcentre@usa.net>
Message-ID: <371a0fd3@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 121655

Like new in original box. $25.00 with shipping CONTUS included.

Chuck
comcentre@usa.net

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
----------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

1844. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <371A1549.CCA3AE68@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Keith wrote:

> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 10:27:25 -0400, "DiGitaal_DiSrupTer"
> <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >As long as you have permission from the creators of the program to post the
> >source code in public. It's ok.
> >
> >If so........Keith's reply is, well.......meaningless.
> >
>
> What I mean is that the source code is protected under free speech
> rights. If the author of a computer source code wishes to publish
> it then it can not be illegal to do so.
>
> >I think what your doing is cool,
> >
> >Digitaal
> >
> >EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7f99qg$9ef$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> >>
> >>> >I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in the
> >San
> >>> >diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for the
> >>> >article go here
> >>> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >>> >
> >>> >For my response to them go here
> >>> >
> >>> >http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm
> >>> >
> >>> >Just thought i would say something :-)
> >>>
> >>> I will be following up with more information regarding this topic.
> >>> There is a legal precedent for publishing source code. The best thing
> >>> that anyone can do is simply publish the source code.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>Meaning ?
> >>
> >>eavsdropper
> >>
> >>

> >
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ---
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
> ---
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
> to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
> an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
> to break a single message.
> --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
> 1997.

Your signature file makes me laugh! The NSA *Wants* everyone to believe that!
Did you see recently that a group of amateurs with about 100 pentiums lashed
together managed to crack the lower level of encryption similar to pgp!
Watch out, theres spooks about!
Paul {;-)

ps is this a real quote!

1845. FS: A Rare Find - MJ10 Spectrum Display Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian <106471.620@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <udQvrAci#GA.288@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 121657

MJ10 SPECTRUM DISPLAY UNIT

A RARE FIND - PROFESSIONAL UNIT - COST £1800 + VAT

USES 10.7MHz IF - SUITABLE FOR IC-R7000/7100/8500 ETC.

DISPLAYS 1MHz BANDWIDTH WITH 5KHz RESOLUTION

INCLUDES BUILT-IN TV DEMODULATOR WITH TUNABLE AUDIO SUBCARRIERS AND AUDIO AMPLIFIER / SPEAKER

BUILT-IN MAINS PSU

ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER£S DATA SHEET

PERFECT WORKING ORDER

LOCATED IN NORTH CORNWALL, UK

CAN SEND PHOTO BY EMAIL TO INTERESTED PERSONS

£300 SORRY, BUT NO OFFERS

HAPPY TO SEND BY COURIER AT EXTRA CHARGE

CONTACT ADRIAN G4JBH

01288 341454 OR specelec@compuserve.com

1846. FS: Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert <ns4w@tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A1ECC.E773521C@tdsnet.com>
Source record: 121658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi All,

I have for sale a Realistic PRO-2006
scanner for sale.

Operates perfect, physical 9 of 10.

Includes manual, original box, and
20-006A All-Band Telescoping Antenna (BNC connector).

Price $250 including shipping CONUS, cashiers check or
money order.

Thanks, Bert - NS4W (near Knoxville, TN)

1847. FS: Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert <ns4w@tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A1F7A.9E05A32F@tdsnet.com>
Source record: 121659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi All,

I have for sale a Realistic PRO-2006
scanner for sale.

Operates perfect, physical 9 of 10.

Includes manual, original box, and
20-006A All-Band Telescoping Antenna (BNC connector).

Price $250 including shipping CONUS, cashiers check or
money order.

Thanks, Bert - NS4W (near Knoxville, TN)

1848. FS: Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert <ns4w@tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A211F.BA9F13BE@tdsnet.com>
Source record: 121660

Hi All,

I have for sale a Realistic PRO-2006
scanner for sale.

Operates perfect, physical 9 of 10.

Includes manual, original box, and
20-006A All-Band Telescoping Antenna (BNC connector).

Price $250 including shipping CONUS, cashiers check or
money order.

Thanks, Bert - NS4W (near Knoxville, TN)

1849. Re: FS: Bearcat 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990418144158.29270.00002288@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 121661

Still for sale.
al

1850. Re: Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <371a1492.18486069@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 121662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 18:17:38 +0200, "Lionel B."
<l.bertrand_nospam_@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Hi
>Newbie in Acars reception, in SW of France
>Got these mess. today
>What could it be ????Military, Gov ?
>73's & Tnx
>By the way, I'm searching for Name and location of ground stations,
>designated as letters...Found some in UK, but what about French stations

If you download ACARS v0.7 and use that, it will
decode and print the ground station location.

>G-OJSW
>AIR ONE ? XX6023
>Using Ground Station E
>Message Type H1 GENERAL MESSAGE

Don't have G-OJSW listed, sorry.

--
Regards, Jim. | The only people worried about Scottish
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | independence are the English. Why is
dynastic%cwcom.net | that?
nordland%aol.com |
marula%zdnetmail.com | - Alex Salmond, Leader SNP.
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1851. Re: Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil English <Phil.English@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <7fdb16$o2h$1@barcode.tesco.net>
Source record: 121663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello

G-OJSW is the reg of a Boeing 737-800 aircraft operated by Sabre Airways. It often pops up on ACARS like this, as evidenced by ACARS postings by aircraft spotters on the web.

Regards

1852. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <trick@trickster.org>
Message-ID: <371A340A.3F632EAA@trickster.org>
Source record: 121664

My basic radio listening principle is this... If someone does not want me to 'listen' to their transmission, then then can just keep their signals out of my personal domain. i.e.: do not irradiate my home or my body with your signals if you do not want me to listen to them. simple ;-)

oh... one other principle that seems to have been lost in the techno-babble these days.... one of the reasons that the 'airwaves' are in the 'public domain' is that they (the transmissions) can not be constrained to specific borders. You should have seen the anarchy that existed before the Communications Act of 1934 ... when every State, County and locality tried to license and control radio transmissions and reception. what a hoot! Seems they have forgotten what a mess it created.

I read with interest some proposed new legislation to 'protect' private communications ... the upshot of it is that it would make cellular phones (scanning receivers capable of receiving cellular phone transmissions in the 800-900 mhz band) illegal to own. Not the intended effect I bet. ROTHLMAO!

It's a brave new world out there.

We need a new FCC chairman and board with with some b*lls.

Rick (been a ham for 30 years ... over 15 years volunteer public service)

Keith wrote:

> On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 01:18:15 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
> >(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
> >transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
> >or public safety communications system, including police and
> >fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
> >interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
>
> Read this sir. You can only monitor public agencies. You can not
> monitor private business or anything NOT public agency.
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith

1853. Re: check this out everyone!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <trick@trickster.org>
Message-ID: <371A3818.AB4782@trickster.org>
Source record: 121665

Sounds like Cellular phones will be outlawed by this move. ;-)

JCM1168 wrote:

> ZCZC AG24
> QST de W1AW
> ARRL Bulletin 24 ARLB024
> From ARRL Headquarters
> Newington CT April 7, 1999
> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB QST ARL ARLB024
> ARLB024 FCC Issues Strengthened Scanner Receiver Rules
>
> The FCC has amended its rules to strengthen existing prohibitions on
> scanning receivers that can receive cellular telephone
> transmissions. <snip>

1854. $$$mo money$$$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dawnmcnichols <dawnmcnichols@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <vKqS2.103947$Mb.38616087@newscontent-02.sprint.ca>
Source record: 121666

THIS IS NO GIMMICK, EARN UP TO $30,000.00
IN A MATTER OF MINUTES!

$$
*THE MONEYLINE PROGRAM DOES ALL THE WORK FOR YOU.
*NO INVESTMENT REQUIRED.
*NO REGISTRATION FEE.
*REGISTRATION ONLY TAKES 10 MINUTES.
*CHECK YOUR EARNINGS 24 HRS A DAY VIA TELEPHONE.
*TRANSFER EARNINGS TO YOUR BANK ACCOUNT VIA TELEPHONE.
*MONEYLINE INTERNATIONAL PHONE NUMBER IS ACCESSIBLE
WORLDWIDE.
THC Financial Services has developed an easy way of making
lots of money (U.S. Dollars) in less than 30 minutes with the
THC MONEYLINE.
JUST FOLLOW THESE EASY STEPS EXACTLY THE WAY INSTRUCTED!!!
1.Pick up the phone and dial this international number:
in the US dial 10-10-288-011-56-900-4383
Outside the US dial: International Access Code 011 then 56-900-4383
2.Follow the instructions of the recorded message.
3.When asked for the registration number, enter 2626925244 on your
phone pad.
4.You will be given your own registration number and pin code.
5.Follow the directions given to you exactly as instructed.
6.With your pin code, you can check your earnings 24 hrs.
a day and make money transfer directly into your bank account.
GOOD LUCK AND WELCOME TO THE FASTEST WAY TO START EARNING
SERIOUS CASH NOW!

juniormcnichols@hotmail.com

_____
Get Free Email and Do More On The Web. Visit http://www.msn.com

1855. Little Silver, NJ Police DPL code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2DLA Paul <kpaul@usa.net>
Message-ID: <01be89da$3d0a17a0$17a6accf@bert.poss.com>
Source record: 121667

Hello group,
I need to know the DPL code for Little Silver's police repeater on
155.610 in Monmouth County, NJ. This is a fairly new repeater using DPL
and it would help me out if anybody knows it. Thank you for the read.

Paul
kpaul@usa.net

1856. Frequencies for Cordele, Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: sun9807 <sun9807@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7fdjg9pul1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121668

I recently got a TrunkTracker 800mhz. Does anyone know the frequencies for this area?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1857. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: TheBurger <wc@intergate.bc.ca>
Message-ID: <371a4bd7@carrera.intergate.ca>
Source record: 121669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Let's take this one step further...Don't even build this anntenna but just use your exsisting TV antenna or 2/6 meter anntenna....actually the 6 meter one is almost tuned to the right freq ;-)
Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com> wrote in message news:3718D1C0.DFB305C4@hotbot.com...
> HAHA! Let me tell you a little story!
>
> Before it became ILLEGAL to use 1ply toilet paper, and monitor
> cordless phones, I built an antenna to see how far I might be able to receive
> those signals.
>
> I used inexpensive metal pipes that are found in the electrical parts area of
> your hardware store. They cost about $1.20 each for ten foot lengths, so they are
> really great
> for making antennas.
> I whipped out the ARRL handbook to compute the size of this Yagi antenna. That
> was no big deal to figure with their formulas, and these days, software is
> available to do it for you.
> I cut the pipes to length, and mounted them on on pipe that was a little larger.
> I was using .75 or 1 inch pipes as the crossmembers, and the boom was made from
> 1.25 diameter pipe or better known as conduit.
> I added a small tuneable pf range capicitor between the second crossmember and
> the grounded boom using a few inches of phone wire. This allows you to tune the
> antenna perfectly. The capacitor was one of those tunable types from Radio Shack
> that cost about $1.50 for three in a bag. They sell two colors: green and orange
> or red. I used the green tunable pf capacitor.
> The antenna was fairly large, but easy to handle. I connected it to the 2004 I
> used to own and plugged in the 47 to 49 mhz range. WIth the antenna near the
> scanner, I tuned the capacitor while listening to a very distant signal that was
> constant in level. As I turned the capacitor very slowly, I could hear

the
> signal get louder, and then fade. I adjusted it for the loudest possible
signal
> and left it. Then I mounted the antenna on its
> side or vertical. The poll was two Radio Shack TV antenna stackable
polls. One
> was
> 10 ft, and the other 5ft. So, the antenna as not very high off the
ground.
>
> BUT!!!! As I tuned around the baby monitor/portable phone band, I COULD
HARDLY
> BELIEVE HOW MANY PEOPLE I COULD HEAR!
>
> This antenna cost nearly nothing to build, but it worked so well, I could
hear
> portable phones that were SEVERAL MILES away! And, because it was a Yagi
> configuration,
> I could turn it towards what I wanted to hear. In other words, it was
focusable.
>
> If you have the time, and the desire to build this antenna, you will be
very
> rewarded by its performance, believe me! I don't have the figures
available for
> the lengths of its various pieces, but it had only a grand total of four
cross
> members over the top of its boom. Picture a very small TV antenna, but on
a
> larger scale. Total cost was under $20.00 I had to research the
formulas, and
> buy some TV antenna poll mounts from RS
> to connect each arm to the boom, but the total time was like one night and
half
> the next day by the time I had the antenna up and running. You know how
these
> projects are...you have to go to this store, and that store, and your hack
saw
> blade breaks, and your forgot to buy some RG8mini cable from RS etc. So,
if you
> want an antenna that allows you to hear a baby monitor from a mile away or
more,
> build this and amaze your friends!
>
>
> Bruce or Tracy wrote:
>
> > This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
> > the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
> > to reach that far.

> >
> > I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)
> >
> > Bruce
> >
> > JnglMassiv wrote:
> > >
> > > >>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
> > >
> > > I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
> > > validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks
away.
> > > Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't
have
> > > anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older
cheappy
> > > Pro-33.
>

1858. Re: Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lionel B." <l.bertrand_nospam_@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7fdm7a$76s$1@mars.worldonline.fr>
Source record: 121670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello
Thanks for details
I've been using Acars 7.0 for a few days (working good) , but I need to update Ground stations database, with stations such as F, Y, B which are unknown for the software...
Who can help me ??I've found many sites dedicated to Acars monitoring, but mostly American, without infos about stations of this side of the pond...

Best regards to all ACARS enthusiasts

Lionel

1859. Re: Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Gaff <shbcommm@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <371A4EC4.5E3617DE@indigo.ie>
Source record: 121671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Lionel,

This is a message showing both engine parameters.
N1 and N2 are percentage rpm readings from two different stages in the
engines compressor section. EGT is the Exhaust Gas Temperature and FF is
the Fuel Flow.
Both engines are aligned quite nicely.

Terry Gaff

"Lionel B." wrote:
>
> Hi
> Newbie in Acars reception, in SW of France
> Got these mess. today
> What could it be ????Military, Gov ?
> 73's & Tnx
> By the way, I'm searching for Name and location of ground stations,
> designated as letters...Found some in UK, but what about French stations
> ????
>
> G-OJSW
> AIR ONE ? XX6023
> Using Ground Station E
> Message Type H1 GENERAL MESSAGE
> #DF BENGHAZI G L ENG R |
> N1 88.3 88.3 | PS3 .---- .---- |
> N2 91.1 91.1 | P14 .---- .---- |
> EGT 616 615 | P25 .---- .---- |
> FF
>
> G-OJSW
> AIR ONE ? XX6023
> Using Ground Station E
> Message Type H1 GENERAL MESSAGE
> #DFB0 DEPART:'''' DESTIN:''''
> S/N R:000000 |--- --- --- --- --- --- --- -|
> | <-FADEC EQUIPPED A/C ONLY-> |
> ENG L ENG R |

1860. Re: FS: Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert <ns4w@tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <371A4C92.FF032648@tdsnet.com>
Source record: 121672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Scanner is spoken for.

Thanks, Bert

Bert wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> I have for sale a Realistic PRO-2006
> scanner for sale.
>
> Operates perfect, physical 9 of 10.
>
> Includes manual, original box, and
> 20-006A All-Band Telescoping Antenna (BNC connector).
>
> Price $250 including shipping CONUS, cashiers check or
> money order.
>
> Thanks, Bert - NS4W (near Knoxville, TN)

1861. 3000xlt not hearing my Alinco DJ-S41 right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Zanto <caution@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <371A4F32.5D2AD66A@teleport.com>
Source record: 121673

I recently purchased an Alinco DJ-S41 400MHz UHF FM transceiver, and according to the store I bought it from, it transmits NFM. Well, my bearcat 3000 will only pick up signals from it when in WFM mode. I am wondering if anyone out there has had experience with this radio, and can tell me for sure if the radio is really transmitting on NFM, or if my 3000 is broken, or just originally a lemon. I feel sort of robbed that the scanner possibly never worked right from the get-go.

If anyone has any information for me, I'd really apprecaite it...

Thanks,
Eric
caution@teleport.com

1862. Public Service DPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2DLA Paul <kpaul@usa.net>
Message-ID: <01be89e6$b65a30c0$b948accf@bert.poss.com>
Source record: 121674

Hello,
I am in need for the DPL on the Little Silver, NJ Police repeater on
155.610. This is a fairly new system which uses a DPL code in Monmouth
County, NJ. Please help if you can. Thanks for the read.

Regards,
Paul
kpaul@usa.net

1863. Re: Your antenna or your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <371A5787.D7B42624@dnai.com>
Source record: 121675

I have one of these antennas too and it really does work very well
Got mine from Scanners Unlimited

Lethal Lextor wrote:

> Never heard of this antenna.
>
> On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 21:44:49 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee
> Rothman) wrote:
>
> >Well, when I lived in Half Moon Bay (on the other side of the Coast Range
> >of 1400ft Mtns {hills} and Had a stock Monitenna which is essentially a
> >vertical dipole, mounted on the roof 40ft off the ground, I picked up
> >Marin County, San Francisco, Oakland, Hayward and all of the South Bay,
> >which I understand was virtually unheard of performance. Just ask Glen of
> >Scanners Unlimited who sold it to me to verify it is true. So for my 50
> >bucks it is the Channel Master "Monitenna" every time!
> >

1864. freq counter advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <8VsS2.72430$Eb.15317@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 121676

Hi,
Im thinking about getting one of these, I want to know
If you where at an airport for instance and wanted to know what frequency
a plane was on could you point it in the general direction and get the
frequency on transmit?
How good is the distance in clear line of site? i.e. pointing at a plane
thats taken off or landing
any advice please?
Email
tct@clara.net

1865. Re: freq counter advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <xotS2.428$d33.706@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121677

Frequency counters, unless you know what to expect, are usually disappointing. For instance, it will not work in the manner you describe. It will pickup the strongest signal in the area, meaning that if you're at the airport, and you turn it on, it may lock on a nearby cell phone, or local police out in front of the airport, or possibly security, or baggage handlers... may even a couple kids playing with FRS radios nearby. In other words, it's not very selective. That, in addition to the requirement of being in very close proximity of the transmitter, in order to receive a signal strong enough to provide a frequency readout.

Bill Crocker

Millennium <tct@clara.net> wrote in message
news:8VsS2.72430$Eb.15317@nnrp2.clara.net...
> Hi,
> Im thinking about getting one of these, I want to know
> If you where at an airport for instance and wanted to know what frequency
> a plane was on could you point it in the general direction and get the
> frequency on transmit?
> How good is the distance in clear line of site? i.e. pointing at a plane
> thats taken off or landing
> any advice please?
> Email
> tct@clara.net
>
>
>
>

1866. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAEqF8.2zD@netcom.com>
Source record: 121678

>The following auction(s):
>91924925 AOR 8000 WIDE Range Recieving Scanner
>
>
>
>has been ended early. The content of your auction has been deemed
>inappropriate for
>inclusion in the eBay forum.
>
>Amps and scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay.
>Also scanners in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency
>used for cell scanners.

What sort of stupid education does this person have. 900 Megahertz is
the FUCKING AMATEUR RADIO BAND.

And what the fuck? "as this is the frequency used for cell scanners"?

WHAT THE FUCK ARE THESE FOLKS ON?

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1867. Re: freq counter advice wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: aor8200 <aor8200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RKtS2.800$X_2.910@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 121679

Well Said Bill.
Its NOT a miracle to you hobby - but it will provide you with a boost)

But I wouldn't say that its a bad idea. Look at Strongsignals and follow up a few weeks.

I can sometimes tell if the logged freq. is known and if not I might be able to tell if its of any interest.

Anyway I wouldn't like to be without my little gem - Opto Scout

--
Best regards/Hilsen

Stephan Walther-Larsen
Nuuk
Greenland

removethisswl@ki.gl

remember to remove "removethis"
from my emailadress.
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:xotS2.428$d33.706@news.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Frequency counters, unless you know what to expect, are usually
> words, it's not very selective. That, in addition to the requirement of
> being in very close proximity of the transmitter, in order to receive a
> signal strong enough to provide a frequency readout.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Millennium <tct@clara.net> wrote in message
> news:8VsS2.72430$Eb.15317@nnrp2.clara.net...
> > Hi,
> > Im thinking about getting one of these, I want to know
> > If you where at an airport for instance and wanted to know what frequency
> > a plane was on could you point it in the general direction and get the
> > frequency on transmit?
> > How good is the distance in clear line of site? i.e. pointing at a plane
> > thats taken off or landing
> > any advice please?
> > Email
> > tct@clara.net
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>

1868. FS: Gp300 UHF radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990418193733.05434.00002281@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 121680

For Sale:
(2) Motorola 8 ch GP300 portables with NiMH batterys
UHF 438-470 split
Excellent Condition

4 Speaker Mics (not public safety type)

2 Spare batteries (1 NiMH & 1 Nicad)

ALL BATTERIES ARE LESS THAN 1 YR OLD

1 gang charger

2 indiviual rapid chargers

As a package, asking $1300 but i will accept REASONABLE offers.
and may sell seperately.

Please e-mail me directly

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

1869. Re: "San Diego Reader" article on Cheek arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <371a83bd@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 121681

Well, they don't differentiate between "electrocuted" (dead) and shocked. Newspapers often report on the satisfactory recovery of electrocution victims. So how could they handle something so technical as encryption/encoding/whatever. Isn't Morse a code of some kind? Shouldn't it be secure????

Intelligent reporter is an oxymoron. Or maybe just a plain moron.

--
Crazy George

1870. Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM>
Message-ID: <371a96ff.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 121682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can't seem to get the data slicing circuit to work with WinFlex or Pocsag 2.04 using the supplied cable I have set all the options in both programs to the Optocom ports and no go. I am using a Toshiba 430CDT and a Homebuilt computer on com port 1. I can get other programs to work using the sound card on the external speaker port of the Optocom but nothing from the built in data slicer. What am I doing wrong?

Thanks,

Marty

--
Renard (Marty) Martin
Sterling, VA 20165
rmartin@his.com
ICQ #451411

1871. FS: GP68 UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ComCentre <comcentre@usa.net>
Message-ID: <371a9e07@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 121683

Brand new in box with battery, charger and antenna.
$325.00 shipped CONTUS.

Chuck
comcentre@usa.net

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
---------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

1872. Re: hamcomm 4level fsk interface fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <371AA066.A1F36F2D@asan.com>
Source record: 121684

As far as I can tell, the 4 level does everything and more than the 2 level.

From my personal experience, although I did have to wait about 2 weeks for my order (they did warn me it would take that long), I did eventually recieve a quality interface. I have had no other dealings with them although the lady on the phone told me they sell a number of other items unlisted on their website.

Thomas

VWGTI wrote:

> Thanks Thomas!
>
> I think I will end up getting that. But I have a couple more questions.
>
> 1)Does the 4-level FSK do everything the 2-level FSK does?
>
> 2) How reliable is this company?
>
> -GTI
> Thomas wrote:
>
> > You can pick up a four level fsk interface at
> >
> > www.texas2way.com
> >
> > They seem to put out high quality ones.
> >
> > Thomas
> >

1873. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924474347.606383@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.

Digitaal

Jim Wayda wrote in message <371AA140.2F60A68C@home.com>...
>Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about
>scanners
>then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls" in
>this group.
>He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that
>Mackie has
>some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.
>With all that Bill Cheek has given to the hobby, we should open our
>wallets and
>support him.
>
>
>
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>
>> Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning
>> from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three
>> books
>> on scanning.
>>
>> He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.
>>
>> He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
>> "scan-L" mailing list of Peter Laws, his former ISP of San Diego Road
>> Runner, & other due to his disruptive behavior which was careless to the
>> accepted rules of conduct
>> on those systems.
>>
>> Recently, he has admitted to a run in with federal authorities which
>> resulted
>> in his arrest & detainment. (he has also admitted to other legal
>> problems in
>> the past)
>>
>> Thomas Rowan wrote:
>>
>> > Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
>>

>> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1874. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924475181.320432@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmm, similar to PGP, but not PGP.

What are spooks? Do they work for the government, or are we talking about a
bunch of nerdy 12 yr olds who've
grown bored playing Dungeons and Dragons.

Digitaal

Paul Luggar wrote in message <371A1549.CCA3AE68@hotmail.com>...
>Keith wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 10:27:25 -0400, "DiGitaal_DiSrupTer"
>> <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>>
>> >As long as you have permission from the creators of the program to post
the
>> >source code in public. It's ok.
>> >
>> >If so........Keith's reply is, well.......meaningless.
>> >
>>
>> What I mean is that the source code is protected under free speech
>> rights. If the author of a computer source code wishes to publish
>> it then it can not be illegal to do so.
>>
>> >I think what your doing is cool,
>> >
>> >Digitaal
>> >
>> >EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7f99qg$9ef$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>> >>
>> >>> >I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in
the
>> >San
>> >>> >diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for
the
>> >>> >article go here
>> >>> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>> >>> >
>> >>> >For my response to them go here
>> >>> >
>> >>> >http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Just thought i would say something :-)

>> >>>
>> >>> I will be following up with more information regarding this topic.
>> >>> There is a legal precedent for publishing source code. The best thing
>> >>> that anyone can do is simply publish the source code.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>Meaning ?
>> >>
>> >>eavsdropper
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>> Best Regards,
>>
>> Keith
>> ---
>> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>> ---
>> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
>> to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
>> an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
>> to break a single message.
>> --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
>> 1997.
>
>Your signature file makes me laugh! The NSA *Wants* everyone to believe
that!
>Did you see recently that a group of amateurs with about 100 pentiums
lashed
>together managed to crack the lower level of encryption similar to pgp!
>Watch out, theres spooks about!
>Paul {;-)
>
>ps is this a real quote!
>

1875. WTB Mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <37192A4A.FF7FBCF0@home.com>
Source record: 121705

Hello:

Looking for a mobile scanner like a bearcat 760, or a good scanner
to pick up trunked police system. Please e-mail.

Thanks
Bill

1876. EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417205408.24019.00002201@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 121706

I just received this letter from EBAY tonight. I had an AOR 8000 listed. There are still 3 AOR 1000's and 2 AOR 8000's still on their site being auctioned. But, mine got booted. It is not modified it is stock-just for your info. Ive asked them how they decide to pull one and not another. I will wait to see if they are good enough to ansewer.

The following auction(s):

91924925 AOR 8000 WIDE Range Recieving Scanner

has been ended early. The content of your auction has been deemed inappropriate for
inclusion in the eBay forum.

Amps and scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay. Also scanners in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency used for cell scanners.

In an ongoing effort to conserve system resources and maintain the correct categorization of all items listed for auction, we will end any inappropriately listed auction or move, to an appropriate category, any auction listed in an inappropriate category, pending Safe Harbor investigation. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions about listing procedures.
For more information on infringing or illegal items or for information on other eBay listing
violations, we urge you to visit the following eBay web page:

http://pages.ebay.com/aw/help/topics-png-items.html

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Respectfully ,

ebay inc (ended@ebay.com)
eBay Inc

1877. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fbb7hlgq1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121707

>
> Your signal is hitting my body, so shouldn't I have the right to listen to
it,
> and you have the right to encode it in such a way that I probably cannot
figure it
> out?
>
> That is the heart of the 30's law on received signals. Since then, in
1986, a
> congress filled with leftist democrats bowed to industry pressue, and
passed the
> ECPA.
> They just forgot about the rights of those being bombarded by radio
signals.
>
Did you know that NOAA the American wethaer agency operate their weather satellite signals on this very basic principle of "they are invading your space so you have the right to listen to them", this was quoted at a meeting of Enthusiasts once and this is the reason why they do ot encrypt the sat data like the Europeans do

eavsdropper

1878. Ohio State Patrol Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fbir6$7i3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121708

The Ohio State Patrol Turnpike uses 155.685 and 155.790. Every other Transmitter along the turnpike uses the same freq. I/E Tower 1 - 3 - 5 May be on 155.685 and 2 - 4 -6 would then be on 155.79. Both Frequences have the same audio, it's just a question of which one you are close to.

Be sure to scan 45.02 as this is the usual air frequency, although the airplanes can and do switch to other frequencys to work with other agencies.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1879. Re: Trunk Scanning in San Diego

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417223438.29255.00001920@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 121709

Might want to either move the scanner to a better area or make sure there's at least one handshake channel in the system.

The City of San Diego's TRS system is pretty simple. It's in the 854-860 MHz range with .000, .025 and .050 as its suffixes.

If you live in the San Diego area, you may want to stop in at Ham Radio Outlet off of CA-163 and Kearny Villa and pick up a copy of ScanFan, published by Fred White. They are also available at the bookstore in Oceanside on Hill Street near Vista I believe.

RD

1880. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fbjrs$85i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121710

In article <7esrmg$ne3@news1.ee.net>,
"D. F. Cimperman" <cimperman@tusco.net> wrote:
> Try this link.
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kb8vju/osp.htm
>
> >
> >> I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
> >> Elyria Ohio.
> >
>
> They are on 155.445 base and mobile. Also they have moved the post down to somewhere around Grafton. 73's WB8WCZ

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1881. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fbkb9$8jp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121711

In article <37134BCD.4343@erienet.net>,
rbulea@erienet.net wrote:
> Lenore & Frank wrote:
> >
> > I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
> > Elyria Ohio.
>
> Lenore $ Frank
> Jeffrey was correct with his frequencies of 155.445 and 465.55. 155.445
> is the tactical frequency and it takes quite a while to hear something
> here. 465.55 is also one of theirs and I monitor it regularly but very
> rarely hear anything. The most common is 155.685. This is the Turnpike
> patrol. It is so busy that sometimes I lock it out. 45.020 is the
> airplane that they use for speeders. You will hear activity here on a
> nice sunny Sunday afternoon. They are usually stopping cars on the
> Turnpike or I-90 between Amherst and Elyria. Several other ones are
> 44.74 which is HP Elyria Base, 44.86 HP Elyria Mobile, 45.100 HP
> Special. Another Turnpike one is 155.790 but not very active around
> here. Also 465.375 and 465.525 are used occasionly.
>
> Happy Scanning
> Rick
>

The frequency of 465.55 is the link channel used between the patrol car and
the hand held radio the officer carries. You have to be very close to
apatrol car to hear this (1/4 Mile or so). Also 155.685 & 155.79 are used on
alternate towers along the turnpike. Both cary the same audio. Have Fun.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1882. Re: Long Beach Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: MiKE <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be893f$dcb13ae0$82796420@default>
Source record: 121712

Try these links ----> http://seventhgear.com/frequencies.htm and
http://www.racelink.com/

Whyme <hurtman@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<7fb968$4mk$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Anyone have the frequencies for the L.B.G.P.? Particularly interested in
> Franchetti and Andretti. Have Papis, Moore, Tracy and Kanaan and will
share
> if you need them. Thanks
>
>
>
>

1883. Re: BC-895XLT Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <RZbS2.1781$oW4.403@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 121713

This is great because someone donated their time and effort to create this software and I, for one, am grateful. But at the risk (and I run this risk all the time) of sounding incredibly stupid - what gets us from the radio to the computer? Yes, I know a patch cord of some kind - but the 895 I just bought doesn't come with any dang port I can see. Somebody clue me in please.

1884. Audio Inversion Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417230548.14942.00002242@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 121714

I have an audio inverter "box" but I need to connect my 2006 to the box.

Does anybody know where I can get a cable to connect 3.5mm on both ends ?

Thanks,

Joe

1885. Re: FS: Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <3719508E.2EAFFCE9@home.com>
Source record: 121715

N8PPZ73 wrote:
>
> Would you be interested in trading your PRO-43 for a PRO-90 trunk tracker?
>
> Grego, N8PPZ
> Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
> "Official SPOT of the new millinium"

send me an email, I have one that I'll trade.
--

Don't Dream it Be it!!!
http://alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=AQS126

1886. Re: Your antenna or your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <371a5a31.2876037@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121716

Never heard of this antenna.

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 21:44:49 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee
Rothman) wrote:

>Well, when I lived in Half Moon Bay (on the other side of the Coast Range
>of 1400ft Mtns {hills} and Had a stock Monitenna which is essentially a
>vertical dipole, mounted on the roof 40ft off the ground, I picked up
>Marin County, San Francisco, Oakland, Hayward and all of the South Bay,
>which I understand was virtually unheard of performance. Just ask Glen of
>Scanners Unlimited who sold it to me to verify it is true. So for my 50
>bucks it is the Channel Master "Monitenna" every time!
>

1887. Re: do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990417235310.20564.00003389@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 121717

>this is a test

Well...I'll...be...DAMNED!!!!

1888. Re: WTB Mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990417235624.22407.00002411@ng21.aol.com>
Source record: 121718

The RadioShack Pro-2066 is the only mobile scanner to do trunking, and of course, it only does Motorola 800MHz systems.
I have it on good authority that Uniden will be producing a mobile with Moto and Ericsson capability, plus alpha display, later this year.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1889. Re: Secret Service in Lansing Kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: fxbxisnoop@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990418001754.23734.00002183@ng68.aol.com>
Source record: 121719

Doesn't matter to me, I'm not the one that recorded it anyway. I just heard about it and am hoping to get a copy of the wav file when it comes out so kiss my ass.

1890. Request: New York Scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicefzr <nicefzr@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TmdS2.4100$8m5.6769@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121720

My father just gave me his old scanner and i was wondering where i could find the frequencies for my local fire and police etc.... I am new to this newsgroup and am unsure of its etiquette! If i am doing something wrong please dont flame me a simple warning would suffice! I will keep checking this news group and or hopefully somebody will fill my request, you may also email at me at nicefzr@stny.rr.com ! Thank You

1891. Re: Request: New York Scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicefzr <nicefzr@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ypdS2.4102$8m5.6709@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121721

OOPS, I left out my area details, I need the codes for Elmira,NY, Chemung county!

1892. Re: Your antenna or your scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <37196830.14325857@news.icx.net>
Source record: 121722

On Fri, 16 Apr 1999 21:44:49 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee
Rothman) wrote:

>Well, when I lived in Half Moon Bay (on the other side of the Coast Range
>of 1400ft Mtns {hills} and Had a stock Monitenna which is essentially a
>vertical dipole, mounted on the roof 40ft off the ground, I picked up
>Marin County, San Francisco, Oakland, Hayward and all of the South Bay,
>which I understand was virtually unheard of performance. Just ask Glen of
>Scanners Unlimited who sold it to me to verify it is true. So for my 50
>bucks it is the Channel Master "Monitenna" every time!
>
yes this is a very good antenna!!!

1893. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1WdS2.3895$YU1.6953@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121723

Keith wrote in message <3718e48e.16769172@news.teleport.com>...
>Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:57:39 -0700 (PDT)
>
>Subject: HB3542

This is NOT an anti-scanner law.

(8) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d) and (e) of this section do not apply to any:
(a) Radio communication which is transmitted by a station operating on an authorized frequency within the amateur or citizens bands; or
(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense or public safety communications system, including police and fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1894. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1WdS2.3896$YU1.6953@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121724

jnooney@albany.net wrote in message <3718AE90.248DE5C@albany.net>...
>Interesting Skip. As far as I know there is no law in New York like
>that although I could be wrong. Anyone out there know of laws similar
>to the one that Skip quoted.

Take a look at NYS Penal Law Section 195 - Obstructing governmental
administration.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1895. Re: Request: New York Scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1WdS2.3897$YU1.6953@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121725

Nicefzr wrote in message ...
>OOPS, I left out my area details, I need the codes for Elmira,NY, Chemung
>county!

Go to the NF2G Scannist Pages - New York Section and click on Chemung.

http://nf2g.com/scannist/nys_index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1896. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <lilindn@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <37196FAD.6BB06469@prodigy.net>
Source record: 121726

Keith wrote:

> Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:57:39 -0700 (PDT)
>
> Subject: HB3542

<snip>

It seems to me that with paragraph (8) in place, it probably isn't a
blanket "anti-scanner-law".
That's not to say I like it. It appears the law is designed to stop
the rank and file of any organization (especially a corporation) from
eavesdropping in the management and the other rank and file, while
preserving the "right" of management to eavesdrop on rank and file (as any
"participants" {employees} would likely have to sign a statement that they
consent to the interception of their information if they want to keep their
jobs).
Rob

> A BILL FOR AN ACT
> Relating to crimes involving communications; amending ORS
> 165.540.
> Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:
> SECTION 1. ORS 165.540 is amended to read:
> 165.540. (1) Except as otherwise provided in ORS 133.724 or
> subsections (2) to (7) of this section, no person shall:
> (a) Obtain or attempt to obtain the whole or any part of a
> telecommunication or a radio communication { - to which such
> person is not a participant - } , by means of any device,
> contrivance, machine or apparatus, whether electrical,
> mechanical, manual or otherwise, unless consent is given by
> { - at least one participant - } { + all participants + }.
> <snip>
> (8) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d) and (e) of
> this section do not apply to any:
> (a) Radio communication which is transmitted by a station
> operating on an authorized frequency within the amateur or
> citizens bands; or
> (b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
> transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
> or public safety communications system, including police and
> fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
> interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
> (9) Violation of subsection (1) of this section, subsection
> (2)(b) or subsection (5)(b) or (c) of this section is a Class A

> misdemeanor.
> ----------
>

xxx

xxx

xxx

1897. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: charley <snoopy5@shawneelink.com>
Message-ID: <7fbu37mln1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 121727

help please i order a book
tune in on phone calls
and they told on how to pick up airplanes on the 800 mhz
894.00 to 896.00
i tryed it and never heard anything
wjat am i doing wrong
there are plains flying over my house all the time
if some one cold help please
thanks

1898. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: charley <snoopy5@shawneelink.com>
Message-ID: <7fbugr$mk9$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 121728

in illinois is leagl to have them in you car

Rudolph M. Marcelletti wrote in message <7f2a5e$mtv@newsops.execpc.com>...
>I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher to have
>scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the regs for IL,
IN,
>OH, PA or any other states?
>
>
>

1899. ham freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: charley <snoopy5@shawneelink.com>
Message-ID: <7fbujnmrr1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 121729

i neen the ham freq for illinois
please help

thanks

1900. Re: antenna range for 46mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: charley <snoopy5@shawneelink.com>
Message-ID: <7fbua0$m5l$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 121730

i have a outside antenna and i can here them for over 6 blocks

Tbone107 wrote in message <19990414104002.27338.00000457@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
>>how far can you here a cordless phone
>>freq. with the help of a great antenna?
>>
>
>If you're in a heavily populated area, you will hear too much if you use a
>really great antenna. Cordless phones generally are only good for a few
hundred
>(very few) yards at best. If you are in a sparsly populated area, with
the
>absolute best antenna designed for the 46-49+ Mhz band, in perfectly flat
>terrain, no obstructions and perfect ground, it's still only good for a few
>hundred yards.

1901. BC 855 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobbo <bobbo@s0fthome.net>
Message-ID: <01be8964$4b775800$5219c0d8@idtzrgrk>
Source record: 121731

I just got a Bearcat 855xlt scanner (the older model) and I'm having trouble trying to
find the discriminator chip and the baseband audio pin. I've done both the mods for
the extra channels and the complete cell. coverage, and both work fine. I've looked
in Bill Cheeks Scandata.faq, and the discriminator chip is supposed to be labled
IC 401, but I can't find it anywhere in the scanner. The baseband audio pin on this
chip is pin #11, and the only IC that I have in there that has 11 or more pins is IC
701. The IC 701 ID is PLL2002A1, and that isn't listed in the faq anywhere.
So I tried tapping pin 11 on it anyways, and I think I'm getting the base
audio, but it's shaky at best. Sometimes I'll hear it, sometimes I won't.
And I'm using one of the PCB screws as the ground because I can't find the
ground either. Anyone with experience on this issue? My SN is 25045550, and
the date code is OKIB. Thanx in advance for any help
I may recieve.
Bobbo

1902. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371982fb.10976007@news>
Source record: 121732

On 18 Apr 1999 00:54:08 GMT, pro43@aol.com (Pro43) wrote:

>Amps and scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay.
>Also scanners in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency
>used for cell scanners.

Freakin' morons. Not only was your scanner not modified, but cell
phones are on *800*MHz, not 900MHz. Forget eBlow - try listing it on
amazon.com and see if they have more brains than the eBay tards.

1903. Any AOR2700 usere's out there????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: PapaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7fc5rt$5m4@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 121733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello there all
I have a AOR2700 with interface to control via computer
I was playing around with it for a week or so and it work fine ,but
now i start having some problems.
When it hoket up to the computer it's scans very-very slow (i use
radio manager for software),but the software works fine and show
normal scanning operation,with no problem.
I try lot different settings but still no success,i thing i mestup
the bandplan when i try to upload some stuff.
Can anyone tell me what maybe happen or give me some advise ,and or
can someone give me the US bandplan SO I CAN TRY OUT ???(I DON'T HAVE
A BACKUP stupit me ehh)
Thank you very much in advance
Lukas

>

1904. BC895 XLT Off frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: nyce@NOSPAMpacbell.net
Message-ID: <3719ac3e.26643244@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 121734

Hello all,

I have a slight dilemma on my hands., My Trunktracker seems to be received 12.5kHz lower than it should be on 800 and UHF. Like 866.050 ends up being 866.0375. HAs anyone had this happen to them? I am dreading having to take it back and wait months for Uniden to fix it. I will if i have to, but figured getting on here and asking would not kill anyone. Thanks in advance

Please remove NOSPAM when replying to email

1905. PCR1000 control program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin Campbell <colin@campbell84.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fcaq8$68f$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

There is a new version (V1.10) of my icom PCR1000 control program at
http://www.campbell84.freeserve.co.uk
This one allows you to send your own data to the PCR1000 - before using,
ensure your eeprom backup is ok since this function WILL allow you to
DESTROY your PCR1000. If you don't have a backup don't use the program.
Source of backup programs is on the web page.
Colin Campbell GM1LUZ

1906. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim harris <jim@bashed.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QISjzCAAUbG3Ewmv@bashed.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <924387331.872382@axilla.wwnet.net>, DiGitaal_DiSrupTer
<superwarbird@juno.com> writes
>My apologies Max, I know that Hamcomms are perfectly legal,
Er.. where can i get a hamcomm interface in the UK? How much should it
cost?

--
Jim harris

1907. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <eavsdropper@dabsol.net>
Message-ID: <%uOS2.1451$_3.1084@stones>
Source record: 121487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7fg7rr$t55$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> How can you slag Ian and his website when you obviously dont know him or
> what he is about!
> You should do a bit of research before you start ranting and raving.
> Censorship sucks big time
> Carl M.
>
>
> thanks carl, some people just dont see the "big picture"

i will be back up soon,

eavsdropper

1908. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <eavsdropper@dabsol.net>
Message-ID: <mvOS2.1453$_3.1065@stones>
Source record: 121488
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7fg7rr$t55$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> How can you slag Ian and his website when you obviously dont know him or
> what he is about!
> You should do a bit of research before you start ranting and raving.
> Censorship sucks big time
> Carl M.
>
> thanks carl
>

1909. Re: CTCSS Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah431@detroit.freenet.org
Message-ID: <2IOS2.323$Os2.21142639@ounews.ou.edu>
Source record: 121489

I have used WinTone 2.02 to decode CTCSS and it worked rather well. And its much cheaper then investing in a optoelectronics receiver.

In article <371568b4@news1.us.ibm.net>, <munsch@ibm.net> wrote:
>Why do you care? Planning on transmitting to them? They are almost
>exclusively DES now anyway. And, if you really need to know the CTCSS
>frequencies, get an Optoelectronics receiver and measure them.

1910. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry <gerry@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uoOS2.270$on1.104613@news1.mia>
Source record: 121490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well at least that is better then what they did with firearm auctions. Maybe
if we said the rifles would only fire at paper targets...

--
"Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little
temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety."

-- Benjamin Franklin
Nov 11 1755, from the Pennsylvania Assembly

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227, "Any and all non-solicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S." E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

"If voting could change anything, it would be illegal"

Dan wrote in message <371bc919@news.oaktree.net>...
>Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable
>scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They
>yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I
>e-mailed them about it demanding an answer as to why they randomly select
>radios to cancel. Here's the response direct from customer (non)support:
>
>Hello Dan,
>
>Sorry it has taken so long to get back to you. It was not your item as
>much as it was the description of your item which caused the auction to
>be ended. The other auctions you have referred us to make no reference
>to possible illegal activity. Advertising that your scanner can receive
>frequencies in the range of cellular phones will result in the auction
>being removed. if you re list the same item without this information
>you should not have any problems.
>
>Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.
>
>Regards,
>Matthew J.
>eBay Customer Support
>
>
>There ya have it should you decide to put one up for auction. No flames,
>please. This is just for those that have asked.
>
>Dan

>
>
>

1911. Re: ATT/LUCENT "9400" SECURE?? (cordless phone)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7fgbte$d6g$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121491

I would go with another brand...the Lucent phones were discontinued...

karl

gig wrote in message <371b51b4.1366003@news.i-2000.com>...
>Hello all
>Just curious to find out if the "9400" is secure or do i have to look
>elsewhere. for secure cordless phones.
> thanks gig.

1912. Re: Wanted laptop tone decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah431@detroit.freenet.org
Message-ID: <NxOS2.324$Os2.21142639@ounews.ou.edu>
Source record: 121492

Goto:

http://www.steaksandwich.com/

There is a program called WinTone. This will decode tones like CTCSS and DTMF. I think most ppl feed the audio from the scanners audio out to the sound card's line in, but I don't see why you can not use the laptop's built in mic.

If you try this method, let me know how it works out.

In article <37156F06.14A9@netconx.net>, honda <honda@netconx.net> wrote:
>I am looking for a tone decoder that uses the mic in a laptop. is there
>any software that can do this. If I set a scanner or phone by my laptop
>I would like to capture the numbers. Thank You if you can help. E-mail
>me if you have any idea
>
>honda@netconx.net

1913. Military aircraft in Cleveland, Ohio area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fgcejjqe1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121493

Desperately seeking some confirmed military aircraft frequencies (225 to 400 Mhz AM) in the Cleveland, Ohio or NE Ohio area. My Pro-43 doesn't hear a thing!

Frank

1914. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: meluman@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419192524.14940.00002932@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 121494

So there - Tell that to the NSA and Eschelon . . .

1915. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <371BBABB.A965877D@excite.com>
Source record: 121495

Mobile Data Terminal
An insecure way of transmitting police records to patrol cars.

Ices1185 wrote:
>
> What is MDT mean?
> Thankx
> Ed

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

1916. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3729b195.18817021@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

"Spencer E Holtaway" <baldoggy@email.msn.com> wrote:

>My god. I've moved twice since I last looked at this newsgroup and you guys
>are STILL talking about cheek? Jesus...

Looks to me like "you guys" includes Spencer E Holtaway.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1917. WTBuniden beartraker bct-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <pePS2.4287$%K3.17814@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 121497

looking for a fairly inexpensive sanner for my boy,like a uniden beartraker bct-2.
thanks jack

1918. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: nelson maldonado <nelstar@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7fgfe7$82p@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

big daddy mac of radios

--
nelson maldonado
nelstar@ix.netcom.com
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371B790D.13F5F10A@usa.net...
> perhaps I can get the same guy who spelled it for you guys!
>
>
> Macaroon wrote:
>
> > <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
> > >Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.
> >
> > Who's gonna spell it for him?
>
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1919. Re: Lancaster Co. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <371dc0a0.2155273@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121499

"Bill Kirkner" <billrk@supernet.com> wrote:
Here is some info.....
CPMA (Central Pennsylvania Monitoring Association) has put up a web
page with
frequency listings for Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster and York County
listening area. The page is at

http://members.aol.com/cpmaclub

Other counties will be added as we recruit new members in our club
that live
in those areas.

>I am looking for the 10-10 codes for Lancaster County Penn. Also if someone
>has the station assignments it would be great
>
>73
>
>Bill
>
>

1920. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <371BCD24.24026885@INVALID.com>
Source record: 121500

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one
> hand!

Or just one finger.

Can ya guess which finger I had in mind?

You friggin loon.

1921. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5rQS2.66$h84.5325@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Uhh, Michael really has your panties all knotted up, ehh Mackey?

"to to" ?????

"Access" Bawahahahhahahaha!

...you friggin loon,

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371B78D2.1E5E70C4@usa.net...
> MAlexder-
>
> YOU certainly do not have the technical knowledge or ability to to
> access other's knowledge or
> abilities!
>
>
> MAlexder wrote:
>
> > >From: jmackey@usa.net
> > >This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
> > >spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.
> >
> > That would certainly include you.
>
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1922. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tuQS2.68$h84.5658@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371B79DA.3BB99819@usa.net...
> Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
one
> hand!

Still counting on your fingers, ehh John-Bowl?

BTW, you can count the number of power supplies and repeaters you have
smoked on one hand too. Those many licenses you talk about but can never
prove seem to be wasted by the technical skills you have shown on this NG.

You are a joke John-Bowl.

1923. FS:Pro 2005 Service Manual & Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24241-371BC9E7-70@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121503
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I can make copies of the service manual for $18 dollars including S/H and a copy of the manual for $7 dollars including S/H or both for $20 dollars including S/H. Email me if you need any of these items at jedi_force@webtv.net

1924. Re: Scanners for sale...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Parent <rparent@sky.net>
Message-ID: <371BD609.8F5401E1@sky.net>
Source record: 121504
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'll take the PRO 2006 if it's still available.

Rick Parent, KA1GBJ
rparent@sky.net

John wrote:

> Relm HS-200, only a month old, like new: $150
>
> Realistic Pro 2006, stock, Date code: 5A3. $275
>
> Radio Shack Pro 43, stock, Date code: 9A3. $250
>
> All include original box, manual, antenna. All are stock, meaning, not
> tampered with. The Relm is new, the others; well cared for (9/10).
>
> I'll include shipping to the lower 48 States.
>
> Please reply via E-mail if interested.
>
> Thanks,
> John - kb2voj@bigfoot.com

1925. Re: Trakkstar, Optocom, and Toshiba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM>
Message-ID: <371be4ce.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 121505

I have Trakkstar, Scancat, Radio Manager, Probe 4.0 and the Optocom utility all working on my Toshiba 430CDT Laptop with no problems. I am using com port 1 with Windows 98 running. They all work in a DOS box and also when I boot to DOS. I would not worry about the other programs until you can get the Optocom utility to work. I ran the unit for 72 hours straight over the weekend running Probe 4.0 with no problems at all. The only problem I see right now is getting the data slicer to work.

Marty
--
Renard (Marty) Martin
Sterling, VA 20165
rmartin@his.com
ICQ #451411
<jkimmer@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7fgecg$978$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Does anybody have Trakkstar or Scanstar running on a Toshiba notebook? I
am
> currently trying to get Trakkstar to talk to an Optocom on a Toshiba
Libretto
> and it DONT WORK. Signal Intelligence claims that it is a Toshiba problem
> and Toshiba claims it's a software problem. Anybody been in this
situation
> before? Trakkstar is looking for a "real" serial port. In the Toshiba
bios,
> I can set the serial port to any IRQ and Address i want (COM1:IRQ4:3F8).
Any
> help with similar experience or solution suggestions would be appreciated.
> Thanks!
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1926. Re: Military aircraft in Cleveland, Ohio area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <371be529@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 121506

Go to your airport, find one of the Fixed Base Operators, and purchase a publication called the "Enroute Suppliment". It contains all the frequencies in use for military aircraft. If you know an Air National Guard pilot, you can scrounge the last issue from him. They throw them away when the new issue comes out, and there are few changes.

--
Crazy George

1927. VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: integrand <lim@lhopital.com>
Message-ID: <371BE75F.7AA98AC0@lhopital.com>
Source record: 121507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My VX-1R is having problems turning on when I'm using a battery for a power source (I have several batteries, and I know the battery itself is not dead). As soon as I turn it on, the voltage reads 3.3v, then within a second, it displays 0.000, and it shuts off. The radio does work with the NC-66B 6 VDC adapter... Has anyone else had this problem, and may be able to assist me in fixing it??

Thanks.

1928. Re: VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <371c1412@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 121508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

integrand <lim@lhopital.com> wrote in message
news:371BE75F.7AA98AC0@lhopital.com...
> My VX-1R is having problems turning on when I'm using a battery for a
> power source (I have several batteries, and I know the battery itself is
> not dead). As soon as I turn it on, the voltage reads 3.3v, then within
> a second, it displays 0.000, and it shuts off. The radio does work with
> the NC-66B 6 VDC adapter... Has anyone else had this problem, and may be
> able to assist me in fixing it??
>
> Thanks.

If your other battery packs work ok, then you have a problem with the pack itself. Sometimes, cells will open when under a load, but will show fine if a voltmeter is placed across the terminals. If all of your packs are doing the same thing, then you need to look further. It could also be that the pack isn't taking a full charge due to a cell problem. Just a guess, but I've seen it happen with HT and scanner packs before.

Dan

1929. Scantenna? Thought it was supposed to be better than discones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <85E55164AADEB67F.1D8DD06FDDF0A4A6.303201315D69B7B3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121509

I've heard that the Scantenna is supposed to be better than discones (Radio Shack, Diamond and Icom) as
specific freqs so I bought one.
I don't think I like it.
I have it over 10 feet higher than my discone ever was but it is reading 5db less on all freq's over 140Mhz than
my ICOM discone does.
It might not be due to the antenna though, but the feedline as the Scantenna uses crummy RG6 compared to my
RG-8 Times Microwave Coax and Silver coated NType connectors.

1930. FS: AR8200 with PC Cable and Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1185bedc3ab18033989726@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121510

I am selling a "like new" AR8200, full coverage with the computer cable, leatherette case and all original accessories and box included. $450.00 plus shipping......

1931. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12@hotbot.com
Message-ID: <1jWS2.3170$fz.1118059@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 121511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Please dont let this cheap dixon group slow you down!

Also, DIXON SUCKS! Dont buy anything from them or and ads on their system!
We will teach them! Loss of funds is what hurts them.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

1932. SCANNER 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Looking <yaya@yaya.com>
Message-ID: <371B52AC.4E2CAACC@yaya.com>
Source record: 121512

Uniden Bearcat 895 XLT.
NEW

$200 obo

email if interested to "pch@fwi.com

1933. Re: MPT protocol decoder ariters needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OAsOUCAhN2G3EwUh@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <BB977C103248F966.A04C24DF522DDB0E.1D0AEA205588F3D7@library-proxy.airnews.net>, Spot <spot@dog.org> writes
>What is MPT?
>
IIRC, this stands for Ministry of Posts and Telecommunications, now better known as the DTI Radiocommunications Agency. This is a Government Department of the United Kingdom which sets out standards for radio communications in the UK and elswhere [in conjunction with the ITU/UIT and the corresponding government departments of other countries.]

So standards for radio communications in the UK are indexed with a number "MPT xxxx". Some of these are for trunked communications, signalling systems [CTCSS et al.]

Others are just 'type approval' specifications for radios, ranging from kids walkie talkies you buy at Rat Shack to an encrypted set used by a police detective.

BTW to the original poster, *which* MPT protocol are you referring to? What is the index number? There are hundreds of them!
--
Mr R@T - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
"Wir richten Antennen ins Firmament / Empfangen die Tï¿½ne die Niemand kennt.."
Attention: The headers of this news message have been altered for security
To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"

1934. Re: Looking for opinions for the Sony ICF-SC1 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mediaman3000@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fftdqpbq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are there any Mods for this Scanner?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1935. ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ices1185@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419133802.00780.00002284@ng90.aol.com>
Source record: 121539

What is MDT mean?
Thankx
Ed

1936. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <57KS2.43$zC3.1983@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121540

mobile display terminal

or

mongolian dogcatcher truck

Ices1185 <ices1185@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990419133802.00780.00002284@ng90.aol.com...
> What is MDT mean?
> Thankx
> Ed

1937. WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ffsp8bg1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME POSTING SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP

EAVSDROPPERS WILL BE BACK !,AS SOON AS I HAVE MADE SOME ADJUSTEMENTS

AND FREESERVE BETTER GROW UP AS NOTHING ON THE SITE IS ILLEGAL BUT THEIR SERVERS CAN BE BROUGHT TO A STANDSTILL IF I WISH !

SHAME DIXONS THINK THEY CAN CONTROL WHAT PEOPLE LEGITIMAELY DO
ISN'T IT, THEY WILL GET THERE ARSE BURNED THAT WAY

--
EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts

1938. logging PL tones with Wintone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah431@detroit.freenet.org
Message-ID: <PzKS2.319$Os2.21017994@ounews.ou.edu>
Source record: 121542

I just downloaded a copy of Wintone 2.02. I noticed that under the tone squelch tab, it has the ability to decode the CTCSS/PL tone. Is there a way to use this program so I can log the PL tones? ie: leave the radio and the computer turned on for couple hours, and when I come back, it shows me all the PL tones it detected.

Thanks!

1939. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <A219BDDE9388012B.CFC2CB74265639D2.363B3A8E61FED5C7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121543

Mobil Data Terminal.
It is just that, a dumb terminal that does not have enough "smarts" to encrypt
or decrypt data, all it can do is encode and decode ASCII to frequency shifts over radio,
thus it is called a terminal instead of a MDC which is a Mobile Data Computer.

1940. Re: Trunked Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Donnelly <jpd2@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <371B7837.AD12BEE6@uswest.net>
Source record: 121544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Sounds like another hacker.

Bill Nelson wrote:

> Sockless (Sockless@home.com.nospamplease) wrote:
> : This is a cryptographically signed message in MIME format.
> :
> : --------------msBC0A9C4C1DC5286D2801A4DC
> : Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> : Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> :
> : I am new to trunk radio. Can anyone tell me if it's possible to get a
> : list of who has what ID ? I have programmed in all the freq. and the
> : talk group ID's show up, but I have no idea who they are. I don't know
> : if there is a master list or if it's even possible to make one from what
> : I have read. I am in Ontario Canada if that's any help.
>
> It is probably not possible - as each user sets up the IDs as needed or
> desired for their purposes.
>
> You have the frequencies that are used - you should be able to find who
> the licensee is from those. You then just have to listen a lot, to find
> out the purpose of each group.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1941. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371B78D2.1E5E70C4@usa.net>
Source record: 121545

MAlexder-

YOU certainly do not have the technical knowledge or ability to to
access other's knowledge or
abilities!

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: jmackey@usa.net
> >This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
> >spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.
>
> That would certainly include you.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1942. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371B790D.13F5F10A@usa.net>
Source record: 121546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

perhaps I can get the same guy who spelled it for you guys!

Macaroon wrote:

> <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
> >Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.
>
> Who's gonna spell it for him?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1943. Re: Boston Marathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fsmoyer@sgi.net
Message-ID: <371B6885.5229@sgi.net>
Source record: 121547

Check the Ham freqs too They usually help with communcations. Due to they have a lot of repeaters in Boston.

Frank N3OBL
FF/EMT

1944. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371B795D.6B8EAA5@usa.net>
Source record: 121548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No thanks, I (obviously unlike YOU) have an exclusive preference for women regarding the offer you made.

Have a GREAT DAY wrote:

> Screw you jmackey

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1945. Re: Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <1AD84B9898C9AE60.4F3CAB4AD4795BBB.2B3863A10D6C1CC6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121549

Hey, if we can pay these people to protect and serve us then we have a right to monitor and grade them on their level of protection.
Currently, I give law enforcement a 2 out of 5, with 5 being the best as you don't transmit private information about people in the raw for everyone to receive which is a violation of public security.
The police know about this, but instead they would rather build more courthouses and more jails and feed themselves more doughnuts than to upgrade to radio capable of encryption.

> I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has
> anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio
> hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to?

1946. Re: Vhf pager monitor with ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fsmoyer@sgi.net
Message-ID: <371B690E.7E30@sgi.net>
Source record: 121550

Some departments in western Pa went from 2tone to 5 or 6 tone DTMF to activate the sirens. Yes it can be done even from a 2way that has a dtmf pad installed, However in doing so will surely piss off the chief at 3am.

To do 2 tone just record it onto a tape and play it back. If it hits the mic correctly it will make them trip.
Frank N3OBL
FF/EMT

1947. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371B79DA.3BB99819@usa.net>
Source record: 121551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one hand!

Macaroon wrote:

> On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:57:10 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
> >spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.
>
> You don't feel this way about Cheek, but you fit your own description, you
> retarded mongoloid.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1948. Re: New RS Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <371b7910.1859502@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121552

On Tue, 13 Apr 1999 18:12:25 -0400, "Bob Esposito" <rjespo@voicenet.com>
wrote:

>Any idea if 500-mhz trunking will be included??
>
>
>

Yes it will.

Greg Knox

1949. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ffu79knf1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have been onto freeserve and tyhey have told me a total load of crap !, they said the site has been locked because of spamming in the newsgroups !!!.

Please feel free to check Dejanews for spams from that account (kmed70.freeserve.co.uk)

there has been no spamming !

Occasionaly i am required to cross-post to 3 groups as the information is trelevant to all 3 groups BUT THAT CANNOT BE SAID TO NE SPAMMING FOR CHRISTS SAKE !

Call it what it is "CENSORSHIP"

THE SITE IS CURRENTLY BEING RE-BUILT ON ANOTHER SERVER !

EAVSDROPPER

1950. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: usenet@spam-block.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <371b80f6.3607521@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 121554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

It was Mon, 19 Apr 1999 19:31:03 +0100, when "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk (remove no spam from address to
reply)> wrote:

>YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY
>ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME POSTING
>SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP
>
>EAVSDROPPERS WILL BE BACK !,AS SOON AS I HAVE MADE SOME ADJUSTEMENTS
>
>AND FREESERVE BETTER GROW UP AS NOTHING ON THE SITE IS ILLEGAL BUT THEIR
>SERVERS CAN BE BROUGHT TO A STANDSTILL IF I WISH !

Not very bright, posting threats to attack an ISP in a public
newsgroup, no wonder they canned your account !!

Chad

1951. Re: BC 855 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@spam.com>
Message-ID: <M4LS2.16$27.1052@typhoon.mbnet.mb.ca>
Source record: 121555

Go to this link.

http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Hollow/4346/2003_bc895_tap.html

1952. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ffvninbc1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry to hear about your site Ian,pity as it has been the first site that i
have looked at for the past couple of weeks.
Hope you get your new one up and running soon.
Dont let the bastards grind you down!!!
Censorship in any shape or form stinks!
All the best,
Carl M.
EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7ffsp8bg1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY
>ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME
POSTING
>SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP
>
>EAVSDROPPERS WILL BE BACK !,AS SOON AS I HAVE MADE SOME ADJUSTEMENTS
>
>AND FREESERVE BETTER GROW UP AS NOTHING ON THE SITE IS ILLEGAL BUT THEIR
>SERVERS CAN BE BROUGHT TO A STANDSTILL IF I WISH !
>
>SHAME DIXONS THINK THEY CAN CONTROL WHAT PEOPLE LEGITIMAELY DO
>ISN'T IT, THEY WILL GET THERE ARSE BURNED THAT WAY
>
>
>--
>EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
>
>
>

1953. Re: Motorola To Defend Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <371b7bf2.5084099@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121557

Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com> wrote:

>That is the heart of the 30's law on received signals. Since then, in 1986, a
>congress filled with leftist democrats bowed to industry pressue, and passed the
>ECPA.

Leftist Democrats like Billy Tauzin, right?

Sheesh!

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1954. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duncan McNaught <Duncan@mcnaught63.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fg04d$m79$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Keep up the good work

--
Duncan
S.W Scotland
EMail :Duncan@mcnaught63.freeserve.co.uk

1955. Re: Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAGBv2.Euy@netcom.com>
Source record: 121559

Weiser Ambulance District <wad@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has
>anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio
>hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to? Quite frankly, I'm
>not that interested in MDT transmissions or encrypted communications
>because I don't figure it's really any of my business.

I don't believe anyone is debating the monitoring of encrypted
communications. The incident at hand involves in the clear ASCII
communications.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1956. WTD INDY 500 Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <smith@metronet.com>
Message-ID: <7fg50n$49q$1@news.metronet.com>
Source record: 121560

I am looking for the INDY 500 Freq.s...Any idea where I can get this list?

Darrell

1957. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: usenet@spam-block.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <371c8204.3877104@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 121561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

It was Mon, 19 Apr 1999 19:55:37 +0100, when "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk (remove no spam from address to
reply)> wrote:

>I have been onto freeserve and tyhey have told me a total load of crap !,
>they said the site has been locked because of spamming in the newsgroups
>!!!.
>
>Please feel free to check Dejanews for spams from that account
>(kmed70.freeserve.co.uk)

Of course we will not find a spam, people who spam don't usually show
everyone their real address in the spam headers now do they. Only f/s
would have been able to find your account from the spam headers if you
had spoofed the from address.

>there has been no spamming !

We only have your word on that. I can't really believe they would can
your account because you are into radio scanners.

>Occasionaly i am required to cross-post to ï¿½ groups as the information is
>trelevant to all 3 groups BUT THAT CANNOT BE SAID TO NE SPAMMING FOR
>CHRISTS SAKE !

Depends on YOUR definition of relevant I would have thought.

>Call it what it is "CENSORSHIP"
>
>THE SITE IS CURRENTLY BEING RE-BUILT ON ANOTHER SERVER !
>
>EAVSDROPPER
>

Chad

1958. FS: Uniden BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <nYLS2.581$I11.41797@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 121562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FOR SALE:

300-ch handheld scanner
TrunkTracker capable
Complete w/manual, original box, charger/AC adapter,
spare battery/charger.

Asking $125.00

Bob Esposito
Email: rjespo@voicenet.com

1959. Re: Acars : Unidentified reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <371b68ac.3455718@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 121563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 23:29:13 +0200, "Lionel B."
<l.bertrand_nospam_@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Hello
>Thanks for details
>I've been using Acars 7.0 for a few days (working good) , but I need to
>update Ground stations database, with stations such as F, Y, B which are
>unknown for the software...
>Who can help me ??I've found many sites dedicated to Acars monitoring, but
>mostly American, without infos about stations of this side of the pond...

I have the same database as you have, F, Y, B not listed.
If you find these stations, please post here.

Amiti&#65533;s

--
Regards, Jim. | The comfortable estate of widowhood is
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | the only hope that keeps up a wife's
dynastic%cwcom.net | spirits.
nordland%aol.com |
marula%zdnetmail.com | - John Gay 1685 - 1732
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1960. Re: Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <371e90b0.10855300@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121564

On 17 Apr 1999 21:54:04 GMT, "Weiser Ambulance District"
<wad@cyberhighway.net> wrote:

>I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has
>anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio
>hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to? Quite frankly, I'm
>not that interested in MDT transmissions or encrypted communications
>because I don't figure it's really any of my business. There are plenty of
>other things to listen to that don't involved solving encryption problems,
>etc.
>Maybe those of our compatriots in the hobby who figure they are entitled by
>some inherent right to listen to every transmission passing thought the air
>waves should simply stop and think rationally for a few seconds...are we
>really entitled [guaranteed a Constitutional right, perhaps] to listen to
>everything that is said? I doubt it!!

The question isn't whether we're entitled to listen to it. The
question is why we should be prevented from listening to it.

This is an issue of access to information. MDT traffic isn't
encrypted by definition. Encoded, yes, but not encrypted. In fact
virtually everything we do is encoded in some way. This message is
encoded (in ASCII). To say that just because something is encoded,
monitoring should not be allowed, one might as well say that anything
which uses Frequency Modulation should not be demodulated.

Casual listeners are still bound by the law not to reveal the contents
of conversations not intended for their use (the Radio Secrecy section
of the original Communications Act of 1934). So I can monitor MDT
transmissions as long as I don't reveal what I've monitored.

The essence of this issue is that people are going to listen anyway,
and there is no way to find or to stop someone from doing this.
That's why the Communications Act of 1996 was such a terrible piece of
legislation. Banning folks from listening to a scanner is like
telling folks to wear earplugs in public so that they don't
accidentally overhear other people's conversation in the street.

Banning 4 level FSK demodulators is like telling folks who understand
Sanscrit to wear ear plugs whenever they hear someone speaking that
language because public conversations in Sanscrit have an expectation
of privacy.

This issue is not new. It's as old as radio. What's new is the
shameful pandering by our elected leaders and the assumption that the
general public doesn't care. I have the utmost respect for those who

are tasked with enforcing the law. In return, I expect them to respect my rights as well.

This mess is not one of the better acts of law enforcement I've heard of. I hope the courts can do better.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1961. Scanners for sale...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <371b97af.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Relm HS-200, only a month old, like new: $150

Realistic Pro 2006, stock, Date code: 5A3. $275

Radio Shack Pro 43, stock, Date code: 9A3. $250

All include original box, manual, antenna. All are stock, meaning, not tampered with. The Relm is new, the others; well cared for (9/10).

I'll include shipping to the lower 48 States.

Please reply via E-mail if interested.

Thanks,
John - kb2voj@bigfoot.com

1962. Re: EAVSDROPPERS, scanner software site is updated--site gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aramisd@nospamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <371b964a.34704336@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 121566

The site is gone. Has nato gotten there already?

On Sat, 10 Apr 1999 00:07:40 +0100, "Ian"
<ian@nospamkmed70.freeserve.co.uk (please remove "nospam" from e-mail
address to reply)> wrote:

>
><whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net> wrote in message
>news:370E7596.998ABA63@pop.mpls.uswest.net...
>> Kool>>>>So where is your site?Uh......over
>>
>DOH !, thats what i get for doing 6 things at once :-)
>
>Here ti si
>
>http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk
>
>regards
>Eavsdropper
>

1963. RADIO shack PRO-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yo Yo Yo <buymeacar@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fg4lo$p3o$1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu>
Source record: 121567

Is this a good scanner? Its a handhelf. I paid 61 dollars for it. Is this a good price? Can I recieve cell phone calls on this and is it modifiable?

1964. Best 800 scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Foy <jim@REMOVEMEfoyinc.com>
Message-ID: <7fg52h$3ig@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121568

I'm going to replace my old scanner, and would like to locate the best new scanner for monitoring 800 trunk systems. Is the TrunkTracker feature or SmartScan feature from Uniden the current state-of-the-art? Does the best Radio Shack scanner compete with Uniden?

When I say "best", I guess I mean the best under $500.

Thanks in advance

1965. Re: Public Service DPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419172212.26022.00002953@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 121569

give me a day and I'll decode on my HT. I'll let you know.

73
Mike KC2CYE

1966. Trakkstar, Optocom, and Toshiba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jkimmer@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fgecg$978$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121570

Does anybody have Trakkstar or Scanstar running on a Toshiba notebook? I am currently trying to get Trakkstar to talk to an Optocom on a Toshiba Libretto and it DONT WORK. Signal Intelligence claims that it is a Toshiba problem and Toshiba claims it's a software problem. Anybody been in this situation before? Trakkstar is looking for a "real" serial port. In the Toshiba bios, I can set the serial port to any IRQ and Address i want (COM1:IRQ4:3F8). Any help with similar experience or solution suggestions would be appreciated. Thanks!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1967. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fg7rr$t55$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121571
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

How can you slag Ian and his website when you obviously dont know him or
what he is about!
You should do a bit of research before you start ranting and raving.
Censorship sucks big time
Carl M.

1968. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Luggar <luggsey@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <371BA50D.5C2ADB62@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

DiGitaal_DiSrupTer wrote:

> Hmmm, similar to PGP, but not PGP.
>
> What are spooks? Do they work for the government, or are we talking about a
> bunch of nerdy 12 yr olds who've
> grown bored playing Dungeons and Dragons.
>
> Digitaal
>
> Paul Luggar wrote in message <371A1549.CCA3AE68@hotmail.com>...
> >Keith wrote:
> >
> >> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 10:27:25 -0400, "DiGitaal_DiSrupTer"
> >> <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >As long as you have permission from the creators of the program to post
> the
> >> >source code in public. It's ok.
> >> >
> >> >If so........Keith's reply is, well.......meaningless.
> >> >
> >>
> >> What I mean is that the source code is protected under free speech
> >> rights. If the author of a computer source code wishes to publish
> >> it then it can not be illegal to do so.
> >>
> >> >I think what your doing is cool,
> >> >
> >> >Digitaal
> >> >
> >> >EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7f99qg$9ef$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> >> >>
> >> >>> >I noticed that the Eavsdroppers scanner software site has been in
> the
> >> >San
> >> >>> >diego news recently in an article about the Bill cheeck arrest, for
> the
> >> >>> >article go here
> >> >>> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >For my response to them go here
> >> >>> >

> >> >>> >http://www.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk/kmed70/otherstuf/article.htm
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >Just thought i would say something :-)
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I will be following up with more information regarding this topic.
> >> >>> There is a legal precedent for publishing source code. The best thing
> >> >>> that anyone can do is simply publish the source code.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>Meaning ?
> >> >>
> >> >>eavsdropper
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >> Best Regards,
> >>
> >> Keith
> >> ---
> >> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
> >> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
> >> ---
> >> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
> >> to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
> >> an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
> >> to break a single message.
> >> --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
> >> 1997.
> >
> >Your signature file makes me laugh! The NSA *Wants* everyone to believe
> that!
> >Did you see recently that a group of amateurs with about 100 pentiums
> lashed
> >together managed to crack the lower level of encryption similar to pgp!
> >Watch out, theres spooks about!
> >Paul {;-)
> >
> >ps is this a real quote!
> >

Similar to PGP, as in algorithm encryption..... (spelling is naff by the way!)
Paul {;-)

ps Spooks are CIA/Goverment secret department types.

1969. RD-LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <jKNS2.304$J21.1802931@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121573
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What happened to the folks that were talking about writeing decoder software
for RD-LAP, is it still being worked on , is it close, or did the project
get scraped becuase of the WinFlex / MDT Mon stuff?

1970. Lancaster Co. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kirkner <billrk@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <371b9c72@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 121574

I am looking for the 10-10 codes for Lancaster County Penn. Also if someone has the station assignments it would be great

73

Bill

1971. Used scanners on web?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric <tre@raex.com>
Message-ID: <924559757.112.79@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121575

Does anyone know of a business or individual who buys and sells used scanners on the web? I'm looking for something like the used section at www.universal-radio.com .

Most of the searches I do bring up the kind of scanner that does documents, not frequencies.

Thanks!

1972. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <371d9522.11533137@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121576

tweek@netcom.com (R R M Tweek) wrote:

>>The following auction(s):
>>91924925 AOR 8000 WIDE Range Recieving Scanner
>>
>>
>>
>>has been ended early. The content of your auction has been deemed
>>inappropriate for
>>inclusion in the eBay forum.
>>
>>Amps and scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay.
>>Also scanners in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency
>>used for cell scanners.
>
>
>What sort of stupid education does this person have. 900 Megahertz is
>the FUCKING AMATEUR RADIO BAND.

Probably about equivalent to yours. The "900 Megahertz band" is also
used for cordless phones and countless other things. Amateur use is NOT
a primary or exclusive function on that band, plus the amateur portion
only runs from 902-920, IIRC, and there's plenty of spectrum left in 900
MHz above the ham portions.

>WHAT THE FUCK ARE THESE FOLKS ON?

Caps Lock Syndrome?
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1973. Re: Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fg9hj$e30$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121577

Well,why don`t you explain to me, just what it is that I don`t have a "right" to hear, would you ? But leave out public service agencies, like police, fire, ambulance, etc, because I have an inherent "right to know" what is going on with those agencies. I would further remind you that their activities are published on a regular basis by the newspapers and television stations, so you had better get control over them, too (Lots of luck under the Constitiution and the Freedom Of The Press, fella). Now, just what IS it that "I don`t have a right" to hear ? Better still , WHY ?

Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl> wrote in message
news:yvJS2.262$3a2.737@news.get2net.dk...
> And ?
>
> Weiser Ambulance District wrote in message
> <01be891b$d07c9360$3213a1d1@default>...
> >I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has
> >anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio
> >hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to?
>
>

1974. Re: For Bill Cheek: DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN vs UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fg9mi$e3k$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121578

Why not ? Did somebody appoint you "moderator" of this group, Looney - Tune
? Where you been for a week , anyway ? Off getting a screw top installed ?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371A993A.8F28B526@usa.net...
> PLEASE
>
> Do NOT waste the TIME and bandwidth of this NG to post a message "for Bill
> Cheek"
> here. It should be sent via private e-mail.
>
> thank you in advance for your cooperation.
>
>
>

1975. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fgaa2$e6c$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121579
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Delusions, poor Looney, delusions, created in your tiny, feverish mind.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371ACD31.A84A8891@usa.net...
> OK Blake, I stand corrected on a technicality. Bill was asked to
> leave Fidonet rather than kicked off. He complied with the request.
> I hope you feel good about proving the minor techincal correctness.
>
>
>
> Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:53:35 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
> >
> > John, now you know that Bill was NOT kicked off of FIDONET. Don't
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1976. Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <371bc919@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 121580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I e-mailed them about it demanding an answer as to why they randomly select radios to cancel. Here's the response direct from customer (non)support:

Hello Dan,

Sorry it has taken so long to get back to you. It was not your item as much as it was the description of your item which caused the auction to be ended. The other auctions you have referred us to make no reference to possible illegal activity. Advertising that your scanner can receive frequencies in the range of cellular phones will result in the auction being removed. if you re list the same item without this information you should not have any problems.

Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Regards,
Matthew J.
eBay Customer Support

There ya have it should you decide to put one up for auction. No flames, please. This is just for those that have asked.

Dan

1977. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fga2o$e5a$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Why, Bill Cheek is the thorn is Mackalooney`s BLOOMERS, of course !

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371A9BA1.336E9C8@usa.net...
> Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning
> from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three
> books
> on scanning.
>
> He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.
>
<(Moronic MackaLooney ravings deleted to keep stink down)

1978. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <371ba557.1824390@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 121582

On 19 Apr 1999 13:06:08 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: jmackey@usa.net
>
>>
>>This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
>>spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.
>
>That would certainly include you.

It's been said that the difference between genius and stupidity is
that there are limits to genius. If nothing else, John has unlimited
potential. Heh, Heh!

1979. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <371ba6b5.2174730@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 121583

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:37:21 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>MAlexder-
>
>YOU certainly do not have the technical knowledge or ability to to
>access other's knowledge or
>abilities!
>

I think you mean "assess" not "access" John. Assess means to
evaluate, access is what you're going to be losing shortly!

1980. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAGK7y.69G@netcom.com>
Source record: 121584

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>
>Probably about equivalent to yours. The "900 Megahertz band" is also
>used for cordless phones and countless other things. Amateur use is NOT
>a primary or exclusive function on that band, plus the amateur portion
>only runs from 902-920, IIRC, and there's plenty of spectrum left in 900
>MHz above the ham portions.

1) Amateur use has precedence over part 15 unlicensed devices, which
use the same frequencies.

2) Despite what eBay stated, there are no cell phones in the 900 mhz
band.

3) When did the Amateur Radio Service lose 920-928 mhz?

>>WHAT THE FUCK ARE THESE FOLKS ON?
>
>Caps Lock Syndrome?

No. Just can't stand stupidity.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

1981. Re: Best 800 scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990419183352.09329.00003576@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121585

Jim,

Hold off a few months. Uniden's new 245XLT should be on the market around
the end of the summer - possible sooner. It will track not only Motorola
trunked systems, but also Ericsson, and not just 800MHz systems, but VHF & UHF
trunked systems, too.
The current crop of TrunkTrackers from both Uniden and RadioShack track
only 800MHz Motorola systems.
The new handheld should sell for about $300 via mail order.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1982. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM>
Message-ID: <371bb4cf.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 121586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Eric,

Thanks for the info but I use a PS/2 mouse port which uses IRQ 12 and I have
no conflicts with com port 1 on either system. I always checks for any
possible conflicts when dealing with any ports or other devices that use
IRQ's and I/O addresses.

Thanks for replying,

Marty
--
Renard (Marty) Martin
Sterling, VA 20165
rmartin@his.com
ICQ #451411
Eric J. Enos <enosej@erols.com> wrote in message
news:371c2cef.949863@news.tp.net...
> On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 22:47:30 -0400, "Renard (Marty) Martin"
> <RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote:
>
> >I can't seem to get the data slicing circuit to work with WinFlex or
Pocsag
> >2.04 using the supplied cable I have set all the options in both
programs
> >to the Optocom ports and no go. I am using a Toshiba 430CDT and a
Homebuilt
> >computer on com port 1. I can get other programs to work using the sound
> >card on the external speaker port of the Optocom but nothing from the
built
> >in data slicer. What am I doing wrong?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Marty
>
> Don't know anything about those programs or your computer, but
> generally, ComPort 1 is used by your mouse so you might be having a
> battle where the mouse is canceling out the signals you want to go to
> the programs. You might want to try using a different com port
> (usually Com1 is used by mouse and 2 or 3 by your modem)

1983. ?: Madeira Island Area Aeronautical Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus Buttinger <m.buttinger@salzburg.co.at>
Message-ID: <371a619e.0@195.70.96.56>
Source record: 121591

I will be on Madeira Island for a week of holidays
from Thursday and I will take my receiver along.

I do have Funchal APP 119.2 and TWR 118.1 but
am still looking for more VHF and HF ATC freqs
for that part of the world.

thanks,

Markus Buttinger
Salzburg,Austria

1984. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3738705c.251364241@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 121592
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 12 Apr 99 23:02:26 GMT, Solarpower1watt@Juno.com (Ed) wrote:
>>>Are Cellular phone are hazardous to health?
>
>>A CDMA digital phone's output is also constant in amplitude
>>in the same manner, though the frequency is rapidly shifting
>>or "spread", and coding gain can often permit it to operate at
>>a lower average output power than an analog phone. So if
>>there were a hazard, a CDMA phone would reduce it slightly
>>on average.
>
> Actually, buried somewhere in that study released a couple years ago is a
>portion which noted higher tissue heating and higher possible genetic changes
>with digitally modulated signals, as opposed to analog modulation. Sorry,
>can't site the specific part of that study.

How is that physically possible? Where is the extra energy coming from?
CDMA has the same maximum power output as AMPS. The signal is
constant amplitude, just like AMPS. The only difference is the broader
frequency span, and the greater coding efficiency which allows it to
work at a lower power level. TDMA isn't even on all the time. It time
slices, so total transmitted energy is less than AMPS. The extra
energy to cause extra heating has to come from somewhere. Where
is it coming from?

I can't add much about genetic changes other than to note that
electrophoretic ion migration is slower than the lowest modulation
rate, never mind carrier frequency, used in digital cellular phones.
So I don't see a mechanism for them to cause such changes. If there
are changes, there has to be a physical mechanism to make them
happen. What is it?

It should be kept in mind that correlation is not causation. Epidemiological
studies are of limited value because they can only show correlation, not
causation. For example, it can be shown that nearly 100% of serial killers
drank milk as a child. That's a correlation, but it certainly doesn't say that
drinking milk as a child causes one to become a serial killer. To prove
causation, a physical mechanism must be shown.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1985. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: garyjames@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fe0ds$45p$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121593

****
I agree with you about Ebay. The best remedy for such arbitrary and arogant companies is competition. There are other online auction options available! Unfortunately most people are unaware that there are other games in town. Read below and spread the word! Monopolies like Ebay only listen when you hit them in their fat wallets.

****

AMAZON.COM - AMAZON AUCTION http://www.amazon.com

If Amazon.com were launching a books only auction, then I would get real excited. In order to diversify and cash in on the auction action, Amazon has plunged headfirst into the person to person (sans eBay) internet auction business.

At first blush the new Amazon.com Auction looked nice enough, but after trying it out, our researchers reported that while it has some wonderful new features, Amazon lacks the user friendliness and overall community feeling of eBay. Simply put, it's very hard to find your way around the site. It's like going to a strange city blindfolded without a map or knowing the language. The listing page needs to be expanded with total amounts featured at the end of each category. There is NO site map and information is harder to find. A VERY interesting feature is that Amazon HIDES the bidders name until the reserve price is met. This would solve eBay's main problem, which is that MOST sellers complete their unmet reserve transactions AWAY from ebay. They do have a handy search and browse feature and it's neat the way they display a seller's auctions.

OUR MAJOR PROBLEM WITH THE SITE is that there is not a CENTRAL POINT where a buyer or seller can take full assessment of the total mass of products offered, such as is the case with eBay's Listing Page. In short folks, Amazon has got to make quite a few innovative changes to their format before they can hope to attract a large exodus of customers from eBay, that will stick to and use the Amazon Auction site. Amazon will grow because of its name only and unlimited advertising budget, but its growth will be slower than it expects because of a cumbersome and difficult website (in comparison to eBay).

Ebay, outside sources say, allegedly is ripe to involuntarily share its market with a QUALIFIED COMPETITOR because of too many users overtaxing their system and pressure from everywhere to impose rigid restrictions. We hear from lots of folks, that eBay

just isn't as fun as it once was and is becoming a BUREAUCRATIC MALAISSE (MESS) of rules and regulations. EBay has allegedly gone from a "conduit" free auction classified system to a virtual "police state" of watchers, informants and real police busts and it's not all eBay's fault. Ebay allegedly has been pressured by the crybaby press and holier than thou government fools (such as in New Yawk) who don't want to understand the ups and downs of free enterprise and want governments to regulate everything---kind of like the USSR once tried to do (ha ha). The alleged reason eBay hasn't had a mass exodus of members is that there just ISN'T ANY QUALIFIED COMPETITION. No other auction on the internet has the CRITICAL MASS of products, buyers and sellers as eBay, but that doesn't mean that the eBay members are happy campers about all the new restrictions, changes and power outages which have allegedly occurred since ebay's public offering.

All in all, eBay is still the undisputed KING OF INTERNET AUCTIONS and doesn't appear to have to be worried about the emergence of the new Amazon Auction. Anyway, good luck Amazon and we hope you don't take our comments the wrong way. Constructive criticism is the architect of positive change.

(PLEASE NOTE: This is an UNSOLICITED REVIEW and NOT a paid Advertisement).

NEW AUCTION REVIEW

David Panaia, CEO of eCom eCom.com, Inc. (OTC BB:ECEC), announced that the Resource Group N.A., a consulting firm managing people, products and concepts, has been selected to formulate and implement marketing initiatives for the ECEC Trading Club auction website. The Resource Group will launch a campaign focused on consumer choice. "It's important for eBay (Nasdaq:EBAY - news) and Amazon.com (Nasdaq:AMZN - news) customers to know that we offer a better way to buy or sell merchandise over the Internet," said Panaia. "We aim to reduce charges and increase security."

In regard to customer cost, Panaia cited the experience of America Online (NYSE:AOL - news) and other Internet service providers as evidence that per-use charges are not consumer-friendly. eBay and Amazon both assess listing and value-based transaction charges on a sliding scale based on the value of the item auctioned. For example, sale of one item valued at $1,000 through either eBay's or Amazon's auction site costs the seller a minimum of $25.63 for the final value fee plus $2.00 for the listing fee. Other fees may apply for additional services such as bold listing or category feature fees or use of escrow services. Customers who use these auction services regularly find that their patronage can be very expensive.

eCom eCom's ECEC Trading Club takes a different approach. Offering a broad range of trading options, including swapping and classified ad listings in addition to the auction format, Trading Club membership is free. An annual fee of $36 allows sellers to list an unlimited number of items for sale through ECEC's auction. In addition to being more economical, the membership club concept is more secure because the Trading Club reserves the right to revoke the membership of anyone who engages in unethical trading activity.

The ECEC Trading Club will celebrate its grand opening on April 29, 1999 by waiving the $36 seller fee and giving away prizes in a drawing on that date. Anyone who signs up for free membership in the Club prior to the grand opening is eligible to win a prize. The winner of the grand prize will receive $10,000 in cash. Details of the drawing for $10,000 cash and other prizes can be found on ECEC's web site at http://www.ecomecom.com

(PLEASE NOTE: This is an UNSOLICITED REVIEW and NOT a paid Advertisement).

SPECIALTY AUCTION OF THE WEEK: JUST GLASS AUCTION
Just Glass Auction was found by art glass expert and former New York model, Reyne Haines. A beautiful girl who likes beautiful things, Reyne has set up one of the most unique internet auctions on the web. All kinds of art glass can be found here, from cheap carnival glass to priceless L.C.Tiffany. Reyne is a pioneer of selling antiques and art glass on the internet, way before jpegs were the norm, but heck, that wasn't so very long ago. The art glass auction is a little different in the way it operates, but its simplicity lies in its specialization, so give it a chance.

http://www.justglass.com

(PLEASE NOTE: This is an UNSOLICITED REVIEW and NOT a paid Advertisement).

********** INTERNET AUCTIONS OF NOTE **********

---

1. EBAY (general)
http://www.ebay.com

2. Up4auction (general)
http://www.up4auction.com

3. Auction Universe (general)
http://auctionuniverse.com

4. Yahoo Auction (general)
http://www.yahoo.com

5. UBID (wholesale rep.)
http://www.ubid.com

6. ONSALE (wholesale rep.)
http://www.onsale.com

7. Excite Classified2000 (general)
http://www.excite.com

(PLEASE NOTE: This is an UNSOLICITED REVIEW and NOT paid
Advertisements).

********** AUCTION SITES TO CHECK OUT **********

---

1. EcomEcom !!NEW!! (general) (auction club)
http://www.ecomecom.com

2. Just Glass Auction !!NEW!! (specialty) (art glass only)
http://www.justglass.com

3. Auctionwatchers (Search Engine)
http://www.auctionwatchers.com

4. Amazon Auction !!NEW!! (general)
http://www.amazon.com

5. MCW Online !!NEW!! (sportscards)
http://www.mcwonline.com

6. Ontrack Auction (general)
http://www.ontrackauction.com

7. Playle's Auctions (postcards, jewelry, stamps, music)
http://www.playle.com

8. Utrade (specialty auction)
http://www.utrade.com

9. Sharper Image Auction (unique tech & toys off retail)
http://www.sharperimage.com

10. Gibson Guitars (great guitars & accessories)
http://auction.gibson.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1986. Re: For Bill Cheek: DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN vs UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371A993A.8F28B526@usa.net>
Source record: 121594

PLEASE

Do NOT waste the TIME and bandwidth of this NG to post a message "for Bill Cheek"
here. It should be sent via private e-mail.

thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Keith wrote:

> FILED
> AUG 25 2 12 PM '97
> RICHARD W. WIEKING
> CLERK
> U.S. DISTRICT

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1987. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371A9BA1.336E9C8@usa.net>
Source record: 121595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three books
on scanning.

He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.

He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the "scan-L" mailing list of Peter Laws, his former ISP of San Diego Road Runner, & other due to his disruptive behavior which was careless to the accepted rules of conduct
on those systems.

Recently, he has admitted to a run in with federal authorities which resulted
in his arrest & detainment. (he has also admitted to other legal problems in
the past)

Thomas Rowan wrote:

> Well , who is Bill Cheek ??

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1988. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371A9C78.D74C79F5@usa.net>
Source record: 121596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.

Jim Wayda wrote:

> Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1989. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <371AA140.2F60A68C@home.com>
Source record: 121597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about scanners
then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls" in this group.
He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that Mackie has
some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.
With all that Bill Cheek has given to the hobby, we should open our wallets and
support him.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning
> from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three
> books
> on scanning.
>
> He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.
>
> He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
> "scan-L" mailing list of Peter Laws, his former ISP of San Diego Road
> Runner, & other due to his disruptive behavior which was careless to the
> accepted rules of conduct
> on those systems.
>
> Recently, he has admitted to a run in with federal authorities which
> resulted
> in his arrest & detainment. (he has also admitted to other legal
> problems in
> the past)
>
> Thomas Rowan wrote:
>
> > Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1990. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spencer E Holtaway <baldoggy@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u96L3Shi#GA.311@upnetnews03>
Source record: 121598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

My god. I've moved twice since I last looked at this newsgroup and you guys
are STILL talking about cheek? Jesus...
Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote in message
news:371AA140.2F60A68C@home.com...
> Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about
> scanners
> then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls" in
> this group.
> He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that
> Mackie has
> some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.
> With all that Bill Cheek has given to the hobby, we should open our
> wallets and
> support him.
>
>
>
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> > Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning
> > from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three
> > books
> > on scanning.
> >
> > He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.
> >
> > He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
> > "scan-L" mailing list of Peter Laws, his former ISP of San Diego Road
> > Runner, & other due to his disruptive behavior which was careless to the
> > accepted rules of conduct
> > on those systems.
> >
> > Recently, he has admitted to a run in with federal authorities which
> > resulted
> > in his arrest & detainment. (he has also admitted to other legal
> > problems in
> > the past)
> >
> > Thomas Rowan wrote:
> >
> > > Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
> >

> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1991. FA: AR8000B with Optolinx and JAV 8000 computer program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <guxS2.895$4F.347@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 121599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

See http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=93093628

This scanner has some "bonuses" that I can't advertise on ebay. Email for details.

dstegner@home.com

1992. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Have a GREAT DAY <brechtd@NOSPAMSHITоanet.com>
Message-ID: <371aaaaa.0@news.oanet.com>
Source record: 121600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Screw you jmackey
Over the months I seen only shit coming from you
Why don't you leave this newsgroup alone and pick on Disney!!!!!!!

DiGitaal_DiSrupTer wrote in message <924474347.606383@axilla.wwnet.net>...
>Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.
>
>Digitaal
>
>Jim Wayda wrote in message <371AA140.2F60A68C@home.com>...
>>Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about
>>scanners
>>then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls" in
>>this group.
>>He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that
>>Mackie has
>>some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.
>>With all that Bill Cheek has given to the hobby, we should open our
>>wallets and
>>support him.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>>
>>> Bill is a man who who compiled a lot of information regarding scanning
>>> from various sources and produced some of his own to make about three
>>> books
>>> on scanning.
>>>
>>> He was a member of mensa at one time a few years ago.
>>>
>>> He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
>>> "scan-L" mailing list of Peter Laws, his former ISP of San Diego Road
>>> Runner, & other due to his disruptive behavior which was careless to the
>>> accepted rules of conduct
>>> on those systems.
>>>
>>> Recently, he has admitted to a run in with federal authorities which
>>> resulted
>>> in his arrest & detainment. (he has also admitted to other legal
>>> problems in

>>> the past)
>>>
>>> Thomas Rowan wrote:
>>>
>>> > Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
>>>
>>> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1993. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel grealy <joel@tig.com.au>
Message-ID: <7fe8u6$g20$1@toto.tig.com.au>
Source record: 121601
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV, but most people still
do it.
He may have reasons to keep his conversations private, but still wants the
portability of a phone much the same way as we all have, on the GSM network

L-A wrote in message <371670D4.C099F33E@ll.mit.edu>...
>x-no-archive: yes
>
>David S. Maddison wrote:
>
>> I was thinking of buying a Uniden DX-834 cordless phone with voice
>> scrambling. I would like to know how secure its voice scrambling is. Is
it
>> simply voice inversion? If not, what encryption scheme does it use?
Uniden
>> were not able to tell me :-(
>>
>> David Maddison
>
>Why the hell do you care if it is scrambled or not?! In case you have not
>known, it is now illegal to monitor cordless phones, therefore, your
>conversations are now private as no one will be listening
>
>No longer is it required to scramble. The government fixed it by making it
>illegal so NO ONE will listen.
>
>LA
>

1994. Re: Trunked Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <7fedov$sv3$20@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 121602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Sockless (Sockless@home.com.nospamplease) wrote:
: This is a cryptographically signed message in MIME format.
:
: --------------msBC0A9C4C1DC5286D2801A4DC
: Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
: Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:
: I am new to trunk radio. Can anyone tell me if it's possible to get a
: list of who has what ID ? I have programmed in all the freq. and the
: talk group ID's show up, but I have no idea who they are. I don't know
: if there is a master list or if it's even possible to make one from what
: I have read. I am in Ontario Canada if that's any help.

It is probably not possible - as each user sets up the IDs as needed or
desired for their purposes.

You have the frequencies that are used - you should be able to find who
the licensee is from those. You then just have to listen a lot, to find
out the purpose of each group.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1995. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419021143.27408.00002599@ng109.aol.com>
Source record: 121603

>99% of scanners don't even go up to 2Ghz so that excludes the majority.
>For those who do have the equipment to pick up your signal, it will be =
>hopping around
>so fast between frequencies in the 2.4Ghz range that they couldn't lock =
>onto it for more than
>maybe 1000'th of a second.

The handset xmits on 900 mhz... the base on 2.4ghz
PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

1996. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371ACC2C.5D0241E@usa.net>
Source record: 121604
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Joseph - were you speaking of Bill or Blake (or both!) ?

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
> > John, now you know that Bill was NOT kicked off of FIDONET. Don'
> SNIP
> He is the Forrest Gump of the scanner groups.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1997. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419021346.27408.00002600@ng109.aol.com>
Source record: 121605

>What about those voice scrambling phones.I cant understand a damn thing
>they say and they only run around $40.
>

Only voice inversion - very easy to descramble from what I understand...

Best bet... Landline hard wired phone with a long cord. Safe as you can get if it's not law enforcement you're worried about.
PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

1998. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371ACD31.A84A8891@usa.net>
Source record: 121606
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK Blake, I stand corrected on a technicality. Bill was asked to
leave Fidonet rather than kicked off. He complied with the request.
I hope you feel good about proving the minor techincal correctness.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:53:35 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >He has been kicked off of various online forums including Fidonet, the
>
> John, now you know that Bill was NOT kicked off of FIDONET. Don't

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1999. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <371ACCD5.73735394@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121607

STOP THE CONTROL FREAKS IN THE OREGON LEGISLATURE NOW!
Lets post phone numbers, fax numbers, and addresses of were we all can
write, call and fax until their phone lines burn up!
Dont be fooled by these freaks., they are highly concerned about being
reelected, and if you make enough noise about how you will sponsor the
other side, you can get them to back off of this stupid piece of control
freak legislation, unless its too late and has already been passed.

Someone in Oregon, please post the numbers we need to call and please do it
quick!

Thanks,

Mark

Keith wrote:

> Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:57:39 -0700 (PDT)
>
> Subject: HB3542
>
> Body: For those who have asked, here's the full text. I read in the
> paper this
> morning where Rep. Welsh has also introduced legislation prohibiting
> state
> employees from any activities that would reduce air pollution. I
> haven't
> seen the bill so don't know the context of this thought.
>
> 70th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY--1999 Regular Session
>
> NOTE: Matter within { + braces and plus signs + } in an
> amended section is new. Matter within { - braces and minus
> signs - } is existing law to be omitted. New sections are within
> { + braces and plus signs + } .
>
> LC 3320
>
> House Bill 3542
>
> Sponsored by Representative WELSH
>
> SUMMARY
>
> The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the
> measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to

> consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's
> brief statement of the essential features of the measure as
> introduced.
>
> Prohibits person from obtaining or attempting to obtain any
> part of telecommunication or radio communication unless all
> participants consent.
>
> A BILL FOR AN ACT
> Relating to crimes involving communications; amending ORS
> 165.540.
> Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:
> SECTION 1. ORS 165.540 is amended to read:
> 165.540. (1) Except as otherwise provided in ORS 133.724 or
> subsections (2) to (7) of this section, no person shall:
> (a) Obtain or attempt to obtain the whole or any part of a
> telecommunication or a radio communication { - to which such
> person is not a participant - } , by means of any device,
> contrivance, machine or apparatus, whether electrical,
> mechanical, manual or otherwise, unless consent is given by
> { - at least one participant - } { + all participants + }.
> (b) Tamper with the wires, connections, boxes, fuses, circuits,
> lines or any other equipment or facilities of a telecommunication
> or radio communication company over which messages are
> transmitted, with the intent to obtain unlawfully the contents of
> a telecommunication or radio communication to which such person
> is not a participant.
> (c) Obtain or attempt to obtain the whole or any part of a
> conversation by means of any device, contrivance, machine or
> apparatus, whether electrical, mechanical, manual or otherwise,
> if all participants in the conversation are not specifically
> informed that their conversation is being obtained.
> (d) Obtain the whole or any part of a conversation,
> telecommunication or radio communication from any person, while
> knowing or having good reason to believe that such conversation,
> telecommunication or radio communication was initially obtained
> in a manner prohibited by this section.
> (e) Use or attempt to use, or divulge to others any
> conversation, telecommunication or radio communication obtained
> by any means prohibited by this section.
> (2)(a) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (b) and (c) of
> this section shall not apply to officers, employees or agents of
> a telecommunication or radio communication company who perform
> the acts prohibited by subsection (1)(a), (b) and (c) of this
> section for the purpose of construction, maintenance or
> conducting of their telecommunication or radio communication
> service, facilities or equipment; nor shall such prohibitions
> apply to public officials in charge of and at jails, police
> premises, sheriffs' offices, Department of Corrections
> institutions and other penal or correctional institutions, except

> as to communications or conversations between an attorney and the
> client of the attorney.
> (b) Officers, employees or agents of a telecommunication or
> radio communication company who obtain information under
> paragraph (a) of this subsection shall not use or attempt to use,
> or divulge to others such information except for the purpose of
> construction, maintenance, or conducting of their
> telecommunication or radio communication service, facilities or
> equipment.
> (3) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (b) or (c) of this
> section shall not apply to subscribers or members of their family
> who perform the acts prohibited in subsection (1) of this section
> in their homes.
> (4) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a) of this section do
> not apply to the receiving or obtaining of the contents of any
> radio or television broadcast transmitted for the use of the
> general public.
> (5)(a) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(c) of this section do
> not apply:
> (A) When a law enforcement officer obtains a conversation
> between the officer or someone under the officer's direct
> supervision pursuant to a court order under ORS 133.726,
> providing the person who obtains or records the conversation does
> not intentionally fail to record and preserve the conversation in
> its entirety.
> (B) When a law enforcement officer obtains a conversation
> between the officer, or someone under the direct supervision of
> the officer, and a person who the officer has probable cause to
> believe has committed, is engaged in committing or is about to
> commit a crime punishable as a felony under ORS 475.992 or
> 475.995 or the circumstances at the time the conversation is
> obtained are of such exigency that it would be unreasonable to
> obtain the court order under ORS 133.726, providing the person
> who obtains or records the conversation does not intentionally
> fail to record and preserve the conversation in its entirety.
> (b) Except to a superior officer or other official with whom
> the officer is cooperating in the enforcement of felony laws, or
> to a magistrate, or in a presentation to a federal or state grand
> jury, the conversation obtained under paragraph (a) of this
> subsection shall not, without a court order, be divulged to
> others before the preliminary hearing or trial in which the
> conversation is introduced as evidence against the suspected
> person.
> (c) As used in this subsection, 'law enforcement officer '
> means an officer employed by the United States, this state or a
> municipal government, or a political subdivision, agency,
> department or bureau of those governments, to enforce criminal
> laws. A law enforcement officer may obtain a conversation under
> paragraph (a) of this subsection only when acting within the
> scope of this employment and as a part of assigned duties.

> (6) The provisions in subsection (1)(c) of this section do not
> apply to a person who records a conversation during a felony that
> endangers human life.
> (7) The prohibition in subsection (1)(c) of this section shall
> not apply to persons who intercept or attempt to intercept with
> an unconcealed recording device the oral communications that are
> part of any of the following proceedings:
> (a) Public or semipublic meetings such as hearings before
> governmental or quasi-governmental bodies, trials, press
> conferences, public speeches, rallys and sporting or other
> events;
> (b) Regularly scheduled classes or similar educational
> activities in public or private institutions; or
> (c) Private meetings or conferences if all others involved knew
> or reasonably should have known that the recording was being
> made.
> (8) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d) and (e) of
> this section do not apply to any:
> (a) Radio communication which is transmitted by a station
> operating on an authorized frequency within the amateur or
> citizens bands; or
> (b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
> transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
> or public safety communications system, including police and
> fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
> interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
> (9) Violation of subsection (1) of this section, subsection
> (2)(b) or subsection (5)(b) or (c) of this section is a Class A
> misdemeanor.
> ----------
>
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ---
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
> www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
> ---
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
> to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
> an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
> to break a single message.
> --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
> 1997.

2000. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <371ACF20.19A2D140@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121608

Keith wrote:

> On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 22:44:22 GMT, enosej@erols.com (Eric J. Enos)
> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 17 Apr 1999 19:47:53 GMT, kew@-nospam-teleport.com (Keith)
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Sun, 4 Apr 1999 09:57:39 -0700 (PDT)
> >>
> >>Subject: HB3542
> >>
> >>Body: For those who have asked, here's the full text. I read in the
> >>paper this
> >>morning where Rep. Welsh has also introduced legislation prohibiting
> >>state
> >>employees from any activities that would reduce air pollution. I
> >>haven't
> >>seen the bill so don't know the context of this thought.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>70th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY--1999 Regular Session
> >
> ><SNIP>
> >
> >>(4) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a) of this section do
> >>not apply to the receiving or obtaining of the contents of any
> >>radio or television broadcast transmitted for the use of the
> >>general public.
> >
> >This is the same clause in the federal statute (18 USCS 2511) that
> >allows people to use scanners for monitoring a very large range of
> >frequencies. The federal statute descripte "for the use of the
> >general public" as:
> >18 USCS 2510 (16) :
> >"readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect to a
> >radio communication, that such communication is not--
> > (A) scrambled or encrypted;
> > (B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
> >parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of
> >preserving the privacy of such communication;
> > (C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a
> >radio transmission;

> > (D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common
> >carrier, unless the communication is a tone only paging system
> >communication; or
> > (E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart
> >D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal
> >Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication
> >transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not
> >exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the
> >communication is a two-way voice communication by radio.
> >
> ><SNIP>
> >
> >>(8) The prohibitions in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d) and (e) of
> >>this section do not apply to any:
> >>(a) Radio communication which is transmitted by a station
> >>operating on an authorized frequency within the amateur or
> >>citizens bands; or
> >>(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
> >>transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
> >>or public safety communications system, including police and
> >>fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
> >>interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
> >
> >This also allows for a broad range of scanning. It is NOT illegal to
> >monitor any of those frequencies. I'd say the majority of range of
> >frequencies people are monitoring fall within that clause.
> >
> >>Best Regards,
> >>
> >>Keith
> >
> ><SNIP Sig>
> >
> >I wouldn't say that this was an anti-scanner law at all. I'd say that
> >it was an enabling law. It states that it IS legal to use a scanner
> >and on a very wide range of frequencies. I'm curious as to why it
> >would be labeled an anti-scanner law? I'd consider an anti-scanner
> >law one that prohibits scanners totally. This seems to make them
> >legal in a very wide range.
>
> You need to read the bottom of it sir.The only broadcast allowed to be
> monitored are police, fire and public service agencies. It would be
> illegal to monitor business, taxi, trucking and anything else not a
> public agency.
>
> HTH
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith

Hey Keith,

This is what really gets to me! Idiots who are willing to handover a little now so they can keep a little for the future. BULLSHIT! Stop these control freaks now so you wont have to hand over anything!
But, many screwed up Americans are buckleing under the heavy hand of Big Brother.
They are just giving up rather than fighting for their rights.
Isnt it a shame that our own government is a greater threat to our freedom than any other nuke armed country?

2001. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <371AD05D.800FD750@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121609

Please dont be such a puss.
Stand up and fight like a man.
Dont give in or youll lose everything!
Incrementally, they will take it all away, and buy being a
first rate wimp, youre falling right into their trap.
Get the phone numbers we need and lets call and fax til
their fu*king lines burn up!

Mark

D Stark wrote:

> Keith wrote in message <371cc2c4.18460210@news.teleport.com>...
> >On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 01:18:15 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
> >
> >>(b) Person who intercepts a radio communication which is
> >>transmitted by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense
> >>or public safety communications system, including police and
> >>fire, readily accessible to the general public provided that the
> >>interception is not for purposes of illegal activity.
> >
> >
> >Read this sir. You can only monitor public agencies. You can not
> >monitor private business or anything NOT public agency.
>
> I did. So, we keep access to public safety, which is the most important
> aspect of scanning in a "free" society. With the telecommunications
> industry taking over the rest of the radio spectrum, it's the best we're
> probably going to get, until private hobby use of radio equipment is
> completely banned.
>
> 73 de Dave, NF2G (I wonder how long they'll keep ham radio around)

2002. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <371AD0E9.D7CCF711@hotbot.com>
Source record: 121610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

TV antennas work well on a broad level, but its sort of like using a coat hanger to transmit your HF rig on. It will work, but its nothing like a highly tuned antenna like the one below!

TheBurger wrote:

> Let's take this one step further...Don't even build this anntenna but just
> use your exsisting TV antenna or 2/6 meter anntenna....actually the 6 meter
> one is almost tuned to the right freq ;-)
> Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com> wrote in message
> news:3718D1C0.DFB305C4@hotbot.com...
> > HAHA! Let me tell you a little story!
> >
> > Before it became ILLEGAL to use 1ply toilet paper, and monitor
> > cordless phones, I built an antenna to see how far I might be able to
> receive
> > those signals.
> >
> > I used inexpensive metal pipes that are found in the electrical parts area
> of
> > your hardware store. They cost about $1.20 each for ten foot lengths, so
> they are
> > really great
> > for making antennas.
> > I whipped out the ARRL handbook to compute the size of this Yagi antenna.
> That
> > was no big deal to figure with their formulas, and these days, software is
> > available to do it for you.
> > I cut the pipes to length, and mounted them on on pipe that was a little
> larger.
> > I was using .75 or 1 inch pipes as the crossmembers, and the boom was made
> from
> > 1.25 diameter pipe or better known as conduit.
> > I added a small tuneable pf range capicitor between the second crossmember
> and
> > the grounded boom using a few inches of phone wire. This allows you to
> tune the
> > antenna perfectly. The capacitor was one of those tunable types from
> Radio Shack
> > that cost about $1.50 for three in a bag. They sell two colors: green and
> orange
> > or red. I used the green tunable pf capacitor.
> > The antenna was fairly large, but easy to handle. I connected it to the
> 2004 I

> > used to own and plugged in the 47 to 49 mhz range. WIth the antenna near
> the
> > scanner, I tuned the capacitor while listening to a very distant signal
> that was
> > constant in level. As I turned the capacitor very slowly, I could hear
> the
> > signal get louder, and then fade. I adjusted it for the loudest possible
> signal
> > and left it. Then I mounted the antenna on its
> > side or vertical. The poll was two Radio Shack TV antenna stackable
> polls. One
> > was
> > 10 ft, and the other 5ft. So, the antenna as not very high off the
> ground.
> >
> > BUT!!!! As I tuned around the baby monitor/portable phone band, I COULD
> HARDLY
> > BELIEVE HOW MANY PEOPLE I COULD HEAR!
> >
> > This antenna cost nearly nothing to build, but it worked so well, I could
> hear
> > portable phones that were SEVERAL MILES away! And, because it was a Yagi
> > configuration,
> > I could turn it towards what I wanted to hear. In other words, it was
> focusable.
> >
> > If you have the time, and the desire to build this antenna, you will be
> very
> > rewarded by its performance, believe me! I don't have the figures
> available for
> > the lengths of its various pieces, but it had only a grand total of four
> cross
> > members over the top of its boom. Picture a very small TV antenna, but on
> a
> > larger scale. Total cost was under $20.00 I had to research the
> formulas, and
> > buy some TV antenna poll mounts from RS
> > to connect each arm to the boom, but the total time was like one night and
> half
> > the next day by the time I had the antenna up and running. You know how
> these
> > projects are...you have to go to this store, and that store, and your hack
> saw
> > blade breaks, and your forgot to buy some RG8mini cable from RS etc. So,
> if you
> > want an antenna that allows you to hear a baby monitor from a mile away or
> more,
> > build this and amaze your friends!
> >
> >

> > Bruce or Tracy wrote:
> >
> > > This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
> > > the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
> > > to reach that far.
> > >
> > > I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)
> > >
> > > Bruce
> > >
> > > JnglMassiv wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
> > > >
> > > > I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
> > > > validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks
> away.
> > > > Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't
> have
> > > > anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older
> cheappy
> > > > Pro-33.
> >

2003. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Speed <rodspeed@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <7fejv5$3nd$1@news.mel.aone.net.au>
Source record: 121611
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Joel grealy <joel@tig.com.au> wrote in message
news:7fe8u6$g20$1@toto.tig.com.au...

> It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV,

It isnt actually. One of the most common urban myths around.

COPYRIGHT ACT 1968 - SECT 111
Filming or recording broadcasts for private and domestic use

SECT. (1) The copyright in a television broadcast in so far as
it consists of visual images is not infringed by the making of a
cinematograph film of the broadcast, or a copy of such a film,
for the private and domestic use of the person by whom it is made.

> but most people still do it.

They're welcome to, its perfectly legal to do so.

2004. Re: motorola Sabre systems III vhf for sale/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Miller <kc2cbd@usit.net>
Message-ID: <371AD6EA.EEDC21F5@usit.net>
Source record: 121612
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

How many times do ppl think they have to post a message?????????
We can read and don't need to read the same post over and over again.

Dale Miller
KC2CBD

Leroy Fray wrote:

> have a Sabre III vhf with secure net that I took on trade and have no use
> for vhf. Radio is in great shape 9 out of 10 and there is an extra casing
> for the radio, and a good battery plus 1 extra brand new battery.
> I am looking for uhf and scanner equipment in trade or will sell radio
> for $650 FIRM plus s/h costs. I am specifically looking for kenwood mobile
> and portable that is
> field programmable or will consider other brands (icom vertex/yeasu
> standard ect) if programming cables and software are included. I have no
> interest in Motorola products.

2005. Re: Who is John Mackey ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <HJAS2.344$s_1.51781@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121613
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Who is John Mackey?

Allsafe replied once to Mackey himself with the best definition....and I quote;

"I'd guess that there isn't anything you could say that would raise your ratings in the eyes of regular readers of this group. You're an amusing sideshow to an otherwise informative newsgroup. You're the "Otis" of "Mayberry Rec.Radio.Scanner". You're Damon Wayons as the homeless guy; filthy and three sheets to the wind, roaming about with your mayonaise jar of piss and shit tucked under your arm. Repulsing most and amusing the rest with your delusional take on the world."

That describes John with precision.

2006. ar3000a /aor 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: charlie
Message-ID: <371ad8ca.504840@news.nildram.co.uk>
Source record: 121614

Hi I am looking for 1 program to run the 2 radios can any one help

thanks

2007. FS: BC200XLT and BC2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: CeeJay <schatten21@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <tnCS2.616$3d.156985@news21.ispnews.com>
Source record: 121615

Both radios in excellent condition and unblocked cellular freqs.

I have manuals, carrying cases, spare battery, and charger for each but no boxes.

BC200 $175.00
BC2500 $250.00

$400 for both

2008. Q: What is an FSK Decoder ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419065859.20901.00002700@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 121616

Can someone explain how they work also ?

IS this the same as a Hamcomm Interface ?

Thx,

Joe

2009. Re: Trunked Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ff5j0mcq3@207.18.224.102>
Source record: 121617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 22:52:28 -0700, <artbecker@pipeline.com> wrote:

>>> You have the frequencies that are used - you should be able to find who
>the licensee is from those. <<
>
>Hah, hah. Good one.
>
>Nextel bought all the frequencies and is leasing them out. Apparently they
>don't have to tell the FCC who the actual end user is, as that info isn't in
>the databases. Your search has a good chance of hitting a Nextel dead end.
>
><< Art >>
>
>
Although just like a cellular company, where you do not have to have
individual licenses for each user, Nextel has by no means bought ALL
of the 800 mhz frequencies. Or trunked for that matter.

2010. Re: Vhf pager monitor with ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7fehr7$41s$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 121618

No. You need a two-tone sequential decoder, not DTMF. You might consider adding one of those. About $60. You can find ads in Mobile Radio Technology for these.

Ian wrote in message <371aa424@news.siscom.net>...
>Hello, Can i use the dtmf decoder on my radio to open the squelch on my
>local fire departments tones-vhf?
>
>If so, how do I do it?
>
>

2011. Re: Q: What is an FSK Decoder ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <371B1185.BB8C11B0@ibm.net>
Source record: 121619

The FSK is frequency shift keying. This is when a frequency is shifted between two or more frequencies. There are many different variations of this. It is normally used to transmit data, but can also be used to transmitt FAX. You will even have what is called ASFK (audio Frequency Shift keying) that is what you will hear on most of the FM scanners.

The Hamcomm Interface will allow the computer to decode some , but not all, of the data with the correct program. The Hamcomm Interface was origionally made to be used on the frequencies from around 1 to 30 MHz and with a SSB radio to decode and transmit CW and RTTY. The circuit itself is very simple and is just a way of converting audio tones into pulses on the rs232 input to the computer. Then the program will do what ever it needs to to use these pulses. Other programmers have made programs to expand the basic idea to do other things.

JOEGIZJR wrote:
>
> Can someone explain how they work also ?
>
> IS this the same as a Hamcomm Interface ?
>
> Thx,
>
> Joe

2012. Ebay Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419082231.22927.00002851@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121620

I recieved another response from ebay about pulling my 8000 from their site. It sounds like someone can rat on a product and they will not even check it out before pulling the product. See if you read it the same way.

Hello,

Thank you for your email.
You are right, rules should be the same for everyone.

We understand your concern. We do try to respond to all reports of any illicit or illegal auctions when they are received but as we do not actively police eBay listings looking for these auctions it is often the case that some offenders will occasionally slip by.

Please note that even if some sellers are currently in violation of eBay listing rules and guidelines this does not lessen the seriousness of the violations for those sellers whose auctions have been reported to us.
Scanners that are modified are not allowed for sale on eBay.
If your scanner was not among these, we do apologize.
You may relist your item with the description that clarifies this.
Again we thank you for your cooperation.

Best wishes,

Cindy
eBay Inc.

2013. Re: FS:Pro-2022, Regency R3040, and manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Geith <jgeith@pcisys.net>
Message-ID: <924524569.898.24@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121621

It looks like the R-4030 is sold as of 4/18.

Jim Geith <jgeith@pcisys.net> wrote in message
news:924366559.067.28@news.remarQ.com...

> Regency R-4030 handheld. This is a duplicate of the Uniden BC 200xlt.
This
> unit is rough but works. The factory battery is shot, and there is a
> damaged area on the LCD on the lest side, but it does not appear to block
> any of the functional areas. Inlcudes factory case, factory power supply
> (slight cosmetic damage) and rubber duck antenna. It also had the 800 mod
> done.
>
> $70 OBO.

2014. Re: Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371b50ba.1115374@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 121622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If it was new why did you not use the battery that came with said
radio?(if it needed a charge- charge it then perform your tests.unless
it did not come with a battery-maybe they are now optional.. another
reason to use commercial gear.
good luck gig.On 10 Apr 1999 13:34:55 GMT, "Matdee"
<mattde@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Took delivery 2 wks ago of a new FT-100. I am waiting to take
>my ham test next week and thought I would start off with
>the new mini-radio by Yaesu. Power it up from a regulated
>lab grade power supply for rcv only. The display went DEAD
>and actually smelled something burning just after 1/2 hour of
>use. Shipped it back to the dealer for a warranty claim - Hamradio
>outlet Nv. I now hear since then many other people have had their
>FT-100 crap out on them. STAY AWAY from the FT-100.
>It's the radio equivalant of a Yugo or Vega. A real radio LEMON!
>
>Matt D. N.Mex
>

2015. ATT/LUCENT "9400" SECURE?? (cordless phone)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371b51b4.1366003@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 121623

Hello all
Just curious to find out if the "9400" is secure or do i have to look
elsewhere. for secure cordless phones.
thanks gig.

2016. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990419090608.11450.00003959@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121624

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>
>This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
>spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.

That would certainly include you.

2017. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <371c2cef.949863@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 22:47:30 -0400, "Renard (Marty) Martin"
<RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote:

>I can't seem to get the data slicing circuit to work with WinFlex or Pocsag
>2.04 using the supplied cable I have set all the options in both programs
>to the Optocom ports and no go. I am using a Toshiba 430CDT and a Homebuilt
>computer on com port 1. I can get other programs to work using the sound
>card on the external speaker port of the Optocom but nothing from the built
>in data slicer. What am I doing wrong?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Marty

Don't know anything about those programs or your computer, but
generally, ComPort 1 is used by your mouse so you might be having a
battle where the mouse is canceling out the signals you want to go to
the programs. You might want to try using a different com port
(usually Com1 is used by mouse and 2 or 3 by your modem)

2018. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: get@bent.retard
Message-ID: <37212502.8388691@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 23:30:02 -0400, "DiGitaal_DiSrupTer"
<superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:

>Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.

Who's gonna spell it for him?

2019. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: get@bent.retard
Message-ID: <3722251f.8417764@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 10:03:14 -0600, "Have a GREAT DAY"
<brechtd@NOSPAMSHIToanet.com> wrote:

>Screw you jmackey
>Over the months I seen only shit coming from you

Try years.

2020. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: get@bent.retard
Message-ID: <372024b4.8310492@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:57:10 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
>spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.

You don't feel this way about Cheek, but you fit your own description, you
retarded mongoloid.

>
>
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>
>> Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2021. FREE XT Computer etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Linda Brodsky <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <7ffg3j$6ps$1@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 121629
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,comp.forsale.hardware,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
FREE if you are willing to pick up in Nassau County NY (or pay shipping)
1 - Vendex XT 8088 PC (4 and 12 mhz) with all manuals, software etc. Works
well
1 - Star Micronics dot matrix printer
3 - Monitors - 2 CGA (one cuts off after it runs for about 1/2 hour, other
works fine), 1 B&W
Miscellaneous floppy drives, cables etc.

If interested email to: ml488740@nassaulibrary.org
```

2022. FS: AOR 1500 wide band all mode 1000 channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
Message-ID: <371B46CF.36EE@REMOVEhome.net>
Source record: 121630
Cross-posted to: balt.forsale,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

comes with antenna and manual. this is a great hand held. .5-1300MHz
with no gaps. AM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB.
asking $250.oo shipped in the US.
-Greg

--
\|||/
(0-0)
----------------------oOOO--(_)--OOOo--
My Email address is altered due to
the prevalence of Spamers. Remove the
REMOVE from my return .oooO
address to reply! ( ) Oooo.
------------------------\ (----( )----
_)) /
(_/

2023. Boston Marathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <371f44ef.7095389@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121631

For those wanting to listen to the Boston Athletic Association (people in charge of the Boston Marathon), they are broadcasting on 464.9250. They also have 464.1000 registered but I haven't heard any broadcasts on it yet.

2024. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <NvHS2.4638$8m5.7459@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121632

Tracey wrote in message <371AD05D.800FD750@hotbot.com>...
>Please dont be such a puss.
>Stand up and fight like a man.
>Dont give in or youll lose everything!
>Incrementally, they will take it all away, and buy being a
>first rate wimp, youre falling right into their trap.
>Get the phone numbers we need and lets call and fax til
>their fu*king lines burn up!

You sure talk big. How about posting a copy of that tough letter that you've already sent to your representatives, hmm?

2025. Re: For Bill Cheek: DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN vs UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371b4656.644604@news>
Source record: 121633

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:43:20 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

PLEASE

Do NOT waste the TIME and bandwidth of this NG to post flames aimed at
Bill Cheek here. It should be sent via private e-mail.

thank you in advance for your cooperation.

>PLEASE
>
>Do NOT waste the TIME and bandwidth of this NG to post a message "for Bill
>Cheek"
>here. It should be sent via private e-mail.
>
>thank you in advance for your cooperation.

2026. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7ffmbn$if1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121634

Hello All,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
I have a Ham Radio & Electronic Web Page
with info on: Satellites, Satellite Tracking,
HF/VHF/UHF, HF Rig Reviews, Classifieds, FT-847
Page, FT-50R Page, and TONS of very useful
Ham Radio & Electronic Links.
Please drop by my GuestBook & sign it.

The URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU DM04

PS. Web Masters: Please add me to your
links section, I can return the favor.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2027. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904191606.SAA09957@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 121635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Eric J. Enos (enosej@erols.com) wrote:

: Don't know anything about those programs or your computer, but
: generally, ComPort 1 is used by your mouse so you might be having a
: battle where the mouse is canceling out the signals you want to go to
: the programs. You might want to try using a different com port
: (usually Com1 is used by mouse and 2 or 3 by your modem)

This is true mostly for older computers. Most computers made in the past
5 years, the mouse works off a dedicated PS/2 mouse port which is
independent of the serial ports. If you want to learn how your machine
is configured, in Win 9x go to control panel/system/device manager, or
try running MSD from a DOS prompt.

2028. Re: Bill Cheek et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <yvJS2.262$3a2.737@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 121636

And ?

Weiser Ambulance District wrote in message
<01be891b$d07c9360$3213a1d1@default>...
>I'm sure this will generate a whole new can of worms but here goes....Has
>anyone ever stopped to think that there are some things that radio
>hobbyists don't really have a right to hear/listen to?

2029. Shelby County, Indiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: integrand <lim@lhopital.com>
Message-ID: <371D4678.A07C14B4@lhopital.com>
Source record: 121387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can someone please supply me with the frequency for the local sheriff in Shelby County, Indiana.

Thanks

-Integrant

2030. Trunk System Used in Temecula, California???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <371D4C16.C6C31D96@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121388

What trunk system is used in Temecula, California and what radio
will track it?

Thanks in advance.

Jim

2031. Secure 900+ MHz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Remington <saremington@imt.net>
Message-ID: <7fjhgs$g4k@news.imt.net>
Source record: 121389

I'd appreciate any help in answering this question:

What's the most secure 900 MHz through 2.4 GHz telephone a normal person can buy? My Vtech 900DX has served me well these past several years but I'm itching to upgrade to something that has Caller ID built into the handset. Don't bother talking about Panasonic's 2.4 GHz phones - they're about as secure as shouting across a neighborhood - at night.

Thanks in advance for the help!
Scott

2032. Re: Secure 900+ MHz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: anonymous <YRU1399@aol.com>
Message-ID: <371D58E9.2A223571@aol.com>
Source record: 121390

uniden 900

Scott Remington wrote:

> I'd appreciate any help in answering this question:
>
> What's the most secure 900 MHz through 2.4 GHz telephone a normal person can
> buy? My Vtech 900DX has served me well these past several years but I'm
> itching to upgrade to something that has Caller ID built into the handset.
> Don't bother talking about Panasonic's 2.4 GHz phones - they're about as
> secure as shouting across a neighborhood - at night.
>
> Thanks in advance for the help!
> Scott

2033. New PRO-43 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhope@enoinc.com
Message-ID: <371e72fa.7781499@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 121391

See http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=93186814

2034. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D6759.B0A9062E@got.net>
Source record: 121392

JTWard01 wrote:

> >I guess I'm missing something. How is this any different from what news
> >reporters do all the time?
>
> It isn't really. I did it for about 10 years as a newspaper photographer
> and reporter.
> I suppose some cop could get picky about it and start causing problems for
> the media, but most police departments and reporters realize it's in both
> parties best interests to get along.

We had a Shefriff in California get picky in '83.....the FCC and his own staff convinced him it was a bad idea as long as the media did not reviel swat movements and certain other tactics.

> They don't really. However, it is a clear violation of federal law, at
> least with freelancers. With staffers it's a bit different. They'd be getting
> paid whether they showed up at the scene or were working on a totally different
> story, so the link between scanner and personal gain is less clear.

Sorry but you are wrong to some point. A guy with a hi-8 chasinging accidents to sell to lawyers is one thing.

Freelancers and stringers are pretty much in the clear.
Buy hey...what about the idiot shooter that was listening to Dallas PD cellphones in plain view of the officers???

WACO anybody???

>
> The personal gain issue dates back many years ago to when tow truck
> operators (and some funeral homes, ambulance services and lawyers, too) would
> monitor police transmissions and race to be the first one at the scene of an
> accident in hopes of getting the business. It got so bad in some places the
> competitors would actually get into fist fights to see who would get to haul
> away the car/body.
> Believe it or not, Tampa was pretty much this way when I first moved here
> in 1973.

Houston still does it that way...call for a wrecker goes out on dispatch...first tow to show...goes.

2035. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D68D0.A4A61816@got.net>
Source record: 121393

Gerald Taylor wrote:

> The laws that govern monitoring radio reception of the type discussed here do
> not make exceptions for any kind of "public service" uses. It is illegal,
> period. The law just looks the other way until the SS chooses to play ruffian
> with the Cheeks.

So...How many TVlivetrucks do you see on the sidewalks and red zones in NYC?

> My guess is that all news agencies also monitor the MDT info.

I have been on most of the top 10 network TV stations in the last year. There is no money to pay a staffer to monitor MDT...stringers do for them. Just try to get a producer to read the AP wire....

2036. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D6B0F.71A2A26F@got.net>
Source record: 121394

Skip Sanders wrote:

> Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
>
> 402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
> with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
> emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
> is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
> or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

Now go read 409.5 PC and 830.3

Thanks for the little red books at KCST
Mark

2037. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D6C14.6BDFAEE1@got.net>
Source record: 121395

jnooney@albany.net wrote:

> Interesting Skip. As far as I know there is no law in New York like
> that although I could be wrong. Anyone out there know of laws similar
> to the one that Skip quoted.

New York cops love you or hate you...after 1 year working with NYPD i
found the ok.
No media law to protect...but plenty of free van parking.

>
>
> Joe
>
> Skip Sanders wrote:
> >
> > Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
> >
> > 402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> > stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> > scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> > medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
> > with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> > is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> > scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
> > emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
> > is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
> > or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> > emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> > personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> > emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
> >

2038. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D6F03.40E819E1@got.net>
Source record: 121396

RJR EMS wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Letter from Bill Cheek
> >From: lf686@aol.com (LF686)
> >Date: 4/13/99 5:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <19990413172148.13863.00000145@ng-fa1.aol.com>
> >
> >>Wrong. A copy made via regular methods will not reveal any "deleted" or
> >>otherwise hidden information on the original storage medium. That is what
> >>is meant by "analyzing" the system - recovering the deleted and hidden
> >>files. It cannot be done from a copy.
> >
> >Hi Dave,
> >
> >You mention a "copy by regular means" however I would have to believe that
> >the
> >secret service and FBI have access to equipment and methods to make a bit by
> >bit image of any drive.
> >
> >Larry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Whats to say they didn't copy his hard drive keep the original and give him the
> copy.
> rjrems

Has anybody here ever spent ANY time in a federal courtroom?

2039. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D6E29.D6DEB1E@got.net>
Source record: 121397

Harry wrote:

> On 13 Apr 1999 12:33:20 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>
> How long do you think it takes do make a copy of a disk drive? Pop it out,
> put it in a system set up with another drive and copy away.
>
> I can back up my 15GB of data on my P133 in about 1.5 hours.

That would brake the chain of evidence...Ally McBeal could win that one.

2040. Re: LAPD MDT Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D7244.3EC5B0AC@got.net>
Source record: 121398

HH357 wrote:

> In article <7f31drcjk1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Karl Dahlquist"
> <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> writes:
>
> True, but MANY of the messages were from black officers themselves. At least
> one using the N-word was from a Black officer to their spouse who was also on
> the force. The newspapers sorta shut up about this after it became
> public...no longer newsworthy in their minds.
>
> I could never understand how Blacks could use this pejorative term when
> refering to their own race.!
>

Spend any time east of Chicago...in the ghetto's...NYC,baltimord and wash.DC.
That is jus the way it is...Black people often call each other niggers. Just like
white people call each other Gay.

I'm white...I don't call them niggers. They are black...they don't call me gay or
honkey.
It's regional respect.

In Houston the Mexicans are often refered to as "As Hectors"

2041. Re: LAPD and MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371D705B.DC80A25D@got.net>
Source record: 121399

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> "Would cause..."? It already happened in LA, I think. Don't know if the
> evidence (racist messages) was turned up by reporters or internal
> investigators.
>

That was a routine Internal Affairs run. Reporters were leaked the info
afterwards.

2042. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tSZS2.4220$14.1425@wards>
Source record: 121437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Tracey Levin wrote in message
news:1jWS2.3170$fz.1118059@WReNphoon2...
> Please dont let this cheap dixon group slow you down!
>

> Also, DIXON SUCKS! Dont buy anything from them or and ads on their
system!
> We will teach them! Loss of funds is what hurts them.
>
>
Thanks for the support !, did you also know that the DIXONS group put second hand goods back on the shelves and re-sell them as brand new items to the customer !!!.

If DIXONS wish to sue me for saying that please feel free as I have witnesses, as we were there when one of the branch managers got a personal hi-fi back that had a fault and watched them put it back in stock for re-sale as a new item !!

liars as well as censors

eavsdropper

2043. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fhp3mknp1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, the Feds have been hanging around over at Ebay for quite a while now, purportedly to "investigate fraud". It was on the news. And when they arrived there, they started with their little anti - scanner campaign, which is not surprising. And, they started putting pressure on Ebay to ban the sale of these scanners on their sites. Of course, it is a violation of your rights, since the Constitution says you have a right to dispose of your goods as you see fit , without Government interference, but it seems that lately, the Government doesn`t give a damn any more about your rights.

This all started when this "Kentucky Ripoff" poster called in the Feds. Since they then had a legitimate complaint to act on, they got carried away (as usual).

Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote in message
news:371bc919@news.oaktree.net...
> Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable
> scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They
> yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I

2044. Re: Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lawrence Wong <wongl@iee.org>
Message-ID: <371CDE7D.6D28@iee.org>
Source record: 121439
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Is this another scam?

Don't we all live on a floating magnetic field?

Tracey wrote:
>
> Are Cell phones dangerous? Is the Pope Catholic?
>
[snip]
>
> 10. I suggest that you NEVER use an electric blanket, but you may find them to be
> just too comfortable to avoid.
> Most electric blankets use a series of wires and heater coils embeded
> between two layers of cloth. Many blankets
> use wall current to produce the higher temperatures you enjoy.
> Essentially, you are laying a huge antenna over your body.
> It is emitting 60hz magentic fields and it is located right next to your
> skin. Even if the blanket was operated from highly
> filtered DC, you still would have a magnetic field around it and you.
> Remember how you used a D cell battery, a nail, and
> a coil of wire to produce an electro magnet? Was that DC current you were
> using? Sure! And, though magnetic fields
> that turn on and off rapidly as in 60 hz power lines and RF devices is much
> more dangerous, who can say that hours of
> exposure each night to powerful DC magnetic fields is not harmful?
> Think about your environment in which you exist on a normal day. Do what
> you can to minimize exposure to magnetic
> fields and RF. Keep power cords for tools, radios, hair dryers, away from
> your body. Stay away from high powered
> electric lines overhead. I would NEVER buy a home located next to
> overhead powerlines. Especially those mounted on
> large metal towers. Such a home would be bathed in 60hz magnetic fields
> 24 hours a day.

2045. Re: PRO 2042- trade for sw rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbutler322@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420083401.27470.00002784@ng108.aol.com>
Source record: 121440

Gil--

I don't have a SW receiver that I want to part with, right now.....sorry.
What would you sell the 2042 for?

Thanks, Bob Butler

2046. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <WhiY8JAcXHH3EwNR@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7ffsp8bg1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>, EAVSDROPPER <ian@nospam.km
ed70.freeserve.co.uk> writes
>YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY
>ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME POSTING
>SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP
>
I am sorry to hear that - hope you find a better ISP soon.

BTW have they given *any* legitimate reason [i.e not unfounded
allegations of spam] *why* they canned your account? The only reasons I
could think of are

a] the DTI put pressure on them [IIRC the DTI licenses ISPs as well as
the use of the radio spectrum] - but then they would have to close
every scanner-related site in the UK, and this would have further
political ramifications worldwide [Britain is still considered to be a
country with a reasonable amount of freedom of speech]

What happened IMO is more likely is a commercial reason rather than
censorship per se..

b] your site was *too* popular! Due to the recent publicity, it may have
attracted a ton of US visitors who took up a lot of Freeserves
bandwidth. They don't want to admit that they do not have sufficient
capacity to handle a sudden increase in traffic, so they kick you off
and accuse you of spamming! {for all their faults, at least if this
happens with Demon you are warned that it has occured and just moved to
a slower server}

Freeserve is an experimental marketing venture of Dixons group [the
services are offered at a loss or small profit so advertisers can easily
leach on to the net users] and although its shares had a recent boom the
company is yet to actually make money.

Now they [Dixons Grope] are trying to sell the company the last thing
they want is a single customer who's just paid a tenner [or however much
it costs to join it] costing them loads of cash by putting up sites
which are controversial *and* popular - they don't want to invest in any
more hardware than the minimum amount required for a just about
acceptable service [friends who use freeserve say claim it always falls
over on peak time]

As a consolation their shares just dropped 103p today ;)

--
Mr R@T - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
"Wir richten Antennen ins Firmament / Empfangen die Tï¿½ne die Niemand kennt.."
Attention: The headers of this news message have been altered for security
To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"

2047. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <371c75f8.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 121442
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

In aus.radio.scanner David S. Maddison <maddison@power.connexus.net.au> wrote:

: I was thinking of buying a Uniden DX-834 cordless phone with voice
: scrambling. I would like to know how secure its voice scrambling is. Is it
: simply voice inversion? If not, what encryption scheme does it use? Uniden
: were not able to tell me :-(

OK. So I got one of these and checked it out with the understanding with the store that if the security didn't seem to be "reasonable" I could return it. I scanned it (it's my phone, OK? :-)) in the 30.075 MHz - 30.30 MHz (Australian 10 channel cordless allocation) and I discovered that in use it uses three channels. One appears to carry the voice data (analogue) and of the other two, one sounds like a silent carrier, and the other seems to have some sort of high-pitched tone on it. On the suspected voice channel I tried to use normal voice inversion decryption but could not get an intelligible signal. So it seems that it doesn't just use normal voice inversion as one poster suggested. Incidentally, the USA and NZ versions of this phone use 25 channels, but since the model numbers are the same, I would guess that everything else is the same.

Comments?

David Maddison

2048. Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fhspc$6lt$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121443

Anyone know if Fairfield, CT PD has changed their freqency ? No activity
on 159.09

2049. Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <371f82e2.2360422@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121444

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 08:41:26 -0400, "Storm"
<Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Anyone know if Fairfield, CT PD has changed their freqency ? No activity
>on 159.09
>
1999 Police Call still lists 159.09 as the police dispatch channel
with 154.815 and 39.46 also in use by the police. And 158.8050 the
Unit-to-Unit F-5 frequency. Might also want to try 158.9250. Hope
one of those helps you out.

2050. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371c8482.1506556@news>
Source record: 121445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 12:47:34 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>Thanks for the support !, did you also know that the DIXONS group put second
>hand goods back on the shelves and re-sell them as brand new items to the
>customer !!!.
>
>If DIXONS wish to sue me for saying that please feel free as I have
>witnesses, as we were there when one of the branch managers got a personal
>hi-fi back that had a fault and watched them put it back in stock for
>re-sale as a new item !!

Sounds like DIXONS is the UK equivalent of Fry's. ;-)

2051. Re: Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Brown <browntl@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <371C8678.2C1D59C0@concentric.net>
Source record: 121446
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I'm certainly not a technical expert...but from my simple observations, "Life" is hazardous to your health. So, it seems to me all the fussing and worrying in the all-caring media (scientific included) is quite a bit overdone. Just my opinion from a simple person.
Terry

Lawrence Wong wrote:

> Is this another scam?
>
> Don't we all live on a floating magnetic field?
>
> Tracey wrote:
> >
> > Are Cell phones dangerous? Is the Pope Catholic?
> >
> [snip]
> >
> > 10. I suggest that you NEVER use an electric blanket, but you may find them to be
> > just too comfortable to avoid.
> > Most electric blankets use a series of wires and heater coils embeded
> > between two layers of cloth. Many blankets
> > use wall current to produce the higher temperatures you enjoy.
> > Essentially, you are laying a huge antenna over your body.
> > It is emitting 60hz magentic fields and it is located right next to your
> > skin. Even if the blanket was operated from highly
> > filtered DC, you still would have a magnetic field around it and you.
> > Remember how you used a D cell battery, a nail, and
> > a coil of wire to produce an electro magnet? Was that DC current you were
> > using? Sure! And, though magnetic fields
> > that turn on and off rapidly as in 60 hz power lines and RF devices is much
> > more dangerous, who can say that hours of
> > exposure each night to powerful DC magnetic fields is not harmful?
> > Think about your environment in which you exist on a normal day. Do what
> > you can to minimize exposure to magnetic
> > fields and RF. Keep power cords for tools, radios, hair dryers, away from
> > your body. Stay away from high powered
> > electric lines overhead. I would NEVER buy a home located next to
> > overhead powerlines. Especially those mounted on
> > large metal towers. Such a home would be bathed in 60hz magnetic fields
> > 24 hours a day.

2052. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371c9083.4580361@news>
Source record: 121447

On 20 Apr 1999 09:59:55 GMT, gilpi@aol.com (GilPi) wrote:

>Santa and Superman are also listening

Ah! So that's why the Fortress of Solitude is bristling with antennas
(and you thought those were crystal stalagmites!)

2053. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbooth <jbooth@cwcom.net>
Message-ID: <371C9FA7.F0ECE02A@cwcom.net>
Source record: 121448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

EAVSDROPPER wrote:

> YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY
> ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME POSTING
> SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP
>
> EAVSDROPPERS WILL BE BACK !,AS SOON AS I HAVE MADE SOME ADJUSTEMENTS
>
> AND FREESERVE BETTER GROW UP AS NOTHING ON THE SITE IS ILLEGAL BUT THEIR
> SERVERS CAN BE BROUGHT TO A STANDSTILL IF I WISH !
>
> SHAME DIXONS THINK THEY CAN CONTROL WHAT PEOPLE LEGITIMAELY DO
> ISN'T IT, THEY WILL GET THERE ARSE BURNED THAT WAY
>
> --
> EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts

Basically they are a 2 bob company. If you want good internet service then you
basically have to pay for it. Also pc world and dixons are shit and are ripping
of the british public when it comes to electronic goods.
Jimbo

2054. Re: Specific BCT7 Beartracker info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7fi8qc$g13@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 121449

In article <3710e5f8.239549929@news.rit.edu>,
Benji <bhy7702@grace.rit.edu> wrote:
> Have one, and need to know some specifics. Are user entered
>freq's scanned for repeaters? and where is the arbitrary 3 mile range
>determined from?

my guess is you have to consider your antenna too.
i've gotten very close the transmission source with
only 4 beeps using the stubby antenna inside the car.
other times, with an outside antenna, the distance is
further. you'd have to trial and error with your "system"
and get a feel for range.

bh

2055. FS Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <371CAC6B.8696EB74@lor.net>
Source record: 121450

Hi, I have a pro-43 in very good condition w/ charger/antenna.
200+shipping
Cal Skyler

2056. Re: Specific BCT7 Beartracker info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <371cb429.176000073@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 121451

On 20 Apr 1999 16:08:44 GMT, wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com (-) wrote:

>In article <3710e5f8.239549929@news.rit.edu>,
>Benji <bhy7702@grace.rit.edu> wrote:
>> Have one, and need to know some specifics. Are user entered
>>freq's scanned for repeaters? and where is the arbitrary 3 mile range
>>determined from?
>
>my guess is you have to consider your antenna too.
>i've gotten very close the transmission source with
>only 4 beeps using the stubby antenna inside the car.
>other times, with an outside antenna, the distance is
>further. you'd have to trial and error with your "system"
>and get a feel for range.
>
>bh

I have become somewhat more proficient with it since I made
that post. Thanks for the reply though. In time, I think I answered
most of the original q's myself. I'm running a full size external
ant, rather than the puny included ones.

2057. Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420142150.11187.00003106@ng116.aol.com>
Source record: 121452

yes they are on 800mhz

2058. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371cf2f3.1634382@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 121453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does ebay allow for firearm sales? just curious
gig@i-2000.comOn Tue, 20 Apr 1999 01:06:59 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net
(Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>"Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote:
>
>>Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable
>>scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They
>>yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I
>>e-mailed them about it demanding an answer as to why they randomly select
>>radios to cancel. Here's the response direct from customer (non)support:
>>
>>Hello Dan,
>>
>>Sorry it has taken so long to get back to you. It was not your item as
>>much as it was the description of your item which caused the auction to
>>be ended. The other auctions you have referred us to make no reference
>>to possible illegal activity. Advertising that your scanner can receive
>>frequencies in the range of cellular phones will result in the auction
>>being removed. if you re list the same item without this information
>>you should not have any problems.
>>
>>Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Matthew J.
>>eBay Customer Support
>
>Hypocrite bastards. Either they have "common carrier" status or they
>don't. I guess they don't care if you deal drugs, either, as long as
>you don't come right out and say it in your ads.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2059. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pk4T2.1688$_3.1121@stones>
Source record: 121454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
>
> BTW have they given *any* legitimate reason [i.e not unfounded
> allegations of spam] *why* they canned your account? The only reasons I
> could think of are
>
NO, i asked them to tell me when i am supposed to of spammed and they would
not (or could not ) tell me !.

> a] the DTI put pressure on them [IIRC the DTI licenses ISPs as well as
> the use of the radio spectrum] - but then they would have to close
> *every* scanner-related site in the UK, and this would have further
> political ramifications worldwide [Britain is still considered to be a
> country with a reasonable amount of freedom of speech]
>

not as much as you think !

> What happened IMO is more likely is a commercial reason rather than
> censorship per se..
>
> b] your site was *too* popular! Due to the recent publicity, it may have
> attracted a ton of US visitors who took up a lot of Freeserves
> bandwidth. They don't want to admit that they do not have sufficient
> capacity to handle a sudden increase in traffic, so they kick you off
> and accuse you of spamming! {for all their faults, at least if this
> happens with Demon you are warned that it has occured and just moved to

that still does not explain killing the whole account and site with no
notice or explanation !

>
> As a consolation their shares just dropped 103p today ;)
>

wa-hey !
> --
```

2060. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371cff7f.4846043@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 121455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does ebay allow for firearm sales? just curious
gig@i-2000.comOn Tue, 20 Apr 1999 01:06:59 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net
(Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>"Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote:
>
>>Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable
>>scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They
>>yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I
>>e-mailed them about it demanding an answer as to why they randomly select
>>radios to cancel. Here's the response direct from customer (non)support:
>>
>>Hello Dan,
>>
>>Sorry it has taken so long to get back to you. It was not your item as
>>much as it was the description of your item which caused the auction to
>>be ended. The other auctions you have referred us to make no reference
>>to possible illegal activity. Advertising that your scanner can receive
>>frequencies in the range of cellular phones will result in the auction
>>being removed. if you re list the same item without this information
>>you should not have any problems.
>>
>>Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Matthew J.
>>eBay Customer Support
>
>Hypocrite bastards. Either they have "common carrier" status or they
>don't. I guess they don't care if you deal drugs, either, as long as
>you don't come right out and say it in your ads.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2061. Re: ATT/LUCENT "9400" SECURE?? (cordless phone)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <371cff93.4866298@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 121456

since when? i did not know this
gig@i-2000.comOn Mon, 19 Apr 1999 15:49:46 -0700, "Karl Dahlquist"
<karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> wrote:

>I would go with another brand...the Lucent phones were discontinued...
>
>
>karl
>
>
>gig wrote in message <371b51b4.1366003@news.i-2000.com>...
>>Hello all
>>Just curious to find out if the "9400" is secure or do i have to look
>>elsewhere. for secure cordless phones.
>> thanks gig.
>
>
>

2062. Base Station 800MHz Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpam@no-email.com
Message-ID: <371cd468.77180213@news.ntwrld.com>
Source record: 121457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,

I'm looking to get recommendations of the best 800mhz band base antenna on the market. It is going to be strictly for the 800mhz range so I can get strong reception of trunked systems. Please post follow-up usenet message or e-mai (REMOVE THE 3 X's in the e-mail) :

azXscanmanX@rockeXtmail.com

Thanks for any suggestions!

2063. Re: TELL ME IF THIS IS A GOOD BUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420154141.15029.00003109@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 121458

>ubject: TELL ME IF THIS IS A GOOD BUY
>From: "Yo Yo Yo" <buymeacar@yahoo.com>
>Date: 4/17/99 11:20 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7fbmhg$9ua$1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu>

>Realistic Model # 20-403 Serial # 35064985 It covers frequency ranges
>29-54, 108-136.975, 137-174, 406-512 MHz. 50 Channels with weather band. I
>paid 66 dollars for it. Is that a good price?

About average. If yoiu hunted you could find this model or it's equal new at an RS store for close to that price as a discontinued item.

> What all can I listen to on
>it.

Go to RS and buy a Frequency listing book for your state, it will tell you. It varies a LOT from one place to another as to what services stil use the bands that scanner covers.

>Is there a way to modify to make it better

Sort of. Get an outdoor antenna & an external speaker. You could make a battery pack to make it portable, put a power cord in your car (if legal locally, of course). Nothing inside the case that's worth trying, I don't think.

>or to listen to the cellular
>band?

No, not in any practical way.
Neutrodyne

2064. Re: Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420154557.15029.00003112@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 121459

>Subject: Pro 2042
>From: "Ken Robbins" <gatliffs@mindspring.com>
>Date: 4/16/99 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7f8ugt$76s$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
>
>Help my pro 2042 get alot of noise like a fax machine on the 800 mhz in Ft
>Myers FL is there anything that help a FM Trap will not work I try
>gatliffs@mindspring.com

Do you know it's not trunking control channels or paging? Both send data on 800MHZ channels that sound like a fax machine. Lock them out is all i know to do.
Neutrodyne

2065. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@sucks.cock
Message-ID: <371d64be.4045626@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

That would be your rancid mother. She kept gagging between the letters.

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:38:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>perhaps I can get the same guy who spelled it for you guys!
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> <superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>> >Maybe Mackie works for the FBI.
>>
>> Who's gonna spell it for him?
>
>
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2066. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@sucks.cock
Message-ID: <371c6467.3958776@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Did you get all of them at the same time you took your grammar test?

You are an illiterate retard who couldn't READ an FCC test if it was on audio tape!!

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:41:44 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
>licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one
>hand!
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 02:57:10 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >This opinion below is usually only shared woth those who have
>> >spent a limited of time in our hobby and have no technical ability.
>>
>> You don't feel this way about Cheek, but you fit your own description, you
>> retarded mongoloid.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2067. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <371d69d4.1199012@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121462

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 13:22:08 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>Mobil Data Terminal.
>It is just that, a dumb terminal that does not have enough "smarts" to encrypt
>or decrypt data, all it can do is encode and decode ASCII to frequency shifts over radio,
>thus it is called a terminal instead of a MDC which is a Mobile Data Computer.

They are NOT dumb terminals. They are full IBM compatible laptops. They DO
have the ability to encrypt data and Mororola is selling a product that uses
it.

Please take the time to do a little research before you post something as
"fact".

2068. Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <371CDD29.F3A61867@dimensional.com>
Source record: 121463

Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
yesterday and have been listening to the police trying
to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
School here in the Denver metro area.

I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
Is that legal?

Thanks,
Robert Holder

--
bedlam
The Center for the Study
of the Stillness of Disgrace
Green Mountain, Colorado
http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2069. Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fink8qks1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121464

Is this a trunked system now, or what freq. are they using ?
Thanks in advance
JVillafane wrote in message <19990420142150.11187.00003106@ng116.aol.com>...
>yes they are on 800mhz
>

2070. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <A6C2F92DAB81BC80.42C1843D91204B84.34A8CE2C2138729A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Fry's is extremely compliant with marking returned items as used in my area (Dallas).
Matter of fact, that is how you can tell if something is good or not, by looking for how
many
boxes with returned stickers are on them :).

> Sounds like DIXONS is the UK equivalent of Fry's. ;-)

2071. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B.A.G." <gsoh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7fioc6$q1$1@nclient3-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 121466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

YOU TELL E'M

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message <7ffsp8bg1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>YET AGAIN FREESERVE HAVE PULLED THE EAVSDROPPER SCANNER SITE AND PULLED MY
>ACCOUNT, IF THEY THINK THAT THIS PETTY MINDED BO***CKS WILL STOP ME
POSTING
>SCANNER SOFTWARE THEY CAN TAKE RUNNING JUMP
>
>EAVSDROPPERS WILL BE BACK !,AS SOON AS I HAVE MADE SOME ADJUSTEMENTS
>
>AND FREESERVE BETTER GROW UP AS NOTHING ON THE SITE IS ILLEGAL BUT THEIR
>SERVERS CAN BE BROUGHT TO A STANDSTILL IF I WISH !
>
>SHAME DIXONS THINK THEY CAN CONTROL WHAT PEOPLE LEGITIMAELY DO
>ISN'T IT, THEY WILL GET THERE ARSE BURNED THAT WAY
>
>
>--
>EAVSDROPPERS, radio decoding site for the enthusiasts
>
>
>

2072. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fipk8pem1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Optocom serial pinout is no different from the regular serial pinout.
The only diff is the use the particular pins are put to.

Renard (Marty) Martin <RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote in message
news:371c0dca.0@news4.his.com...
> From what I can tell from reading as much as I could between the Optocom
> documentaion and the mentioned programs is that the Optocom's data slicer
> send it's output on the DSR pin an the mentioned programs looks

2073. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Dp+d+aAsGPH3Ewvn@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <pk4T2.1688$_3.1121@stones>, EAVSDROPPER
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> writes

>> political ramifications worldwide [Britain is still considered to be a
>> country with a reasonable amount of freedom of speech]
>>
>not as much as you think !
>
Yep - I'm aware of that all too well [viz: aftermath of the Criminal
justice and Public Order Act 1994 - also the hounding of journalists for
'alternative lifestyle' or 'activist' publications] but we're still
better off here than many other countries, even in Europe [apart maybe
from NL - perhaps when I learn more Dutch I may 'escape' there.. ;)]

>> b] your site was *too* popular! Due to the recent publicity, it may have
>> attracted a ton of US visitors who took up a lot of Freeserves
>> bandwidth. They don't want to admit that they do not have sufficient
>> capacity to handle a sudden increase in traffic, so they kick you off
>> and accuse you of spamming! {for all their faults, at least if this
>> happens with Demon you are warned that it has occured and just moved to
>
>that still does not explain killing the whole account and site with no
>notice or explanation !
>
as I said, they perhaps considered the increased traffic to their site
to be a drain on their resources, which is not bringing them more
revenue, remember you only paid a one off fee for the access! Other
places like Geocities get their money back by putting in the crappy
window ads and the "g" branding logo in the page corner.

FWIW just found this on freeserves website, buried in the depths of
their AUP..

-----
8. Our Rights
8.1 We reserve the right to:

8.1.2 close access to any features of the service if your usage of that
feature is deemed excessive (ie leaving large volumes of email on the
central servers) and against the interests of other users of Freeserve
or the Services;

8.1.3 modify or discontinue, temporarily or permanently, Freeserve or
the Services with or without notice to you and you confirm that we shall

not be liable to you or any third party for any modification to or
discontinuance of Freeserve or the Services.

I'm not defending them; in fact I post this so people realise what the
real deal is with Freeserve and perhaps other 'free' ISPs. And I am
going to mention this entire saga to all the freeserve users I know,
just so they are warned how these people operate..

I'm not a lawyer either, but unfortunately it looks like they may be
perfectly within their legal rights to knock you off if they feel that
you have caused excess traffic, or just if they feel like it [8.1.3
above]

Its also possible that one of the US radio manufacturers [or even the
FCC] *has* issued threats of legal action against Freeserve -and even if
they are idle threats, the freeserve accountants would rather dump a
troublesome customer than risk the threat of expensive litigation when
they are just about to try and flog the company. They just want to make
money, not uphold freedom of speech!

It's capitalism for you... cash is king here..

Again I wish you best of luck finding another home for what is an
excellent page. unfortunately all that comes to mind is Demon which I
use at the moment, but this is monthly subscription and they will shunt
you to a crap server or ask you to move off if your site is too popular
[this happened to the urban75 magazine at http://www.urban75.com when it
was on Demon].

Anyone here in these groups of any better UK or Euro-based free ISPs?
--
Mr R@T - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
"Wir richten Antennen ins Firmament / Empfangen die Tï¿½ne die Niemand kennt.."
Attention: The headers of this news message have been altered for security
To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"

2074. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.faiptu0.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 121469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 12:47:34 +0100, EAVSDROPPER wrote:

> Thanks for the support !, did you also know that the DIXONS group put
> second hand goods back on the shelves and re-sell them as brand new items
> to the customer !!!.

Correct. I bought a shrink wrapped, as new, full price, sound card from PC World (part of DSG Retail Ltd). It was faulty, continuos white noise on the output, looking through the manual there where pencil notes from the previous owner...

I rang the local trading standards, they where very interested but the first line was to take it back for replacement/refund. I did and got a really new (AFAICT) working replacement card. I guess that faulty one went straight back on the shelf again.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

2075. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: free <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fiu9p$o2v$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121470
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> Yep - I'm aware of that all too well [viz: aftermath of the Criminal
> justice and Public Order Act 1994 - also the hounding of journalists for
> 'alternative lifestyle' or 'activist' publications] but we're still
> better off here than many other countries, even in Europe [apart maybe
> from NL - perhaps when I learn more Dutch I may 'escape' there.. ;)]
>

i agree with the dutch thing :-)
and i used to live an "alternate lifestyle" so i know ALL about harrasment
etc , belive me !

> as I said, they perhaps considered the increased traffic to their site
> to be a drain on their resources, which is not bringing them more
> *revenue*, remember you only paid a one off fee for the access! Other
> places like Geocities get their money back by putting in the crappy
> window ads and the "g" branding logo in the page corner.

i see what you mean, but it was still uncalled for !.
>
> FWIW just found this on freeserves website, buried in the depths of
> their AUP..
>
> It's capitalism for you... cash is king here..
yeah and it sucks !

>
> Again I wish you best of luck finding another home for what is an
> excellent page. unfortunately all that comes to mind is Demon which I

i stopped using Demon some time ago because of bandwidth problems

eavsdropper

2076. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <5N6T2.73$yF6.99@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121471

Robert:

Technically no, it is not legal to do so. Also, it is possible you may give out information that will put the police, and innocent students, at a disadvantage! Especially considering this is a live, on-going event, I would not repeat anything you monitor!

Bill Crocker

bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com> wrote in message news:371CDD29.F3A61867@dimensional.com...
> Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
> *yesterday* and have been listening to the police trying
> to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
> School here in the Denver metro area.
>
> I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
> Is that legal?
>
> Thanks,
> Robert Holder
>
> --
> bedlam
> The Center for the Study
> of the Stillness of Disgrace
> Green Mountain, Colorado
> http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm
>
>

2077. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: free <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fiue0$o6c$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Correct. I bought a shrink wrapped, as new, full price, sound card from PC
> World (part of DSG Retail Ltd). It was faulty, continuos white noise on
the
> output, looking through the manual there where pencil notes from the
> previous owner...
>
> I rang the local trading standards, they where very interested but the
first
> line was to take it back for replacement/refund. I did and got a really
new
> (AFAICT) working replacement card. I guess that faulty one went straight
> back on the shelf again.

this is a VERY common thing with Dixons and its other shops currys etc. I would like to hear from people who have had this with these shops especially item/date/shop location because i think that this is happening on a MASSIVE scale, i myself have heard of MANY people getting "new " computers from these stores and they have peoples information already on the hard drives proving they have been out before

eavsdropper

2078. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <371D0071.C30B45E9@dimensional.com>
Source record: 121473

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Robert:
>
> Technically no, it is not legal to do so. Also, it is possible you may give
> out information that will put the police, and innocent students, at a
> disadvantage! Especially considering this is a live, on-going event, I
> would not repeat anything you monitor!
>
> Bill Crocker

hm, ok, well then i guess i won't post it here. i'm a little
confused though, because there are realaudio scanners
that i can listen to on the web, live...

rdh
--
bedlam
The Center for the Study
of the Stillness of Disgrace
Green Mountain, Colorado
http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2079. Re: Scanners for sale...UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <371d1519.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
The Pro 2006 has been sold. The handhelds are still available......Thanks!
John
John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com> wrote in message news:371b97af.0@nntp2.borg.com...
> Relm HS-200, only a month old, like new: $150
>
> Realistic Pro 2006, stock, Date code: 5A3. $275--SOLD---
>
> Radio Shack Pro 43, stock, Date code: 9A3. $250
>
> All include original box, manual, antenna. All are stock, meaning, not
> tampered with. The Relm is new, the others; well cared for (9/10).
>
> I'll include shipping to the lower 48 States.
>
> Please reply via E-mail if interested.
>
> Thanks,
> John - kb2voj@bigfoot.com
>
>
>
```

2080. Uniden BC-245XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: sanford1@ibm.net
Message-ID: <371d04bb.28629985@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 121475

Anyone familiar with this scanner? Supposedly it will do the
EDACS trunking system.
TIA.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Gary Sanford
sanford1@ibm.net
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2081. Re: FS: AR8200 with PC Cable and Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1186bac0fb0c6b55989727@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121476

My AR8200 is SOLD. Thanks

2082. Re: HB3542: Oregon anti-scanner law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan@Bytesmiths.com
Message-ID: <Jan-2004991642230001@ppp-013.imagina.com>
Source record: 121477

Hey, when they can stop the radio waves from passing through my body, I'll stop listening! :-)

--
: Jan Steinman -- Jan AT Bytesmiths DOT com
: Spammers: please add:
: <mailto:commercialemail@murkowski.senate.gov>
: to your list -- he threatened me for forwarding him your
: spam with a protest against his pro-spam bill, so I'm sure
: he'd love to hear from you all! :-)

2083. Now with LAX freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <371d0e45$0$2485@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 121478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've added LAX freq info to my LA site:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

Check it out!

2084. FS: PRO-64 Scanner - $125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BobSX@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28743-371D05DB-64@newsd-213.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121479

This scanner comes in the original box, with antenna (not the original, but works fine), manual, and custom leather case. I thought I could keep this for a backup, but I really don't need it anymore. Certified check, Postal Money Order, or UPS COD(cash only, at your expense). I'll pick up ground shipping, unless you wish to use the COD option; even then, I'll cover everything but the COD fees. E-mail me if interested. Thanks!
-Bob

2085. 4-level FSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <Pc8T2.437$J21.2495899@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

looking for a good schematic for a 4-level data slicer.

Thanks

2086. Who owns a VX-5R HT Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12572-371D0CE3-24@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121481
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can any one tell me if they have any problems hearing in the 470-510 mhz? When I get into these freqs, I hear the station but also I hear alot of distortion and a lot of like zapping sound. It's not the antenna cause I use the same antenna on my Pro 2005 and my scanner sounds good but my VX-5R sounds like crap! Also is there a mod so I can restore the 900mhz freqs? I did the transmitt mod. Works great!

2087. BC3000XLT vs. PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fj794$kv1$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121482

How do these 2 hand-held scanners compare especially in the 225 to 400 Mhz AM Military Aircraft band? How about sensitivity, selectivity, and image rejection?

2088. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Stamper <gstamper@us.hsanet.net>
Message-ID: <371D2719.F7E736DD@us.hsanet.net>
Source record: 121483

The law (Communications Act 1934 as ammended) says that it is illeagle
to divulge anything you hear of a radio transmission to a third party.
If you listen via Real Audio to the scanner, you are hearing the
information directly (even though the scanner is miles away). But if
you post the information on a newsgroup, tell your best buddy, your
congressperson, or the media, etc what you heard then you're divulging
to a third party.

Gil

bedlam wrote:
> hm, ok, well then i guess i won't post it here. i'm a little
> confused though, because there are realaudio scanners
> that i can listen to on the web, live...
>
> rdh
> --
> bedlam
> The Center for the Study
> of the Stillness of Disgrace
> Green Mountain, Colorado
> http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2089. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2004992149590001@pm51-36.magicnet.net>
Source record: 121484

In article <MPG.118151e8f92a4ecc9896b1@news.ne.mediaone.net>,
NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com (Vamp) wrote:

> Hi,
>
> What is the most secure remote phone available? Do any of these digitally
> encode and encrypt the sound? I understand what spread spectrum is. What
> kind of device would be needed to listen in on a spread spectrum digital
> conversation. I'm normally not a paranoid person but I recently have
> reason to believe that somebody has been listening in. I only have remote
> phones and only one of them is 900mhz and digital. None of them is spread
> spectrum. The thought of having to go back to corded phones phones is not
> making me happy.
>
> Vamp

Any of the Digital Spread Spectrum phones that are DSS both ways will be
completely secure. The Sprint DSS ones sold at Radio Shack are very good.
Catalog #SP-508 would be an excellent choice. (And no, I do not work for
radio Shack or Sprint.) Just pointing him to a good secure cordless
phone.) I use an ATT 9300 Digital Spread Spectrum cordless phone myself.
The now called Lucent Technologies DSS ones would be good also.

2090. BC 250 B'Cat for parts or fix for cheap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhl <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7fiokg$mn5$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 121485

When first on, it works but the volume is very low. After about 20 to 30 mins, everything stops and the number 1 appears on the screen and it is very bright. Cosmetically , it is decent, no scratches, dents or much wear. Have manual and good antenna with it. If anyone needs it for parts, pay shipping and a couple of bucks and its yours.

BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

2091. Re: WTB: OptoCom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bill@elginet.com>
Message-ID: <371D3B77.681FC2EA@elginet.com>
Source record: 121486

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=93710060

Dan Rollman wrote:

> Looking to buy a used OptoCom in perfect condition only. Email with an
> offer if you have one to sell.
>
> Dan
> danr@azstarnet.com

2092. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Michael J. Ellis" <Michael.J.Ellis@labyrinth.net.au>
Message-ID: <7ffiqa$nc8$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 121513
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

>It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV, but most people still
>do it.

Not in this country.

2093. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Michael J. Ellis" <Michael.J.Ellis@labyrinth.net.au>
Message-ID: <7ffis8ncb1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 121514
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

>> It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV,
>
>It isnt actually. One of the most common urban myths around.
>
> COPYRIGHT ACT 1968 - SECT 111
> Filming or recording broadcasts for private and domestic use
>
> SECT. (1) The copyright in a television broadcast in so far as
> it consists of visual images is not infringed by the making of a
> cinematograph film of the broadcast, or a copy of such a film,
> for the private and domestic use of the person by whom it is made.
>
>> but most people still do it.
>
>They're welcome to, its perfectly legal to do so.

WELL FUCK ME DEAD

Rod brings up a point of law, AND ACTUALLY QUOTES ACT SECTION PARAGRAPH AND VERSE.

I would never have thought I would see this day.

Alice must have falled off her chair.

2094. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Speed <rodspeed@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <7fg3hfhbu1@news.mel.aone.net.au>
Source record: 121515
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Miss Michelle J. Ellis <Michael.J.Ellis@labyrinth.net.au> wrote
in message news:7ffis8ncb1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au...

> WELL FUCK ME DEAD

No thanks, you're likely riddled with terminal diseases.

> Alice must have falled off her chair.

Fallen off the pile of orders for Viagra, anyway.

2095. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <7fg52s$996$1@reader1.reader.news.ozemail.net>
Source record: 121516
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Except if you want to show your mates or family....by the nature of this
unless they recorded it for themselves they are not allowed to watch!

"use of the person by whom it is made"

Rod Speed wrote in message <7fejv5$3nd$1@news.mel.aone.net.au>...
>
>Joel grealy <joel@tig.com.au> wrote in message
>news:7fe8u6$g20$1@toto.tig.com.au...
>
>> It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV,
>
>It isnt actually. One of the most common urban myths around.
>
> COPYRIGHT ACT 1968 - SECT 111
> Filming or recording broadcasts for private and domestic use
>
> SECT. (1) The copyright in a television broadcast in so far as
> it consists of visual images is not infringed by the making of a
> cinematograph film of the broadcast, or a copy of such a film,
> for the private and domestic use of the person by whom it is made.
>
>> but most people still do it.
>
>They're welcome to, its perfectly legal to do so.
>
>
>

2096. Re: Uniden DX-834 Cordless phone - how secure is its scrambling?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Speed <rodspeed@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <7fg847$hv2$1@news.mel.aone.net.au>
Source record: 121517
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.comms

Phil <not@home.com> wrote in message
news:7fg52s$996$1@reader1.reader.news.ozemail.net...

> Except if you want to show your mates or family....by the nature of this
> unless they recorded it for themselves they are not allowed to watch!

> "use of the person by whom it is made"

You've mangled that completely. Recording by one member
of the family and watched by others is covered by the 'for the
private and domestic use of the person by whom it is made'.
The words 'private and domestic' are there for a reason.

> Rod Speed wrote
>> Joel grealy <joel@tig.com.au> wrote

>>> It's also illegal to record most programmes from TV,

>> It isnt actually. One of the most common urban myths around.

>> COPYRIGHT ACT 1968 - SECT 111
>> Filming or recording broadcasts for private and domestic use

>> SECT. (1) The copyright in a television broadcast in so far as
>> it consists of visual images is not infringed by the making of a
>> cinematograph film of the broadcast, or a copy of such a film,
>> for the private and domestic use of the person by whom it is made.

>>> but most people still do it.

>> They're welcome to, its perfectly legal to do so.

2097. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3745bf61.22350022@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121518

tweek@netcom.com (R R M Tweek) wrote:

>Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>>
>>Probably about equivalent to yours. The "900 Megahertz band" is also
>>used for cordless phones and countless other things. Amateur use is NOT
>>a primary or exclusive function on that band, plus the amateur portion
>>only runs from 902-920, IIRC, and there's plenty of spectrum left in 900
>>MHz above the ham portions.
>
>1) Amateur use has precedence over part 15 unlicensed devices, which
> use the same frequencies.

Non sequitur.

>2) Despite what eBay stated, there are no cell phones in the 900 mhz
> band.

I never said there were, although I think there actually may be cell
phones on that band in other countries. That was not my point, in any
case.

>3) When did the Amateur Radio Service lose 920-928 mhz?

It didn't. That was a faulty memory on my part, as clearly implied by
"IIRC." You're nit-picking.

>>>WHAT THE FUCK ARE THESE FOLKS ON?
>>
>>Caps Lock Syndrome?
>
>No. Just can't stand stupidity.

Then you probably shouldn't expose yours, huh?

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2098. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3746c07b.22631633@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote:

>Well, here's the scoop should anyone decide to auction a cell-capable
>scanner on Ebay. MAKE NO MENTION of what frequencies it can receive. They
>yanked my Pro-2005 without notice, like they have with so many others. I
>e-mailed them about it demanding an answer as to why they randomly select
>radios to cancel. Here's the response direct from customer (non)support:
>
>Hello Dan,
>
>Sorry it has taken so long to get back to you. It was not your item as
>much as it was the description of your item which caused the auction to
>be ended. The other auctions you have referred us to make no reference
>to possible illegal activity. Advertising that your scanner can receive
>frequencies in the range of cellular phones will result in the auction
>being removed. if you re list the same item without this information
>you should not have any problems.
>
>Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.
>
>Regards,
>Matthew J.
>eBay Customer Support

Hypocrite bastards. Either they have "common carrier" status or they
don't. I guess they don't care if you deal drugs, either, as long as
you don't come right out and say it in your ads.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2099. Re: Ebay replies...a miracle!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3747c103.22767742@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Gerry" <gerry@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Well at least that is better then what they did with firearm auctions. Maybe
>if we said the rifles would only fire at paper targets...

You have to be creative...

"For Sale: Art Work: Beautiful Pearl Grips, hand tooled leather belt
mounting device, engraved steel sculpture in 0.45 scale, in the style of
a noted American inventor. Complete with all accessories, including
built-in holder for six lovely brass cannisters (customer must supply
own brass casings).

Also available in 0.38 scale. E-mail sam@colt.com for pictures.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2100. Re: EBAY Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAGsI7.J5L@netcom.com>
Source record: 121521

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>
>It didn't. That was a faulty memory on my part, as clearly implied by
>"IIRC." You're nit-picking.

No more nit-picking than your initial attack on me.

>>No. Just can't stand stupidity.
>
>Then you probably shouldn't expose yours, huh?

Can't seem to say anything without attacking the person behind
the message, can you?

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

2101. Re: Ebay Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogrodo1@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <371be479.182012541@news-server>
Source record: 121522

Me thinks the people at eBay should be more aware of the people who
list items on the site and then rip others by failing to deliver the
goods.

On 19 Apr 1999 12:22:31 GMT, pro43@aol.com (Pro43) wrote:

>I recieved another response from ebay about pulling my 8000 from their site. It
>sounds like someone can rat on a product and they will not even check it out
>before pulling the product. See if you read it the same way.
>
>
>Hello,
>
>Thank you for your email.
>You are right, rules should be the same for everyone.
>
>We understand your concern. We do try to respond to all reports of any
>illicit or illegal auctions when they are received but as we do not
>actively police eBay listings looking for these auctions it is often the
>case that some offenders will occasionally slip by.
>
>Please note that even if some sellers are currently in violation of
>eBay listing rules and guidelines this does not lessen the seriousness
>of the violations for those sellers whose auctions have been reported to
>us.
>Scanners that are modified are not allowed for sale on eBay.
>If your scanner was not among these, we do apologize.
>You may relist your item with the description that clarifies
>this.
>Again we thank you for your cooperation.
>
>Best wishes,
>
>Cindy
>eBay Inc.

2102. FS: Radio Shack Pro-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: david_w_2000@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fgtl2$m9b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack PRO-34 Scanner in excellent condition. Has full coverage, includes owner's manual.

$125 firm + shipping.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2103. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371bfe18.4492842@news>
Source record: 121524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 22:47:30 -0400, "Renard (Marty) Martin"
<RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote:

>I can't seem to get the data slicing circuit to work with WinFlex or Pocsag
>2.04 using the supplied cable I have set all the options in both programs
>to the Optocom ports and no go.

I don't have an OptoCom, but I'll hazard a guess as to what the
problem is: The programs you mention are designed to work with a
Hamcomm data slicer interface connected to a serial port. The output
of the Hamcomm is on a couple of the serial port control lines. Unless
the data slicer built into the OptoCom also sends the raw data slicer
output to the same serial port control lines, it won't work. My guess
is that the output of the OptoCom's data slicer is digested internally
by its own microcontroller, so the raw output is not available to you.

OptoCom owners, please feel free to correct this if it's in error. ;-)

2104. Re: RD-LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371c00b6.5162390@news>
Source record: 121525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 17:03:36 -0600, "Bryan Schmidt"
<bryschmidt@mediaone.net> wrote:

>What happened to the folks that were talking about writeing decoder software
>for RD-LAP, is it still being worked on , is it close, or did the project
>get scraped becuase of the WinFlex / MDT Mon stuff?

The guy who was writing the software saw what Motorola was doing to
similar software (and its authors) and abandoned the project.

2105. FS Saber 1 uhf 12ch $240 w/2batt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: xeon <xeon@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <371BFE20.1E7C2079@ultinet.net>
Source record: 121526

Saber 1 uhf 12ch
6D core, H/pwr, non secure
Model # H44SAN7139CN
comes w/ 1 batt.motorola used (not so good)
plus 1 brand-new batt motorola (7.2v @ 1800 ma)
& ant. the shape of the radio is in
good shape I'll give it a 7.

*** Note ****

Asking $240.+ you paid for S+H

**** Need to read radio for band spread ? ****
Don't know band spread!

I'm not going to paid someone $40 or $30 so i can read
the radio. If I'm not going to kept it.

Then i used my scanner to see what were the
CH. And this is what i found.

Ch. 1 447.285
Ch. 2 449.925
Ch. 3 460.000
Ch. 4 460.500
Ch. 5 449.125
Ch. 6 447.125
Ch. 7 --- Blank
Ch. 8 --- Blank
Ch. 9 --- Blank
Ch. 10 --- Blank
Ch. 11 --- Blank
Ch. 12 --- Blank

Save $40 or $30.

And this is why I'm selling it!
1) I got it with a trade!
2) It cost to much for me to keep this radio.
I would need a charger, software, cable,
rib box and get this a 386 computer. Ha

You can e-mail me

Xeon@ultinet.net

2106. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM>
Message-ID: <371c0dca.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 121527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

From what I can tell from reading as much as I could between the Optocom documentaion and the mentioned programs is that the Optocom's data slicer send it's output on the DSR pin an the mentioned programs looks for it's data on CTS. I think that if I make my own cable I could make it work. I need to get the Optocom serial port pinout before I can do that. If anyone happens to know the pinout let me know but I will see if I can get it from Optoelectronics.

--

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message news:371bfe18.4492842@news...
> On Sun, 18 Apr 1999 22:47:30 -0400, "Renard (Marty) Martin"
> <RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote:
>
> >I can't seem to get the data slicing circuit to work with WinFlex or Pocsag
> >2.04 using the supplied cable I have set all the options in both programs
> >to the Optocom ports and no go.
>
> I don't have an OptoCom, but I'll hazard a guess as to what the
> problem is: The programs you mention are designed to work with a
> Hamcomm data slicer interface connected to a serial port. The output
> of the Hamcomm is on a couple of the serial port control lines. Unless
> the data slicer built into the OptoCom also sends the raw data slicer
> output to the same serial port control lines, it won't work. My guess
> is that the output of the OptoCom's data slicer is digested internally
> by its own microcontroller, so the raw output is not available to you.
>
> OptoCom owners, please feel free to correct this if it's in error. ;-)
>

2107. AD: EPROM PROGRAMMING SERVICE -- No questions asked. 24hr turnaround. No minimum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: scottcarlson@mindless.com
Message-ID: <371c0e03.145224733@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 121528

Have files that you need programmed to an Eprom? Don't want to pay $150 for a programmer for 1 or 2 chips? This is the deal for you. $13.20 to have your file programmed on a device you supply. No questions asked. SHIPPING INCLUDED IN PRICE VIA PRIORITY MAIL Add $6 and I will supply the device 27c64-27c512 devices only. If you have a different device I can quote you a total. You must supply the file to be programmed in .bin format. Either by e-mail or on disk via snail mail.

For info, ordering or questions email Scottcarlson@mindless.com

If you submit the .bin file electronically I will burn it and ship upon receipt of payment.

Devices supported

GENERIC

2716
2732
2732A
2764
2764A
27128
27128A
27256
27512
27010
27020
27040

AMD

2716
2716B
2732
2732A
2732B
2764
2764A
27C64
27128
27128A
27C128
27256

27C256
27H256
27512
27C512
27C010
27H010
27C020
27C040
27C080
27C100
2817A
2864A
2864B
28C256
28F256
28F512
28F010
28F020

ATMEL

27HC64
27C128
27C256
27HC256
27C512
27C513
27C010
27C011
27C040
28C04
2816A
2817A
28C64
28CP64
28HC191

CSI

CAT2764A
CAT27128A
CAT27256
CAT27C256
CAT27512
CAT27010
CAT28C16A
CAT28C17A
CAT28C64A
CAT28C65A
CAT28C256
CAT28F010

CYPRESS

CY7C291
CY7C292
CY7C128
CY7C256

DALLAS

DS1225D
DS1225Y
DS1230Y
DS1235Y

EXEL

2804A
2816A

FUJITSU

2732
2764
27C64
27128
27C128
27256
27C256
27C256A
27C256H
27C512
27C1000
27C1001

GI

27C128
27C256
27C512

HITACHI

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
27128
27128A
27256
27C256
27512
27101
27C301
58064P

58C256

HYUNDAI

2764
27C64

ICT

27CX321
27CX641
27CX642

INTEL

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
2764A
P2764A
27128
27128A
27128B
P27128A
27256
27C256
27C256-V
27512
27C512
27513
27010
27C010
27C010A
27011
27C011
27020
27C020
27C040
27C100
2817A
28F256
28F256A
28F512
28F010
28F020
28F001BT
28F001BB
87C64
87C257

ISSI

27HC010

MACRONIX

27C256
27L256
27C512
27L512
27C1000
27C4000
27L4000
28F1000

MIPS_GMBH

M-245
M-255
M-355

MITSUBISHI

2716
2764
27128
27C128
27256
27C256
27512
27C100K
27C101K

MOTOROLA

68764
68766

NATIONAL

2716
27C16
27C16B
27C32
27C32B
27C64
27C64B
27CP128
27C128B
27C256
27C512
27C010
27C020

NEC

2716
2732
2732A
2764
27C64
27128
D27256
PD27256
27C256
27C256A
27C512
27C1000
27C1001
27C2001
27C4001
27C8001

OKI

2532
2716
2732
2732A
2764
27128
27256
27256A
27C256

ROCKWELL

2764
27C64
87C64

SEEQ

2764
27128
27C256
2804A
2816A
2816AH
2817A
2817AH
2864
2864H
28C64
28C65
28C256
36C16
36C32
52B13

52B13H
52B33
52B33H

SIGNETICS

27C64A
27C256
27C512
27HC641

SGS_THOMSON

2716
2732
2732A
2764
2764A
27C64A
27128
27128A
27256
27C256
27C256B
27512
27C512
27C1000
27C1001
27C2001
27C4001
27C801
28F256
28F512
28F101

TI

2516
2532
2532A
2564
2732A
27C32
2764
27C64
27C128
27C256
27C512
27C010
27C020
28F512A
28F010

28F020

TOSHIBA

2764
2764A
27128
27128A
27256D
27256AD
27256BD
28256
57256AD
27512AD
571000
571001
574000
TC97208P
TC97218P

WAFERSCALE

WS27C64
WS27C128
WS27C256
WS27C512
WS27C010
WS27C020
WS27C040
WS57C128
WS57C512
WS57C010

XICOR

2804A
2816A

ZILOG

Z86E21

2108. Re: Lancaster Co. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <371C15DA.42AF@ptd.net>
Source record: 121529

```
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Fire Radio (KGC-755)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
F-1 33.990 KGC755 Dispatch
F-2 33.820 KUG755 Lancaster City FD
F-3 33.640 KGC755 Fireground
F-4 33.600 KGC755 Siren Activation/EMS
F-5 33.680 KGC755 Manheim Township Companies
33.500 KGC755 Fire Police
33.460 KGC755 Fire Portables
Zone 1 33.560 KUG740 Northeast
Zone 2 33.720 KGC755 South
Zone 3 33.760 KGC755
Zone 1 153.77 highband
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY FIRE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT
ZONE 1 ZONE 2
1-1 Adamstown Fire Company
1-2 Akron Fire Company 2-1 Brickerville Fire Company
1-3 Denver Fire Company 2-2 Brunnerville Fire Company
1-4 Durlach/Mt. Airy Fire Company 2-3 East Petersburg Fire Company
1-5 Ephrata Fire Company 2-4 Eden Fire Company
1-6 Lincoln Fire Company 2-5 Lititz Fire Company
1-7 Reamstown Fire Company 2-6 Manheim Fire Company
1-8 Reinholds Fire Company 2-7 Neffsville Fire Company
1-9 Schoeneck Fire Company 2-8 Penryn Fire Company
1-10 Stevens Fire Company 2-9 Manheim Twp. Emerg. Management
1-11 Smokestown Fire Company 2-10 Rothsville Fire Company
1-12 ephrata Ambulance 2-11 Southern Manheim Twp. Fire Co.
1-15 Ephrata Rescue Service 2-12 Mastersonville Fire Company

ZONE 3 ZONE 4
3-1 Bareville Fire Company 4-1 Bird-In-Hand Fire Company
3-2 Blue Ball Fire Company 4-2 Gap Fire Company
3-3 Gordonville Fire Company 4-3 Gordonville Fire company
3-4 Churchtown Fire Company 4-4 Intercourse Fire Company
3-5 Farmersville Fire Company 4-5 Kinzer Fire Company
```

3-6 Goodville Fire Company 4-7 Paradise Fire Company
3-7 Leacock Fire Company 4-8 Ronks Fire Company
3-8 Leola Fire Company 4-9 White Horse Fire Company
3-9 New Holland Fire Company 4-10 Witmer Fire Company
3-10 Martindale Fire Company 4-11 Glick's Tanker
3-11 Upper Leacock fire Company
3-12 Terre Hill Fire Company
3-13 West Earl Fire Company
3-14 Fivepointville Fire Company

ZONE 5 ZONE 6
5-1 Bart Township Fire Company
5-2 Christiana Fire Company 6-1 Armstrong Haz-Mat Unit
5-3 Conestoga Fire Company 6-2 Highville Fire Company
5-4 Lampeter Fire Company 6-3 Lafeyette Fire Company
5-5 New Danville Fire Company 6-4 Lancaster City Fire Dept.
5-6 Pequea Fire Company 6-5 Millersville Fire Company
5-7 Quarryville Fire Company 6-6 Lancaster Township Fire Company
5-8 Rawlinsville Fire Company 6-7 Rohrerstown Fire Company
5-9 Refton Fire Company 6-8 West Lancaster Fire Company
5-10 Strasburg Fire Company 6-10 Lancaster Forest Fire Crew
5-11 West Willow Fire Company 6-11 Conestoga View Home Ambulance
5-12 Willow Street Fire Company 6-13 Lancaster City Ambulance
5-13 Robert Fulton Fire Company 6-14 Millersville Amb. West End
5-13a Wakfield Ambulane

ZONE 7
7-1 Bainbridge Fire Company 7-10 Marietta Fire Company
7-2 Columbia Fire Chief 7-11 Rheems Fire Company
7-3 Florin Fire Company 7-12 Salunga Fire Company
7-4 Elizabethtown Fire Company 7-13 Silver Springs Fire Company
7-5 Mount Joy Fire Company 7-14 Mount Joy Forest Fire Crew
7-6 Ironville Fire Company 7-15 Mountville Fire Company
7-7 Landisville Fire Company
7-7a Landisville Ambulance Hempfield
7-8 Washington Boro Fire Company
7-9 Maytown Fire Company

COLUMBIA BOROUGH FIRE COMPANIES
ZONE 8
UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT
8-1 Columbia Fire Company
8-2 Vigilant Fire Company
8-3 Shawnee Fire Company
8-4 Susquehanna Fire Company
8-5 Keystone Fire Company

~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY AMBULANCE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~

UNIT AMBULANCE UNIT AMBULANCE
1-7 Reamstown Ambulance 6-3 East Lampeter Ambulance
1-8 Reinholds Ambulance 6-11 Conestoga View
1-12 Ephrata Ambulance 6-14 West End Ambulance
2-1 Brickerville Ambulance
2-5 Warwick Ambulance 7-1 Bainbridge Ambulance
2-6 Manheim Ambulance 7-2 Columbia Fire Police Ambulance
2-10 Rothsville Ambulance 7-4 Elizabethtown Ambulance
2-13 Manheim Township Ambulance 7-5 Mount Joy Ambulance
7-7 Hempfield Ambulance
3-8 Leola Ambulance 7-8 Washington Boro Ambulance
3-9 New Holland Ambulance 7-9 Maytown Ambulance
3-14 Fivepointville Ambulance 7-10 Marietta Ambulance
7-11 Rheems Ambulance
4-3 Gordonville Ambulance 7-16 Columbia Community Ambulance
4-9 White Horse Ambulance
5-2 Christiana Ambulance MEDIC HOSPITAL OR DEPARTMENT
5-3 Conestoga Ambulance 1 Saint Joseph's Hospital
5-7 Quarryville Ambulance 2 Lancaster General Hospital
5-9 Providence 3 Lancaster Community Hospital
5-10 Strasburg Ambulance 4 Ephrata Hospital
5-12 Willow Street Ambulance 5 NorLanCo Medical Center
5-13 Wakefield Ambulance 6 Quarryville
7 LEMSA
9 Ephrata base in New Holland
10 LEMSA
11 LEMSA
SPECIAL UNITS C-1 Lancaster Airport ARFF
~~~~~~
Lancaster Co. PD Ch.A 155.430 71.9 (Metro Operation)
Lancaster Co. PD Ch.B 155.685 71.9 (County Wide)
Lancaster Co. PD Ch.C 155.640 71.9 (Northwest)
Lancaster Co. PD Ch.D 154.860 71.9 (South)
Lancaster Co. PD Ch.E 155.535 71.9 (Northeast)
Lancaster Co. PD 155.895 71.9 (Mobile-Mobile)
Lancaster Co. PD 155.710 71.9
Lancaster Co. Prison 156.030 71.9
~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY POLICE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~
UNIT POLICE DEPARTMENT UNIT POLICE DEPARTMENT
1 Communications Director 38 Conestoga Township Police
2 Deputy Director 39 West Hempfield Township Police
3 Chief Dispatcher 41 Sadsbury Township Police
4 Deputy Chief Dispatcher 42 Lancaster City Police
5 Emergency Management Vehicle 43 Christiana Borough Police
6 Training Offer 48 East Lampeter Township Police
12 Sheriff's Department 51 Manheim Township Police
14 County Park Officers 62 Columbia Borough Police
~~~~~~

15 County Detective 64 Barnes Hall (Juvenile Fac.)
15-3Chief Co. Detective 71 Adamstown Borough Polic
15-40 Co. Detective 72 Akron Borough Police
15-41 Co. Detective 73 Brecknock Township Police
15-42 Co. Detective 74 Caernarvon Township Police
15-61 Co. Coroner 75 Clay Township Police
15-62 Co. Coroner (Chief Dep.) 76 Denver Borough Police
15-71 Special Counrt Officer 77 Earl Township Police
15-72 Special Counrt Officer 78 East Cocalico Township Police
15-81 Thru 15-90 Adult Probation 79 East Earl Township Police
16 Manor Townhip Police 82 Ephrata Borough Police
17 Millersville Borough Police 83 Ephrata Township Police
18 Bart & Colerain Townhip Police 84 New Holland Borough Police
19 East Hempfield Township Police 85 Terre Hill Borough Police
21 Strasburg Borough Police 86 Warwick Township Police
22 Mount Joy Township Police 87 West Cocalico Township Police
23 Pequea Township Police 88 West Earl Township Police
24 Elizabethtown Borough Police 92 Drug Task Force (County)
25 Lititz Borough Police 93 Millersville University Police
26 West Lampeter Township Police 94 Crime Commission
27 Marietta Borough Police 95 Attorney General Office
28 Quarryville Borough Police 96 Game Commission
29 East Donegal Township Police 99 PA Drug Enforcement
32 Conoy Township Police 100 Federal Bureau Of Investigation
33 Mount Joy Borough Police 101 PA Fish Commission
34 Manheim Borough Police 102 PA Fish Commission
35 West Donegal Township Police
36 Penn Township Police
37 Bart Twp. Police
~~~~~~

10 Codes
~~~~~~

10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-31 PICK UP _____
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-32 ____UNITS NEEDED (SPECIFY)
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING 10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO _____ 10-37 PERSON UNDER THE INFLUENCE
10-6 BUSY 10-40 ADVISE IF____ON STATION
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO RULES
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-43 ADVISE ROAD CONDITIONS
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-44 STATIONS INVOLVED ACK.
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-11 _______ON DUTY 10-46 HOLDING SUSPECK-RUST REPLY
10-12 STAND BY (STOP) 10-47 SEND AMBULANCE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-61 ON DUTY
10-15 MESSAGE/DELIVERED 10-62 OFF DUTY
10-16 RELAY TO MESSAGE 10-63 OFF DUTY - PSP ON CALL
10-17 ENROUTE 10-66 DISABLE REPEATER
10-18 URGENT 10-70 PRISONER IN CUSTODY
10-19 (IN) CONTACT 10-71 MENTAL PATIENT

10-20 LOCATION 10-72 BOMB THREAT
10-21 CALL_____ BY PHONE 10-73 SECURITY CHECK / ESCORT
10-22 DISREGARD 10-74 RETURN TO STATION
10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-75 SEXUAL OFFENSE
10-24 ASSIGNMENT COMPLETED 10-76 SUICIDE (ACTUAL/ATTEMPTED)
10-25 REPORT OT MEET _____ 10-82 REPEAT MODE W/(SPECIFY)
10-26 EST. TIME ARRIVED (ETA) 10-88 PACKAGE FOR_____
10-27 LICENSE PERMIT INFORMATION 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-97 HOW IS RECEPTION
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-99 MAINTAIN FULL STATUS
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION
In addition, The following codes will be utilized:

Code 1 Police Car Check

Code 2 Urgent, Respond Immediately, Obeying All Traffic Laws

Code 3 Emergency Response, Use Lights & Siren

SIGNAL 13 OFFICER/DEPARTMENT NEEDS HELP (Specify Location)
ALL UNITS RESPOND
Station Stop Numbers for Lancaster Co. Facilities

Station 101 County Radio Station 106 County Detectives Office
Station 102 Court House Station 107 Sheriff's Office
Station 103 County Prison Station 108 Conestoga View
Station 104 Barnes Hall Station 109 Probation & Parole
Station 105 District Atty's Office

155.145 East Cocalico Twp. Police
155.100 East Earl Twp. Police & Road crews
155.845 Ephrata Boro. Drug Task Force
154.875 Lancaster City Special task force
155.925 New Holland Police

FROM GEORGE W. FELLER, (george@ptd.net)

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptd.net

2109. Re: Lancaster Co. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <371C194E.120B@ptd.net>
Source record: 121530

```
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Frequency PL City Se Dba Callsign
00.0000 BLUE BALL TR CHESTER COUNTY BROADCASTING WHZ857
27.4500 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KNAX890
30.7600 GORDONVILLE IB GROFF, MELVIN L KNGB801
30.9600 LITITZ IB MARTIN, HOWARD W KNCG883
31.0000 LITTLE BRITAIN IB LITTLE BRITAIN AGRI SUPPLY KNAJ858
31.0400 LITITZ IB WARWICK COMMUNITY AMBULANCE WNSS816
31.1600 EPHRATA IB JOHN WEIDMAN & SONS INC KIP300
31.4800 MANHEIM IS SHELLY, ROBERT L WNUN627
31.5600 MANHEIM IS COPE, BENJAMIN W KYV409
33.0400 MILLERSVILLE PS WEST END AMBULANCE ASSOC WNPC811
33.0800 LANCASTER PS MANHEIM TOWNSHIP AMBULANCE WNAS701
33.0800 LANCASTER PS MANHEIM TWP EMERGENCY MGT. WNAN829
33.1000 LANCASTER PS LAKE CLARKE RIVER RESCUE KNAH338
33.1600 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP SCHOOL KSC468
33.4200 MANHEIM PF HOPE FIRE ENGINE & HOSE CO WNRU362
33.4400 AKRON PF AKRON VOLUNTEER FIRE CO WNRK670
33.4400 LANCASTER PF ROHRERSTOWN COMMUNITY FIRE WNVA589
33.4400 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.4400 LANCASTER PF WEST LANCASTER FIRE COMPANY KNIX216
33.4600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO FIRE POLICE KD38109
33.4600 MILLERSVILLE PF MILLERSVILLE FIRE COMPANY KB93508
33.4600 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.4800 EAST PETERSBURG PF EAST PETERSBURG FIRE WNJB312
33.4800 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.4800 LANCASTER PF MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF WNIJ362
33.4800 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.5000 SMOKETOWN PF LANCASTER COUNTY FIRE KD47971
33.5200 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.5600 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO. NORTHEAST KUG740
33.5600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KUG740
33.5600 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.5600 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KUG740
33.6000 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER CO EMS CH & SIREN KUG740
33.6000 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CITY OF F-3 KGH277
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO EMS KUG740
```

33.6000 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.6000 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.6000 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KGC755
33.6200 MANHEIM PF HOPE FIRE ENGINE & HOSE CO WNRU362
33.6400 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KUG740
33.6400 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CITY F-4 KGH277
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KUG740
33.6400 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.6400 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.6400 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6600 SILVER SPRING PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO
33.6800 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CO SUBURBAN KGC755
33.6800 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.6800 LANCASTER PF NEFFSVILLE FIRE CO WQH322
33.6800 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.6800 LANCASTER PF SOUTHERN MANHEIM TOWNSHIP WQG806
33.7000 PF MAYTOWN/FLORIN/BAINBRIDGE
33.7200 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER CO SOUTH KGC755
33.7200 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KUG740
33.7200 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KGC755
33.7200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KGC755
33.7200 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KGC755
33.7400 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.7600 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KUG740
33.7600 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.7600 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7800 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.8000 LITITZ PF LITITZ FIRE CO NO 1 WPAY355
33.8200 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CITY DISPATCH F-2 KGH277
33.8200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8200 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8400 EPHRATA PF EPHRATA PIONEER FIRE CO WNCR672
33.9000 C. SQ CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH FD KGC755
33.9000 C. SQ EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KUG740
33.9000 C.SQ IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755
33.9000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CITY OF KGH277
33.9000 C. SQ LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755
33.9000 C.SQ LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KUG740
33.9000 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.9000 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.9000 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.9000 C. SQ TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755

33.9200 BIRD IN HAND PF EAST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF WNKC982
33.9200 EPHRATA PF EPHRATA PIONEER FIRE CO WNCR672
33.9400 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.9600 LITITZ PF LITITZ FIRE CO NO 1 WPAY355
33.9800 ELIZABETHTOWN PF ELIZABETHTOWN, BORO OF WNHK603
35.0200 MILLERSVILLE IB LANCASTER COVENANT CHURCH KB76906
35.0600 MAYTOWN IB TOWN SQUARE LAWN WNPK287
35.0800 EPHRATA IB HAGY AUTO PARTS INC KQO650
35.0800 LANCASTER IB WILLIAM MURRY AND SON INC KGG282
35.0800 QUARRYVILLE IB LEON C LANDIS INC KGG483
35.1000 DENVER IB STUBER, ROBERT B WNFG311
35.1000 SCHNOENECK IB M E GERHART INC KYH263
35.1000 TERRE HILL IB VALLEY PROTEIN INC WNGA262
35.1400 BIRD IN HAND IB SMUCKER, JERALD L WNQR211
35.1400 EPHRATA IB HURST, EARL:HURST, WPDS818
35.2000 COLUMBIA CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KOR260
35.2000 LANCASTER CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KOR260
35.2400 LANCASTER CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2400 NEW HOLLAND CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2400 RAWLINSVILLE CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2800 MANHEIM IS HAMILTON EXCAVATING INC WNCR943
35.3200 LANDISVILLE IS HUMMER, J ROBERT KXJ557
35.3400 LANCASTER CD LVMT ACQUISITION KNKO225
35.3400 PENN TOWNSHIP CD LVMT ACQUISITION KNKO266
35.6000 LANCASTER CD D'GINTO, JOHN KPB219
35.7000 LITITZ IB FEEDMOBILE INC WNFT478
35.7000 LITITZ IB MYER, CARL G:MYER, JOHN G WNSI216
35.7200 BIRD IN HAND IB BIRD IN HAND MOTOR INN INC KKU674
35.7400 WASHINGTON BORO IS FUNK BROS INC KGE573
35.7600 SALISBURY TWP IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
35.8000 LANCASTER IS CARL W FUSS CO KUO756
35.8600 EAST PETERSBURG IS B R KREIDER & SON INC KDH283
35.8800 LANCASTER IB MYER TAXI INC KNAU280
35.9000 NARVON IB HOSTETTER, DAN WPCI670
35.9200 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, IVAN W WNUB964
35.9200 PEACH BOTTOM IB BRINTON, G VICTOR WSC788
35.9400 BIRD IN HAND IB BIRD IN HAND RESTAURANT INC KNBX281

35.9800 71.9 EPHRATA IB ZIMMERMAN, RAY W WPED213
37.1600 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
37.2600 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
37.4600 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.4600 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
37.4600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.4600 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.4600 KINDERHOOK IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNZD681
37.4600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.4600 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
37.6000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WRB635
37.6200 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954

37.6200 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.6200 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.6200 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.6200 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
37.7400 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.7400 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
37.7400 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGE748
37.7400 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.7400 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.7600 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.7600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGE748
37.7600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.7600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.8600 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.8600 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
42.9600 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC KTO967
42.9800 LANCASTER IB BULOVA TECHNOLOGIES INC WNXB729
43.0000 EPHRATA IB CHESTER B BURKHOLDER INC WNAD245
43.0000 LANCASTER IB EDWARD ARMSTRONG & SONS INC KFU836
43.0200 ELIZABETHTOWN IS E-TOWN ASPHALT PAVING INC KNCN562
43.0600 EAST PETERSBURG IS MC MINNS ASPHALT CO INC KDL523
43.0600 LANCASTER IS MC MINNS ASPHALT PRODUCTS KNCT929
43.0600 PARADISE IS MC MINNS ASPHALT PRODUCTS KNCT929
43.1400 ELIZABETHTOWN IS NEWCOMER OIL CORP KGF652
43.2200 COLUMBIA CD THE UNITED TELEPHONE KUO634
43.3600 LANCASTER IS HAAS, RICHARD A KWV373
43.4400 FULTON TOWNSHIP IS ZIMMERMAN, RAYMOND M KKA999
43.5800 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
43.5800 LANCASTER CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
44.7400 FULTON PP MARYLAND, STATE OF KNDK864
44.8400 EPHRATA PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WQN227
44.8800 EPHRATA PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WQN227
44.9000 FULTON PP MARYLAND, STATE OF KNDK864
44.9600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNBV865
45.0400 MARIETTA PO MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP FOREST KTV671
45.0400 MOUNT JOY PO MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP FOREST KTV671
45.0800 DENVER PL BRECKNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KWX483
45.1000 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
45.1200 BROWNSTOWN PL WEST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF WNLA442
45.2000 CHURCHTOWN PL CAERNARVON, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ872
45.2000 TERRE HILL PL TERRE HILL, BOROUGH OF WNPH589
45.2400 NEW HOLLAND PL EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ873
45.2800 BLUE BALL PL EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KZQ794
45.2800 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
45.2800 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KNGV246
45.2800 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF KYD814
45.5200 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF KYD814
45.5600 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA BOROUGH KGC558

45.5600 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
45.6400 AKRON PL AKRON, BOROUGH OF KNIE525
45.6400 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM TWP WYY980
45.7000 BLUE BALL PP EAST EARL, TWP POLICE KZQ578
45.7000 NEW HOLLAND PP NEW HOLLAND BOROUGH POLICE KZO364
45.7000 BROWNSTOWN PP WEST EARL, TWP POLICE WNLA442
46.5800 DENVER PL WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGG794
46.5800 REINHOLDS PL WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGG794
47.2800 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.3000 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.3800 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.4200 LANCASTER PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KTK780
47.4200 W HEMPFIELD TWPPS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS WSX470
47.4400 NEW HOLLAND IS NEW ENTERPRISE STONE & LIME KTO652
47.4600 W HEMPFIELD TW PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
47.4600 CONESTOGA IS SAFE HARBOR WATER & POWER KGA924
47.4800 LANCASTER IS HAHN CONTRACTING CO KCL681
47.5000 CHRISTIANA PS GAP VETERINARY ASSOCIATES KGE612
47.5000 GAP PS GAP VETERINARY ASSOCIATES KGE612
47.5200 EPHRATA IS LLOYD H ZIMMERMAN INC KFT952
47.6000 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
47.6000 EPHRATA IS EASTERN INDUSTRIES INC WNMP781
47.6200 LANCASTER PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNUG880
47.6200 W HEMPFIELD TW PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNUG880
47.6600 EPHRATA PS TRUMBAUER, WALTER K KJF801
47.8200 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
48.4200 LANCASTER IW UGI CORPORATION KGC213
48.4600 LANCASTER IW UGI CORPORATION KGC213
49.1000 146.2 NEW HOLLAND IP MOBIL PIPE LINE COMPANY WXA787
72.1400 CORNWALL CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
72.1400 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
72.2600 DENVER IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
72.4000 LANCASTER PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
72.7200 INTERCOURSE IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
72.9400 NEW HOLLAND CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
75.4600 PENN TOWNSHIP IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
75.7200 SALISBURY TWP IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
75.7400 CORNWALL PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNWX249
120.9000 LANCASTER AF LANCASTER TOWER LNS
121.8000 LANCASTER AF LANCASTER GROUND CONTROL LNS
122.1000 LANCASTER AF LNS RADIO FLIGHT SERVICE STA LNS
122.8000 MARIETTA AF DONEGAL SPRINGS AIRPARK INC KEH5
122.8750 LITITZ AF ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KZC3
122.90000 COLUMBIA AF MCGINESS UNICOM 8N7
122.9000 QUARRYVILLE AF TANGLEWOOD UNICOM 63N
122.9500 LITITZ AF LANCASTER AVIATION INC WYT4
122.9500 NEFFSVILLE AF LANCASTER AIRPORT AUTHORITY KHW4
123.0500 SMOKETOWN AF GLICK AVIATION LTD WGA6
123.0500 LANCASTER AF SMOKETOWN UNICOM KQP2
130.6000 LANCASTER AF AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC KXP7
130.8500 LANCASTER AF AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC WAW9

145.390R/144.79I 118.8 CORNWALL HA KA3NKB
145.450R/144.850I C.SQ EPHRATA HA K3RZF
146.610R/146.010I LANCASTER HA PUBLIC SERVER COMM. WA3NOF
146.715R/146.115I COLUMBIA HA K3FHC
147.015R/147.615I 118.8 LANCASTER HA KA3CNT
147.105R/147.705I 118.8 LANCASTER HA KC3DR
147.255R/147.855I COLUMBIA HA W3GES
147.315R/147.915I HA
150.7750 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE WNRV643
150.7900 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
150.8600 LANCASTER LA HUBERT, RICHARD H WNJP791
150.9950 MOUNTVILLE PH MOUNTVILLE, BORO OF WNXP264
151.0850 LAMPETER PH WEST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF KWN440
151.3400 NEW HOLLAND PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNIV544
151.3850 EAST EARL TWP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KLU444
151.3850 PENN TOWNSHIP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KRJ667
151.4900 WASHINGTON BOR IS ESHBACH, B EARL:HEISEY, WYH351
151.5200 LITITZ IS MYER, J MARLIN:MYER, RALPH WXH726
151.5200 NARVON IS HAINES & KIBBLEHOUSE INC KCJ468
151.5200 TERRE HILL IS TERRE HILL CONCRETE KNJU653
151.5350 CEDAR HILL IS D M STOLTZFUS & SON INC WNBE609
151.5350 TALMAGE IS D M STOLTZFUS & SON KGC675
151.5500 MANHEIM IS RUHL, OSCAR WNPO720
151.5500 MOUNT JOY IS HEISEY FARM SERVICE WQC898
151.5650 PEACH BOTTOM IS NOLT, MARVIN S KNDH909
151.5650 PEACH BOTTOM IS NOLT, NELSON W WNSQ716
151.5650 SALISBURY TWP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
151.5800 LANCASTER IS KREIDER, CLYDE H WNHE538
151.5800 MANHEIM IS HERNLEY, DAVID KNJD836
151.5950 EPHRATA IS BURKHOLDER, ALLEN G KNCV701
151.5950 QUARRYVILLE IS HOWARD E GROFF CO INC KBX393

151.6250 WILLOW STREET IB DILLER PLANK INC KD51659
151.6550 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.6550 EPHRATA IB MARTIN, CURVIN S:MARTIN, WNJZ914
151.6550 EPHRATA IB SENSENIG, MARLIN W WNIJ311
151.6550 LANCASTER IB B W C INNS INC WNCH956
151.6550 LANCASTER IB DILLER HERSHEY REALTORS INC KGQ565
151.6550 LANCASTER IB GLICKS COMMERCIAL SOUND INC WNLR479
151.6550 LANCASTER IB OUTDOOR WORLD CORP KNCJ589
151.6550 LITITZ IB LINDEN HALL SCHOOL KB75954
151.6550 LITITZ IB MARTIN, CURVIN S:MARTIN, WNJZ914
151.6550 MANHEIM IB FRANK L HALDEMAN & SONS INC KBT890
151.6550 NEW HOLLAND IB HOOVER, CLARENCE M WPBD236
151.6550 NEW HOLLAND IB SENSENIG, MARLIN W WNIJ311
151.6550 PARADISE IB AMOS B WITMER & SON WNKN525
151.6550 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.6550 RONKS IB STAUFFER, HENRY WPAP517
151.6850 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MENNONITE HIGH WPDN420
151.6850 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNEZ745

151.6850 LANCASTER IB SERVICE AMERICAN CORP KFG329
151.6850 MANHEIM IB MUSSER, LEON WNPZ863
151.7150 COLUMBIA IB TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT KVC708
151.7150 EPHRATA IB ARC OF PENNSYLVANIA KD26329
151.7150 LANCASTER IB CARL REALTY INC KGR708
151.7150 LANCASTER IB MARTIN WATER CONDITIONING WNBV444
151.7150 LEOLA IB HOOVER, ROY E WPCS332
151.7150 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY KNDJ567
151.7150 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTIN, KERMIT WNWJ961
151.7150 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.7150 TERRE HILL IB MARTZALL, LEON W WNUJ790
151.7450 BOWMANSVILLE IB CREST TRUCK EQUIPMENT WPFI345
151.7450 LANCASTER IB BACHMANN REALTY CORP WPBJ524
151.7450 LANCASTER IB BURNHAM CORPORATION WPBP415
151.7450 LANCASTER IB CARL REALTY INC KGR708
151.7450 LANCASTER IB EDWARD HAND JUNIOR HIGH WPDT341
151.7450 LANCASTER IB SHOW EASE STALL COMPANY WNUK742
151.7450 LITITZ IB WARWICK HIGH SCHOOL WPAX967
151.7450 MILLERSVILLE IB FUNK, FREDERICK A KNGL818
151.7450 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WNBZ740
151.7450 PEACH BOTTOM IB C & G EXCAVATING INC WNRC698
151.7450 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.7750 COLUMBIA IB SLAYMAKER, JOHN WNJI954
151.7750 CORNWALL IB MAZZA VINEYARDS INC KB90819
151.7750 DENVER IB A G KURTZ & SONS INC WNCU621
151.7750 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.7750 EPHRATA IB MARTIN, DAVID L:MARTIN, KB82399
151.7750 INTERCOURSE IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC KTA618
151.7750 LANCASTER IB MC MICHAELS CUSTOM LAWN KNBI247
151.7750 LANCASTER IB WATER STREET RESCUE MISSION WNRI585
151.7750 LITITZ IB THOMAS H ERB & SONS INC WNFT561
151.7750 QUARRYVILLE IB THOMAS SPRINKLERS INC KNBE808
151.8050 LANCASTER IB DUSCO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT WRP654
151.8050 LANCASTER IB PARK CITY MALL SECURITY WRP654
151.8050 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MENNONITE HIGH WPDN420
151.8050 STEVENS IB KURTZ, CLAUDE KNEF818
151.8350 COLUMBIA IB VIGILANT FIRE CO WPAM945
151.8350 CONESTOGA IB TURKEY HILL DAIRY INC KFV421
151.8350 LANCASTER IB FREEMAN, BRIAN W KNGH350
151.8350 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNEZ745
151.8350 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KWG905
151.8350 LEOLA IB MAST ELECTRIC INC KZA460
151.8350 MOUNT JOY IB MARTIN, GALEN R WNBK446
151.8650 GAP IB STOLTZFOOS, SAM ALLEN KNBU761
151.8650 LANCASTER IB GROFF, JAMES R WNFF951
151.8650 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZR371
151.8650 LANCASTER IB MILL PRODUCTS INC WXH462
151.8650 LEOLA IB STOLTZFOOS, NORMAN L WNCT919
151.8650 LITITZ IB LANDIS HOMES RETIREMENT KNBY270

151.8650 LITITZ IB MARTIN, MARK L WNWS850
151.8650 ROHRERSTOWN IB E H GOCHNAUER & SONS INC KNDQ855
151.8950 BAINBRIDGE IB KAUFFMANS SEPTIC SERVICE WNJQ770
151.8950 BOWMANSVILLE IB CREST TRUCK EQUIPMENT WPFI345
151.8950 EPHRATA IB AGRI INC KBO950
151.8950 KINZER IB KURTZ, FRANK L KUN799
151.8950 LANCASTER IB BECHTOLDS PLUMBING & KGR828
151.8950 LANCASTER IB ITT ENGINEERED VALVES WPEF652
151.8950 LANCASTER IB LAN COMM INC KB74548
151.8950 LANCASTER IB LANDIS, DAVID L WNJZ315
151.8950 LANCASTER IB SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY KNFF911
151.8950 LITITZ IB HOOVER, HAROLD H WNKG688
151.8950 MANHEIM IB JOSEPH G GRAYBILL INC WNSV929
151.8950 MILLERSVILLE IB NATIONAL HOUSING ASSOCIATES KIM460
151.8950 NEW HOLLAND IB SENSENIO, JAMES WPDE660
151.8950 QUARRYVILLE IB RHOADS, JEROME E WNIE707
151.8950 SCHOENECK IB CROUSE, GALEN W KVC749
151.9250 AKRON IB R & W PRE BUILT HOMES INC KNEB801
151.9250 BOWMANSVILLE IB OAK CREEK ENTERPRISES INC KB83116
151.9250 ELIZABETHTOWN IB COMMITTEE ON MASONIC HOMES KWP853
151.9250 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.9250 EPHRATA IB BURKHOLDER, PAUL G KNIC465
151.9250 LANCASTER IB H W NAUMAN AND SON INC WNSI620
151.9250 PEACH BOTTOM IB NOLT BROTHERS WNVX705
151.9550 GAP IB LAPP, JACOB E KNHJ312
151.9550 GORDONVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, SAMUEL WNRH604
151.9550 LANCASTER IB TELCO WNIW484
151.9550 LEOLA IB LIBERTY HOMES INC WPDS819
151.9550 LITITZ IB BRICKERVILLE ELECTRIC WNHU638
151.9550 PARADISE IB SHARPE, GEORGE M WNAP846
151.9850 PENN TOWNSHIP IT BELL ATLANTIC PENNSYLVANIA KNHZ977
152.0007 LANCASTER PS SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL KIU534
152.0075 EPHRATA PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 LANCASTER PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 MOUNTVILLE PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 STATE GAME LANDS PS MEDICAL BUREAU LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 STRASBURG PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.2400 LANCASTER CD METROCALL, INC. KDS696
152.2700 LANCASTER LX MODEL MANAGEMENT SERVICES KGA263
152.300B/157.560M MOUNT JOY LX LEHIGH VALLEY TAXI CO KUY573
152.390B/157.650M LANCASTER LX QUICK SERVICE CAB KGA406
152.4500 COLUMBIA LX HAKE, DONALD:HAKE, JERRY WNPH772
152.4800 COLUMBIA IB ITT GRINNELL CORP KSH342
152.4800 LANCASTER IB GOUGHNOUR, ALAN W WNHF846
152.4800 LANCASTER IB MESSAGE CENTER BEEPERS INC WNYG702
152.4800 SALISBURY TWP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WNIE372
152.4800 TRUCE IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WNUR547
152.6600 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
152.7200 COLUMBIA CD CONESTOGA MOBILE SYSTEMS, KNKO368
152.8400 CONCORDIA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782

152.8400 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
152.8400 LANCASTER CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
152.8700 LANDISVILLE IS BEAR CREEK CONSTRUCTION KGD351
152.8850 PENN TOWNSHIP IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
152.9150 DENVER IS ZIMMERMAN, WALTER Z WNSU549
152.9150 DURLACH IS KURTZ, MERLE S:KURTZ, EDWIN KNFH764
152.9150 EPHRATA IS KURTZ, MERLE S:KURTZ, EDWIN KNFH764
152.9150 RHEEMS IS UNION QUARRIES INC KUQ363

152.9300 EPHRATA IS KURTZ JR, EDWIN N WNWA356
152.9300 MILLERSVILLE IS BARLEY JR, HAROLD M KNAH916
152.9450 CHRISTIANA IS WHITELOCK & WOERTH INC KMF764
152.9450 EPHRATA IS HOOVER, AARON M WNZP643
152.9450 MANHEIM IS FAHNESTOCK, PAUL M WPAK796
152.9600 LANCASTER IS KREIDER, FRANKLIN H KSF783
152.9600 PEQUEA TWP IS HESS, KARL M WYG712
152.9750 EPHRATA IS HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDA209
152.9900 PENN TOWNSHIP IS FERRELLGAS INC KBO425
152.9900 SALUNGA IS MYERS BROS DRILLING KDI217
153.0050 LEOLA IS MASTER FARMER FERTILIZER KTJ806
153.0200 CONESTOGA IS FREY DAIRY FARMS WNJC206
153.0200 GAP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
153.0200 SALISBURY TWP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
153.0350 MANHEIM IS GROFF, DAVID N WNAX206
153.0350 REINHOLDS IS HOOVER, ELVIN H WPDD530
153.0500 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS KNBJ243
153.0500 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.0500 PARADISE IX DENLINGER INC WYX432
153.0650 COLUMBIA IX GRINNELL CORP KNAJ694
153.0650 EPHRATA IX REDMAN HOMES INC KB48611
153.0650 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
153.0800 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.0800 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES KNGY737
153.0950 LEOLA IX DART CONTAINER CORP OF KB94553
153.1100 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNWD304
153.1100 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WNLW229
153.1250 LITITZ IX FEDERAL MOGUL CORP WPDE829
153.1400 EPHRATA IX SKYLINE INC WPAU936
153.1400 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.1550 DENVER IX HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES WPCW363
153.1700 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.1850 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.1850 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WPFV287
153.2000 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.2000 MANHEIM IX RAYMARK INDUSTRIES INC WNGN259
153.2150 EPHRATA IX SKYLINE INC WPAU936
153.2300 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.2450 MANHEIM IX RAYMARK CORP KB82684
153.2600 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNPG310
153.2750 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947

153.2900 EPHRATA IX REDMAN HOMES INC KB48611
153.2900 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.3050 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNWD304
153.3200 LANCASTER IX HEIL COMPANY WNHW285
153.3200 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.3350 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNFN725
153.3500 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.3650 COLUMBIA IX ITT GRINNELL CORP KSE286
153.3800 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX ALUMINUM CORPORATION WNJB232
153.3950 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KOK939
153.5450 COLUMBIA IW COLUMBIA WATER CO KZX402
153.6800 LITTLE BRITAIN IW CHESTER WATER AUTHORITY KDS754
153.7700 REINHOLDS PF LANCASTER COUNTY ZONE 1 KD25175
153.8150 SALISBURY TWP PL EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF WNZX817
153.8150 SALISBURY TWP PL SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KVN640
153.8600 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF WNJZ206
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL BART, TOWNSHIP OF WQK333
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL COLERAIN, TOWNSHIP OF WNWK593
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL SADSBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KNFL986
153.9200 RONKS PL LEACOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KQR534
153.9800 ELIZABETHTOWN PL ELIZABETHTOWN, BOROUGH OF KXJ232

154.0250 CONESTOGA PL CONESTOGA, TOWNSHIP OF WPAD566
154.0250 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, TOWNSHIP OF WXK779
154.0400 E. DRUMORE TWP PL EAST DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KSJ283
154.055R/158.925 71.9 PENN TOWNSHIP PL LANCASTER, CO CONSTABLES KNEJ770
154.0550 LANCASTER PL PENNA STATE CONSTABLES KRB479
154.1000 LANCASTER PL WEST HEMPFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF WNMY443
154.4900 LITITZ IS ROHRERS QUARRY INC KJJ226
154.5150 ELIZABETHTOWN IB M & M MARS INC KB78145
154.5150 GOODVILLE IB WEAVER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNHR609
154.5150 LANDISVILLE IB J MILLER ESHLEMAN & SON INC KUY877
154.5150 LITITZ IB GREEN ACRES LAWN & GARDEN WNIS968
154.5400 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.5400 ELIZABETHTOWN IB M & M MARS INC KB78746
154.5400 LANCASTER IB FREEMAN, BRIAN W KNGH350
154.5400 LANCASTER IB FRY, EARL KNIN630
154.5400 LANCASTER IB WIZARD LOCK SHOP INC KUA959
154.5400 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.5400 MARIETTA IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNEB893
154.5700 BIRD IN HAND IB GEO K GROFF INC KD43882
154.5700 COLUMBIA IB COLUMBIA HIGH SCHOOL KD22758
154.5700 CONESTOGA IB MARTIN, RODNEY WPBS939
154.5700 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.5700 EAST EARL IB ESH, JOHN M KD25356
154.5700 EAST EARL IB H R EWELL INC WPBQ694
154.5700 EAST PETERSBURG IB BINKLEY & OBER INC KD28368
154.5700 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN AREA SCHOOL WPDF890
154.5700 EPHRATA IB FAIRMOUNT HOMES WNUE517
154.5700 EPHRATA IB FUEHRER ASSOCIATES WNUI968

154.5700 EPHRATA IB GREEN DRAGON INC KB60434
154.5700 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNVE591
154.5700 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KA66547
154.5700 LANCASTER IB DEN TAL EZ INC WPBR687
154.5700 LANCASTER IB FREY LUTZ CORPORATION WNSD906
154.5700 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
154.5700 LANCASTER IB MILLER & HARTMAN INC KB66440
154.5700 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
154.5700 LEOLA IB CONESTOGA VALLEY SCHOOL KD34398
154.5700 LEOLA IB DART CONTAINER CORP OF PA WPEC233
154.5700 LEOLA IB ROSS ENGINEERING COMPANY WPEG706
154.5700 LITITZ IB MORAVIAN MANOR KB64085
154.5700 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
154.5700 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY KB61538
154.5700 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.5700 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNRV758
154.5700 NEW HOLLAND IB SHUMATE, BILL WNYN751
154.5700 PARADISE IB CARLEE, OLIVER W WPES863
154.5700 LANCASTER PL PENNA STATE CONSTABLES KRB479
154.5700 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
154.5700 SMOKETOWN IB SMUCKER, MERVIN L:SMUCKER, WNSE726
154.6000 CONESTOGA IB MARTIN, RODNEY WPBS939
154.6000 DENVER IB MILLMAN, JAY WNUE636
154.6000 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.6000 INTERCOURSE IB MARTIN AUCTIONEERS INC KD45093
154.6000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNVE591
154.6000 LANCASTER IB J H BRUBAKER INC KD22496
154.6000 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
154.6000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER BIBLE COLLEGE KD21748
154.6000 LANCASTER IB MEDIA HEIGHTS GOLF COURSE KB72797
154.6000 LANCASTER IB PETTERS, OSCAR R KD34060
154.6000 LANCASTER IB S S FISHER STEEL KB64253
154.6000 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
154.6000 LEOLA IB CONESTOGA VALLEY SCHOOL KD34398
154.6000 LEOLA IB DART CONTAINER CORP OF PA WPEC233
154.6000 LITITZ IB CLAIR BROS AUDIO KB82398
154.6000 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
154.6000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.6000 MANHEIM IB TTGC INC WNUG730
154.6000 MARIETTA IB AFFILIATED POULTRY SERVICES KB60918
154.6000 MARIETTA IB CARGILL INC WNRN562
154.6000 NEW HOLLAND IB MUSSELMAN LUMBER INC KB82559
154.6000 NEW HOLLAND IB SHUMATE, BILL WNYN751
154.6000 REINHOLDS IB WEAVER, MELVIN W WPBM248
154.6000 RONKS IB LAPP, KENNETH:GLICK, NORMAN WPAE633
154.6000 SALUNGA IB EASTERN MENNONITE BOARD OF KB94181
154.6000 SMOKETOWN IB SMUCKER, MERVIN L:SMUCKER, WNSE726
154.6000 SOUDERSBURG IB SOUDERSBURG MOTEL WNXM402
154.6000 TERRE HILL IB VALLEY PROTEIN INC WNGA262

154.6000 WILLOW STREET IB HESS PLUMBING WPBS564
154.6250 ELIZABETHTOWN IS KEENER, RONALD S WNWQ405
154.6250 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WPBG464
154.7100 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNGX660
154.7550 186.2 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE KXH316
154.7550 186.2 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE KXH317
154.800R/158.970 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CITY CH.2 KQY818
154.8450 EPHRATA PP EPHRATA BORO. POLICE
154.860R/159.090 71.9 NEW PROVIDENCE PP LANCASTER, CO CH.C SOUTH WZN243
154.875R/159.030 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO CH.E CITY KEM639
154.875R/159.030 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.E CITY KGA583
154.965R/158.895 127.3 LANCASTER PP MANHEIM TWP POLICE KDL906
154.9800 CHURCHTOWN PL CAERNARVON, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ872
154.9950 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER AREA SEWER AUT. KXQ915
154.9950 WASHINGTON BORO PL LANCASTER AREA SEWER KXQ915
155.0250 COLUMBIA PL COLUMBIA, BOROUGH OF KNEZ479
155.0250 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNHS669
155.0550 LANCASTER PP WEST HEMPFIELD, TWP POLICE KNFW802
155.0550 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF WNMQ767
155.0850 LANCASTER PL EAST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF KFZ919
155.0850 MANHEIM PL RAPHO, TOWNSHIP OF KVI620
155.1000 SALISBURY TWP PL EAST EARL TWP PP& road crew WNZX817
155.1000 SALISBURY TWP PL SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KVN640
155.1150 LEOLA PL UPPER LEACOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KOL954
155.1150 PEQUEA PL MARTIC, TOWNSHIP OF WPDM628
155.1300 COLUMBIA PP COLUMBIA, BOROUGH OF KGA443
155.1450 DENVER PL EAST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGY916
155.1450 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, TWP OF KNAS413
155.1450 MOUNT JOY PL MOUNT JOY, BOROUGH OF KWF838
155.1600 LANCASTER PS KIRCHNER, G GARY KFX410
155.1750 LITITZ PS GROFF, RAYMOND E KXJ312
155.2050 MANHEIM PS RUFUS K GEIB INC WYP835
155.2050 STRASBURG PS MERSKY, EDWARD KCU223
155.2200 COLUMBIA PS COLUMBIA BOROUGH SCHOOL WNDQ660
155.2200 PARADISE PS ZILLHARDT, CURTIS A KLR236
155.2350 ROTHSVILLE PS SHULTZ TRANSPORTATION WRG636
155.2350 WILLOW STREET PS SHULTZ TRANSPORTATION WRG636
155.2500 PEQUEA TWP PP PEQUEA TWP POLICE
155.2800 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNFC381
155.2800 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER CITY DISPATCH
155.2800 QUARRYVILLE PS QUARRYVILLE PRESBYTERIAN WNCF461
155.3400 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KWJ350
155.430R/154.710 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.F METRRO KNGX660
155.4450 PENN TOWNSHIP PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGC266
155.4750 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO. POLICE KNGX660
155.4750 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH316
155.4750 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH317
155.5200 ELIZABETHTOWN PP ELIZABETHTOWN, CITY OF WNEB858
155.535R/159.150 71.9 EPHRATA PP LANCASTER CO CH.D NORTHEAST KNFQ506
155.580B/154.95M 186.2 EPHRATA PP PA. STATE POLICE CH.A KXH316

155.580B/154.95M 186.2 PARKSBURG PP PA. STATE POLICE CH.A KXH317
155.5950 LANCASTER PP EAST LAMPETER TWP POLICE WNCY310
155.5950 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO POLICE CH.F
155.640R/158.730 71.9 COLUMBIA PP LANCASTER CO CH.B NORTHWEST KSZ233
155.6550 LANCASTER PP MANHEIM, TWP POLICE WSL565
155.6700 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH316
155.6700 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH317
155.685R/158.790 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.A CO WIDE KSZ232
155.7150 MILLERSVILLE PL MILLERSVILLE, BOROUGH OF KKL546
155.7450 NEFFSVILLE PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WRB577
155.7750 BRICKVILLE PL ELIZABETH, TOWNSHIP OF WNCZ411
155.7750 WARWICK TWP PL WARWICK TWP KNBB766
155.8050 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF WNMQ767
155.8650 STRASBURG PL STRASBURG, TOWNSHIP OF KNID206
155.8800 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435
155.8800 LANDISVILLE PP EAST HEMPFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF KWX521
155.8950 DRUMORE PL DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KNAF989
155.8950 FALMOUTH PL CONOY, TOWNSHIP OF KNBL853
155.8950 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.G SIMPLEX KGQ428
155.8950 LITTLE BRITAIN PL LITTLE BRITAIN, TOWNSHIP OF KNAT823
155.8950 TRUCE PL LANCASTER, CO EMERGENCY MGT KGQ428
155.8950 WAKEFIELD PL FULTON, TWP ROAD DEPT KNAH383
155.9250 LITITZ PP WARWICK, TOWNSHIP OF KNGZ248
155.9250 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF WNAV331
155.9400 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, CITY OF KBE835
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL BART, TOWNSHIP OF WQK333
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL COLERAIN, TOWNSHIP OF WNWK593
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL SADSBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KNFL986
156.0000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, TOWNSHIP OF WXK779
156.0150 MANHEIM PL PENN, TOWNSHIP OF WYG415
156.0300 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO PRISON WQF228
156.1200 DENVER PH DENVER, BOROUGH OF WNCG582
156.1200 LANCASTER PH PEQUEA, TOWNSHIP OF KVA314
156.1200 REINHOLDS PH WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNJT962
156.1500 MARIETTA PP MARIETTA, BOROUGH OF WNVM943
156.1800 NEW PROVIDENCE PH PROVIDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF WQD399
156.1950 MOUNT HOPE PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KFX322
156.1950 REAMSTOWN PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KFX334
156.2400 DRUMORE PH DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KNAL298
156.2400 WAKEFIELD PH FULTON, TOWNSHIP OF KNAK735
156.2400 LITTLE BRITAIN PH LITTLE BRITAIN TWP KNAK735
157.4700 LANCASTER LA GALEBACH, WILLIAM L WNIW846
157.5300 LANCASTER LX MODEL MANAGEMENT SERVICES KGA263
157.650M/152390B LANCASTER LX LANCASTER YELLOW CAB & KGA406
157.7100 COLUMBIA LX HAKE, DONALD:HAKE, JERRY WNPH772
157.7250 DENVER IS BURKHOLDER, JACOB S KNCX570
157.7250 ELIZABETHTOWN IS ESPENSHADE JR, WALTER WYG766
157.7400 LANCASTER IB GOUGHNOUR, ALAN W WNSQ580
157.7400 SALISBURY TWP IB AMERICAN DIGITAL WNRJ876
157.7400 TRUCE IB AMERICAN DIGITAL WNUY980

158.2950 NEW HOLLAND IX TYSON FOODS INC WNWE931
158.3100 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
158.3250 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
158.3250 LANDISVILLE IX PENNSYLVANIA CASTINGS CORP KD50795
158.3250 SPRINGDALE IX TYSON FOODS INC KB61621
158.3400 PENN TOWNSHIP IT BELL ATLANTIC PENNSYLVANIA KNHZ977
158.3850 LANCASTER IS READY MIXED CONCRETE CO INC KWX252
158.3850 QUARRYVILLE IS READY MIXED CONCRETE CO INC KWX252
158.4300 LANCASTER IX KERR GLASS MANUFACTURING KNFA930
158.4600 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KNAY535
158.4600 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WPBG464
158.4600 MANHEIM IS NOLT, IRWIN M WNJD558
158.7000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, WRW223
158.7000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KWU290
158.7450 MOUNT JOY PP MOUNT JOY TWP.
158.8050 DENVER PL EAST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KYL664
158.8050 ELIZABETHTOWN PL WEST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF KCS306
158.8950 MANHEIM PL MANHIEM TWP KDL906
158.9550 MANHEIM PP MANHEIM BORO POLICE

158.8950I/154.965 127.3 LANCASTER PP MANHEIM TWP POLICE KDL906
159.1350 NEW PROVIDENCE PH PROVIDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF WQD399
159.1500 EAST EARL PP EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KNEN812
159.1950 PARADISE PH PARADISE, TOWNSHIP OF KNFK669
159.2250 LANCASTER PO LANCASTER, CO. PARKS DEPT KNCV395
159.3900 HARRISBURG PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNIV544
159.6750 PENN TOWNSHIP LV PRESTON TRUCKING CO INC WNLV624
159.7800 SALISBURY TWP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
159.9300 PEACH BOTTOM LJ NOLT, CLAIR WNSM347
160.0800 LANCASTER LJ ROADWAY EXPRESS INC KNJY204
160.1100 LANCASTER LV CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC WNDV395
160.4850 LANDISVILLE LR LANDISVILLE RAILROAD KNED733
160.5150 GAP LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER KNCR753
160.6350 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER KNGR618
160.7100 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KNFM590
160.8000 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER KGU627
160.8600 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KGF569
160.9200 ELIZABETHTOWN LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER WNPF388
160.9200 GAP LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER WNPF388
160.9200 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER WNPF392
160.9800 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL DILLERVILLE YARD
161.0700 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KBC449
161.1300 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KNFM590
161.2350 STRASBURG LR STRASBURG RAIL ROAD CO KEX465
161.2950 GAP LR AMTRAK POLICE KNCR753
161.2950 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK POLICE KNCX548
161.6400 ELIZABETHTOWN BA PENN BROADCASTING KPG537
161.7000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC KEL535
161.7600 ELIZABETHTOWN BA PENN BROADCASTING KPG537
161.7600 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC KEL535

169.4450 LANCASTER IB GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH OF WPEC509
170.2450 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
170.2450 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
170.2450 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
171.1050 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
171.1050 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
171.1050 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
173.2375 PEQUEA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WGM954
173.2750 LANCASTER IY LANCASTER NEWSPAPERS INC KLQ705
173.3500 COLUMBIA IW LANCASTER CITY WATER AUT KFV525
173.3500 LANCASTER IW LANCASTER CITY WATER AUT KFV525
220.0725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.2225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.3725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.5225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.6725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.8875 COLUMBIA QO UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCR202
220.9275 COLUMBIA QD UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCM876
221.0725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.2225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.3725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.5225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.6725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999

221.8875 COLUMBIA QO UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCR202
221.9275 COLUMBIA QD UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCM876
224.300R/222.700I LANCASTER HA WB3DFN
224.320R/222.720I LANCASTER HA N3RZ N3RZ
224.440R/222.840I C.SQ LITITZ HA KA3CNT
224.560R/222.960I COLUMBIA HA
224.900R/223.300I CORNWALL HA N3AY
444.650R/449.650I DENVER HA WA3GYG
444.850R/449.850I EPHRATA HA K3RZF
449.975R/444.975I COLUMBIA HA
450.4500 EPHRATA BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
450.4500 EVANSVILLE BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
450.9800 LANCASTER BA WITF INCORPORATED KPH309
450.9900 LANCASTER BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPH448
451.0500 SALISBURY TWP IW UGI CORPOTATION KLJ364
451.1375 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
451.1500 SALISBURY IW UGI CORPORTATION KGB804
451.2000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNMB335
451.2000 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KUO820
451.3000 ELIZABETHTOWN IT ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WNNA691
451.3000 SALISBURY TWP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
451.3250 CORNWALL IT AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND WZA688
451.3500 SALISBURY TWP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
451.4000 COLUMBIA IT UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WNJU278
451.4500 EPHRATA IT DENVER & EPHRATA TELEPHONE KJC722
451.5000 RAWLINSVILLE IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221

451.51250000 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
00000451.60000000 PARADISE IF LAPP LUMBER CO KUO767
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS J D ECKMAN INC KGH713
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS LANDIS, BEN KNAX580
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, LEROY R WNGC921
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS WEAVER, FRANK N KNGP406
00000451.77500000 HONEY BROOK IS HONEY BROOK FARM CENTER INC KNIM362
00000451.78750000 MANHEIM IS SWARR, JERE E WNWY637
00000451.81250000 EPHRATA IS HURST, WALTER M WNWC861
00000451.81250000 LITITZ IS HURSH, AMOS M WNWC829
00000451.83750000 QUARRYVILLE IS SENSENIG, NOAH N WPCF535
00000451.85000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SHENK, E EUGENE WYH238
00000451.85000000 PENNTOWNSHIP IS VOGT, R K WFL527
00000451.88750000 MOUNT JOY IS GINDER, BENJAMIN S:GINDER, KD26587
00000451.90000000 PENN IS MARTIN, GLENN R WNZY649
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, GLEN R WPDA787
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, ELMER M WPCH620
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, GLENN S WPDA795
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, WILMER S WPCH538
00000451.91250000 LITITZ IS SENSENIG, DAVID L WNWU206
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS GARBER, JAMES M:GARBER, KNHP919
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, TIM E WPAE299
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KREIDER, NOAH:KREIDER SR, KNCT927
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, JOHN Z KNIV348
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, LLOYD Z KNBG458
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KNFJ863
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BECKER, DAVID D WNLB570
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BURKHOLDER, WNZY960
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HORNING, MELVIN H WPAD813
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, GERALD M WPCP342
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, PAUL H WNGE885
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MC CLELLAN, JAMES L WNPQ936
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, DALE M WNZS709
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, PAUL Z WNJZ956
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIST, HENRY E KNJL425
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SENSENIG, LUKE G WNFK861
00000452.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN PAVING INC KNEC440
452.52500000 LANCASTER LA AAA LANCASTER COUNTY INC WNPW488
452.57500000 LANCASTER LA AAA LANCASTER COUNTY INC WNPW488
452.57500000 LANCASTER LA LANCASTER AUTOMOBILE CLUB WNQS623
00000452.70000000 CORNWALL LI LEBANON TRANSIT AUTHORITY KNEU801
00000452.71250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
00000453.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE KB84060
00000453.01250000 WEST HEMPFIELD TWP PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
00000453.41250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB572
00000453.43750000 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435
00000453.48750000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
00000453.52500000 TRUCE PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNLY702
00000453.72500000 STRASBURG PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KOM417
453.8500 136.5 ELIZABETHTOWN PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNBH711
00000453.87500000 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF KGC797

00000453.87500000 MILLERSVILLE PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KUO582
00000453.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PL LEBANON, CITY OF WQA885
453.9750R/458.975 94.8 SALISBURY TWP PL RED ROSE TRANSIT AUTHORITY KJJ964
00000454.15000000 LANCASTER CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KGC222
00000454.20000000 LANCASTER CD LEHIGH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KNKJ290
00000454.32500000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.35000000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.42500000 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
00000454.47500000 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
00000454.55000000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.55000000 LEBANON CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461

454.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE CD SCHUYLKILL MOBILE FONE, KNKC668
00000455.21250000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA, INC KB97364
00000455.45000000 EPHRATA BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
00000455.45000000 EVANSVILLE BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
00000455.48750000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA, INC KB97364
00000455.75000000 LANCASTER BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPK813
00000455.98000000 LANCASTER BA WITF INCORPORATED KPH309
00000456.03750000 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KD45427
00000456.20000000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNMB335
00000456.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
00000456.35000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
00000456.45000000 EPHRATA IT DENVER & EPHRATA TELEPHONE KJC722
00000456.50000000 RAWLINSVILLE IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221
00000456.51250000 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS J D ECKMAN INC KGH713
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS LANDIS, BEN KNAX580
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, LEROY R WNGC921
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS WEAVER, FRANK N KNGP406
00000456.77500000 HONEY BROOK IS HONEY BROOK FARM CENTER INC KNIM362
00000456.78750000 MANHEIM IS SWARR, JERE E WNWY637
00000456.81250000 EPHRATA IS HURST, WALTER M WNWC861
00000456.81250000 LITITZ IS HURSH, AMOS M WNWC829
00000456.83750000 QUARRYVILLE IS SENSENIG, NOAH N WPCF535
00000456.85000000 MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP IS SHENK, E EUGENE WFE499
00000456.85000000 PENNTOWNSHIP IS VOGT, R K WFL527
00000456.88750000 MOUNT JOY IS GINDER, BENJAMIN S:GINDER, KD26587
00000456.90000000 PENN IS MARTIN, GLENN R WNZY649
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, GLEN R WPDA787
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, ELMER M WPCH620
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, GLENN S WPDA795
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, WILMER S WPCH538
00000456.91250000 LITITZ IS SENSENIG, DAVID L WNWU206
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS GARBER, JAMES M:GARBER, KNHP919
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, TIM E WPAE299
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KREIDER, NOAH:KREIDER SR, KNCT927
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, JOHN Z KNIV348
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, LLOYD Z KNBG458
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KNFJ863

00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BECKER, DAVID D WNLB570
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BURKHOLDER, WNZY960
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HORNING, MELVIN H WPAD813
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, GERALD M WPCP342
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, PAUL H WNGE885
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MC CLELLAN, JAMES L WNPQ936
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, DALE M WNZS709
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, PAUL Z WNJZ956
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIST, HENRY E KNJL425
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SENSENIG, LUKE G WNFK861
00000457.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN PAVING INC KNEC440
00000457.52500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
00000457.53750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000457.58750000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK KB79888
00000457.71250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
00000458.01250000 COLUMBIA PM COLUMBIA COMMUNITY WPCM837
00000458.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE KB84060
00000458.01250000 WEST HEMPFIELD TWP PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
00000458.02500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.12500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.17500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.21250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WPCR929
00000458.41250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB572
00000458.43750000 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435

458.48750000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
00000458.52500000 LANCASTER PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNGE698
00000458.85000000 ELIZABETHTOWN PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNBH711
00000458.97500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP PL RED ROSE TRANSIT AUTHORITY KJJ964
00000459.55000000 CORNWALL CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000460.20000000 TERRE HILL PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNFS530
00000460.47500000 ELM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNXP568
00000460.47500000 LANCASTER PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYL743
00000460.66250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.68750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.71250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.73750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.78750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.86250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
461.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMMONWEALTH SECURITY KXI539
00000461.02500000 EPHRATA IB BLUE RIDGE NEWBERRY CATV KTC588
00000461.03750000 LANDISVILLE IB HERR & SACCO INC WNLL915
00000461.03750000 LEOLA IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL KB60624
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEFEVER BUILDERS INC WNIE554
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PWI INC WGG275
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB R W KREIDER GARAGE WNHI692
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB STAMAN, WILLIAM T KNHA942

00000461.05000000 PENN TWP IB C M HIGH COMPANY KNAI541
00000461.06250000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000461.06250000 PHILADELPHIA IB DAY & ZIMMERMAN INC KD53704
00000461.08750000 LANCASTER IB HOMESTEAD VILLAGE INC WNRR258
00000461.08750000 LITITZ IB E K MARTIN & SONS INC WNVS993
00000461.10000000 BLUE BALL IB C B HOOBER & SON INC WSU274
00000461.11250000 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDZ677
00000461.11250000 EPHRATA IB JOHN F MARTIN COUNTRY MEATS KD34408
00000461.11250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SPRING GULCH RESORT WNSK341
461.12500000 BLUE BALL IB SCHAAD DETECTIVE AGENCY INC KNGZ947
00000461.13750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000461.13750000 LITITZ IB LANCASTER AVIATION INC KB93609
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB GOOD, DONALD R:GOOD, GARY R KNAG680
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB NISSLEY, J M WNEA347
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNJH772
00000461.16250000 LANCASTER IB KEMPS FOODS INC WPCE632
00000461.18750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNSL667
00000461.18750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000461.20000000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK WNMG963
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB APPRAISAL ASSOCIATES INC KNEZ266
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB C & C TECHNOLOGY INC KNIM983
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HAHNLEN, DAVID WPAD849
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT WPEA798
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, JOHN R KGF783
00000461.22500000 STRASBURG IB STRASBURG PALLET COMPANY WPFG944
00000461.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000461.23750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB CAPP, BILL WNLZ669
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB DAVID PFLUMM PAVING & KNJF362
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB G A HENRY RADIO WXM344
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JACK GARNER & SONS WNHK426
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JONES & ZINK INC WNWV663
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB OBERS WATERCONDITIONING & WNHL742
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB ROBERT GRAHAM TV WNHP460
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB STAR ROCK FARMS WNCK356
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WINTERS DISPOSAL WNBX934

461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WOLF JACK TREE SERVICE WPBK376
00000461.26250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000461.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KD34404
00000461.28750000 EPHRATA IB LINE SPECIALTIES INC WPCC514
00000461.28750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MASONRY INC WPDI582
00000461.28750000 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WPBJ575
00000461.32500000 EPHRATA IB EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL KNHJ722
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB BOMBERGER JR, JOHN H WNGU414
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTINS HATCHERY POULTRY WNLE390
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB RUSSELL, H ROBERT WNHL692
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SERVICE FEED AND SUPPLY INC WNFV362
00000461.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB H M STAUFFER & SONS INC KAV692

00000461.41250000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KB71447
00000461.41250000 QUARRYVILLE IB GROFF, JAMES C WPDS298
00000461.43750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000461.43750000 NEW HOLLAND IB A B C GROFF INC WNWK824
00000461.46250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB MASONIC HOMES INC WNUE650
00000461.48750000 LANDISVILLE IB A H HOFFMAN INC WPDK456
00000461.50000000 LANCASTER IB FISHER MANAGEMENT CO WPBG959
00000461.50000000 MOUNTVILLE IB ROSENBERGERS COLD STORAGE WPES403
00000461.51250000 LITITZ IB LANCASTER AVIATION INC KB93609
00000461.53750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.53750000 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WPCN787
00000461.53750000 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WPBJ575
00000461.56250000 STRASBURG IB STRASBURG PALLET COMPANY WPFG944
00000461.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BRUBACHER EXCAVATING INC KKQ288
00000461.58750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000461.58750000 LANCASTER IB EMTROL INC WNYX580
00000461.58750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000461.61250000 DALLAS IB J C PENNEY CO INC 1226 KD37795
00000461.61250000 LANCASTER IB THOMSON CONSUMER WPEH853
00000461.61250000 NEW HOLLAND IB YODERS COUNTRY MARKET KD35023
00000461.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000461.66250000 LANCASTER IB EMTROL INC WNYX580
00000461.68750000 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY AND SONS KD28047
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BROWN, LARRY A:BROWN, MARK KNAY387
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HERR, KENNETH H:HERR, KNHL378
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KELLOGG COMPANY KNBL363
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MYLIN, J DONALD WNCS824
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PYLE, THEODORE B WYX765
00000461.70000000 WILLOW STREET IB FREY, JEFFERY R WPBC272
00000461.71250000 LANCASTER IB QVC NETWORK INC WPBM450
00000461.71250000 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WPCN787
00000461.73750000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000461.73750000 QUARRYVILLE IB MUSSERS INC WPBG894
00000461.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE, I GLEN KNDR445
00000461.76250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BARLEY, HENRY WNHM938
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BLEACHER FARMS WNSL359
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB FRANKS GARAGE WNHS710
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS WNHI699
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB KREIDER, CLYDE E WNPO530
00000461.85000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HENRY, GLENN A WYB968
00000461.86250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.87500000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000461.88750000 EAST EARL IB SHADY MAPLE FARM MARKET INC KD53450
00000461.91250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.93750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000462.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS KNIQ521
00000462.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STUMPF JR, JOHN P KNJY349
00000462.02500000 SALISBURG TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, DANIEL WQC579
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB JOHNS MOBILE HOME SERVICE KQF286

462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, TITUS S WNXW225
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB MC TERNAN, DOUGLAS WPAB670
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB PALS SERVICE CENTER WPDS380
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB QUALITY ROOFING SUPPLY KKT703
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB REUBEN M STOLTZFUS INC KQE965
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB RIGGS, JIM WNRZ866
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SUPERIOR HOMES WPCG370
00000462.05000000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNFQ475
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DUTCHMAN CONSTRUCTION CO KZH446
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB FUNK, DONALD H KNGC766
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT & NOLT WQR418
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ULRICH INC KNJX501
00000462.05000000 PENN TWP IB BOLLINGER, DARRYL L KNEZ276
00000462.08750000 LANCASTER IB WORSHIP CENTER INC WPCN583
00000462.08750000 LITITZ IB KEENS SERVICE INC WPCC513
00000462.13750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ALL AMERICAN POOL & SPA INC WNUU313
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DIENER, AMOS K WPBM369
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DOMBACH, LARRY C WNGU363
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY, BRENT L KNHX495
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HOOVER, ERVIN S KNGP507
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER POOL SERVICE INC WNGB327
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WIEGAND, ROBERT L WNGL477
00000462.16250000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK KB79888
00000462.18750000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
00000462.20000000 COLUMBIA IX COLONIAL METALS CO WPAW435
00000462.20000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.22500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000462.25000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.27500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000462.30000000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.32500000 LANCASTER IX AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS KNEM787
00000462.32500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WPAF945
00000462.35000000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.37500000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.37500000 MOUNTVILLE IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ523
00000462.37500000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ522
00000462.40000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.42500000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.45000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.50000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.50000000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC KD49521
00000462.55000000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.55000000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.56250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378

00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.56250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.56250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.57500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.58750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.58750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077

462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.58750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.58750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.60000000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA REACT SERVICE KAB6823
00000462.60000000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.61250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.61250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.61250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.62500000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.62500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.63750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.63750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.63750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.63750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.66250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.66250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.66250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.66250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949

00000462.67500000 EAST EARL TWP ZA DISANTE, JOHN E KAD7442
00000462.67500000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.67500000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA LANCASTER COUNTY REACT INC KAD5928
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.67500000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.67500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.68750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.68750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.68750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.68750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.70000000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.71250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.71250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620

462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.71250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.71250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.72500000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.72500000 LANCASTER ZA LANCASTER RECREATION KAA8072
00000462.75000000 LANCASTER IB BEEP WNUF209
00000462.77500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPDK984
00000462.83750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000462.85000000 LANCASTER IB BEEP WNUF209
00000462.85000000 LANCASTER IB BURLE INDUSTRIES INC WNDS248
00000462.87500000 MARIETTA IB WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WNSK254
00000462.88750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000462.90000000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000462.95000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000462.95000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000462.95000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000462.97500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000462.97500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000462.97500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.00000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.00000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.00000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893

463.00000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.00000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.02500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.02500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.02500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.02500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.02500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.05000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.05000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.05000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.05000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.05000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.07500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.07500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.07500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.07500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.07500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.10000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.10000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.10000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.10000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.10000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.10000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.12500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.12500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.12500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.12500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.12500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.15000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.15000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.15000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.15000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963

463.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.15000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.17500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.17500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
00000463.17500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000463.17500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000463.17500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
00000463.17500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.20000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WPBN561
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB EARL KING INC KNHD705
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB GLICK, DANIEL D KNIQ516
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST EXCAVATING INC KNGV581

00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST, LOUIS WNFC838
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB LEOLA TIRE SERVICE INC KNHL413
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, A LESLIE WNVB775
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, MAHLON J WNXA738
00000463.23750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B&J DISPOSAL WNJG330
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CHARLES JR, KEN L KNJJ678
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, M S WYN350
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WALTER & JACKSON INC WZJ780
00000463.31250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000463.32500000 HONEY BROOK IB BLOSENSKI, CHARLEY WPBH749
00000463.35000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000463.36250000 EPHRATA IB STONER, MARVIN WPCC761
00000463.41250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000463.42500000 LANDISVILLE IB HERR & SACCO INC WNLL915
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB FORRYS AG SERVICE INC KNBB949
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB KLEINFELTER, GLEN WNAU304
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB STAUFFER, WILLIS KQW732
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FOX, RAY WPFA716
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB LICHTY BROTHERS INC WXQ691
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SMUCKERS SALES & SERVICE KNHH202
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS BROTHERS INC WXE412
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, REUBEN WNXF963
00000463.46250000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB BOMBERGER, DAVID WNMO387
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB D R HACKMAN INC WNKH784
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB EBERLY, WILLIAM:GERHART, WXQ885
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB MILLER, GILLES R WNJM561
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CHEMGRO FERTILIZER CO INC WXM640
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE BUSINESS EQUIPMENT WNSR425
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY EQUIPMENT INC KNJC843
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER, RANDY D:MILLER, KNAF602
00000463.47500000 PENN TWP IB HALDEMAN, H:HALDEMAN, A WNCD539
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB GREENAWALT, RONALD R KNJR534
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB MARTIN, CLARENCE K WNJK628
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB SENSENIG, LLOYD M WNSY643
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB WEBBER ELECTRIC INC WNKM784
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DISSINGER, BOB KNFS240
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MC GGROUP INC WNST294
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MYER, HAROLD KBA696
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ROOTS NURSERY KNCN727
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WHEARY, JACQUELINE S KNER398
00000463.50000000 PENN TWP IB GOOD, HARRY KNGC767
00000463.52500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPAF458
00000463.55000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN437
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EBERSOLE, JAY D WNQS569
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GLICK, MELVIN S WNMI713
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNDL852

463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNFN338
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LANDIS, CARL L WNWL307
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LONG, H GREGORY WNPR883
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MILL BRIDGE VILLAGE & WNQZ565
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFOOS, WNDH646
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, MELVIN J WNQQ517
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY TREE WPEV849
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB THOMAS TRUCKING INC WNNA753
00000463.58750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EDGER M HERR INC KNBP642
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GRAHAM, KENNETH L: GRAHAM, KNBF297
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KLINE, MARSHALL KNBC242
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KREIDER, THOMAS G:KREIDER, KNGU824
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LESTER M PRANGE INC WNMR643
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SCHROEDER GARDENS INC KNAI780
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB BURKHOLDER, J LUKE WNGC267
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB GREEN ACRES NURSERY INC KNJJ939
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB ROHRER, H DALE WNBP642
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ADAMSTOWN EQUIPMENT INC KQH811
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ARNOLD COAL & SUPPLY CO KVD627
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB EBERSOLE EXCAVATING INC WFS544
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000463.62500000 RAPHO TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000463.65000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000463.66250000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000463.68750000 COLUMBIA IB PROTECH MECHANICAL WPBM788
00000463.72500000 CORNWALL IB GARMAN, CLAIR S WNKV707
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES WSD218
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LONGENECKERS HATCHERY INC WYH783
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, HARLAN O WNCY410
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT, LAMAR WNIW946
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNAF698
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WORLEY & OBETZ INC WSA288
00000463.72500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB BEAR BUILDING & REMODELING WNUI647
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB HOSTETTER SECURITY WNWE738
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB LAN COMM INC KNJJ938
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB SPINELLO DISPOSAL CO INC WNVI491
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB WEST END AMBULANCE KNHE882
00000463.75000000 STRAUSBURG IB JONES GARAGE WNQF452
00000463.76250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000463.76250000 MANHEIM IB PENNSYLVANIA SERVICES WNWB673
00000463.78750000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000463.81250000 LANCASTER IB E G STOLTZFUS INC WPBI996
00000463.81250000 QUARRYVILLE IB PAUL RISK ASSOCIATES INC KB54229
00000463.86250000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000463.87500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB JAMES E MAHONEY INC WYP459
00000463.88750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000463.90000000 PENN TWP IB WENGER FEED MILL INC KKY674
00000463.95000000 LANCASTER IB GEBHART, J B WPBR547

00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BALMER, R BRYAN WNZY242
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CALDER COMPANY WYW518
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CERTIFIED CARPET SERVICE WRI747
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB SENSENIG, MARK G WNWF269
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ZIMMERMAN LIME & FERTILIZER WNMI712
00000463.97500000 MILLERSVILLE IB BRENEMAN, STEVE WNPN255
00000464.00000000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BURKHART, JOHN H WXR445
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358

464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB J C HALE CONSTRUCTION INC WXX780
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LANCASTER COUNTY SWISS KNCE278
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARIANI, ANTHONY J WNFK416
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, WALTER K WSC319
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MORRIS, GERALD A WPDY237
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENWAY ENTERPRISE INC WXP863
00000464.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB RUDYS LOCKSMITH INC WRF385
00000464.03750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB HENRY, GLENN A KNIP396
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB LANDIS, JOHN KNJS978
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB PETE KINGSLEY BUILDER INC KNIN422
00000464.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.06250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.08750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.08750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN438
00000464.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.13750000 LITITZ IB BOBS MARKET WNVV293
00000464.16250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.16250000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.17500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, WILMER F WNSB977
00000464.18750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.18750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.21250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.21250000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000464.22500000 LANCASTER IB SAVICKYS LANDSCAPE & TURF WNYJ808
00000464.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SWEIGARTS BUS SERVICE KEI264
00000464.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.30000000 EAST EARL TOWNSHIP IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY IB DOMMELS HOTEL INC KAY393
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY IB HESS FARM SUPPLY INC KKC351
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BALMER BROTHERS CONCRETE WNDT920

00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DANIEL LEROY BURKHOLDER INC WNFF821
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DIAMOND TEX INC KKN992
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, JOSEPH R WPAJ329
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB J B ZIMMERMAN & SONS INC KKP457
00000464.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.32500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPDC827
00000464.32500000 HOLTWOOD IB DAVID ESCHBACH JR INC KNBV735
00000464.32500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000464.32500000 STRASBURG IB HISTORIC STRASBURG INN WNHX957
00000464.33750000 DENVER IB PETE SHIRK INC KB90335
00000464.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TYSON CO WNXJ782
00000464.33750000 LANCASTER IB PSC ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS KB64095
00000464.36250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.37500000 CONESTOGA IB GAULT NURSERY CORPORATION WNYB454
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP HIGH WPDY951
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB SHERR, R KEARNEY WNXP556
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR KNHV837
00000464.38750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.38750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000464.40000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB WILLOW VALLEY ASSOCIATES WNJK417
00000464.41250000 MANHEIM IB TIMAL AUTOMOTIVE SERVICES WPBB546
00000464.42500000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER HOST RESORT KNCV920
00000464.42500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763

464.47500000 LANCASTER IB CITY LIMITS REALTOR WNJW842
00000464.47500000 NEW HOLLAND IB EASTERN LANCASTER COUNTY WNMJ879
00000464.47500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WXH613
00000464.52500000 DENVER IB PEPPERIDGE FARMS WNXC206
00000464.52500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000464.52500000 LANCASTER IB HOMESTEAD VILLAGE INC WNPW509
00000464.57500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN COLLEGE KST561
00000464.57500000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNRV598
00000464.57500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000464.57500000 NARVON IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WNAZ203
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY IB CONESTOGA COPIERS INC KNCU452
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY IB J G AGRI SERVICE INC KNDD226
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB E R FELTY INC WNLZ841
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, LEON M WNXA640
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, JON B WNPU298
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WEAVER, SCOTT WRZ903
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ZIEGENFUS MARTIN, JANE L WPAB327
00000464.61250000 LANCASTER IB WARFEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY WNSY644
00000464.65000000 LANCASTER IB K & Y SALES COMPANY INC WNHX568
00000464.65000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D B FISHER SCHOOL STUDENT WXW361
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNUF740
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB LUTZ, WALTER WNWF403
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB WITMERS GREENHOUSES INC KNBP990
00000464.67500000 MOUNT JOY IB NOLT, JACK WNCA508
00000464.67500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SOLANCO SCHOOL DISTRICT WNYH598

00000464.68750000 PHILADELPHIA IB CLOVER KD51722
00000464.71250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB A B C GROFF WSF839
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB BEILER, ALVIN Z KNIK805
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB CLAIR W DENLINGER INC WFB356
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB HOOVER, FRANK KNGY211
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB I K STOLTZFUS SERVICE CORP KNAY634
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB LAPP, JOHN WNCR705
00000464.73750000 EAST EARL IB GOODS STORE INC WPCX728
464.7500R/469.750 203.5 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
00000464.76250000 COLUMBIA IB COLONIAL METALS CO WPFK809
00000464.76250000 READING IB REDNERS MARKETS INC WNXK648
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB BRUNSWICK MOTOR INN WNJL597
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB HERSHEY FARM CO WPDX630
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL WNVT287
00000464.77500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WNWN241
00000464.77500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000464.77500000 NEW HOLLAND IB LOEWEN, STEPHEN W WPAS237
00000464.82500000 KINZERS IB PEQUEA VALLEY SCHOOL WNUS327
00000464.82500000 LANCASTER IB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNVX613
00000464.82500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNRX916
00000464.82500000 NEW HOLLAND IB NEW HOLLAND SUPPLY CO WNQR233
00000464.83750000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.86250000 QUARRYVILLE IB MUSSERS INC WPBG894
00000464.87500000 EPHRATA IB DELONG ELECTRIC INC WRQ317
00000464.87500000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000464.88750000 MOUNT JOY IB ESBENSHADE FARMS WNWY753
00000464.88750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000464.91250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000464.92500000 EPHRATA IB STEWART, JOHN F WSS520
00000464.92500000 LANCASTER IB QVC WAREHOUSE WNQR926
00000464.92500000 WILLOW STREET IB WILLOW VALLEY LAKES MANOR WNGI686
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB A J HERR WELDING KNBS991
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB ANDERSON, ARNOLD D WNDS245
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BEILER, STEVE WNUJ641
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BRUBAKER, CHARLES WXB520

464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB COATES, CLARK R WNSV416
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PAPA JOES INC WPCA966
00000464.96250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER LABORATORIES INC KB66044
00000464.96250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNYT902
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER CATHOLIC HIGH WNGG370
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000464.97500000 MARIETTA IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNWY948
00000464.97500000 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNMV574
00000465.00000000 AKRON IB TAPSCO INC WNVS987
00000465.00000000 LANCASTER IB INTERIORS 2000 WPFE379
00000465.66250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940

00000465.68750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.71250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.73750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.78750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.86250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.01250000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.02500000 EPHRATA IB BLUE RIDGE NEWBERRY CATV KTC588
00000466.03750000 LEOLA IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL KB60624
00000466.03750000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEFEVER BUILDERS INC WNIE554
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PWI INC WGG275
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB R W KREIDER GARAGE WNHI692
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB STAMAN, WILLIAM T KNHA942
00000466.05000000 PENN TWP IB C M HIGH COMPANY KNAI541
00000466.08750000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.11250000 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDZ677
00000466.11250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SPRING GULCH RESORT WNSK341
00000466.12500000 BLUE BALL IB SCHAAD DETECTIVE AGENCY INC KNGZ947
00000466.13750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000466.15000000 BLUE BALL IB GOOD, DONALD R:GOOD, GARY R KNAG680
00000466.15000000 BLUE BALL IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNJH772
00000466.18750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNSL667
00000466.20000000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK WNMG963
00000466.21250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.21250000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB C & C TECHNOLOGY INC KNIM983
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HAHNLEN, DAVID WPAD849
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT WPEA798
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, JOHN R KGF783
00000466.23750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB CAPP, BILL WNLZ669
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB DAVID PFLUMM PAVING & KNJF362
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JACK GARNER & SONS WNHK426
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JONES & ZINK INC WNWV663
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB OBERS WATERCONDITIONING & WNHL742
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB ROBERT GRAHAM TV WNHP460
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB STAR ROCK FARMS WNCK356
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WINTERS DISPOSAL WNBX934
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WOLF JACK TREE SERVICE WPBK376
00000466.26250000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.26250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.28750000 LANCASTER IB KEMPS FOODS INC WPCE632
00000466.28750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB BOMBERGER JR, JOHN H WNGU414
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTINS HATCHERY POULTRY WNLE390
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB RUSSELL, H ROBERT WNHL692

466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SERVICE FEED AND SUPPLY INC WNFV362
00000466.33750000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.33750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB H M STAUFFER & SONS INC KAV692
00000466.41250000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KB71447
00000466.53750000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.53750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BRUBACHER EXCAVATING INC KKQ288
00000466.58750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.62500000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000466.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000466.68750000 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY AND SONS KD28047
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BROWN, LARRY A:BROWN, MARK KNAY387
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HERR, KENNETH H:HERR, KNHL378
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PYLE, THEODORE B WYX765
00000466.71250000 LANCASTER IB QVC NETWORK INC WPBM450
00000466.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE, I GLEN KNDR445
00000466.76250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.76250000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000466.83750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.83750000 READING IB REDNERS MARKETS INC WNXK648
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BARLEY, HENRY WNHM938
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BLEACHER FARMS WNSL359
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB FRANKS GARAGE WNHS710
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS WNHI699
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB KREIDER, CLYDE E WNPO530
00000466.86250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.86250000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.87500000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000466.88750000 EAST EARL IB SHADY MAPLE FARM MARKET INC KD53450
00000466.91250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.93750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000467.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STUMPF JR, JOHN P KNJY349
00000467.00000000 WASHINGTON BOROUGH IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS KNIQ521
00000467.02500000 SALISBURG TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, DANIEL WQC579
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB JOHNS MOBILE HOME SERVICE KQF286
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, TITUS S WNXW225
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB MC TERNAN, DOUGLAS WPAB670
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB PALS SERVICE CENTER WPDS380
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB QUALITY ROOFING SUPPLY KKT703
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB REUBEN M STOLTZFUS INC KQE965
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB RIGGS, JIM WNRZ866
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SUPERIOR HOMES WPCG370
00000467.05000000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNFQ475
00000467.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ULRICH INC KNJX501
00000467.08750000 EL CAJON IB WOMANS WORLD SHOPS INC WNXZ611
00000467.08750000 LANCASTER IB WORSHIP CENTER INC WPCN583
00000467.13750000 EL CAJON IB WOMANS WORLD SHOPS INC WNXZ611
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ALL AMERICAN POOL & SPA INC WNUU313
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DIENER, AMOS K WPBM369

00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DOMBACH, LARRY C WNGU363
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY, BRENT L KNHX495
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HOOVER, ERVIN S KNGP507
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER POOL SERVICE INC WNGB327
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WIEGAND, ROBERT L WNGL477
00000467.18750000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
00000467.20000000 COLUMBIA IX COLONIAL METALS CO WPAW435
00000467.20000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.22500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000467.25000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340

467.31250000 MOUNT JOY IX LANCASTER LEVEL FLO INC WPCR321
00000467.32500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WPAF945
00000467.37500000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.37500000 MOUNTVILLE IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ523
00000467.37500000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ522
00000467.42500000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000467.45000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.50000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.50000000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC KD49521
00000467.77500000 EPHRATA IB ZINNS MARKET INC WPDN935
00000467.78750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.81250000 DARIEN IB BRINKS INCORPORATED WPBC888
00000467.81250000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.83750000 DENVER IB HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES KB75638
00000467.83750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.85000000 EPHRATA IB ZINNS MARKET INC WPDN935
00000467.86250000 DENVER IB HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES KB75638
00000467.86250000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000468.20000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WPBN561
00000468.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX HOME PRODUCTS WPES768
00000468.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB EARL KING INC KNHD705
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB GLICK, DANIEL D KNIQ516
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST EXCAVATING INC KNGV581
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST, LOUIS WNFC838
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB LEOLA TIRE SERVICE INC KNHL413
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, A LESLIE WNVB775
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, MAHLON J WNXA738

468.25000000 LANCASTER IB CHARLES JR, KEN L KNJJ678
00000468.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B&J DISPOSAL WNJG330
00000468.28750000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000468.31250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.31250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000468.32500000 HONEY BROOK IB BLOSENSKI, CHARLEY WPBH749
00000468.35000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000468.36250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.38750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940

00000468.41250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000468.43750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.45000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB FORRYS AG SERVICE INC KNBB949
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB KLEINFELTER, GLEN WNAU304
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB STAUFFER, WILLIS KQW732
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FOX, RAY WPFA716
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB LICHTY BROTHERS INC WXQ691
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SMUCKERS SALES & SERVICE KNHH202
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS BROTHERS INC WXE412
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, REUBEN WNXF963
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB BOMBERGER, DAVID WNMO387
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB D R HACKMAN INC WNKH784
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB EBERLY, WILLIAM:GERHART, WXQ885
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB MILLER, GILLES R WNJM561
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CHEMGRO FERTILIZER CO INC WXM640
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE BUSINESS EQUIPMENT WNSR425
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER, RANDY D:MILLER, KNAF602
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB GREENAWALT, RONALD R KNJR534
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB MARTIN, CLARENCE K WNJK628
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB WEBBER ELECTRIC INC WNKM784
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DISSINGER, BOB KNFS240
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MC GGROUP INC WNST294
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MYER, HAROLD KBA696
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ROOTS NURSERY KNCN727
00000468.50000000 PENN TWP IB GOOD, HARRY KNGC767
00000468.52500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPAF458
00000468.55000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN437
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EBERSOLE, JAY D WNQS569
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GLICK, MELVIN S WNMI713
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNDL852
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNFN338
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LANDIS, CARL L WNWL307
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LONG, H GREGORY WNPR883
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MILL BRIDGE VILLAGE & WNQZ565
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFOOS, WNDH646
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, MELVIN J WNQQ517
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY TREE WPEV849
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB THOMAS TRUCKING INC WNNA753
00000468.60000000 MILLERSVILLE IB SCHROEDER GARDENS INC KNAI780
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GRAHAM, KENNETH L: GRAHAM, KNBF297
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KLINE, MARSHALL KNBC242
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KREIDER, THOMAS G:KREIDER, KNGU824
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LESTER M PRANGE INC WNMR643
00000468.62500000 CORNWALL IB BURKHOLDER, J LUKE WNGC267
00000468.62500000 CORNWALL IB GREEN ACRES NURSERY INC KNJJ939
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ADAMSTOWN EQUIPMENT INC KQH811
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB EBERSOLE EXCAVATING INC WFS544
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000468.65000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401

00000468.72500000 CORNWALL IB GARMAN, CLAIR S WNKV707
00000468.72500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB LONGENECKERS HATCHERY INC WYH783
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795

468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB IRA R STICKLER & SON INC KNCV229
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, HARLAN O WNCY410
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT, LAMAR WNIW946
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNAF698
00000468.72500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB BEAR BUILDING & REMODELING WNUI647
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB HOSTETTER SECURITY WNWE738
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB LAN COMM INC KNJJ938
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB SPINELLO DISPOSAL CO INC WNVI491
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB WEST END AMBULANCE KNHE882
00000468.75000000 STRAUSBURG IB JONES GARAGE WNQF452
00000468.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.78750000 BAINBRIDGE IB OGDEN MARTIN SYSTEMS OF WNWD243
00000468.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.88750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.95000000 LANCASTER IB GEBHART, J B WPBR547
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BALMER, R BRYAN WNZY242
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CALDER COMPANY WYW518
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CERTIFIED CARPET SERVICE WRI747
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB SENSENIG, MARK G WNWF269
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ZIMMERMAN LIME & FERTILIZER WNMI712
00000468.97500000 MILLERSVILLE IB BRENEMAN, STEVE WNPN255
00000469.00000000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BURKHART, JOHN H WXR445
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB J C HALE CONSTRUCTION INC WXX780
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARIANI, ANTHONY J WNFK416
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, WALTER K WSC319
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MORRIS, GERALD A WPDY237
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENWAY ENTERPRISE INC WXP863
00000469.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB RUDYS LOCKSMITH INC WRF385
00000469.02500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000469.03750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.03750000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB HENRY, GLENN A KNIP396
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB LANDIS, JOHN KNJS978
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000469.05000000 STRASBURG IB PETE KINGSLEY BUILDER INC KNIN422
00000469.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.06250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.08750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.08750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969

00000469.08750000 LANCASTER IB MILLSTREAM FACTORY SHOPS WPCE633
00000469.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN438
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000469.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000469.16250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.16250000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000469.17500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, WILMER F WNSB977
00000469.18750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000469.21250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX HOME PRODUCTS WPES768
00000469.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.22500000 LANCASTER IB SAVICKYS LANDSCAPE & TURF WNYJ808
00000469.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728

469.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.30000000 EAST EARL TOWNSHIP IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000469.30000000 LANCASTER IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY IB DOMMELS HOTEL INC KAY393
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY IB HESS FARM SUPPLY INC KKC351
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BALMER BROTHERS CONCRETE WNDT920
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DANIEL LEROY BURKHOLDER INC WNFF821
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DIAMOND TEX INC KKN992
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, JOSEPH R WPAJ329
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB J B ZIMMERMAN & SONS INC KKP457
00000469.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.32500000 HOLTWOOD IB DAVID ESCHBACH JR INC KNBV735
00000469.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TYSON CO WNXJ782
00000469.36250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.37500000 CONESTOGA IB GAULT NURSERY CORPORATION WNYB454
00000469.37500000 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP HIGH WPDY951
00000469.37500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR KNHV837
00000469.38750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000469.40000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB WILLOW VALLEY ASSOCIATES WNJK417
00000469.42500000 MIDDLETOWN IB DAYS INN AIRPORT HARRISBURG WPFS625
00000469.46250000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000469.47500000 LANCASTER IB CITY LIMITS REALTOR WNJW842
00000469.47500000 NEW HOLLAND IB EASTERN LANCASTER COUNTY WNMJ879
00000469.47500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WXH613
00000469.51250000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.52500000 DENVER IB PEPPERIDGE FARMS WNXC206
00000469.52500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000469.53750000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.56250000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.57500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN COLLEGE KST561
00000469.57500000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNRV598

00000469.57500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000469.58750000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY IB CONESTOGA COPIERS INC KNCU452
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY IB J G AGRI SERVICE INC KNDD226
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB E R FELTY INC WNLZ841
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, LEON M WNXA640
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, JON B WNPU298
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WEAVER, SCOTT WRZ903
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ZIEGENFUS MARTIN, JANE L WPAB327
00000469.63750000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000469.65000000 LANCASTER IB K & Y SALES COMPANY INC WNHX568
00000469.65000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D B FISHER SCHOOL STUDENT WXW361
00000469.67500000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNUF740
00000469.67500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SOLANCO SCHOOL DISTRICT WNYH598
00000469.71250000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB A B C GROFF WSF839
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB BEILER, ALVIN Z KNIK805
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB HOOVER, FRANK KNGY211
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB I K STOLTZFUS SERVICE CORP KNAY634
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB LAPP, JOHN WNCR705
00000469.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000469.73750000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.75000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
00000469.76250000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.77500000 LANCASTER IB SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL WNVT287
00000469.77500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WNWN241
00000469.77500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000469.77500000 NEW HOLLAND IB LOEWEN, STEPHEN W WPAS237

469.78750000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANDIS VALLEY FARM MUSEUM KD48374
00000469.82500000 KINZERS IB PEQUEA VALLEY SCHOOL WNUS327
00000469.82500000 LANCASTER IB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNVX613
00000469.82500000 NEW HOLLAND IB NEW HOLLAND SUPPLY CO WNQR233
00000469.83750000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.87500000 EPHRATA IB DELONG ELECTRIC INC WRQ317
00000469.87500000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000469.88750000 MOUNT JOY IB ESBENSHADE FARMS WNWY753
00000469.88750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000469.91250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000469.92500000 EPHRATA IB STEWART, JOHN F WSS520
00000469.92500000 LANCASTER IB QVC WAREHOUSE WNQR926
00000469.92500000 WILLOW STREET IB WILLOW VALLEY LAKES MANOR WNGI686
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB A J HERR WELDING KNBS991
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB ANDERSON, ARNOLD D WNDS245
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BEILER, STEVE WNUJ641
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BRUBAKER, CHARLES WXB520
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB COATES, CLARK R WNSV416
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PAPA JOES INC WPCA966

00000469.96250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000469.97500000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNYT902
00000469.97500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000469.97500000 MIDDLETOWN IB DAYS INN AIRPORT HARRISBURG WPFS625
00000469.97500000 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNMV574
00000501.61250000 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC WII689
00000501.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BURNS, RAGEN V WIJ907
00000501.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000501.83750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY IB STOLTZFUS, BEN S WIL397
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB GOOD, MARTIN H WII536
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB IRVIN Z MARTIN AUTO SALES WII385
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB NEUSTADTER, JOE:ZIMMERMAN, WII937
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TWP IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000504.51250000 WILLOW STREET IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000504.61250000 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC WII689
00000504.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BURNS, RAGEN V WIJ907
00000504.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000504.83750000 LITITZ IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000504.83750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000504.91250000 INTERCOURSE IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000504.91250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY IB STOLTZFUS, BEN S WIL397
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB GOOD, MARTIN H WII536
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB IRVIN Z MARTIN AUTO SALES WII385
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB NEUSTADTER, JOE:ZIMMERMAN, WII937
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000505.96250000 EPHRATA IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000505.96250000 GAP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000505.96250000 GAP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000505.96250000 PARADISE IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271

505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TWP IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000505.96250000 TERRE HILL IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000505.96250000 WITMER IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271
00000806.00000000 AKRON YB EPPINETTE, KENNETH WNVQ690
00000806.00000000 AKRON YB R S MARTIN INC WNZI885

00000806.00000000 ATGLEN YB CLEAN WATER INC WNUE757
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB FISHERS CONSTRUCTION INC WNIB574
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB M F NEWSWANGER INC WNIB571
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB STOLTZFUS, EPHRAIM WPAF536
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YB STOLTZFUS, BEN WNUB467
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YB WEAVER & WITWER INC WNUR720
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000806.00000000 BOWMANSVILLE YB WARRENS EXCAVATING & WNJF858
00000806.00000000 BROWNSTOWN YB T & L EXCAVATING INC WMNP869
00000806.00000000 CHRISTIANA YB GRAHAM, DALE:GRAHAM, WNYA499
00000806.00000000 CONESTOGA YB GRASSEL, THOMAS D WNSJ345
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB AUKER, W JAY:AUKER, LOWELL WNQD239
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB COOLEY, WILLIAM R WNLT929
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB DUTCHMANS TRUCK SERVICES KA89712
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB SENSENIG, FLOYD:WEAVER, RON WNAU722
00000806.00000000 DOWNINGTOWN YB BRANDYWINE PAVING & WNPL410
00000806.00000000 EAST EARL YB MARTIN, EZRA B:OBERHOLTZER, WNBS853
00000806.00000000 EAST EARL YB WEAVER COMPANIES INC WNQZ737
00000806.00000000 EAST PETERSBURG YB SIDERIO CONSTRUCTION WNPW908
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB BISHOP, C LAMAR WNXZ840
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB BOYER, TIM WNXZ966
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB LONGENECKER, WNSE610
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB CONESTOGA ANIMAL HOSPITAL KNJW863
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GARMAN BUILDERS INC WNCU202
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GLENS PLUMBING & HEATING WNIS384
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GROFF, A EUGENE:GROFF, WNBS852
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB MARTIN, BRYAN L WNXV439
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB MARTIN, ELMER Z WNNN262
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB ROSE HILL CONSTRUCTION INC WNMX942
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, ELVIN W WNNR289
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, MARK:HOOVER, WNIV891
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, MELVIN W WNYU805
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB ZOOK, A DANIEL:ZOOK, WNZV499
00000806.00000000 GAP YB DIENNER, CHRIST R:DIENNER, WNQP262
00000806.00000000 GAP YB LANCHESTER AUTO SUPPLY INC WNVY691
00000806.00000000 GAP YB SMOKER JR, ABNER D WNKG971
00000806.00000000 GAP YB SMUCKERS PLUMBING & HEATING WNPS835
00000806.00000000 GAP YP SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF WNXB815
00000806.00000000 GORDONVILLE YB DIENNER POULTRY INC KNJV471
00000806.00000000 GORDONVILLE YB ELAM S FISHER & SONS INC WNID466
00000806.00000000 HONEY BROOK YB STOLTZFUS, NATHAN J WNZI871
00000806.00000000 HONEY BROOK YB STOLTZFUS, VERNON J WNUP619
00000806.00000000 JONESTOWN YB HUTTER INC KJB801
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB AGWAY ENERGY PRODUCTS WNQD238
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB ALLISON DOOR SALES INC WNKL837
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB BUCKWALTER, ENOS WNZU892
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB FILMET COLOR LABORATORIES WNQO985
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB HOMEDCO WNFK738
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB KEENER INSULATING & SUPPLY WNKH202

00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNVS566
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE WNZH329
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB TED CARSONS DISPOSAL WNWG335
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB YOUNGS REFRIGERATION INC WNZG239
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YP LANCASTER, TOWNSHIP OF WNYV403

806.00000000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000806.00000000 LANDISVILLE YB GROFF, DE VON E WPAE398
00000806.00000000 LEBANON YB BRIGHTBILL TRANSPORTATION WPAW423
00000806.00000000 LEBANON YB WEAVER, EARL G WNIT310
00000806.00000000 LEOLA YB GROFFDALE CONCRETE WALLS KNJZ319
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB GARDEN SPOT ELECTRIC INC WNXP978
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB HURST, LEON WNVU236
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB KEYSTONE LAWN COMPANY WNUX750
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB MUSSER, WILMER S:MUSSER, WNJQ921
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB RAYMOND E GROFF INC KB52204
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB BAUMAN, STEPHEN J WPAZ913
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB HALDEMAN, JOHN WNZA942
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB RONALD H ROHRER INC WNWB289
00000806.00000000 MORGANTOWN YB OBERHOLTZER, JERRY WNUF527
00000806.00000000 MORGANTOWN YB SKE CONSTRUCTION INC WNJR783
00000806.00000000 MYERSTOWN YB ZIMMERMAN, AMOS:MARTIN, WNZI948
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB OBERHOLTZER, STEVEN L WNXV902
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB SMUCKER, ELI K WNIM752
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB ZOOK, SAMUEL S WNRH595
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB CARLOS R LEFFLER INC WNZJ205
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB JOHN J NOLT CO WNUS831
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB SHOPF, BRUCE F:TEMPLETON, WNQD582
00000806.00000000 NOTTINGHAM YB PINE VIEW TRUCKING INC WNSJ347
00000806.00000000 PARADISE YB DOUTRICH HOMES INC WPAL723
00000806.00000000 PARADISE YB PARADISE CUSTOM KITCHENS WNJV204
00000806.00000000 QUARRYVILLE YB PEIFFER, SCOTT E WNUB466
00000806.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE GX G & G COMMUNICATIONS INC WNUX585
00000806.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000806.00000000 RONKS YB KING, REUBEN S WNNJ247
00000806.00000000 SALISBURY GX LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPBP273
00000806.00000000 SILVER SPRINGS YB HIGH, ELVIN L WNPN948
00000806.00000000 STEVENS YB HOOVER, WARREN WNZZ656
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB H L WIKER & SONS INC WNME972
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB LANTZ, PAUL L WNIG394
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB STRASBURG MASONRY SUPPLY WNSJ346
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB EPLER, CARL R WPDD414
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB FREECOM INC WPBE516
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF WPDD469
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB J W MELHORN SALES SERVICE & WPDF735
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB SALVATION ARMY WPDC587
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB ZIEGLER, BRYAN A WPDB581
00000807.62150000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB REAL ESTATE ENVIRONMENTAL WPDB589
00000808.13750000 LANCASTER GB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNWE622

00000808.48750000 EPHRATA GB BURKHOLDER, LEON WPDC585
00000808.93750000 LANCASTER GB JEROME H RHOADS INC WNWG278
00000808.93750000 LANCASTER GB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNWE622
00000809.28750000 LITITZ GP LITITZ, BOROUGH OF WNYK379
00000809.78750000 STRASBURG GB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNAY658
00000810.01250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNNR475
00000810.01250000 PENN TWP GB PENN PADDOCK EQUINE CENTER WNPN952
00000814.86250000 STRASBURG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION KNEH662
00000825.03000000 COLUMBIA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 DONERVILLE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 EPHRATA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 LANCASTER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MANHEIM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MORGANTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MT. JOY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 NEWVILLER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 TRUCE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 WEAVERTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766

835.02000000 CORNWALL MOUNTAIN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 EPHRATA CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 LANCASTER CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 MANHEIM CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 PARADISE CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000851.31250000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000851.33750000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000851.51250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000851.51250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000851.58750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000851.71250000 BLUE BALL GB COCALICO LEASING COMPANY WZS381
00000851.81250000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000852.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.26250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000852.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000852.58750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB EPLER, CARL R WPDD414
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB FREECOM INC WPBE516
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF WPDD469
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB J W MELHORN SALES SERVICE & WPDF735
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB REAL ESTATE ENVIRONMENTAL WPDB589
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB SALVATION ARMY WPDC587
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB ZIEGLER, BRYAN A WPDB581
00000853.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.38750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.38750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.66250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000853.66250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.71250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.71250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.93750000 RAWLINSVILLE GX G & G COMMUNICATIONS INC WNUX585
00000854.28750000 LITITZ GP LITITZ, BOROUGH OF WNYK379
00000854.43750000 SALISBURY GX LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPBP273
00000854.51250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.51250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000854.73750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.73750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000854.78750000 STRASBURG GB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNAY658
00000854.81250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.81250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000855.01250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNNR475
00000855.01250000 PENN TWP GB PENN PADDOCK EQUINE CENTER WNPN952
00000855.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000855.06250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000855.83750000 LANCASTER GB ARDIS COMPANY KNDN377
00000856.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000856.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000856.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.53750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000856.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000856.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.91250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000857.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000857.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713

857.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000857.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000857.91250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.91250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000858.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000858.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000858.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000858.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000859.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000859.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000859.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000859.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000859.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.86250000 STRASBURG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION KNEH662
00000860.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000860.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000860.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000860.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000860.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000861.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000861.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000861.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000861.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000862.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000862.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000862.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000862.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000862.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000862.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000862.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000862.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000862.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000863.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000863.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000863.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000863.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000863.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000863.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000864.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000864.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583

864.43750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000864.48750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000864.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000864.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000864.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000865.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000865.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000865.43750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000865.48750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000865.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000865.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000865.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.78750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000866.43750000 PARKSBURG GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR438
00000867.62500000 PARKSBURG GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR438
00000870.03000000 COLUMBIA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 DONERVILLE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 EPHRATA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 LANCASTER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MANHEIM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MORGANTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MT. JOY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 NEWVILLER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 TRUCE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 WEAVERTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000880.02000000 CORNWALL MOUNTAIN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 EPHRATA CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 LANCASTER CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 MANHEIM CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 PARADISE CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000900.00000000 LANCASTER BA WGAL TELEVISION INC KGI53
00000908.00000000 COLUMBIA RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000908.00000000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000908.00000000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000908.00000000 MANHEIM RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000908.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000915.00000000 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNNV611
00000915.00000000 LANCASTER IX THOMSON CONSUMER WNQA294
00000925.01500000 COLUMBIA RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000925.01500000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000925.01500000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000925.01500000 MANHEIM RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000925.01500000 PENN TOWNSHIP RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000928.44375000 COLUMBIA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM521
00000928.49375000 MOUNT JOY IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM431
00000928.63125000 EPHRATA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM406
00000929.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI634
00000929.01250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDS567
00000929.26250000 COLUMBIA GS ROM, CHRISTOPHER WPFQ514

00000929.26250000 DONERVILLE GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 EPHRATA GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 LANCASTER GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 LANCASTER GS ROM, CHRISTOPHER WPFQ514
00000929.26250000 NEW PROVIDENCE GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.28750000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH465
00000929.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI633

929.56250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDA984
00000929.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI633
00000929.61250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDB922
00000929.66250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART II INC WPBG791
00000929.66250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART INC WNYF492
00000929.71250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART II INC WPBG792
00000929.71250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART INC WNYF490
00000929.96250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH500
00000929.98750000 EPHRATA GS MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS WPFM696
00000929.98750000 LANCASTER GS MOBILEMEDIA PAGING INC WPBY699
00000929.98750000 NEW PROVIDENCE GS MOBILEMEDIA PAGING INC WPFM616
00000929.98750000 PENN TOWNSHIP GS METROMEDIA PAGING INC WPEE609
00000929.98750000 PENN TOWNSHIP GS MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS WPFM696
00000931.36250000 COMPASS CD FIRSTPAGE USA OF VIRGINIA, KNKM928
00000931.38750000 STRASBURG CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.41250000 LANCASTER CD METROCALL, INC. KNKF246
00000931.43750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKK978
00000931.43750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKL571
00000931.68750000 ELIZABETHTOWN CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.68750000 STRASBURG CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.81250000 LANCASTER CD MOBILECOMM OF THE KNKK744
00000931.83750000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.83750000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.83750000 PENN TOWNSHIP CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 EPHRATA CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 NEW PROVIDENCE CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 PENN TOWNSHIP CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.93750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKL783
00000931.93750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKS221
00000931.98750000 LANCASTER CD MOBILECOMM OF THE KNKJ470
00000935.01250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.01250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.02500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.03750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.03750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.05000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.05000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.06250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.06250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207

00000935.07500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.07500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.08750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.08750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.10000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.10000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.11250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.11250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.12500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.12500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.88750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.88750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000935.93750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.93750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000935.98750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.98750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000936.88750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.88750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000936.93750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.93750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495

936.98750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.98750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000938.51250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.52500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.52500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.53750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.53750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.55000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.55000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.56250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.56250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.57500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.58750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.58750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.60000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.61250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.62500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.62500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000941.92500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNTV474
00000945.00000000 LANCASTER BA PEOPLES BROADCASTING WLL680
00000946.37500000 LANCASTER BA SPANISH AMERICAN CIVIC WLF737
00000946.62500000 LANCASTER BA SPANISH AMERICAN CIVIC WLF737
00000947.87500000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA INC WLG913
00000948.12500000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA INC WLG913
00000949.00000000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KGN59

00000950.50000000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC WLO251
00000951.00000000 LANCASTER BA REX COUNTY RADIO INC WQZ50
00000952.44375000 COLUMBIA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM521
00000952.49375000 MOUNT JOY IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM431
00000952.63125000 EPHRATA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM406
00000954.60000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WGY763
Send addition or changes
To N3PTU@WB3FYL.EPA.PA.USA.NA
OR
martyrip@prolog.net

2110. Re: Trunked Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Watson <rmwatson01@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <371C4542.713AC608@earthlink.net>
Source record: 121531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

In order to find out who is assigned what id's etc, you will need to contact the local
shop that has programmed them into the radio(s). They are the ones that basically assign what subscribers the id codes to begin with. This may or may not be a problem, based upon several things. First of all, the lists are probably going to be confidential and it is unlikely you will be able to acquire them through the local shop, and secondly, if the radio was programmed out of the area, say in another location, (another city for instance), there is a possibility that the local shop's list isnt truly reflected in the radio's programming.

Of course, you might be able to "talk" one of the techs in the shop into making a copy for you, but from my experience from working in a local shop as a tech, it probably won't happen anytime too soon, as security is one of the finer issues we dealt with when passing on this type of information, it just shouldnt be done for reasons quite obvious.

Robert
rmwatson@eskimo.com
rmwatson01@earthlink.net

John Donnelly wrote:

> Sounds like another hacker.
>
> Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> > Sockless (Sockless@home.com.nospamplease) wrote:
> > : This is a cryptographically signed message in MIME format.
> > :
> > : --------------msBC0A9C4C1DC5286D2801A4DC
> > : Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > : Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > :
> > : I am new to trunk radio. Can anyone tell me if it's possible to get a
> > : list of who has what ID ? I have programmed in all the freq. and the
> > : talk group ID's show up, but I have no idea who they are. I don't know
> > : if there is a master list or if it's even possible to make one from what
> > : I have read. I am in Ontario Canada if that's any help.
> >
> > It is probably not possible - as each user sets up the IDs as needed or
> > desired for their purposes.
> >
> > You have the frequencies that are used - you should be able to find who

> > the licensee is from those. You then just have to listen a lot, to find
> > out the purpose of each group.
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2111. Re: Lancaster Co. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <1OXS2.40$Gi3.220@news.flash.net>
Source record: 121532

In article <371b9c72@news.desupernet.net>, billrk@supernet.com says...
>
>I am looking for the 10-10 codes for Lancaster County Penn. Also if someone
>has the station assignments it would be great
>
>73
>
>Bill
>
>

Hi Bill:
Check out my scanner website at http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios as I have lancaster freqs (I live in lancaster).

If you scroll to the bottom of the left side you'll find a link called "My PRO2035 Programs" and you can see how I have my scanner prgrammed for lancaster county.

Thanks,
George

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

2112. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alun <AJB@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <j8wCmCAqXEH3Ew67@alunbell.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <924475181.320432@axilla.wwnet.net>, DiGitaal_DiSrupTer
<superwarbird@juno.com> writes
>Hmmm, similar to PGP, but not PGP.
>
>What are spooks? Do they work for the government, or are we talking about a
>bunch of nerdy 12 yr olds who've
>grown bored playing Dungeons and Dragons.
>

Hey! Not all us nerds are 12 years old...

--
Alun
30 years old and STILL playing D&D :) (Sad, isn't it?)

2113. Re: What about Aliens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420055955.16294.00002225@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121534

Santa and Superman are also listening

2114. PRO 2042- trade for sw rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420060338.16294.00002226@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121535

I have a PRO 2042 in exelent cond. will trade for a good communications rcvr.
Email me wih details.
Gil

2115. FS: Scanners/Misc Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420073404.14477.00003159@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121536

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. All working last time tested unless noted. Will consider trades for Electronic or Pentium computer EQ. Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $250

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Swan Phone patch: with hook up cords. This unit looks real good, but is also untested. As is $35.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

2116. Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <371d88ef@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 121287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking to buy an excellent outdoor antenna that covers the range from 1mhz to the 1200mhz. I will be installing this antenna on the roof of my house. I already have the super stick II and the original. I know that this is a broad range but there must be something out there. Let me know of any ideas that you think might work to improve antenna performance. Thanks...

2117. Re: 4-level FSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry <HGK@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <371E50B9.1DE0C961@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 121288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Ian, Are you going to try and put your site back up on another server?

2118. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7flj63css1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> I think it's very boring really.
>
> D u n c a n
> --
>
then dont reply Ducan

eavsdropper

2119. Re: "Dim" display - Pro 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <371E51E6.EEFD985@gte.net>
Source record: 121290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hey i have no backlight at all is there a way to fix this ??? please
email me back with info if there is a way

John Burch wrote:

> Do the displays deteriorate with time? This one can be read
> in a bright room at the correct angle. It is almost unreadable
> in a darkened room. Just the slightest "bluish" background
> lighting is visible in a darkened room.
>
> Are these displays replaceable by a user? Are there any
> "known" problems with the displays in these scanners?
>
> Thanks for your time
>
> John WB6GHA
> "Brand new used 2021 owner"
> occupant@syix.com
> ...

2120. Los Angeles County Sheriff's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <371E5290.FB2BFB7D@gte.net>
Source record: 121291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does any one have the Los Angeles County Sheriff's swat freq on them
also does any one have a mod's for a pro-32

2121. Re: Los Angeles County Sheriff's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <371e544b$0$2488@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 121292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

483.5375. Pretty active right now, as there's a standoff situation on the
60.
Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message
news:371E5290.FB2BFB7D@gte.net...
> Does any one have the Los Angeles County Sheriff's swat freq on them
> also does any one have a mod's for a pro-32
>

2122. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <371E558C.CF4CD370@dnai.com>
Source record: 121293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For what it's worth I have had similar problems affecting the data on my computer until I installed a line noise filter on my line. I eventually ended up making a filter with two off the shelf products. First they are kind of hard to find anymore but AT&T used to make a filter called a Z100B that filters from 50-100MHz and that worked okay but I still got some trouble from cb's so I took it apart and on the circuit board there was a place for another filter that was unused so I got a Radio Shack filter #43-150 and wired it in where the space was on the board and it now works pretty well. Theoretically filtering from 5MHz to 100MHz. My data is a bit slower but much more reliable and I no longer have to listen to various RF transmissions on my phone.

Also if you want to make your own you can order a really neat filter catalog form Coilcraft on cdrom which will help you get the right type of filters.

I dont know if this will help with such a close proximity to such heinous amplitude but it's worth a shot as my filter only cost me about 40 bucks to make . . .

"S. Jones" wrote:

> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:
>
> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
> >disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
> >don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
> >roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
> >end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
> >the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
> >
> >http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
> >
> > This is no joke.
> > This is not spam.
> > This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>
> I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
> received!
>
> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio

> signals.

2123. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371E634C.91335C13@usa.net>
Source record: 121294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry, buy my multiple FCC licenses prove you wrong!!!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Did you get all of them at the same time you took your grammar test?
>
> You are an illiterate retard who couldn't READ an FCC test if it was on audio
> tape!!
>
> On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:41:44 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> >licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2124. South Toms River Frequencies + Trunks (if any) (New Jersey)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: forbid0r <forbid0r@nospamman.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qrtT2.1072$0%.14277@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 121295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know the frequencies for these two municipalities ? Looking for South Toms River Police, Fire and Amulance (EMS) Services... the town is population 9,700. Location is Ocean County, NJ

TIA

2125. LAPD Robot on 60 Freeway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7flnh7$3o8$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121296

I have the frequency to R2D2 on the 60 Freeway...anyone want them? We can program to end this with a AR-15 remotely....

http://www.channel2000.com/news/stories/news-990421-170842.html

Karl
http://www.vistagroupusa.com/burbank.htm

2126. FS: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Becker <beckerm@primary.net>
Message-ID: <371E8309.C55@primary.net>
Source record: 121297

For Sale:

Radio Shack Pro-43
Cell and Audio Modification Perfomed
Excellent Working Condition
Minor Wear on Case Edges
AC Adapter/Charger
Stock Radio Shack Antenna
Manual

$230, includes USPS Priority Shipping
Payment: Money Order or Certified Check

Reason for Selling: Wanting to upgrade to
more channels & trunk tracking.

Please E-mail if interest...

Marty Becker
St. Louis, MO

2127. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371E66C7.D1588B0A@usa.net>
Source record: 121298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Jim Wayda wrote:

> Bill Cheek is THE GURU of the scanner world. He has forgotten more about
> scanners then the combined wisdom of all the self proclaimed "know it alls"
> in

By who's standard? Exactly what do you consider to be "wisdom"?

> He is also always feuding with a guy by the name of Mackie. Seems that
> Mackie has some kind of fetish for Cheek and is envious of Cheeks knowledge.

Who is the person you are referring to named "Mackie"? And WHY would
thisperson you call "Mackie" (or ANYONE else for that matter) have any envy of
Cheek?

He has been kicked of of the "Scan-L" mailing list and his former ISP
of "San Diego RoadRunner" for causing trouble in these forums. He was asked
to
leave Fidonet for causing trouble there. He holds no FCC technical licenses
to do the
work he claims to do. He can not demonstrate any engineering degrees or
licenses that
he claims to have. He has been one of the biggest trouble makers here on this
NG and
has had numerous run ins with the law. How could anyone envy such a person?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2128. FS Pro-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dhovey@worldchat.com>
Message-ID: <ZAtT2.95$DP.1361@198.235.216.4>
Source record: 121299

For Sale RS PRO-2005 Scanner

25MHZ to 1300MHZ
400 channel
Good condition
AM,NFM,WFM
$225.00US includes shipping by post.

Contact dhovey@worldchat.com

2129. MDT in Portland OR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pnw100 <pnw100@spiritone.com>
Message-ID: <7fln48$1qqn@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121300

Hello all,
Anyone have some MDT freqs in Portland other than the Ardis Network (I think that's what it is, veeery boring anyhow) on 855.8375? Other than that I haven't had much luck finding anything else that MDT Monitor will decode. And what's up with the fire/police, is their MDT system monitorable? I also recently saw a city employee who had a terminal in the van he was driving, but I suppose that it's part of the trunked system too. Any help would be much appreciated.

2130. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <371E6D3E.7B8@imt.net>
Source record: 121301
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Asmodean wrote:
>
> Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if you
> want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller markup.
> I'll have to ask him.
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
> HairMan2000 wrote in message <371E993C.230A1168@pacifier.com>...
> >Where can you get one for $200. Please post answer
> >on the NewsGroup.
> >thanks
> >
> >
NO WAY,they cost more than that wholesale even if you bought 500 ata
time.Tracy

2131. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <zpuT2.3584$yJ2.577@news2.atl>
Source record: 121302
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Really? Well, in that case, I might have to question the legality of his practice. He may be A) Stealing them, B) Selling used equipment as new, or C) Knowingly or unknowingly buying stolen radios. I guess I'll have to try Copper Electronics if I want a Ranger.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

AB7RS wrote in message <371E6D3E.7B8@imt.net>...
>Asmodean wrote:
>>
>> Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if you
>> want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller markup.
>> I'll have to ask him.
>>
>> --
>>
>> Asmo
>> KF4YTR
>> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>>
>> HairMan2000 wrote in message <371E993C.230A1168@pacifier.com>...
>> >Where can you get one for $200. Please post answer
>> >on the NewsGroup.
>> >thanks
>> >
>> >
>NO WAY,they cost more than that wholesale even if you bought 500 ata
>time.Tracy

2132. The Eavsdropper Site.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7flsd1$gq4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121303

Ian,

I want to say first that what you had done with that site was great, and it is truly appreciated by all of us here in the Corporate States ;-) where people are more concerned with civil liability than inalienable rights despite what our constitution says.

But, I think enough is enough as far as the Freeserve issue goes. I can understand your anger at being shut down. Do you think I enjoyed walking away from the RD/LAP project I had started? Certainly not! It was one of the hardest things I've ever had to do. But I had to do it, and I did. I could've come on the NG's yelling Motorola this and Motorola that at the top of my lungs, but it wouldn't have done anything except make me even madder about the whole damn thing. Life's too short to spend it all pissed off at the world.

The point has been made regarding freeserve, and karma has a way of coming around to people, good AND bad. I don't doubt that this will come back to haunt them someday, and the beauty of it is they will have done it to themselves. Even if nothing happens because of it, they've already lost a large number of the hit counts that they rely upon to keep advertiser support, just by taking down your site. It's real easy to come up with conspiracy theories when scanner sites are involved, but chances are in this all too politically correct world we live in they just didn't want to chance any legal liability should some of that software be used illegally here in the states. Our government like to pin the irresponsibility of others on even the least of any involved parties, case in point is the arrest of Bill Cheek. And as many in the world are aware the USSS and CIA are notorious for skirting the rules outlined in worldwide extradition treaties and UN agreements (That's why UNSCOM over in Iraq was such a dismal failure)

My suggestion would be to build your new site on a different server, and then make a simple, quiet announcement that it is back. And a little "downloader beware" statement of non-liability and legality of use outside of the UK would be a wise addition. I suggest something like this:

"WARNING: The software on this site may be considered illegal in countries outside the United Kingdom, and is therefore provided for EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY at your own risk. The author of this site, the owners of this server or any advertisers supporting this site claim NO responsibility or liability for any criminal activities or civil damages resulting from the use or download of this software. The user(s) of any software downloaded from this site bear complete and sole responsibility for its use. Downloading any software or viewing any further pages from this site denotes understanding and acceptance of these terms."

If your ISP will allow it, make it a secure site that requires a login and change the password daily so ANYONE who visits the page must read the warning to get the daily password:

"To access this site use the username 'Scanner'. The password today is the 15th word in the above warning, so if you haven't read it yet DO IT NOW!"

I think this will cover your butt pretty well should someone like Keith Knipschild do something dumb with the programs provided and should also set your ISP a little more at ease.

Just some suggestions from a fan of the site!
-Phil

2133. BC895XLT Software - Which one ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Huber <j.scott.huber@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7flsg2$1ur$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121304

I am getting ready to purchase the software for computer control of my 895.
The question is, which one ? So far I have seen Scanner Master and ScanCat
Gold. ScanCat, for my taste, has too much "cutesy" bells and whistles. The
Scanner Master looks cut and dry. Any hands on opinions would help here.
Any suggestions of other software would be helpful also.

Thanks in advance.

Scott
j.scott.huber@worldnet.att.net

2134. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KP <kevski@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5QuT2.2614$Dz5.10240451@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121305
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Asmo,

Not questioning your knowledge of radios, but could it be possible you are thinking of the lower power RCI 2950 and not the 100 watt 2970? I have seen 2950s get blown out new for around 200, but a new 2970 at that price would be quite a deal (if you want one of course :-).

Just a thought...

73,
Kevin

Asmodean <asmo@third.age> wrote in message
news:zpuT2.3584$yJ2.577@news2.atl...
> Really? Well, in that case, I might have to question the legality of his
> practice. He may be A) Stealing them, B) Selling used equipment as new,
> or C) Knowingly or unknowingly buying stolen radios. I guess I'll have
to
> try Copper Electronics if I want a Ranger.
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
>
> AB7RS wrote in message <371E6D3E.7B8@imt.net>...
> >Asmodean wrote:
> >>
> >> Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if
you
> >> want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller
markup.
> >> I'll have to ask him.
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >> Asmo
> >> KF4YTR
> >> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
> >>
> >> HairMan2000 wrote in message <371E993C.230A1168@pacifier.com>...
> >> >Where can you get one for $200. Please post answer

> >> >on the NewsGroup.
> >> >thanks
> >> >
> >> >
> >NO WAY,they cost more than that wholesale even if you bought 500 ata
> >time.Tracy
>
>

2135. FS: AOR 1000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tiny2nz <2nzster@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <371E7B28.F9DF0377@netscape.net>
Source record: 121306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,
I have an almost mint AOR 1000xlt HandHeld scanner for sale.
Covers 500KHz to 1300Mhz no gaps!!
Unit is a 9.5 on a 10 scale! I can email pix if you wish
I have the Original Manual,antenna, leather
case,beltclip,Carcord,Original Charger,
a JIM PSU-101 dropin Type Charger stand with papers, NEW nicads,and an
original copy of the Bornstein book "Guide to the AR1000" ,a converted
/\/\otorola lapel spkr (comes in real handy)
I am asking $325 I SHIP
This is one cherry radio!!!!
If interested contact
tiny2nz@jorsm.com
Thanks Tiny

2136. Re: Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11882de9e0ffcc8a98972f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have posted this so many times I won't bore those who have read my rant about the Channel Master "Monitenna". I simply rate it the best as I am one of those that has bought and tried just about everything out there from the the Diamonds to the discones. It does an excellent job from shortwave through about 1300mhz. I don't give a damn what the advertised range it states (or others have so boldly reminded me of) as it has "real world" performance. Not bad for only $50.00. You can get one from Scanners Unlimited (650)573-1624

2137. BCT245XLT FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-2104992158140001@ppp-26.mia-tc-1.netrox.net>
Source record: 121308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just received the following info from Uniden Customer service:
From: Cservice <Cservice@uniden.com>

Subject: bct245xlt

> Can you tell me if the specs for this unit/online manual will soon be
> available? I am particularly interested to know if the receiver is
> triple conversion. Also, is the projected availability date still in
> the summer of 99?
> Thanks
>

REPLY
From: Cservice@uniden.com
Re: bct245xlt

That unit is not scheduled for release for at least another
month [May 99]. If you would like some literature on that unit. Please send us
your mailing address.

Thank you
Uniden Customer Assistance

2138. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rick@rickster.org>
Message-ID: <371E8BC8.3CF9760@rickster.org>
Source record: 121309

I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private communication. Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the encoding scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion' would not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is readily available to them.

Rick

ClayFyre61 wrote:

> The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio
> communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the
> communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to be used
> as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you hear to
> the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.

2139. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EJwT2.3111$6B.101759@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 121310

They can, and do, expect to be overheard. Neverthless, if you publish what
you heard, you can expect at least a chance of getting a MUCH closer look at
police proceedures than you'll like. It IS illegal.

Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote in message
news:371E8BC8.3CF9760@rickster.org...
> I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
> confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within
the
> meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private
communication.
> Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the
encoding
> scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion'
would
> not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the
> transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is
readily
> available to them.
>
> Rick
>
>
> ClayFyre61 wrote:
>
> > The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio
> > communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the
> > communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to
be used
> > as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you
hear to
> > the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.
>
>
>
>

2140. WTB: Radio Shack Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fm5qvgje1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121311

Please state price, condition, and any accessories. Thanks.

2141. Chicago MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <bckup@edge.com>
Message-ID: <371EA2BE.BDF3104B@edge.com>
Source record: 121312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone happen to know the frequencies of the Chicago Police MDT?

2142. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <vUxT2.400$Xj7.32683@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121313

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371E677A.55B6BEB4@usa.net...
> you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple
such
> as myself!

Prove it John. What multiple licenses other than that stupid ham license anyone can get by memorizing the answers to the questions do you have.

The only thing you have proven is you can burn up other peoples power supplies and you are known in Oregon for smoking repeaters because you forgot which was positive on a power supply, red or black,

Yea John, you are a technical whiz....well smoker anyway.

Prove those licenses or forever be known as a liar. Oh wait, you are know for that.

2143. Re: Secure 900+ MHz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: scottg@garlic.com
Message-ID: <scottg-2104992158490001@w.sm8.dialup.garlic.net>
Source record: 121314

In article <7fjhgs$g4k@news.imt.net>, "Scott Remington"
<saremington@imt.net> wrote:
>What's the most secure 900 MHz through 2.4 GHz telephone a normal person can
>buy?

Try a Lucent 9510. It's a 900MHz digital spread spectrum. And is works up
to 4000 feet from the base.

Later,
scott

--
Scott Gustafson (scottg@garlic.com) http://www.garlic.com/~scottg

"Programming today is a race between software engineers striving to
build bigger and better idiot-proof programs, and the Universe trying
to produce bigger and better idiots. So far, the Universe is winning."
-- Rich Cook

PGP key available upon request.
Key fingerprint = D0 83 1E AF AC 5E E3 C9 D2 96 08 A0 F1 90 BF 86

2144. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371EB85D.C267A672@got.net>
Source record: 121315

Skip Sanders wrote:

> Perhaps YOU could 'note the key wording' that I posted 'just for reference'.

I'll pay a bit more attention next time to the text.

>
>
> Perhaps you might not be aware that yes, news media HAVE been arrested or
> ejected from news sites for impeding officers/firefighters, at least here in
> San Diego. They get cut some slack, FAR more than the assholes deserve, but
> they are subject to both ejection, and, if they make a real ass of
> themselves repeatedly, loss of press credentials with the local cops.

Skip...first off I was at PSA 182 I saw the KFMB situation....I have also been
ejected from stories just for being an asshole. All in San Diego...
Miss your trips to the desk. take care...

talk to "the real bud" and ask him how many times Bill Robinson tried to get my
SDPD card yanked. Ask him also how many times Alex Groza Arrested or Detained me
at stories.
That was in 1980.

>
> ---------------------
> Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message
> news:7fkhvl$e7o$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Well no kidding!!
> >
> > Note the key wording here:
> >
> > "and thereby impedes"
> >
> > In fact, the way this law was written, someone who had covering an
> > 'event' like a fire as "part of the duties of that person's employment"
> > could actually impede police or fire or other emergency response
> > personell and get away with it.
> >
> > A STUPID POORLY WRITTEN LAW!!!!
> >
> > But read what you post. As long as an individual stays out of the way,
> > there is NOTHING here that prevents him from showing up at an
> > emergency just to observe.
> >
> > In fact, if he were to take photos, and sell just ONE of those photos to
> > ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even

> > (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law
> > is written.
> >
> > Mike Yetsko
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
> > >Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
> > >
> > >402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> > >stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> > >scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> > >medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
> > >with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> > >is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> > >scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
> > >emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
> > >is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
> > >or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> > >emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> > >personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> > >emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
> >
> >
> >
> >

2145. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371EBC1F.679A749B@got.net>
Source record: 121316

Mike Yetsko wrote:

> Skip,
>
> I pulled the quote right out of your post...
>
> And for those watching this thread, I've pointed it out.
>
> But yes, news media HAVE been arrested, and they HAVE been
> charged, but only for specifically interfeering with an officer or other
> emergency responder. I've never heard of an accredited newsie
> being charged just for being there.

Bakersfield still photog arrested and charged for interference while
covering a canal drowning. . The court threw it out.

>
>
> Personally I think a LOT of newsies have WAY too much leeway. I've
> been at fires, and I make SURE I stay out of the way.

Some newsies are just plain stupid...face it! But there are some real pros
out there...
How you present yourself at a disaster sets the tone. Nomex is a must in
CA...and kevlar.

>
>
> Slightly off the topic, you never know when you might be 'needed'.
> In my town, there was no one available for a fire, the few fireman
> actually
> there asked a bunch of kids to 'stone out' windows to help out. Kids

Cool shot too.

>
> loved it!
>
> >
> > They get cut some slack, FAR more than the assholes
> deserve, but
> >they are subject to both ejection, and, if they make a real ass of
> >themselves repeatedly, loss of press credentials with the local cops.

Remember the guy carring the 9mm while decoding the new 800 system.?

Send me a freq book!

>
> >----------------------
> >Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message
> >news:7fkhvl$e7o$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >> Well no kidding!!
> >>
> >> Note the key wording here:
> >>
> >> "and thereby impedes"
> >>
> >> In fact, the way this law was written, someone who had covering an
> >> 'event' like a fire as "part of the duties of that person's
> employment"
> >> could actually impede police or fire or other emergency response
> >> personell and get away with it.
> >>
> >> A STUPID POORLY WRITTEN LAW!!!!
> >>
> >> But read what you post. As long as an individual stays out of the
> way,
> >> there is NOTHING here that prevents him from showing up at an
> >> emergency just to observe.
> >>
> >> In fact, if he were to take photos, and sell just ONE of those photos
> to
> >> ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even
> >> (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law
> >> is written.
> >>
> >> Mike Yetsko
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
> >> >Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
> >> >
> >> >402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> >> >stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> >> >scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> >> >medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
> >> >with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> >> >is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> >> >scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
>
> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\
> >> >emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
>
> >> >is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
>
> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

>
> >> >or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> >> >emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> >> >personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> >> >emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
> >>

2146. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <371EBF7A.341DD12B@got.net>
Source record: 121317

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:

> On Fri, 2 Apr 1999 09:25:12 -0500, me@thisnewsgroup.com wrote:
>
> >Sir,
> >
> >Do you realize that you just admitted to committing a Federal crime?
> >Intercepting cellular calls (analog or digital) is illegal.
> >
> Yeah so?

I killed Jimmy Hoffa...come find me.

2147. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7fmeof$i7c$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121318

actually, this is technically incorrect....a digital encoded Motorola SABER
radio can be hacked....and has.

Karl

Rick wrote in message <371E8BC8.3CF9760@rickster.org>...
>I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
>confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within
the
>meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private
communication.
>Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the
encoding
>scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion'
would
>not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the
>transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is
readily
>available to them.
>
>Rick
>
>
>ClayFyre61 wrote:
>
>> The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio
>> communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the
>> communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to
be used
>> as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you
hear to
>> the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.
>
>
>
>

2148. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <3720cf5b.918362@news.tp.net>
Source record: 121337

On a side note here, I was watching my local tv station here in Boston while this incident was going on and they patched into CNN and the CNN corespondent was about to talk to a woman who they had been told had new information, she started off the conversation "I heard the first calls come in on my police scanner and..." that was as far as they got. An engineer with a quick finger at CNN switched her off.

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 21:17:13 -0400, Gil Stamper
<gstamper@us.hsanet.net> wrote:

>The law (Communications Act 1934 as ammended) says that it is illeagle
>to divulge anything you hear of a radio transmission to a third party.
>If you listen via Real Audio to the scanner, you are hearing the
>information directly (even though the scanner is miles away). But if
>you post the information on a newsgroup, tell your best buddy, your
>congressperson, or the media, etc what you heard then you're divulging
>to a third party.
>
>Gil
>
>bedlam wrote:
>> hm, ok, well then i guess i won't post it here. i'm a little
>> confused though, because there are realaudio scanners
>> that i can listen to on the web, live...
>>
>> rdh
>> --
>> bedlam
>> The Center for the Study
>> of the Stillness of Disgrace
>> Green Mountain, Colorado
>> http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2149. Re: WARNING: DIXONS and to prove my point read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fkk6t$kn5$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

This took all of 5 minutes of my time to collate and backs-up my accusations of Dixons fradulent trading, and their hypocrisy over censoring my account for mailing a post which just had a link to a website in it, according to dixons freeserve and planet online my link was illegal because it was a link to a site that contained "illegal" files according to them, this is not true, the files themselves alone are not illegal they are simple code, they are only illegal when used in conjunction with other programmes and at no time did I advertise/condone or promote doing this in any way whatsoever.

I put this to everybody, that using the same analergy as they have EVERY single link to every Dixons PLC. related web site/post/advert is illegal becaus Dixons plc. have been succesfully prosecuted MANY times for illegal trading and being in default of trading laws by offering goods as brand new when they are in fact second hand !.

Here is 5 minutes worth of consultation ...

Sunday telegraph 5 may 1996

Over a two year period Dixons were succesfully prosecuted on 13 counts of selling goods contrary to the trade discriptions act by selling goos as new when they were second hand, they were also cautioned on 5 other counts

also 24 june 1996 Dixons were prosecuted by Kettering council over the same matter as above

19-20th Feb 1997, Dixons plc were prosecuted and fined in Cumbria on 2 counts of the above matter

This is just 5 minutes wirth of research, I have many more 5 minutes avliable, I know of a few cases myself and I will be contacting those people to urge them to report this to the Trading standards authority shortly, I also urge all of you out there who have reason to belive that this has happened to you to investigate this and report it to the above authority.

If you buy anything from Dixons or one of their sister companies always check the packaging, and especially check for signs of use like scratches and wear on plastic and glass panels, wear on terminal connectors or any type of connecter in and out of the appliance, dirt marks that appear from usage, and especially computers , try booting your new computer in dos mode and then typing the "lock" command and then executing the undelete command, this may help you see if there has been any previous persons files deleted from the system, but be careful with the lock cammond as this can possibly harm files on your drive if you are careless with what you are doing. Another good thing to look for with the computers is if it comes pre-installed with windows check for the following files and then

cross-reference them with software and usage on your new system that you have made

Intenet explorer and outlook express:
go to your file explorer and look in the windows directory and check the "history" and favorites" folders and see if there have been any there already that you have not put there, alternately you can do this from within internet explorer by clicking the relevant buttons at the top, also check in the outlook directories for log files that hold details of any posting/reception of group or personal mail, a lot of these files can be opened by wordpad if you are not sure. Also be sure to check the windows\temp internet files folder and see if that has anything in it, if the machine is !"brand new" it should not.

Windows:

check the font folder and cross reference the fonts avaliable with fonts that come standard with windows and with the fonts placed by software on your system, if there are any other fonts their investigate what software has previously put them there.check your windows\system directory and examine all the sytem files linke the *dll files and cross-reference theses with software already on the computer, a lot will be common dll's but if you see any that do not seem to belong with the software that is on your system then research it and QUESTION it.

also check all windows logs if the windows operating system has been re-installed or written over it may leave log files of this in the windows or windows system directorys, they may be hidden.
When checking your drive make sure that you turn off the option of hiding system or hidden files by going to your file explorer and selecting it from the menu under "view", "folder options" then click the "view tab" which is at the top of that window, then select show all files from the list.. please note do not delete any files that you are not sure about as this may rebder your system inoperable if you do.

There are many other ways but this will do for now as the post is starting to get long......

When freeseve/dixons and p.o.l publicly apologies for Censoring my account and re-instate it I will back off and leave the people to decide and do what they wish.

Until then I will carry on posting all reports I find about Dixons or ANY comapany attatched to it publicly as the people have a right to know !.

The so called "rules" you seem to like bashing about which you wrongly quote as law swing both ways, Dixons have commited illegal acts so you should pull the Dixons website and cancel the accounts of anybody who promotes or advertises or put a link to them or any company attatched or dealing with them.

Thats Democracy !!, i bet your shareholders are gonna love this !

Freeserve you have my phone number, phone me if you wish and put your facts before me personally and I will argue this with you one on one if you like, but results will be published and you are warned that ALL phone calls to this number may be recorded for public consumption later .

Ian K.

eavsdropper

2150. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <p7IT2.2842$6B.92957@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 121339

Perhaps YOU could 'note the key wording' that I posted 'just for reference'.

Perhaps you might not be aware that yes, news media HAVE been arrested or ejected from news sites for impeding officers/firefighters, at least here in San Diego. They get cut some slack, FAR more than the assholes deserve, but they are subject to both ejection, and, if they make a real ass of themselves repeatedly, loss of press credentials with the local cops.

Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message news:7fkhvl$e7o$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Well no kidding!!
>
> Note the key wording here:
>
> "and thereby impedes"
>
> In fact, the way this law was written, someone who had covering an
> 'event' like a fire as "part of the duties of that person's employment"
> could actually impede police or fire or other emergency response
> personell and get away with it.
>
> A STUPID POORLY WRITTEN LAW!!!!
>
> But read what you post. As long as an individual stays out of the way,
> there is NOTHING here that prevents him from showing up at an
> emergency just to observe.
>
> In fact, if he were to take photos, and sell just ONE of those photos to
> ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even
> (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law
> is written.
>
> Mike Yetsko
>
>
>
>
> Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
> >Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
> >
> >402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
> >stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
> >scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
> >medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping

> >with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
> >is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
> >scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
> >emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
> >is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
> >or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
> >emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
> >personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
> >emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
>
>
>
>

2151. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <371DE98A.4236DC4E@dimensional.com>
Source record: 121340

A reporter for the Rocky Mountain News has asked me
for the transcript I mentioned. I also have two-and-a-half
90 minutes audio tapes of the subsequent scanner traffic.

The reporter said that he "believes" that there would be
nothing unlawful about me sharing the transcript with him.
To the contrary, I found a webpage discussing this very
issue:

http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html

...personally, I believe that restraining people from monitoring
police communications is a terrible idea. It prevents us,
the citizens who are footing the goddamn bill, from
deciding for ourselves whether our police are acting in our
own interests. The author of this webpage recommends a
"model" law enforcement open records act that will provide
the sort of access we citizens need to watchdog our police
forces, be they state or federal, and cites examples such as
the Rodney King beating and the incidents at Waco and
Ruby Ridge as evidence that there really *is* a need for
this sort of monitoring by the public.

Unfortunately, the article also cites a case where someone
was convicted for sharing police communications he
received on his scanner with local news media. I reckon this
will be of interest to everyone here:

"The Communications Act traditionally has not been used
as a bar to the public reception of police radio broadcasts,
although the only federal court to face that issue approved
criminal prosecution based on the statute. In United States
v. Fuller,(95) the U.S. District Court refused to dismiss the
indictment of Fuller who was charged with unlawfully
monitoring local police broadcasts and sharing that information
with radio station KEWB without first obtaining the consent
of the police. The court said that the First Amendment
would not bar Fuller's prosecution,(96) but because the
decision as to whether the broadcast was public or private
should be made based on the evidence and not on a motion
to dismiss, it left that question to the trial court.(97) The case
was resolved at the trial level and never reached the appellate
courts again."

...if I was rich, I would be tempted to pursue this matter
in the courts. It is a bad law. Unfortunately, I am not rich,

and cannot afford a court battle.

Robert Holder
--
bedlam
The Center for the Study
of the Stillness of Disgrace
Green Mountain, Colorado
http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2152. "Dim" display - Pro 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Burch <occupant@syix.com>
Message-ID: <924707914.599.42@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do the displays deteriorate with time? This one can be read
in a bright room at the correct angle. It is almost unreadable
in a darkened room. Just the slightest "bluish" background
lighting is visible in a darkened room.

Are these displays replaceable by a user? Are there any
"known" problems with the displays in these scanners?

Thanks for your time

John WB6GHA
"Brand new used 2021 owner"
occupant@syix.com
...

2153. Bad Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <371DEE1C.9BFC8790@dimensional.com>
Source record: 121342

Hi. I just bought a BC235XLT scanner through Radio
Shack, and used it to monitor police transmissions of
the tragic shooting yesterday at Columbine High School.

When I asked people on the usenet newsgroup
rec.radio.scanner if it would be legal for me to share
transcripts of what I heard with them, I was surprised to
find that people were telling me this was illegal. Police
communications should, for the most part, be publicly
accessible to everyone, especially since we citizens are
paying for the police.

I refer you to this website:

http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html

Uniden has a stake in ensuring that the law reflects the right
of the public to know what it's law enforcement community
is doing. Laws that limit the use of scanners limit sales of
scanners, ultimately.

What is Uniden doing to overturn these restrictive laws?
What is Uniden doing to promote the "model law enforcement
open records act" suggested on the web page I mentioned?

Sincerely,
Robert Holder
--
bedlam
The Center for the Study
of the Stillness of Disgrace
Green Mountain, Colorado
http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2154. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2000 <HairMan2000@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <371E993C.230A1168@pacifier.com>
Source record: 121343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Where can you get one for $200. Please post answer
on the NewsGroup.
thanks

Asmodean wrote:

> I can get one unmodified, brand new in the box for $200, and it is perhaps
> the easiest radio in the world to mod.
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
> Leroy Fray wrote in message <7etqailkh1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >ranger 2970 all mods done professionally. Radio is only about 4 months
> old.
> >will trade for base cb with mods done (cobra 2000 president washington
> ect)
> >or will sell for 350 cash. or make offers on trades.
> >Reply to email directly. do not post to board.
> >thanks
> >Leroy
> >
> >
> >
> >

2155. Re: Trakkstar, Optocom, and Toshiba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jkimmer@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fl2pn$f6h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121344

The optocom utility works fine on my Libretto via com port 1. The trakkstar software does not. I've tried other com ports and removing everthing I can from the registry and startup folder from windows to eliminate any conflicts, but still no luck. The folks at Toshiba and Signal Intelligence have not been of any assistance so far. I'm hoping for a miracle. By the way, I change the bios by holding down the ESC key during a cold boot, then press F1 when asked. There is not much to the bios of the Libretto. I have the ability to try several different comm port options but none work. When talking to Toshiba, they mentioned that the conflict may be caused by the IR port. I have tried everything to remove any hint of IR drivers, including blowing out the device from windows "device mangler".

Still looking for ideas folks!

Your help would be appreciated.

In article <371be4ce.0@news4.his.com>,
"Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM> wrote:
> I have Trakkstar, Scancat, Radio Manager, Probe 4.0 and the Optocom utility
> all working on my Toshiba 430CDT Laptop with no problems. I am using com
> port 1 with Windows 98 running. They all work in a DOS box and also when I
> boot to DOS. I would not worry about the other programs until you can get
> the Optocom utility to work. I ran the unit for 72 hours straight over the
> weekend running Probe 4.0 with no problems at all. The only problem I see
> right now is getting the data slicer to work.
>
> Marty
> --
> Renard (Marty) Martin
> Sterling, VA 20165
> rmartin@his.com
> ICQ #451411
> <jkimmer@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
> news:7fgecg$978$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> > Does anybody have Trakkstar or Scanstar running on a Toshiba notebook? I
> am
> > currently trying to get Trakkstar to talk to an Optocom on a Toshiba
> Libretto
> > and it DONT WORK. Signal Intelligence claims that it is a Toshiba problem
> > and Toshiba claims it's a software problem. Anybody been in this
> situation
> > before? Trakkstar is looking for a "real" serial port. In the Toshiba
> bios,
> > I can set the serial port to any IRQ and Address i want (COM1:IRQ4:3F8).
> Any

> > help with similar experience or solution suggestions would be appreciated.
> > Thanks!
> >
> > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2156. Seeking software for Sony SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan <mtiernan@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <371DF73F.BAE382B@bbn.com>
Source record: 121345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of any software OTHER than the default, Sony supplied, software? (*YUCK*)

Thanks.

2157. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <371DF85E.C92B5B89@t-online.de>
Source record: 121346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

ian k (eavsdropper) schrieb:
>
> As a follow up to this, please explain Planet abuse team why you CENSOR my
> account because I posted a link to a web site that contasined cracks , yet
> YOU carry the alt.binaries.cracks newsgroup on your servers along with many
> others like it....bloody hypocrite !
>
> Anybody still belive them ?

This the best Joke :)))

> SELECTIVE CENSORSHIP !, its that simple.

It is obvious !

No other comments, just avoid freeserve.co.uk

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

2158. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fktfv$o1t$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> It is obvious !
>
>
> No other comments, just avoid freeserve.co.uk
>
> --
Hi Goran...thanks

eavsdropper

by the way as an author yourself I would like for you to know that eavsdroppers does not condone or promote cracks etc, i did not even have a time to look at it myself i was told about it from another party so for all i know it had scotch mist in it !., and this matter regardless of what was on the site is a serious one for peoples freedom of speech on the net.

2159. Re: 4-level FSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fkto4$o6j$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:Pc8T2.437$J21.2495899@rsnws01.mn.mediaone.net...
> looking for a good schematic for a 4-level data slicer.
>
> Thanks
>

There was a lovely one on my website (eavsdroppers) but due to censorship by
Dixons freeserve the site has been pulled.

to hell with it i will post it to you in your e-mail

best of luck , and keep on scanning !

EAVSDROPPER
>

2160. Wtd MFJ & Bencher CW Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregg E <Gregg.E@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fkv4kgod1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I Am Looking For The Following Items Preferably To Trade.
I Have Many Items I Can Trade For Please Let Me Know What You Have & What
You Might Be Interested In.
I Have Items From
Amateur Radio
Shortwave Radio
Metal Detectors
Fishing
Scanners (HandHeld & Desktop)
Automotive
Some Nice 11 Meter SSB Equiptment
And Much More....

MFJ462B Multi-Reader

MFJ 493 Menu Driven Memory Keyer With 32K Memory
MFJ-1315,
MFJ-79, full function Remote Control.
MFJ-551,
MFJ-5409,
MFJ-5425,
MFJ 452 Morse Keyboard With LCD Display
MFJ 451 Morse Keyboard
MFJ 414 Professional Classroom Tutor & Keyer (Maybe)

Only Need One Of The Following
MFJ Pocket Morse Tutor
MFJ 411
MFJ 418

Only Need One Of The Following
Bencher Keyer
BY-1 Black Base (Paddles)
BY-2 Chrome Base (Paddles)

Remove NOSPAM From My Address To Reply
Auto Return Is O.K.
Thanks W5IDX Houston

--
W5IDX@worldnet.att.net

2161. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjones93@aol.com
Message-ID: <371ef70f.10826939@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 07:31:08 -0500, David <7371774@email.mcimail.com> wrote:

>
>
>S. Jones wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:
>>
>> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
>> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
>> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
>> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
>> >disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
>> >don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
>> >roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
>> >end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
>> >the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
>> >
>> >http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
>> >
>> > This is no joke.
>> > This is not spam.
>> > This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>>
>> I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
>> received!
>>
>> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
>> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
>> signals.
>
>Jones, where do you get your information that CB equipment may not cause
>interference with telephone or TV or other radio.

From the FCC. Look it up.

> Most of the time it is
>the fault of the entertainment equipment and not the radio.

You're not saying that a CB with a linear is not causing any interference, are
you?

>Do more research before you reply.

Take your own advice.

>Visit the FCC WEB page for information on interference. Very helpful

Where is the section on illegal amps?

2162. Re: 4-level FSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: denis <promovision@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <7fl119$38d$1@news1.skynet.be>
Source record: 121351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try : http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/4levelm.gif for 2 and 4 level FSK

Bryan Schmidt a crit dans le message ...
>looking for a good schematic for a 4-level data slicer.
>
>Thanks
>
>

2163. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <371E074E.BAFA1EF3@t-online.de>
Source record: 121352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

ian k (eavsdropper) schrieb:
> > No other comments, just avoid freeserve.co.uk
> >
> > --
> Hi Goran...thanks
>
> eavsdropper
>
> by the way as an author yourself I would like for you to know that
> eavsdroppers does not condone or promote cracks etc, i did not even have a
> time to look at it myself i was told about it from another party so for all
> i know it had scotch mist in it !., and this matter regardless of what was
> on the site is a serious one for peoples freedom of speech on the net.

My Software is Freeware anyway :)))

About the cracks, no big deal, if someone wants to use
some Software he will buy it, he will get a 100% working
copy, updates, service, ...

About freedom of speech ... , it is very sad how some
people intend to make censoreship on the net.

The information should be free, no control by ANYONE.

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

2164. RS Trnkng 4$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <371E0F26.420D@concentric.net>
Source record: 121353

Radio Shack trunking scanner, (I forget model #) hardly used, in box
with all lit. paid 269.00 sell for 150.00 or best offer. call
800-752-6756 or email Protech@Concentric.Net
--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

2165. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fl2ph$8q0$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> My Software is Freeware anyway :)))

good point :-)
>
> About the cracks, no big deal, if someone wants to use
> some Software he will buy it, he will get a 100% working
> copy, updates, service, ...

Agree totally, if it is a good bit of well written software buy it !
>
>
> About freedom of speech ... , it is very sad how some
> people intend to make censoreship on the net.

that is the principal matter at stake here !
>

> The information should be free, no control by ANYONE.
>
Please tell that to freeserve !

eavsdropper

2166. Re: Optocom Data Slicer Circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fl3nmrds1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Marty if you can get into the BIOS for you Toshiba check to make sure that it says "no" to the setting is the operating is a "plug and play", I had a similar problem and when I changed the setting everything worked fine, if you need to switch the setting from dsr to cts can you do that in the "ini" file in the program your using? You could also buy or build an adapter and just switch the lines so the output is on the cts line. Good luck,

2167. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fl3o8$9mk$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121356

i also notice you did not put your real e-mail address here ?.
please let me know so when we have all been censored to buggery and our
hobby is also squeezed out and you start bleating we will not support you.

eavsdropper

2168. Newbie scanner user seeks advice on picking up com aircraft signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Rieck <drieck@enteract.com>
Message-ID: <7fl40u$d6i$1@eve.enteract.com>
Source record: 121357

Hello - hope this isn't too basic - I looked at the FAQ's and find snippets of what I'm looking for, but not the whole picture.

I am brand new to scanning, and just bought a Radio Shak Pro 90 as my first receiver. Having a ball with it so far.

However, one of the reasons I bought it was to monitor commercial aircraft traffic - I fly a lot for work, and just sort of got hooked on listening to those cockpit communications channels when the pilot decides to let us listen in.

However, I'm having a terrible time picking anything up. I can occasionally get the aircraft side of the conversations, but can't hear a peep out of ATC. Now, this of course makes perfect sense - the airplanes are a lot closer to me than he tower is, but I was looking for advice on how, if at all, I could pull in both sides of the transmission.

I'm about 40 miles from the airport (O'Hare) It's quite flat from the airport to my house - in fact I canhear Chicago city police activity on the higher frequencies, but I'm guessing the am signals used in atc work just don't travel as far ? Getting regular AM radio out where I live is pretty much impossible, so I'm thinking it might just be too far away.

Obviously a better antenna should help - any recomendations on aviatio freq specific units ?

Anyone know where the actual transmitter for approach and departure is ? I think the local FAA CEnter (Chicago Center) is physically located in Aurora, which is only about 5 miles from where I live, but who knows where the transmitters are ?

Thanks, and sorry in advance if some of these questions are just plain dumb - we were all new once :)

Don Rieck
drieck@enteract.com

2169. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fl3fo$9du$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121358

> Who cares about dixon pulling your page.
> They way I see it:
>
> 1. You're looking for attention hence your blabbing about your mention
> in the paper.
>
No i am mentioning the paper because i belive that censorship is a serious matter that can and will get out of hand

> 2. Your waste of bandwidth over this dixon crap.

not a waste at all , it is relevant as i am following up to people why the site was removed and also making it open and clear what this coporate is up to, sticking your head in the sand is not a good idea.
>
>
> If you're really interested in this stuff, programs, scanning...find
> another site and start over and forget about it. Are you doing this
> for the "fame" or to help people out?

Hown many more times should i have to do this, if they all keep getting censored because they dont think i should think this or say that then where does it stop ?.
>
> The underground sites don't cry when xoom, geocities... pull their
> pages. They find another place and QUIETLY start another.

thats why censorship is and will continue to erode our freedom of speech, and eavsdroppers was not an underground site it is legitimate and contains nothing illegal !

As i belive in freedom of speech I welcome your points and you have every right to agree or disagree with me, but if people keep on with such a lax attitude towards such a serious matter before you know it it will be all over you and then it will be too late, your ideas, thoughts and views will be silenced. Please do not be silly enough to think this will happen to you, it will if it is allowed to continue

PS by the way my site was more than popular enough i do not need to "be famous" and quite frankl i dont care a toss if my name or whatever is never mentioned anywhere, i just will not sit back and see censoship in action and do nothing

> Dixons are assholes but who cares, get over it already.

i agree about the first bit, but you knoe my views on the last
>

> regards

eavsdropper

2170. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GIoT2.11558$de4.223@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 121359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
lets form a anti-freeserve group.. There are enough of us to do this...
...Ian, right on!!!

David
Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <371d6f48.13229524@news>...
>On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 15:12:28 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:
>
>>Fry's is extremely compliant with marking returned items as used in my =
>>area (Dallas).
>
>Putting a returned but working item back on the shelf is one thing.
>
>Putting an item which was returned because it is DEFECTIVE back on the
>shelf is quite another.
>
```

2171. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fak8gy1.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 121360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 23:17:33 +0100, free wrote:

> I would like to hear from people who have had this with these shops
> especially item/date/shop location because i think that this is happening
> on a MASSIVE scale,

I suspect your correct, but I bet not many people notice unless the goods have serious fault like my sound card. It was a good few years ago now but the store was PC World, Cribs Causeway, Bristol.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

2172. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <9XoT2.3020$yJ2.319@news2.atl>
Source record: 121361
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if you want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller markup. I'll have to ask him.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

HairMan2000 wrote in message <371E993C.230A1168@pacifier.com>...
>Where can you get one for $200. Please post answer
>on the NewsGroup.
>thanks
>
>

2173. BC220/230XLT What can it do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7fl4pbaae0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 121362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got a BC-220/230 XLT for Christmas.
I'm curious as to what this radio can be modded to do.
It has a date code on the bottom of OHIE

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

2174. Denver area freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <371E1B2E.BC7B3537@dimensional.com>
Source record: 121363

I'm pretty new to this scene. I just got a trunk-tracking scanner (BC235XLT) and the police call freqs book at radio shack. i've been reading my owner's manual and the freqs listings and have had some success monitoring police and stuff... but i'm still having some trouble figuring some stuff out.

can anyone give me a listing or point me to a website of freqs and monitoring info for the denver area?

also, it appears to me (maybe i'm wrong) that the police and the city are using the same trunking frequencies. it also seems to me (and again, maybe i'm wrong) that trunking works this way:

freq1: police trunking control channel (data)
freq2: city voice channel (police & workers)
freq3: city voice channel (police & workers)
freq4: city voice channel (police & workers)
freq5: city workers trunking control channel (data)
freq6: city voice channel (police & workers)
freq7: city voice channel (police & workers)
freq8: city voice channel (police & workers)

...so if i program freq2,3,4,6 and 8 into my scanner in a trunk-tracking bank, and then program freq1 into that bank, i hear police conversations. but if i put freq5 in that bank instead of freq1, i hear city conversations. am i way off base here? i'm trying to get it figured out, and i'm not sure that what i'm saying here is how it actually works.

Thanks for your help!
rdh
--
bedlam
The Center for the Study
of the Stillness of Disgrace
Green Mountain, Colorado
http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

2175. Pro 2036 "4A4" no cell?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7fl6o8$p04@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 121364

i picked up a pro 2036 scanner with the "4A4" date code.
inside the "cell mod" appears to be done. 2 resistors removed,
1 replaced in diff location . . .
BUT
no cell freq. and 29-54 is out of range while i get 66-108
(approx)

what gives?
thanks

2176. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fl79o$1ku$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk> wrote in message
news:GIoT2.11558$de4.223@news-reader.bt.net...
> lets form a anti-freeserve group.. There are enough of us to do this...
> ...Ian, right on!!!

I appreciate your response, i think it may be a lot more constructive to mail a letter of complaint/concern over what they have done to freeserve or better still Dixons plc and planet online, and request that they re-instate the site, and refrain from censoring people at whim for no good reason.

thanks again

eavsdropper

2177. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fl767$1id$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> I suspect your correct, but I bet not many people notice unless the goods
> have serious fault like my sound card. It was a good few years ago now but
> the store was PC World, Cribs Causeway, Bristol.
>
> --
Thank you, keep up the watching !

eavsdropper
>

2178. Re: Bad Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37201fc6.4291152@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121367

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 09:26:20 -0600, bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com>
wrote:

>Hi. I just bought a BC235XLT scanner through Radio
>Shack, and used it to monitor police transmissions of
>the tragic shooting yesterday at Columbine High School.
>
>When I asked people on the usenet newsgroup
>rec.radio.scanner if it would be legal for me to share
>transcripts of what I heard with them, I was surprised to
>find that people were telling me this was illegal. Police
>communications should, for the most part, be publicly
>accessible to everyone, especially since we citizens are
>*paying for the police*.

In the original Communications act of 1934 (I can't remember if it was
in section 602 or 604) there were provisions for disclosure of radio
traffic not intended for pubic use. One of them was before a court of
law.

So the answer to your question is by default no, it is not legal to
disclose radio traffic in this manner.

In the years since that legislation, there have been a number of truly
awful court precedents set by ignorant judges, lawyers, and juries
that police can't even use conversations monitored on an unencrypted
cordless phone without a search warrant.

Then came the ECPA in 1996. That muddied the 1934 law even further.
Now we have this case against Bill Cheek which, if the DA from Long
Island gets his way, will make outlaws of most of the scanner
enthusiasts in this country. If this becomes court precedent, I'm
going to dig up the information and publish an article on how far past
1984 we have gone.

>I refer you to this website:
>
>http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html

Nice case. Why not ask the authors to file that information in a
"friend of the court" brief for Bill Cheek?

>Uniden has a stake in ensuring that the law reflects the right
>of the public to know what it's law enforcement community
>is doing. Laws that limit the use of scanners limit sales of
>scanners, ultimately.
>

>What is Uniden doing to overturn these restrictive laws?
>What is Uniden doing to promote the "model law enforcement
>open records act" suggested on the web page I mentioned?

Why not ask them?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2179. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3720181b.3619737@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121368

"ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>> 1. You're looking for attention hence your blabbing about your mention
>> in the paper.
>>
>No i am mentioning the paper because i belive that censorship is a serious
>matter that can and will get out of hand

You don't have a clue what censorship means.

>> 2. Your waste of bandwidth over this dixon crap.
>
>not a waste at all , it is relevant as i am following up to people why the
>site was removed and also making it open and clear what this coporate is up
>to, sticking your head in the sand is not a good idea.

Nobody cares. Stop your whining and get over it.

>> The underground sites don't cry when xoom, geocities... pull their
>> pages. They find another place and QUIETLY start another.
>
>thats why censorship is and will continue to erode our freedom of speech,
>and eavsdroppers was not an underground site it is legitimate and contains
>nothing illegal !

It's not censorship, you idiot. Nobody is required to furnish you a
soapbox. Buy your own site, or find one whose rules you can abide by.

>As i belive in freedom of speech I welcome your points and you have every
>right to agree or disagree with me, but if people keep on with such a lax
>attitude towards such a serious matter before you know it it will be all
>over you and then it will be too late, your ideas, thoughts and views will
>be silenced. Please do not be silly enough to think this will happen to you,
>it will if it is allowed to continue

Yawn. Go buy a clue.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2180. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7fl8cl$r2a$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121369

Skip,

I pulled the quote right out of your post...

And for those watching this thread, I've pointed it out.

But yes, news media HAVE been arrested, and they HAVE been
charged, but only for specifically interfeering with an officer or other
emergency responder. I've never heard of an accredited newsie
being charged just for being there.

Personally I think a LOT of newsies have WAY too much leeway. I've
been at fires, and I make SURE I stay out of the way.

Slightly off the topic, you never know when you might be 'needed'.
In my town, there was no one available for a fire, the few fireman
actually
there asked a bunch of kids to 'stone out' windows to help out. Kids
loved it!

And on another note... A friend was an officer in a town that, well, it
will remain
undescribed, but it has a lot of protest. Anyway, on one protest, he
was in
uniform, and when relieved, he and more than one other cop 'crossed
lines'
to be with the protestors. (Long story, but it actually helped keep the
peace,
and he DID support the protestors!) Anyway... He bought a video camera
and took it to the next protest. His chief told him he'd like to see
the tapes
to see both how the officers behaved and also looking for a
'troublemaker'.
But then he started keeping the camera with him at all times. Off duty,
he'd
listen, get to scenes, film things (and has been called to 'put it down
and
help' when the need arrises) and then go right to the TV station with
his tapes. He paid for his camera in less than a year.

Mike

Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
>Perhaps YOU could 'note the key wording' that I posted 'just for
reference'.
>
>Perhaps you might not be aware that yes, news media HAVE been arrested

or
>ejected from news sites for impeding officers/firefighters, at least
here in
>San Diego. They get cut some slack, FAR more than the assholes
deserve, but
>they are subject to both ejection, and, if they make a real ass of
>themselves repeatedly, loss of press credentials with the local cops.
>---------------------
>Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message
>news:7fkhvl$e7o$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> Well no kidding!!
>>
>> Note the key wording here:
>>
>> "and thereby impedes"
>>
>> In fact, the way this law was written, someone who had covering an
>> 'event' like a fire as "part of the duties of that person's
employment"
>> could actually impede police or fire or other emergency response
>> personell and get away with it.
>>
>> A STUPID POORLY WRITTEN LAW!!!!
>>
>> But read what you post. As long as an individual stays out of the
way,
>> there is NOTHING here that prevents him from showing up at an
>> emergency just to observe.
>>
>> In fact, if he were to take photos, and sell just ONE of those photos
to
>> ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even
>> (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law
>> is written.
>>
>> Mike Yetsko
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
>> >Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
>> >
>> >402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
>> >stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
>> >scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
>> >medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
>> >with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
>> >is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
>> >scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the

\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
>> >emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it

>> >is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene

\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

>> >or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
>> >emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
>> >personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
>> >emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
>>

2181. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersFAK0Kv.FBH@netcom.com>
Source record: 121370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sjones93@aol.com (S. Jones) writes:

>On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:

>> Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
>>strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
>>telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
>>amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
>>disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I

>I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
>received!

>Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
>equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
>signals.

Sadly, the FCC does NOT enforce any CB regulations, and hasn't for a long time. Their manpower doesn't allow them to go out and investigate CB complaints, since 80% of CBers use illegal equipment. (opinion only, based on figures derived from years of listening to CB)

If the offender is renting their home, rather than owning it, people in the neighborhood may all band together and make a mass complaint to the owner of the rental property or their management. Another course of action is once again... several people across the neighborhood get together all at once and go to the city attorney's office and make their complaint. If enough people complain all at once, the local authorities may be able to come up with some local law that is being violated... something like disorderly conduct, or interference with a public service.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

2182. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersFAK0rx.FHM@netcom.com>
Source record: 121371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David <7371774@email.mcimail.com> writes:

>Jones, where do you get your information that CB equipment may not cause
>interference with telephone or TV or other radio. Most of the time it is
>the fault of the entertainment equipment and not the radio. Do more
>research before you reply. Visit the FCC WEB
>page for information on interference. Very helpful

Now if the cber is running illegal equipment, then the CBer is at fault
plain and simple....

It is true that much consumer electronics has that label "This equipment
must not generate harmful interference and must accept any interference,
including interference which may cause undesired operation".. so if the
cber was operating with legal equipment, the person with the complaint
would have no recourse... but the question was asked in regard to illegal
equipment.... at that point the CBer is at fault, not the consumer.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

2183. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7fl950$s5e$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121372

Actually...

I read in one of the newsletters that this has been 'amplified' in that an
officer cannot use possession of equipment that has only HAM
frequencies in operation, or other public frequencies (NOAA for
example) as evidence in NYS. This stemed from a situtation in I
think the summer of 1995 where a NY officer seized the HAM equipment
of an operator for having 'other' VHF frequencies in place. The
original arguement was that the mobile use of the radio was illegal.

There are a couple of scanner pages, one in Worcester called Bills
Scanner page, that have links to the story.

I routinely keep 'other' frequencies in my HTX-242 (VHF HI only) and
my Alinco DR-590 (a whole BUNCH of nifty stuff!) that, well, I'm not
going to say I would be in trouble, lets just say that I'm NOT saying
I'd
be totally clear.

Mike

HIRAM 695 wrote in message
<19990416091555.27288.00001483@ng150.aol.com>...
>Sorry, guys, still wrong. See my reply on the other group. The law
does not
>exempt hams; read it carefully. The law says it does not apply to
licensed
>hams using gear capable of transmitting and receiving on frequencies
assigned
>by the FCC exclusively for ham use.

2184. Re: Pro 2036 "4A4" no cell?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990421154839.13897.00000104@ng102.aol.com>
Source record: 121373

Not all 2036's can be modified unfortunately. THey LOOK like they could but cant. Sounds like you got one that cant and by moving the resistors it through off other freqs. Maybe try undoing the mod and then do the Virtual Downconverter mod.

2185. Re: Newbie scanner user seeks advice on picking up com aircraft signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fla8haqs1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121374

Donald Rieck wrote in message <7fl40u$d6i$1@eve.enteract.com>...
>I'm about 40 miles from the airport (O'Hare) It's quite flat from the
>airport to my house - in fact I canhear Chicago city police activity on
>the higher frequencies, but I'm guessing the am signals used in atc work
>just don't travel as far ? Getting regular AM radio out where I live is
>pretty much impossible, so I'm thinking it might just be too far away.

There's actually two things working against you for retrieving the tower side of ATC. One is the distance you are from the tower, and the other is the fact that the aircraft are naturally much higher than the tower is, so their signals carry much farther. I generally can't pick up any traffic more than 5 miles away from the airport using the standard rubber duck antenna that came with the scanner.
>
>Obviously a better antenna should help - any recomendations on aviatio
>freq specific units ?
Don't know of any exact models, but a base CB antenna might be helpful for this.

>Anyone know where the actual transmitter for approach and departure is ?
Those are broadcast from the tower directly.

>I think the local FAA CEnter (Chicago Center) is physically located in
>Aurora, which is only about 5 miles from where I live, but who knows where
>the transmitters are ?

The center frequencies are broadcast from their location so you might have better luck listening to that. A drive down to O'Hare with your scanner + a few minutes of listening will provide the freq's, or for about $5 you can get a low level enroute map that will have them from any of the local flight schools in your area. I've found these places to be quite helpful with locating ATC freq's and some have even provided me with a list for free.
>
>
>Thanks, and sorry in advance if some of these questions are just plain
>dumb - we were all new once :)
Nothing dumb here - the only dumb question is one that isn't asked!

Enjoy the hobby, I certainly do.

-Phil

>
>
>Don Rieck
>drieck@enteract.com

>

2186. Freqs. for Norfolk/Williamsburg VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: atticusja@aol.comfinch
Message-ID: <19990421155743.12319.00000067@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 121375

I'm looking for frequencies for an upcoming trip to Virginia. I will be staying in Norfolk, so I'd appreciate anything local to there, but also frequencies for Williamsburg, Military Circle Shopping Mall, Norfolk Naval Base, Lafayette Zoo, Norfolk Int'l Airport, and any ham radio repeaters nearby. Thanks for your time and consideration.
Joshua Adams - N3XGR
Pittsburgh, PA

2187. FA: AOR 8000 W/Software&cable..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: richcom@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <37222f14.705586@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121376

Aor8000 Scanner AllModes Its like new!! I even have the software and computer
cable to go with it. You can program it to receive cell. But I didn't do it. Comes in
original box & Manual. Even have batteries in it. So you can listen right away. :)
Go To:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=93340041

2188. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2104991512390001@pm67-34.magicnet.net>
Source record: 121377

In article <MPG.11878bc93010e2749896b3@news.ne.mediaone.net>,
NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com (Vamp) wrote:

> I know a simple scanner would be useless with these but what would
> somebody need to have if they wanted to listen in on a dss transmission?
> It not encrypted right? It's some very simple digital encoding that I
> suppose all digital phones share in common and then you need to figure
> out what are the bits that tell it what frequency it is skipping to next.
> Is there any hardware out there you can buy to listen? I guess I am just
> not convinced to give up on my corded phones. After you have had an
> eavesdropper once it makes you very paranoid of schemes you don't
> understand. I feel very secure with encrypted transmissions over the
> Internet since I understand the idea behind public/private key
> encrypting. In the case of DSS it just sounds like a scheme that nobody
> has gotten around to coming up with some software and connected listening
> device for yet.
>
> Vamp

Well, Spread Spectrum was developed originally for secure military comms.
(Ironically the gal that invented it lives in the same town I am in, a
former actress named Heidi Lamar, (not sure of proper spelling) She made
the local news some years ago when she shoplifted at a local drug store!
Anyway, I fell safer using DSS than I do driving down the street. I think
it is very secure. A phone tap would be on the line after the wireless
connection anyway. Just like a CDMA PCS wireless phone tap can be.

2189. scanner spec's and mod's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Givens <robert.givens@familychristian.com>
Message-ID: <JnqT2.4031$Bm3.248060@news20.ispnews.com>
Source record: 121378

I was wondering if anyone could pass on any info as to where I can get spec's for a bc950xlt and a bc100xlt. I tried at uniden's web site and had no luck.
Also if there are any good modifications I can do to either that also would be helpful.

2190. Re: Uniden BC-245XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7flckpbjn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121379

Gary Sanford wrote in message <371d04bb.28629985@news3.ibm.net>...
>Anyone familiar with this scanner? Supposedly it will do the
>EDACS trunking system.
>TIA.
>
Check CEI (www.usascan.com) They give all of the details that are publicly
available right now.
-Phil

2191. Scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cd148@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7fldpg$rrf@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 121380

For sale Pro-51 cell capable in mint condition. $100 Also for sale Pro-2046 never used. $100 or $175 for both. Thanks much.
Jeff

2192. WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Smith <Andy@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QyXWYMAuwjH3EwtN@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121381
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <371c43f0.1115628518@news.theplanet.net>, Planet Abuse Team
<abuseteam@theplanet.net> writes

>I would also point out the following AUP section which your posting breached
>
>6.2.5 forging or blocking headers and/or addresses or any other action the
>purpose of which is to hide your true identity or discredit a third party;

Hey Eavsdropper you've got to admit you tried to hide your identity by
forging a mail header with the name me@me.com, not only that but you did
it twice, first time round to see how it looked in the newsgroup ?

How would you feel if you spent 3 years writing a piece of software then
not only did someone crack it but then the link to the crack was posted
in a newsgroup ? You knew what you were doing was immoral (maybe not
illegal) otherwise you wouldn't have forged the header. I am sure
Eberhard feels pretty pissed off right now.

The whole concept of shareware is that you pay the author a token amount
for his work, Eberhard could have marketed that software for hundreds of
pounds meaning that many users may not have been able to enter the world
of weather satellite and SSTV.

You broke Freeserve's AUP, so they have every right to shut your
account, that is not censorship, censorship would have been to remove
your post so that the newsgroup did not see it.

Any consumer with their head screwed on would not shop in any of the
Dixons Group Stores, however, slating them in a couple of newsgroups is
not going to get you anywhere.

Why not just set your web page up somewhere else and engage your brain
next time before sending mail with forged headers.

Andy.
andrew.smith@iname.com

2193. Re: mvt9000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1187eb147a4beeb098972c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121382

The best in order of importance is the Radio Shack 20-006 center coiled telescopic, and then the Watson 889 telescopic. The best performance on a Yupi is always with a telescopic, otherwise you compromise the low vhf.

2194. Ac Adapter for Uniden UBC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Landry <GlennLRN@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <371E45EF.A34C9B01@cwix.com>
Source record: 121383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've purchased a Uniden UBC3000XLT from Bandercom and I'm wondering what type of adapter will I need to power it. I'm sure that I can get one from Radio Shack, but I'm unsure what adapter to buy. My scanner is on the way and I would like to have the adapter when it gets here.

Glenn

2195. If you are a expert at scanning PLEASE READ THIS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pshupking@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990421173712.15773.00000114@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 121384

What do you think the best scanners are out there is. What do you think of the BC9000-XLT I am thinking of buying, is BearCat a good brand. What is continuous scanning. I have a mobil scanner that I take out with me, it is a SortsCat by BearCat. What kind of antenna could I get for that, that will give me the best range of getting local frequencies. Thanx for your help. oh yeah what are some good web pages for local frequencies. E-mail me

Todd
Tempe, AZ
pshupking@aol.com

2196. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <371E453A.D18DD36C@postnet.com>
Source record: 121385

bedlam wrote:

> A reporter for the Rocky Mountain News has asked me
> for the transcript I mentioned. I also have two-and-a-half
> 90 minutes audio tapes of the subsequent scanner traffic.
>
> The reporter said that he "believes" that there would be
> nothing unlawful about me sharing the transcript with him.

I think you are very wise not to cooperate with this request. If you want to put these media sleazes "on the spot", however; I suggest that you agree to provide the material IF and ONLY IF he and his employer (signed by an authorized officer of the company) execute an iron clad indemnity agreement which will provide you with full legal representation in the event of any prosecution resulting from your having done so along with salary for all time missed due to legal proceedings, incarceration, PLUS a minimum of some astronomic sum of money in the event you are charged. See an attorney to have one drafted to comply with Colorado state law. Watch how fast these people will backpedal. If you watched last night's "Rockford Files" TV movie, you know just how upstanding and ethical the local media is likely to be.

--
Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'30"N, 90ï¿½25'40"W
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
ICQ: UIN# 5313018

2197. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7flgm3$5on$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121386

Yeh ! He had to send away for them ! (And the other ones came in his crackerjacks box !)

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote in message news:371BCD24.24026885@INVALID.com...
>
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> > licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one
> > hand!
>
> Or just one finger.
>
> Can ya guess which finger I had in mind?
>
> You friggin loon.
>

2198. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmohazzabi@surfree.com
Message-ID: <7fjbbf$tr6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121400

In article <19990419133802.00780.00002284@ng90.aol.com>,
ices1185@aol.com (Ices1185) wrote:
> What is MDT mean?
> Thankx
> Ed
> Mobile Data Terminal,Used mostly by Law Inforcement Agencies in US.
It is basicly a laptop computer in the police cars connected via radio to the
police dispatch.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2199. Re: Open Letter to John Mackey, Blake Powers, CB Rambo, et al.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio_Rambo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7fjbft$u4e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121401

In article <7dj5vo$gi3$1@garnet.mint.net>,
"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:
> You know, all you people that do all the bitching about the Mackey thing
> just contribute MORE garbage to the group. Every couple of days, i have to
> wade through a bunch of posts that go like this:"I can`t return to the group
> because this is still going on". What is the matter ? Your damn browser
> doesn`t have filters for your news groups ? Then dump it, and get one that
> DOES have filters. THEN, USE THE FILTERS. I have stayed right here and
> filtered the garbage out for a couple of years. YOUR only problem is, you
> are just too damn NOSY to turn your filters on and leave them that way !

It'll Never work My Freind......

>
> Here are some tips for you: When they mention the debate (Whether they are
> whining about it or participating in it) FILTER THEM OUT BY THEIR POSTING
> ADDRESS. Don`t filter them out by the subject line of the message, that
> won`t last. As soon as they start a new thread, you will see them again.
> People have been whining and crying about this for years, and it has done no
> good at all.
>

They can change thier e-mail too, pretty soon the filters will lock up your
newsreader!!!!!!!!!!!! HA HA HA HA HA HA HA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> If you don`t want to take the time and effort to filter it out, then for
> Christ`s sake , stop crying about it. If you want to leave the damn news
> group instead of learning how to use your filters, then go ahead. It is no
> skin off my nose or anyone else`s. You couldn`t have been all that
> interested in the first place The great Mackalooney debate will never end
> until you stop paying attention to it, and stop legitimizing it by talking
> about it and whining about it.
>
>

Get a life, I'm HERE TO STAY!!!!!!!

"Where's that Big Ol' Radio-Rambo?"
"I wanna hear him Talk-TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2200. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Westpol@montana.com
Message-ID: <371d174e.3479773@news.montana.com>
Source record: 121402

Actually it depends on what brand you are talking about some are
dumb terminals (most Motorola's) some are full IBM compatables
operating in conjunction with a node controller (radio modem)
(Cerulean, DataRadio, Coded and several other brands). Most of the
non-Motorolas offer encryption.

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 11:51:00 GMT, no@mail (Brian) wrote:

>On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 13:22:08 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:
>
>>Mobil Data Terminal.
>>It is just that, a dumb terminal that does not have enough "smarts" to encrypt
>>or decrypt data, all it can do is encode and decode ASCII to frequency shifts over radio,
>>thus it is called a terminal instead of a MDC which is a Mobile Data Computer.
>
>
>They are NOT dumb terminals. They are full IBM compatible laptops. They DO
>have the ability to encrypt data and Mororola is selling a product that uses
>it.
>
>Please take the time to do a little research before you post something as
>"fact".

2201. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7fj7f5$q6j$0@207.18.224.18>
Source record: 121403

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 11:51:00 GMT, no@mail (Brian) wrote:

>On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 13:22:08 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:
>
>>Mobil Data Terminal.
>>It is just that, a dumb terminal that does not have enough "smarts" to encrypt
>>or decrypt data, all it can do is encode and decode ASCII to frequency shifts over radio,
>>thus it is called a terminal instead of a MDC which is a Mobile Data Computer.
>
>
>They are NOT dumb terminals. They are full IBM compatible laptops. They DO
>have the ability to encrypt data and Mororola is selling a product that uses
>it.

Actually, he was pretty correct. Most people in the industry refer to
MDT's as the older generation product, that was basically a
dumb terminal. Encryption was a add on product.

MCT's, or MDC's are IBM compatable laptops, They run software
such as the industry leader, S-C-A or Cerulean software. The
encryption is normally a function of the software.

>
>Please take the time to do a little research before you post something as
>"fact".

Yep, a good thought for all.

2202. Wefax Modem Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: k7ltf@usa.net
Message-ID: <371d2622.19079577@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 121404

Want a Wefax Modem

Tnx Zach Bender
Seattle WA
k7ltf@usa.net
206-367-7706

2203. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7fj9p4$2d6$0@207.18.224.20>
Source record: 121405

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 00:17:25 GMT, Westpol@montana.com (Terry Cook) wrote:

>
>Actually it depends on what brand you are talking about some are
>dumb terminals (most Motorola's) some are full IBM compatables
>operating in conjunction with a node controller (radio modem)
>(Cerulean, DataRadio, Coded and several other brands). Most of the
>non-Motorolas offer encryption.
>
Actually, Motorola does not offer a dumb terminal any more. They offer full IBM compatables, with your choice of software. S-C-A is the most predominant, but Cerulean has a showing also.

Why you mention Cerulean, Dataradio, Coded, in the same vein, you are getting your products confused.

Cerulean is a software provider, and occasional integrater. No hardware.

Data radio is a modem provider, and occassional integrater. No software.

Coded, well, they offer modems, radios, etc. None of it however real well for public safety systems. They do great business hotkey type systems however.

2204. Re: Trakkstar, Optocom, and Toshiba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <GpaT2.99$yF6.240@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121406

I'm not familiar with the com ports on the Libretto, but if they are high speed, you may have to disable the FiFo buffer.

Bill Crocker

<jkimmer@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:7fgecg$978$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Does anybody have Trakkstar or Scanstar running on a Toshiba notebook? I am
> currently trying to get Trakkstar to talk to an Optocom on a Toshiba Libretto
> and it DONT WORK. Signal Intelligence claims that it is a Toshiba problem
> and Toshiba claims it's a software problem. Anybody been in this situation
> before? Trakkstar is looking for a "real" serial port. In the Toshiba bios,
> I can set the serial port to any IRQ and Address i want (COM1:IRQ4:3F8). Any
> help with similar experience or solution suggestions would be appreciated.
> Thanks!

2205. Re: LAPD MDT Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990420225330.25805.00000433@ngol04.aol.com>
Source record: 121407

In article <7f31drcjk1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Karl Dahlquist"
<karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> writes:

>
> I believe the racist messages were discovered during the Rodney King trial.
>I seem to remember the terms "Gorillas in the Mist" term used by Sgt. Stacy

True, but MANY of the messages were from black officers themselves. At least
one using the N-word was from a Black officer to their spouse who was also on
the force. The newspapers sorta shut up about this after it became
public...no longer newsworthy in their minds.

I could never understand how Blacks could use this pejorative term when
refering to their own race.!

| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance. |

2206. FS: Yaesu Ft-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kbrockel@monmouth.com
Message-ID: <7fjie5$3sg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121408

FT-50R
"AA" Battery Case (FBA-15)
Rapid Charger (NC-50)
7.2V 1800 mAh battery (FNB-47)
All manuals and related documentation

Asking $325

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2207. Re: Radio Pirates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <VucT2.1351$P01.407167@news4.atl>
Source record: 121409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Umm, excuse me for being ignorant, but if they are licensed, how can they be pirates?

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Dan wrote in message <371c26d8@grouper.exis.net>...
>In case you're interested...
>
>The FCC is considering licensing low-power
>broadcasters, or radio pirates.
>
>Go to Yahoo News & type in radio pirates
>in the search bar for the story.
>
>It'll probably make the papers today (4/20)

2208. Re: FS Yeasu VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <LjdT2.1442$P01.429519@news4.atl>
Source record: 121410
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why are you selling it? And considering the tx range you posted, did you do the hardware tx mod or the software mod?

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

jedi_force@webtv.net wrote in message <279-3712546B-88@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>...

Transmitt from 50-54 MHZ,140-174MHZ, and 420- 470 MHZ. Comes with manual , box, ac charger adapter, and leather case. 1 month old. Asking $320 dollars firm.
Art

2209. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <NIdT2.1447$P01.430313@news4.atl>
Source record: 121411
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I can get one unmodified, brand new in the box for $200, and it is perhaps the easiest radio in the world to mod.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Leroy Fray wrote in message <7etqailkh1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>ranger 2970 all mods done professionally. Radio is only about 4 months old.
>will trade for base cb with mods done (cobra 2000 president washington ect)
>or will sell for 350 cash. or make offers on trades.
>Reply to email directly. do not post to board.
>thanks
>Leroy
>
>
>
>

2210. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371d6e77.13020400@news>
Source record: 121412

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 21:49:59 -0500, zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>Any of the Digital Spread Spectrum phones that are DSS both ways will be
>completely secure. The Sprint DSS ones sold at Radio Shack are very good.

Sony also makes some very nice DSS 900MHz units (e.g. SPP-SS965). I
have several and they work very well.

2211. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371d6f48.13229524@news>
Source record: 121413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 15:12:28 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>Fry's is extremely compliant with marking returned items as used in my =
>area (Dallas).

Putting a returned but working item back on the shelf is one thing.

Putting an item which was returned because it is DEFECTIVE back on the
shelf is quite another.

2212. mvt9000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <96eT2.502$ie.195@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 121414

can anyone recommend a good antenna for this model thats better than the one
that comes with it?
That would be a hand held antenna and possible an out/indoor one...
Thanks
Email
tct@clara.net

2213. HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: greg_larson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7fk0it$s3u$3@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121415

HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??

I have a Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner, and I would like
to know the exact frequencies that the 900mhz telephones
transmit in.

Are they different than the freqs between 46.00 - 49.00mhz?
Or or the 900 freqs scrambled in some way?
And can my modified 2006 scanner pick them up?

Any information or help would be greatly appreciated!

Greg Larson

greg_larson@hotmail.com

2214. Re: CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <371D8DD9.BBA34EF0@analon.com>
Source record: 121416

It helps to have several scanners in cases like this. When I am interested in the CHP stuff, I just let my scanner search 39.0-43.0 that will find all their frequencies...

another chase.. had one here in Phoenix last week. Guy came up from Casa Grande on I-10
said he had to use the rest room.. was driving at over 110.... he was in a Mercedes... Maybe he thought he was on the Autobahn.... I've driven 230kmh over there and nobody cared.

In the chase that ended by the PHX airport, the Highway patrol used a helicopter and several cars and boxed the guy in.... they eventually stopped him that way.

Is it the hollywood appeal of chases or what... in So. Cal ??

I have friends out there and visit every week... hope the price of gas has gone down or I will bring my own.. It's cheaper in Ehrenberg by 30 cents than it is in Blythe just across the Colorado River

JOHN

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> now broadcasting on blue 42.340

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<

| if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
---

2215. Re: CHP Simi Valley - Burbank - Pasadena Pursuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <371D8DE7.5067A3D2@analon.com>
Source record: 121417

It helps to have several scanners in cases like this. When I am interested in the CHP stuff, I just let my scanner search 39.0-43.0 that will find all their frequencies...

another chase.. had one here in Phoenix last week. Guy came up from Casa Grande on I-10
said he had to use the rest room.. was driving at over 110.... he was in a Mercedes... Maybe he thought he was on the Autobahn.... I've driven 230kmh over there and nobody cared.

In the chase that ended by the PHX airport, the Highway patrol used a helicopter and several cars and boxed the guy in.... they eventually stopped him that way.

Is it the hollywood appeal of chases or what... in So. Cal ??

I have friends out there and visit every week... hope the price of gas has gone down or I will bring my own.. It's cheaper in Ehrenberg by 30 cents than it is in Blythe just across the Colorado River

JOHN

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> now broadcasting on blue 42.340

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<

| if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
----

2216. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: abuseteam@theplanet.net
Message-ID: <371c43f0.1115628518@news.theplanet.net>
Source record: 121418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 19:55:37 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@nospam.kmed70.freeserve.co.uk (remove no spam from address to reply)>
wrote:

: I have been onto freeserve and tyhey have told me a total load of crap !,
: they said the site has been locked because of spamming in the newsgroups
: !!!.
:
: Please feel free to check Dejanews for spams from that account
: (kmed70.freeserve.co.uk)
:
: there has been no spamming !
:
: Occasionaly i am required to cross-post to [illegible] groups as the information is
: trelevant to all 3 groups BUT THAT CANNOT BE SAID TO NE SPAMMING FOR
: CHRISTS SAKE !
:
: Call it what it is "CENSORSHIP"
:
: THE SITE IS CURRENTLY BEING RE-BUILT ON ANOTHER SERVER !
:
: EAVSDROPPER

Since you obviously want to take this issue into the public forum, your web
site content has nothing to do with the locking of your account.

We received a complaint relating to your posting (below) which was promoting
a web site containing hacked software. As you are no doubt aware the
hacking/cracking of commercial software is illegal.

You were promoting this practice by the very nature of your posting, and we
had received a complaint to this effect, your account was therefore locked.

From: "me" <me@me.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: I found this it might be of use ? jvcomm32 :-)
Date: Fri, 16 Apr 1999 02:30:42 +0100
Organization: me

Extract of AUP :-

6.1.3 agree not to use Freeserve or the Services for any illegal purpose
and in accordance with all relevant laws;

6.1.4 agree not to use Freeserve or the Services to transmit or post any chain letters or any material for the purposes of publicity, promotion and/or advertising;

I would also point out the following AUP section which your posting breached

6.2.5 forging or blocking headers and/or addresses or any other action the purpose of which is to hide your true identity or discredit a third party;

Also be advised that we have noted your threat of attacking our servers.

Planet Abuse Team

2217. antennas and car washes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <371D960A.520AD947@analon.com>
Source record: 121419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now, I generally go to a do it yourself car wash. I don't like people tampering with my antennas. sometimes when I go to the full service car wash, the attendants will take down my antennas. I don't mind if the machenery knocks over the magnetic mount ones, I can always put that back up.

on a side note, I avoid most parking structures unless their clearance is above 9ft because I have antennas on the roof that otherwise will hit every beam and make a loud thumping sound. I drive a full size pickup and several antennas are on the roof

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| 800-873-5884 (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
---

2218. antennas and car washes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <371D957D.F2D7AD9A@analon.com>
Source record: 121420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now, I generally go to a do it yourself car wash. I don't like people tampering with my antennas. sometimes when I go to the full service car wash, the attendants will take down my antennas. I don't mind if the machenery knocks over the magnetic mount ones, I can always put that back up.

on a side note, I avoid most parking structures unless their clearance is above 9ft because I have antennas on the roof that otherwise will hit every beam and make a loud thumping sound. I drive a full size pickup and several antennas are on the roof

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| 800-873-5884 (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp

2219. antennas and car washes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <371D95C4.69E249E8@analon.com>
Source record: 121421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now, I generally go to a do it yourself car wash. I don't like people tampering with my antennas. sometimes when I go to the full service car wash, the attendants will take down my antennas. I don't mind if the machenery knocks over the magnetic mount ones, I can always put that back up.

on a side note, I avoid most parking structures unless their clearance is above 9ft because I have antennas on the roof that otherwise will hit every beam and make a loud thumping sound. I drive a full size pickup and several antennas are on the roof

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| 800-873-5884 (: MS-Windows):< |
|
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
---

2220. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FROM EAVSDROPPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: free <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fk6oh$ea0$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121422
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Putting a returned but working item back on the shelf is one thing.

if it is returned it is STILL second hand and should not be sold as new
under law !

>
> Putting an item which was returned because it is DEFECTIVE back on the
> shelf is quite another.
>

Definately

2221. Re: Busted Scanners/Cheek article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <371DA1FC.3B3FFDC5@uswest.net>
Source record: 121423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pardon? I don't kniw what???

Bttter check the facts. I made no reference to encoding or encryption in
my posting.
I commented on the site itself.

As for Encryption.... You are talking with one of the people involved in
the PGP movement.

GET A LIFE!

I know full well the deference between encoding (modulating) and
Encryption.

Next I suppose you will tell me about how the internet works/doesn't work.

Try your troll somewhere else.

Darren Mulligan wrote:

> Another article writer who doesn't know the difference between encoding
> and encrypting.
> Listen to what he said:
>
> According to the complaint, the Cheeks sold a team of undercover New
> York police five kits over the Internet. The kits unscramble the
> encrypted messages that pass between mainframe computers at police and
> fire departments and those onboard computers, or mobile data terminals
> (MDT), you see in the front seats of police cars these days.
>
> "Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
> >
> > Fellow Enthusiasts,
> > Stop by my site for a link to this San Diego
> > Reader article.
> >
> > Strong Signals,
> > Rich Wells N2MCA
> > http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
> --
> PGP 0x12209D33
> http://www.metacrawler.com

2222. FS: AOR AR8000 Scanner Radio with Optolinx! - reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <3EhT2.38$Z_2.106@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 121424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Full coverage UK model with US bandplan. 1000 channels, alpha tags,
computer programmable. Includes soft case, US ac power supply, Optolinx
computer interface and has the following mods:

Scout mod
discrimnator tap
movable birdie mod
voice descrambler

The price has been reduced on this to $350, however all reasonable offers
will be considered. Thanks.

2223. WANTED: Super Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rosey Rosenbaum <bili@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <qkiT2.620$F4.2256@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 121425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a set of Super Scanners. Anyone know where I can find a set of them please let me know. Thanks bili@iquest.net

2224. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: free <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fkc4d$ha5$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Freeserve in it's Corporate wisdom said.....

> Since you obviously want to take this issue into the public forum, your
web
> site content has nothing to do with the locking of your account.
>
> We received a complaint relating to your posting (below) which was
promoting
> a web site containing hacked software. As you are no doubt aware the
> hacking/cracking of commercial software is illegal.

Ian K (Eavsdroppers) response to this.....

1)Please show me NOW, the exact legislation that makes a crack or patch file ITSELF and in it's OWN space illegal !, basically they are only illegal if you use them or use them to comit an illegal act and NOT while standing alone doing nothing, it is merely code !. As far as I know there has been no legal precident made on this, and in some countries the file it could even be protected as language and speech under certain laws and or amendmants. This is a very similar situation as scanner radios and many things in life where it is illegal to USE them or to utilise them for an illegal purpose but it is NOT illegal to own it or distribute it or LINK to it.

2) It was NOT ON MY SITE.

3) The post only contained a link to the site and did NOT contain any "PROMOTION" of the site nor did the post contain a binary file. If a link to somewhere is to be considered a "promotion" then you better shut down the internet as everybody in the world is promoting everything, everywhere.

4) Why lie then, I was told on the phone by a planet online supervisor that it was because of spamming, an obvious cover for the TRUTH, but now it comes out !.

5) I am very glad indeed that you have made this response in public because you have confirmed my fears that DIXONS FREESERVE and PLANET ONLINE have made themselves self-appointed CENSORS !.You may have done this unintentionately but I feel otherwise.

6) You can slice it anyway you want but what you have just done is censorship plain and simple, and I am sure many will agree . If i had put the file in a newsgroup then, yes that would be naughty as the groups i had posted into where not binary groups. If i had spammed this link into every group out there, then yes that is wrong and as such would deserve something

of a slap on the wrist, but no I merely posted a one sentence message with a link in it . This is NOT "promotion" as you put it ..... did I write "come on down, one time only, you will not get this cheaper" no I did not did I, let me tell you I am just beside myself on this one. You have just supplied me with ammunition against you THANK YOU !.

Also note that I am now contacting the Journalist that I told you about and we will be looking into a national newspaper carrying an article on this as it has serious ramifications on peoples freedom of speech on the Internet.

Please make sure you read below also

> Extract of AUP :-
>
> 6.1.3 agree not to use Freeserve or the Services for any illegal purpose
> and in accordance with all relevant laws;

I HAVE NOT, posting a LINK to a site no matter what it contains IS NOT ILLEGAL as you said it is, show me the law that says it is or for that matter a case that has set this precident under UK law.

Tell me does this also include if I put a link to DIXONS STORES on my posts as goods that DIXONS ILLEGALY put on the shelves as new when they are knowingly second-hand is against UK and many international trading standards laws so therefore it must be illegal for ANYBODY to talk about, link to or promote DIXONS and it's sister companies. Dixons and it's sister companies ARE guilty of this I have independant witnesses and I can put that where my mouth is. I will let you know the store/article/persons involved if you like(but be aware I will also make this public aswell if you request it)

(I would also like to see my site visitors and those of you who have sent letters of support to me so far on this to PLEASE post to me, or post publicly any incedents that you know of where you have yourself or know somebody who has purchased anything from any branch of Dixons plc. and it's sister companies which was bought in good faith as "brand new" and was later found to be second hand !.)

>
> 6.1.4 agree not to use Freeserve or the Services to transmit or post any
> chain letters or any material for the purposes of publicity, promotion
> and/or advertising;

I HAVE NOT !, a link is not a promotion it is just that ...a link !
if this is the case as you say then you must also kill the accounts of every person on the Internet as everybody everywhere makes links !.

> I would also point out the following AUP section which your posting breached
>
> 6.2.5 forging or blocking headers and/or addresses or any other action the
> purpose of which is to hide your true identity or discredit a third party;

> fundementaly I did not , a mail is easily traceable to its origin wether or not I put from ian or from GOD in it , the rest of the mail is traceable as usual and NO attempt was made by me to cover that up !. If i wanted to do that I would use hotmail or an anonymiser you idiot !. but that is not really relevant, that is you clutching at straws trying to cover up the REAL truth behind this...you have CENSORED, MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH HAS BEEN INFRINGED.

If this is the case then why dont you take on microsoft for allowing the switching of "alisases" in it's mail software and the ability to change "from" address's...this by your definitions must be illegal !. Oh I forgot they are not a "little person" they would take you apart.

> Also be advised that we have noted your threat of attacking our servers.

For a start that was a glib expression as I was so incensed by your actions besides It is not just me you need to worry about....it's the public knowledge of Censorship by Dixons freeserve and p.o.l this is a sh*t hot subject on the net and you have just publicly admitted to doing it !. Even if your servers where attacked as you put it , i should not think anybody would shed a tear !.

I have better things to do anyway, like advertising your Censorship in public...thank you !!!!!!. I will leave the mass Virus or worm dumping on your servers to somebody better qualified to do it, i'm not qualified for that .

By the way, P.O.L and Dixons freeserves behaviour gives you a prime example of why EAVSDROPPERS web site was put up, to help to give you the ability to monitor and watch what the Corporate and Gov. get up to.......watch it or say goodbye to your freedom of speech !!!

SEE THIS PEOPLE AND THEN SIT BACK AND LOOK CAREFULLY AT IT, I HAVE BEEN CENSORED FOR MAKING A LINK TO A WEB SITE BECAUSE THEY DONT LIKE IT , irrelevant of the fact that a link is not illegal...They dont like what I posted so they Censored me by pulling the account, so be careful about what you discuss or talk about if you are with freeserve...they may well censor you to or be reading your e-mails as I stated a long time ago.

Dixons and POL, Every mistake you make will now be scrutinised by many and I am one of those people who have a LOT of time on my hands to scrutinise companies like yours, I will find the skeletons in your cupboard, you should not under-estimate the little people...they are bigger than you think !.

Ian k. (eavsdropper)

2225. Need Mods for Pro-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Dunlap <kc8hfa@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <371DB49D.5718DBE9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 121427

I'm Looking for the mods for the Pro-2046 Radio Shack Scanner
If there out there I would like to get them
I want to completely open it up to recieve everything
Thanks in advance.

--
Marriage is not a word but a sentence.
-Anonymous

2226. FS: UKniden BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Lange <langetec@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <0IiT2.626$Nj1.14557283@ronws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121428

Perfect condition. Not a scratch. Original box and manuals. Less than 9
months old. Asking $185 shipped

--
Replies to langetec@nospam.net
Replace nospam.net with mediaone.net please

2227. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjones93@aol.com
Message-ID: <3722b30c.1832167@a.a.a>
Source record: 121429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:

> Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
>strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
>telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
>amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
>disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
>don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
>roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
>end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
>the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
>
>http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
>
> This is no joke.
> This is not spam.
> This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.

I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
received!

Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
signals.

2228. FS: PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl Hovey <dhovey@worldchat.com>
Message-ID: <A_iT2.41703$R92.28932@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 121430

For Sale RS PRO-2005 scanner
400 channel
25 mhz to 1300 mhz
AM, NFM,WFM.
Same unit as PRO-2006 but scans slightly slower.
Good condition
$225.00 US. Shipping included via post. Other methods you pay.
Located in Ontario, Canada

2229. Re: Stay away from LEMON FT-100 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Dunlap <kc8hfa@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <371DBDF3.9A950B4B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 121431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey gig the FT-100 is a mobile rig (duh)

gig wrote:

> If it was new why did you not use the battery that came with said
> radio?(if it needed a charge- charge it then perform your tests.unless
> it did not come with a battery-maybe they are now optional.. another
> reason to use commercial gear.
> good luck gig.On 10 Apr 1999 13:34:55 GMT, "Matdee"
> <mattde@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >Took delivery 2 wks ago of a new FT-100. I am waiting to take
> >my ham test next week and thought I would start off with
> >the new mini-radio by Yaesu. Power it up from a regulated
> >lab grade power supply for rcv only. The display went DEAD
> >and actually smelled something burning just after 1/2 hour of
> >use. Shipped it back to the dealer for a warranty claim - Hamradio
> >outlet Nv. I now hear since then many other people have had their
> >FT-100 crap out on them. STAY AWAY from the FT-100.
> >It's the radio equivalant of a Yugo or Vega. A real radio LEMON!
> >
> >Matt D. N.Mex

2230. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11878bc93010e2749896b3@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121432

In article <zeno-2004992149590001@pm51-36.magicnet.net>,
zeno@magicnet.net says...

> Any of the Digital Spread Spectrum phones that are DSS both ways will be
> completely secure. The Sprint DSS ones sold at Radio Shack are very good.
> Catalog #SP-508 would be an excellent choice. (And no, I do not work for
> radio Shack or Sprint.) Just pointing him to a good secure cordless
> phone.) I use an ATT 9300 Digital Spread Spectrum cordless phone myself.
> The now called Lucent Technologies DSS ones would be good also.

I know a simple scanner would be useless with these but what would
somebody need to have if they wanted to listen in on a dss transmission?
It not encrypted right? It's some very simple digital encoding that I
suppose all digital phones share in common and then you need to figure
out what are the bits that tell it what frequency it is skipping to next.
Is there any hardware out there you can buy to listen? I guess I am just
not convinced to give up on my corded phones. After you have had an
eavesdropper once it makes you very paranoid of schemes you don't
understand. I feel very secure with encrypted transmissions over the
Internet since I understand the idea behind public/private key
encrypting. In the case of DSS it just sounds like a scheme that nobody
has gotten around to coming up with some software and connected listening
device for yet.

Vamp

2231. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7fkg1cjed1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

As a follow up to this, please explain Planet abuse team why you CENSOR my account because I posted a link to a web site that contasined cracks , yet YOU carry the alt.binaries.cracks newsgroup on your servers along with many others like it....bloody hypocrite !

Anybody still belive them ?

SELECTIVE CENSORSHIP !, its that simple.

eavsdropper

2232. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <7371774@email.mcimail.com>
Message-ID: <371DC50C.73460D6B@email.mcimail.com>
Source record: 121434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

S. Jones wrote:

> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:
>
> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
> >disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
> >don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
> >roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
> >end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
> >the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
> >
> >http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
> >
> > This is no joke.
> > This is not spam.
> > This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>
> I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
> received!
>
> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
> signals.

Jones, where do you get your information that CB equipment may not cause
interference with telephone or TV or other radio. Most of the time it is
the fault of the entertainment equipment and not the radio. Do more
research before you reply. Visit the FCC WEB
page for information on interference. Very helpful

2233. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7fkhvl$e7o$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121435

Well no kidding!!

Note the key wording here:

"and thereby impedes"

In fact, the way this law was written, someone who had covering an 'event' like a fire as "part of the duties of that person's employment" could actually impede police or fire or other emergency response personell and get away with it.

A STUPID POORLY WRITTEN LAW!!!!

But read what you post. As long as an individual stays out of the way, there is NOTHING here that prevents him from showing up at an emergency just to observe.

In fact, if he were to take photos, and sell just ONE of those photos to ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law is written.

Mike Yetsko

Skip Sanders wrote in message ...
>Just for reference, from the California Penal Code:
>
>402. (a) Every person who goes to the scene of an emergency, or
>stops at the scene of an emergency, for the purpose of viewing the
>scene or the activities of police officers, firefighters, emergency
>medical, or other emergency personnel, or military personnel coping
>with the emergency in the course of their duties during the time it
>is necessary for emergency vehicles or those personnel to be at the
>scene of the emergency or to be moving to or from the scene of the
>emergency for the purpose of protecting lives or property, unless it
>is part of the duties of that person's employment to view that scene
>or activities, and thereby impedes police officers, firefighters,
>emergency medical, or other emergency personnel or military
>personnel, in the performance of their duties in coping with the
>emergency, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

2234. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <924701210.696.11@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sadly, its's unlikely that a complaint to the FCC will be effective. First, the FCC has formally concluded that most CB interference cases are caused by inadequate design by the manufacturer, and that the person experiencing the interference has the primary responsibility to solve the problem, possibly with the help of the equipment manufacturer.

See

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/News_Releases/1994/nrcc4019.txt

and

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Compliance/WWW/tvibook.html#sIII

Even more sadly has been the effect of Reagan-era deregulation and streamlining. The FCC no longer has the field personnel to respond to even the most serious cases of interference, such as to fire, police, ambulance and aircraft communications.
--

Charley, N8MQL Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

S. Jones <sjones93@aol.com> wrote in message news:3722b30c.1832167@a.a.a...
> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:
>
> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and

<<<snip>>>

> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
> signals.

2235. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2204992050510001@pm54-03.magicnet.net>
Source record: 121137

In article <MPG.1187660473cbf2e2989682@news.dc.infi.net>,
lgantz@dc.infi.net wrote:

> In article <zeno-2004992149590001@pm51-36.magicnet.net>,
> zeno@magicnet.net says...

> > Any of the Digital Spread Spectrum phones that are DSS both ways will be
> > completely secure. The Sprint DSS ones sold at Radio Shack are very good.
> > Catalog #SP-508 would be an excellent choice. (And no, I do not work for
> > radio Shack or Sprint.) Just pointing him to a good secure cordless
> > phone.) I use an ATT 9300 Digital Spread Spectrum cordless phone myself.
> > The now called Lucent Technologies DSS ones would be good also.
> >
>
> Note that the Sprint SP-508 at RS is the same as the AT&T/Lucent 9515.
> Both "models" were supposed to come out last year. I picked up the 9515
> for $149.95 at Office Depot. I noticed in J&R Music World's latest
> catalog is also selling the 9515 for the same price.. plus I really like
> this phone.
>
> Louis

That is a very good price for the 9515. I paid a good price for my ATT
9300 also at Service Merchandise after the 9300 stopped being made.

2236. University Circle Police,where did ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4217-371FC3C0-8@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121138

Cleveland,Ohio,
Where did University Circle Police go? They used to share the freq.with Bonnie Speed delivery service. (856.1625) I've searched,but cannot find them. Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

Dave

2237. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <ijas@hs.com>
Message-ID: <371FDE27.848D73C6@hs.com>
Source record: 121139

Lee, do you live in the US, and are you ordering from bandercom?

Lee Rothman wrote:

> I am going to get a MVT-9000m2.4 in a couple of weeks and I am going to
> pay the extra charge and get it 2nd Day UPS and not put up with the
> "snail mail" method that many on the newsgroup mention has caused delays.

2238. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7foo4vkmu1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

People love to LAMPOON you, and that is not the same thing.....
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371F8434.BD56D86E@usa.net...
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > you are not even a footnote in this news group.
>
> Hardly true, hell, I am one of the most popular people in this
NG!!!(people LOVE
> to talk about me!)
>
>
> > No sane
> > person carries on a tirade for over three years the way you have !
>
> I have not carried on a tirade on this NG ever!! I have only been on this
NG
> for barely over2 years, not hardly the 3 you claim!
>
>
> > I have
> > yet to see you post anything that remotely approaches technical
expertise,
>
> Then you haven't read my posts. I have made posts here about antennas,
> coax,monitoring repeaters with low-band scanners not to mention many other
posts
>
> in the past.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2239. FS/FT: Realistic PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7foora$3sb$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121141

Excellent condition with full cellular and low band (54-88 Mhz) restored. With original rubber duck antenna, belt clip, and copy of the manual. Date code 6A2.

Price is $200 plus $5 shipping in U.S.

Will consider trade for: PRO-2004/5/6/2042.

2240. WTB BCT7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <371FEDB7.5488A6EE@bwn.net>
Source record: 121142

Greetings,
I'm looking to purchase a BCT7, email with price and condition.
Thanks,
Gerry
--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 HyGain VIII TRC 499
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

2241. Re: University Circle Police,where did ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7forlmhvs1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 121143

University Circle, Inc, CHIEF TIMOTHY J PEPPARD POLICE DEPT
12100 EUCLID AVE
CLEVELAND OH 44106

WNCE563 "GB" Service 856.1625

UNIVERSITY CIRCLE HOUSING, same address,
WNYS462 864.0625

UNIVERSITY CIRCLE INC, " POLLICE DEPT" (sic) same same
WPLR201 855.3625

Does that help?

harry
marnells@snowcrest.net

whose webpage is at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

David Williams wrote <snippesy doo>

Where did University Circle Police go? ..... I've searched,but cannot find
them. Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

Dave

2242. Re: University Circle Police,where did ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7fos0h$m68$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 121144

BTW, the University Circle freqs are in the "GP" and "GB" radio services.
The FCC defines GB as "CONVENTIONAL BUSINESS"
and GP as "CONV. PUB. SAFETY/SPECIAL EMERG"

harry

2243. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422125413.14155.00000177@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 121187

Don't do anything to help the media. Those rat bastards will turn around and stab you in the back first chance they get. They have it out for our hobby because it is a way of getting infrmation unfiltered by them and they can't stand it. I guarantee if you would have given them the transcript they would have used it then in a couple of days or weeks they or another station would have done a big story on how a scannner listener interfered with a police investigation by divuging police traffic to the media. The no good biased bastards....don't even get me started.....

2244. Re: Live Audio Florida EMS/Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Z3JT2.5940$8m5.9034@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 121188

Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <371F35AB.3B02@worldnet.att.net>...
>The following Fire and Rescue Departments are now availble on the Police
>World Scanner site at http://www.policeworld.net/user/bobcann/live.html
>
> Indianapolis FD
> Monroe County New York FD
> Montgomery County Maryland FD
> Providence FD
> Rochester FD

People should know that the above website does not actually carry ANY live audio itself. All of the above listed audio feeds are actually LINKS to other sites where the audio really originates.

73 de Dave, NF2G

2245. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <37245440.5184075@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Retard, you mean personalities, not FCC licenses. Get it straight, will ya?
Time to take another Prozac.

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 23:42:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Sorry, buy my multiple FCC licenses prove you wrong!!!!
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Did you get all of them at the same time you took your grammar test?
>>
>> You are an illiterate retard who couldn't READ an FCC test if it was on audio
>> tape!!
>>
>> On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:41:44 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>
>> >Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
>> >licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2246. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <3725548e.5262055@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 23:57:11 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Exactly what do you consider to be "wisdom"?

Anything that doesn't come from your lying ass.

2247. WTB: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbraun@localnet.com
Message-ID: <371f61e0.1749023@news.localnet.com>
Source record: 121191

I am looking for a Optoelectronics Scout.
If you have or know of one available please contact me with all the
details at e-mail: jbraun@localnet.com

Thanks,
James

2248. Re: WTB: AR-8000 or AR-8200 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <371F63B5.C6BF89BF@home.com>
Source record: 121192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi,

I have an AR-8000 for sale for $450. It is unblocked and in good condition. There were some small scratches in the face of the readout window, but I have buffed them out where you can see them only if you hold the light at a certain angle. They have no effect on the operation or seeing the display. The radio works excellent with no problems. I have the radio, the owners manual and the flat interface cable. I am going to keep my Optolinx, however.

I also have a RS PRO-2005 with the OS 456, full model for sale for $475.oo with all usual mods.

Thanks,

Richard Cash
WB4UGM

Michael Malloy wrote:
>
> I am interested in purchasing one of these scanners. If you have one for
> sale please email with asking price and condition.
>
> Mike

2249. Any Mods for the 2037??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <371f671c.1480262@news.icx.net>
Source record: 121193

Hi all,

Are there any mods for the RS 2037??

Steve

2250. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fnreu$g81$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looney - Tune, you are not even a footnote in this news group. No sane person carries on a tirade for over three years the way you have ! I have yet to see you post anything that remotely approaches technical expertise, and now you are on here again , screaming like a 6 - year - old "I got more licenses than you got". Typical, classic Mackalooney whining. So let`s keep it straight here. YOU are the one who thinks he has something to prove, and YOU are the only one that cares !
I find it endlessly amusing to watch you flounder about every time somebody confronts you with the facts. It wasn`t too long ago that you were claiming I was Bill Cheek, and yet you have not proven it. Did the poor baby looney find out different ? So POST THE PROOF, looney ! Isn`t that what you are fond of saying to everyone else ?
This has got to be good ..... I can hardly wait.....
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371E634C.91335C13@usa.net...
> Sorry, buy my multiple FCC licenses prove you wrong!!!!
>
> Macaroon wrote:
>
> > Did you get all of them at the same time you took your grammar test?
> >
> > You are an illiterate retard who couldn't READ an FCC test if it was on audio
> > tape!!
> >
> > On Mon, 19 Apr 1999 18:41:44 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> > >Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> > >licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on one
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2251. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <eNKT2.9516$Hh5.180@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 121195

it's not a" Broad Cast" because it's not being sent of a broad area it's sent officer to office etc...

David
Rick wrote in message <371E8BC8.3CF9760@rickster.org>...
>I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
>confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the
>meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private communication.
>Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the encoding
>scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion' would
>not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the
>transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is readily
>available to them.
>
>Rick
>
>
>ClayFyre61 wrote:
>
>> The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio
>> communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the
>> communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to be used
>> as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you hear to
>> the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.
>
>
>
>

2252. Re: The Eavsdropper Site.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bPKT2.9517$Hh5.167@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 121196

to right!!!

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7flsd1$gq4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>Ian,
>
>I want to say first that what you had done with that site was great, and it
>is truly appreciated by all of us here in the Corporate States ;-) where
>people are more concerned with civil liability than inalienable rights
>despite what our constitution says.
>
>But, I think enough is enough as far as the Freeserve issue goes. I can
>understand your anger at being shut down. Do you think I enjoyed walking
>away from the RD/LAP project I had started? Certainly not! It was one of
the
>hardest things I've ever had to do. But I had to do it, and I did. I
>could've come on the NG's yelling Motorola this and Motorola that at the
top
>of my lungs, but it wouldn't have done anything except make me even madder
>about the whole damn thing. Life's too short to spend it all pissed off at
>the world.
>
>The point has been made regarding freeserve, and karma has a way of coming
>around to people, good AND bad. I don't doubt that this will come back to
>haunt them someday, and the beauty of it is they will have done it to
>themselves. Even if nothing happens because of it, they've already lost a
>large number of the hit counts that they rely upon to keep advertiser
>support, just by taking down your site. It's real easy to come up with
>conspiracy theories when scanner sites are involved, but chances are in
this
>all too politically correct world we live in they just didn't want to
chance
>any legal liability should some of that software be used illegally here in
>the states. Our government like to pin the irresponsibility of others on
>even the least of any involved parties, case in point is the arrest of Bill
>Cheek. And as many in the world are aware the USSS and CIA are notorious
for
>skirting the rules outlined in worldwide extradition treaties and UN
>agreements (That's why UNSCOM over in Iraq was such a dismal failure)
>
>My suggestion would be to build your new site on a different server, and
>then make a simple, quiet announcement that it is back. And a little
>"downloader beware" statement of non-liability and legality of use outside
>of the UK would be a wise addition. I suggest something like this:
>

>"WARNING: The software on this site may be considered illegal in countries
>outside the United Kingdom, and is therefore provided for EDUCATIONAL USE
>ONLY at your own risk. The author of this site, the owners of this server
or
>any advertisers supporting this site claim NO responsibility or liability
>for any criminal activities or civil damages resulting from the use or
>download of this software. The user(s) of any software downloaded from this
>site bear complete and sole responsibility for its use. Downloading any
>software or viewing any further pages from this site denotes understanding
>and acceptance of these terms."
>
>If your ISP will allow it, make it a secure site that requires a login and
>change the password daily so ANYONE who visits the page must read the
>warning to get the daily password:
>
>"To access this site use the username 'Scanner'. The password today is the
>15th word in the above warning, so if you haven't read it yet DO IT NOW!"
>
>I think this will cover your butt pretty well should someone like Keith
>Knipschild do something dumb with the programs provided and should also set
>your ISP a little more at ease.
>
>Just some suggestions from a fan of the site!
>-Phil
>
>
>
>
>

2253. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F7F87.CB0496E0@usa.net>
Source record: 121197
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yea, but regardless, we try to give Blake every chance possible!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Delusions, poor Looney, delusions, created in your tiny, feverish mind.
>
> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371ACD31.A84A8891@usa.net...
> > OK Blake, I stand corrected on a technicality. Bill was asked to

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2254. Re: Who is John Mackey ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F7FDD.53268E83@usa.net>
Source record: 121198
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn, why are you unable to communicate without swearing & cursing?

Glenn wrote:

> jar of piss and shit tucked under your arm.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2255. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F8026.D9DC66FB@usa.net>
Source record: 121199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I don't have an ass, I only have a dog & cat.

Macaroon wrote:

> Anything that doesn't come from your lying ass.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2256. Re: Ac Adapter for Uniden UBC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <371F81FE.3A22@concentric.net>
Source record: 121200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn Landry wrote:
>
> I've purchased a Uniden UBC3000XLT from Bandercom and I'm wondering what
> type of adapter will I need to power it. I'm sure that I can get one
> from Radio Shack, but I'm unsure what adapter to buy. My scanner is on
> the way and I would like to have the adapter when it gets here.
>
> Glenn
Most all radios come with the ac adapter, don't go buy one until yours
is delivered.
--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

2257. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F8207.B2271EC@usa.net>
Source record: 121201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

Blake Bowers wrote:

>John, you have also been asked to leave FIDONET, UUNET, and the INTERNET.

Wrong on all three Blake. Three strikes & you OUT!!!!!! hehehe That's probably a new record for you Blake as you were wrong 3 times in one sentence!

> Secondly, what FCC licenses does Bill need to do the work he claims to

Bill has stated he has a "First Class General Radiotelephone License". No such licensehas ever existed with the US FCC. The FCC has had two licenses with similar name
to the above but they have no record of Cheek ever holding either one.

> Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
> one hand. Please list those.

Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my Amateur Radio Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license (1984), my Amateur Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio Advanced license (1990), and my Amateur radio Extra class license (1993). All these in addition to my General Radiotelephone License.

>
>
> Thank you in advance for your response.

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2258. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F8434.BD56D86E@usa.net>
Source record: 121202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> you are not even a footnote in this news group.

Hardly true, hell, I am one of the most popular people in this NG!!!(people LOVE to talk about me!)

> No sane
> person carries on a tirade for over three years the way you have !

I have not carried on a tirade on this NG ever!! I have only been on this NG for barely over2 years, not hardly the 3 you claim!

> I have
> yet to see you post anything that remotely approaches technical expertise,

Then you haven't read my posts. I have made posts here about antennas, coax,monitoring repeaters with low-band scanners not to mention many other posts

in the past.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2259. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F82D3.9A18D87A@usa.net>
Source record: 121203

Glenn wrote:

> Prove it John. What multiple licenses other than that stupid ham license
> anyone can get by memorizing the answers to the questions do you have.

I also have my General Radiotelephone License for working in the Commercial
radio
& Broadcast field.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2260. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F8477.A43401C8@usa.net>
Source record: 121204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Let me have one of your's, I am sure you get LARGE prescriptions of them assigned to you!

Macaroon wrote:

> Time to take another Prozac.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2261. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F84CB.C82B5594@usa.net>
Source record: 121205

MAlexder wrote:

> You have never
> contributed three lines of worthwhile information here, so it is

Then you haven't read my posts here.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2262. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <bALT2.7$Zs1.1054@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371F8207.B2271EC@usa.net...

> > Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
> > one hand. Please list those.
>
> Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my Amateur
Radio
> Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license (1984), my
Amateur
> Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio Advanced license (1990),
and my
> Amateur radio Extra class license (1993). All these in addition to my
General
> Radiotelephone License.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Mackey at his BEST! Counting a friggen Amateur Radio License four times!

Let's see I have my Amateur Radio Novice License, my Amateur Radio Technician License, my Amateur Radio Technician Plus License and my Amateur Radio General License. All these in addition to my Citizen Band Service License.

Wow John-Bowl, I have 5 Licenses too.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

BTW, aren't you one of the VE's being investigated for selling licenses to hams? Aren't you in danger of losing your Amateur Radio License if these charges were found to be true? Isn't it illegal (federal crime?) for you to be selling licenses to hams that didn't take the test?

You friggen LOON!

2263. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371F86CA.56196265@usa.net>
Source record: 121207

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> I don`t NEED multiple licenses, Looney ! I already know something about
> radio and electronics !

You have been betrayed by your own actions & words.

> After observing your posts fro over three years, I know
> where you`re at !

MORE lies from YOU!! I have BARELY been here 2.5 years, well short of 3 years

as you claim. According to Dejanews, you "Zombie Wolf" have NO usenet
history
for 1996 or 1997 because it STARTS in 1998! Shame on you for lying on this
NG!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2264. Re: Who is John Mackey ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5KLT2.12$Zs1.1531@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121208
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gosh John, I did the same as you, reposted a message. Here, I'll post it again for you John-Bowl, compliments of a insightful reader of this NG describing you;

"In fact, I'd guess that there isn't anything you could say that would raise your ratings in the eyes of regular readers of this group. You're an amusing sideshow to an otherwise informative newsgroup. You're the "Otis" of "Mayberry rec.Radio.Scanner". You're Damon Wayons as the homeless guy; filthy and three sheets to the wind, roaming about with your mayonaise jar of piss and shit tucked under your arm. Repulsing most and amusing the rest with your delusional take on the world.

Babble on John."

Glad to see you didn't disagree with the characterization. BAWAHAHA. How could you? It is dead on, eh John-Bowl?

Take your jar and scurry along boy.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371F7FDD.53268E83@usa.net...
> Glenn, why are you unable to communicate without swearing & cursing?
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > jar of piss and shit tucked under your arm.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2265. Re: "Dim" display - Pro 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Mahar <rmahar01@snet.net>
Message-ID: <371FAC19.4885@snet.net>
Source record: 121209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just replaced the backlight on a PRO 2005, and the part cost me $30, and the job is moderately complicated. I don't know whether it would be more or less difficult on your scanner, but I am sure that it is possible. It is probably a luminescent panel, rather than a light. Good luck

2266. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <371F8B19.70A5@nightowl.net>
Source record: 121210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:
>
> Don't know about where you guys are from but here in SouthWest Ohio, a single
> phone call to the public utilities commission gets results real quick...A
> CB'er had his equipment confiscated and placed on probation by the local judge
> for interferring with the neighbors telephones just like this thread is
> talking about....and the police enforced the law which coincidentally states
> that no one will interfere with the operation of a public utility.......go
> figure....the FCC never got near the guy....since the case came and went
> there's been very little complaints about CB'ers interferring with the
> neighbors phones......the stereo is a totally different matter.....the PUCO
> could care less about it.......enjoy......

Well if the CB'er had any brains he would realize that he was not
interfering
with a service, he was interfering with a consumer product. And with a
lawyer
and a few bucks could have got all his stuff back and back on the air in
hours.
The phone itself is not a service, only the line coming into the house.
And lets
see Mr.Judge prove he was coming through the line.

Bottom line people, you can threaten to beat up, tear down, and call
anyone you want
to, but the fact still remains that in %90 of the cases a rational talk
with the CB'er
or Ham can usually start the offender trying to rectify the problem.
Here's something
else to think about. When i first bought my home I put up a CB antenna
a few days after
I moved in. It not being connected to any piece of equipment for 2
weeks I received
3 visits from neighbors claiming my CB, which wasn't even hooked up, was
coming through
there TV, phones, etc. I explained to them it wasn't even hooked up to
anything, 2 of them
never called again and the third one called the city hall on me. Well
you know what the city
hall did, nothing. Nothing they can do, oh yeah they made me pay 5
bucks for a building
permit for my antenna. Yall have a good rest of the week.

Todd

2267. Re: Help with Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <371F8B69.3573496A@ibm.net>
Source record: 121211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

MB wrote:
> I just purchased a new RadioShack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker
> Scanner. I want to learn about this whole system, but am unsure where
> to start looking. I also wanted to get a transmitter/receiver that
> was similar to this unit with all the possible frequencies, but could
> also transmit.

Not possible. Besides, to transmit any usable power, you'd need
MANY FCC licenses and most would not be granted since you'd have
no right to broadcast in many of the bands.

So be happy just receiving!

> P.S. - Can I call my local Police Department and ask them what
> frequencies they use for their communications, or would they not tell
> me??

Depends on where you live and how friendly they are to scannists.
In some places they are downright hostile to anyone listening in
to them. In others, they welcome all the eyes and ears they can
get.

> And is listening and/or asking for their frequencies illegal??

In the US, no. In many countries, yes. There is also MUCH more than
police to listen to and each country dictactes (i.e. passes laws)
as to what you may and may not listen to. Be sure to find out the
rules you are governed by before you get into trouble and end up
on the news!

If you live in the US, I strong suggest you stop into a local Radio
Shack (probably the same one you purchased your PRO-90?) and buy
a copy of Police Call Plus. Lots of good background information along
with thousands of frequencies and who uses them in your local area!

Best of luck, be responsible and have fun!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2268. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <371F8C91.80124F1@ibm.net>
Source record: 121212

Jason wrote:
> I new to the hobby, and I'm thinking about buying a scanner from
> Bandercom (www.bander.com), and I have a few questions.
>
> 1) The radio that I am looking at is the Yupiteru MVT-9000. How is
> this radio? has anyone had any problems?

Great radio! Read more about it at the following:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revm9000.htm
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rsf_yupi.htm
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/opi_recv.htm

> 2) How reliable is Bandercom? How is their;
>
> a) service
>
> b) shipping

Very reliable. They do have some shipping delays from Finland
which are not entirely their fault. For more feedback, see
the following:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dlr_srvy.htm

Be sure to read up on the import regulations for the country
in which you live. Here in the US, it is illegal to import a
radio which can receive cellular phone transmissions.

Best of luck!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2269. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <371F903F.5D9B9D9B@uswest.net>
Source record: 121213

Besides your Ham license, show us your FCC General radio-telephone
operators class license.
Any other excuse will not be accepted (and will only serve to make you look like
the liar you are).

Hawke

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple such
> as myself!
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > Yeh ! He had to send away for them ! (And the other ones came in his
> > crackerjacks box !)
> >
> > allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote in message
> > news:371BCD24.24026885@INVALID.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE
> > FCC
> > > > licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
> > one
> > > > hand!
> > >
> > > Or just one finger.
> > >
> > > Can ya guess which finger I had in mind?
> > >
> > > You friggin loon.
> > >
>
> --
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2270. Re: Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <371F90E2.315A0757@uswest.net>
Source record: 121214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You are asking quite a lot here dude.

I am not sure there is an antenna that coveres this range even reasonably well
for
reception. You could always try a homebuilt unit (check the ARRL Antenna
Handbook
and its compendiums for further info).

Hawke

Robert wrote:

> I am looking to buy an excellent outdoor antenna that covers the range from
> 1mhz to the 1200mhz. I will be installing this antenna on the roof of my
> house. I already have the super stick II and the original. I know that this
> is a broad range but there must be something out there. Let me know of any
> ideas that you think might work to improve antenna performance. Thanks...

2271. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <eoMT2.58$Ff.175@wards>
Source record: 121215

>
> >The underground sites don't cry when xoom, geocities... pull their
> >pages. They find another place and QUIETLY start another.
>
> Underground sites EXPECT to be shut down. Ian was blindsided by the
> ISP's action. In addition, they outright lied to him about the reason
> for the shutdown. He is quite understandably and justifiably PISSED.
>

Hi again Shiva, dont worry mate we all get them from time to time.
Anyay I am going to be busy for a while I have some new radio/com equip to
get and a new car so I will be up again with the site in the near future, I
will send you an e-mail when it is up mate

regards

eavsdropper

2272. BC 855 Frequency Spacing, Etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobbo@softhome.net
Message-ID: <371f93fa.339699772@nntp.sprynet.com>
Source record: 121216

Okdokey, here's the deal. I just got a BC 855XLT scanner, and I did both the mods, for cell and 50 extra channels. Both worked fine, and I am glad that I got such an easily modifiable scanner. Problem is, now when I type in a frequency in the scanner, it comes out weird. for example, if I type in 879.540, it comes out 879.537. Is that normal? (879.54 is listed as a cell channel) And another thing. A FRIEND OF A FRIEND is using _HIS_ scanner to try and snarf ENS/MIN data, using a proggie called Banapaia. But all he gets is DCC errors. He's using the discriminator tap and the right settings, it just isn't decoding. He gets like 236 DCC errors in a few hours. He would like to record a sample of what he's trying to decode and send it to one of you so you can verify if it is actually cell data and not something else. Okay, well, thanks in advance, and happy scanning.
Bobbo
bobbo@softhome.net

2273. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: etrent@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7foa5u$e23$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121217

In article <37090517.AEC7C146@mweb.co.za>,
"Abel.J.Erasmus" <agerus@mweb.co.za> wrote:
>
>
> MarkH8624 wrote:
>
> > I am a newbie to the scanner scene (previously a SW DX-er). I'm considering
the
> > purchase of a Yupiteru scanner. Anyone have experience with this brand. TIA.
>
> I am the happy and proud owner of a yipiteru mvt 7100 scanner and
> I have no complaints regarding the reception and sensitivity of the scanner
There
> is a good review at (http://www.jaiviation.co.uk)
> They have tested it against a lot of leading brand scanners and it came out
tops in
> nearly all frequency bands they tested
> I would recommend it to anyone who is interested in this little scanner!!
>
> Regards
> Abel.J.Erasmus
>I have a Yupiteru 7100E.Is there a difference?On the box it has CE.What does
this stand for?I noticed on some of the bands you have to take the frequency
down 5kh to receive the best reception.Ex.118.300 has to be tuned to
118.295,460.1500 has to read 460.1450. is their a reason for this or is this a
reject?

>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2274. Pro 2042 trade for SW rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422172818.04558.00000318@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121218

I have a Pro 2042 in exelent shape, will trade for a good SW radio.
Email with details.
Gil

2275. Re: The Eavsdropper Site.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sqMT2.59$Ff.137@wards>
Source record: 121219

shortened----------

> If your ISP will allow it, make it a secure site that requires a login and
> change the password daily so ANYONE who visits the page must read the
> warning to get the daily password:
>
> "To access this site use the username 'Scanner'. The password today is the
> 15th word in the above warning, so if you haven't read it yet DO IT NOW!"
>
> I think this will cover your butt pretty well should someone like Keith
> Knipschild do something dumb with the programs provided and should also
set
> your ISP a little more at ease.
>
> Just some suggestions from a fan of the site!
> -Phil
>
>
>
>
> I have already deleted references to non UK scanner groups on this matter
and have basically dropped it now as the point has been made, as for the
site it WILL be back up soon and I thank you for the thanks you gave for the
site it is very welcome.

but Dixons has not heard the last yet :-)

Catchya soon !!

eavsdropper

2276. FS YB 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422172920.04558.00000320@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121220

FS YB400 in exelent cond. $150. shipped.
Gil

2277. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@is.retarded
Message-ID: <37208c3c.5603589@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

A mental delusional called jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>I don't have an ass, I only have a dog & cat.

You don't have an ass? That explains why you are so full of shit.

You lying retarded FOOL!!

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Anything that doesn't come from your lying ass.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2278. Re: South Toms River Frequencies + Trunks (if any) (New Jersey)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thevacs9mm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422174946.14149.00000439@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 121222

email to thevacs9mm@aol.com - I have them, just not handy right now.

Mike

2279. Re: Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <371fbdb8@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 121223

Pro43 <pro43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990422081556.14295.00000097@ng-cm1.aol.com...
> This is one of the responses I rercived regaurding the pulling of my STOCK
> AOR8000. Someone needs to set these guys straight.

They just don't have a clue. I'm having better luck selling stuff on
amazon.com

Dan

2280. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <371fa26e.20217605@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121224
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You are correct.It IS a CB,and any 'self-respecting HAM' wouldnt
'admit' to using one.I wouldnt trade an HTX-100 for 2 of them,and I
probably dont have to tell you about 'Realistic'.....

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 12:44:52 GMT, "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:

>Well, it is basically a "CB with 10meters", so you can't really expect much
>quality when using on 10m. There are better CB's out there, too.
>
>--
>
>Asmo
>KF4YTR
>Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
>
>Joe wrote in message <371e7cb7.2129064@news.mindspring.com>...
>> What I find hard to believe is that there are people foolish
>>enough to pay $200 for one of these 'piece-o-shit' rigs!!!!
>>
>
>
>

2281. Atlanta Aircraft Watchers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14125-371F95DD-85@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121225

I'm a former Atlanta area resident who will be coming down to Atlanta
this Saturday & Sunday, April 24-25 for the sole purpose of watching and
listening to the airplane activity at Atlanta Int'l Airport.

If anyone has the same interests and would be
interested in hooking up, I will be capable of
transmitting on FRS Channel 1 (462.5625) and
CB Channel 1 (26.965). A friend who will be with
me is a HAM and will be capable of transmitting on
the 146.82 ARC repeater.

Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

UHF-VHF-HF Aircraft
Callsign Identification
Air Refueling

Save America, Evict Clinton!

2282. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@is.retarded
Message-ID: <37229f72.10522204@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 20:15:00 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
>> you are not even a footnote in this news group.
>
>Hardly true, hell, I am one of the most popular people in this NG!!!

....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning. You failed that challenge last year.

2283. Re: 900mhz Convertor Schematic Available ...(using Adobe Acrobat 3.0)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <371fa4b3.1607733@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 121227

Anyone have a parts list?

On 22 Apr 1999 08:58:04 GMT, "info searcher" <info@studio.com> wrote:

>You must have Adobe Acrobat 3.0 to download (pdf) file
> BELOW
>
>http://members.aol.com/scanshack/scn1.pdf

2284. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@is.retarded
Message-ID: <37239f9c.10564665@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jack@me.off wrote:

>Let me have one of your's, I am sure you get LARGE prescriptions of them
>assigned to you!

Having phallic dreams again? Feeling defeated?

Try posting something related to scanning for ONCE in your lonely miserable
life, you FOOL!!

2285. Re: Check this out! (a little OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <7fo86k$2vs@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121229

This site is definitely different. I like the part stating they rely upon member participation in finding out and notifying others about police chases. Talk about a "win-win" situation for this firm.

SP

fallicon wrote in message ...
>x-no-archive: yes
>:LISUp687er8ug{)(*US0
>
>
>Ya know those companys that page you when rough weather is coming? Well,
>in Los Angeles you can pay to be paged when there is....get this..... a
>high-speed pursuit on television!! Unbelieveable....
>
>http://www.pursuitwatch.com/

2286. Re: Probe 4.0 question. (where is the DTMF log file?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <371FAD90.462A@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 121230

j_brown98@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> How does a person view the DTMF log in a readable format?
>
> I have Excel97 on my system but when I open the file log.fpt, Excel
> says that it is an unrecognized format.

I'm not sure how to do it using a Database but you can go into View Log
and tab over to the DTMF area, there you'll see it says <memo>, when
there, just hit enter and the logged numbers are displayed.

2287. Re: Tracy PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422194515.24551.00000398@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 121231

155.370- F1
155.790- F2
154.725- ??
also try
460.475

2288. Tracy PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: duhhh99@pop3.concentric.net
Message-ID: <371FB324.CC6B3B7A@pop3.concentric.net>
Source record: 121232

anybody know them frequencies of tracy, Calif. PD?

2289. Re: Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <0COT2.60$Kh5.4759@nntp1>
Source record: 121233

Good advice, Netrodyne. Noise freqs will always be around and, yea, this is probably a trunking control channel. Just lock it out. The 2042 isn't a trunk tracker, but a damn good scanner all the same.

PJ

Neutrodyne <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990420154557.15029.00003112@ng04.aol.com...
> >Subject: Pro 2042
> >From: "Ken Robbins" <gatliffs@mindspring.com>
> >Date: 4/16/99 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <7f8ugt$76s$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
> >
> >Help my pro 2042 get alot of noise like a fax machine on the 800 mhz in Ft
> >Myers FL is there anything that help a FM Trap will not work I try
> >gatliffs@mindspring.com
>
> Do you know it's not trunking control channels or paging? Both send data on
> 800MHZ channels that sound like a fax machine. Lock them out is all i know to
> do.
> Neutrodyne
>

2290. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <ijas@hs.com>
Message-ID: <371FBB9D.5D8CF07B@hs.com>
Source record: 121234

Rich,
On their web page it seems as if there is no problem ordering that radio
if I am in the United States (I live in Chicago). You say that there is
a delay.. about how much of a delay?

Thanks for the help!

Rich Wells wrote:

> Jason wrote:
> > I new to the hobby, and I'm thinking about buying a scanner from
> > Bandercom (www.bander.com), and I have a few questions.
> >
> > 1) The radio that I am looking at is the Yupiteru MVT-9000. How is
> > this radio? has anyone had any problems?
>
> Great radio! Read more about it at the following:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revm9000.htm
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rsf_yupi.htm
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/opi_recv.htm
>
> > 2) How reliable is Bandercom? How is their;
> >
> > a) service
> >
> > b) shipping
>
> Very reliable. They do have some shipping delays from Finland
> which are not entirely their fault. For more feedback, see
> the following:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dlr_srvy.htm
>
> Be sure to read up on the import regulations for the country
> in which you live. Here in the US, it is illegal to import a
> radio which can receive cellular phone transmissions.
>
> Best of luck!
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

2291. Re: Uniden BC-245XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rozitis <mrozitis@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <371FEACA.7E2F5F79@interlog.com>
Source record: 121235

I have been told by Uniden that the 245 will do conventional, 2 motorola trunks and or 2 GE-EDACS trunk systems. Sounds like a wonderfull radio. I can't hardly wait. Last I heard they will be coming out near the end of May in the US and July up here in Canada.

Gary Sanford wrote:

> Anyone familiar with this scanner? Supposedly it will do the
> EDACS trunking system.
> TIA.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Gary Sanford
> sanford1@ibm.net

2292. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1189739db80e5e3989735@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121236

I am going to get a MVT-9000m2.4 in a couple of weeks and I am going to pay the extra charge and get it 2nd Day UPS and not put up with the "snail mail" method that many on the newsgroup mention has caused delays.

2293. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990421220818.28818.00000445@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121237

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple such
>as myself!

Personally, I don't give a rat's ass what licenses you have. You have never contributed three lines of worthwhile information here, so it is easy to conclude that licenses are given out freely to any idiot like you who comes along.

Mackey, if you are the representative of this group in which you so proudly claim membership, I feel sorry for them. Any group so desperate to have a despicable and loathsome character as you as a member can't be taken seriously.

If your fellow FCC license holders knew about your many antics here and elsewhere, I'm sure they would resolve to put you out on your ass where you surely belong.

2294. Seek Opinions: Austin Ferret 9-Band Omni Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stan <doesnot@exist.com>
Message-ID: <7fm2gr$5lk@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 121238

x-no-archive yes

Just moved in to my new house and have the opportunity to put up a new scanner antenna. Since I am also active on the 2mtrs ham band I am looking for a 1 antenna do everything vertical; i.e. scan & transmit with some gain over a discone.

I am hoping someone could offer an opinion on this antenna's performance since it carries a hefty price tag. If I was to put up 2 separate antennas, one for 2mtrs and another for scanning, I would be close to that price anyway but wouldn't have the nice clean look for my neighbors.

http://www.radio-ware.com/products/ferret.htm

Comments and advice appreciated, thanks.

2295. Re: WARNING: DIXONS and to prove my point read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <372a8465.28785585@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"ian k (eavsdropper)" <ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>This took all of 5 minutes of my time to collate and backs-up my accusations
>of Dixons fradulent trading, and their hypocrisy over censoring my account

You were not censored.

Nobody cares. Give it a rest already, you sniveling cretin.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2296. Re: "Dim" display - Pro 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <371EA81A.DAF2B4F4@gnc.net>
Source record: 121240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Parnass has detailed instructions at this link to describe
how to replace the backlight:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Radio_Shack_Scanner_Radios

That era of GRE built Radio Shack scanners are known to
have limited life backlights. The backlight actually is a
electroluminescent panel (EL). There are images of what one
looks like on my website:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

I was still able to purchase a new EL through Radio Shack for
my PRO-2005 for around $30.00. I don't know if one is still
available for yours or not. I know they no longer sell one for
the PRO-2004.

Robert

John Burch wrote:

> Do the displays deteriorate with time? This one can be read
> in a bright room at the correct angle. It is almost unreadable
> in a darkened room. Just the slightest "bluish" background
> lighting is visible in a darkened room.
>
> Are these displays replaceable by a user? Are there any
> "known" problems with the displays in these scanners?
>
> Thanks for your time
>
> John WB6GHA
> "Brand new used 2021 owner"
> occupant@syix.com
> ...

2297. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <371ea47d.92397785@news>
Source record: 121241

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 17:28:45 GMT, nospam@here.com wrote:

>The underground sites don't cry when xoom, geocities... pull their
>pages. They find another place and QUIETLY start another.

Underground sites EXPECT to be shut down. Ian was blindsided by the
ISP's action. In addition, they outright lied to him about the reason
for the shutdown. He is quite understandably and justifiably PISSED.

2298. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <371EA4EC.2E2E@erienet.net>
Source record: 121242

Hi Mike, you wrote

> >> ANY news service, then he could claim he's a 'freelance' and even
> >> (probably) get away with being a PITA at an event, the way this law
> >> is written.
> >>
> >> Mike Yetsko

Dumb question but here goes. What is a PITA?
Thanks
Rick

2299. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Westpol@montana.com
Message-ID: <371e9c15.18283159@news.montana.com>
Source record: 121243

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 22:39:04 -0400, Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote:

>I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
>confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the
>meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private communication.
>Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the encoding
>scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion' would
>not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the
>transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is readily
>available to them.
>
>Rick

Sometime in the not too distant future most public safety agencies
will probably encrypt their communications, in the mean time, obeying
the communications act (for those of us in the states), is the best
way of preserving our hobby.

By the way, where are all of these spread spectrum land mobile radios
that are readily available? I'd like to get a look at them.

2300. Re: If you are a expert at scanning PLEASE READ THIS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <371EAAE1.97A7571B@gnc.net>
Source record: 121244

You can get all your answers at one place:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Rich Wells still has the BEST scanner website, IMHO!

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Pshup king wrote:

> What do you think the best scanners are out there is. What do you think of the
> BC9000-XLT I am thinking of buying, is BearCat a good brand. What is continuous
> scanning. I have a mobil scanner that I take out with me, it is a SortsCat by
> BearCat. What kind of antenna could I get for that, that will give me the best
> range of getting local frequencies. Thanx for your help. oh yeah what are some
> good web pages for local frequencies. E-mail me
>
> Todd
> Tempe, AZ
> pshupking@aol.com

2301. Re: Need Mods for Pro-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Dunlap <kc8hfa@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <371EADD1.1D00042B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 121245

I'm sending this again because the reason I want to do the mod
on this is because I'm more interested in the other bands!
I don't care if I get the cell stuff or not! It doesn't mater to me.
Thank You
>I'm Looking for the mods for the Pro-2046 Radio Shack Scanner
>If there out there I would like to get them
>I want to completely open it up to recieve everything
>Thanks in advance.

--
If life is a waste of time, and time is a waste of life, why
don't we all waste time and have the time of our life?
--Unknown

2302. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <371eba23.13719832@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 121246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 23:42:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Sorry, buy my multiple FCC licenses prove you wrong!!!!
>

Buy? Heh, Heh, Heh!!! Talk about Freudian slips. Yes, you probably
did "Buy" your multiple FCC licenses.

2303. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: lgantz@dc.infi.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1187660473cbf2e2989682@news.dc.infi.net>
Source record: 121247

In article <zeno-2004992149590001@pm51-36.magicnet.net>,
zeno@magicnet.net says...
> In article <MPG.118151e8f92a4ecc9896b1@news.ne.mediaone.net>,
> NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com (Vamp) wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > What is the most secure remote phone available? Do any of these digitally
> > encode and encrypt the sound? I understand what spread spectrum is. What
> > kind of device would be needed to listen in on a spread spectrum digital
> > conversation. I'm normally not a paranoid person but I recently have
> > reason to believe that somebody has been listening in. I only have remote
> > phones and only one of them is 900mhz and digital. None of them is spread
> > spectrum. The thought of having to go back to corded phones phones is not
> > making me happy.
> >
> > Vamp
>
> Any of the Digital Spread Spectrum phones that are DSS both ways will be
> completely secure. The Sprint DSS ones sold at Radio Shack are very good.
> Catalog #SP-508 would be an excellent choice. (And no, I do not work for
> radio Shack or Sprint.) Just pointing him to a good secure cordless
> phone.) I use an ATT 9300 Digital Spread Spectrum cordless phone myself.
> The now called Lucent Technologies DSS ones would be good also.
>

Note that the Sprint SP-508 at RS is the same as the AT&T/Lucent 9515.
Both "models" were supposed to come out last year. I picked up the 9515
for $149.95 at Office Depot. I noticed in J&R Music World's latest
catalog is also selling the 9515 for the same price.. plus I really like
this phone.

Louis

2304. New MIG developed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
Message-ID: <371EC142.3FFDC4B0@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Source record: 121248

Off topic but you may get a laugh or two
http://www.geocities.com:80/CapitolHill/Lobby/2395/duck.html

2305. Re: New MiG developed is SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990422034558.12326.00000412@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 121249

>whtmtnman@pop.mpls.uswest.net
>Date: 04-22-1999 01:27 EST
>Message-id:

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2306. 2-Level FSK interface????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Dernbach <stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <924767404.340.93@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121250

What programs can be used with the 2-Level FSK Interfaces found on the web?
What are its capabilities?

Thanks for any and all info!
Scott

2307. MVT-9000 Discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <371eddb8@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 121251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know how to do this thanks....

2308. Re: scanner in vehicle laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990422043638.6903M-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 121252

On Wed, 14 Apr 1999, Rudolph M. Marcelletti wrote:

> I know MI has one and you need be a ham technician class or higher to have
> scanner in car--any body know of sites where I can find the regs for IL, IN,
> OH, PA or any other states?
>
Kentucky also requires that you be a Licensed Amateur to have a radio in a
vehicle that is able to receive Police transmissions.

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

2309. Schematic Diagram for 900mhz Scanner Convertor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: info searcher <info@studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be8c9b$4b6b5f20$f4ff00cc@default>
Source record: 121253

Must have Adobe Acrobat 3.0 or higher to Download pdf. file.
BELOW.....

http://members.aol.com/scanshack/scn1.pdf

2310. 900mhz Convertor Schematic Available ...(using Adobe Acrobat 3.0)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: info searcher <info@studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be8c9e$21a5fbc0$f4ff00cc@default>
Source record: 121254

You must have Adobe Acrobat 3.0 to download (pdf) file
BELOW

http://members.aol.com/scanshack/scn1.pdf

2311. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990422050240.6903O-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 121255

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999, bedlam wrote:

> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> > Robert:
> >
> > Technically no, it is not legal to do so. Also, it is possible you may give
> > out information that will put the police, and innocent students, at a
> > disadvantage! Especially considering this is a live, on-going event, I
> > would not repeat anything you monitor!
> >
> > Bill Crocker
>
> hm, ok, well then i guess i won't post it here. i'm a little
> confused though, because there are realaudio scanners
> that i can listen to on the web, live...
>
> rdh
> --
> bedlam
> The Center for the Study
> of the Stillness of Disgrace
> Green Mountain, Colorado
> http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm
>

How many bad guys with guns currently shooting people are going to carry a
laptop and a cell phone just to listen to realaudio police transmission
from the web......

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

2312. BC895XLT Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Hall <markeh@erols.com>
Message-ID: <371EECA2.43636C45@erols.com>
Source record: 121256

Anyone hacked the 895XLT yet? Opportunity Knocks

2313. Help with Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: MB <expo17@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <371eef27@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 121257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To All:

I just purchased a new RadioShack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker Scanner. I want to learn about this whole system, but am unsure where to start looking. I also wanted to get a transmitter/receiver that was similar to this unit with all the possible frequencies, but could also transmit. However, I have been unable to find such a unit. If someone could please give me some help with learning about these scanners and systems, or direct me to a place that can give me help, or tell me of a unit that does all the functions that this one does, plus allows me to transmit, I would greatly appreciate it. If you could please e-mail me your responses, I would greatly appreciate it. I will collect all the responses and periodically post them back to this newsgroup. My e-mail address is expo17@prodigy.net. Thank you very much.

- marc

P.S. - Can I call my local Police Department and ask them what frequencies they use for their communications, or would they not tell me?? And is listening and/or asking for their frequencies illegal?? Thanks for all the help.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
---------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

2314. Re: Chicago MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422060602.02672.00000001@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 121258

>Does anyone happen to know the frequencies of the Chicago Police MDT?

865.9375 865.9625 866.1875 866.2125 866.3375
866.5625 866.5875
866.6750 866.8125 867.1000 867.1750 867.5375
867.6375 867.6500
867.7375 867.7625 868.1000 868.2250 868.3000
868.3250 868.3500
868.5750 868.6750 868.7250 868.8000 868.8250

This is a multi-frequency system, possibly RD-LAP protocol, not certain. I'm unaware of any hobbyist level software currently available that will read them. Mobiles input the system 45 Mhz down from each frequency. Transmitter sites are on various district or area towers scattered across the city.

2315. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rick@rickster.org>
Message-ID: <371EFBDD.A03995FB@trickster.net>
Source record: 121259

Terry Cook wrote:

> On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 22:39:04 -0400, Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote:
>
> >I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
> >confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the
> >meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private communication.
> <snip>
>
> Sometime in the not too distant future most public safety agencies
> will probably encrypt their communications, in the mean time, obeying
> the communications act (for those of us in the states), is the best
> way of preserving our hobby.
>
> By the way, where are all of these spread spectrum land mobile radios
> that are readily available? I'd like to get a look at them.

.... In Oregon, a conviction for tape recording a police radio broadcast without the consent of one of the participants was overturned because the conversation was broadcast on a frequency available to the public.(89) The court rejected the conviction under a statute prohibiting unauthorized interception of a communication, instead finding the police broadcast fell under an exception for "broadcast[s] transmitted for the use of the general public.(90) Because the police "ha[d] no property or privacy interest" in the broadcast, it was transmitted on a frequency that was accessible to the public "without . . .hindrance," and because the police knew the public could monitor the broadcast, the court found it was "for the use of the general public(91)......

quoting ... "A Double-Barrelled Assault: How Technology and Judicial Interpretations Threaten Public Access to Law Enforcement Records" by Jamison S. Prime see http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html

The Communications Act of 1934 as ammended specifically exempts 'broadcasts intended for the use of the general public' from the confidentiality provisions. ie. prohibition against devulging the contents of a communication to a third party.

Rick

2316. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <trick@trickster.net>
Message-ID: <371EFFCE.3AD232EC@trickster.net>
Source record: 121260

Terry Cook wrote:

> On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 22:39:04 -0400, Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote:
>
> >I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
> >confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the
> <snip>
> >
> >Rick
>
> Sometime in the not too distant future most public safety agencies
> will probably encrypt their communications, in the mean time, obeying
> the communications act (for those of us in the states), is the best
> way of preserving our hobby.
>
> By the way, where are all of these spread spectrum land mobile radios
> that are readily available? I'd like to get a look at them.

Lets look at the the law...

US CODE Title 47 Chapter 5 Sub-Section VI sec 605

Sec. 605. Unauthorized publication or use of communications

(a) Practices prohibited Except as authorized by chapter 119, title 18, no person receiving, assisting in receiving, transmitting, or assisting in transmitting, any interstate or foreign communication by wire or radio shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning thereof, except through authorized channels of transmission or reception, (1) to any person other than the addressee, his agent, or attorney, (2) to a person employed or authorized to forward such communication to its destination, (3) to proper accounting or distributing officers of the various communicating centers over which the communication may be passed, (4) to the master of a ship under whom he is serving, (5) in response to a subpena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (6) on demand of other lawful authority. No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio communication and divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such intercepted communication to any person. No person not being entitled thereto shall receive or assist in receiving any interstate or foreign communication by radio and use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto. No person having received any intercepted radio communication or having become acquainted with the contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) knowing that such communication was intercepted, shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) or use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto. This section

shall not apply to the receiving, divulging, publishing, or utilizing the contents of any radio communication which is transmitted by any station for the use of the general public, which relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress, or which is transmitted by an amateur radio station operator or by a citizens band radio operator.

2317. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <trick@trickster.net>
Message-ID: <371F031C.DBC95B67@trickster.net>
Source record: 121261

Rick wrote:

> Terry Cook wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 22:39:04 -0400, Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > >I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
> > > >confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within the
> > > <snip>
> > > >
> > > >Rick
> > >
> > > Sometime in the not too distant future most public safety agencies
> > > will probably encrypt their communications, in the mean time, obeying
> > > the communications act (for those of us in the states), is the best
> > > way of preserving our hobby.

We also need to look at Title 18 Chapter 119 Section 2119 Subsection 2-g

(2)(g) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter or chapter 121 of this title for any person -
(i) to intercept or access an electronic communication made through an electronic communication system that is configured so that such electronic communication is readily accessible to the general public;
(ii) to intercept any radio communication which is transmitted -
(I) by any station for the use of the general public, or that relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress;
(II) by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense, private land mobile, or public safety communications system, including police and fire, readily accessible to the general public;
(III) by a station operating on an authorized frequency within the bands allocated to the amateur, citizens band, or general mobile radio services; or
(IV) by any marine or aeronautical communications system;
....

2318. Re: Help with Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Redmond <dredmond@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <371F085A.C8943DBE@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 121262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

MB wrote:

> To All:
>
> I just purchased a new RadioShack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker
> Scanner. I want to learn about this whole system, but am unsure where to
> start looking. I also wanted to get a transmitter/receiver that was similar
> to this unit with all the possible frequencies, but could also transmit.
> However, I have been unable to find such a unit. If someone could please
> give me some help with learning about these scanners and systems, or direct
> me to a place that can give me help, or tell me of a unit that does all the
> functions that this one does, plus allows me to transmit, I would greatly
> appreciate it. If you could please e-mail me your responses, I would
> greatly appreciate it. I will collect all the responses and periodically
> post them back to this newsgroup. My e-mail address is expo17@prodigy.net.
> Thank you very much.
>
> - marc
>
> P.S. - Can I call my local Police Department and ask them what frequencies
> they use for their communications, or would they not tell me?? And is
> listening and/or asking for their frequencies illegal?? Thanks for all the
> help.
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
> ---------== Over 72,000 Groups, Plus Dedicated Binaries Servers ==--------

Best site to visit is:

http://www.strongsignals.net/

--

Dave Redmond
Hermitage, Tn
dredmond@bellsouth.net

2319. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <371f0694.328194255@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 121263

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 20:31:23 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>They can, and do, expect to be overheard. Neverthless, if you publish what
>you heard, you can expect at least a chance of getting a MUCH closer look at
>police proceedures than you'll like. It IS illegal.

It is the duty of a patriot to disobey an unjust law. Just my
.02

-Benji

2320. Re: Military aircraft in Cleveland, Ohio area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422074734.02672.00000011@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 121264

>Desperately seeking some confirmed military aircraft frequencies (225 to 400 Mhz AM) in the Cleveland, Ohio or NE Ohio area. My Pro-43 doesn't hear a thing!
==========
1. Use an outside an antenna, and be patient, this stuff isn't going 24 hours a day like police and fire. Best bets are daylight hours weekdays, 9AM-Noon, 1PM-5PM and weekends for the reserves. Almost all you will hear will be in AM mode.
==========
2. For starters, try 301.600 / 138.300 for brush creek moa and searching 138-144 Mhz, AM Mode, 25 Khz steps, and 350.350 for the Hilltop MOA in north central indiana
==========
3.Refuelling by Track: (All NE Ohio area)
AR217: Pri-283.9 Sec-282.7 Entry-306.3 Exit-317.4
AR218: Pri-352.6 Sec-282.7 Entry-307.1 Exit-269.3
AR219: Pri-366.3 Sec-282.7 Entry-363.1 Exit-288.3
AR220: Pri-352.6 Sec-282.7 Entry-307.1 Exit-317.4
==========
4....
(From Scott Richardson's Indiana Scanning Report 1997)

178th Fighter Group Springfield OH:
138.450 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
138.750 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
139.525 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
139.700 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
139.800 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
139.975 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
141.600 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
141.700 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
141.975 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
142.300 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
143.525 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)
143.725 AIR-AIR TAC (AM MODE)

UHF Preset Freqs:
230.4 - F14
251.1 - F13
261.4 - F02
269.4 - F10
269.9 - F18
281.4 - F07
289.6 - F16
290.5 - F06
301.6 - TRAINING

317.5 - F12
324.5 - F05 / F17
324.7 - F01
327.1 - F04
335.8 - F15
343.0 - F09 JEFFERSON RANGE
351.8 - F08
383.1 - F03
383.3 - F11 ATTERBURY RANGE

180TH FIGHTER GROUP - TOLEDO:
138.425 COMMAND POST - F01
139.625 AIR-AIR F07
139.675 AIR-AIR F08
141.600 AIR-AIR F09
143.850 AIR-AIR F10
338.900 TOLEDO COMMAND POST
348.600 REPORTED USE
==========
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:
ATIS: 124.475 [0600-2200] 269.9 [0600-2200]
PATTERSON GROUND: 121.8 / 335.8
PATTERSON TOWER: 126.9 / 289.6 115.2T 236.6
DAYTON APPROACH: 118.85 / 327.1
DAYTON DEPARTURE: 126.5 / 291.0
EMERG: 121.5 / 243.0
PMSV: 344.6
PTD: 122.85
RDR: 389.8
COMMAND POST: 349.4 / 122.850
==========
-Stuff from Milcom e-mail list
(a must have - subscribe milcom at www.qth.net)

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1999 12:15:43 -0400

From: "Mark W. Meece" <mmeece@siscom.net>

Subject: [MilCom] SW Ohio Mil Logs-Severe Weather

I've only monitored the VHF-UHF bands for a minutes this morning.

I've dedicated most of my listening to the aftermath of the pre-dawn tornado
that has caused extensive damage here in the Cincinnati area this morning. The
Blue Ash/Montogmery area of Cincinnati has been heavily damaged with several
fatalities, and USAR is doing a house to house search for victims. I believe
the 123rd ACS of the Ohio ANG at Blue Ash Airport was missed.

As for this morning's action, it was the 122nd FW SNAKES from Ft. Wayne that
was up training with DRAGNET (E-3B, AWACS, Tinker).

4/9/99

139.75 SNAKES Air to Air

259.4 DRAGNET wkg SNAKES 13, 14 in Buckeye MOA for ACM

290.5 SNAKES RT. using FR (surprised considering there are still
thunderstorms in the area)

301.6 DRAGNET wkg SNAKES ACM

314.6 DRAGNET clearing airspace going direct Ft. Wayne

Back to listening to SAR for trapped victims of the tornado.

My thoughts and prayers go out to anyone suffering from this tragedy.

Mark

- --

Mark Meece - N8ICW

Franklin, OH

mmeece@siscom.net

Date: Mon, 9 Nov 1998 11:53:15 EST

From: RLewis0126@aol.com

Subject: [MilCom] SNAKES IN BUCKEYE MOA

1647z Snakes of 180th FW Ohio ANG heard on 290.5 Indy Center playing in the

Buckeye MOA in South Central Ohio.

Date: Tue, 20 Oct 1998 10:41:30 EDT

From: RLewis0126@aol.com

Subject: [MilCom] KSGH LOG FOR 10/20/98

SABRE-31 383.100 DOING L/A's AND ILS's RUNWAY 24.SABRE-31 F-16C/D 178TH FW

OHIO ANG.ALSO HEARD HIM ON 327.1 DAYTON RETURNING

FROM BUCKEYE MOA.
==========
From the Air-Nav site:
http://www.airnav.com/airports/

cleveland-hopkins:
UNICOM: 122.95
ATIS: 127.85
WX ASOS: PHONE 216-267-9955
CLEVELAND GROUND: 121.7
CLEVELAND TOWER: 120.9 / 257.8 / 124.5
CLEVELAND APPROACH: 124.0 / 360.6 123.85 126.55
CLEVELAND DEPARTURE: 118.15(054-233) 118.9(234-053)

CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 125.05
CLASS B: 118.9(SE) 124.0(W) 125.35(ENE) 360.6
EMERG: 121.5 243.0
PTC: 125.05
RAMP CTL: 130.97
==========
Dump from my database on Ohio:

CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - APPROACH AND DEPARTURE CONTROL 121.000
257.200
CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - ATIS 120.250
CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - AUX TOWER CHANNEL 133.925
CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - CINCINNATI APPROACH RADAR SERVICE 124.900

CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.200

CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION HIGH ALTITUDE
122.400
CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - GROUND CONTROL / CLEARANCE DELIVERY
121.900
CINCINNATI MUNI - LUNKEN FLD OHIO - TOWER / CTAF 118.700 257.800
DAYTON GENERAL SOUTH OHIO - APPROACH CONTROL 118.850 327.100
DAYTON GENERAL SOUTH OHIO- CLEARANCE DELIVERY 119.400
DAYTON GENERAL SOUTH OHIO - CTAF / UNICOM 122.800
DAYTON GENERAL SOUTH OHIO - DEPARTURE CONTROL 126.500 327.100
FINDLAY OHIO - ARV/DEP VIA TOLEDO RADAR 120.800 228.400
FINDLAY OHIO - CTAF / UNICOM 123.000
FINDLAY OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.200
FINDLAY OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.650
LENAWEE COUNTY, MI - APPROACH AND DEPARTURE CONTROL VIA TOLEDO OHIO RADAR
128.000 321.100
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - APPROACH CONTROL AUX. CHANNEL 118.200
353.900
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - APPROACH CONTROL AUX. CHANNEL 119.650

PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - ARMY OPERATIONS (FM) 38.10
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - ATIS 124.600
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - CLEARANCE DELIVERY 126.300
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.300
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - GROUND CONTROL 121.900 348.600
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - NORTH APPROACH & DEPARTURE CONTROL 125.950
388.800
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - NORTH ARSA APPROACH CONTROL 120.200 267.900
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - SOUTH APPROACH & DEPARTURE CONTROL 119.150
392.100
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - SOUTH ARSA APPROACH CONTROL 132.300 279.600
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - TOWER 125.400 257.800
PORT COLUMBUS INTL, OHIO - TOWER AUX. CHANNEL 132.700
REPORTED OHIO ANG TAC OPS 324.700
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - 160TH NATIONAL GUARD OPERATIONS
286.200

RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - 166TH NATIONAL GUARD OPERATIONS (HAVE QUICK TIMING AVAILABLE) 311.300
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - 907TH TAG USAF RESERVE COMMAND POST 252.100
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - ANG AIR TACTICAL 138.400
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - APPROACH VIA COLUMBUS RADAR 119.150 279.600
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - ATIS 132.100 273.500
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - C-130'S COMMAND POST 122.850
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - DEPARTURE CONTROL VIA COLUMBUS RADAR 123.800 392.100
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - DEPARTURE CONTROL VIA COLUMBUS RADAR 132.300 279.600
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - GROUND CONTROL 121.850 275.800
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - NATIONAL GUARD OPERATIONS (FM, W/123.075) 46.80
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - NATIONAL GUARD OPERATIONS, W/46.80 FM PAIR 123.075
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - SINGLE FREQ APPROACH / PILOT DISPATCH 372.200
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - TOWER 120.050 236.600
RICKENBACKER INTL AIRPORT, OHIO - TOWER AUX. UHF CHANNEL 126.200 348.400
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - 180TH FIGHTER GROUP, OHIO ANG AIR TO AIR TACTICAL ("STING ##") 41.45
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - 180TH FIGHTER GROUP, OHIO ANG AIR TO AIR TACTICAL ("STING ##") 49.75
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - 180TH FIGHTER GROUP, OHIO ANG AIR TO AIR TACTICAL ("STING ##") 139.750
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - 180TH FIGHTER GROUP, OHIO ANG AIR TO AIR TACTICAL ("STING ##") 149.050
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - 180TH FIGHTER GROUP, OHIO ANG COMMAND POST ("BEEHIVE") 338.900
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - ARSA ARV/DEP EAST 126.100 307.000
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - ARSA ARV/DEP WEST 128.000 321.100
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - ATIS 118.750 271.300
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - BURLINGTON AIR FREIGHT AIR CARGO OPERATIONS 129.950

TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - CLEARANCE DELIVERY 121.750 348.600
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - GROUND CONTROL 121.900 348.600
TOLEDO EXPRESS, OHIO - TOWER 118.100 392.000
USAF IN-FLIGHT REFUELLING ALTERNATE / RICKENBACKER INTL 166TH OHIO ANG OPS 311.300
WILMINGTON OHIO - AIRBORNE EXPRESS AIR CARGO OPERATIONS 130.925

WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - ARV/DEP SERVICE VIA DAYTON RADAR 118.850 327.100
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - ATIS 115.200 269.900
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - GROUND CONTROL 121.800 335.800
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - METRO WEATHER SERVICE 344.600
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - SINGLE FREQUENCY APPROACH / COMMAND POSTS /

DISPATCHER 122.850 372.200
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - TOWER 126.900 236.600
WRIGHT - PATTERSON AFB, OHIO - TOWER AUX. UHF CHANNEL 289.600
YOUNGSTOWN EXECUTIVE, OHIO - ARV/DEP SERVICE 128.250 381.400
YOUNGSTOWN EXECUTIVE, OHIO - ARV/DEP SERVICE VIA CLEVELAND ARTCC 127.500
306.300
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - 910TH TACTICAL AIR GROUP COMMAND POST ("BATTLE STAR")
384.700
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - APPROACH AND DEPARTURE CONTROL 127.150 393.000
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - ARV/DEP SERVICE VIA CLEVELAND ARTCC 127.500
306.300
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - ATIS 119.750
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.200
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - GROUND CONTROL 121.900 275.800
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - NORTHEAST APPROACH AND DEPARTURE CONTROL 133.950
322.300
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - SOUTHWEST APPROACH AND DEPARTURE CONTROL 128.250
381.400
YOUNGSTOWN MUNI, OHIO - TOWER 119.500 263.000
ZANESVILLE MUNI, OHIO - ARV/DEP SERVICE VIA INDIANAPOLIS ARTCC 124.450
370.900

2321. Chicago Scanning Newsletter - CARMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422075116.02672.00000012@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 121265

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association's newsletter has been converted to an e-mail list!

All list functions, help files, etc can be accessed through our host's web site:

http://www.qth.net

To subscribe manually send an e-mail message to:

majordomo@qth.net

In the body of the message type one of the below:

subscribe carma

-or-

subscribe carma-digest

(Whichever you prefer; the "subscribe carma-digest" command results in you receiving a compilation of messages, when ever the buffer is full - about 40k. The regular subscribe command results in you getting the individual messages as they are sent)

You will receive an authorization code and further instructions. Essentially, you just send the coded command back to majordomo@qth.net

Postings to the list go to:

carma@qth.net

You must send the subscribe commands, (all commands, in fact) from the same address you will use to receive the messages. Remember, commands go to majordomo@qth.net, postings go to carma@qth.net

You must be a subscriber to post to the list.

If you are a scanner buff in the chicago area you'll probably want to be a subscriber.

And don't forget our web page:

http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

Or the BBS:

(630) 852-1292 8/n/1 ($15/yr for full membership)

Snail mail still goes to the PO Box, but we are no longer accepting new subscriptions to the printed version of the newsletter (or renewals). If ya got paper, send it to:

CARMA

PO BOX 2681

GLENVIEW IL 60025

Happy Scanning!

Ted Moran

CARMA List Admin

TandKMoran@AOL.COM

2322. Re: BC235XLT RESET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@injersey.com>
Message-ID: <7fn368$4q0$1@nw003t.infi.net>
Source record: 121266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George White wrote in message <7fm016$544$0@199.201.191.2>...
>Davis wrote:
>
>> Can someone please tell me how to do a full reset on this radio?
>>
>> Thanks
>
>try 2, 9 and MAN to clear out all freqs
>works on my 220 XLT
>
>With pwr off, press and hold 2,9 & manual while turning pwr on. The screen
will flash or something and display UNIDEN when it's done.

2323. Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422081556.14295.00000097@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 121267

This is one of the responses I rercived regaurding the pulling of my STOCK AOR8000. Someone needs to set these guys straight.

We are sorry for the confusion. I reviewed the email that was sent to you previously. The report that Cindy referred to was not a "user" report. It is a report that is logged by the Community Watch Group, they are the ones in charge of ending auctions.

At this time our policy is, scanners that are modified in any way are not allowed on eBay. Also, scanners which operate in the 900 mhz range are not allowed as this is the frequency used for cellular phones.

If you feel this information is incorrect please send any legal information you may have to:

eBay Inc.
Attn: Corporate Counsel
2005 Hamilton Ave., Ste. 350
San Jose, CA 95125

Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Jesse
eBay Customer Support

2324. Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <ijas@hs.com>
Message-ID: <371F09C6.1554D0EE@hs.com>
Source record: 121268

I new to the hobby, and I'm thinking about buying a scanner from Bandercom (www.bander.com), and I have a few questions.

1) The radio that I am looking at is the Yupiteru MVT-9000. How is this radio? has anyone had any problems?

2) How reliable is Bandercom? How is their;

a) service

b) shipping

Thanks for all the help!

2325. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EDET2.2145$_3.1400@stones>
Source record: 121269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

the link was just that a link it had NO description with it , and was
written in the format below:

"you may find this interesting, http://www.awebsite.withcracksonit.com

that was it !, almsot exactly what i wrote above here

.> I think I'm correct in saying that it is not a offence to look at
> warez sites but only when you start d/loading commercial sofware or
> cracks for them and start using them.
> Remove NOSPAM from email to reply
>
correct !!!

regards

eavsdropper

2326. Re: WARNING: DIXONS and to prove my point read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <WEET2.2146$_3.1400@stones>
Source record: 121270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> >This took all of 5 minutes of my time to collate and backs-up my
accusations
> >of Dixons fradulent trading, and their hypocrisy over censoring my
account
>
> You were not censored.

no i was not several accounts all over the pllace..:-)
>
> Nobody cares. Give it a rest already, you sniveling cretin.

glen if you do not like my posting then do not read it and fuckoff !.
> --

eavsdropper

2327. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fn523$d5e$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121271

I don`t NEED multiple licenses, Looney ! I already know something about radio and electronics ! You know from NOTHING ! Your "multiple licenses" will come in handy, if you run out of toilet paper ! And that is about all they are good for. After observing your posts fro over three years, I know where you`re at !

(Next he`ll be bragging about his multiple personalities)

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371E677A.55B6BEB4@usa.net...
> you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple such
> as myself!
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > Yeh ! He had to send away for them ! (And the other ones came in his
> > crackerjacks box !)
> >

2328. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fn58j$d6b$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121272

So how come a guy with all these "qualifications" winds up working at
MacDonald`s ?

Hey! Looney ! You want fries with those "multiple licenses" ?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:371E677A.55B6BEB4@usa.net...
> you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let

2329. Live Audio Florida EMS/Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: medic13 <medic13@accesscable.net>
Message-ID: <7fn5eqnhl1@nntp3.uunet.ca>
Source record: 121273

I am looking for links to Florida 's EMS/Fire Live Audio 24/7 a day.
Also,looking for links to Houston Texas EMS/Fire Live Audio.
There appears to be lots of police links but very few EMS...why,why,why !!!

Medic13
medic13@accesscable.net

2330. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <8RET2.365$vk4.96605@news4.atl>
Source record: 121274
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well, it is basically a "CB with 10meters", so you can't really expect much
quality when using on 10m. There are better CB's out there, too.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Joe wrote in message <371e7cb7.2129064@news.mindspring.com>...
> What I find hard to believe is that there are people foolish
>enough to pay $200 for one of these 'piece-o-shit' rigs!!!!
>

2331. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <7VET2.372$vk4.99060@news4.atl>
Source record: 121275
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well, I do know the difference between them, but maybe I have a bad memory...perhaps he was selling 2950's. It's all academic now anyway: I called yesterday and he said he no longer sells Rangers.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

KP wrote in message <5QuT2.2614$Dz5.10240451@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>...
>Hi Asmo,
>
>Not questioning your knowledge of radios, but could it be possible you are
>thinking of the lower power RCI 2950 and not the 100 watt 2970? I have
seen
>2950s get blown out new for around 200, but a new 2970 at that price would
>be quite a deal (if you want one of course :-).
>
>Just a thought...
>
>73,
>Kevin

2332. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry <incubus@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gZET2.5998$D9.1593188@news2.mia>
Source record: 121276
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

The link does not work.

--

"Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little
temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety."

-- Benjamin Franklin
Nov 11 1755, from the Pennsylvania Assembly

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, ¤227, "Any and all non-solicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S." E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

"If voting could change anything, it would be illegal"

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message ...
>the link was just that a link it had NO description with it , and was
>written in the format below:
>
>"you may find this interesting, http://www.awebsite.withcracksonit.com
>
>that was it !, almsot exactly what i wrote above here
>
>.> I think I'm correct in saying that it is not a offence to look at
>> warez sites but only when you start d/loading commercial sofware or
>> cracks for them and start using them.
>> Remove NOSPAM from email to reply
>>
>correct !!!
>
>regards
>
>eavsdropper
>
>

2333. Re: Ac Adapter for Uniden UBC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: p35hp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422085640.18054.00000044@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 121277

>
>I've purchased a Uniden UBC3000XLT from Bandercom and I'm wondering what
>type of adapter will I need to power it. I'm sure that I can get one
>from Radio Shack, but I'm unsure what adapter to buy. My scanner is on
>the way and I would like to have the adapter when it gets here.
>
>
>Glenn
>
>

The book calls fpr an adpt. that puts out at the rate of 200ma. I happened to have had one from R/S that that was rated at 300ma. Thought that I would use it 'till I could find one of the proper size. Well that was 2 1/2 years ago and I'm still useing it. It's been left pluged in for days on end without any overheating or other problems. It goes from 1.5v to 12v. so it gets used for several apps. I also had a 6v. charger that didn't work, but had the proper size plug. I cut the cord and added an in-line fuse. This got wired to the fuse block in the explorer. Have no idea how many ma this prtoduces but seems to work ok. Hope you enjoy your UBC 3000. Had I to do it over, I would probably opt for the BC 3000 vs. the UBC 3000. I haven't listened to cell in ages. With the switch to digital cell (at least in my area) the available listening will continue to decrease. I could have pocketed the 100.00 difference and it comes with the proper acc.s. I do like the leather case though. I velcroced a small external speaker to the leather case. helps with the sound and also keeps the radio upright whilst in the case.
http://www.universe.digex.net/~bnr/3000.htmlhttp://thechesapeakebay.com/bnr/tricks.html Take a look at the above two sites, they may help with your new radio.Used to have the addrress of a company around the Chigago area (I think) that sold batt packs and chargers that had a trickle feature and speaker built into the stand. Maybe someone will remember and post there name etc. Enjoy your new toy before somone decides you don't really "need"it. Jim

2334. Re: Cell Eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gd122@concentric.net
Message-ID: <7fn9bh$cb0@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 121278

It is idiots like your self, who help to give the hobby a bad name. I fear to speculate what you use for brains. I whish I could turn you in to the authorities my self.

2335. Re: Live Audio Florida EMS/Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <371F35AB.3B02@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121279

medic13 wrote:
>
> I am looking for links to Florida 's EMS/Fire Live Audio 24/7 a day.
> Also,looking for links to Houston Texas EMS/Fire Live Audio.
> There appears to be lots of police links but very few EMS...why,why,why !!!
>
> Medic13
> medic13@accesscable.net

FYI

Broadcast.com did carry Dade County Fire and Rescue at this years
SuperBowl at the following site back in January:

http://www.broadcast.com/sports/football/nfl/superbowlxxxiii/extended_coverage/dadecounty/

The following Fire and Rescue Departments are now availble on the Police
World Scanner site at http://www.policeworld.net/user/bobcann/live.html

Indianapolis FD
Monroe County New York FD
Montgomery County Maryland FD
Providence FD
Rochester FD

The following Fire and Rescue Departments are now availble on the Police
Scanner site at http://www.policescanner.com/fire.stm

Dallas FD

2336. WTB: AR-8000 or AR-8200 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@earth.execpc.com
Message-ID: <7fnd7n$sgv@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 121280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I am interested in purchasing one of these scanners. If you have one for sale please email with asking price and condition.

Mike

2337. Re: Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFALIL2.Byn@netcom.com>
Source record: 121281

>
>At this time our policy is, scanners that are modified in any way are
>not allowed on eBay. Also, scanners which operate in the 900 mhz range
>are not allowed as this is the frequency used for cellular phones.
>
>If you feel this information is incorrect please send any legal
>information you may have to:
>
>eBay Inc.
>Attn: Corporate Counsel
>2005 Hamilton Ave., Ste. 350
>San Jose, CA 95125

Dear eBay Corporate Counsel,

Please pull your collective head out of your collective ass and
learn WTF the cellular band is in relation to the 900 mhz spectrum.

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | Net filter software released by the cult
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | of $cientology finds that articles from
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | this account are too dangerous to read.

2338. Re: WARNING: DIXONS and to prove my point read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris@tophus.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <37213abf.9882403@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 121282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 13:34:19 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>
>glen if you do not like my posting then do not read it and ?"$koff !.
>> --
>
>eavsdropper

You got caught because your a loudmouth gobshite... deal with it.
Your pathetic bleating is getting very dull, and also means as soon as
you open up another site the same thing is gonna happen... learn the
meaning of the word "subtlety", eh?, and give us all a break.

2339. Re: Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904221510.RAA21005@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 121283

R R M Tweek (tweek@netcom.com) wrote:

: Please pull your collective head out of your collective ass and
: learn WTF the cellular band is in relation to the 900 mhz spectrum.

Most lower cost scanners with a first IF of 10.7Mhz receive cellphones as
images in the 900Mhz region. They won't after the new rules take effect,
but this will obviously apply only to those manufactured afterwards. Will
the new rules increase the market demand for earlier scanners,
cell-blocked, not easily unblockable, but "image capable"?

2340. Re: Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unknown <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <371F42A8.515C8416@nospam.net>
Source record: 121284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's another source for the monitenna, for $31.

http://www.starkelectronic.com/cmuhf.htm#scaner

Lee Rothman wrote:

> I have posted this so many times I won't bore those who have read my rant
> about the Channel Master "Monitenna". I simply rate it the best as I am
> one of those that has bought and tried just about everything out there
> from the the Diamonds to the discones. It does an excellent job from
> shortwave through about 1300mhz. I don't give a damn what the advertised
> range it states (or others have so boldly reminded me of) as it has
> "real world" performance. Not bad for only $50.00. You can get one from
> Scanners Unlimited (650)573-1624

2341. Can someone send me Winflex please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7fnlkopsj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121285
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

All sites that used to have this program are gone. I would much appreciate it if some one could foreward me a copy.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2342. Re: Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <371f54ae.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 121286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the 20$ savings....
Unknown <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:371F42A8.515C8416@nospam.net...
> Here's another source for the monitenna, for $31.
>
> http://www.starkelectronic.com/cmuhf.htm#scaner
>
> Lee Rothman wrote:
>
> > I have posted this so many times I won't bore those who have read my
rant
> > about the Channel Master "Monitenna". I simply rate it the best as I am
> > one of those that has bought and tried just about everything out there
> > from the the Diamonds to the discones. It does an excellent job from
> > shortwave through about 1300mhz. I don't give a damn what the advertised
> > range it states (or others have so boldly reminded me of) as it has
> > "real world" performance. Not bad for only $50.00. You can get one from
> > Scanners Unlimited (650)573-1624
>

2343. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kZsT2.4619$14.1848@wards>
Source record: 121319

>
> Yawn. Go buy a clue.
>
> glen you are obviously an idiot !
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2344. Re: WARNING: DIXONS FREESERVE CENSORSHIP FOLLOWUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me@over.here.com
Message-ID: <371e59dc.6418255@news.freeuk.net>
Source record: 121320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 17:13:51 +0100, "ian k (eavsdropper)"
<ian@watcher77.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>>
>> It is obvious !
>>
>>
>> No other comments, just avoid freeserve.co.uk
>>
>> --
>Hi Goran...thanks

I agree with a lot you say, but I'm afraid I either came into this ng
too late and either missed some of the postings, I came here after
your posting in FS discuss (I think it was) saying that the rest of
the converstion was here.

What exactly did you post with the link that's caused you the bother?,
I can understand Fs's actions if you described cracks or other dodgy
stuff at whatever names link, but a plain link with no description and
not knowingly about other dodgy stuff on that site, well that seems a
bit harsh from FS also as you say hypocritical if they carry alt
binaries warez etc etc newsgroups then they are just as guilty as the
people creating these dodgy sites. It would be interesting to know if
they still carry these ng's, as I dumped fs I could not tell you.

How about posting the name of the link (without any description of
the site) if you feel brave enough and so readers could view the site
and make their minds up for themselves.
There are plenty other free isp's if FS give you the heave ho.

I think I'm correct in saying that it is not a offence to look at
warez sites but only when you start d/loading commercial sofware or
cracks for them and start using them.
Remove NOSPAM from email to reply

==========
"A verbal contract isn't worth the paper it's written on."
-Samuel Goldwyn
==========

2345. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <371E677A.55B6BEB4@usa.net>
Source record: 121321

you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple such as myself!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Yeh ! He had to send away for them ! (And the other ones came in his
> crackerjacks box !)
>
> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote in message
> news:371BCD24.24026885@INVALID.com...
> > >
> > > jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE
> FCC
> > > > licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
> one
> > > > hand!
> > >
> > > Or just one finger.
> > >
> > > Can ya guess which finger I had in mind?
> > >
> > > You friggin loon.
> > >

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2346. WTB: BC 260 mobile, Regency ACT 16K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Runion <derunion@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01be8c53$78188680$e5d04e0c@my-computer>
Source record: 121322

Am looking for BC 260, a mobile scanner made by Electra / Bearcat in the mid '80s... also need a Regency 16 ch. base prog. ACT 16K "The Touch". In good cond., pref. w/ manuals, & reasonable. TIA, Dave derunion@worldnet.att.net

2347. Re: Los Angeles County Sheriff's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: barefoot@albany.crosswinds.net
Message-ID: <371e6f74.2125064@news.epix.net>
Source record: 121323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I own a pro-32 I do not know of mod for it, I have looked and asked
from time to time over the past several years. Good luck finding one.

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 22:33:05 GMT, Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net>
wrote:

=>Does any one have the Los Angeles County Sheriff's swat freq on them
=>also does any one have a mod's for a pro-32

2348. Re: Freqs. for Norfolk/Williamsburg VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <D1uT2.1020$2p6.554@news.flash.net>
Source record: 121324

In article <19990421155743.12319.00000067@ng-ba1.aol.com>,
atticusja@aol.comfinch says...
>
>I'm looking for frequencies for an upcoming trip to Virginia. I will be
>staying in Norfolk, so I'd appreciate anything local to there, but also
>frequencies for Williamsburg, Military Circle Shopping Mall, Norfolk Naval
>Base, Lafayette Zoo, Norfolk Int'l Airport, and any ham radio repeaters
nearby.
> Thanks for your time and consideration.
>Joshua Adams - N3XGR
>Pittsburgh, PA

Hi:
I have Virginia Beach/Norfolk area freq (including the Navy Base & Oceania on
my website.
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
Thanks,
George

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

2349. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990421205048.09667.00000218@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 121325

>...personally, I believe that restraining people from monitoring
>police communications is a terrible idea. It prevents us,
>*the citizens who are footing the goddamn bill*, from
>deciding for ourselves whether our police are acting in our
>own interests.

The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to be used as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you hear to the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.

2350. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Westpol@montana.com
Message-ID: <371e5f47.2716700@news.montana.com>
Source record: 121326

On 21 Apr 1999 01:31:16 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 00:17:25 GMT, Westpol@montana.com (Terry Cook)
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Actually it depends on what brand you are talking about some are
>>dumb terminals (most Motorola's) some are full IBM compatables
>>operating in conjunction with a node controller (radio modem)
>>(Cerulean, DataRadio, Coded and several other brands). Most of the
>>non-Motorolas offer encryption.
>>
>Actually, Motorola does not offer a dumb terminal any more. They
>offer full IBM compatables, with your choice of software. S-C-A is
>the most predominant, but Cerulean has a showing also.

Right, but most of the installed base right now (public safety not
ardis) is the older dumb terminal variety. Other examples would be
the older ElecrtoCom Automation and Kustom Electronics DXT.
Like Motorola ElectroCom has gone to a newer laptop design
but most of the installed base is still the older dumb terminals.

>
>Why you mention Cerulean, Dataradio, Coded, in the same vein,
>you are getting your products confused.

I mentioned Cerulean and Dataradio as an example of the newer
generation of laptop computer / modem-radio systems. Of course
the system needs both the hardware and software to work.

>
>Cerulean is a software provider, and occasional integrater. No
>hardware.
>
>Data radio is a modem provider, and occassional integrater. No
>software.
>
>Coded, well, they offer modems, radios, etc. None of it however
>real well for public safety systems. They do great business hotkey
>type systems however.

I'm sure Coded would disagree with second part of this. :)

2351. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Duane <dduane@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <371E716B.A442E247@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 121327

This is only a test

--

mailto:dduane@telusplanet.net
ICQ# 14025781

2352. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <7fls5p$o85$2@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 121328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Don't know about where you guys are from but here in SouthWest Ohio, a single phone call to the public utilities commission gets results real quick...A CB'er had his equipment confiscated and placed on probation by the local judge for interferring with the neighbors telephones just like this thread is talking about....and the police enforced the law which coincidentally states that no one will interfere with the operation of a public utility.......go figure....the FCC never got near the guy....since the case came and went there's been very little complaints about CB'ers interferring with the neighbors phones......the stereo is a totally different matter.....the PUCO could care less about it.......enjoy......

In article <371E558C.CF4CD370@dnai.com>, Jeff Doyle wrote:
>
>--------------6BF6A20BB81059EFB4003051
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>For what it's worth I have had similar problems affecting the data on my
>computer until I installed a line noise filter on my line. I eventually
>ended up making a filter with two off the shelf products. First they are
>kind of hard to find anymore but AT&T used to make a filter called a Z100B
>that filters from 50-100MHz and that worked okay but I still got some
>trouble from cb's so I took it apart and on the circuit board there was a
>place for another filter that was unused so I got a Radio Shack filter
>#43-150 and wired it in where the space was on the board and it now works
>pretty well. Theoretically filtering from 5MHz to 100MHz. My data is a bit
>slower but much more reliable and I no longer have to listen to various RF
>transmissions on my phone.
>
>Also if you want to make your own you can order a really neat filter catalog
>form Coilcraft on cdrom which will help you get the right type of filters.
>
>I dont know if this will help with such a close proximity to such heinous
>amplitude but it's worth a shot as my filter only cost me about 40 bucks to
>make . . .
>
>
>"S. Jones" wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall wrote:
>>
>> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
>> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four

>> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
>> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
>> >disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
>> >don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
>> >roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
>> >end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
>> >the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
>> >
>> >http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
>> >
>> > This is no joke.
>> > This is not spam.
>> > This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>>
>> I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
>> received!
>>
>> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
>> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio
>> signals.
>
>--------------6BF6A20BB81059EFB4003051
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
>For what it's worth I have had similar problems affecting the data on my
>computer until I installed a line noise filter on my line. I eventually
>ended up making a filter with two off the shelf products. First they are
>kind of hard to find anymore but AT&T used to make a filter called
>a Z100B that filters from 50-100MHz and that worked okay but I still got
>some trouble from cb's so I took it apart and on the circuit board there
>was a place for another filter that was unused so I got a Radio Shack filter
>#43-150 and wired it in where the space was on the board and it now works
>pretty well. Theoretically filtering from 5MHz to 100MHz. My data is a
>bit slower but much more reliable and I no longer have to listen to various
>RF transmissions on my phone.
>
Also if you want to make your own you can order a really neat filter
>catalog form Coilcraft on cdrom
>which will help you get the right type of filters.
>
I dont know if this will help with such a close proximity to such heinous
>amplitude but it's worth a shot as my filter only cost me about 40 bucks
>to make . . .
>

>
"S. Jones" wrote:

>
On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall
> <dont@spam.me>
>wrote:
>
> Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been
>hearing a
>
>strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
>
>telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an
>illegal
>
>amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air
>and
>
>disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names.
>I
>
>don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters,
>a
>
>roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at
>the
>
>end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed.
>For
>
>the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
>
>
>
> href="http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html">http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.
>html
>
>
>
> This is no joke.
>
> This is not spam.
>
> This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>
I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
>
received!
>
Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him
> down.
>CB

>
equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other
>radio
>
signals.

>
>
>--------------6BF6A20BB81059EFB4003051--
>

2353. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Duane <dduane@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <371E71F7.91E69F58@telusplanet.net>
Source record: 121329

```
This is only a test

--

mailto:dduane@telusplanet.net
ICQ# 14025781
```

2354. FS-Pro 2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990421211415.20776.00000216@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 121330

See the picture at Ebay. E-mail with any questions. Thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=94283131

2355. Re: Antenna advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990421210601.19598.00000190@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121331

Robert,

The only antenna I know of that claims to cover a range this broad is by AOR. Sorry, I don't remember the number, but you can check their web site at www.aorusa.com and see the specs.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2356. Re: who cares about Dixon, just get a new site and forget about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3727621f.20010523@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121332

"EAVSDROPPER" <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>
>>
>> Yawn. Go buy a clue.
>>
>> glen you are obviously an idiot !

Perhaps, but I'm obviously smarter than you. For example, I know how to
spell, punctuate, and operate a newsreader. You can't do any of these
things properly, nor can you edit a post or include attributes.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2357. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <371e7cb7.2129064@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121333
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What I find hard to believe is that there are people foolish
enough to pay $200 for one of these 'piece-o-shit' rigs!!!!

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 05:28:13 GMT, "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:

>I can get one unmodified, brand new in the box for $200, and it is perhaps
>the easiest radio in the world to mod.
>
>--
>
>Asmo
>KF4YTR
>Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
>
>Leroy Fray wrote in message <7etqailkh1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>>ranger 2970 all mods done professionally. Radio is only about 4 months
>old.
>>will trade for base cb with mods done (cobra 2000 president washington
>ect)
>>or will sell for 350 cash. or make offers on trades.
>>Reply to email directly. do not post to board.
>>thanks
>>Leroy
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2358. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7flvce$31o$0@207.18.224.107>
Source record: 121334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Wed, 21 Apr 1999 23:57:11 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>of "San Diego RoadRunner" for causing trouble in these forums. He was asked
>to
>leave Fidonet for causing trouble there. He holds no FCC technical licenses
>to do the
>work he claims to do. He can not demonstrate any engineering degrees or

John, you have also been asked to leave FIDONET, UUNET, and the
INTERNET.

Secondly, what FCC licenses does Bill need to do the work he claims to
do?

Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
one hand. Please list those.

Thank you in advance for your response.

2359. Re: ??????MDT?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7flvjk$31o$1@207.18.224.107>
Source record: 121335

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 00:21:55 GMT, Westpol@montana.com (Terry Cook)
wrote:

>Right, but most of the installed base right now (public safety not
>ardis) is the older dumb terminal variety. Other examples would be
>the older ElecrtoCom Automation and Kustom Electronics DXT.
>Like Motorola ElectroCom has gone to a newer laptop design
>but most of the installed base is still the older dumb terminals.
>
Maybe a couple of years ago, but no longer. MCT's have
literally exploded. KDT's, or dumb terminals, are a glut
on the used market, when you can find working units.

>>Coded, well, they offer modems, radios, etc. None of it however
>>real well for public safety systems. They do great business hotkey
>>type systems however.
>
>I'm sure Coded would disagree with second part of this. :)
>
They would disagree with the fact that they make great business
hotkey systems?

If you meant the first part, please give me a couple of customers
that are using a CODED Public Safety system, that are truly
happy with it. The customers that I know, that have tried
CODED for public safety are very unsatisfied.

2360. Re: BC235XLT RESET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7fm016$544$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 121336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Davis wrote:

> Can someone please tell me how to do a full reset on this radio?
>
> Thanks

try 2, 9 and MAN to clear out all freqs
works on my 220 XLT

2361. WTB:BC-350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Kruse <skruse@inlink.com>
Message-ID: <eukbbEgj#GA.322@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 121037

I'm looking for an original BC-350 I think!

The one with the led display and the service search built in.

It may have been the BC-300 also but I can't remember.

I'm talking the one after the original BC-250 was made by Uniden or Electra.

Thanks,

Steve

kruse@csi.com

2362. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <37213EFD.BB2BE2F9@excite.com>
Source record: 121038

ABC too, I wonder how they got them.

Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
> Actually, the police radio calls were broadcast tonite on CNN!
>
> bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
> >*yesterday* and have been listening to the police trying
> >to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
> >School here in the Denver metro area.
>
> >I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
> >Is that legal?
>
> >Thanks,
> >Robert Holder
>
> >--
> >bedlam
> >The Center for the Study
> >of the Stillness of Disgrace
> >Green Mountain, Colorado
> >http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm
>
> To Reply:
> (scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
> trenchgun@aol.com

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

2363. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Kruse <skruse@inlink.com>
Message-ID: <uxpRBkgj#GA.293@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 121039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm in Missouri and I had a CB base station that was interfering with just one neighbor. Instead of him coming to my house and telling me about it, he called the fcc and then I did receive an official certified mail letter from the FCC telling me to cease all transmissions from my place of broadcast.
I contacted the fcc and asked about this and they told me that if I was causing problems such as reception of consumer broadcast signals to my neighbors that I was at fault and would have to obey the order. I did obey but they gave me some options as to installing proper grounding and power cabling to my equipment. I had that already as well as tvi filters. I was not using any illegal equipment.

I have since moved and I don't really know if there would have been any further enforcment action against me but the certified letter was enough.

I did still operate but only after my neighbor was asleep!

SK.

S. Jones <sjones93@aol.com> wrote in message news:3722b30c.1832167@a.a.a...
> On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 22:10:38 GMT, Kendall <dont@spam.me> wrote:
>
> > Ever since we moved into these new apartments, I've been hearing a
> >strange voice coming through my stereo amplifier and all four
> >telephones. My best guess is it's a neighbor with a CB and an illegal
> >amplifier. The worst thing is he only uses it to go on the air and
> >disrupt and harass local truckers, calling them all kinds of names. I
> >don't know if he's gotten them mad, but I am. Two phone filters, a
> >roll of aluminum foil, and many many many phone calls later, I'm at the
> >end of my rope, and I need any suggestions I might have missed. For
> >the full story, and a way to contact me, go to:
> >
> >http://members.home.com/kcox/rfi.html
> >
> > This is no joke.
> > This is not spam.
> > This is a cry for help from someone on the brink of sanity.
>
>
> I can't believe all the childish "I'm gonna beat you up" responses this
> received!
>
> Just call the FCC and let them handle it. They WILL shut him down. CB
> equipment may not cause interference with telephone or TV or other radio

> signals.

2364. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7frgq3$nr3$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I like that idea. Quite a few hams are lost when it comes to computers.
Computers are becoming more and more a fact of life. Also consider the
number of ham radios which have computer interfaces. I would like to
go back to the days when you could actually repair computers (I'm
talking componant level) instead of just replacing a board. But I realize
we all must move on.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Spot wrote in message ...
Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to setup
CW send/receive software
on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)

2365. Re: Code is a barrier! Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7frgfk$m56$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121041

I agree totally. While I'm willing to learn new things, I fail to see why we should learn to use a method that was originally meant for machines and is rapidily becoming a dying art. A lot of people who have higher level licenses couldn't copy code now if they wanted to. If you want to use code, by all means do so. But we've got to get pass this "I did it so everyone else should have to do it" attitude. If you want to, make the written test more difficult but drop the code.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

X wrote in message <6164-37211954-3@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
I've got nothing against people who enjoy using the code. Unfortunatly the code serves as a barrier to many otherwise qualified operators that could help to advance the hobby.
lets face it, the internet is taking many potential new hams. No code hf would bring life back into a dying hobby.
I believe code should not be a requirement for any class of license.
Hopefully the arrl will allow us to catch up with the rest of the world.
_ .... ._ _. _._ ... 73

2366. Re: RS 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7frh0h$ou8$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121042

They are O.K. is you don't mind all the intermod due to it's
dual conversion. I had one but gave it up because I could
not listen to 800 Mhz system without cellular interfering.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

ANDREW P WOLF wrote in message
<7fp24q$2h7q$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>...
>what is a 2026 that has been modified worth if I can get one?
>
>

2367. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118b0093db864fbe98973c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121043

according to Bandercom, generally the shipments that they have sent by
UPS have not been opened by customs.

2368. Re: 900mhz Convertor Schematic Available ...(using Adobe Acrobat 3.0)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <37209de2.952592062@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 121087

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 22:38:03 GMT, chainsaw@softhome.net (treesrcool)
wrote:

>
>
>Anyone have a parts list?
>
>
Also specifications for all the inductors. Even where it says 2T,
what diameter/length etc?

Tony

2369. Re: MAJOR FAC DEFECT FOUND ON 2037 Scanner!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2304991131120001@56-ip-78.theramp.net>
Source record: 121088

Some PRO200x series radios had reversed attenuator switches as well. I had a 2004 that had this problem. I just bypassed the attenuator altogether. I also did this on a fully functional 2006 to see if it would increase sensitivity, and it did slightly. Of course I lost the functionality of the attenuator switch.

In article <372075c3.2323345@news.icx.net>, sfritts@icx.net (Steve Fritts) wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> I have found what appears to be a MAJOR factory defect on the RS 2037
> scanners. The sensitivity of the scanner-I have had 3 - is extremely
> poor. I bought one of these new a few years back. Took it back, got
> another one..BOTH units were the same. The problem is the 10db switch
> in the rear..the "normal" position is shorted to GROUND!! Apparently
> all of these units suffer the same prob. I suspect this is also the
> same prob for the 2040 series also.
>
> The fix...
> I will NOT assume responsibilty for any probs incurred while doing the
> mod.
>
> Remove the 6 screw on the bottom.
> pull the BOTTOM cover of.
> There will be 6 solder tabs directly UNDER the switch(10 db switch).
> The two solder tabs CLOSEST to the edge of the chassis the solder
> between the two tabs MUST be cut. This is where the prob is.
>
>
> | | |
> ---------->
> | | |
>
> The solder between the TWO tabs on the end closest to the chassis edge
> must be cleared out at the area where the arrow points! ZSorry my aart
> work is not better.
>
> 73s and Good luck!!
>
> Steve WA4GZE
>

--
****

```
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
*****************************g3mtnw*********************************
```

2370. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3720A6C3.448AAF4C@banet.net>
Source record: 121089

Kings (brooklyn), Queens, Richmond(staten island) Nassau & Suffolk county's are
the
eastern district of NY
http://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/

New York, Bronx, Westchester, Rockland, Orange, Putnam, Duchess & Sullivan
county's are the southern district of NY
http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/

steven

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Brooklyn is in the Southern District of NY
>
>

2371. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <R52U2.9$gR2.639@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37208DDD.95AA9FE6@usa.net...
>
> No Blake, as usual you don't understand. You UPGRADE to a NEW LICENSE!

What an idiot. So when we look you up in the FCC records you hold 6 current licenses? Wrong Toilet-Boy, you might hold one.

Go raise that record of smoked power supplies now, John-Bowl.

2372. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <122U2.2$gR2.178@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37208D1F.B5DEC2DE@usa.net...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Mackey at his BEST! Counting a friggen Amateur Radio License four
times!
>
> FOOL! I counted it FIVE times because they were FIVE different licenses

First John-Bowl, the amateur license is a hoax and you know it because you have one! Anyone can go buy the test with the answers to pass it. You did! You are proof of having no electronics expertise yet holding the Amateur Hobby license. BIG DEAL!

Tell us again about smoking those 3 power supplies and 4 repeaters. That is a record failure ehh Toilet-Boy?

2373. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <642U2.3$gR2.359@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes John-Bowl, you hold the standing record for the most off topic posts on rec.radio.scanners. You really are proud that you were able to post over 437 off topic messages in a one month period, eh John-Bowl?.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37208D3F.57968712@usa.net...
> I've posted more than YOU!
>
> Macaroon wrote:
> >....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2374. Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: brucem@wa-state-resident.com
Message-ID: <924889179.872.94@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121093

> a webpage discussing this very issue:
> http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html

>v. Fuller,(95) the U.S. District Court refused to dismiss the
>indictment of Fuller who was charged with unlawfully
>monitoring local police broadcasts and sharing that information

Hold on everyone. Read the above carefully..."charged with
unlawfully monitoring local police broadcasts" AND "sharing that
information."

The discussion in this thread and quotes from the law suggests it
is legal to monitor, but the above quote from the legal web page
suggests there can be some unlawful monitoring of police.

Was the person in that case listening to encrypted transmissions?

2375. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <Tu2U2.9273$D9.2614964@news2.mia>
Source record: 121094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Dan and Tony,

You both are right. I was sending using a straight key to a guy
(handicapped) who was using a reader. He complimented me on my sending,
saying "My reader usually can't read a straight key too well"

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

Tony - GeezerGlide wrote in message
<37219ef4.952865953@client.news.psi.net>...
>On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 15:21:30 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-dejanews.com>
>wrote:
>
>>In article <01be8d7b$8508e8c0$da60b6cd@cbx-nt>,
>> "Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
>>> Oh, its you again, long time!
>>>
>>> I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not,
its
>>> been so long.
>>>
>>> Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful. Now
>>> please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to do.
>>>
>>> Regards from the thinking part of the Country.
>>>
>>> Christopher Cox
>>>
>>> radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
>>> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>> >
>>> > You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby
>>> whiners
>>> > won't know you're talking about them.
>>> >
>>> > 73, Have a nice day!
>>> >
>>>
>> You know how to tell if a guy is using a "code reader" ??
>> Send to him on a bug. Works everytime.
>>

>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>Or a hand pump. Real brass pounding is a lost art.
>
>Tony
>

2376. MDT in the Bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Sanford <rsanford@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <3720B66E.890@pipeline.com>
Source record: 121095

Is anyone decoding MDT data in the San Francisco Bay area?
I know that the CHP, San Francisco and Richmond use it but am curious if
anyone is having any good results receiving it before I bother building
the necessary equipment to decode it.
Thanks in advance.

2377. BC-700a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kirkner <billrk@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <3720027b@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 121096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the Uniden scanner model BC-700a and am wondering if there is any mods for this scanner? If any one can help me it would be a big help

Thanks in advance for your help

73

Bill
billrk@supernet.com

2378. Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allscan@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fql34$hh5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121097

I am trying to put together a web site with as many frequencies for each state as possible. If you have any you would like me to post send them to me and include the state, county, and city or town you live in.
Thanks alot.
allscan@yahoo.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2379. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas.Weeks@N-O-S-P-A-Mmirage.brooks.af.mil
Message-ID: <7fqe43$41l$1@leo.brooks.af.mil>
Source record: 121098
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3738705c.251364241@news.atl.bellsouth.net>, ke4zv@bellsouth.net says...
>
>> Actually, buried somewhere in that study released a couple years ago is a
>>portion which noted higher tissue heating and higher possible genetic changes
>>with digitally modulated signals, as opposed to analog modulation. Sorry,
>>can't site the specific part of that study.
>
>How is that physically possible? Where is the extra energy coming from?
>CDMA has the same maximum power output as AMPS. The signal is
>constant amplitude, just like AMPS. The only difference is the broader
>frequency span, and the greater coding efficiency which allows it to
>work at a lower power level.

A co-worder I know used to do govt research in RF systems--said that their studies found that the the older AMPS syste'ms frequency achieves resonance in a cavity with the exact size/dimensions of a human baby skull.

Of course this means that the skull would act as an antenna and heat and related effects would increase acordingly.

Tom D Tek

2380. FS: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <3720C0B9.D150C242@home.com>
Source record: 121099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AR-8000 for sale - $450. It is unblocked and in good condition. There were some small scratches in the face of the readout window, but I have buffed them out so they can only be seen if you hold the light at a certain angle. They have no effect on the operation or seeing the display. The radio works excellent with no problems. I have the radio, the owners manual and the flat interface cable. I am
going to keep my Optolinx, however.

2381. Re: AR3000 Assc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <3720C1F7.9331EA38@home.com>
Source record: 121100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chuck,

OOPS!!!
I placed an ad (my first one, I might add) and it appeared under your listing. How do I move it and place it in it's own place????

Thanks
Richard

ctmiles@flash.net wrote:
>
> Where can I get a remote cable for the ar3000,
> original, not A version and a RS232 cable or pin out diagram
> for this scanner. Any help appreciated. also looking for
> service manual.
>
> Chuck
> ctmiles@flash.net

2382. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <FD95B6288E3C0CB4.D64ABD3FDD31F848.3FBC531F1CAD8487@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to setup CW send/receive software
on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)

2383. Re: WTD INDY 500 Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3720C161.4F09943@banet.net>
Source record: 121102

sorry, i couldn't come up with any freq's :-(
try these links and e-mail the track and race officials. good luck, and have lotsa fun at the race!!!!
steven

http://www.brickyard.com/ indy. motor speedway home page
http://www.indy500.com/ indy 500 home page

John Smith wrote:

> I am looking for the INDY 500 Freq.s...Any idea where I can get this list?
>
> Darrell

2384. Job : Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill9191@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423150507.05417.00001108@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121103

Job Available: Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts

Full Time Position Available in Bay Area, California, USA

Seeking a person who owns and understands radio scanner technology, and is available to listen for energency fire engine response broadcasts in the Bay Area with special interest in city of Oakland, Alameda County, Contra Costa County.

Will pay well for useful, fast responses to our emergency response team.

Contact Richard at area code 1-510-773-2298

2385. FS-unblocked UK AOR-800 NIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423150732.09811.00000801@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 121104

Its brand spanking new!
It comes with a UK ac adaptor. You will have to spend $10 for a radio shack US
ac adaptor.

$475.

2386. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3720C318.C37C7C5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> Hey Dan and Tony,
>
> You both are right. I was sending using a straight key to a guy
> (handicapped) who was using a reader. He complimented me on my sending,
> saying "My reader usually can't read a straight key too well"

Did you ask him what would happen if his reader actually did read
a straight key too well? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2387. Re: Job : Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Someone! <pickards@zen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <HT3U2.11446$Hh5.570@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 121106

oh come ON!!! Like that's not a trap

David
Bill9191 wrote in message <19990423150507.05417.00001108@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>Job Available: Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts
>
>Full Time Position Available in Bay Area, California, USA
>
> Seeking a person who owns and understands radio scanner technology, and is
>available to listen for energency fire engine response broadcasts in the
Bay
>Area with special interest in city of Oakland, Alameda County, Contra Costa
>County.
>
> Will pay well for useful, fast responses to our emergency response team.
>
> Contact Richard at area code 1-510-773-2298

2388. Re: Charges Against Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: fregi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423153442.19339.00000567@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121107

jhiooreyyyyaaiibbag68643

2389. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cole Cunningham <cole@coltechinc.com>
Message-ID: <7fqmgtdmp1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 121108
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

The RF is not nearly as hazardous as talking on one while driving....

2390. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <Ln5U2.9745$D9.2742051@news2.mia>
Source record: 121109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Cecil,

Your'e right, I should have asked him that ... (:-]

W6RCecilA wrote in message <3720C318.C37C7C5@IEEE.org>...
>Arnie Macy wrote:
>>
>> Hey Dan and Tony,
>>
>> You both are right. I was sending using a straight key to a guy
>> (handicapped) who was using a reader. He complimented me on my sending,
>> saying "My reader usually can't read a straight key too well"
>
>Did you ask him what would happen if his reader actually did read
>a straight key too well? :-)
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2391. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <3720e32a.970327609@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 121110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You've got a good fist, then. Keep up the fun. After 39 years I
still like to pump brass, even though I also use any number of the
latest modes, too. It's all fun. Heck, I still get major compliments
on my genuine antique D-104 mic on sideband. Sounds soooo much
better...

Tony

>Hey Dan and Tony,
>
>You both are right. I was sending using a straight key to a guy
>(handicapped) who was using a reader. He complimented me on my sending,
>saying "My reader usually can't read a straight key too well"
>
>Regards,
>
>Arnie -
>KT4ST
>
>>> You know how to tell if a guy is using a "code reader" ??
>>> Send to him on a bug. Works everytime.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>Or a hand pump. Real brass pounding is a lost art.
>>
>>Tony
>>
>

2392. 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423171653.19279.00000713@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 121111

I don't understand why scanners skip these freqs...I have two dozen wireless mics in this range and need a portable scanner to monitor them in a arena backstage. I'm told the Rat Shack Pro-60 covered this but had limited memory storage. No one at local Rat Shack heard of the Pro-60 and act like I'm after Cell freqs. They don't even know the cell freqs. and I don't tell them my Pro-39 picks them up just fine (mostly drug deals here).

Any ideas? Thanks in advance.

2393. Sony SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty Becker <beckerm@primary.net>
Message-ID: <37210182.AF@primary.net>
Source record: 121112

Does anyone know of a dealer that sells protective cases for the Sony SC-1 handheld scanner?

Marty

2394. Re: MDT in the Bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <3721e5de.971020250@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 121113

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 11:05:34 -0700, Robert Sanford
<rsanford@pipeline.com> wrote:

>Is anyone decoding MDT data in the San Francisco Bay area?
>I know that the CHP, San Francisco and Richmond use it but am curious if
>anyone is having any good results receiving it before I bother building
>the necessary equipment to decode it.
>Thanks in advance.

I believe the San Jose police do use it too, and there may well be
others. I'm just getting on the MDT bandwagon. Speaking of which,
anybody got any recommendations/schematics for a decoder?

Tony

2395. Re: WTB: Radio Shack Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423174417.04556.00001430@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121114

I have a 2042 in exelent cond. no mods,
$350. shipped.
Gil

2396. Re: WTB: Radio Shack Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423174657.04556.00001433@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121115

Im also looking to trade the 2042 for a good SW receiver.

2397. Re: PRO 2042- trade for sw rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilpi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423175314.04556.00001439@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121116

$350. shipped.
Gil

2398. Re: The Eavsdropper Site.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <936U2.325$Nt.284@stones>
Source record: 121117

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
news:sqMT2.59$Ff.137@wards...
> shortened--------------------------------------
>
> > If your ISP will allow it, make it a secure site that requires a login
and
> > change the password daily so ANYONE who visits the page must read the
> > warning to get the daily password:
> >
> > "To access this site use the username 'Scanner'. The password today is
the
> > 15th word in the above warning, so if you haven't read it yet DO IT
NOW!"
> >
> > I think this will cover your butt pretty well should someone like Keith
> > Knipschild do something dumb with the programs provided and should also
> set
> > your ISP a little more at ease.
> >
> > Just some suggestions from a fan of the site!
> > -Phil
> >
> >
thanks phil, i am looking into other options at the moment and intend on
talking with some ISP owners who do not belive in censorship and who are not
afraid of the big bad corporate !!

there are a few still about :-)

eavsdropper

2399. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <7fr0nv$902$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 121118
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7fqe43$41l$1@leo.brooks.af.mil>, Thomas.Weeks@N-O-S-P-A-Mmirage.brooks.af.mil (Thomas Weeks) wrote:
>In article <3738705c.251364241@news.atl.bellsouth.net>, ke4zv@bellsouth.net
>says...
> >
> >> Actually, buried somewhere in that study released a couple years ago is a
>
> >>portion which noted higher tissue heating and higher possible genetic
>changes
> >>with digitally modulated signals, as opposed to analog modulation. Sorry,
> >>can't site the specific part of that study.
> >
> >How is that physically possible? Where is the extra energy coming from?
> >CDMA has the same maximum power output as AMPS. The signal is
> >constant amplitude, just like AMPS. The only difference is the broader
> >frequency span, and the greater coding efficiency which allows it to
> >work at a lower power level.
>
>A co-worder I know used to do govt research in RF systems--said that their
>studies found that the the older AMPS syste'ms frequency achieves resonance in
>a cavity with the exact size/dimensions of a human baby skull.
>
>Of course this means that the skull would act as an antenna and heat and
>related effects would increase acordingly.
>

.as in vehicle accidents from non-attention????.....enjoy....

>Tom D Tek
>

2400. FS:Bearcat BC9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3720FE76.D80@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 121119

I have a brand new in the box Bearcat BC9000xlt scanner for sale.
I want $250 plus the shipping..
Don't miss your chance to own this high dollar scanner at a great
price..

2401. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3721029b$0$2486@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 121120

SFbobby <sfbobby@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990423171653.19279.00000713@ng36.aol.com...
> I don't understand why scanners skip these freqs...I have two dozen
wireless
> mics in this range and need a portable scanner to monitor them in a arena
> backstage. I'm told the Rat Shack Pro-60 covered this but had limited
memory
> storage. No one at local Rat Shack heard of the Pro-60 and act like I'm
after
>
That's because it's discontinued, and has been for quite a while. I think it was even discontinued when I bought it two years ago, so good luck finding one in your RS district. If you can find a good RS manager, however, you may be able to enlist some help. Just don't expect the average RS employee to go on a big searching spree, because they work for minimum or commission (whichever is more), and the commission usually doesn't add up to much. It's sad, but RS really doesn't give the employees much incentive to help anyone who isn't there to buy a cell phone, and I know, as I worked a short stint at RS. They do, however, have wonderful scanners, and some of their managers are very helpful.

Cell freqs. They don't even know the cell freqs. and I don't tell them my
> Pro-39 picks them up just fine (mostly drug deals here).
>
> Any ideas? Thanks in advance.

2402. fs: Pro-2004 w/ Full 800 MHZ coverage, unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikel@fast.net
Message-ID: <7fr17p$7ga$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 121121

For Sale at Yahoo auction is a Pro-2004 w/ FULL 800 MHZ coverage, unblocked. Excellent condition with manual. Auction closes on 4/2799 at 22:41 PST. Coverage is from 25mhz to 1300 Mhz. Receiver has 10 separate banks with 30 channels each for a total of 300 channels. This can be adjusted with a small modification to store 40 banks for a total of 400 channels.

follow link
http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/4245485

2403. Antennas @ NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <37210FE7.F4B28F7D@analon.com>
Source record: 121122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whenever I go to a race at PIR (Phoenix International Raceway) nea Goodyear, I take several antennas. In addition to a flexible rubber duck that works good over most frequencies, I also take a high gain telescopic antenna that's good for bringing in the weak signals. In additon to the car frequencies, I monitor the TV production frequencies, Track control, security, and also the local Police and Sheriff frequencies.

track control and race operations usually are on the same 464mhz frequencies. The TV and radio operations usually are on 450 or 455mhz frequencies. The Sheriff uses 460mhz frequencies.

JOHN

After the race, I did not believe what I heard on an 870mhz frequency. Someone ws making airline reservations and gave their name, address, and credit card number right over the air. I will not give out any sensitive informaiton on a radiotelephone of any kind... I call back using a land line!

Jack O wrote:

> I recently attended a NASCAR race, and had trouble picking up one of
> the cars. My PRO64 scanner stopped on the frequency, and I could hear
> it very faintly, but it was kind of "scratchy", and sounded something
> like when you have a loose speaker wire. What could cause this?
>
> All of the other drivers came in well. I admit that NASCAR racing is
> not the best environment for scanners, with well over 50 radios
> broadcasting in a very small area, but I did pretty well except for
> that one car.
>
> Another question. Are those stubby racing antennas tuned to any
> particular frequency range? At the race, I tried no antenna, a
> rubber-duck, and even a paper clip. The paper clip won!
>
> Thanks,
> Jack
> --
> Please remove the "NOSPAM" when replying by e-mail.

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |

```
| (: MS-Windows ):< | |
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

2404. Motorola LS550 IR port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <37211442.37B1BFDE@dnai.com>
Source record: 121123
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: boscoj.vcf

Hey i just got a Mot LS550 pager and was wondering if ne1 knows what
this IR port on the bottom of the pager is for. I can guess what its for
but does ne1 know exactly what it is and where to find more info on it?

Thanx

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2405. Re: Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: neppy <neptune1_2_3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fr4d9lmk1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121124

Yeah, once eBay went public they really started to suck. They let those corporate lawyer assholes take over and banned the gun auctions and any other thing that's not politically correct.

Dan wrote in message <371fbdb8@news.oaktree.net>...
>
>Pro43 <pro43@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:19990422081556.14295.00000097@ng-cm1.aol.com...
>> This is one of the responses I rercived regaurding the pulling of my STOCK
>> AOR8000. Someone needs to set these guys straight.
>
>
>They just don't have a clue. I'm having better luck selling stuff on
>amazon.com
>
>Dan
>
>

2406. WTB:PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: eeewee <n2ojq@gw.total-web.net>
Message-ID: <924915555.187.97@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121125

Looking for a clean PRO-2006 for a friend-

--
Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA

WARNING TO UNSOLICITED SPAMMERS !!!

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail (SPAM) sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee of
$500.00 U.S."!!
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2407. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <trick@trickster.org>
Message-ID: <37211C04.8623211C@trickster.org>
Source record: 121126

bruce miller wrote:

> > a webpage discussing this very issue:
> > http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/pubs/v48/no2/prime.html
>
> >v. Fuller,(95) the U.S. District Court refused to dismiss the
> >indictment of Fuller who was charged with unlawfully
> >monitoring local police broadcasts and sharing that information
>
> Hold on everyone. Read the above carefully..."charged with
> unlawfully monitoring local police broadcasts" AND "sharing that
> information."
>
> The discussion in this thread and quotes from the law suggests it
> is legal to monitor, but the above quote from the legal web page
> suggests there can be some unlawful monitoring of police.
>
> Was the person in that case listening to encrypted transmissions?

The full quote should be ...

"The Communications Act traditionally has not been used as a bar to the public reception of police radio broadcasts, although the only federal court to face that issue approved criminal prosecution based on the statute. In United States v. Fuller,(95) the U.S. District Court refused to dismiss the indictment of Fuller who was charged with unlawfully monitoring local police broadcasts and sharing that information with radio station KEWB without first obtaining the consent of the police. The court said that the First Amendment would not bar Fuller's prosecution,(96) but because the decision as to whether the broadcast was public or private should be made based on the evidence and not on a motion to dismiss, it left that question to the trial court.(97) The case was resolved at the trial level and never reached the appellate courts again."

2408. Re: WTD INDY 500 Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37213fdc@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 121127

Uncle <steven@banet.net> wrote in message news:3720C161.4F09943@banet.net...
> sorry, i couldn't come up with any freq's :-(
> try these links and e-mail the track and race officials. good luck, and
have
> lotsa fun at the race!!!!
> steven
>

www.indyscan.com

any and everything in Indy is listed there.

2409. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2592-372118E3-29@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121128

155.640 highway patrol iowa
155.790 lea iowa
155 475

2410. Code is a barrier! Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6164-37211954-3@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121129

I've got nothing against people who enjoy using the code. Unfortunatly
the code serves as a barrier to many otherwise qualified operators that
could help to advance the hobby.
lets face it, the internet is taking many potential new hams. No code hf
would bring life back into a dying hobby.
I believe code should not be a requirement for any class of license.
Hopefully the arrl will allow us to catch up with the rest of the world.
_ .... ._ _. _._ ... 73

2411. Re: Job : Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6162-37211A18-22@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121130

Sounds like a dream job for a scanner lover!
I'll move! Sign me up!

2412. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2590-37211A6E-97@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121131

```
93.1 country kwcc muscatine,iowa
103.7 country wllr davenport,iowa
98.9 rock whts rock island,ill
```

2413. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fr9ru$4v$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

Newbie, you don`t dictate anything on here, and I suggest you just sit back
and listen for a while.....

505 <mm505@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:37210c66.264534043@news.mindspring.com...
> On Multiple Days, Multiple idiots posted many things:
>
> Look, people (and I AM using that term very loosely), no one here
> gives a rat's ass how many license you have, how many power supply's
> you've fried, how many fingers you have or how many animals you have.
> If you are going to carry on like this, I suggest you go to someplace
> like rec.idiots.fools.jerks and post. This IS a scanner group, not a
> 2nd grade for babies.
>
> The only thing I can think of is out of the Howard Stern movie:
>
> "Of all the people polled who hate him, most said they listen just to
> see what he will say next".
>
>

2414. Re: RS 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <oakridgecomputr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fra3i$34o$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121133

there a good scanner......does not have trunk tracking

i have one myself

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm

2415. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fra4e$6i$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37208D1F.B5DEC2DE@usa.net...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Mackey at his BEST! Counting a friggen Amateur Radio License four
times!
>
> FOOL! I counted it FIVE times because they were FIVE different licenses
&FIVE
> different sets of EXAMS!!!! (novice, tech, gen, adv, Extra)

So how come , after taking all these exams, perfessa, ya still KNOW FRUM
NUTTIN ?

2416. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fra7f$70$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121135

you have.
>
> I also have my General Radiotelephone License for working in the
Commercial
> radio
> & Broadcast field.
>
>
>
So how come you DON`T work in it ? You want fries with that ?

2417. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <ijas@hs.com>
Message-ID: <372131F6.7B8084F8@hs.com>
Source record: 121136

>

Well, maybe I should go with the regular shipping then, but I wanted to get the
UPS express for the extra 33 dollars. There seems to be more of an advantage of
getting the regular shipping
1)They are less likely to check what is in the package
2) They will not charge you extra $$$

Ordering out of the US is begining to look like a pain in the ass, maybe there is
a company in the US that has comparable prices and radios. I really don't want
the full 800MHz. I just want it to use with my 4-level FSK interface.

>
> If you want to avoid customs fees, don't use a courier like UPS, DHL
> or Fedex. Both companies handle their own customs entries, and
> therefor are legally required to report the items, and pay the duties
> on them, which they then bill you. The corollary is my experience is
> that neither company is very good at properly id'ing the goods and
> putting them into the correct HTS number, which usually means you paid
> more duty than you needed too. If you have time, take the customs
> documents you get, and check the HTS number they have used against
> the HTS schedule. You might be surprised.
>
> If you use the Mail, often US customs cannot be bothered to do the
> paperwork, and if the goods come in as small packet air, my experience
> is the customs declaration never even gets looks at!

2418. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7fodlr$25r$0@207.18.224.47>
Source record: 121145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 20:05:43 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>>John, you have also been asked to leave FIDONET, UUNET, and the INTERNET.
>
>Wrong on all three Blake. Three strikes & you OUT!!!!!! hehehe That's
>probably a new record for you Blake as you were wrong 3 times in one
>sentence!
>
How would that be wrong John? I, and others, have asked you to leave
all three! In the same manner as Bill was "asked" to leave FIDO, you
have been "asked" to leave FIDO, UUNET, and the INTERNET.

>> Secondly, what FCC licenses does Bill need to do the work he claims to
>
>Bill has stated he has a "First Class General Radiotelephone License". No such
>licensehas ever existed with the US FCC. The FCC has had two licenses with
>similar name
>to the above but they have no record of Cheek ever holding either one.
>
But, he does not need ANY license to do the work he claims to, other
than possibly a business license. I ask again, what FCC license does
Bill need to work on scanners, computers, or write books?

>
>> Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
>> one hand. Please list those.
>
>Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my Amateur Radio
>Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license (1984), my Amateur
>Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio Advanced license (1990), and my
>Amateur radio Extra class license (1993). All these in addition to my General
>Radiotelephone License.
>
So, seeing as the HAM licenses are UPGRADES, and not seperate
licenses, you only have TWO FCC licenses, not as you stated
more than you can count on one hand. Correct?

2419. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2000 <HairMan2000@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <37206D33.DC7BA810@pacifier.com>
Source record: 121146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Ha Ha Pretty Good one John.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> I don't have an ass, I only have a dog & cat.
>
> Macaroon wrote:
>
> > Anything that doesn't come from your lying ass.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2420. Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: poscag@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990422210208.12705.00000425@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 121147

>Subject: Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

The new freq. is 470.4625
Enjoy, Bob

2421. Need DISCRIM for 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <371fc856.8042566@news.icx.net>
Source record: 121148

Hi,

Anyone have the pick off point for the RS 2037 scanner>

Thanks!

Steve

2422. Re: Letter from Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <7fop3u$2mn$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121149

Brooklyn is in the Southern District of NY

jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>>From: Help <for@morespam.net>
>>Date: 04-14-1999 12:47 EST
>>
>>Thanks John this must be who is involved or I am wrong again.
>>Let me know.
>> http://www.uscourts.gov/
>>

>You're on the right track. More specifically, I believe it's the URL below.
>You can sign up for an account and read the information in the case files
>on-line at 60 cents per minute.

>http://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/

>I think this is the right district, although New York has three federal court
>districts and I'm not familiar enough with the city to be absolutely certain.

>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

To Reply:
(scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
trenchgun@aol.com

2423. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <7fopdm$2mn$2@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121150

Actually, those tapes (the one made by the Police themselves) are
subject to subpoena, and will likely be released by the Police at some
point, and can be obtained under the Freedom of Information Act.

"Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>They can, and do, expect to be overheard. Neverthless, if you publish what
>you heard, you can expect at least a chance of getting a MUCH closer look at
>police proceedures than you'll like. It IS illegal.
>--------------
>Rick <rick@rickster.org> wrote in message
>news:371E8BC8.3CF9760@rickster.org...
>> I think a public agency using the public airwaves can not expect any
>> confidentiality for their open communications. It is a 'broadcast' within
>the
>> meaning of that term and would not have the protection of a private
>communication.
>> Encoded transmissions are a somewhat different story as long as the
>encoding
>> scheme is kept confidential. (Use of common techniques such as 'inversion'
>would
>> not be protected.) Public service agencies have the technology to keep the
>> transmissions secure if they wish. Digital spread spectrum technology is
>readily
>> available to them.
>>
>> Rick
>>
>>
>> ClayFyre61 wrote:
>>
>> > The bill is not intended to "restrain" anyone from monitoring radio
>> > communications... it is intended to restrain what you might do with the
>> > communications you monitor. There is a chance what you hear may have to
>be used
>> > as evidence, and is thus, confidential. If you were to divulge what you
>hear to
>> > the general public, you may well be hampering the investigation.
>>
>>
>>
>>

To Reply:
(scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:

trenchgun@aol.com

2424. AOR AR1000XLT User Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: neppy <neptune1_2_3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fosjpmjg1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121151

I have one of these scanners but the store was out of stock on the $15 books that told you how to get the most out of them. That was 5 years ago and the store has since gone out of the public sales business. Does anybody have a copy of this?

2425. Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hate@spam.org
Message-ID: <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners won't know you're talking about them.

73, Have a nice day!

2426. WANTED! Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Feinberg <nick@fcc.net>
Message-ID: <37201146.EDCFEA6@fcc.net>
Source record: 121153

Seeking a pro-2006 in great shape!

Regards,
Nick

nick@fcc.net

2427. Mods for Pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry <jerrym@kc.net>
Message-ID: <XuUT2.572$xY5.5490@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121154

I would like info on any mods for Pro 26 especially opening up the cellular.

2428. BC220/230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7fpfd0$9k6$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 121155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got a BC-220/230 XLT for Christmas.
I'm curious as to what this radio can be modded to do.
It has a date code on the bottom of OHIE
I've searched the net with no success.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2429. Re: Seeking software for Sony SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <372041E2.66CF037A@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 121156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mtiernan wrote:
> Anyone know of any software OTHER than the default, Sony supplied,
> software? (*YUCK*)

That might be a tough order. Last I knew, Sony was not planning
on releasing the protocol. While that doesn't stop anyone from
reverse engineering it with a port analyzer, it does slow its
development by the larger third-party software developers. And
I personally have not seen any hobby software for it as well.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2430. Re: Tracy PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37204512.68CDDE3F@netxn.com>
Source record: 121157

Try these ...

Tracy PD Ch 1 155.370 PL 82.5
Ch 2 154.920 PL 82.5
Ch 3 154.725 PL 82.5

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

duhhh99@pop3.concentric.net wrote:
>
> anybody know them frequencies of tracy, Calif. PD?

2431. Seek Opinions: Austin Ferret 9-band Omni Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stan <doesnot@exist.com>
Message-ID: <7fph82$kgo@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 121158

x-no-archive yes

Just moved in to my new house and have the opportunity to put up a new scanner antenna. Since I am also active on the 2mtrs ham band I am looking for a 1 antenna do everything vertical; i.e. scan & transmit with some gain over a discone.

The supplemental info I have is that it is an array of center fed 1/2 wave elements using dielectrically tuned chokes, multi-tuned parallel resonant circuits and asymmetrical elements :)

I am hoping someone could offer an opinion on this antenna's performance since it carries a hefty price tag. If I was to put up 2 separate antennas, one for 2mtrs and another for scanning, I would be close to that price anyway but wouldn't have the nice clean look for my neighbors.

www.radio-ware.com/products/ferret.htm

Comments and advice appreciated, thanks.

2432. BC220/230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7fpi1l$f84$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 121159

I got a BC-220/230 XLT for Christmas.
I'm curious as to what this radio can be modded to do.
It has a date code on the bottom of OHIE
I've searched the net with no success.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2433. Ohio State Patrol Post 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fpn79$kq6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121160

Does anyone know if the OSP Post 22 near Sandusky has changed frequencys ?
44.98 & 44.82 seem quiet. Or did my scanner die on the low band ??

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2434. FS/TR pro-2026 with cell mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nation_aliste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37205280.2592077@news.concepta.com>
Source record: 121161

Mint no box,asking 140.00

2435. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8d7b$8508e8c0$da60b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 121162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, its you again, long time!

I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not, its been so long.

Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful. Now please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to do.

Regards from the thinking part of the Country.

Christopher Cox

radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
<7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
> You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners
> won't know you're talking about them.
>
> 73, Have a nice day!
>

2436. AOR 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ANDREW P WOLF <AWOLF@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7fp1vp$39i4$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121163

does any one have info on the AOR 800, not 8000 scanner,or value.it seems to have been made before cell blocking.

2437. RS 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ANDREW P WOLF <AWOLF@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7fp24q$2h7q$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121164

what is a 2026 that has been modified worth if I can get one?

2438. Re: Yupiteru Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <KqwJ$FBshFI3EwWB@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 121165

In article <7foa5u$e23$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, etrent@my-dejanews.com
writes

>>I have a Yupiteru 7100E.Is there a difference?

The "E" on the box is for Export - nothing more sinister than that.

>On the box it has CE.What does
>this stand for?

In the USA electronic goods have to be FCC approved. In a similar way
(although covering not just electronic equipment) for goods to be sold
within the European Union they have to comply with various directives
and be "CE" approved.

>118.295,460.1500 has to read 460.1450. is their a reason for this or is this a
>reject?

It would seem it is slightly off.

Cheers
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2439. Re: Trakkstar, Optocom, and Toshiba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jkimmer@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fpsanpap1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121166

I have gotten Trakkstar to work on the Libretto. I'm not sure if it is working very well though. The trick is to get the com port open before you launch Trakkstar. The best luck I've had is by launching a hyperterm window directly connected to com1 at 9600,n,8,1. Minimize the window. Then launch Trakkstar. Seems to work fine at this point. The gotcha: make sure to close Trakkstar before you close the hyperterm program. If not done in proper order, the OS will lock up (I'm using Win98). I have also experienced the lockup when going to data management during scanning.

This obviously isn't the correct solution for operation but It tells me that Trakkstar requires the com port to be "open" before it can become operational. Are there any utilities for windows that will somebody to turn a com port on or off without launching an application layer program?

Thanks again!

Joe Kimmer
Charlotte, NC

In article <GpaT2.99$yF6.240@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>,
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
> I'm not familiar with the com ports on the Libretto, but if they are high
> speed, you may have to disable the FiFo buffer.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> <jkimmer@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
> news:7fgecg$978$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> > Does anybody have Trakkstar or Scanstar running on a Toshiba notebook? I
> am
> > currently trying to get Trakkstar to talk to an Optocom on a Toshiba
> Libretto
> > and it DONT WORK. Signal Intelligence claims that it is a Toshiba problem
> > and Toshiba claims it's a software problem. Anybody been in this
> situation
> > before? Trakkstar is looking for a "real" serial port. In the Toshiba
> bios,
> > I can set the serial port to any IRQ and Address i want (COM1:IRQ4:3F8).
> Any
> > help with similar experience or solution suggestions would be appreciated.
> > Thanks!
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2440. Re: Ac Adapter for Uniden UBC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: p35hp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423083041.15411.00000663@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121167

>Glenn Landry wrote:
>>
>> I've purchased a Uniden UBC3000XLT from Bandercom and I'm wondering what
>> type of adapter will I need to power it. I'm sure that I can get one
>> from Radio Shack, but I'm unsure what adapter to buy. My scanner is on
>> the way and I would like to have the adapter when it gets here.
>>
>> Glenn
>Most all radios come with the ac adapter, don't go buy one until yours
>is delivered.
>--
>Edmund A. Prevost, LPI

The UBC 3000xlt is the european version of the BC3000 xlt. What comes out of the wall recept. here and across the big pond "ain't" the same. No ac adpt. is supplied with radios shipped into the US. Wouldn't work anyway. Couple that with the fact that the radio uses an enclosed batt pack and one can see the need to get something before the radio gets here.One would think that Licensed Private Investigators, would INVESTIGATE. Sorry, couldn't resisist the urge.
Jim

2441. UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423092453.12161.00000502@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 121168

I've been collecting operational UHF frequencies for various Military Operating areas ("MOA's") for some time. I know my work is only about half done, but thought I'd post what I have in the old database. Some of this is probably out of date and my main area of interest is in the Central United States, where the MOA's are few and far between. I'm still digging through the first half of the AP1B supplement, about half way through. Burried in the route info are scattered frequencies for route ATC contact points. If I have missed your favorite MOA, or if you know of additional stuff, please post and or reply e-mail. I am especially interested in operational ACM frequencies, as opposed to Center entry/exit stuff (though those are often used for ACM, too!). More to follow eventually, but in freq sort order, here goes...

228.950 ADA BISON MOA KANSAS PRIMARY
229.250 YANKEE MOA AND LAZER NORTH MOA AIR TAC
230.400 INDIANA ANG 178TH FG CHANNEL 14 R5503 AND BRUSH CREEK MOA AIR TO AIR TAC
237.150 HOWARD MOA TAC
237.200 KIOWA MOA AIR TAC AND RANGE OPS
238.250 YANKEE MOA CLEARANCE VIA BOSTON ARTCC
238.250 CONDOR MOA / SCOTTY MOA AIR TAC
239.200 MOTT A/B MOA AIR TAC
244.000 IR-139 / HOOD MOA / R-6302 GRAY AFB RAPCON CONTACT
249.150 LAMBERT FIELD / ST.LOUIS, MO - 110TH TFS MO ANG AIR TACTICAL MOGAR MOA AIR TO AIR
251.250 KIT CARSON MOA AIRBURST RANGE OPS
251.250 FREMONT MOA AIR TAC
252.525 BROWNWOOD MOA AIR TAC
252.900 BIRMINGHAM MOA
254.250 HULMAN REGIONAL INDIANA - INDIANA ANG 181ST FIGHTER GROUP RED HILLS MOA OPERATIONS
257.900 USAF/ANG OPERATIONS IN THE HOWARD MOA (CENTRAL IL - KANSAS CITY ARTCC)
259.400 USAF/ANG OPERATIONS IN THE 12 MILE / HILLTOP MOA (N/W INDIANA)
259.400 YANKEE MOA AND LAZER NORTH MOA AIR TAC
260.250 TRUMAN MOA
260.275 EGLIN MOA AIR TAC
261.000 IR-160 / IR-165 / WARRIOR MOA CONTACT
264.200 SNOWBIRD MOA AIR TAC
266.750 ISABELLA MOA AIR TAC
266.750 OWENS MOA AIR TAC
266.750 SUPERIOR VALLEY MOA AIR TAC
266.750 BISHOP MOA AIR TAC
267.900 CAMDEN RIDGE MOA
267.900 PINE HILL MOA

269.400 IR-110 EXIT / VR-1195 / PECOS MOA ZAB ARTCC CONTACT
271.100 MOODY MOA GCI RADAR SERVICE
271.500 IR-264 / GABBS MOA / AUSTIN MOA FALLON CLEARANCE DELIVERY
CONTACT
280.100 CAMDEN RIDGE MOA
280.100 PINE HILL MOA
282.200 BROWNWOOD MOA TEXAS LOON RANGE
282.200 YANKEE MOA CLEARANCE VIA BOTON ARTCC
283.775 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA BROCHURE
SECONDARY
283.800 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA BROCHURE
SECONDARY FOR A/C W/O 25 KHZ RADIOS
285.600 IR-016 / MOODY 2 MOA VALDOSTA APPROACH CLEARANCE ON ENTRY
285.600 WASHITA MOA AIR TAC
286.200 SOUTH JERSEY MOA / WARREN GROVE RANGE OPS
288.100 IR-160 / IR-165 / JENA MOA CONTACT
288.300 ROSE HILL MOA
288.800 SNOWBIRD MOA AIR TAC
290.500 INDY CENTER, LONDON, LOW ALTITUDE DISCRETE - BUCKEYE MOA
CONTROL
291.700 KIOWA MOA AIR TAC
294.600 IR-133 / WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE MOA ENTRY VIA CHEROKEE OPS
294.900 EUREKA MOA KANSAS PRIMARY
296.000 MOODY MOA AIR TAC
297.100 IR-040 / DESOTO MOA INTENTIONS BCST IN THE BLIND
297.400 IR-042 / IR-063 ATLANTA ARTCC CONTACT / BHM2 MOA ENTRY
CLEARANCE
297.800 SNOWBIRD MOA AIR TAC
298.775 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA BROCHURE PRIMARY
298.800 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA BROCHURE PRIMARY
FOR A/C W/O 25 KHZ RADIOS
301.500 MOODY MOA AIR TAC
301.600 USAF/ANG OPERATIONS IN THE 12 MILE / HILLTOP MOA (N/W INDIANA)
301.600 YANKEE MOA AND LAZER NORTH MOA AIR TAC
303.000 ADA BISON MOA KANSAS SECONDARY
303.000 COUGAR MOA AIR TAC
305.100 TRUMAN MOA
305.400 IR-117 / IR-121 / HOG MOA / R-2402 BCST IN THE BLIND
305.400 IR-164 / HOG MOA CONTACT
307.200 IR-146 / NQ1 MOA / NIR3 MOA HOUSTON ARTCC CONTACT
314.600 BUCKEYE MOA AIR TAC
315.100 SNOWBIRD MOA AIR TAC
316.900 KANSAS ANG SMOKEY HILLS RANGE PRIMARY / SMOKEY MOA OPS
317.700 BROWNWOOD MOA TEXAS HORNET RANGE AND MOA PRIMARY
318.650 BROWNWOOD MOA AIR TAC
319.200 IR-109 EXIT / IR-111 EXIT / VR-1195 / PECOS MOA ZAB ARTCC
319.850 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI WAUTOMA PHANTOM EAST / AR-640 A/B
MINNOW MOA
321.200 CRYPT MOA AIR TAC
321.300 USAF/ANG OPERATIONS IN THE 12 MILE / HILLTOP MOA (N/W INDIANA)

321.300 IR-161 / WARRIOR MOA CONTACT
322.450 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI WAUTOMA PHROST EAST / AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA
323.200 ADA BISON MOA KANSAS OPS
327.050 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI WAUTOMA PHANTOM SECONDARY / AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA
328.550 SNOWBIRD MOA AIR TAC
333.550 HOWARD MOA AIR TAC
335.600 ISABELLA MOA AIR TAC
335.950 KIT CARSON MOA AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL
335.950 HOWARD MOA TAC
338.950 SALEM AND LINDBERGH MOA'S TAC W/139.950
339.100 CAMDEN RIDGE MOA
339.100 PINE HILL MOA
341.450 HOWARD MOA AWACS HAVE QUICK TOD PULSES
343.000 IR-126 / DESERT MOA / RED FLAG NELLIS AFB CONTROL EAST SECTOR CONTROL CONTACT
343.600 IR-192 / IR-194 / IR-195 / TALON MOA ALBUQUERQUE ARTCC EXIT CONTACT
343.750 BULLDOG MOA AIR TAC
343.750 LIVE OAK MOA AIR TAC
346.300 BROWNWOOD MOA TEXAS TOMCAT RANGE
347.300 LAMBERT FIELD / ST.LOUIS, MO - 110TH TFS MO ANG AIR TACTICAL MOGAR MOA AIR TO AIR
348.300 MOODY MOA OPERATIONS
348.300 LIVE OAK MOA AIR TAC
348.650 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI MILSTON PHOENIX PHANTOM WEST / AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA
349.200 PINE HILL MOA REFUELLING OPS REPORTED
350.350 USAF/ANG OPERATIONS IN THE 12 MILE / HILLTOP MOA (N/W INDIANA) PRIMARY AIR TO AIR
352.400 BULL DOG MOA
352.800 BIRMINGHAM MOA
353.900 BISON MOA KANSAS PRIMARY
354.400 PINE HILL MOA REFUELLING OPS REPORTED
355.550 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA PHROST SECONDARY
358.200 USAF / ANG OPERATIONS IN THE HOWARD MOA (CENTRAL ILLINOIS)
363.200 SMOKEY HILLS MOA KANSAS ADA RTB
369.200 IR-042 / IR-062 / BHM 2 MOA EXIT CLEARANCE VIA MONTGOMERY APPROACH
370.950 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI MILSTON PHROST WEST / AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA
373.100 PINE HILL MOA REFUELLING OPS REPORTED
376.100 AVON PARK MOA
377.050 AR-640 A/B MOA OVER LAKE MICHIGAN / MINNOW MOA OPS
377.050 VOLK FIELD, WISCONSIN - ACMI MILSTON PHANTOM SECONDARY / AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA
377.100 FALCO MOA ENTRY CLEARANCE
377.800 IR-126 / DESERT MOA / RED FLAG BLACKJACK OPS CONTACT
377.800 IR-290 / DESERT MOA BLACKJACK CONTACT

380.050 BROWNWOOD MOA ENTRY CLEARANCE VIA FT WORTH ARTCC
380.200 EUREKA MOA KANSAS TAC
383.775 AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA PAST USE BY BROCHURE
385.600 IR-016 / MOODY 2 MOA JACKSONVILLE ARTCC CONTACT
387.150 KIT CARSON MOA AIRBURST RANGE OPS
390.000 ADA BISON MOA KANSAS SECONDARY
392.100 IR-126 / IR-280 / IR-285 / IR-290 / DESERT MOA NELLIS AFB RED FLAG CLEARANCE CONTACT
393.000 IR-117 / IR-121 / HOG MOA / R-2402 FORTH SMITH APPROACH CONTACT
395.000 MOODY MOA AIR TAC DISCRETE
396.900 AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA AIR TO AIR TAC
398.800 AR-640 A/B MINNOW MOA AIR TO AIR TAC
399.800 IR-117 / IR-121 / HOG MOA / R-2402 ENTRY CLEARANCE DELIVERY

Happy Scanning! - Ted (Glenview, Illinois)
TandKMoran@AOL.COM

2442. WTB: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbraun@localnet.com
Message-ID: <37207633.2516461@news.localnet.com>
Source record: 121169

Looking for a Optoelectronics Scout.
If you have one available please contact me w/ the details.

Thanks....

2443. MAJOR FAC DEFECT FOUND ON 2037 Scanner!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <372075c3.2323345@news.icx.net>
Source record: 121170

Hi all,

I have found what appears to be a MAJOR factory defect on the RS 2037 scanners. The sensitivity of the scanner-I have had 3 - is extremely poor. I bought one of these new a few years back. Took it back, got another one..BOTH units were the same. The problem is the 10db switch in the rear..the "normal" position is shorted to GROUND!! Apparently all of these units suffer the same prob. I suspect this is also the same prob for the 2040 series also.

The fix...
I will NOT assume responsibilty for any probs incurred while doing the mod.

Remove the 6 screw on the bottom.
pull the BOTTOM cover of.
There will be 6 solder tabs directly UNDER the switch(10 db switch).
The two solder tabs CLOSEST to the edge of the chassis the solder between the two tabs MUST be cut. This is where the prob is.

| | |
---------->
| | |

The solder between the TWO tabs on the end closest to the chassis edge must be cleared out at the area where the arrow points! ZSorry my aart work is not better.

73s and Good luck!!

Steve WA4GZE

2444. FS: Optoelectronics R11 Near field Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbraun@localnet.com
Message-ID: <37207875.3094598@news.localnet.com>
Source record: 121171

Optoelectronics R11 Handheld Nearfield Receiver for sale.
This is will receive nearfield signals of 30mhz to 2ghz (no blocks in coverage), and demodulate them to built in speaker. Unit is very compact, and has many features. This is the perfect tool / or toy for the scanner listener. This unit is the commercial version only available to commercial Radio Repair Shops (Only sold in USA to licensed Radio Repair Shops). In mint condition w/ Box and Manual.
More information at : www.optoelectronics.com
(please note that this is the unblocked version)

Asking $325 shipped

2445. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7fq35l$7a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <01be8d7b$8508e8c0$da60b6cd@cbx-nt>,
"Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
> Oh, its you again, long time!
>
> I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not, its
> been so long.
>
> Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful. Now
> please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to do.
>
> Regards from the thinking part of the Country.
>
> Christopher Cox
>
> radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> > You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby
> whiners
> > won't know you're talking about them.
> >
> > 73, Have a nice day!
> >
>
You know how to tell if a guy is using a "code reader" ??
Send to him on a bug. Works everytime.

Dan/W4NTI

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2446. Re: MAJOR FAC DEFECT FOUND ON 2037 Scanner!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <3720832b.569566@news.icx.net>
Source record: 121173

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 13:30:23 GMT, sfritts@icx.net (Steve Fritts)
wrote:

This mod appears to affect the sensitivity of the UHF band...will do
more research.

>Hi all,
>
>I have found what appears to be a MAJOR factory defect on the RS 2037
>scanners. The sensitivity of the scanner-I have had 3 - is extremely
>poor. I bought one of these new a few years back. Took it back, got
>another one..BOTH units were the same. The problem is the 10db switch
>in the rear..the "normal" position is shorted to GROUND!! Apparently
>all of these units suffer the same prob. I suspect this is also the
>same prob for the 2040 series also.
>
>The fix...
>I will NOT assume responsibilty for any probs incurred while doing the
>mod.
>
>Remove the 6 screw on the bottom.
>pull the BOTTOM cover of.
>There will be 6 solder tabs directly UNDER the switch(10 db switch).
>The two solder tabs CLOSEST to the edge of the chassis the solder
>between the two tabs MUST be cut. This is where the prob is.
>
>
> | | |
> ---------->
> | | |
>
>The solder between the TWO tabs on the end closest to the chassis edge
>must be cleared out at the area where the arrow points! ZSorry my aart
>work is not better.
>
>73s and Good luck!!
>
>Steve WA4GZE
>

2447. Questions for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Zell <SFWzell@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7fq0dvbod1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey guys,
I have two question. One general and one specific.

First, Is the regular mobile scanner powerful enough to use in my car. I want to pick up stuff primarily in the UHF range. The antenna looks pretty small and I woulf like to know if it's good enough. If not where can I get one?

Now the specific question,

Does anyone have the frequency for the Beltsville police station of Prince George's County in Maryland?? It is not 494.5375 or 494.6875mhz Thanks in advance

To respond, E-mail me at FreakyFran@hotmail.com

2448. WHO HAD 2 BC CRYSTAL UNITS FOR SALE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgibbs3015@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423105315.14424.00002166@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 121175

ABOUT 2 WEEKS AGO I SAW 2 BEARCAT SCANNERS FOR SALE.
I CAN'T REMEMBER WHERE. I WISH I MADE A NOTE, BUT I DIDN'T.
IF YOU READ THIS PLEASE CONTACT ME.
PHIL GIBBS

2449. AR3000 Assc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctmiles@flash.net
Message-ID: <372089e1.146266454@news.flash.net>
Source record: 121176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where can I get a remote cable for the ar3000,
original, not A version and a RS232 cable or pin out diagram
for this scanner. Any help appreciated. also looking for
service manual.

Chuck
ctmiles@flash.net

2450. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37208BDF.D9FD2CC3@usa.net>
Source record: 121177

Hello, Eric Oyen-

Fine, anyone who wants to see my GROL can come to Portland or meet me at the Dayton Hamvention next month!

hawke wrote:

> Besides your Ham license, show us your FCC General radio-telephone
> operators class license.
> Any other excuse will not be accepted

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2451. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37208D1F.B5DEC2DE@usa.net>
Source record: 121178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Glenn wrote:

> Mackey at his BEST! Counting a friggen Amateur Radio License four times!

FOOL! I counted it FIVE times because they were FIVE different licenses &FIVE different sets of EXAMS!!!! (novice, tech, gen, adv, Extra) YOU CAN'T EVEN COUNT TO FIVE WITHOUT SCHREWING UP!!!!!!

> Radio General License. All these in addition to my Citizen Band Service
> License.
>
> I have 5 Licenses too.

Wrong!!! You didn't have to take an EXAM to get your CB license. Hell, ifI wanted to count frequency licenses, I would have over 10 (including an OLD -now invalid as ALL are- CB radio license)!!!!!!

> BTW, aren't you one of the VE's being investigated for selling licenses to
> hams? Aren't you in danger of losing your Amateur Radio License if these
> charges were found to be true? Isn't it illegal (federal crime?) for you to
> be selling licenses to hams that didn't take the test?

Nope!!! I have NEVER been investigated for any wrong doing as aVE. My credentials are impecable!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2452. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37208D3F.57968712@usa.net>
Source record: 121179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've posted more than YOU!

Macaroon wrote:
>....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2453. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423110712.14153.00000833@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 121180

>From: dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman)

>I am going to get a MVT-9000m2.4 in a couple of weeks and I am going to
>pay the extra charge and get it 2nd Day UPS

Every time (well two times) I have used UPS to buy radios from Europe, I got the radio without a hitch but was hit with customs fees of about $40-$45. Personally, I wouldn't risk it any more out of concern that the next time they'll nab the radio.

2454. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37208DDD.95AA9FE6@usa.net>
Source record: 121181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

Blake Bowers wrote:

> How would that be wrong John? I, and others, have asked you

Because it never happened!

> But, he does not need ANY license to do the work he claims to, other
> than possibly a business license. I ask again, what FCC license does

Until only a few years ago he needed a GROL to work on scanners!

> So, seeing as the HAM licenses are UPGRADES, and not seperate
> licenses, you only have TWO FCC licenses, not as you stated

No Blake, as usual you don't understand. You UPGRADE to a NEW LICENSE!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2455. Winflex question. Error loading slicer.vxd!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7fqa5h$75q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me why I am getting this error?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2456. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37209693.56705ABF@ibm.net>
Source record: 121183

Jason wrote:
> You say that there is a delay.. about how much of a delay?

I have heard from 1 - 3 weeks.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2457. Wayne County, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Swab <swabab@acs.wooster.edu>
Message-ID: <372098EB.11379CA0@acs.wooster.edu>
Source record: 121184

I am looking for frequencies for Wayne County, Ohio. I have some but,
it is a rather incomplete set. Any help would be very much appreciated.

Andrew Swab

2458. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423121509.22913.00000569@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 121185

>Until only a few years ago he needed a GROL to work on scanners!

Uh I think you might want to re-check . I don't believe a license of ANY type
is or has ever been required to service any receiver.

Larry

2459. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <37219ef4.952865953@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 121186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 15:21:30 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-dejanews.com>
wrote:

>In article <01be8d7b$8508e8c0$da60b6cd@cbx-nt>,
> "Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
>> Oh, its you again, long time!
>>
>> I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not, its
>> been so long.
>>
>> Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful. Now
>> please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to do.
>>
>> Regards from the thinking part of the Country.
>>
>> Christopher Cox
>>
>> radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
>> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> >
>> > You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby
>> whiners
>> > won't know you're talking about them.
>> >
>> > 73, Have a nice day!
>> >
>>
> You know how to tell if a guy is using a "code reader" ??
> Send to him on a bug. Works everytime.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Or a hand pump. Real brass pounding is a lost art.

Tony

2460. Re: NASCAR drivers radio freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sentari <sentari@gte.net>
Message-ID: <hksU2.1503$pg4.103442@paloalto-snr1>
Source record: 120937

Ron....

Thanks for the tip..... Just what I was looking for!!!

Larry

Ron Yanchuck wrote in message <7ft1j2ijm1@nw001t.infi.net>...
>Go here. It should help you in your quest.
>http://www.speedworld.net/frequencies/frequencies_index.shtml
>
>
>Sentari wrote in message ...
>>I'll be attending the Calif 500 in a few weeks and I could use the freqs
of
>>the drivers so I can listen in on the action.
>>
>>Would someone be so kind to posting those once again.
>>
>>Thanks!
>>
>>sentari@gte.net
>>
>>Larry Files
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2461. Re: RS 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rquesada56@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424192120.29685.00001100@ng126.aol.com>
Source record: 120938

I was lucky to find one in a pawn shop that had a price of $149.00 with adapter. I got the scanner for $125.00. This model can be mod. by simply cutting one wire inside. The Pro-2026 is a great scanner. One would most likely sell for around $150.00 to $250.00 in good condition.

2462. Is desktop reception better than handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Mahlau <mm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ftlucsgo1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120939

I'd like to get started listening to scanners. I've gone a few times over the past year to my local Radio Shack but never bought anything.

This month they have a clearance on a desktop model (only 30 channels, but I am a beginner) for $89 regularly $119. There is also a sale on a handheld 100-channel model for $89 which regularly sells for $129 or more.

For $89 I think I can afford to take the plunge and see if I like it before I by a more expensive model. My question is this: 100 channels sounds a whole lot better than 30, but is that little rubbery antenna going to receive as well as a desktop unit? I should also say that I doubt I will take this out of my house. I will keep it inside.

Thanks for any feedback.

2463. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <F9CC7953B702B8D7.2DBF830C66B05E69.CC4BAB032623D469@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's not a matter of lazyness, it's a matter of having to learn a bunch of outdated crap. If I want to fill my mind with knowledge, I prefer to fill it with things that matter.

> Duh. I got my first license at age 14. Sorry to hear folks are so stupid
> or lazy to learn something new these days.

2464. Re: Legality of Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2404991736310001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 120941

In article <37086ca3.4633088@news.auracom.com>, wdmilner.ns@auracom.com wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes
>
> bshort@speedchoice.com (Brian Short) wrote:
>>I'm quite confused about what can/cannot be monitored?
>
>As you are a ham you should have some Idea of this. You can legally
>monitor anything but "private" communications so long as you do not
>divulge the contents (not applicable to amateur and "broadcast"
>radio). Private communications includes cordless and celular
>telephones. I imagine it probably inculdes some government
>communications as well.
>
>>What are the risks to public safety if someone has a scanner?

The biggest risk stupid people rushing off to the scene of an emergency
and interfering (if only by adding to the crowd).

>The basic issue's from an legal point of view are basically two: 1)
>the privacy issue regarding telephones and 2) the potential use of
>mobile scanners by criminals to sidestep law enforcement personnel.
>That is why it is illegal in most places to have a scanner in a
>vehicle unless you are emergency response personnel (police, fire,
>etc) or a ham.

From what I've heard (and read here), in most places it IS legal to have a
scanner in a vehicle. Some states in the US prohibit it but not most.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

2465. looking for freqs for kansas city,mo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry stevens <lstevens@kcinter.net>
Message-ID: <eJtU2.4061$qj7.196762@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120942

looking for police and fire freqs for kansas city,mo and surrounding areas

2466. BC 245XLT release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ftpes$2si$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120943

I've heard conflicting dates as to when this new TrunkTracker will be released for sale. I can't wait. Anyone got the REAL story?

2467. Re: Antenna Question on best way to setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118c1a2bcca25ce298973f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have that antenna and at this time, after much trial have discovered that the Radio Shack 20-006 antenna actually outperforms the Super Stick II by a fair margin in all bands from 30-1300mhz. considering it's great weight advantage you may want to think about this. I too am soon getting a MVT-9000m2.4 and will be using this antenna as the main one.

2468. Re: WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2404991814140001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 120945

In article <7er57a$h6c$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>, "Frank Bals"
<FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Looking for a 46" long extendable antenna to use with my handheld scanners.
>Similar to the old Grove ANT-8. Can't find the long full-length telescopic
>whips anymore.

It's not quite that long but Cheap Shack has a center loaded telescoping
whip with a BNC connector. I've have one and it's definetly an
improvement on the rubber duckie.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

2469. Re: WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118c1beabf29ccbd989742@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120946

Unless you are looking for coverage below 30mhz, the hands down winner is the center coiled telescopic antenna by Radio Shack #20-006 for $9.95.....Many of us have tried them all at any cost and you won't beat it PERIOD.

2470. Re: Is desktop reception better than handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118c1b8a3c346a9c989741@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120947

Actually I have seen more examples where handhelds had greater sensitivity then desktop models. So much so that more often than not handhelds will incur intermod problems or desensitization from overload from outdoor antennas where the desktop models are specifically designed for outdoor antennas. Of course this indicates that the desktop models will have greater "dynamic range" so can more often be used successfully with a outboard preamp without problems.

2471. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37227B18.8A6CD74F@INVALID.com>
Source record: 120948

505 wrote:

>
> Newbie? Hardly. I would just rather read items about scanners &
> frequencies instead of children ranting and raving. I'm sure I could

Then why do you read the *other* posts?

> talk for alot of people when I say we are getting sick and tired of
> this crap. I thought this kinda childish play went the way of CB
> radios.

It didn't. And your whining isn't going to make it go away. But please feel free to try anyway.

> Do us all a favor and take your tirades with each other to personal
> e-mail's.

"Take it to E-mail." Why hasn't anyone thought to say that before? You're a friggin genius. Let's all sit back and see if it works.

2472. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Baker <bakergsj@maranatha.net>
Message-ID: <V4vU2.4749$%L2.184618@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, one of the two made it... both got into the boats, but one froze to death before pickup.

BD wrote in message <7fspok$1q9$1@newnews.startext.net>...
>And one of 'em lived to tell that?
>
>Greg Baker <bakergsj@maranatha.net> wrote in message > It sent both. SOS
>had just come into effect as a distress call 1 April
>> 1912; one of the two radio operators said to the other who was pounding
>> brass, "You might as well send SOS... it may be the last time we ever get
>to
>> send it." (Walter Lord, A NIGHT TO REMEMBER)
>
>
>

2473. Panama Vets take note

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob & Heather Williams <will7370@tao.sou.edu>
Message-ID: <37228B06.29A1BE6B@tao.sou.edu>
Source record: 120950

An email discussion list has been started for all Panama Vets. This list is for anyone military or civilian, who has ever been a part of the US Forces stationed in the Republic of Panama. Discussions regarding military experiences, family issues, local culture and everything related to the Panama experience are welcome.

Additionally, anyone involved in the Dec 1989 invasion of the Republic of Panama, otherwise known as Operation Just Cause are particularly invited to participate here too.

This list will try to serve as a connecting link for all who desire to remember their Panama experience and share it with others.

To subscribe, simply go to the following URL:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/PanamaVets

Take care,

Robert L. Williams
Former member 6933 Electronic Security Squadron
Howard AFB, Republic of Panama - Nov 87 thru Aug 90

2474. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net
Message-ID: <372371a2.100205672@news.csrlink.net>
Source record: 120951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 12:25:44 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>"I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
>Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.

Humans shouldn't need to adapt to unreasonable environments -- it is one of the characteristics of our species that we can create our own environment. The amateur radio laws are human-created and can be human-modified.

--
home page: http://woodstock.csrlink.net/~jshaffer

2475. Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37228EA8.E95647C6@INVALID.com>
Source record: 120952

CommQuart wrote:

> Duh. I got my first license at age 14. Sorry to hear folks are so stupid
> or lazy to learn something new these days.

You mean "learn something *old*." It's got as much usefulness as learning Latin. You'd get more bang for the buck learning to write in FORTRAN. At least you could milk the Y2K angle as a consultant. No chance doin' that with learning code. I mean, not unless someone can find a way that paddles wouldn't be Y2K compliant.
Not bloody likely.

Face it.

Dit-dot-dash is dead dude.

Imagine the poor schmuck who picks up this craft. I don't mean the operators that rode HAM radio's heyday. I mean the poor bastard who takes on the hobby *today*. I mean seriously learning code. He's been studying, he passed his exam, and got himself a radio and he's a tapping away at home.

Imagine the pitiful attempts of impressing the occasional friend or neighbor with the enthusiast's hobby:

"It's right up here in this room" Melvin the CW fiend said.
"Oh,...that's a big radio" says his neighbor Bob.
"Oh yes" Melvin gushed, "it's a Yamiguchibosch DX 15^%4654654aaas and it puts out..." (Something about more heat than the surface of the sun).
"Neat" says Bob., "but I wonder if we can go get that week-eater I lent you last..."

"Hang on a sec Bob," Melvin interrupted, "Do you want to go on the air?"
"N-no, that's OK, I sure it......." stammered Bob.
"It's really a BLAST!" Melvin insisted.
"Well, I can see you're really into this..."
"It's the BEST Bob!" Melvin said, "Lemme get her fired up!"
"Really...No, I.."
"You're gonna love this" said Melvin.
"Well....Ok" said Bob.

Melvin directs Bob to a chair next to Melvin at a corner desk that filled with stacks of radio equipment.

"You all set?" asks Melvin.
"Uh...yeah. Do I need a seatbelt?" asks Bob.

Melvin smiles appreciatively and begins to fly through his switching panels and notates his log book with perfunctory glee. He takes a few deep breaths and

caresses his paddles with his forefinger and thumb before turning to Bob.

"Are ya *ready*?" Melvin asked.
"Well.....y-y-yeah." Bob said.
"Well then HERE WE GO!" and Melvin turns to his paddles and begins a tappin':
"tap-tap, tappity tap tap....."

Melvin adjusts the receiver while Bob sits and winces at the random bursts of static resulting from Melvin's manic adjustments.

"Maybe I gotta do it again" Melvin said. (tap-tap, tappity tap tap.......)

Minutes pass by while Melvin sends out his greetings through the taps of his paddles. Bob waits about ten minutes. No response from "tappity" land.

"Wow....Look at the Time!" Bob laments.
"Well hang on there buddy...someone will beep in here soon,.." Melvin says.
"Well, that's great Melvin, this radio is really great, but I'm kinda crunched for time, you know what I mean?" asked Bob.
"This won't take much lon.."
"Oh,...you just go ahead and just "tap away" there you little rascal and you can tell me about it sometime. But listen, I'm going down to your garage and get my weed-eater and be on my way."
"Well...OK," sighs Melvin
"Real nice radio though." said Bob.
"Uh..thanks." said Melvin.
Bob's gone.

Ok, before you get your dandruff up, I know this'll generate a lot of responses akin to "learning code is something you do for yourself." and "It's your hobby and it's not a bout impressing other people, yada-yada", I understand that. But you gotta admit, as far as popularity, code is dwindling to few and far between in the States.

It not even something "handy" that might save your life one day....:

Imagine a scuba marine biologist surveying the marine life near the water intakes off-shore of a nuclear power plant. The scuba diver gets sucked through the pipes and ends up in an enclosed cooling water tank inside the nuclear plant.

But since this particular scuba diver took the time and patience to learn CODE!, he might have a way out. Just like in the movies!

I don't care how good you are at code, the only message you're gonna transmit to the guy standing outside the tank is:

"Hey!, there's somebody knocking on the inside of this tank!"

That's 'cause he doesn't know code! And neither does the fire and rescue team that is summoned to the site. So you can hang up communicating with these guys. You've already communicated all they're gonna figure out. The most you'll get back from these guys is "a shave ana haircut.....TWO---BITS!!"

So the way I figure it, as long as you can bang on something metallic, you already know all the code you need to know.

Just my two-bits.

2476. Antenna Paintable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37228DCE.D9421FBA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 120953
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am going to move my Scantenna from the attic to an outside mount on an eave of my house in an attempt to improve reception. To reduce the "glare" of a silver antenna, I want to paint it a nice black color to blend in. Any type of paint recommended... any type to avoid??

2477. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fu385dgq1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 120954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

Another whiner. Find your filters, and use them. No filters ? Then get a news reader that has them, and then use them ! Stop whining. Nobody is twisting your arm to read this stuff.

2478. Re: Is desktop reception better than handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <37227ED1.1ED1CD50@qsl.net>
Source record: 120955

Matthew Mahlau wrote:
>
> I'd like to get started listening to scanners. I've gone a few times over
> the past year to my local Radio Shack but never bought anything.
>
> This month they have a clearance on a desktop model (only 30 channels, but I
> am a beginner) for $89 regularly $119. There is also a sale on a handheld
> 100-channel model for $89 which regularly sells for $129 or more.
>
> For $89 I think I can afford to take the plunge and see if I like it before
> I by a more expensive model. My question is this: 100 channels sounds a
> whole lot better than 30, but is that little rubbery antenna going to
> receive as well as a desktop unit? I should also say that I doubt I will
> take this out of my house. I will keep it inside.
>
> Thanks for any feedback.

Matthew
I'd go with the hand held for 2 good reasons. Reason #1 handhelds will
run on batteries (great when the power goes out). #2 during severe
weather you can carry the hand held down to the basement with you a
lot easier than that desktop model!
--
To reply remove the extra @

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

2479. San Jose Zones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: schimoo*222*@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <schimoo*222*-2404992133370001@dialup-166.90.35.236.sanfrancisco1.level3.net>
Source record: 120956

Hello-
Someone posted a while ago about the new San Jose police Zones.
If they are interested please post here with email address and I will send
them a JPEG copy that I have.
Thanks

--
For reply remove *222* from address

2480. Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37229E39.C65DAE10@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.

Thanks for the suggestions.

2481. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmagers@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <MPG.118c401d9dc575529896ff@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 120958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <372371a2.100205672@news.csrlink.net>,
jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net says...
> On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 12:25:44 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>
> >"I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
> >Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.
>
> Humans shouldn't need to adapt to unreasonable environments -- it is one of the
> characteristics of our species that we can create our own environment. The
> amateur radio laws are human-created and can be human-modified.
>
>
Shure can, and will be.
--
CW
KC7NOD

2482. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.faq5eg0.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 120959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 17:15:02 +0100, EAVSDROPPER wrote:

>> its actually http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/
>
> oops, thanks for that

While your into correcting typos may I suggest you run a spell checker over the pages. I don't think I have seen "analogue" spelt like it is in some of the headers and I assume "ge it here" is "get it here". B-)

Interesting site on a very quick look about.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

2483. Regency MX4200E scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gilbert Gobeyn <ggobeyn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fsflu$dl4$4@news1.skynet.be>
Source record: 120987
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

I'm looking for the manual of the Regency MX4200E scanner.

Thanx,
Gilbert Gobeyn
ggobeyn@hotmail.com

2484. Mods for PRO-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.Mitchell" <L.Mitchell@currantbun.com>
Message-ID: <3721c323.0@news1>
Source record: 120988

Anybody know any mods for the PRO-50 scanner

2485. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Baker <bakergsj@maranatha.net>
Message-ID: <bxjU2.3903$qj7.186194@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anonymous wrote in message <199904232219.AAA27967@mail.replay.com>...
>Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:

>Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?
>

It sent both. SOS had just come into effect as a distress call 1 April
1912; one of the two radio operators said to the other who was pounding
brass, "You might as well send SOS... it may be the last time we ever get to
send it." (Walter Lord, A NIGHT TO REMEMBER)

2486. WTB Pro 26 Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcrapide@caramail.com
Message-ID: <7fsms0$5pm$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120990

or photocopy thereof. Will pay cost and postage (US) Thanx! YVES

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2487. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fsl3fqi1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120991
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

pjmarsh wrote in message <7fs11r$5pl$1@barcode.tesco.net>...
>its actually http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/
>
>EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:2meU2.389$Nt.442@stones...
>>
>> http://www.eavsdroppers.dabsol.co.uk
>> --
>> just finishing off
>>

Ahhh... very good!

Thanks Ian, I've got the file I needed now!

-Phil

2488. Scanner web site link exchange!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424104123.00940.00001075@ng143.aol.com>
Source record: 120992

To exchange links with us, send us your url and site title. Once our link is up on your site we will link to you the same day.
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2489. WTB Mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3721DD9B.B879728D@home.com>
Source record: 120993

Hello;

Looking for a mobile scanner like a 760 Uniden. Please e-mail if
selling one.

Thanks
Bill

2490. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990424111835.14336.00003447@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 120994

>according to Bandercom, generally the shipments that they have sent by
>UPS have not been opened by customs.
>
What do the label their shipments, my thought is if its labeld ham gear it may
just go through ok.
rjr

2491. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Captain <captorb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3721E77E.D4354CBD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never happen with ATT, GTE, Sprint--you know, those people that own the phone lines. ;-)

2492. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <V8mU2.486$Ff.614@wards>
Source record: 120996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >> http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk
> >> --
> >> just finishing off
> >>
>
>
> Ahhh... very good!
>
> Thanks Ian, I've got the file I needed now!
>
> -Phil
>
> your welcome phil !!

eavsdropper

2493. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <g9mU2.487$Ff.520@wards>
Source record: 120997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

pjmarsh <pjmarsh@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:7fs11r$5pl$1@barcode.tesco.net...
> its actually http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/

oops, thanks for that

eavsdropper

2494. FS Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gil.pita@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <01be8e87$80ab6d40$65493ea6@default>
Source record: 120998

```
Pro 2042 in exelent shape, no mods $350. shipped.
Email me for details.
Gil
```

2495. Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Hollis <jhollis@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3721FBA9.9D372FA2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm considering buying an Optocom, and I have a few questions (please excuse my ignorance)

1) I have a 4-level FSK decoder, could I use this with the Optocom

2) Can I use 'other' decoding software with the Optocom (e.g. WINFLEX v1.0, POC32, MOBITEX data decoder, PKTMON 1.2, MDT MONITOR, and ETRUNK.)

Thanks for the help

James

2496. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3722009F.4BC5EDD9@home.com>
Source record: 121000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That sounds like an excellent idea!

Abolish the Code!

Spot wrote:
>
> Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to setup CW send/receive software
> on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)

2497. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <372201CE.457E88AE@home.com>
Source record: 121001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly! This stubborn attitude will unfortunately be the death of Ham
Radio!
The attitude must change if there is any hope!

> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
> requirements
> are very outdated.

2498. NASCAR drivers radio freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sentari <sentari@gte.net>
Message-ID: <zhnU2.1251$pg4.68062@paloalto-snr1>
Source record: 121002

I'll be attending the Calif 500 in a few weeks and I could use the freqs of the drivers so I can listen in on the action.

Would someone be so kind to posting those once again.

Thanks!

sentari@gte.net

Larry Files

2499. Re: Hotel Security Fregs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fsvou$45p$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121003

jmackie1860@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7fr3u1tdh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>San Diego Tribune
>
>Zombie, 8, identifies the man accused of molesting him
>
>by Mike Alexander STAFF WRITER
>
>For the record | In an article yesterday about a preliminary hearing for a
man
>charged with molesting an 8-year-old zombie, witness Phil Smalley was
>identified as a convicted zombie molester still on parole.

Zombie Molester???

Great imagination, and a pretty funny story overall. I give it a seven. ;-)

I would like to add the following correction however: I am on probation not
parole, as I hired the OJ Simpson dream team to defend me, and it was
reduced to reckless driving and parking in a fire lane.

-Phil

2500. Mississippi Gulf Coast Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7fsvtf$pm3$1@shell.ametro.net>
Source record: 121004

I now have a website up, its a mixture of Ham Radio and
Public Safety information, and the local frequencies of
this area, all are active and confirmed.. Including our
US Air Force Base, Keesler, AFB.

http://www.ametro.net/nexus/hamradio

--
,---. Dialup Networking could not negotiate a compatible set
CO-|| Y of network protocols you specified in the server type
O || | settings. Check your network configuration in the control
| D J panel and try the connection again.
// /"""' -- Welcome to Windows 95
`'`'

2501. Re: NASCAR drivers radio freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@injersey.com>
Message-ID: <7ft1j2ijm1@nw001t.infi.net>
Source record: 121005

Go here. It should help you in your quest.
http://www.speedworld.net/frequencies/frequencies_index.shtml

Sentari wrote in message ...
>I'll be attending the Calif 500 in a few weeks and I could use the freqs of
>the drivers so I can listen in on the action.
>
>Would someone be so kind to posting those once again.
>
>Thanks!
>
>sentari@gte.net
>
>Larry Files
>
>
>

2502. Re: UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2404991328350001@pm53-28.magicnet.net>
Source record: 121006

In article <19990423092453.12161.00000502@ng-fy1.aol.com>,
tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

> I've been collecting operational UHF frequencies for various Military
Operating
> areas ("MOA's") for some time.

> 228.950 ADA BISON MOA KANSAS PRIMARY
> 229.250 YANKEE MOA AND LAZER NORTH MOA AIR TAC

I am curious..what frequency do you define as the beginning of UHF? I see
that you say 228 and above Mhz is UHF. The traditional place is above 300
Mhz....

2503. MN Radio Monitoring Page - New Look and Major Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed - MN Radio Monitoring Page <xxxemw-1@att.nett>
Message-ID: <7ft37p$5tq$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121007
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For those of you who are in the Twin Cities of Minnesota, or will be visiting anytime in the future, I would like to invite you to check out the brand new look and upgraded information of The Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page.

You will be able to find a wealth of public safety information, that can be listed by individual city, or sorted by service (such as police, fire, medical and more). Click on "Miscellaneous" at the top of the page and you will find information on trunked systems, MSP airport and amateur radio. Want weather information? Click on "Weather" at the top of the main page and find a great list taking you to the most recent Twin Cities forecast and near-live weather images of Minnesota and the United States.

Do you have a high-quality Scanner/Monitoring related site? Drop me a note if you would like to be added to the "Links" page. Click on "Contact Information" of my web page to send in your URL.

Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page:
http://www.scanfan.com

2504. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3722102C.3EC0D1A8@netxn.com>
Source record: 121008

Actually it was the 911 tapes that were released to the media, not the
radio transmission tapes. There is a big difference.

Mike Derryberry

Darren Mulligan wrote:
>
> ABC too, I wonder how they got them.
>
> Bruce Markowitz wrote:
> >
> > Actually, the police radio calls were broadcast tonite on CNN!
> >
> > bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
> > >*yesterday* and have been listening to the police trying
> > >to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
> > >School here in the Denver metro area.
> >
> > >I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
> > >Is that legal?
> >
> > >Thanks,
> > >Robert Holder
> >
> > >--
> > >bedlam
> > >The Center for the Study
> > >of the Stillness of Disgrace
> > >Green Mountain, Colorado
> > >http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm
> >
> > To Reply:
> > (scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
> > trenchgun@aol.com
>
> --
> PGP 0x12209D33
> http://www.metacrawler.com

2505. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8e82$4bffcaa0$6b60b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 121009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> It's not that the requirements are outdated.

Actually, yes Morse Exams are.

Stronger Tech side exams with actual application side testing. That should rattle loose some old vacuum tubes.....:-)

2506. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Westpol@montana.com
Message-ID: <37220ace.246668@news.montana.com>
Source record: 121010

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 11:40:44 -0700, Michael Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:

>Actually it was the 911 tapes that were released to the media, not the
>radio transmission tapes. There is a big difference.
>

Fox News reported that both 911 phone and radio tapes were released,
don't know if it is accurate.

2507. Re: WTB Pro 26 Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37221248.1F39FB0A@ibm.net>
Source record: 121011

pcrapide@caramail.com wrote:
> or photocopy thereof. Will pay cost and postage

Just go to the Tandy Scanner page and get what you want!

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2508. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ft73ljck1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121012

get the pro 26 from radio shack. it covers those areas.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2509. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ft73mjcl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121013

get the pro 26 from radio shack. it covers those areas.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2510. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: vansno1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7ft79l$jf3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 121014

get the pro 26 from radio shack or you may have to look for it at classifieds ,excite,yahoo,hotbot.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2511. AR8200 Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: AR8200_List_Manager@ukgateway.net
Message-ID: <3722169c.1800031@news.ukgateway.net>
Source record: 121015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The AR8200 Mailing list is for users and prospective users of
AOR's latest hand held receiver and accessories.

To subscribe to the list send an E-Mail to:

ar8200-subscribe@onelist.com

or visit

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ar8200

400+ Subscribers!

Regards,

Steve C (List Manager)

AR8200 Mailing list website
http://members.xoom.com/AR8200/

2512. FS-UK pro 2042 NIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424152558.19333.00000829@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121016

Factory unblocked from the UK, $450 shipped to lower 48.

2513. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990424153523.10048.00001120@ng34.aol.com>
Source record: 121017

>From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>

>Actually it was the 911 tapes that were released to the media, not the
>radio transmission tapes. There is a big difference.
>

Look again Mike. The news services are listing the text of the transcripts
from the police radio call. I got mine off of AOL this morning which showed
being from an AP news story.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2514. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmagers@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <MPG.118bbf6b806fbc1b9896fb@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 121018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7fsu93$3de$1@newnews.startext.net>, bodu@pobox.com says...
> It's not that the requirements are outdated.
> If morse were easy, I'd bet that 0% of you (the ones who aren't willing to
> learn and the mommy-types) would be complaining about it..
> It's no different than the vintage car-clubs allowing owners of new cars in
> because the "current crop" of spoiled-brats aren't willing to go thru the
> trouble of finding and restoring an old junker... Who knows why they want
> in, if not only for the "let him play too, but don't be too rough on him..."
> communist/socialist-teachings of most moms...
> "I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
> Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.
>
> Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote in message
> news:4A21D768C8088F17.6E12C568E0654BA5.375DFF230C265551@library-proxy.airnew
> s.net...
> > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>
> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
> requirements
> are very outdated.
>
>
>
>
When I was in the army, I was in the Calvary. We didn't have horses
though. Get the point?
--
CW
KC7NOD

2515. Re: Winflex question. Error loading slicer.vxd!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 106255.1565@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <37221ff7.11653393@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 121019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dolphinride@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>
>
> Can anyone tell me why I am getting this error?
>
Sorry I can't help as I am trying to find where to obtain Winflex -
can you point me to the url?
Thanks
Richard

2516. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8e91$1d98ae20$a660b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 121020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell
to
> > become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> > This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
> > requirements
> > are very outdated.
> When I was in the army, I was in the Calvary. We didn't have horses
> though. Get the point?
> --

Certainly do........ PSK and GTOR rules!

2517. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <37222859.429259@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121021

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 11:40:44 -0700, Michael Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:

>Actually it was the 911 tapes that were released to the media, not the
>radio transmission tapes. There is a big difference.
>
>
>Mike Derryberry

While I agree there is a big difference between the 911 tapes and the
radio transmissions...what I heard being played on one of the
newscasts was the radio transmissions of the police. The dispatcher
was asking if one of the officers could confirm that handgrenades were
being tossed from the roof of the school among other things. I did
think that it was a bit odd and didn't quite know exactly how it could
be rebroadcast without problems...but it was definately the police
radio transmissions.

Wiggie

>Darren Mulligan wrote:
>>
>> ABC too, I wonder how they got them.
>>
>> Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>> >
>> > Actually, the police radio calls were broadcast tonite on CNN!
>> >
>> > bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
>> > >*yesterday* and have been listening to the police trying
>> > >to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
>> > >School here in the Denver metro area.
>> >
>> > >I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
>> > >Is that legal?
>> >
>> > >Thanks,
>> > >Robert Holder
>> >
>> > >--
>> > >bedlam
>> > >The Center for the Study
>> > >of the Stillness of Disgrace

>> > >Green Mountain, Colorado
>> > >http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm
>> >
>> > To Reply:
>> > (scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
>> > trenchgun@aol.com
>>
>> --
>> PGP 0x12209D33
>> http://www.metacrawler.com

2518. Re: Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7fta8o$9r4$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 121022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

James Hollis <jhollis@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3721FBA9.9D372FA2@hotmail.com...
> I'm considering buying an Optocom, and I have a few questions (please
> excuse my ignorance)
>
> 1) I have a 4-level FSK decoder, could I use this with the Optocom

You can use this specifically with the discriminator output jack on the back of the radio.
>
> 2) Can I use 'other' decoding software with the Optocom (e.g. WINFLEX
> v1.0, POC32, MOBITEX data decoder, PKTMON 1.2, MDT MONITOR, and ETRUNK.)

Well, I suppose you could, the data from the 2-level slicer in the radio appears on the DSR pin (pin 6) of the serial port on the OptoCom. However this will not do for all of these programs. For several of those programs, you would have to build a cable to go between the output of the 4 - level slicer and the serial port on the computer, and you might have to change it to rs232 level signal. There are several chips on the market that will do this job for you. All of this decoding requires a certain amount of tinkering and trying, I would surmise. (I say this, because up here in the woods, there is not a hell of a lot to decode. Around here, they still think CTCSS is "high - tech") I DID catch one station using speech inversion, but he is the exception....
>
> Thanks for the help
>
> James
>
>
>

2519. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ftarh$ord@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 121023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7fspok$1q9$1@newnews.startext.net>, BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>And one of 'em lived to tell that?

As a matter of fact, yes, one of the two radio operators did survive, and helped to handle the distress-related traffic that was piling up on the rescue ship which held the survivors. He testified before board of inquiry which investigated the disaster. He went on to serve on another ship.

Radio history is as close as your local library.

73, Jeff KH6O

2520. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ftb8f$7kq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 121024

The law seems pretty cut-and-dried to me. You can listen, but must have the police departments permission to legally divulge. Simple. The rebroadcasts on the various news programs across the nation prove my point - notice how they were broadcast long AFTER the incident and not as it was happening.

I know from my own personal experience that many news agencies listen AND record scanner traffic as it happens so it can be mixed in with the video for broadcast at 10 o'clock, or whenever the story is run. They have the ability to patch right in as it happens and blast it all over "TVLand" but they don't because they have to obtain permission first. In most cases, it's obtained by a simple phone call to the media relations officer. Most of the time, even during a pursuit, you don't hear the actual broadcasts, just the helicopter pilot's interpretation of them. (But then, that what your scanner and the TV's mute button are for, now aren't they? Man, we need a good televised pursuit in Phoenix - it's been a while....)

But anyway, back to the original post in this thread, the answer is call the media relations officer of the agency in question, ask if you can publish your transcript, and if permission is granted, go for it. Otherwise, keep it to yourself (as I often prefer to do - there's just less headaches that way!)

-Phil

2521. Re: New NYPD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <372230A9.84FC72A5@banet.net>
Source record: 121025

good catch !
on 476.3875 i heard traffic for all 3 s.i. pct's

on my scan list i had
476.6125 as div 20 consisting of only the 120 pct
476.3875 as div 22 consisting of the 122 & 123 pct's

well it i just checked my '99 police call freq. guide
they still list the s.i. pcts on two freqs. i also saw these s.i. freq's

482.5875 is listed as patrol boro s.i.

thanks for the heads up
steven

.

Deforger wrote:

> The NYPD frequency for Staten Island 476.3875 was changed this week, I believe
> the new frequency is 482.5875.Did anyone else notice any other changes? Anyone
> have a new official list of frequencies?
>
>

>
> Thanks
>
> Robert

2522. Re: Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Hollis <jhollis@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37223624.A01C808C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Ok, thanks for all the info. I live in Chicago, and almost everything here is
> digital and/or coded, and from what I hear, it is impossible to view the
> Chicago Police MDT. I'm just galde to hear that the Optocom has a
> descriminator output!

Thanks..

>
>
> Well, I suppose you could, the data from the 2-level slicer in the radio
> appears on the DSR pin (pin 6) of the serial port on the OptoCom. However
> this will not do for all of these programs. For several of those programs,
> you would have to build a cable to go between the output of the 4 - level
> slicer and the serial port on the computer, and you might have to change it
> to rs232 level signal. There are several chips on the market that will do
> this job for you. All of this decoding requires a certain amount of
> tinkering and trying, I would surmise. (I say this, because up here in the
> woods, there is not a hell of a lot to decode. Around here, they still think
> CTCSS is "high - tech") I DID catch one station using speech inversion, but
> he is the exception....

2523. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <B0B9EAE0E2D0121D.CDA0B734126C3B8C.499E4E5094B49B8E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, there should be no "beefing up" of tests either.
I'm having a hard enough time passing the General Test right now, I don't need
your help in screwing my over and making my odds less of getting my General License.

2524. Re: WiNRADiO 1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <OvqU2.930$yF6.1477@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 121028

```
Joe:

Your email address doesn't seem to be working... either one of them.

Bill Crocker
```

Joe NW0A <manntis@juno.com> wrote in message
news:01be8e06$ae7c9220$e23ed3cf@dr.lizard...
> Thanks for reading this.
>
> I am looking for a WiNRADiO 1500e for a project here. Don't
> wish to buy a new one since I will be doing some hacking on
> it. Send age and price to NW�A@juno.com.
>
> Thanks,
> Joe, NW�A

2525. Re: Winflex question. Error loading slicer.vxd!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7QqU2.767$Ff.622@wards>
Source record: 121029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> >
> >
> >
> > Can anyone tell me why I am getting this error?
> >
> Sorry I can't help as I am trying to find where to obtain Winflex -
> can you point me to the url?
> Thanks
> Richard

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

get it there + source code

2526. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <D769DA3333F214E8.86D80868B39DF190.4FFB987B7BC62735@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hehehe, sounds like we got an old grandpa fart in this newsgroup, either that or this guy has been fishing with his grandpa waaay too much.
Gee, guy, maybe we should cut ourselves with razor blades and glass shards in order for you to feel we have "earned' our right according to what your narrow mind beleives in.

> It's not that the requirements are outdated.
> If morse were easy, I'd bet that 0% of you (the ones who aren't willing to
> learn and the mommy-types) would be complaining about it..
> It's no different than the vintage car-clubs allowing owners of new cars in
> because the "current crop" of spoiled-brats aren't willing to go thru the
> trouble of finding and restoring an old junker... Who knows why they want
> in, if not only for the "let him play too, but don't be too rough on him..."
> communist/socialist-teachings of most moms...
> "I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
> Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.

2527. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <3B9841FBAED80F66.B79A81E410F19506.6A9E0C17D0759C1A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They should completely drop vacuum tubes from the tests if they have not already also.
I'm going to be running completely solid state anyhow so why would I or anyone else care.

2528. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ftgmr$1nj$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Duh. I got my first license at age 14. Sorry to hear folks are so stupid
or lazy to learn something new these days.

Pete

>>
>> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
>> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
requirements
>> are very outdated.
>

2529. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fth0j$30i$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
that many amateurs enjoy using cw.

Pete

>THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW. iT IS AN ANTIQUATED TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
>ANALOGOUS TO A MODEL T FORD. IT HAD IT'S TIME AND NOW IT BELONGS IN A
>MUSEUM.
>
>IT IS NOTHING MORE THAN A HAZING RITUAL.
>
>I WALKED A MILE TO SCHOOL BAREFOOT IN 2 FEET OF SNOW, AND YOU MUST DO
>THE SAME
>TO BE ACCEPTED!
>
>

2530. Antenna Question on best way to setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <37224d3c.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 121034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just purchased a super stick II antenna and had a few questions. First the antenna is Heavy. If I went to radio shack and got a wire that I could put in-between the antenna and the scanner so that The antenna could hang, Should I say. Using all the correct bnc adapters and such would this create a loss of reception. If so would it be a lot. Also with this antenna what way is the best way to position it for the best reception. I.e.. Up and down or left and right. Also I am using a mvt-9000. Thanks in advance...

Robert Reinaga

2531. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@nospam.nwpt.net
Message-ID: <37224855.670166061@news.npwt.net>
Source record: 121035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 11:07:52 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>The difference is there's "vastly more room" on the internet than there is
>on HF.. It'd be no different than trying to actually communicate with
>someone on CB in a large city if any/everyone was allowed on..
>And that's why I think CW should stay, atleast till the other parts of the
>tests are 'beefed up'...

Indeed the other parts are not likely to "beefed" up, since
they serve the function indeeded by the FCC according to the FCC. The
idea that a barriar only test of any type is legal does not seem to be
agreed to by those whoose opinion really counts, the FCC

Mark Morgan
KB9RQZ

Public comment welcome, indeed private email
on the Morse Code issues, esp if insulting will
become Public at My sole discretion

Sending Private email is deemed acceptance of these terms

Why can't people keep there flames Public?

Now spmaing will be dealt with as I see fit

2532. Re: Help with Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424190614.10816.00001063@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 121036

Hi! Lots of questions! FIRST...DON'T call your police for scanner/frequency info. Even the smallest law enforcement agency has more important matters. READ this newsgroup regularly and you will learn much more than any single person can ever tell you! There are VERY knowledgeable individuals, some are even authors of books on the hobby of scanning who read and post answers to the most commonly asked questions here.
As far as transmitting on the frequencies you are monitoring...WHY? Are you STUPID?
WHY WOULD YOU WANT TO DO THAT?
Obviously, you have a lot to learn and I'm presuming your posting was not a hoax!
There are hundreds of web sites on this topic as well...you found this newsgroup...you must not be too dumb. GOOD LUCK!

>Subject: Help with Scanner
>From: "MB" <expo17@prodigy.net>
>Date: 4/22/99 2:44 AM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <371eef27@news.newsfeeds.com>
>
>To All:
>
> I just purchased a new RadioShack PRO-90 300-Channel TrunkTracker
>Scanner. I want to learn about this whole system, but am unsure where to
>start looking. I also wanted to get a transmitter/receiver that was similar
>to this unit with all the possible frequencies, but could also transmit.
>However, I have been unable to find such a unit. If someone could please
>give me some help with learning about these scanners and systems, or direct
>me to a place that can give me help, or tell me of a unit that does all the
>functions that this one does, plus allows me to transmit, I would greatly
>appreciate it. If you could please e-mail me your responses, I would
>greatly appreciate it. I will collect all the responses and periodically
>post them back to this newsgroup. My e-mail address is expo17@prodigy.net.
>Thank you very much.
>
>- marc
>
>P.S. - Can I call my local Police Department and ask them what frequencies
>they use for their communications, or would they not tell me?? And is
>listening and/or asking for their frequencies illegal?? Thanks for all the
>help.
>

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

2533. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904232219.AAA27967@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 121044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:
: Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to =
: setup CW send/receive software
: on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)

Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?
```

2534. Polisradio sida i Sverige sve no da fi frekvenser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <3721073e.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 121045

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

2535. Police Scanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <372107a8.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 121046

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

2536. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <1h8U2.10059$D9.2883312@news2.mia>
Source record: 121047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My understanding is that he sent CQD MGY. SOS was still new, and since the
Radio Op was british, he would more than likely have used the standard CQD.
All the Titanic buffs can correct me if I have it wrong.

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

Anonymous wrote in message <199904232219.AAA27967@mail.replay.com>...
>Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:
>: Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to =
>: setup CW send/receive software
>: on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)
>
>Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?
>
>

2537. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37210c66.264534043@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Multiple Days, Multiple idiots posted many things:

Look, people (and I AM using that term very loosely), no one here gives a rat's ass how many license you have, how many power supply's you've fried, how many fingers you have or how many animals you have. If you are going to carry on like this, I suggest you go to someplace like rec.idiots.fools.jerks and post. This IS a scanner group, not a 2nd grade for babies.

The only thing I can think of is out of the Howard Stern movie:

"Of all the people polled who hate him, most said they listen just to see what he will say next".

2538. New NYPD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423202620.23641.00000811@ng141.aol.com>
Source record: 121049

The NYPD frequency for Staten Island 476.3875 was changed this week, I believe the new frequency is 482.5875.Did anyone else notice any other changes? Anyone have a new official list of frequencies?

Thanks

Robert

2539. Re: Fairfield CT PD freq. change ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <3725100c.9488358@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121050

what about 868.8625?

what happens to old police frequencies that aren't used anymore
anyway. do they get reassigned?

On 23 Apr 1999 01:02:08 GMT, poscag@aol.com (Poscag) wrote:

>470.4625

2540. FS Paging decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423204321.19335.00000663@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121051

Connects to demod of radio and to a computer. Monitors specific cap codes or all. With software and cable. $75.

2541. Re: Job : Radio Scanner Listener. Report Emergency Fire Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill9191@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423210936.05417.00001337@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121052

To the caller who contacted me around 5pm april 23 friday, my cell phone went dead, please call back. - Richard

2542. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7fr7bm$sj3$0@207.18.224.123>
Source record: 121053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 15:08:29 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> How would that be wrong John? I, and others, have asked you
>
>Because it never happened!
>
Well it has, but to make it so, here it is.

John, plese leave the internet, UUNET, FIDO, and all other forms of
wireless or wireline communications.

>> But, he does not need ANY license to do the work he claims to, other
>> than possibly a business license. I ask again, what FCC license does
>
>Until only a few years ago he needed a GROL to work on scanners!
>
A few? Over 15 John! It was 15 years ago when one needed a FCC
license to work on scanners! More than a few!

>> So, seeing as the HAM licenses are UPGRADES, and not seperate
>> licenses, you only have TWO FCC licenses, not as you stated
>
>No Blake, as usual you don't understand. You UPGRADE to a NEW LICENSE!
>
Then you would lose the old license, as it would no longer be valid.

2543. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <3725252a.2800678@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 121054

On 23 Apr 1999 15:07:12 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman)
>
>>I am going to get a MVT-9000m2.4 in a couple of weeks and I am going to
>>pay the extra charge and get it 2nd Day UPS
>
>Every time (well two times) I have used UPS to buy radios from Europe, I got
>the radio without a hitch but was hit with customs fees of about $40-$45.
>Personally, I wouldn't risk it any more out of concern that the next time
>they'll nab the radio.
If you want to avoid customs fees, don't use a courier like UPS, DHL
or Fedex. Both companies handle their own customs entries, and
therefor are legally required to report the items, and pay the duties
on them, which they then bill you. The corollary is my experience is
that neither company is very good at properly id'ing the goods and
putting them into the correct HTS number, which usually means you paid
more duty than you needed too. If you have time, take the customs
documents you get, and check the HTS number they have used against
the HTS schedule. You might be surprised.

If you use the Mail, often US customs cannot be bothered to do the
paperwork, and if the goods come in as small packet air, my experience
is the customs declaration never even gets looks at!

2544. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <7fra8n$13j$2@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121055

Actually, the police radio calls were broadcast tonite on CNN!

bedlam <bedlam@dimensional.com> wrote:

>Hi. I just bought a radio shack Pro-90 mobile scanner
>*yesterday* and have been listening to the police trying
>to handle the shooting today at the Columbine High
>School here in the Denver metro area.

>I'd like to post my transcript here for everybody to read.
>Is that legal?

>Thanks,
>Robert Holder

>--
>bedlam
>The Center for the Study
>of the Stillness of Disgrace
>Green Mountain, Colorado
>http://www.dimensional.com/~bedlam/bedlam.htm

To Reply:
(scosgt@worldnet.att.net) or:
trenchgun@aol.com

2545. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmcdonld@thezone.net
Message-ID: <7fr9i4$n9o$1@nova.thezone.net>
Source record: 121056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wrong! There is all kinds of software for the PC with SoundBlaster for
decodeing CW.

I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
for HF that you guys can't pass.

Just my 2 cents worth.
73, VO1CSM, Chris McDonald

Hate@spam.org (radiate) wrote:

>You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners
>won't know you're talking about them.

>73, Have a nice day!

2546. WTB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gsnovosad@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990423233944.15411.00001018@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121057

G.S.Novosad, K5SPY Gsnovosad@aol.com
Want to buy a PRO-2006 in great condition. Willing to pay.

2547. WTB: WiNRADiO 1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe NW0A <manntis@juno.com>
Message-ID: <01be8e06$ae7c9220$e23ed3cf@dr.lizard>
Source record: 121058

```
Thanks for reading this.
```

I am looking for a WiNRADiO 1500e for a project here. Don't wish to buy a new one since I will be doing some hacking on it. Send age and price to NW�A@juno.com.

Thanks,
Joe, NW�A

2548. Re: Newbie scanner user seeks advice on picking up com aircraft signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424001239.19388.00000769@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 121059

DUDETHERE IS A WEBSITE SO U CAN HEAR OHARE, E-mail if u want it

2549. Re: EAVSDROPPERS in the papers too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924909355.672459@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Your right, I'm 31.

;-)

Digitaal

Alun wrote in message ...
>In article <924475181.320432@axilla.wwnet.net>, DiGitaal_DiSrupTer
><superwarbird@juno.com> writes
>>Hmmm, similar to PGP, but not PGP.
>>
>>What are spooks? Do they work for the government, or are we talking about
a
>>bunch of nerdy 12 yr olds who've
>>grown bored playing Dungeons and Dragons.
>>
>
>Hey! Not all us nerds are 12 years old...
>
>--
>Alun
>30 years old and STILL playing D&D :) (Sad, isn't it?)

2550. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <372148AC.7EB3CE8C@home.com>
Source record: 121061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW. iT IS AN ANTIQUATED TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
ANALOGOUS TO A MODEL T FORD. IT HAD IT'S TIME AND NOW IT BELONGS IN A
MUSEUM.

IT IS NOTHING MORE THAN A HAZING RITUAL.

I WALKED A MILE TO SCHOOL BAREFOOT IN 2 FEET OF SNOW, AND YOU MUST DO
THE SAME
TO BE ACCEPTED!

Christopher Cox wrote:
>
> Oh, its you again, long time!
>
> I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not, its
> been so long.
>
> Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful. Now
> please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to do.
>
> Regards from the thinking part of the Country.
>
> Christopher Cox
>
> radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> > You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby
> whiners
> > won't know you're talking about them.
> >
> > 73, Have a nice day!
> >

2551. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom.com
Message-ID: <tweekFAoE9u.D45@netcom.com>
Source record: 121062

>Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>>
>> Actually, the police radio calls were broadcast tonite on CNN!

Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com> wrote:
>
>ABC too, I wonder how they got them.

Same place the 911 recordings came from. FOIA request to the involved police agencies running the logging recorders... unless the agencies released the recordings before the media had a chance to file a FOIA.

FOIA == Freedom Of Information Act

--
tweek@netcom.com tweek@io.com | See the web site which Doctor Laura is
DoD #MCMLX tweek@ccnet.com | bitching so much about. Go Ask Alice.
sigtst@tweekco.ness.com | http://www.goaskalice.columbia.edu

2552. Re: do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <924909860.709955@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121063

Hey, my scanner picked up this message.

Digitaal

P.S. Anyone want the plans for the modifications?

BDS wrote in message ...
>this is a test
>
>

2553. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: taghauer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424003500.26904.00000904@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 121064

hi
I have a pro60 and there arent any limitations on the memory.
As A matter of fact i have about 4 of them and if you want one I will sell it
ot you for 145.00 with shipping included.
The radio looks almost like new.
If you are interested let me know or email me at Taghauer@aol.com.I have about
80-90 scanners out in the garage and I might have something you can use. I f
you want a unit that isnt as clean i have those also
take care
Jim>Subject: 794-806 mhz
>From: sfbobby@aol.com (SFbobby)
>Date: 4/23/99 2:16 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990423171653.19279.00000713@ng36.aol.com>
>
>I don't understand why scanners skip these freqs...I have two dozen wireless
>mics in this range and need a portable scanner to monitor them in a arena
>backstage. I'm told the Rat Shack Pro-60 covered this but had limited memory
>storage. No one at local Rat Shack heard of the Pro-60 and act like I'm
>after
>Cell freqs. They don't even know the cell freqs. and I don't tell them my
>Pro-39 picks them up just fine (mostly drug deals here).
>
>Any ideas? Thanks in advance.

2554. Re: AOR AR1000XLT User Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: taghauer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424004048.11899.00000990@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 121065

I have a copy of the owners/user manual for the aor 1000 and it came from
aor.Is this what you are looking for
If you want a copy send me 5.00 and shipping is included.
Email me for details
Jim>Subject: AOR AR1000XLT User Guide
>From: "neppy" <neptune1_2_3@yahoo.com>
>Date: 4/22/99 9:21 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7fosjpmjg1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
>
>I have one of these scanners but the store was out of stock on the $15 books
>that told you how to get the most out of them. That was 5 years ago and the
>store has since gone out of the public sales business. Does anybody have a
>copy of this?

2555. Re: WHO HAD 2 BC CRYSTAL UNITS FOR SALE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: taghauer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424005930.19279.00000900@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 121066

Hi what kind are you looking for ?
I have lots of scanners and I amstarting tosell some of them off.
Let me know
Jim>Subject: WHO HAD 2 BC CRYSTAL UNITS FOR SALE ?
>From: pgibbs3015@aol.com (PGibbs3015)
>Date: 4/23/99 7:53 AM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990423105315.14424.00002166@ng-co1.aol.com>
>
>ABOUT 2 WEEKS AGO I SAW 2 BEARCAT SCANNERS FOR SALE.
>I CAN'T REMEMBER WHERE. I WISH I MADE A NOTE, BUT I DIDN'T.
>IF YOU READ THIS PLEASE CONTACT ME.
> PHIL GIBBS

2556. Re: AOR 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: taghauer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424010156.19279.00000902@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 121067

What would you like to knowabout the aor 800?
I have one sitting out in the garage with the rest of my scanners.
It gets the cell band and is programmable
Jim>ubject: AOR 800
>From: "ANDREW P WOLF" <AWOLF@prodigy.net>
>Date: 4/22/99 5:52 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7fp1vp$39i4$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
>
>does any one have info on the AOR 800, not 8000 scanner,or value.it seems to
>have been made before cell blocking.
>
>

2557. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Mozeleski <moz@ncx.com>
Message-ID: <A853BE1F8D686013.9CBAF55D54AAF69A.86E2447714C9B3FF@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

radiate wrote in message <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners
>won't know you're talking about them.
>
>73, Have a nice day!
73's..but no call sign...us lids dont understand how you got friends....

2558. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <42123F70ADADEDB1.85C48624A61D241E.D254BBCEE3F23F95@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know.
Either way, it's at the bottom of the ocean.

> Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?

2559. newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: nick <networknick@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7frjn2$8fu$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121070

Doesn anybody know if it's legal to carry a scanner in a moving car ??
I've heard that it's legal, as long as you are not evading or pursuing the police, ambulance, etc... Thanks in advance....

Nick....
please e-mail responce to me....

2560. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <4A21D768C8088F17.6E12C568E0654BA5.375DFF230C265551@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> for HF that you guys can't pass.

The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to become a HAM, so should everyone else".
This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements are very outdated.

2561. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <309CE43909473D20.EB14B919BD3A0EEE.A4D381BE28BE7B19@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I like that idea. Quite a few hams are lost when it comes to computers.
> Computers are becoming more and more a fact of life.

That's all I hear HAM's talking about is their web page, e-mail etc, other than
simple signal strenth reports.
Funny as they could simply get on the Internet to talk about the internet. ;)
I do see great uses for HAMS though and yes, the internet as a whole might be nuke proof
but alot of good that serves when your ISP is down and you can't even get onto the Internet
to start
with.

2562. mercer county NJ prosecutor freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MattThurston <mattthurston@mciworld.com>
Message-ID: <01be8e14$3e1360a0$3b7ffad0@default>
Source record: 121073

does anyone have the frequencies for the mercer county new jersey
prosecutors
office. if you do i'll trade for ones i've got.

2563. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37215AB7.2EE12F65@home.com>
Source record: 121074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spot wrote:
>
> > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>
> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
> are very outdated.

This also sums up why HAM Radio is dieing!

You probably also walked two miles to school barefoot in zero degree
weather and
30 inches of snow? Why do I need to do the same?

2564. I don't want to die. :(

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpinero@pobox.com
Message-ID: <372154d2.175093181@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121075
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.journalism

Because recent events have demonstrated that my government will kill with apparent justification people who broadcast contrary opinions and perceived propaganda, I have taken down my Calling All Citizens website. The site, which promoted to the point of controversy, open public safety communications, could reasonably be construed by conservative mindsets as the propaganda tool of an extraordinary ego. As master of that site, that makes me an apparent legitimate target of government subsidized death (or many translated versions of that). I don't gather anyone would agree, but I consider my Calling All Citizens website far more controversial than the endless drone of a twisted dictator on Serbian television. If they'll blow the smithereens out of innocent maintainers of that drone, heavens know what they'll do to a guy like me.

For a time, my site will remain down in satirical declaration that the bombing of that TV station in Serbia by an international icon of democracy like America was just plain wrong. We should have been secure enough in our quest to reach military objectives without selling out our treasured constitutional goods. Perhaps we're either being duped as a populace, or someone in the pentagon has gotten WAY out of control.

I apologize for any inconvenience this may cause. But, thanks to my extraordinary ego, it will be re-posted at the termination of my protest May 15.

For the curious, that URL is:

http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm

Dave
David Pinero * ICQ # 3887811 * AIM Name TDAVE365
http://www.pobox.com/~dpinero
Home of the rather generic Dave-Cam!

Are your local police going undercover?
Visit http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm

2565. FOR SALE RS PRO- 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: user66@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424022302.24958.00000614@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 121076

I have for sale Radio Shack pro 2006 $ 275
u ship

Andy nn6d nn6d@juno.com

2566. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <D7DDFE7BEA764D41.F4B4BDF84A54DD20.E62C7B44D2670363@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 121077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> You probably also walked two miles to school barefoot in zero degree
> weather and
> 30 inches of snow? Why do I need to do the same?

Hehe, sounds like what grandfathers tell their grandsons :)
They sit around all day bitching about how everyone has it easy "these days".
I always wanted to respond "Gee grandpa, maybe I can light myself on fire or something to make you feel better".

2567. Looking for a Kenwood RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiophile@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990424032207.15407.00001062@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121078

My car radio recently went south and I'd like to replace it with a radio I'll actually listen to, so is anyone willing to part with a Kenwood RZ-1? I'm willing to pay a good price for a unit in excellent condition.

Radiophile

2568. EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2meU2.389$Nt.442@stones>
Source record: 121079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.eavsdroppers.dabsol.co.uk
--
just finishing off

2569. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjmarsh <pjmarsh@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <7fs11r$5pl$1@barcode.tesco.net>
Source record: 121080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

its actually http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2meU2.389$Nt.442@stones...
>
> http://www.eavsdroppers.dabsol.co.uk
> --
> just finishing off
>
>

2570. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <924944571.113.43@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 121081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

One day, someone will say the same about QWERTY keyboards and caps lock.
Imagine how much nicer life would be if they required a license to get
on the Internet. The day can't be too far away.

Michael.
KB9UNH

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote in message
news:372148AC.7EB3CE8C@home.com...
> THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW. iT IS AN ANTIQUATED TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
> ANALOGOUS TO A MODEL T FORD. IT HAD IT'S TIME AND NOW IT BELONGS IN A
> MUSEUM.
>
> IT IS NOTHING MORE THAN A HAZING RITUAL.
>
> I WALKED A MILE TO SCHOOL BAREFOOT IN 2 FEET OF SNOW, AND YOU MUST DO
> THE SAME
> TO BE ACCEPTED!

2571. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <scanman@online.no>
Message-ID: <oIgU2.85$3h6.371@news1.online.no>
Source record: 121082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

radiate skrev i meldingen
<7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>You can send messages to your ham friends and the
no-code cry-baby whiners
>won't know you're talking about them.
>
>73, Have a nice day!

Well, its a shame for all those fools who thinks
so, because my "cw-get" is a wery fine tool !
And then can all cw-nerds talk all they want for
me...

2572. Re: Looking for a Kenwood RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qYabrCAqlZI3EwQc@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 121083

In article <19990424032207.15407.00001062@ng-cr1.aol.com>, Radiophile
<radiophile@aol.com> writes

Hi,

>My car radio recently went south and I'd like to replace it with a radio I'll
>actually listen to, so is anyone willing to part with a Kenwood RZ-1? I'm
>willing to pay a good price for a unit in excellent condition.

Don't know if you might fancy following up the following posted to
a.r.s.uk a day or so back (I didn't do the CAPS !)

From: "martyn" <martyn@pulsar1997.freeserve.co.uk>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.uk
Subject: fs:kenwood rz1 car stereo scanner
Date: Fri, 23 Apr 1999 20:07:43 +0100

500 KHZ-950.OOMHZ, MODIFIED FOR MOBILE TEL`S. FIT IN ANY CAR STEREO
APPERTURE,OR CAN BE USED AS HOME BASE, 100 CHNS WITH INDIVIDUAL NAME
FEATURE, LOOKS LIKE NORMAL CAR STEREO VERY DISCRETE.WITH BACKLIT
DISPLAY,
LED SIGNAL DISPLAY,FREQUENCY DISPLAY
COVERS FM-N, FM-W, AM, STEPS 5,9,10,12.5,20,25,KHZ
SQUELCH CONTROL
BOXED AS NEW WITH MANUAL
ALSO INCLUDES MOBILE MAGMOUNT SCANMASTER AERIAL
VERY RARE ï¿½200.00 EMAIL FOR FULL DETAILS

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiatin.co.uk

2573. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cowgoose@aol.comedian
Message-ID: <19990424080015.25868.00000890@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 121084

Mobile use of scanners in NJ is OK as long as you are "not in the commission of a crime". So leave the scanner at home the day you are going to hold up the bank, otherwise you'll be in more trouble......

2574. Downers Grove IL. MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramjet5 <ramjet5@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Odfzo1kj#GA.243@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 121085

Does anyone have the Freq. for Downers Grove Illinois MDT ??

--
Roger Ramjet5@MSN.com
Ramjet55@AOL.com
Web Page: http://members.aol.com/ramjet55/index.html

2575. Re: 794-806 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <QQiU2.941$8j6.5827@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121086

Have you considered the Icom r-2???
N3DDY
SFbobby <sfbobby@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990423171653.19279.00000713@ng36.aol.com...
> I don't understand why scanners skip these freqs...I have two dozen
wireless
> mics in this range and need a portable scanner to monitor them in a arena
> backstage. I'm told the Rat Shack Pro-60 covered this but had limited
memory
> storage. No one at local Rat Shack heard of the Pro-60 and act like I'm
after
> Cell freqs. They don't even know the cell freqs. and I don't tell them my
> Pro-39 picks them up just fine (mostly drug deals here).
>
> Any ideas? Thanks in advance.

2576. Re: San Jose Zones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7g3b4b$4ubi$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120787

I would be interested in a copy.
Hounddog
Jeff wrote in message ...
>Hello-
>Someone posted a while ago about the new San Jose police Zones.
>If they are interested please post here with email address and I will send
>them a JPEG copy that I have.
>Thanks
>
>--
>For reply remove *222* from address
>

2577. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <372380d9.18763609@news>
Source record: 120837

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 09:02:25 -0400, NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com
(Vamp) wrote:

>Anybody worried that nobody has been able to come up with a good reason
>for why DSS is so secure? If they can build phones for $99 that do DSS,
>how long will it takes somebody to build a scanner handles dss
>transmissions in the same way the phone does?

Quite a while, apparently. DSS phones have ben on the market for
years; I have yet to see a scanner capable of picking them up.

Sure, it's security by obscurity, but it's still the most secure
cordless phone option available today for under $200 retail.

2578. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8f5f$93baa3a0$9960b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 120838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Hi Torbj&#65533;rn,

I have NASA types who routinely ridicule:

A) Morse Code
B) Narrow Band Communications

I can assure, they have no desire for H.F. access. Nor are they lazy.

2579. fs'bc150b sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <SsLU2.836$ey3.296@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120839

have real clean bearcat 100ch hh sportcat scanner with power module for $75.00...tnx john

2580. Re: Moving.. FREE radios.. Cincy only..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425170839.09814.00001972@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 120840

Still have radios for anyone that wants them. .
Call 561-8858 in the Cincy area and the first person that wants them can have them. You must take them all. I will be home after 700 this evening. Thanks Tom

2581. Icom R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@telia.com>
Message-ID: <SxLU2.81$pXm.176589312@newsb.telia.net>
Source record: 120841

Does somebody know about a homepage about this receiver, or if it is any new software for it?

Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson

2582. WILL PAY A RADIO SCANNER LISTENER TO REPORT FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425171245.16480.00001780@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 120842

SEEKING A PERSON WHO UBDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY AND IS AVAILABLE TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE RESPONSE BROADCAST IN THE BAY AREA WITH SPECIAL INTEREST IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. WILL PAY WELL FOR ALL USEFUL, FAST RESPONSES . RICH AREA CODE (510) 773-2298

2583. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Mozeleski <moz@ncx.com>
Message-ID: <2F29EE591F16B3EB.4C7CDDA3A59DE6FF.0B19AC270D54D17C@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

what i dont understand is...pass the no-code test..get a license..now i have
2-meter CW priviledges..why?..am i gonna use that?...no

Christopher Cox wrote in message <01be8e82$4bffcaa0$6b60b6cd@cbx-nt>...
>> It's not that the requirements are outdated.
>
>Actually, yes Morse Exams are.
>
>Stronger Tech side exams with actual application side testing. That should
>rattle loose some old vacuum tubes.......:-)
>

2584. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9494@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425172036.19794.00001485@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 120844

i have some for great falls montana and tampa florida

2585. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <37238ab1.26088244@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:38:19 -0500, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

>Remember, it takes a pretty screwed-up, illogical person to enjoy CW in
>the first place
>as all they have to do is pick up a microphone.

As much as I am opposed to morse code test
requirements I find this statement to be just as
nuts as some that profess that everyone should love
or learn CW. People enjoy whatever turns them
on. I can drive down the highway and get lots
of people that wave at my antique car...yet there
are others that haven't a clue. I care not a bit
about what motivates anyone to like CW. That's
their interest and I make no judgement as to the
person's mental capability because of it... neither
should anyone else.

>This explains why we have alot of oddballs in here
>with screwed up, old fashioned thinking,
>which is completely in reverse with the rest of the world.

The only thinking that is screwed up is related to
the test requirement. Let's keep this discussion
focused on that only.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2586. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <70971EAD338C5FC9.49C7E4A175D572FF.E284E0EB34FAD94E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120846

Thanks for the reply, but this does not answer my question. Plus, the
URL is www.icomamerica.com.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:41:09 GMT, kew@-nospam-teleport.com (Keith)
wrote:

>http://www.icom.com
>
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:08:38 GMT, cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove
>X to reply) wrote:
>
>>Okay, I found it. It is the IC-R2. I also found the IC-R10. What
>>are the positives and negatives of each? Do either of them receive
>>cellular images? Do they block out the whole 800-900 range or just
>>the cellular? How do you tune the IC-R2 without a keypad? So many
>>questions, so little time.
>>
>>
>>
>>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 19:50:25 GMT, cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove
>>X to reply) wrote:
>>
>>>I recall last year reading about a new scanner that was coming out
>>>that was very small and pretty inexpensive ($200-$300). I think it
>>>was an Icom. Please refresh my memory and tell me if it's worth
>>>buying.
>>>
>>>***remove X to reply***
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith
>--
>http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>--
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
>to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
>an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
>to break a single message.
>--William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
>1997.

2587. Correct URL for eavsdroppers site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GAMU2.1116$Ff.847@wards>
Source record: 120847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Also apologies for the delayed response to many e-mails sent to me, there was a password cock-up by me and I have been very hectic aswell, i think i have sent back most of the 50 mails waiting for me this evening...phew. Please also note that due to less bandwidth avaliable on this site (about 100MB a day) i will only have hard to find or rarer type of decoding software avaliable direct from my server , all others are linked to theri home sites.

look forward to seeing you there, and thanks for all the e-mails of support !

--
EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST
HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK

2588. Scanners for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <uGMU2.242$hU2.33823@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 120848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden BC9000 500 ch. scanner w/alphanumeric display
and CTCSS board installed - excellent condition only
10 months old - $300.00

Uniden BC235 300 ch. handheld scanner with Trunk Tracking
capability - excellent condition only 8 months old - $140

Both units shipped in original cartons w/manuals

Bob Esposito
rjespo@voicenet.com

2589. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.farzfi0.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 120849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:07:31 +0100, EAVSDROPPER wrote:

> i dont worry about things like that, it is not a commercial page and i do
> not have the time to fart around with the orrible spell checkers i have in
> my software they take to long :-)

OK, it's your ball. Just simply that such obvious mistakes make the site
look like a load of dogs do do. They have to be obvious for me to spot them,
as my spelling isn't that hot.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

2590. math for a 5/8 wave ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff & Cheryl <js@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <372396F0.BCBBF9B2@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 120850

does any one have the math to figure out the length of a 5/8 wave ant
for vhf high?

2591. Re: QUESTION: Is it legal to scan in Hungary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2504991752260001@pm59-10.magicnet.net>
Source record: 120851

In article <uVloJt0j#GA.354@nih2naad.prod2.compuserve.com>, "Minoru Toda"
<103634.303@csi.com> wrote:

> Heya, I've recently moved to Hungary (in europe), and I was wondering whether
> anybody know if it is legal to use a scanner in Hungary... All help is
> appreciated.

I know it is not legal to eat there,, hence the countries name, but I am
not sure about scanning. ;)

2592. How good would a 42" CB whip antenna work on a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2504991755290001@pm59-10.magicnet.net>
Source record: 120852

Maxon makes a 42 inch steel telescoping whip antenna for 27 Mhz CB. No coil. Would it work better than a rubber ducky on a scanner for VHF-UHF?

2593. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37239998.25099186@news>
Source record: 120853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:31:58 GMT, unit77@mynet.net (Joe) wrote:

>The difference in Hams who had to pass the CW requirements of their
>particular license class,and the people who would like to have a
>license in the same class,is simply the Hams WORKED for what they
>have.

This sounds similar to the logic used by fraternities, some parts of the military, etc. for the continued practice of hazing: "WE got the crap beat out of us when we joined; we deserve to beat the poo-poo out of someone, too!"

If knowing Morse code is actually USEFUL for something these days, then by all means keep the requirement. But if nobody uses it, why bother? Somehow, I don't see extracting a pound of flesh from new recruits as a worthwhile reason.

>I got past the 13 wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW since

So by your own admission, knowing code is useless.

>[The code requirement] should be replaced with something that will separate the serious radio
>ops from the curious.A good friend of mine,who is an MD,stated it
>perfectly..."75% of the 'hell' I went thru in medical school was
>designed to 'weed out' the students who werent dedicated enough to
>become a Doctor." The same holds true for Amateur Radio,in that there
>should always be some difficult 'hurdle' to overcome before obtaining
>a license.This is by no means a perfect solution,but its the only one
>we have at the moment.

Why are such "hurdles" necessary? One can kind of understand it with the medical profession - it pays extremely well, and it's in everyone's best interests to weed out the gold-diggers. However, ham radio is a hobby - it pays nothing. In fact, it *costs* you some pretty serious money! The only benefits of participating in it are those derived from the activity itself. If you're not "serious" about it (whatever that means) you'll get bored and find something else to do, i.e. you'll weed yourself out; won't you?

For the record, I am not a ham radio operator, but am considering becoming one at some point. Thus, barriers to entry (and the rationale behind them) are of great interest to me.

2594. WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425193322.03517.00001470@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120854

SEEKING A PERSON WHO UNDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY AND AND IS AVAILABLE
TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE RESPONSE IN THE CA. BAY AREA WITH SPECIAL INTEREST
IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. WILL PAY FOR ALL USEFUL ,FAST RESPONSES.
CALL RICHARD AREA CODE (510) 773-2298

2595. Re: math for a 5/8 wave ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3723c499@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 120855

Jeff & Cheryl <js@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
news:372396F0.BCBBF9B2@sprintmail.com...
> does any one have the math to figure out the length of a 5/8 wave ant
> for vhf high?

at 155mhz, you'd be looking at 45.29 inches (just shy of 4 feet).

2596. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: please@see.my.sig.for.email.add
Message-ID: <please-2504991948390001@adamsmith.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 120856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

In article <372318B0.4E24499F@home.net>, discipio@home.net wrote:
> Mitchell Katz wrote:
> >
> > I have a brushed brass colored BW TEK 3mW, pulsed green laser pointer with
> > batteries & nice wood presentation box, bought for $399, sell 325.00
>
> I've always wondered why anybody would want one of these at such a high
> price! Maybe 5 to 10 dollars like the red ones... but hundreds????

For anyone who wants a low-power green beam (if only because they're sick of orange), the DPSS pointers and modules are about the cheapest source you can currently get. Remember the Green HeNe systems? They had really long tubes, bulky HV power supplies, hefty price tags, and usually put out under 1mW. Now for under $500 you can get a nice round 5mW 532nm beam source, in a package smaller than roll of pennies.

With time, the green and blue DPSS lasers will come down in price, and will eventually replace many expensive and bulky gas (Ar) systems, the way 635nm diodes have significantly reduced the production of regular orange HeNe lasers. Eventually too, we can hope for cheap diodes that lase in all colors without the help of doubling crystals. If it's possible, then it's only a matter of time.

-Adam
e-name: adamsmith
domain: mediaone.net

2597. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: please@see.my.sig.for.email.add
Message-ID: <please-2504991950560001@adamsmith.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 120857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

In article <s_HU2.14015$D9.4053359@news2.mia>, "Jethro" <messmate@usa.net>
wrote:

> and what does it do..... just point ?

No, you have to do that part. All the pointer does is output a beam of
collimated light :-)

-Adam

2598. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am neither for keeping or eliminating the code. I will point out that thousands of DXers, ragchewers, QRP operators, Contesters and homebrewers operate on CW, some exclusively. CW is often referred to as the "gentleman's mode" in ham radio. Folks that claim that CW is outmoded and not used are not aware of the facts, and stating opinion rather that facts.

"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed services such as CB. Note that the technical portion of the test is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted to build, design, align and
adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any other class of radio service. Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some knowledge
of the theory behind its operation.

Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already exists on 27 MHz.

Regards

Pete

>
>If knowing Morse code is actually USEFUL for something these days,
>then by all means keep the requirement. But if nobody uses it, why
>bother? Somehow, I don't see extracting a pound of flesh from new
>recruits as a worthwhile reason.
>
>Why are such "hurdles" necessary? One can kind of understand it with
>the medical profession - it pays extremely well, and it's in
>everyone's best interests to weed out the gold-diggers. However, ham
>radio is a hobby - it pays nothing. In fact, it *costs* you some
>pretty serious money! The only benefits of participating in it are
>those derived from the activity itself. If you're not "serious" about
>it (whatever that means) you'll get bored and find something else to
>do, i.e. you'll weed yourself out; won't you?
>
>For the record, I am not a ham radio operator, but am considering
>becoming one at some point. Thus, barriers to entry (and the rationale
>behind them) are of great interest to me.
>

2599. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7g0cs3$mm0$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 120859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

CommQuart <commquart@erols.com> wrote in message
news:7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net...
>
>
> Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
> for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
> exists on 27 MHz.
>
> Regards
>
> Pete

Pete ... I believe that if you were to review the past couple
of years worth of postings here, you'd find that it's NOT the
no-coders and no-code-test advocates (like NCI) who espouse or
seek a "no theory" situation. It's simply a red herring that
the procoders toss out from time to time in an attempt to
generate FUD and division.

In fact, if you'll visit http://www.nocode.org and look under
the articles section for the copies of our comments in WT 98-143,
you'll find that NCI told the FCC that N/T/T+ should have to
take the "missing written element(s)" to upgrade to General and
Advanced should have to take the "missing written element" to
upgrade to Extra (we proposed 3 classes T/G/E).

Now, the ARRL proposed "instant upgrades" for N/T/T+ to a 5 wpm
General ... but they tried to hold on to as much code testing as
they seem to think they might possibly persuade the FCC to keep
with their proposal for 12 wpm for Advanced and Extra (they want
4 classes so the OT extras don't get PO'd at "losing status"),
so they don't get hauled over the coals like NCI. NCI is the group
that gets accused of being the "no theory" bunch ... which is
a bald-faced lie ... which is a typical tactic of many procoders.

Check it out for yourself ... the documents NCI filed with the
FCC don't support the bogus assertion that we favor a "no theory"
situation AT ALL ... in fact, they prove just the opposite.

73,

- --
Carl - wa6vse
wa6vse@fast.net
http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse
NCI #1052
Join No Code International!
Hams for the 21st century.
Support the modernization of ham radio and help
to assure its survival into the 21st century!
http://www.nocode.org

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBNyO2qpe+N6+q84HiEQJWyQCghthih2p9ASPnrLAJam0zGD4T59sAoL36
DMOiunejBGeI+I++HAPWe6sq
=Q4Gz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2600. Re: math for a 5/8 wave ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tree <commace@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3723B44B.431E454A@usa.net>
Source record: 120860

You need more than the "math". That will give you the length. You
also have to know about the impedance transformer (loading coil) and
the coax velocity factor.
The antenna length works out to about 48 inches for VHF.

2601. Staten Island , New York PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <ka2emz@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <3723B62B.54BA33F9@cybercomm.net>
Source record: 120861

Hi...a friend informs me that the Staten Island (New York) PD has
seemingly changed frequencies.Does anyone know the new channel(s)?
Please reply to"
ka2emz@cybercomm.net
Bill, KA2EMZ

2602. MultiMode - Macintosh RTTY/CW/SSTV/PSK31/etc software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R2504992102540001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 120862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Version 2.0.0 of MultiMode is now available. This version adds support for PSK31, which is rapidly becoming a popular mode of operation for ham radio operators. MultiMode is the only known Macintosh software that supports PSK31.

MultiMode is a shareware application which allows your Macintosh to decode several different modes of non-voice communication often heard on shortwave radio. It is the only program of its kind for the Macintosh, and rivals commercial packages for the PC platform costing hundreds of dollars.

MultiMode allows you to decode and display morse code, RTTY, FAX, SSTV, ACARS, and many other modes on your Mac, without any extra hardware! MultiMode now also allows you to transmit in most of the modes of operation.

In the past, dedicated hardware, often costing hundreds or thousands of dollars, was necessary to demodulate and decode this transmissions. Today, you can use the processing power of your Macintosh to decode and display these modes, without any hardware.

MultiMode supports the following modes:

Morse Code (CW)
RTTY (Baudot)
ASCII
SITOR-A
SITOR-B / FEC / NAVTEX
SSTV (Slow Scan TV)
WEATHER / SATELLITE FAX
ACARS (Aircraft Communications)
PSK31
DTMF, CCIR, EIA Tone Modes
Hellschreiber
Audio Spectrum Display to aid in properly tuning in stations

MultiMode is shareware. MultiMode may be downloaded from the following URL:
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/MultiMode.html

73's
Chris
N3JLY

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

2603. CREATING NEW NEWGROUP?/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Eckert <DADECK@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7g09uf$5j22$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120863

DOES ANYONE KNOW HOW TO CREATE A NEW NEWGROUP?/

2604. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925089018.578.50@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Homebrew a stand alone PSK31 decoder/keyboard yet? While you're at it, make it cheap enough and rugged enough to ship gratis to deprived economies. Should you get an honorary Extra license for doing this? Why not?

I'm trying to see your point, but all I see is contentiousness.

Michael.

Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net> wrote in message news:01be8f4b$b719e7c0$8560b6cd@cbx-nt...
>
> Hey Joe,
>
> Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you other
> 'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot even
> make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.
>
>
> Food for thought.
>
>

2605. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Scholz <rickscholz@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3723B996.ED7D606E@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 120865

* A very detailed review of this scanner can be found on the internet at
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revr2.htm

* I just got this scanner a few weeks ago, and I love it. I enjoy the
continuous coverage (495 kHz - 1309.995 MHz less cellular) even though
reception is poor at the lower frequencies with the provided antenna.
Programming frequencies without a keypad is different, but not difficult
once you read the manual.

Hope this helps!

-Rick Scholz

Cliff-remove X to reply wrote:

> I recall last year reading about a new scanner that was coming out
> that was very small and pretty inexpensive ($200-$300). I think it
> was an Icom. Please refresh my memory and tell me if it's worth
> buying.
>
> ***remove X to reply***

2606. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net
Message-ID: <37237fe5.169403227@news.csrlink.net>
Source record: 120866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 18:35:09 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com> wrote:

>Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
>why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
>primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
>that many amateurs enjoy using cw.

But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people who have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.

--
home page: http://woodstock.csrlink.net/~jshaffer

2607. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lazer <Lazer@deltaforce.com>
Message-ID: <3723A4A5.E28CB262@deltaforce.com>
Source record: 120867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale

CALIFORNIA CODES
PENAL CODE: SECTION 417.25 Laser Scopes

417.25. (a) Every person who, except in self-defense, knowingly draws or exhibits a laser scope, as defined in subdivision (b), that projects a colored target on a person in a threatening manner against that person with the specific intent to cause a reasonable person apprehension or fear of bodily harm is guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for up to 30 days. For purposes of this section, the laser scope need not be attached to a firearm.
(b) As used in this section, "laser scope" means a portable battery-powered device capable of being attached to a firearm and capable of projecting a laser light on objects at a distance.

http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html

Adam wrote:
>
> In article <372318B0.4E24499F@home.net>, discipio@home.net wrote:
> > Mitchell Katz wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a brushed brass colored BW TEK 3mW, pulsed green laser pointer with
> > > batteries & nice wood presentation box, bought for $399, sell 325.00
> >
> > I've always wondered why anybody would want one of these at such a high
> > price! Maybe 5 to 10 dollars like the red ones... but hundreds????
>
> For anyone who wants a low-power green beam (if only because they're sick
> of orange), the DPSS pointers and modules are about the cheapest source
> you can currently get. Remember the Green HeNe systems? They had really
> long tubes, bulky HV power supplies, hefty price tags, and usually put out
> under 1mW. Now for under $500 you can get a nice round 5mW 532nm beam
> source, in a package smaller than roll of pennies.
>
> With time, the green and blue DPSS lasers will come down in price, and
> will eventually replace many expensive and bulky gas (Ar) systems, the way
> 635nm diodes have significantly reduced the production of regular orange
> HeNe lasers. Eventually too, we can hope for cheap diodes that lase in
> all colors without the help of doubling crystals. If it's possible, then
> it's only a matter of time.
>
> -Adam

> e-name: adamsmith
> domain: mediaone.net

2608. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925090562.555.48@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
news:37239998.25099186@news...
> On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:31:58 GMT, unit77@mynet.net (Joe) wrote:
>
> >I got past the 13 wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW
since
>
> So by your own admission, knowing code is useless.

Certainly he didn't say this! He said he (Joe) hasn't used CW since passing element 1B eight years ago. Anything beyond that is your speculation.

> Why are such "hurdles" necessary? One can kind of understand it with
> the medical profession - it pays extremely well, and it's in
> everyone's best interests to weed out the gold-diggers. However, ham
> radio is a hobby - it pays nothing. In fact, it *costs* you some
> pretty serious money! The only benefits of participating in it are
> those derived from the activity itself. If you're not "serious" about
> it (whatever that means) you'll get bored and find something else to
> do, i.e. you'll weed yourself out; won't you?

No, no, no. Money isn't the issue at all. Medical doctors are trusted with public life, health, and safety. For hams, sharing the limited airwaves wisely is at issue. CB'ers prove you can operate the appliance without any special training or knowledge. Heck, I could have unpacked an HF transceiver and got on the air without special training. By law, though, I am allowed to use a portion of the precious airwaves if I can demonstrate that I understand misuse can cause harm or interference to other users. More privileges will be allowed when I demonstrate more knowledge and ability. If Morse proficiency demonstrates only personal commitment, then maybe that's all it needs to mean.

I live in the suburbs now, and parallel parked maybe twice in the past ten years. Indeed, there are very few places to park curbside here. Most driving tests still require you to do this sufficiently well. This, too, might be considered HAZING. I think it only means you should know how to park without obstructing traffic, or banging into fenders or sign posts.

Michael.

2609. For Sale: LNIB BC200XLT (converted)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ferber <mferber@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <3723C856.1AFEA6A1@paonline.com>
Source record: 120869

Just purchased new a couple of months ago. Only a couple of hours of use. Box, papers, all accessories including leather carry case. Receives 800/900 cordless and cellular. $225 and I pay the shipping.

Mike Ferber
Harrisburg, PA

2610. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g0hlb$763$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings Billl

If you check some comments made earlier (in this thread
I believe) someone suggested eliminating the code but
beefing up the theory portion of the exam--this raised more
negative responses.

Regardless what elements of the test that remain, you will
continually have complainers--whether the subject is code,
or not seeing the need to learn theory once the code barrier
is lifted.

73

Pete

Bill Sohl wrote in message <3723b9f6.38191297@news.planet.net>...
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:20:05 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>As indeed, you are doing here...stating YOUR opinion
>and not facts as to the mode being whatever one might
>care to consider it as.
>
>>"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed
>>services such as CB.
>
>NO!
>
>Justifiable requirements are needed, not Hurdles simply
>for the sake of hurdles.
>
>>Note that the technical portion of the test
>>is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted
>>to build, design, align and
>>adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any
other
>>class of radio service.
>
>Agree completely.
>
>>Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some
>>knowledge of the theory behind its operation.
>

>Agree again!
>
>>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory.
>
>That is pure bull as NO ONE from the NO CODE
>side has even suggested, much less asked for,
>any no code/no theory license.
>
>If you can provide proof to the contrary, please do.
>
>>That class of license already exists on 27 MHz.
>
>Actually that is incorrect as there is NO such thing as
>a CB license at all.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

2611. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118d75d9e9748e70989751@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120871

I use the Radio Shack 20-006 antenna with a SMA to BNC adapter for the Lo-Vhf stuff and with it the R2 has no rivals for sensitivity. If you get one the best results are with the area below the coil unexpanded and the area above the coil pulled up all the way and then just collapse the top section only.

2612. Mods for 2066?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <B%PU2.3417$8j6.21779@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120872

Does anyone know of any mods for the 2066? The SLUG at rat shack didn't know who made it. I opened it up and it says Uniden on the circuit board.

2613. G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3723D1ED.88063900@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120873

Is there a radio on the market that will "follow" the G.E. EDACS system?

Is there any software that interfaces with an Icom R-7000 that will track the EDACS system?

Thanks in advance.

Jim

2614. San Diego Sector Border Patrol Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7g0ktf$d7r@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120874
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's what I have for radio info for the Border Patrol in the San Diego Sector.

Frequencies (Need the Freq. ID's. I believe they are ID'd by transmitter site. Such as 163.625 is referred to as "Lyons")
Brown Field Primary 162.900
Brown Field Tactical 163.650R
El Cajon Primary 163.625R
Chula Vista Tactical 163.950
Imperial Beach Primary 163.775R
El Cajon Tactical 168.450
San Clemente Primary 163.725R
Temecula Primary 163.675R
Border Crime Units 165.850
Chula Vista Primary 163.700
Buses 163.750
Customs 165.2375
INS Investigation 165.900
Anti-Smuggling Primary 165.875R
Anti-Smuggling 165.975R
Sectorwide Tactical 163.050
Sectorwide Tactical 163.375
Campo Primary 162.925

UNIT/STATION ID'S
Alpha # =
Bravo # = Brown Field
Charlie # = Campo
Delta # = Detention
Echo # = El Cajon
Foxtrot = Helicopter
Hotel # =
Kilo # =
Romeo # = Radio Tech
Sierra # = San Clemente
Tango # = Temecula
India # = Imperial Beach
Mike # = Maintenance
Victor # = Chula Vista
Whiskey # = San Ysidro (?)
BP# =
LA# = Los Angeles
Xray# = '(?- 820 on 165.875)

Station ID's
820 Chula Vista Dispatch
822 San Clemente
823 Temecula
824 Chula Vista Radio Shop
825 Campo Detention Center
826 Ocotillo
827 San Ysidro
829 Jacumba
831 San Ysidro Border Station
845 Tecate
727 SR94 Checkpoint
728 I8 Checkpoint

A few radio codes (really lacking in this department)
10-32 Chase
10-3 Cancel
10-26 Veh. Stop
10-13 Enroute
10-99 Dead body

Any additions/corrections to the above info would be appreciated.

2615. Callsigns for US Gov't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7g0kvp$db0@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120875
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What site, if any, on the Internet can I find callsigns for US Gov't radio sites ?????

2616. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3723D836.6FD1@sir.com>
Source record: 120876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

radiate wrote:
>
> You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners
> won't know you're talking about them.

The no-code people are not the cry babies. They don't have a problem
with people learning code or using it. It is the Code fanatics that cry
when people don't want to learn or use code.

Go ahead and talk nasty about no-code operators in a secret code that
they can't understand. They don't want to hear that kind of message
anyway!

2617. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3723D89F.47E8@sir.com>
Source record: 120877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW.

Well there is ONE thing, it won't be a requirement to get a Ham license
much longer. That's nice.

2618. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3723D970.39EF@sir.com>
Source record: 120878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote:
>
> Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
> why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
> primary operating mode?

Why do thousands of people continue to ride horses or bikes as their primary transportation? Same reason. Only difference, Knowing how to ride a horse or bike is not a requirement to get an automobile license.

You might be surprised to learn
> that many amateurs enjoy using cw.

You might be surprised to learn that many amateurs enjoy using microphones too.

2619. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3723DA09.3C67@sir.com>
Source record: 120879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anonymous wrote:
>
> Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:
> : Maybe they should update the HAM tests to reflect a HAM's ability to =
> : setup CW send/receive software
> : on his PC instead of having to learn code. :-)
>
> Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?

The question should be, what would a ship use TODAY, not in 1912.

2620. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmagers@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <MPG.118d8117d72c9101989701@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 120880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3723D89F.47E8@sir.com>, sir@sir.com says...
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW.
>
> Well there is ONE thing, it won't be a requirement to get a Ham license
> much longer. That's nice.
>
Sir, this is the first thing that you have written that I have agreed
with. The Pro-code crowd seems to go rather silent when this fact is
mentioned so I guess they must realize it. The code requirement is on
it's way out. BTW, I am against the code requirement on principal alone
as I have not been on the air in a matter of years and probably will not
go back to it.
--
CW
KC7NOD

2621. mailto:abuse@dejanews.com Re: jmackie1860@my-dejanews.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake <Jake@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3723CADF.490A22D@accesscom.com>
Source record: 120881

jmackie1860@my-dejanews.com wrote:

How do I report abusive or invasive articles posted through Deja News?

When issuing a complaint concerning abusive postings through the Deja
News Posting form, please send the complete header (including Message
ID), the article body, and a short explanation as to why you find it
offensive, and which posting rule it violates from the Deja News
Posting Rules.

Examples as to what may be considered abusive situations are: articles
off-topic to the group's charter, solicitation of illegal items or
services, Make Money Fast schemes, excessive Multi-Posting,
an article containing personal information such as residential
address or residential phone number, etc.

If you are claiming that the article is "off-topic" and in violation
to the group charter, please include the charter's posting
outlines or an URL to the location of the group's charter.

Send this information to: mailto:abuse@dejanews.com.

http://www.dejanews.com/help/faq.shtml#abuse

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2622. Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <geezer@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <925101737.216.19@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120882

Need to make family emergency trip to Palmdale this Wednesday. Could use info on CHP and/or Fire freqs for I-40 corridor from Needles to Palmdale.

Thanks in advance
John
geezer@cableone.net

2623. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <geezer@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <925103354.332.29@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120883

Does this mean NJ only EMTs, etc...what if you are certified in another state?

>Unless the law has been changed within the past 30 minutes or so, scanners are
>only allowed in motor vehicles in the state of NJ if the driver/scanner
>operator is a police officer, fire fighter, EMT, rescue squad member or any
>auxillary member of such an organization be it paid or volunteer. The average

2624. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7g0spa$qm3$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120884

hmm....

Antelope Valley 42.42, 42.84
Barstow, Mojave, Needles, Victorville 42.56, 42.72
Air to Ground 45.02

**Note: CHP uses radar anywhere in California now....

Karl
karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com
http://vistagroupusa.com

John wrote in message <925101737.216.19@news.remarQ.com>...
>Need to make family emergency trip to Palmdale this Wednesday. Could use
>info on CHP and/or Fire freqs for I-40 corridor from Needles to Palmdale.
>
>Thanks in advance
>John
>geezer@cableone.net
>
>

2625. Temecula, California EDACS Frequencies??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <372401E7.1DDF4F1E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120885

Can you please provide me with the Temecula, Ca. Police and Fire
frequencies that they use with the Ericsson EDACS system?

Thanks in advance.

Jim

2626. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27751-37240C90-71@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120886

your point is well taken, but welcome to the modern age! the rest of the world is dropping the code requirement...even our military has phased it out.
dont get me wrong, im not saying get rid of it. you should have every right to use it if you so desire. we should have the right not to.
sure it travels farther and crosses language barriers...but lets catch up before the hobby dies off. most kids dont want to learn code, they can talk round the world on the internet already! no code HF needs to happen now. i saw a good site:
www.nocode.org where they are trying to do something about it, i found it quite interesting.

_. . __. ._ ._. _.. ...

ps. you guys that want to beat up the cb'ers can always use the code to tap to the next cell on the pipes.

2627. Scanner for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425090037.26067.00000966@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 120887

I have a Mint Condition Sony Scpc1 with box and all acc. Hardly used at all
my computer is tied up with my pro 2042/ os 535/probe, So I really
don't need this unit, Will sell and ship for 250 postal money order
only, or consider a trade for ?????????
My interest are
Radio's
Woodworking
Power tools.
Computers.
Thank you
Dave
Houston Texas

2628. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com
Message-ID: <MPG.118cdc70a2faac8b9896b4@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 120888

In article <MPG.11878bc93010e2749896b3@news.ne.mediaone.net>,
NOSPAM*vampire@nastything.com says...
> I know a simple scanner would be useless with these but what would
> somebody need to have if they wanted to listen in on a dss transmission?
> It not encrypted right? It's some very simple digital encoding that I
> suppose all digital phones share in common and then you need to figure
> out what are the bits that tell it what frequency it is skipping to next.
> Is there any hardware out there you can buy to listen? I guess I am just
> not convinced to give up on my corded phones. After you have had an
> eavesdropper once it makes you very paranoid of schemes you don't
> understand. I feel very secure with encrypted transmissions over the
> Internet since I understand the idea behind public/private key
> encrypting. In the case of DSS it just sounds like a scheme that nobody
> has gotten around to coming up with some software and connected listening
> device for yet.
>
> Vamp

Anybody worried that nobody has been able to come up with a good reason
for why DSS is so secure? If they can build phones for $99 that do DSS,
how long will it takes somebody to build a scanner handles dss
transmissions in the same way the phone does? The transmission is not
really encrypted. It's just transmitted in a way that makes it impossible
for a standard scanner to pick it up. A scanner that was dss aware could
probably handle it just fine since ultimately it does not need to handle
any kind of encryption.

Vamp

2629. Temp Freqs,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: hdtampa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425092104.19339.00001112@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 120889

I have been trying to find the freqs for two-way traffic at some local events. Their radios appear to have Motorola UHF rubber ducks, but I can't seem to nail down the freqs. I also believe they're using a portable repeater. Is there any group of channels specified for "temporary" or "rental" radios?
Thanks

2630. Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <372316CB.61A14CA6@bv.net>
Source record: 120890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have a brushed brass colored BW TEK 3mW, pulsed green laser pointer with batteries & nice wood presentation box, bought for $399, sell 325.00

photo upon request

Fluke 23, series II multimeter with case & probes - Sell $125

OMRON H5-L Timeswitch. Large LCD readout, runs on 120AC, times 2 NO switches - Sell $75

IBM 7527 Data Collection Terminals, 1 with laser diode barcode scanner. Lots of data about device still at IBM.com. Sell $100 each w/ ps, $125 with BC scanner

---

4" Color TFT LCD Monitor in case, with 12V input, RCA video input & ON/Off switch. Great surveillance monitor - $150 obo

---

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2631. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <3723183e.154189531@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 120891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 23:46:50 -0500, SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and
>I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking
>suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.
>
>Thanks for the suggestions.
>
>

I used a mag mount for my car in the window. It did very
well, college buildings don't cause as much interference as you would
expect.... RIT is my example.

2632. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: discipio <discipio@home.net>
Message-ID: <372318B0.4E24499F@home.net>
Source record: 120892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

Mitchell Katz wrote:
>
> I have a brushed brass colored BW TEK 3mW, pulsed green laser pointer with
> batteries & nice wood presentation box, bought for $399, sell 325.00
>
> photo upon request
> _____

I've always wondered why anybody would want one of these at such a high price! Maybe 5 to 10 dollars like the red ones... but hundreds????

:)

2633. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37231C1B.7E60@ibm.net>
Source record: 120893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
> want to".

Nope, in other words, I shouldn't be coerced into a situation
with no return on investment.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2634. Lancaster County, Pennsylvania

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Smith Jr <n3ued@desupernet.net>
Message-ID: <37231522@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 120894

I have a of frequencies in the area available hot off the press. They were updated as of last week.. Most are police departments. Any questions??

http://www.angelfire.com/pa/n3ued/scanner.html

Home Page: http://users.desupernet.net/n3ued

2635. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: robh8304@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fv97e$7fl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120895

In article
<F044C8C573A803F4.15D1FEB13A94C66A.C04C0769749530F1@library-proxy.airnews.net
>, "Spot" <spot@dog.org> wrote:

> > "Good Afternoon space station MIR. You are 5 by 9 in Southern
> > California. How do you Copy? "
>
> Oh gee, seems like if they could get them into space, they could have =
> left
> they code key at home.
> Alot of good code is going to do me if I ran into a real emergency.
> Should I key out that I'm in trouble to 911 or should I just get on my =
> phone and
> tell them what's happening?
> If I'm stranded, should I get on my HAM and code my plea for help or =
> should
> I simply get on my cell phone or use my radio's MIC?
> Maybe if by chance my throat is slashed, I could put that coding to good =
> use, huh?
> I think what we have in this newsgroup is a bunch of CW fanatics drawn =
> to the subject
> of this thread.
> Would the 10's of thousands of HAMS out there who don't ever use CW, =
> raise your hand?
> I didn't think so, see, they don't want anything to do with coding, less =
> participate in a thread about coding.
>
> what do you thik the likelyhood that if a ham was in trouble that he would
really reah for his key, in my opinion not likely

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2636. FS pro 64, 2041 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Baxter <danbax@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7fv6f3$j7i$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a pro-64 400 channel handheld scanner for sale comes with manual, org. antenna, box and is in great shape I am asking 100.00 If you will pay shipping. Scanner is capable of getting cell with down conversion and it is simple to do.
I also have the table top version of this scanner for sale also comes with org. antenna, box and manual and is also in great shape. down conversion is also possible on this scanner but is a little more complicated.
Anyone interested email me and I will send my phone #
Thanks
dan

2637. Re: UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425100332.12167.00001287@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 120897

>I am curious..what frequency do you define as the beginning of UHF? I see
>that you say 228 and above Mhz is UHF. The traditional place is above 300
>Mhz....

UHF Military Air is 225-400, as opposed to VHF Civil Air, 108-135.975. Can you confirm your local MOA's frequencies for us?

2638. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <KnFU2.956$Ff.619@wards>
Source record: 120898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> While your into correcting typos may I suggest you run a spell checker
over
> the pages. I don't think I have seen "analogue" spelt like it is in some
of
> the headers and I assume "ge it here" is "get it here". B-)
>
> Interesting site on a very quick look about.
>
> --
i dont worry about things like that, it is not a commercial page and i do
not have the time to fart around with the orrible spell checkers i have in
my software they take to long :-)

and yes, get it here means download form my server , i would have thought
that was obvious ?.

eavsdropper
>
>

2639. FS pro 64, 2041 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Baxter <danbax@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7fv718jrn1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a pro-64 400 channel handheld scanner for sale comes with manual, org. antenna, box and is in great shape I am asking 100.00 If you will pay shipping. Scanner is capable of getting cell with down conversion and it is simple to do.
I also have the table top version of this scanner for sale also comes with org. antenna, box and manual and is also in great shape. down conversion is also possible on this scanner but is a little more complicated.I am asking 100.00 for this one also and you pay shipping
Anyone interested email me and I will send my phone #
Thanks
dan

2640. Re: Denver area freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <7fv8to$smi@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120900

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/colorado/

Trunked systems have all agencies in a municipality (for example) sharing a handful of frequencies instead of each agency having a similar number assigned just to them .. most of which seldom get used. One conversation can move from one of the assigned frequencies to another instead of remaining on just one frequency. One of the frequencies is assigned (like you said) as the control info frequency, all the receivers on the system listen to this one to know which frequency to move to for the rest of their conversation. More computer controlled magic.

2641. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fv971gfe1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Let's see, are you saying there is "no return on investment"
in obtaining a ham license?

Peter

"support no code! 20 million CBers can't be wrong!"

W6RCecilA wrote in message <37231C1B.7E60@ibm.net>...
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
>> want to".
>
>Nope, in other words, I shouldn't be coerced into a situation
>with no return on investment.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2642. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <37232a59.1421068@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 13:52:39 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
<nobody@replay.com> wrote:

>Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:
>: They should completely drop vacuum tubes from the tests if they have not =
>: already also.
>: I'm going to be running completely solid state anyhow so why would I or =
>: anyone else care.
>
>Speaking of vacuum tubes, how much would an unassembled radio kit, one
>which uses tubes, be worth today. Probably a lot.

Any value is strictly as an antique. I don't believe there
is much value in antique electronic kits, however.
I know there are some folks into antique radios, but
I don't think it has the following and $$$$ that many
other antique specialties does.

Bill K2UNK

2643. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <va3hie@eagle.ca>
Message-ID: <37232D4A.90EBCB13@eagle.ca>
Source record: 120903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

To all who have sent their comments:

Maybe you had better take a good look at what you are saying, some comments are well thought out and others are not. True there may be lazy people out there who don't want to work for their privileges, but there are those who do. Some of these people have handi-caps (which are not considered handi-caps), I happen to have an extremely hard time with timing and separating the characters when receiving. I have tried NUMEROUS times to get it but can't (I'm not whining). So I turned my interests to "above 50mhz."
As for the comment Mr. Young made there is more to vhf than fm. A lot of people who think morse code is out of date and "whine" about it are possibly too busy to learn it and the only enjoyment they get from ham radio are portable or mobile. Myself I am gone from home 5 days a week with a home, car and family to take care of. Now as for the people "set in there ways", did anyone consider, the most of these people are older? With age not only come wisdom but a sense of comfort and security in things that are familiar to them. The laws for code will change in time , once these people have passed on (regrettably). Please let's keep the issue alive as it is one in the RADIO community which will need to be re-evaluated on a constant basis, but can we keep it civil? Remember amateur radio is not only a PRIVILEGE but a SERVICE as well. Also every time one transmits they are nominating themselves as ambassadors to their country. you never know who, where you are being heard. Even on VHF FM.
Thanks for reading,
hope I opened some eyes.
- .... .- -. -.- -.-- --- ..-
'73 Mike VA3HIE

"Torbjï¿½rn Morka" wrote:

> radiate skrev i meldingen
> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> >You can send messages to your ham friends and the
> no-code cry-baby whiners
> >won't know you're talking about them.
> >
> >73, Have a nice day!
>
> Well, its a shame for all those fools who thinks
> so, because my "cw-get" is a wery fine tool !

> And then can all cw-nerds talk all they want for
> me...

2644. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fvaktqtn1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

For a cheap education, check the going eBay prices
for unassembled Heathkits. What you believe is not
based in fact.

Pete

Bill Sohl wrote in message <37232a59.1421068@news.planet.net>...
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 13:52:39 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
>Any value is strictly as an antique. I don't believe there
>is much value in antique electronic kits, however.
>I know there are some folks into antique radios, but
>I don't think it has the following and $$$$ that many
>other antique specialties does.
>
>Bill K2UNK

2645. Re: An OS456 or Probe user group anywhere?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: edrubin@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <37232fcd.1469893@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120905

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 09:42:10 GMT, vf500@canada.com wrote:

>Does anyone know if there is a OS456 or a Probe users group anywhere
>one the net?
>
>I bought a 2006 w/ a OS456 and could only fine one reference to the
>settings in searching the newsgroup. That one was:
>
>>> Just food for thought what are your speed settings?
>>> Mine are 75MHZ Pentium, 19200 baud,
>>> Probe: 11 ms scan speed, 3 ms false signal delay
>>> Which results in a Probe Scan Speed of 59 channels a second.
>
>I have a P2/450/64megs and I set my setting to the same and I get
>50-60 cps when multitasking and about 80cps when probe window is the
>active window.
>
>Not being an expert in the lingo, I'm not sure what some of the
>settings mean so I was hoping to find an area where people shared some
>of their set-ups/tips/... with the combination.
>
>Yes I'm new, so I am reading the literature to learn but I always find
>it easier to learn by reading other peoples stories about their setups
>and why they have it that way and such.
>
>I am going to try to figure out how to trunk track with it as I have a
>spare computer and a second scanner to set it all up with.
>
>Just curious.
>
>ps anyone in the Vancouver BC area or more specifically the lower
>mainland using a 2006/456?
>
Check out Steve Hancock's web site:

<http://home.ptd.net/~pro2006/guide.html>

Lotsa good stuff there.

2646. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <37233228.7299000@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SOAP-BOX mode>on....

The difference in Hams who had to pass the CW requirements of their particular license class,and the people who would like to have a license in the same class,is simply the Hams WORKED for what they have.The others obviously dont want it bad enough.I got past the 13 wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW since,as I had to work so hard at it,I grew to hate it!(it didnt come very easy for me) I do agree however,that CW ...maybe..shouldnt be a requirement,but it should be replaced with something that will separate the serious radio ops from the curious.A good friend of mine,who is an MD,stated it perfectly..."75% of the 'hell' I went thru in medical school was designed to 'weed out' the students who werent dedicated enough to become a Doctor." The same holds true for Amateur Radio,in that there should always be some difficult 'hurdle' to overcome before obtaining a license.This is by no means a perfect solution,but its the only one we have at the moment.

SOAP-BOX mode>off....
On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 17:39:26 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>Exactly! This stubborn attitude will unfortunately be the death of Ham
>Radio!
>The attitude must change if there is any hope!
>
>> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
>> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
>> requirements
>> are very outdated.

2647. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <7fvccn$8iu@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 120907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
: Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote:
: > On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 12:25:44 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
: >
: > >"I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
: > >Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.
: >
: > Humans shouldn't need to adapt to unreasonable environments -- it is one of the
: > characteristics of our species that we can create our own environment. The
: > amateur radio laws are human-created and can be human-modified.

: In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't want to".

Is that what he said? Seems more like he advocates -changing- the
requirements.

But it is one answer to the "logical", "I had to do it, YOU have to do
it!" And the fastest growing amateur group in the US is NOT doing it (for
what ever reason).
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

2648. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37233a1e.407331019@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 23:48:04 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
wrote, and I quote:

>Another whiner. Find your filters, and use them. No filters ? Then get a
>news reader that has them, and then use them ! Stop whining. Nobody is
>twisting your arm to read this stuff.
>
>
>

You know, for once you are right. I will put in my filter. That way
I can read this news group for what it is. Scanners, not children.

I urge everyone else out there to do the same thing, that way it's
less time spent having to weed out unwanted and un-needed postings.

Thanks again for your advice!

/\/\|k.e

2649. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251611.SAA14538@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
: For a cheap education, check the going eBay prices
: for unassembled Heathkits. What you believe is not
: based in fact.

What *who* believes is not based in fact?? It's hard to tell who's post
you are replying to. One post says they'd be very valuable, another says
they won't. Who is wrong? It would be quite interesting if you had a
few examples of what things they're selling and what the bids are like.

2650. Request Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@spam.net
Message-ID: <372342fd.87238443@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120910

Have the Percon CD but need channel and service designation
for Panola County Texas, Local RS does not have books in
stock. Request look-up for this county.

Thanks
Chuck

2651. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fvh75$fb4$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does your newsreader present threads as one coherent listing?
The relply was made to a April 25 post, that shouldn't be too hard
to find; providing you are following the thread and not jumping in
ill-informed?

You want me to sort through 300 or so eBay ads to
prove a point? If you have a net browser, you are welcome
to do so. Be sure to check the thousand or so closed
auctions as well. Check rec.amateur.radio.boatanchors and other
related forums for ads seeking unassembled kits --DeJa news will
aid in you this search. I am not
here to do homework for you. Especially for someone who
needs to hide behind an "anonymous" signature.

Pete

Anonymous wrote in message <199904251611.SAA14538@mail.replay.com>...
>CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
>: For a cheap education, check the going eBay prices
>: for unassembled Heathkits. What you believe is not
>: based in fact.
>
>What *who* believes is not based in fact?? It's hard to tell who's post
>you are replying to. One post says they'd be very valuable, another says
>they won't. Who is wrong? It would be quite interesting if you had a
>few examples of what things they're selling and what the bids are like.
>

2652. looking4 freq's for Norfolk Naval Air Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: prarloyd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20996-37233A42-78@newsd-614.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120912

Any freq's you can give me would be geat.

Thanx In Advance
PRAR Loyd

2653. Re: looking4 freq's for Norfolk Naval Air Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990425125341.18047.00001940@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 120913

If you're interested in military monitoring then you should join join MilCom, the e-mail listserver
dedicated to military monitoring.

To join:

Send an e-mail message to: majordomo@qth.net
In the subject put: milcom
In the text area put: subscribe milcom

That's all there is to it. You should start receiving messages within a few hours.
To post a message to the list members address it to milcom@qth.net
We have members all over the country, in Europe and Japan.
For help finding frequencies in your area just post a message stating your location
and requesting frequency help and you should get some answers.

John Ward

2654. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fvi71lnj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Interesting. I checked my original posting, and the post I replied
was quoted in part at the end my reply. What are you talking about?

Secondly there were two comments posted regarding value of unassembled
tube kits. The first was YOURS:

"Speaking of vacuum tubes, how much would an unassembled radio kit, one
which uses tubes, be worth today. Probably a lot."

The second post in part:

">
>Speaking of vacuum tubes, how much would an unassembled radio kit, one
>which uses tubes, be worth today. Probably a lot.

Any value is strictly as an antique. I don't believe there
is much value in antique electronic kits, however.
I know there are some folks into antique radios, but
I don't think it has the following and $$$$ that many
other antique specialties does.

Bill K2UNK"

My response, with quotes from the post I was commenting on:

"For a cheap education, check the going eBay prices
for unassembled Heathkits. What you believe is not
based in fact.

Pete

Bill Sohl wrote in message <37232a59.1421068@news.planet.net>...
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 13:52:39 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
>Any value is strictly as an antique. I don't believe there
>is much value in antique electronic kits, however.
>I know there are some folks into antique radios, but
>I don't think it has the following and $$$$ that many
>other antique specialties does.
>
>Bill K2UNK"

You can't even keep track of your own posts, or
properly read what I posted. Congratulations. You

have earned a spot in my newsreader filter.
Please supply a list of your other aliases on the net
for inclusion.

Peter

Anonymous wrote in message <199904251611.SAA14538@mail.replay.com>...
>CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
>: For a cheap education, check the going eBay prices
>: for unassembled Heathkits. What you believe is not
>: based in fact.
>
>What *who* believes is not based in fact?? It's hard to tell who's post
>you are replying to. One post says they'd be very valuable, another says
>they won't. Who is wrong? It would be quite interesting if you had a
>few examples of what things they're selling and what the bids are like.
>

2655. Scanners For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Denning <robocop@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <372340A3.8CAE18C4@citynet.net>
Source record: 120915

```
Radio Shack Pro-2044 80 Base scanner works great
Uniden Bearcat 148xlt 20 base scanner works great
Uniden Bearcat 60xlt 30 channl handheld scanner
e-mail me
matt denning
robocop@citynet.net
```

2656. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jethro <messmate@usa.net>
Message-ID: <s_HU2.14015$D9.4053359@news2.mia>
Source record: 120916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

and what does it do..... just point ?

JB

Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net> wrote in message
news:372316CB.61A14CA6@bv.net...
> I have a brushed brass colored BW TEK 3mW, pulsed green laser pointer with
> batteries & nice wood presentation box, bought for $399, sell 325.00
>
> photo upon request
> _____

2657. Hoke code 3 gold F.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdepaepe <mdepaepe@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <wfIU2.79$FA1.6467@news.cwix.com>
Source record: 120917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Hoka code 3 gold decoder F.S.,all options,decodes a just about all there is out there. Originally sold for $599.
You can look at http://www.scancat.com for complete details. All orignal manuals,hardware.
$400 shipped
73
Mark

2658. Re: BC 245XLT release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: HairMan2000 <tdm@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <372405BC.16F9F684@pacifier.com>
Source record: 120918

What features is this scannerr supposed to have?
I sure wish there was a mobile TrunkkTracker scanner
with a remote face car easy mounting in a car.
Is there such a scanner out there?

Frank Bals wrote:

> I've heard conflicting dates as to when this new TrunkTracker will be
> released for sale. I can't wait. Anyone got the REAL story?

2659. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8f4a$d0082860$8560b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 120919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Ya.. Lots of people have lost the "gotta work for what you get" attitude
> nowdays... That's why freebanders are so common.

Horse Pucky!

With both members of a married couple working 50 to 70 hours a week....
Welcome to the 90's, where have you been?

Perhaps you are not happy with the current pecking order of where Amateur
Radio is with the rest of this busy society. Well neither am I, BUT holding
Morse Code as some kind of virtue certainly is not helping the cause.

2660. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net>
Message-ID: <01be8f4b$b719e7c0$8560b6cd@cbx-nt>
Source record: 120920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Joe,

Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you other 'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot even make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.

Food for thought.

2661. Re: CW bait/Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: J W Schermerhorn <skemi@capital.net>
Message-ID: <37238FD5.4CAC@capital.net>
Source record: 120921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

4/25/99

This thread started when one guy "Hate@spam.org (radiate)" baited the group with his post about CW whinners and look what happened. He must be having the last laugh.

CommQuart wrote:
>
> Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
> why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
> primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
> that many amateurs enjoy using cw.
>
> Pete
>
> >THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW. iT IS AN ANTIQUATED TECHNOLOGY THAT IS
> >ANALOGOUS TO A MODEL T FORD. IT HAD IT'S TIME AND NOW IT BELONGS IN A
> >MUSEUM.
> >
> >IT IS NOTHING MORE THAN A HAZING RITUAL.
> >
> >I WALKED A MILE TO SCHOOL BAREFOOT IN 2 FEET OF SNOW, AND YOU MUST DO
> >THE SAME
> >TO BE ACCEPTED!

2662. QUESTION: Is it legal to scan in Hungary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Minoru Toda <103634.303@csi.com>
Message-ID: <uVloJt0j#GA.354@nih2naad.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120922

Heya, I've recently moved to Hungary (in europe), and I was wondering whether anybody know if it is legal to use a scanner in Hungary... All help is appreciated.

2663. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: acp45@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7fvrj2mfv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <372201CE.457E88AE@home.com>,
Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
> Exactly! This stubborn attitude will unfortunately be the death of Ham
> Radio!
> The attitude must change if there is any hope!
>
> > The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> > become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> > This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
> > requirements
> > are very outdated.
>
> > Anything worthwhile is worth working for. Too many people want something for
nothing. Sounds like you were born in the 60's

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2664. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: quatsein@island.net
Message-ID: <37237316.1270986275@news.cr.island.net>
Source record: 120924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe, I agree with your post. I think a technical hurdle would be far
more value to amatuer radio, than the antiquated morse hurdle.

Steve Carlisle VE7AHL

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:31:58 GMT, unit77@mynet.net (Joe) wrote:

>SOAP-BOX mode>on....
>
>The difference in Hams who had to pass the CW requirements of their
>particular license class,and the people who would like to have a
>license in the same class,is simply the Hams WORKED for what they
>have.The others obviously dont want it bad enough.I got past the 13
>wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW since,as I had to work
>so hard at it,I grew to hate it!(it didnt come very easy for me) I
>do agree however,that CW ...maybe..shouldnt be a requirement,but it
>should be replaced with something that will separate the serious radio
>ops from the curious.A good friend of mine,who is an MD,stated it
>perfectly..."75% of the 'hell' I went thru in medical school was
>designed to 'weed out' the students who werent dedicated enough to
>become a Doctor." The same holds true for Amateur Radio,in that there
>should always be some difficult 'hurdle' to overcome before obtaining
>a license.This is by no means a perfect solution,but its the only one
>we have at the moment.
>
>SOAP-BOX mode>off....
>On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 17:39:26 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Exactly! This stubborn attitude will unfortunately be the death of Ham
>>Radio!
>>The attitude must change if there is any hope!
>>
>>> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>>> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
>>> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
>>> requirements
>>> are very outdated.
>

2665. FS: Radio Shack Pro-67 & Pro-2056

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <37235f8b.5874810@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 120925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello folks,

I'm making some room for a couple Trunk Trackers and I'm
looking at selling these two fine units.

Radio Shack Pro-67 Portable Scanner

Originally purchased for $200
200 Channels
Triple Conversion
Service Searches
Uniden Built
Date Code: 3A7
29-54,108-174,406-512,806-1000(minus cell)
Includes box, manual, all accessories
Physical Condidtion 7/10 (minor scratches and wear)
Has warranty plan through 2003

Radio Shack Pro-2056 Mobile Scanner

Purchased on clearance at $70 plus discount
Uniden Built (Same as BC350A)
50 Channels
Dual Conversion
Preprogrammed Service Searches
Date Code: 3A7
29-54,108-174,406-512
NO Box, Maunal or accessories available
(Programming instructions included)
Excellant condition 10/10 only used as a base scanner off and an
I got a decent deal on it because they didn't have the box, manuals or
any accessories

I'd like to see the Pro-67 go for around $120 and the Pro-2056
for about $100. Email if interested . . .

Inquire about shipping costs, payment methods and pictures or
more information about these scanners.

Jeff

jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu

2666. Re: I don't want to die. :(

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: anonymous <send@spam.con>
Message-ID: <37237285.E1D45ACD@spam.con>
Source record: 120926
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.journalism

David Pinero wrote:

> Because recent events have demonstrated that my government will kill
> with apparent justification people who broadcast contrary opinions and
> perceived propaganda, I have taken down my Calling All Citizens
> website. The site, which promoted to the point of controversy, open
> public safety communications, could reasonably be construed by
> conservative mindsets as the propaganda tool of an extraordinary ego.
> As master of that site, that makes me an apparent legitimate target of
> government subsidized death (or many translated versions of that). I
> don't gather anyone would agree, but I consider my Calling All
> Citizens website far more controversial than the endless drone of a
> twisted dictator on Serbian television. If they'll blow the
> smithereens out of innocent maintainers of that drone, heavens know
> what they'll do to a guy like me.

You should reconsider the taking down of your web pages.
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
They would consider what you have now as the most controversial.

The Info War continues from Serbia.
They have their web sites still going the last I checked April 24.
http://www.beograd.com/radioyu/
http://www.bktv.com/
Propaganda, Info Wars, and jamming or destroying broadcast stations has
been done in many past wars.
The Internet is something new and they maybe after just their local
broadcast inside Serbia.
Their excuse "to reduce the length of the war by stopping the propaganda
to its people".
If it spreads to Internet censorship then it should really concern us.

The war in Kosvo is going to cost me and you, the taxpayer, plenty of
money.
It may accelerate inflation here in America.
It starts to weaken the U.S. if any other nation threatens us during this
war.
It may make it harder to win future wars because every time we use our
military strategies then counter-strategies will be developed by other
nations for future wars.
We rule Space which is currently the key to winning wars, but in the
future others can match us in Space.

Unless I missed the proof they were becoming a nuclear weapons supplier to
the Third World and the ethnic exterminations were revealed before the

war, then they could justify it better.
I did not hear much until well after the war started.
So it is hard to understand, since their ethnic exterminations succeeded after the start of the war.
Is this war going to do me any good in my future?
The reasons I have heard was "to do it now to prevent a worst future war".

Maybe World War III will start sooner.
Lets hope not.

I have lived through the ups and downs here in America.
The ups during the booming economy and beginning of the Space Age.
The downs during Civil Wars against discrimination ,Vietnam War, and the oil embargoes that caused a major recession.
In order to maintain freedom there will be times when we have to fight for it.

2667. Moving.. FREE radios.. Cincy only..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425154658.19787.00001502@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 120927

Im moving from Mason to Mariemont Oh. have a collection of odds and ends..
from old scanners (Tennelec, Plectrons 33.90 mhz,Regency) to tube radios
Philco, Stewart Warner, etc.. to transistors Different brands. I do not have
the time any more to tinker and want to give these to someone who can use them.
About 40 radios in all. I would say that most of them work . The first person
who calls me at 513-683-7648 and lives in the northern Cincy area and who can
pick them up today can have them. I am at the Mason exit on I 71 and can meet
you anywhere along 71 going into Cincy in the next hour.It is now 3:45. Thanks

Tom Montgomery
513-683-7648

MUST TAKE THEM ALL ..

2668. Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <210BA3064ABE068A.DD1159B95E768F11.17831D876704F2CE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120928

I recall last year reading about a new scanner that was coming out that was very small and pretty inexpensive ($200-$300). I think it was an Icom. Please refresh my memory and tell me if it's worth buying.

remove X to reply

2669. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <scanman@online.no>
Message-ID: <WvKU2.384$MH2.1884@news1.online.no>
Source record: 120929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Well, let us narrow it down to one essential Q, is
it necessary to KNOW the code
for using HF and Phone ?
There are opinions that says theese are to prevent
cb'whiners to get on the air, and
i might agree to that. Nevertheless, globally seen
there is a drop in the number of 'hams'
and i belive one of the reason is the lack of PR
reagarding this matter, not because CW
is a demand !
When you are taking the exam, you have to be able
to send and receive code by a certain
wpm, and ofcourse some extra theory too. After say
you are become a family-man or busy at work
you take up your old hobby and is once again QRV,
but what about your code then.....?

After some years i guess that the majority has
forgotten their skills rather its 5wpm or 25wpm !
Fair that they can use their equipment then ? I
mean not, if i could decide, there should be
regular exams
in the code for at least once a year, since this
is SO necessary to be able to talk on HF !!!

Then this would happen :
A- many would fall apart and loose their call.
B- the interest for morse and skill would all the
time be at peak and we wouldnt risk any danger if
emergensy SOS or eqv were heard !

I'll put my head out for the gulliotine for this,

Mike skrev i meldingen
<37232D4A.90EBCB13@eagle.ca>...
>To all who have sent their comments:
>
>Maybe you had better take a good look at what you
are saying, some
>comments are well thought out and others are not.
True there may be lazy
>people out there who don't want to work for their
privileges, but there

>are those who do. Some of these people have handi-caps (which are not
>considered handi-caps), I happen to have an extremely hard time with
>timing and separating the characters when receiving. I have tried
>NUMEROUS times to get it but can't (I'm not whining). So I turned my
>interests to "above 50mhz."
>As for the comment Mr. Young made there is more to vhf than fm. A lot of
>people who think morse code is out of date and "whine" about it are
>possibly too busy to learn it and the only enjoyment they get from ham
>radio are portable or mobile. Myself I am gone from home 5 days a week
>with a home, car and family to take care of. Now as for the people "set
>in there ways", did anyone consider, the most of these people are older?
>With age not only come wisdom but a sense of comfort and security in
>things that are familiar to them. The laws for code will change in time
>, once these people have passed on (regrettably). Please let's keep the
>issue alive as it is one in the RADIO community which will need to be
>re-evaluated on a constant basis, but can we keep it civil? Remember
>amateur radio is not only a PRIVILEGE but a SERVICE as well. Also every
>time one transmits they are nominating themselves as ambassadors to
>their country. you never know who, where you are being heard. Even on
>VHF FM.
>Thanks for reading,
>hope I opened some eyes.
>- .... .- -. -.- -.-- --- ..-
>'73 Mike VA3HIE
>
>"Torbj&#65533;rn Morka" wrote:
>
>> radiate skrev i meldingen
>> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> >
>> >You can send messages to your ham friends and

the
>> no-code cry-baby whiners
>> >won't know you're talking about them.
>> >
>> >73, Have a nice day!
>>
>> Well, its a shame for all those fools who
thinks
>> so, because my "cw-get" is a wery fine tool !
>> And then can all cw-nerds talk all they want
for
>> me...
>

2670. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <07CA818C0B222464.7212EDE5A7901A71.66FE3DB978F7B947@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120930

Okay, I found it. It is the IC-R2. I also found the IC-R10. What are the positives and negatives of each? Do either of them receive cellular images? Do they block out the whole 800-900 range or just the cellular? How do you tune the IC-R2 without a keypad? So many questions, so little time.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 19:50:25 GMT, cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove X to reply) wrote:

>I recall last year reading about a new scanner that was coming out
>that was very small and pretty inexpensive ($200-$300). I think it
>was an Icom. Please refresh my memory and tell me if it's worth
>buying.
>
>***remove X to reply***

2671. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <2D9C70DD6AF62D69.3F70143549A51F36.E9E1B75C40AE2786@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are implying that you have a right to set a standard value over all HAM wannabees
and then have a right to deem a certain amount of work to meet that value.
As you know, the FCC is the only one who has a right to set these values and the amount of work
needed, not you.
Sit there and get upset all you want, resent us for things being easy, but the fact is, FCC will be dropping
code from the requirements in less than 3 years and will also be updating its tests to reflect modern times instead
of their current tests which are geared more for those who wanted to became HAMS in the 1950's - 1970's.

> Ya.. Lots of people have lost the "gotta work for what you get" attitude
> nowdays... That's why freebanders are so common.

2672. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <0A2A75556851F08C.C567D66CE51D8624.1D2BDE77F5C61C63@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Remember, it takes a pretty screwed-up, illogical person to enjoy CW in the first place as all they have to do is pick up a microphone.
This explains why we have alot of oddballs in here with screwed up, old fashioned thinking, which is completely in reverse with the rest of the world.
Thank goodness that most of these people are dying out of old age.
For those who carry the carry this torch of illogical thinking, get out of the world's fast lane and move aside as you are holding up everyone.

2673. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fvuoekkj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Another brilliant statement from "Spot, the Wonder Dog"!
Spot, you just don't get it. Sadly, you never will.
If gabbing into a mike all day is YOUR idea of HAM UTOPIA; why
don't you just save yourself a lot misery (and waiting for standards
to be lowered to your level) and go to RS and buy
yourself a nice shiny new CB?!

Wooof! 10-fer?

Spot wrote in message
<0A2A75556851F08C.C567D66CE51D8624.1D2BDE77F5C61C63@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...
Remember, it takes a pretty screwed-up, illogical person to enjoy CW in the
first place
as all they have to do is pick up a microphone.

2674. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118d29d09d50d99798974b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120934

The IC-R2 is fast becoming one of the most popular scanners out in the last decade, and for good reason. It's sensitivity and battery life are simply the best money can buy. Sure it doesn't have a keyboard, but with the "Highspeed" mode for the tuning dial greatly assists finding a desired frequency, and the overall sensible memory sequence is enough of a help to override any negative aspects to the radio. Under some extreme instances, one may experience some minor pager interference in the 450mhz range (as I have found engaging the attenuator totally eliminates this without significant loss of actual sensitivity to live signals). The R-10 unfortunately, while overall a good design, falls short of the level of performance the R2 surprised all with. Should Icom come out with a newer R-10, whatever the new model may have, I would only hope that it would incorporate the incredible sensitivity that the R2 possesses, along with the amazing audio that little powerhouse puts out.

2675. Scout reaction tuning mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Evans <woody@nuthin.com>
Message-ID: <925073030.306.74@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are there any mobile (dashboard mount) scanners available that can be reaction tuned by an Optoelectronics Scout frequency recorder?

thanks!
-c

2676. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7fvusklig1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, great. Does this mean in three years we get to hear
"Spot" howl about the tough, unfair, digital elements of
the written exam? Stay tuned!

Woof!

Sit there and get upset all you want, resent us for things being easy, but
the fact is, FCC will be dropping
code from the requirements in less than 3 years and will also be updating
its tests to reflect modern times instead
of their current tests which are geared more for those who wanted to became
HAMS in the 1950's - 1970's.

> Ya.. Lots of people have lost the "gotta work for what you get" attitude
> nowdays... That's why freebanders are so common.

2677. Re: Antenna Question on best way to setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bandit <scannerman@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3722619d@royan.d-n-a.net>
Source record: 120960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

isn't a Super Stick a CB antenna?
I own one and it's designed for the 27mhz CB band

Steven.

2678. F/S BC 450 scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: amphonee@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ftqea$2s0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120961

Like new.. Radio Shack sold one just like this for $199.99
Mint .. with manual .. almost never used...
digital readout.. 29. to 560 mhz...
turbo scan..
Made by Uniden
$75.00 shipped..
tim

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2679. F/S Uniden scanner 450 A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: AMFONE@CONCENTRIC.NET
Message-ID: <7ftqjj$341$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120962

Hello
Must sell..
Radio shack made one like this for $199.95...
Turbo scan..
Mint , as new with manual... seldom used...
29.0 to 560 mhz..
small , made for the car.. digital readout..
$75.00 shipped
tim

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2680. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37226af2.354287480@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 22:21:26 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
wrote, and I quote:

>Newbie, you don`t dictate anything on here, and I suggest you just sit back
>and listen for a while.....
>
Newbie? Hardly. I would just rather read items about scanners &
frequencies instead of children ranting and raving. I'm sure I could
talk for alot of people when I say we are getting sick and tired of
this crap. I thought this kinda childish play went the way of CB
radios.

Do us all a favor and take your tirades with each other to personal
e-mail's.

/\/\|k.e

2681. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ftq9q$611@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <F9CC7953B702B8D7.2DBF830C66B05E69.CC4BAB032623D469@library-proxy.airnews.net>, Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote:
>It's not a matter of lazyness, it's a matter of having to learn a bunch =
>of outdated crap.
>If I want to fill my mind with knowledge, I prefer to fill it with =
>things that matter.

You need to step back so as to get a global view of why the code requirement exists for HF privileges. RF at HF respects no boundaries, and as communicators first and foremost, hams the world over should have at least one mode in common. At present, there is only one mode all the world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW. Whether one chooses to use it or not does not matter; if the need arises he has it available to him (maybe at a slower speed than when he was first licensed!). The phone modes won't work as an international mode due to differences in languages, but there are sufficient code pro-signs so that a meaningful QSO can extend across language barriers. A digital mode could become the international standard, but until such time that every ham worldwide is so equipped and/or has the ability to build and self-maintain such equipment, CW will remain the common mode. The ITU has this global view while many individuals have only an insular nearsightedness - their own personal goals out-weigh those of the global amateur community.

(Daily worldwide comms don't normally take place above 50 MHz, so a common mode in that region can be chosen on a national level; for us it's of course FM phone.)

73, Jeff KH6O

2682. Re: Is desktop reception better than handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37226e7f.1290738@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120965

I started out with a handheld some 15 years ago. I still use it.

I have purchased several different desktop scanners since that time.

Good Luck, welcome to the club!!

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 20:03:04 -0400, "Matthew Mahlau"
<mm@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I'd like to get started listening to scanners. I've gone a few times over
>the past year to my local Radio Shack but never bought anything.
>
>This month they have a clearance on a desktop model (only 30 channels, but I
>am a beginner) for $89 regularly $119. There is also a sale on a handheld
>100-channel model for $89 which regularly sells for $129 or more.
>
>For $89 I think I can afford to take the plunge and see if I like it before
>I by a more expensive model. My question is this: 100 channels sounds a
>whole lot better than 30, but is that little rubbery antenna going to
>receive as well as a desktop unit? I should also say that I doubt I will
>take this out of my house. I will keep it inside.
>
>Thanks for any feedback.
>
>
>
>

2683. FS-AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <3722738F.B1935269@home.com>
Source record: 120966

AOR 8000 for sale. Has continuous coverage, no blocks. In excellent condition. Included is the 8000, the wall power supply, the owner's manual and the flat interface cable for interfaces such as an Optolinx. I am going to keep my Optolinx! The 8000 works great! $450 for all.

2684. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7fu6c9$48m$1@208.207.71.170>
Source record: 120967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote:
>
> On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 12:25:44 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>
> >"I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
> >Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.
>
> Humans shouldn't need to adapt to unreasonable environments -- it is one of the
> characteristics of our species that we can create our own environment. The
> amateur radio laws are human-created and can be human-modified.
>
>

In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
want to".

2685. Lookin for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bigdad <Bigdad@NOSPAMrworld.com>
Message-ID: <3722BFDA.1E3391B2@NOSPAMrworld.com>
Source record: 120968

Hello all im looking for either a BC-142xl scanner (used) or a
Hamtronics R-137 (used) no junk please, email me please at
Bigdad@rworld.com or Bigdad@rw1.rworld.com if the first gets kicked out.
Thanks!!

--
Remove NOSPAM from address to reply!

2686. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3722A4B4.7165F0A6@home.com>
Source record: 120969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Right on! I advocate fairness in testing. Why not offer an alternative
to code
such as additional theory tests. There are many engineers with masters
degrees in
electrical engineering that do not get into ham radio because they feel
that the
code requirement is useless. In these cases, why not substitute a harder
theory test
in liu of code?

-jim

allsafe wrote:
>
> CommQuart wrote:
>
> > Duh. I got my first license at age 14. Sorry to hear folks are so stupid
> > or lazy to learn something new these days.
>
> You mean "learn something *old*." It's got as much usefulness as learning
> Latin. You'd get more bang for the buck learning to write in FORTRAN. At least
> you could milk the Y2K angle as a consultant. No chance doin' that with
> learning code. I mean, not unless someone can find a way that paddles wouldn't
> be Y2K compliant.
> Not bloody likely.
>
> Face it.
>
> Dit-dot-dash is dead dude.
>
> Imagine the poor schmuck who picks up this craft. I don't mean the operators
> that rode HAM radio's heyday. I mean the poor bastard who takes on the hobby
> *today*. I mean seriously learning code. He's been studying, he passed his
> exam, and got himself a radio and he's a tapping away at home.
>
> Imagine the pitiful attempts of impressing the occasional friend or neighbor
> with the enthusiast's hobby:
>
> "It's right up here in this room" Melvin the CW fiend said.
> "Oh,...that's a big radio" says his neighbor Bob.
> "Oh yes" Melvin gushed, "it's a Yamiguchibosch DX 15^%4654654aaas and it puts
> out..." (Something about more heat than the surface of the sun).
> "Neat" says Bob., "but I wonder if we can go get that week-eater I lent you
> last..."

>
> "Hang on a sec Bob," Melvin interrupted, "Do you want to go on the air?"
> "N-no, that's OK, I sure it......." stammered Bob.
> "It's really a BLAST!" Melvin insisted.
> "Well, I can see you're really into this..."
> "It's the BEST Bob!" Melvin said, "Lemme get her fired up!"
> "Really...No, I.."
> "You're gonna love this" said Melvin.
> "Well....Ok" said Bob.
>
> Melvin directs Bob to a chair next to Melvin at a corner desk that filled with
> stacks of radio equipment.
>
> "You all set?" asks Melvin.
> "Uh...yeah. Do I need a seatbelt?" asks Bob.
>
> Melvin smiles appreciatively and begins to fly through his switching panels and
> notates his log book with perfunctory glee. He takes a few deep breaths and
> caresses his paddles with his forefinger and thumb before turning to Bob.
>
> "Are ya *ready*?" Melvin asked.
> "Well.....y-y-yeah." Bob said.
> "Well then HERE WE GO!" and Melvin turns to his paddles and begins a tappin':
> "tap-tap, tappity tap tap....."
>
> Melvin adjusts the receiver while Bob sits and winces at the random bursts of
> static resulting from Melvin's manic adjustments.
>
> "Maybe I gotta do it again" Melvin said. (tap-tap, tappity tap tap.......)
>
> Minutes pass by while Melvin sends out his greetings through the taps of his
> paddles. Bob waits about ten minutes. No response from "tappity" land.
>
> "Wow....Look at the Time!" Bob laments.
> "Well hang on there buddy...someone will beep in here soon,.." Melvin says.
> "Well, that's great Melvin, this radio is really great, but I'm kinda crunched
> for time, you know what I mean?" asked Bob.
> "This won't take much lon.."
> "Oh,...you just go ahead and just "tap away" there you little rascal and you can
> tell me about it sometime. But listen, I'm going down to your garage and get my
> weed-eater and be on my way."
> "Well...OK," sighs Melvin
> "Real nice radio though." said Bob.
> "Uh..thanks." said Melvin.
> Bob's gone.
>
> Ok, before you get your dandruff up, I know this'll generate a lot of responses
> akin to "learning code is something you do for yourself." and "It's your hobby
> and it's not a bout impressing other people, yada-yada", I understand that. But
> you gotta admit, as far as popularity, code is dwindling to few and far between

> in the States.
>
> It not even something "handy" that might save your life one day....:
>
> Imagine a scuba marine biologist surveying the marine life near the water
> intakes off-shore of a nuclear power plant. The scuba diver gets sucked through
> the pipes and ends up in an enclosed cooling water tank inside the nuclear
> plant.
>
> But since this particular scuba diver took the time and patience to learn CODE!,
> he might have a way out. Just like in the movies!
>
> I don't care how good you are at code, the only message you're gonna transmit to
> the guy standing outside the tank is:
>
> "Hey!, there's somebody knocking on the inside of this tank!"
>
> That's 'cause he doesn't know code! And neither does the fire and rescue team
> that is summoned to the site. So you can hang up communicating with these
> guys. You've already communicated all they're gonna figure out. The most
> you'll get back from these guys is "a shave ana haircut.....TWO---BITS!!"
>
> So the way I figure it, as long as you can bang on something metallic, you
> already know all the code you need to know.
>
> Just my two-bits.

2687. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Redmond <dredmond@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3722A71E.952772B1@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SiD wrote:

> I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and
> I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking
> suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.
>
> Thanks for the suggestions.

I live in a duplex where ya can't do nothin! I purchased a Radio Shack 20-176A (small but good).Then put a plant hanger hook in the ceiling by a window in the corner of my office then Tywraped another hook with a straw over it to the center radiator and hung it from the hook in the ceiling. I live in Hermitage, Tn about 12 to 15 miles outside of Nashville and have more to listen to than I can handle. I can only imagine what it would be like to get that sucker outside and up about 40 feet.

--

Dave Redmond
Hermitage, Tn
dredmond@bellsouth.net

* So many stupid people . . . so few comets.

2688. JOB OFFER FOR FULL TIME SCANNER LISTENER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425012828.24023.00001446@ng11.aol.com>
Source record: 120971

SEEKING A PERSON WHO UNDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY, TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE ENGINE RESPONE BROADCAST IN BAY AREA . WILL PAY FOR USEFUL, FAST RESPONSES AT (510) 773-2298 RICH

2689. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925019884.511.58@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Outdated crap? Handguns obviated hand-to-hand martial arts. Or did they? Ox-drawn plows have been replaced by heavy machinery. Except on small farms, and in underdeveloped areas. I painted my living room with a roller and paint tray. It might have been easier with a power roller, but I'm not planning on painting much else anytime soon. I watched my neighbor struggle to put in deck posts using a hand auger. I wonder if he knows about other tools he might have used. I watched another neighbor dig his post holes with a power auger, with a very large friend helping. The bit grabbed every few seconds in the clayey soil, and would torque the two men off their feet. (Neither way looked like fun, so I have stone pavers instead of a deck. That wasn't much fun, either.)

Everything has their time and place. I especially liked Jeffrey's explanation about CW on HF. I realize it's not for everyone. For them, there's FM on VHF.

Michael.

Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote in message news:F9CC7953B702B8D7.2DBF830C66B05E69.CC4BAB032623D469@library-proxy.airnews.net...
It's not a matter of lazyness, it's a matter of having to learn a bunch of outdated crap.
If I want to fill my mind with knowledge, I prefer to fill it with things that matter.

2690. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GvyU2.681$Nt.640@stones>
Source record: 120973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> HeHe, I thought you were banned again! ;)
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith

not yet anyway, lets see if this ISP belives in censorship shall we....:-)

eavsdropper

2691. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <6FCC830DFC6F510C.EB735AB41F476B3D.8654A4566ADF000E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From the old fashioned attitudes I see in this thread, I can see why people don't renew their licenses
or even get their license.

2692. MayCom AR108

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Jackson <mikej@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <7fuifbcet1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 120975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

Has anyone used one of these very good looking airband scanners? Is the sensitivity as good as quoted? Find details at http://www.nevada.co.uk .

Regards

Mike

2693. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spot <spot@dog.org>
Message-ID: <F044C8C573A803F4.15D1FEB13A94C66A.C04C0769749530F1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120976

> "Good Afternoon space station MIR. You are 5 by 9 in Southern
> California. How do you Copy? "

Oh gee, seems like if they could get them into space, they could have left
they code key at home.
Alot of good code is going to do me if I ran into a real emergency.
Should I key out that I'm in trouble to 911 or should I just get on my phone and
tell them what's happening?
If I'm stranded, should I get on my HAM and code my plea for help or should
I simply get on my cell phone or use my radio's MIC?
Maybe if by chance my throat is slashed, I could put that coding to good use, huh?
I think what we have in this newsgroup is a bunch of CW fanatics drawn to the subject
of this thread.
Would the 10's of thousands of HAMS out there who don't ever use CW, raise your hand?
I didn't think so, see, they don't want anything to do with coding, less participate in a
thread about coding.

2694. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3722E36E.E80FA775@netxn.com>
Source record: 120977

I'll have to go back and check again. I thought that all we got at work
(I work for a TV station) was the 911 tapes ... You could very well be
right. I have seen conflicting info more than once from the different
services.

Mike Derryberry

ScanShack wrote:
>
> >From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
>
> >Actually it was the 911 tapes that were released to the media, not the
> >radio transmission tapes. There is a big difference.
> >
>
> Look again Mike. The news services are listing the text of the transcripts
> from the police radio call. I got mine off of AOL this morning which showed
> being from an AP news story.
>
> Visit The SCAN SHACK
> A FREE scanner information web page.
> Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
> Happy Scanning !

2695. Re: Antenna Paintable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3722de11.65814319@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120978
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 23:36:46 -0400, Jon Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>I am going to move my Scantenna from the attic to an outside mount on an
>eave of my house in an attempt to improve reception. To reduce the
>"glare" of a silver antenna, I want to paint it a nice black color to
>blend in. Any type of paint recommended... any type to avoid??
>
>
>
>

Couple hints.

1:Use a non conductive paint. Krylon works well. I use spray on
"Plasti-Dip" (tm) tool handle coating to beat weathering/wear on
mobile antennas.
2: To minimize the antenna in an outside enviroment, DON'T PAINT IT
BLACK. A nice medium to light grey or soft blue would do a much
better job of 'hiding' the antenna.

If you are in an area where ice is a problem in cold weather By all
means paint it black in the fall. Helps the antenna shed ice.

NEVER paint the antenna insulator with conductive paint. It is not
necessairy to paint the antenna with conductive paint at all. Bad idea
actually.

I used to have to paint several antennas each fall. A couple vhf link
antennas needed bubble wrap covered with UV resistant black poly to
stay active in winter storms. Ran the State Patrol link system for
central Minnesota. Bad locations, ice would build up and detune the
antennas till the transmitter shut down. Or break the elements off.

Had several pics on the webpage last winter. Lotta shots of ice on
big commercial and government towers I serviced.
Mebbe putem back next fall, need space for other stuff now.

Good Signals... LCM

2696. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251140.NAA19984@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David Hitchner (hitchner@worldnet.att.net) wrote:
: I like that idea. Quite a few hams are lost when it comes to computers.
: Computers are becoming more and more a fact of life. Also consider the
: number of ham radios which have computer interfaces. I would like to
: go back to the days when you could actually repair computers (I'm
: talking componant level) instead of just replacing a board. But I realize
: we all must move on.

You probably long for the days when you could buy a piece of software,
take it home, and actually have it work without having to first download
a bunch of updates.

2697. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251142.NAA20073@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Greg Baker (bakergsj@maranatha.net) wrote:

: It sent both. SOS had just come into effect as a distress call 1 April
: 1912; one of the two radio operators said to the other who was pounding
: brass, "You might as well send SOS... it may be the last time we ever get to
: send it." (Walter Lord, A NIGHT TO REMEMBER)

It the recent movie, they sent a CQD. Cameron was very much a stickler
for detail. Perhaps the scenes with the SOS wound up on the cutting room
floor, there was quite a bit of footage they shot but couldn't put in
because of the time constraints. The movie A Night To Remember from the
50's did have SOS in it.

2698. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251146.NAA20242@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BD (bodu@pobox.com) wrote:
: And one of 'em lived to tell that?

Yes, one of the radio operators did survive, he was able to climb up on
top a floating capsized lifeboat or something like that.

2699. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251152.NAA20473@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:
: They should completely drop vacuum tubes from the tests if they have not =
: already also.
: I'm going to be running completely solid state anyhow so why would I or =
: anyone else care.

Speaking of vacuum tubes, how much would an unassembled radio kit, one
which uses tubes, be worth today. Probably a lot.

2700. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904251151.NAA20404@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120983

allscan@my-dejanews.com wrote:
: I am trying to put together a web site with as many frequencies for each state
: as possible. If you have any you would like me to post send them to me and
: include the state, county, and city or town you live in.

This isn't really a useful thing to do. There are much more complete
lists of frequencies already on the web.

2701. Re: Antenna Paintable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic <ke4qjk@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <KfDU2.6407$Bm3.415347@news20.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120984
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jon,

I too use Krylon spray paint (flat black) for a tri-band VHF-UHF vertical. Works GREAT to "hide" the antenna. Have had to repaint only every two years or so as the paint does not stick well to the metal ground plane radials.

BTW: I've notice the color you choose should be a reflection of the background of the antenna. For example: this antenna has primarily pine trees for a background so grays would have to be dark. Light blue would stick out badly. Flat black works well because of a dark background. If your antenna background is the sky, a medium gray to sky blue paint (flat colors - not glossy) will look better than shiny aluminum or stainless steel.

Best wishes with your project,

Vic / KE4QJK

Curious George <not@home.now> wrote in message news:3722de11.65814319@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
: On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 23:36:46 -0400, Jon Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
: wrote:
:
: >I am going to move my Scantenna from the attic to an outside mount on an
: >eave of my house in an attempt to improve reception. To reduce the
: >"glare" of a silver antenna, I want to paint it a nice black color to
: >blend in. Any type of paint recommended... any type to avoid??
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
: Couple hints.
:
: 1:Use a non conductive paint. Krylon works well. I use spray on
: "Plasti-Dip" (tm) tool handle coating to beat weathering/wear on
: mobile antennas.
: 2: To minimize the antenna in an outside enviroment, DON'T

PAINT IT
: BLACK. A nice medium to light grey or soft blue would do a
much
: better job of 'hiding' the antenna.
:
: If you are in an area where ice is a problem in cold weather By
all
: means paint it black in the fall. Helps the antenna shed ice.
:
: NEVER paint the antenna insulator with conductive paint. It is
not
: necessairy to paint the antenna with conductive paint at all.
Bad idea
: actually.
:
: I used to have to paint several antennas each fall. A couple
vhf link
: antennas needed bubble wrap covered with UV resistant black
poly to
: stay active in winter storms. Ran the State Patrol link system
for
: central Minnesota. Bad locations, ice would build up and detune
the
: antennas till the transmitter shut down. Or break the elements
off.
:
: Had several pics on the webpage last winter. Lotta shots of
ice on
: big commercial and government towers I serviced.
: Mebbe putem back next fall, need space for other stuff now.
:
:
: Good Signals... LCM

2702. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <37230A64.7580@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spot wrote:
>
> From the old fashioned attitudes I see in this thread, I can see why people don't renew their licenses
> or even get their license.

Old fashioned: Anything requiring an attention span of more than twenty seconds or having anything to do with putting in an amount of time to attain something. The term can also include anything having to do with tradition. See "hazing ritual", "lodge hall", "hoop jumping" and "old boy's club".

Out, damned Spot!

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

2703. Re: F/S Uniden scanner 450 A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjenk5749@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425084014.22458.00001232@ng97.aol.com>
Source record: 120986

I have yet to see Radio Shack sell one of these in my area.

All this radio is, is a BC 350A.

50 channels, no 800 mhz.

Nothing special.

2704. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmcdonld@thezone.net
Message-ID: <7g2o0p$ub7$1@nova.thezone.net>
Source record: 120687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I had to start another war, didn't I. I should have just metioned the software.

73, VO1CSM, Chris McDonald

2705. Re: UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990426180521.28727.00001487@ng17.aol.com>
Source record: 120688

>
>TandKMoran wrote:
>
>> UHF Military Air is 225-400, as opposed to VHF Civil Air, 108-135.975.
>Can you
>
> VHF aviation band = 108-137
>
>
>--
>Tim Tyler
>ttyler@mich.com
>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

Woops, yes, should have been 108.025 to 136.975, neither 108 nor 137 are allocated frequencies, forgot how the folks here love to split hairs. I find it interesting that while I have received dozens of e-mails with new freqs and updated info no one has contributed to the newsgroup. Could it be that anyone with anything of value to actually CONTRIBUTE has long since given up on this forum? One posting of value suggests checking FAA charts. Thanks, I used to be a DMA/FAA chart dealer. Take my word for it - it's a nice place to start, but all the freqs for the MOA's are not on the charts. BTW-108-118 is almost all nav stuff, beacons, ILS, etc, a few AWOS and ATIS outlets here and there.

Thanks for the help, Tim, it appears I didn't know where the VHF aero band was located.

WHAT ARE YOUR LOCALLY CONFIRMED ACTIVE MOA FREQUENCIES?
THATS WHAT THIS THREAD IS ABOUT!!! (YELLING)

Why is it that I suspect the majority of the posters in this newsgroup never actually to LISTEN to anything?

2706. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]>
Message-ID: <7g2p5mjd1@nikel.localnet>
Source record: 120689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

> you know the answer then don't you....dont go there !!

I don't - there shit !

Adios

--
Ush

2707. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]>
Message-ID: <7g2pfd$k1$1@nikel.localnet>
Source record: 120690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

> site content is what makes a good site !

Exactley. And wen that conten is ful of shittty spweling Misstakez it letz
it downe.

How can one assume the info given is correct, if you can't even get simple
grammer right first. Something YOU don't even have to do. You PC will do
it for you, sigh !

Still, your site, no loss to me, just pointing out that others might feel
the same, or fail to include you in there links etc because of this.

No wonder bloody Dixons keep pissing you off. Your attitude stinks ?

--
Ush

2708. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]>
Message-ID: <7g2ptjke1@nikel.localnet>
Source record: 120691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> To Ush!

Thats me !

> I always thought that this newsgroup was for scanning in the UK?

Err yes !

> Not an English language tutorial group.

Oh but its OK for Mr Eavesdropper to rant on about hoe a certain retailer type are pooh, and pulled the plug him, blah blah blah. What the bloody hell did that have to do with scanning. Sure the site was a scanning site, but the topic of discussion was far from it.

> Stop moaning,grow up and actually try contributing to this hobby,instead of
> sneering at people who are really trying to do just that.

If you were a little more grown up, you would have spotted the troll from me a million miles away, sigh !

:-)

--
Ush

2709. Re: MDT in the Bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nprange@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <aT5V2.2906$qu5.1545644@WReNphoon4>
Source record: 120692

Yes, I have been playing around with MDT in The S.F. Bay Area and been
having great results just using a simple data slicer or sound card input.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

2710. Frequency Database Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Stoudt <kstoudt@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3724ed0c@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 120693

Looking for a good Frequency Database Program.. I have been using Excel, but as my "database" grows, excel is not meeting some needs... Any recommendations....

Thanks

Kurt Stoudt
Kstoudt@ibm.net

2711. WANTED: AOR 2700 Manual/Instruction Booklet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hartbeat@greenepa.net
Message-ID: <7g2u04$dl6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120694

Hi,
I would like to purchase a copy of the operation/instruction/owner manual
that comes with the AOR 2700 Handheld Scanner. Thank you.

e-mail to: hartbeat@greenepa.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2712. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724efc3.1362604@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Replace CW with that!!!...:>)

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 18:43:15 GMT, "Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net>
wrote:

>
>Hey Joe,
>
>Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you other
>'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot even
>make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.
>
>
>Food for thought.
>
>

2713. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f001.1424316@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is a perfect replacement for CW....just hope I would be
'grandfathered'...:>)

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:10:02 -0500, "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
wrote:

>Homebrew a stand alone PSK31 decoder/keyboard yet? While you're at it,
>make it cheap enough and rugged enough to ship gratis to deprived
>economies. Should you get an honorary Extra license for doing this? Why
>not?
>
>I'm trying to see your point, but all I see is contentiousness.
>
>Michael.
>
>Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net> wrote in message
>news:01be8f4b$b719e7c0$8560b6cd@cbx-nt...
>>
>> Hey Joe,
>>
>> Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you
>other
>> 'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot
>even
>> make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.
>>
>>
>> Food for thought.
>>
>>
>

2714. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f066.1525703@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thank God someone got the point of my post.Thanks.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 19:57:43 GMT, quatsein@island.net (Steve Carlisle)
wrote:

>Joe, I agree with your post. I think a technical hurdle would be far
>more value to amatuer radio, than the antiquated morse hurdle.
>
>Steve Carlisle VE7AHL
>
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:31:58 GMT, unit77@mynet.net (Joe) wrote:
>
>>SOAP-BOX mode>on....
>>
>>The difference in Hams who had to pass the CW requirements of their
>>particular license class,and the people who would like to have a
>>license in the same class,is simply the Hams WORKED for what they
>>have.The others obviously dont want it bad enough.I got past the 13
>>wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW since,as I had to work
>>so hard at it,I grew to hate it!(it didnt come very easy for me) I
>>do agree however,that CW ...maybe..shouldnt be a requirement,but it
>>should be replaced with something that will separate the serious radio
>>ops from the curious.A good friend of mine,who is an MD,stated it
>>perfectly..."75% of the 'hell' I went thru in medical school was
>>designed to 'weed out' the students who werent dedicated enough to
>>become a Doctor." The same holds true for Amateur Radio,in that there
>>should always be some difficult 'hurdle' to overcome before obtaining
>>a license.This is by no means a perfect solution,but its the only one
>>we have at the moment.
>>
>>SOAP-BOX mode>off....
>>On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 17:39:26 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Exactly! This stubborn attitude will unfortunately be the death of Ham
>>>Radio!
>>>The attitude must change if there is any hope!
>>>
>>>> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>>>> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
>>>> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of
>>>> requirements
>>>> are very outdated.
>>
>

2715. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f0d4.1635288@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you feel so strongly against any difficulty in obtaining an Amateur
license,why not just buy a CB?Some people dont have your'gimme
something for nothing" attitude.In my opinion,Amateur Radio dosnt need
you.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 22:54:20 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
wrote:

>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 15:31:58 GMT, unit77@mynet.net (Joe) wrote:
>
>>The difference in Hams who had to pass the CW requirements of their
>>particular license class,and the people who would like to have a
>>license in the same class,is simply the Hams WORKED for what they
>>have.
>
>This sounds similar to the logic used by fraternities, some parts of
>the military, etc. for the continued practice of hazing: "WE got the
>crap beat out of us when we joined; we deserve to beat the poo-poo out
>of someone, too!"
>
>If knowing Morse code is actually USEFUL for something these days,
>then by all means keep the requirement. But if nobody uses it, why
>bother? Somehow, I don't see extracting a pound of flesh from new
>recruits as a worthwhile reason.
>
>>I got past the 13 wpm CW requirement in 91 and,have never used CW since
>
>So by your own admission, knowing code is useless.
>
>>[The code requirement] should be replaced with something that will separate the serious
radio
>>ops from the curious.A good friend of mine,who is an MD,stated it
>>perfectly..."75% of the 'hell' I went thru in medical school was
>>designed to 'weed out' the students who werent dedicated enough to
>>become a Doctor." The same holds true for Amateur Radio,in that there
>>should always be some difficult 'hurdle' to overcome before obtaining
>>a license.This is by no means a perfect solution,but its the only one
>>we have at the moment.
>
>Why are such "hurdles" necessary? One can kind of understand it with
>the medical profession - it pays extremely well, and it's in
>everyone's best interests to weed out the gold-diggers. However, ham
>radio is a hobby - it pays nothing. In fact, it *costs* you some
>pretty serious money! The only benefits of participating in it are

>those derived from the activity itself. If you're not "serious" about
>it (whatever that means) you'll get bored and find something else to
>do, i.e. you'll weed yourself out; won't you?
>
>For the record, I am not a ham radio operator, but am considering
>becoming one at some point. Thus, barriers to entry (and the rationale
>behind them) are of great interest to me.
>

2716. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f1cc.1884000@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My point exactly.Thanks.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:20:05 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>
>I am neither for keeping or eliminating the code. I will point out that
>thousands of DXers, ragchewers, QRP operators, Contesters and
>homebrewers operate on CW, some exclusively. CW is often referred
>to as the "gentleman's mode" in ham radio. Folks that claim that
>CW is outmoded and not used are not aware of the facts, and stating
>opinion rather that facts.
>
>"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed
>services such as CB. Note that the technical portion of the test
>is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted to build, design, align
>and
>adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any other
>class of radio service. Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some
>knowledge
>of the theory behind its operation.
>
>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
>exists on 27 MHz.
>
> Regards
>
>Pete
>
>
>>
>>If knowing Morse code is actually USEFUL for something these days,
>>then by all means keep the requirement. But if nobody uses it, why
>>bother? Somehow, I don't see extracting a pound of flesh from new
>>recruits as a worthwhile reason.
>>
>>Why are such "hurdles" necessary? One can kind of understand it with
>>the medical profession - it pays extremely well, and it's in
>>everyone's best interests to weed out the gold-diggers. However, ham
>>radio is a hobby - it pays nothing. In fact, it *costs* you some
>>pretty serious money! The only benefits of participating in it are
>>those derived from the activity itself. If you're not "serious" about
>>it (whatever that means) you'll get bored and find something else to

>>do, i.e. you'll weed yourself out; won't you?
>>
>>For the record, I am not a ham radio operator, but am considering
>>becoming one at some point. Thus, barriers to entry (and the rationale
>>behind them) are of great interest to me.
>>
>
>

2717. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f2cf.2142335@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Any one who has difficulty passing the General test is....lets be
nice......a bit slow.The test is a joke!Now the Advanced is a bit
more,but if I passed it,which I did on the first try in '91',anyone
who applies themselves can also.

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 16:31:53 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>Hello again Bill
>
>As I indicated it was a post in a similar thread on the
>RecRadioShortwave forum. Here is the item the I was
>referring to:
>
>>No, there should be no "beefing up" of tests either.
>>I'm having a hard enough time passing the General Test right now, I don't
>need
>>your help in screwing my over and making my odds less of getting my General
>License.
>
>
>Bill Sohl wrote in message <372433ba.530771@news.planet.net>...
>>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 22:04:40 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Greetings Billl
>>>If you check some comments made earlier (in this thread
>>>I believe) someone suggested eliminating the code but
>>>beefing up the theory portion of the exam--this raised more
>>>negative responses.
>>>
>>>Regardless what elements of the test that remain, you will
>>>continually have complainers--whether the subject is code,
>>>or not seeing the need to learn theory once the code barrier
>>>is lifted.
>>>Pete
>>
>>Sorry Pete, I've only seen the pro-code test side make
>>ANY suggestion that theory should be eliminated.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>>
>>

>>
>
>

2718. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3724f3aa.2361151@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would like to state ,here and now,for anyone who wants to read
this post,that I am not against No-code licensees.I know many who
entered the hobby as NCs and the vast majority of them are very good
ops and most went on to up-grade to the upper class licenses.I would
welcome eliminating the CW requirements altogether,but only when
provisions are made to replace CW with more advanced theory.Also,I
would like to see a probationary and mandatory training period (where
operating procedures are practiced ON THE AIR,under the supervision of
an 'Elmer') required for all new Amateur ops.

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 01:06:38 GMT, "Dan K Nelson" <kf6knb@usa.net>
wrote:

>CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>> << SNIP >>
>>"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed
>>services such as CB. Note that the technical portion of the test
>>is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted to build, design, align
>>and adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any
>other
>>class of radio service. Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some
>>knowledge of the theory behind its operation.
>>
>>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
>>exists on 27 MHz.
>>
>> Regards
>>
>>Pete
>
>
>And once all the smoke clears from the issue, the bottom line is just as you
>have stated.
>
>I am a "no-code tech" at the moment, but will be upgrading soon. I am
>working hard to get it done BEFORE the change takes place (if it does). I
>hope that if the changes do take place, that a person be allowed to request
>to take his exams under the old requirements, and that there be some
>differentiation in call signs between those who earned their licenses and
>those who got them in a box of Cracker Jacks.
>
>73 de KF6KNB
>

>
>
>

2719. Icom PCR 1000 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uKQmaxDk#GA.287@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As of 4/25/99. Item 96017841. 6mo. old

--
PAW PCR 1000 Icom $350
(W9JMN@Compuserve.com) Check our site for mikes, ham, video, etc
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/w9jmn

2720. Re: Staten Island , New York PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990426194528.24746.00000123@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 120703

yes,
122/123 482.5875
120 482.8875

73
Mike KC2CYE

2721. Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16518-3724F51F-5@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120704

Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of one. Any info appreciated.

2722. FILE SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <3724FC4E.6D56B877@ntr.net>
Source record: 120705

have fun..
http://users.ntr.net/~jwschn/decode.htm

2723. Re: scratches on scanner lcd window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7g2uqk$68t$1@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 120706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3724CB8F.557C@webdeals.net>, Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote:
>I read somewhere on here before about a way to get those little scuff
>marks and scratches of of the scanner display....could someone email me
>with this info. I just bought a used one with this problem. Please email
>me direct. I rarely check the newsgroups. To much bickering and whining
>on here!

Get some Novus, Meguars, or Blue Magic plastic polish. They all are marked as being able to polish out fine scratches.

Failing that, the "fine cut" paint polishes sold in auto stores, for removing swirl marks and fine scratches on clear coat finishes will be a good substitute.

Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz, Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.

Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder and more coarse abrasive particles.

2724. Does anyone have any California Mdt frequencys?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3724fd64@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 120707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does anyone have any California, Best is near Los Angeles, Alhambra, Rosemead, Covina around this area. Thanks...

2725. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karina Wright <karina@cafekarina.org>
Message-ID: <cx7V2.193$X75.499030@news.abs.net>
Source record: 120708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...

>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
>exists on 27 MHz.

I am on my way towards getting my no-code license because, I'm afraid,
that's as far as I will ever progress. I have tried for years to lear
Morse, but some glitch in my hearing or noggin or something will not let me
get the knack of it.

When I was little (30-something years ago), I used to be glued to my
step-dad's shortwave, sending postcards (I must have spent a forturne
sending cards around the world).... I desperately wanted to be part of that
world, I had a telegraph key to practice with, I specifically tuned into the
morse broadcasts so I could try to develop an ear for it...to no avail...it
just wouldn't happen. I dropped away from shortwave for years and have only
recently become aware that I now have an entry(albeit a limited one) into
the world of my youth.

I have nothing but admiration for those who can pick up morse code. I wish
I could.

ttfn/Karina

2726. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g3053$a20$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120709

Vic Martinez wrote in message
<16518-3724F51F-5@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
one. Any info appreciated.

Usually they're on 35.02, or the itinerant (dot and star) frequencies. Most of them will use one of these. The cool thing about them, as you'll discover, is that they can talk to each other on them without the customer hearing it, so when a real bozo comes thru the drive thru, they crack jokes back and forth. Plus, just listening to the idiots who come thru there daily is great comic relief - people asking what's on a cheeseburger, or haggling over the price of a big mac, etc. I never visit a McDonald's without the scanner - it's an endless source of entertainment.

"Would you like fries with that?" ;-)

-Phil

2727. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin Stoddart <stoddart@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <7g2v2acmt1@news.interlog.com>
Source record: 120710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

Yeah! Here I am, working my ass off pointing the stupid thing, when it's even CALLED a POINTER!!!!! Argh! Ohhhhhhhh... Fingers getting tired, better stop typing...

-Robin

Adam wrote in message ...
>In article <s_HU2.14015$D9.4053359@news2.mia>, "Jethro" <messmate@usa.net>
>wrote:
>
>> and what does it do..... just point ?
>
>No, you have to do that part. All the pointer does is output a beam of
>collimated light :-)
>
>-Adam

2728. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g31hadod1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William

I am sorry, but you need to read much more carefully.
I have stated SEVERAL times that I have no problem
with the elimination of the code test. What I DO have
a problem with is the assertion that CW is both "dead"
and "outmoded" on the Amateur Bands.

Secondly, William, please explain to me how increasing the
number of hams is going to do anything to save the bands
from commercial interests? What good was served by
the millions entering the CB ranks during the 70s? Did that
form a more disciplined and thriving service based on
shear numbers?

73

Peter

William Burrow wrote in message ...
>On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 16:26:15 -0400,
>CommQuart <commquart@erols.com> wrote:
>>No, it's more your implying that CW is outmoded and is no longer
>>used on the ham bands. Have you ever listened to the CW bands
>>during a contest, or DXpedition? Why is virtually every amateur kit
>>on the market QRP CW rigs? Have you ever listened for CW activity
>>period on the amateur CW bands?? Unfortunately, you still miss the
>>fact that thousands of hams, old and young, new licencees and old
>>timers, find CW an enjoyable mode to operate.
>
>Whenever reducing the requirement for CW is mentioned, the old guys get
>up in arms. Well, if the amateur community can't or won't listen to
>its few newbies, then don't bother getting het up -- the hobby is going
>to die with you anyway.
>
>Wireless usage is going up in the commercial world, and demand for
>bands is increasing. With no new amateur usage of amateur bands, the
>bands will be sold for something more productive.
>
>
>--
>William Burrow, VE9WIL -- New Brunswick, Canada o
>Copyright 1999 William Burrow ~ /\
> ~ ()>()

2729. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g31pkftj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Karina

I hope the cw requirement is sufficiently lowered or eliminated
so that you may enjoy amateur radio. CW should not be a
barrier to keep those who want to join the hobby to do so.

I know a lot of people who have the same problem learning CW;
and I agree with your observations. Please understand my comments
are directed to those who insist that code is outmoded and not being
used on the ham bands.

Peter

Karina Wright wrote in message ...
>CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>
>I am on my way towards getting my no-code license because, I'm afraid,
>that's as far as I will ever progress. I have tried for years to lear
>Morse, but some glitch in my hearing or noggin or something will not let me
>get the knack of it.
>
>When I was little (30-something years ago), I used to be glued to my
>step-dad's shortwave, sending postcards (I must have spent a forturne
>sending cards around the world).... I desperately wanted to be part of
that
>world, I had a telegraph key to practice with, I specifically tuned into
the
>morse broadcasts so I could try to develop an ear for it...to no avail...it
>just wouldn't happen. I dropped away from shortwave for years and have
only
>recently become aware that I now have an entry(albeit a limited one) into
>the world of my youth.
>
>I have nothing but admiration for those who can pick up morse code. I wish
>I could.
>
>ttfn/Karina
>
>

2730. REPOST due to rogue cancel; was "Not so nice about CW"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37250C8A.96EEBE1B@INVALID.com>
Source record: 120713

CommQuart wrote:

> Duh. I got my first license at age 14. Sorry to hear folks are so
stupid
> or lazy to learn something new these days.

You mean "learn something *old*." It's got as much usefulness as
learning
Latin. You'd get more bang for the buck learning to write in FORTRAN.
At least
you could milk the Y2K angle as a consultant. No chance doin' that with

learning code. I mean, not unless someone can find a way that paddles
wouldn't
be Y2K compliant.
Not bloody likely.

Face it.

Dit-dot-dash is dead dude.

Imagine the poor schmuck who picks up this craft. I don't mean the
operators
that rode HAM radio's heyday. I mean the poor bastard who takes on the
hobby
today. I mean seriously learning code. He's been studying, he passed
his
exam, and got himself a radio and he's a tapping away at home.

Imagine the pitiful attempts of impressing the occasional friend or
neighbor
with the enthusiast's hobby:

"It's right up here in this room" Melvin the CW fiend said.
"Oh,...that's a big radio" says his neighbor Bob.
"Oh yes" Melvin gushed, "it's a Yamiguchibosch DX 15^%4654654aaas and it
puts
out..." (Something about more heat than the surface of the sun).
"Neat" says Bob., "but I wonder if we can go get that week-eater I lent
you
last..."

"Hang on a sec Bob," Melvin interrupted, "Do you want to go on the air?"

"N-no, that's OK, I sure it......." stammered Bob.
"It's really a BLAST!" Melvin insisted.
"Well, I can see you're really into this..."

"It's the BEST Bob!" Melvin said, "Lemme get her fired up!"
"Really...No, I.."
"You're gonna love this" said Melvin.
"Well....Ok" said Bob.

Melvin directs Bob to a chair next to Melvin at a corner desk that filled with
stacks of radio equipment.

"You all set?" asks Melvin.
"Uh...yeah. Do I need a seatbelt?" asks Bob.

Melvin smiles appreciatively and begins to fly through his switching panels and
notates his log book with perfunctory glee. He takes a few deep breaths and
caresses his paddles with his forefinger and thumb before turning to Bob.

"Are ya *ready*?" Melvin asked.
"Well.....y-y-yeah." Bob said.
"Well then HERE WE GO!" and Melvin turns to his paddles and begins a tappin':
"tap-tap, tappity tap tap....."

Melvin adjusts the receiver while Bob sits and winces at the random bursts of
static resulting from Melvin's manic adjustments.

"Maybe I gotta do it again" Melvin said. (tap-tap, tappity tap tap.......)

Minutes pass by while Melvin sends out his greetings through the taps of his
paddles. Bob waits about ten minutes. No response from "tappity" land.

"Wow....Look at the Time!" Bob laments.
"Well hang on there buddy...someone will beep in here soon,.." Melvin says.
"Well, that's great Melvin, this radio is really great, but I'm kinda crunched
for time, you know what I mean?" asked Bob.
"This won't take much lon.."
"Oh,...you just go ahead and just "tap away" there you little rascal and you can
tell me about it sometime. But listen, I'm going down to your garage and get my
weed-eater and be on my way."
"Well...OK," sighs Melvin
"Real nice radio though." said Bob.
"Uh..thanks." said Melvin.
Bob's gone.

Ok, before you get your dandruff up, I know this'll generate a lot of responses
akin to "learning code is something you do for yourself." and "It's your hobby
and it's not a bout impressing other people, yada-yada", I understand that. But
you gotta admit, as far as popularity, code is dwindling to few and far between
in the States.

It not even something "handy" that might save your life one day....:

Imagine a scuba marine biologist surveying the marine life near the water
intakes off-shore of a nuclear power plant. The scuba diver gets sucked through
the pipes and ends up in an enclosed cooling water tank inside the nuclear
plant.

But since this particular scuba diver took the time and patience to learn CODE!,
he might have a way out. Just like in the movies!

I don't care how good you are at code, the only message you're gonna transmit to
the guy standing outside the tank is:

"Hey!, there's somebody knocking on the inside of this tank!"

That's 'cause he doesn't know code! And neither does the fire and rescue team
that is summoned to the site. So you can hang up communicating with these
guys. You've already communicated all they're gonna figure out. The most
you'll get back from these guys is "a shave ana haircut.....TWO---BITS!!"

So the way I figure it, as long as you can bang on something metallic, you
already know all the code you need to know.

Just my two-bits.

2731. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37250F88.83840F03@INVALID.com>
Source record: 120714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett wrote:

> To Ush!
> I always thought that this newsgroup was for scanning in the UK?
> Not an English language tutorial group.
> Christ some of you lot are so pathetic,the next thing you will be moaning
> about
> will be the punctuation.

Just a little heads-up gentle readers:

When a British subject advises you that you're "nit-picking" another's use of the English language; You're "nit-picking". No room left for doubt.

(However, when a Frog is critical about your use of his language, feel free to be rude, they get off on that stuff. Really.)

2732. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7g34f1hog1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 120715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Graham <notmy@real.address> wrote in message
news:M52V2.51$oi7.636@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Archaic mode of communication? Human beings have been using voice
> (spoken language) far longer than CW to communicate!
>
> When looked at closely CW is a rather sophisticated communications
> system. Like computer communicatons it is a binary character driven
> system. But unlike computer communications it has variable length characters
> not limited to a fixed 1 start bit 8 data bits 1 (or 1.5 or 2 stop bits) AND
> is understandable by (through training and skill development) a human being.

You seem to be confusing "complicated" and "inefficient" with
"sophisticated" ... there have been many posts here (check
DejaNews under Gary Coffman for some excellent ones) outlining
that the variable length characters of Morse are a major reason
why it suffers a performance penalty of at least 9 dB relative
to simple BFSK (Binary Frequency Shift Keying) ... and BFSK is
certainly not the most efficient modulation scheme available.

- --
Carl - wa6vse
wa6vse@fast.net
http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse
NCI #1052
Join No Code International!
Hams for the 21st century.
Support the modernization of ham radio and help
to assure its survival into the 21st century!
http://www.nocode.org

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBNyUU/Je+N6+q84HiEQK2OgCg+qWn9E1iKiUDJamvp7qXDAtZWYkAoO+K
GV8DtHVcBS5Se7lIRVAlrBj7
=RykI
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2733. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37251A17.5D82@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120716

Vic Martinez wrote:
>
> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
> one. Any info appreciated.

Have a look at the following URL:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

2734. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <925161323.355874@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 120717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Oh..."hush"....ush.

Digitaal

Ush wrote in message <7g2p5mjd1@nikel.localnet>...
>EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>> you know the answer then don't you....dont go there !!
>
>I don't - there shit !
>
>Adios
>
>--
>Ush

2735. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <rm9V2.6755$8m5.11223@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 120718

BurtonS961 wrote in message
<19990426215235.05830.00000880@ngol07.aol.com>...

>Could it be a "STING"?

Probably not. Spamming the same request into the newsgroup as many times as this clown did would be pretty strong evidence of an attempt at entrapment if done by a government agent. I tend to believe that the whole thing is just another act of ignorance.

2736. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rcmj@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3725828b@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 120719

Just received a MVT-7100 by mail and it wasn't opened. Took 30 days to arrive. I will buy my next radio from them.

Jason <ijas@hs.com> wrote in message news:371F09C6.1554D0EE@hs.com...
> I new to the hobby, and I'm thinking about buying a scanner from
> Bandercom (www.bander.com), and I have a few questions.
>
> 1) The radio that I am looking at is the Yupiteru MVT-9000. How is this
> radio? has anyone had any problems?
>
> 2) How reliable is Bandercom? How is their;
>
> a) service
>
> b) shipping
>
> Thanks for all the help!
>
>
>
>

2737. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g39rhkb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ha ha ha... Good one! You got me there.

Peter

Anonymous wrote in message <199904270138.DAA02220@mail.replay.com>...
>CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
>Well, if it weren't for CB in the 70's we wouldn't have had the song
>"Convoy". That alone was worth it.
>
>
>"...so I crashed the gate doing 98
>I said let those truckers roll, 10-4"
>
>
>C.W. McCall didn't, perhaps, get his name from CW, did he? (His real
>name was Bill Fries).
>
>

2738. Re: FS: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118ee098fb9dcb01989753@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120721

Funny, I sold my 8200 and am keeping my R2!

2739. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118ee06f6488e524989752@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120722

Sorry to offend but Jim you are full of #%$@. the R2 is one of the best handheld scanners ever conceived. It is more sensitive than anything currently on the market (in otherwords hears things others simply do not) and has superb audio, not to mention it's incredible battery life and low price. The PRO-43 (I owned one) isn't even in the same league. Frankly it doesn't need a outdoor antenna anyway so why bother.

2740. Re: RS Pro-51 problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: pBLOCKworrell@cris.com
Message-ID: <372637ba.329312@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 120723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 01:31:42 GMT, sfritts@icx.net (Steve Fritts)
wrote:

>
>>
>> Don't know how you've used your scanner, but sounds like the
>> batteries are going dead...or a circuitry problem. Mine has
>> done this, so I just turn it off...press manual, 2 & 9, and
>> turn it back on. It restores the scanner to original condition.
>> By the way...there is a keyboard procedure for securing those
>> out-of-band frequencies, including cellular.
>
>What is that procedure????

I think it's 2,9, and lockout when powering up the scanner. It clears most of the memories, and reprograms the first couple bands with test frequencies, some of which are out of band. From there you can search up and down, and even program the frequencies into memory via your monitor memory. The newer Pro-51's do not have that test mode.

The frequencies that were reprogrammed into mine were quite different, however. Does anyone know if maybe this could be the internal watch battery going dead? It only seems to happen when there is a problem with the battery case making good contact.
-Dave
*** To Reply via E-Mail, remove the BLOCK from my
Address! Thank you and goodnight! ***

2741. Voice Inversion Descrambling Links Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <sp@m.not>
Message-ID: <925185218.437.13@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120724

I am looking for plans to build a self contained voice inversion descrambler. Any links or info is much appreciated.

Thanks in advance,
Rich

2742. Re: Voice Inversion Descrambling Links Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37253CAE.D276DD7F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120725

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/software.htm

Rich wrote:

> I am looking for plans to build a self contained voice inversion
> descrambler. Any links or info is much appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Rich

2743. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12202-37253C63-116@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120726

dont mean to be rude...but what are you going to do, monitor happy
meals? *grin* or perhaps order them to go, for quicker pick up?
but seriously i saw a site recently, and ill try to find it for you.

2744. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bradley <event@triumvirat.net>
Message-ID: <925190401.277.13@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120727

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.118ee06f6488e524989752@news.earthlink.net...
> the R2 is one of the best

Yes, but he was talking about the R-1.

2745. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12425-37254C11-116@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120728

i agree with mickys post, most of the biggest jammers ive heard are
extra class operators.

2746. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9%cV2.6675$6B.159294@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 120729

It's (semi) legal for reporters to listen. In fact, it's just another thing reporters get to slide on, even though it IS illegal for them, too, to use what they hear for gain... which is what they're doing. It's NOT legal for them to pay YOU to listen FOR them. At least one person who set up an 'I'll monitor for you media types, for pay' business got busted under the federal 'no reveal' law for this.

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message news:37254ed5.10728603@news...

> On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:07:23 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
> wrote:
>
> >Not if this is for monitoring for a media outlet. Traffic reporters and news
> >reporters use scanners and listeners at the station to relay breaking stories
> >all the time and this is legal under the 1st Amendment freedom of the press,
>
> Then how come that journalist in CA got convicted for listening in to
> a cellphone conversation?
>

2747. what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12203-37256053-63@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120730

i recently aquired a scanner that goes to the 2 GHz area. my question is what is there to listen to up there, and is there a list of freqs anyone can share with me? So. Cal. area

73

2748. Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Conqueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3726276f.0@news.gulliver.fr>
Source record: 120731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.

-Dan K3DRQ

k3drq@qsl.net

2749. Re: HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Conqueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37262771.0@news.gulliver.fr>
Source record: 120732

ITS ILLEGAL.

I have capabilities since Pro-66 is an older scanner, but I dont listen to
it. Besides, it's boring.

Dan K3DRQ
Greg <greg_larson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7fk0it$s3u$3@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??
>
> I have a Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner, and I would like
> to know the exact frequencies that the 900mhz telephones
> transmit in.
>
> Are they different than the freqs between 46.00 - 49.00mhz?
> Or or the 900 freqs scrambled in some way?
> And can my modified 2006 scanner pick them up?
>
> Any information or help would be greatly appreciated!
>
>
> Greg Larson
>
> greg_larson@hotmail.com
>
>

2750. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6ROY & Mary KC6WYC <w6roy@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3724833E.A59@pacbell.net>
Source record: 120737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spot wrote:
>
> You are implying that you have a right to set a standard value over all HAM wannabees
> and then have a right to deem a certain amount of work to meet that value.
> As you know, the FCC is the only one who has a right to set these values and the amount of work
> needed, not you.
> Sit there and get upset all you want, resent us for things being easy, but the fact is, FCC will be dropping
> code from the requirements in less than 3 years and will also be updating its tests to reflect modern times instead
> of their current tests which are geared more for those who wanted to became HAMS in the 1950's - 1970's.
>
> > Ya.. Lots of people have lost the "gotta work for what you get" attitude
> > nowdays... That's why freebanders are so common.
And, mark my words, that's the day I turn in my ticket and my VE
certification. And, 'SPOT' (I called one of my dog's Spot once),
most of the advances in electronics technology came from the ranks
of the Amateurs, who were experimenting with things beyound your wildest
dream when you were but spit on your father's mouth.

Don

2751. PCR 1000 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruggero Rissone <Ruggero.Rissone@cselt.it>
Message-ID: <37248090.965A9BF1@cselt.it>
Source record: 120738
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I'm looking for ICOM PCR1K's Service Manual...

Thanks in advance

2752. Re: CREATING NEW NEWGROUP?/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_phree@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37248576.78313835@news.erols.com>
Source record: 120739

Yes, but first I recommend you learn not to post off-topic.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 19:51:49 -0400, "Rick Eckert" <DADECK@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>
>DOES ANYONE KNOW HOW TO CREATE A NEW NEWGROUP?/
>

2753. Re: RS Pro-51 problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Orshan <sdo@beasys.com>
Message-ID: <372488EA.2846F61C@beasys.com>
Source record: 120740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dave of PL, MN" wrote:
>
> I have a Radio Shack Pro-51 that I've had for about 5 years.
> It has been a great scanner to me. Last night, when I turned it on,
> suddenly it had gotten stupid on me. Most of the frequencies that I
> have programmed in were changed, some were frequencies that the
> scanner doesn't cover, such as 104.7000. About half of the
> frequencies changed, and the other half are still intact. Could this
> be a one time glitch, or is it time to get myself another scanner?
> -Dave
> *** To Reply via E-Mail, remove the BLOCK from my
> Address! Thank you and goodnight! ***

I'll agree with the other poster, that it could be a power problem. My PRO-51 started losing its memory whenever I would connect it to external power. Probably a brief cutoff when switching from battery to external.

Since then, I've stopped using the power jack. I'd rather use up batteries than lose the memory.

I haven't had any problems when changing batteries, though.

Scott

2754. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: frank@antares.ing.unipi.it
Message-ID: <7g21d1$ba2$1@pisanino.unipi.it>
Source record: 120741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spot (spot@dog.org) wrote:

: The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell =
: to
: become a HAM, so should everyone else".
: This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of =
: requirements
: are very outdated.

I'm an HAM and also an electronic engineer. I'm also not that old since
I'm 28. I didn`t go "through hell" to learn CW, it`s just easy.
The point is that if one doesn`t have enough patience to learn a simple
and slow CW ability he probably will just end up buying expensive rigs
with tons of watt and burn antennas with them saying probably that this
new 1KW ampli is just great to talk with its neighbours.
This is not the ham spirit imho.
With 10 watts you can work the world.

73
Francesco IW8QPI

2755. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Captain <captorb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37249078.F176472D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I went for my General upgrade tests yesterday, passed the written, failed the code. Oh well, just have to practice a little more on the code and soon I'll pass it.

So Tom, here's the irony, you know radio theory but didn't master an archaic mode of communication?!?!? I don't think that should prevent you from enjoying a hobby. BTW, was this CW test multiple choice or fill-in. I'm finding that ARRL-sponsored VECs like the fill-in. This is much harder than the multiple choice where you can choose the correct call sign, QTH, if you get part of the code right. Try a W5YI group that uses multiple choice questions. One of these days the ARRL VECs will enter the 20th Century as the rest of the world enters the 21st.
BTW, I'm a tech plus working on the general CW only to shut up the pro-coders. Sorry for this long .02 cents.

2756. Very motivated seller--equipment must be moved!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: durango408@aol.comhome
Message-ID: <19990426120542.10444.00002042@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 120743

Equipment must be moved soon!!
Very motivated seller!!
Please make an offer on all or part, all offers seriously considered.

Carl Schlueter
durango408@aol.com

NEC - All racks have combiners

4 - Racks with two TG-6-G2400-500 TR
1 - NAR501R Supervisory & Control
2 - 92770A Amp
4 - Racks with two TG-6-G2400-500 TR
1 - SCI 500A if switch
1 - Rack with two SCB 500 Baseband Switch
1 - Double rack with two TG-6-G2400-500 TR
2 - 92770A Amp
2 - NAS 501A Service Bay
1 - Rack with two MD 2400-500A MOD-DEMOD
1 - NAS 501A Service Bay
7 - Racks with two TG-6-G2400-500A TR
1 - SCI 500B Switch
2 - 92770A Amp
1 - NEC AT 1755 NAL Test Unit (3' High)
2 - NEC 92531A Briefcase Test Modem Sets
3 - Racks with one MD2400-500 MOD-DEMOD
1 - SCB500 Base Band Switch
4 - Racks with two TG6-G2400-500 TR
2 - 92770A Amp
1 - Combiner Network (all have)
1 - Rack with one TG6-G2400-500 TR
1 - 92770A Amp
2 - Racks one NAR 501R Supervisory Control
1 - NAS 501A Service Bay
1 - Rack with two TG-6-G2400-500TR
1 - NAS 501A Service Bay
1 - Rack with two TG-6-G2400-500 TR
2 - 92770A Amp
1 - NAS 501 Service Bay
1 - Rack with one NAR 501R Supervisory Control
3 - N??? Fuse and Alarm
1 - Rack with one SCB-500 Baseband Switch
1 - Rack with one SCB-500
1 - NAS 501R Supervisory Control
1 - NAV 501A Switchover Control

1 - Loose Baseband Switch -Spare-
Many misc. NEC spare, including Amp etc.
10 - AYDIN Computer and extra cards
8 - HLT Transmission Test sets 41-01
2 - Tectronic C-30 Scope Camera
15 - General signal Warren chargers
230 volt high capacity
1 - Phillips PM3215V Dual Occilliscope 50MHZ
2 - AWA SG1100 Audio Test Sets
1 - HP 3400A RMS Voltmeter (Rack)
1 - HP 3782B Error Detector
1 - HP 3763A Error Detector
1 - HP 3762A Data Generator
3 - HP 3743 IF Amp
1 - KROHM - HITE 3700 Filter
1 - Graphite WR 3701 Line Recorder
2000' MIC Spec RG-11 Type Cable
2 - Racks Farinon 55 6000 each with 6ghz TR
Set and MOD-DEMOD and Combiner
2 - Barringer Telecom 11 GHZ TR inc EF Data Mox (1-complete HOP)
Much more-Dishes etc.
6 GHZ Wave Guide, Connectors Flex Guide etc.
3 - 6' Dishes - Andrew
2 - 4' Prot Dishes- Andrew 21 Gig
All working when removed
All in 19" racks, All NEC
Has Combiners to Single Port

Carl Schlueter
Durango408@aol.com

2757. Re: PCR 1000 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <37249010.8281B284@accesscom.com>
Source record: 120744
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I purchased one from ICOM parts and service.

The are located in the state of Washington.

Regards

Ed

Ruggero Rissone wrote:
>
> I'm looking for ICOM PCR1K's Service Manual...
>
> Thanks in advance

2758. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925144360.335.89@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just out of curiosity, and nothing mean about this: how old are you, what are you studying, or what do you do for a living? I'm a software engineer, a wizard at the height of my powers at 39 years.

I suggest if you find yourself in front of me at your slow pace of acceptance, you make sure there's passing room. This old fart's likely to run you flat.

Michael.

Spot <spot@dog.org> wrote in message news:0A2A75556851F08C.C567D66CE51D8624.1D2BDE77F5C61C63@library-proxy.airnews.net...
Remember, it takes a pretty screwed-up, illogical person to enjoy CW in the first place
as all they have to do is pick up a microphone.
This explains why we have alot of oddballs in here with screwed up, old fashioned thinking,
which is completely in reverse with the rest of the world.
Thank goodness that most of these people are dying out of old age.
For those who carry the carry this torch of illogical thinking, get out of the world's fast
lane and move aside as you are holding up everyone.

2759. Re: PCR 1000 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Sherry" <RSherry@metricom.com>
Message-ID: <372493AD.786@metricom.com>
Source record: 120746
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> I purchased one from ICOM parts and service.
>
> The are located in the state of Washington.
>
> Regards
>
> Ed
>
> Ruggero Rissone wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for ICOM PCR1K's Service Manual...
> >
> > Thanks in advance
test
```

2760. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aV0V2.1004$Nt.785@stones>
Source record: 120747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> Err yes. I fin a sit ful of speling misstakes orible.
>
> But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
> good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
> then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)
>
> Besides, I would find hitting the F7 key (or whatever you use) to run
> the pages through a spell checker a simple few second job, sigh !
>
> Chow
>
> --
> Ush

you know the answer then don't you....dont go there !!

eavsdropper

2761. Universal M8000 Decoder FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g27au$ok5$1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Universal M8000 v 7.5 Decoder for sale see
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0087.html for details
In Excellent condition 775.00 shipped to the 48
Apx 1 year old Box and Manual included
--
=====================================================================
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Columbus, Ohio USA

Drake R7, R8B & ICOM R8500
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
Decoders: Universal M8000
=====================================================================
```

2762. Icom R8500 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g27eq$oq9$1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Icom R8500 for sale in excellent Condition
see http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/1850.html for info
875.00 shipped to the 48
--

--
==========================================================================
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Columbus, Ohio USA

Drake R7, R8B & ICOM R8500
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
Decoders: Universal M8000
==========================================================================
```

2763. AOR AR8200 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g27h7oqi1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

AOR AR8200 FS In Excellent condition
apx 4 months old
295.00 Shipped to the 48

--
W. Charles Alexander
KIPCO INC.
Columbus, Ohio USA
614-487-9910

2764. R10 & Scout FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g27ouorg1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Icom R10 with program, and cable. AND Optoelectronics Scout
Scout is 3 months old and like new
Icom R10 (Canada) is apx 2 years old.
Has 1 small "ding" on left front side, other wise in super cond.

550.00 shipped to the 48.

--
==========
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Columbus, Ohio USA

Drake R7, R8B & ICOM R8500
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
Decoders: Universal M8000
==========

2765. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Jj1V2.1239$Ff.968@wards>
Source record: 120752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> Err yes. I fin a sit ful of speling misstakes orible.
>
> But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
> good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
> then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)
>
> Besides, I would find hitting the F7 key (or whatever you use) to run
> the pages through a spell checker a simple few second job, sigh !
>
> Chow
>

you know the answer then don't you

dont go there if you dont like, anyway personally I have seen loads of
sites that are full of wonderful graphics, java, scripting etc and have
wonderfull spell checking....and then don't offer anything that is of any
use what so ever....site content is what makes a good site !

eavsdropper

2766. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wood <timfy@timfy.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7g28hh$7oh$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I fully agree....are some people here only interested in finding little,
twatty things wrong and ignoring the big picture?

Give me bad spelling and good site content anyday...

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message ...
>
>> Err yes. I fin a sit ful of speling misstakes orible.
>>
>> But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
>> good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
>> then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)
>>
>> Besides, I would find hitting the F7 key (or whatever you use) to run
>> the pages through a spell checker a simple few second job, sigh !
>>
>> Chow
>>
>you know the answer then don't you
>
>dont go there if you dont like, anyway personally I have seen loads of
>sites that are full of wonderful graphics, java, scripting etc and have
>wonderfull spell checking....and then don't offer anything that is of any
>use what so ever....site content is what makes a good site !
>
>eavsdropper
>
>

2767. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7g29ub$q3b$1@east43.supernews.com>
Source record: 120754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <37249078.F176472D@hotmail.com>, The Captain <captorb@hotmail.com> wrote:
>I went for my General upgrade tests yesterday, passed the written,
>failed the code. Oh well, just have to practice a little more on the
>code and soon I'll pass it.
>-----
>So Tom, here's the irony, you know radio theory but didn't master an
>archaic mode of communication?!?!? I don't think that should prevent you
>from enjoying a hobby. BTW, was this CW test multiple choice or fill-in.
>I'm finding that ARRL-sponsored VECs like the fill-in. This is much
>harder than the multiple choice where you can choose the correct call
>sign, QTH, if you get part of the code right. Try a W5YI group that uses
>multiple choice questions. One of these days the ARRL VECs will enter
>the 20th Century as the rest of the world enters the 21st.
>BTW, I'm a tech plus working on the general CW only to shut up the
>pro-coders. Sorry for this long .02 cents.

The CW written was fill in, which makes it harder if you don't copy enough.
I didn't copy enough info to pass it. My MAIN problem was that they sent the
code at about 17-18wpm but the spaceing was a bit wider than I practiced.
Now if I was copying fine at 13 home and they send at 16-18, that's a problem
to me. If people are practiceing at 13 home and they go for this test and hear
it sent FASTER most will probably fail, unless they can copy 18-20wpm home
first. I agree about your comment on it shouldn't stop me from
upgrading but that's the way it is right now so.....I'll keep at it. I'm not
waiting for the restructureing, who knows when that will take place.

73,
Tom

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

2768. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <notmy@real.address>
Message-ID: <M52V2.51$oi7.636@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Archaic mode of communication? Human beings have been using voice
(spoken language) far longer than CW to communicate!

When looked at closely CW is a rather sophisticated communications
system. Like computer communicatons it is a binary character driven
system. But unlike computer communications it has variable length characters
not limited to a fixed 1 start bit 8 data bits 1 (or 1.5 or 2 stop bits) AND
is
understandable by (through training and skill development) a human being.

I like CW and am quite proficient in that skill but I do agree that it is
time to
move on and drop the requirement. However I do feel that more emphasis
should
be placed on the technical requirements to obtain a license.

Cheers, Graham VE3GHM

The Captain wrote in message <37249078.F176472D@hotmail.com>...
>I went for my General upgrade tests yesterday, passed the written,
>failed the code. Oh well, just have to practice a little more on the
>code and soon I'll pass it.
>-----
>So Tom, here's the irony, you know radio theory but didn't master an
>archaic mode of communication?!?!? I don't think that should prevent you
>from enjoying a hobby. BTW, was this CW test multiple choice or fill-in.
>I'm finding that ARRL-sponsored VECs like the fill-in. This is much
>harder than the multiple choice where you can choose the correct call
>sign, QTH, if you get part of the code right. Try a W5YI group that uses
>multiple choice questions. One of these days the ARRL VECs will enter
>the 20th Century as the rest of the world enters the 21st.
>BTW, I'm a tech plus working on the general CW only to shut up the
>pro-coders. Sorry for this long .02 cents.

2769. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Kh2V2.237$Ke7.9569@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote in ...
> OK, it's your ball. Just simply that such obvious mistakes make the site
> look like a load of dogs do do. They have to be obvious for me to spot
them,
> as my spelling isn't that hot.

Daave,
That free software works great though, durn't it.

Ever heard that saying to do with looking at a gift horse?

Pay my airfare and I will travel over and straighten Ian's
spelling out.

Site looks phine to this illiterate scannerist. I can't read
aniway.

Thonks,
Glennn

2770. FS: Radio Shack Pro-2037 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliff@rtd.com
Message-ID: <3724ae99.1299486@news.rtd.com>
Source record: 120757

200 ch. base scanner, like new, w/all accessories + box.

$100 + shipping

cliff@rtd.com

2771. wacars 0.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <notmy@real.address>
Message-ID: <Jd2V2.57$oi7.337@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120758

hello all,

I have been trying out wacars v0.7 and have run into a minor problem.

I can receive and decode Acars data without trouble but I am using NT v4.0
and the program hangs up after about an hour. It seems that wacars being a
win31
program runs in a NTVDM under NT and that there is a "memory leak"
somewhere.
I run out of virtual memory after about an hour.

Now, the program works real well otherwise but is there a fix or just
something I have
to live with?

Cheers, Graham

2772. FS: Radio Shack Pro-2045 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliff@rtd.com
Message-ID: <3724af36.1455800@news.rtd.com>
Source record: 120759

200 ch. base scanner, like new, w/ all accessories + box

Nice unit, has weather alert, rotary tuning knob, auto store + more.

$150 + shipping

cliff@rtd.com

2773. Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seednet <randy@ms13.url.com.tw>
Message-ID: <7g2c4r$f4q$1@news.seed.net.tw>
Source record: 120760
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the scanner.
But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the parallel port and scsi .
Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about them?
mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
whis god

2774. Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seednet <randy@ms13.url.com.tw>
Message-ID: <7g2cevffj1@news.seed.net.tw>
Source record: 120761
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the scanner.
But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the parallel port and scsi .
Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about them?
mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
whis god

2775. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7g2dspefd0@208.207.71.142>
Source record: 120762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
sorry Pete, I've only seen the pro-code test side make
> ANY suggestion that theory should be eliminated.
>

Gete it right, Slick What was said was that it makes as
much sense to
get rid of written testing as it does all code testing. But
then, they've *already* gotten rid of most written testing,
except for the formality of learning which answers go with
what questions.

Dick W0EX

2776. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <37249c2d.14709666@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 120763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Apr 1999 09:32:12 +0100, Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]nikel.co.uk> wrote:

>Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>> i dont worry about things like that, it is not a commercial page and i do
>>> not have the time to fart around with the orrible spell checkers i have in
>>> my software they take to long :-)
>
>> OK, it's your ball. Just simply that such obvious mistakes make the site
>> look like a load of dogs do do. They have to be obvious for me to spot them,
>> as my spelling isn't that hot.
>
>Err yes. I fin a sit ful of speling misstakes orible.
>
>But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
>good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
>then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)
>
>Besides, I would find hitting the F7 key (or whatever you use) to run
>the pages through a spell checker a simple few second job, sigh !

Can't be bothered to use a spell checker or look
it up in a dictionary.

'Let the bloody reader sort it out, I can't be bothered'.

--
Regards, Jim. | |
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | ---o--- Jill Dando.
dynastic%cwcom.net | |
nordland%lineone.net | | 26 April, 1999.
marula%zdnetmail.com |
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

2777. Re: Callsigns for US Gov't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g2evj$4d4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Randall Buxton wrote in message <7g0kvp$db0@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>...
>What site, if any, on the Internet can I find callsigns for US Gov't radio
>sites ?????
>
You won't find any official listing, we have Ronald Reagan to thank for
that. All government radio data, including callsigns, is issued by the IRAC,
not the FCC and is therefore not public record.

However, Tom Kneitel's Top Secret registry book has some callsign data that
others have been able to verify. It might be a little dated, but it's better
than nothing.

-Phil

2778. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g2epe$476$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3723dd87.910398@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>Even though I live in Australia I am discusted at the US governments
>plans to regulate radio scanners further.

I actually find them almost laughable. The only thing that I really like about them is the image requirements - I hate having to listen to pagers and other nonsense on valid public safety frequencies - hopefully the new reg's will do something about that.

>
>The new regulations do not include manualy tuned receivers. Therefore
>I hope to see new manualy tuned receivers expressly made and sold for
>the purpose of cellular phone reception. The new regulations define
>a scanning receiver as one which can automaticly scan two or more
>channels and automatically stop on a signal. So a receiver which
>scans all cellular channels and which displays channels in use
>without stopping on them would be exempt. The user could manualy
>stop the scan and tune to the displayed channel.

This would only ensure future regulations to cover those receivers as well. Besides, such a device would be almost impossible to use.

>
>I suppose the regulators will crack down on web sites that show how
>to modify scanners. However, the law only applies to the USA. People
>outside of the USA should be free to have web pages explaining how
>to circumvent the new laws. Kits for converters are prohibited from
>sale in the US but there is nothing to stop a non US website from
>providing details for building converters from readily available
>parts.
True, but the new laws state tat the use of these devices, and the act of modifying your scanner is illegal. This is the laughable part - how do they propse to enforce such a law? Unless someone divulges what they have heard, a violation of the EXISTING laws, no one will be the wiser...

>
>I hope to see protest rallies against the new laws as they are against
>your constitutional rights.

Well, don't hold your breath. Americans like to talk alot, but action isn't one of our strong points. The FCC also listened to Yaesu regarding constitutional rights and found no supporting text in the Constitution that could be used to legalize cellular capable scanners.

>
>The regulators are fools if they think they can prevent people from
>listening to clear unencrypted radio signals.
I agree, but then we already knew they were fools anyhow. ;-)

>
>I would like to see people with legal knowledge going over the
>regulations to see what loopholes can be found. Perhaps the test
>instrument definition can be used to get unblocked scanners into
>the hands of the public.

Well, that's the funny thing. Under the new rules, your car radio, TV set, cordless phone, some CB radios, most Ham radios, and (this is hysterical to me) your CELLULAR phone are all scanners. So, to be in complete compliance with the new rules, a cell phone cannot legally receive or be modified to receive the cellular band. Ha, ha, ha..... Did I just hear Homer Simpson say "D'OH!" This is just what the CTIA deserves.... I love it. Look for a quick amendment to exclude cell phones from the new reg's. (Did I mention how easy it is to modify an old cellphone so that you can scan (and follow!) calls, grab ESN's and all sorts of other wonders?) If they exclude cell phones from the new reg's then one can LEGALLY use a cell phone to listen in! Hilarious! The proverbial catch-22.

Personally, I think the goverment should have left well enough alone. As a cellular phone customer myself, I have no problem with anyone listening in on my cell phone provided that they keep what they hear to themselves. Should one of my conversations make it into the local paper or something, then I'll just sue the person who broke the law by disclosing that information, and let the feds take care of the rest. Simple.

-Phil

2779. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7g2g2f$e16$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

To Ush!
I always thought that this newsgroup was for scanning in the UK?
Not an English language tutorial group.
Christ some of you lot are so pathetic,the next thing you will be moaning about
will be the punctuation.
When I go to a scanning website like Eavsdroppers,Im more concerned with the actual content and with Ians case,the excellent software it has on it.
If you immediately leave a site because of poor spelling,then you obviously need to get a life.If you had bothered to actually look at the site,the you would see it
was a well put together and very informative site.
Its ok knocking sites,but I would like to see you do better!
Stop moaning,grow up and actually try contributing to this hobby,instead of sneering
at people who are really trying to do just that.

Carl M.

2780. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <3724C34E.E74281A6@t-online.de>
Source record: 120767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Tim Wood schrieb:
>
> I fully agree....are some people here only interested in finding little,
> twatty things wrong and ignoring the big picture?
>
> Give me bad spelling and good site content anyday...

Agree 100%

> >> But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
> >> good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
> >> then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)

hahahahahaha

To EAVSDROPPERS:
Ian, write in German or French :)

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

2781. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <7g2gku$sc9$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 120768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom,

The Farnsworth method holds the character speed at 18 per minute, but varies the spacing to whatever the wpm rate is. The transition from 5 to 13 to 18 wpm is easier, as the sounds are the same. I can't tell by ear much difference between 18 and 20 cpm, so the transition then should be quite easy also. Since you can already copy 13 wpm, you only need to get used to the character sounds at the faster speed. You have the same amount of time to "decode" the tones to letters. If it helps, many of the learn-morse-code software support Farnsworth.

ARRL tests use ARRL tapes, which have the same character rate as word speed. You might find this easier, but they use fill-in tests, not multiple choice.

Michael.

<Tom@astro.net> wrote in message
news:7g29ub$q3b$1@east43.supernews.com...
> The CW written was fill in, which makes it harder if you don't copy
enough.
> I didn't copy enough info to pass it. My MAIN problem was that they
sent the
> code at about 17-18wpm but the spaceing was a bit wider than I
practiced.
> Now if I was copying fine at 13 home and they send at 16-18, that's a
problem
> to me. If people are practiceing at 13 home and they go for this test
and hear
> it sent FASTER most will probably fail, unless they can copy 18-20wpm
home
> first. I agree about your comment on it shouldn't stop me from
> upgrading but that's the way it is right now so.....I'll keep at it.
I'm not
> waiting for the restructureing, who knows when that will take place.
>
> 73,
> Tom
>
>
> Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)
>
> Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10

> tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>
> My Astronomy/Ham radio site:
http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html
>

2782. REQ: Pro-66 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Conqueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3724bd60.0@news.gulliver.fr>
Source record: 120769

Where can I find Pro-66 legal mods?

-Dan K3DRQ

k3drq@qsl.net

2783. Scanner 4$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3724C727.141B@concentric.net>
Source record: 120770

Radio Shack 300 channel, 800mhz, trunking scanner, paid 269.00 sell for
150.00. hardly used, still in box.

--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

2784. 900mhz 2way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3724C7F7.177A@concentric.net>
Source record: 120771

Selling 5 Kenwood 900mhz handhelds. Only 1 year old, all excellent condition. Programmable to your area. Paid 575 each sell for 400.00 ea. OBO.

--

Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

2785. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g2i6r$ra0$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, it's more your implying that CW is outmoded and is no longer
used on the ham bands. Have you ever listened to the CW bands
during a contest, or DXpedition? Why is virtually every amateur kit
on the market QRP CW rigs? Have you ever listened for CW activity
period on the amateur CW bands?? Unfortunately, you still miss the
fact that thousands of hams, old and young, new licencees and old
timers, find CW an enjoyable mode to operate.

If CW is eliminated from the tests, fine. I love uncluttered CW bands.

It sounds like you are trying to force YOUR values more than anyone
else, and for the wrong reasons.

Peter K1ZJH
Sr. Tech. Editor, Communications Quarterly Magazine

>Spot wrote:
>>
>> You are implying that you have a right to set a standard value over all
HAM wannabees
>> and then have a right to deem a certain amount of work to meet that
value.
>> As you know, the FCC is the only one who has a right to set these values
and the amount of work
>> needed, not you.
>> Sit there and get upset all you want, resent us for things being easy,
but the fact is, FCC will be dropping
>> code from the requirements in less than 3 years and will also be updating
its tests to reflect modern times instead
>> of their current tests which are geared more for those who wanted to
became HAMS in the 1950's - 1970's.
>>

2786. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7g2ihd$e6$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello again Bill

As I indicated it was a post in a similar thread on the
RecRadioShortwave forum. Here is the item the I was
referring to:

>No, there should be no "beefing up" of tests either.
>I'm having a hard enough time passing the General Test right now, I don't
need
>your help in screwing my over and making my odds less of getting my General
License.

Bill Sohl wrote in message <372433ba.530771@news.planet.net>...
>On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 22:04:40 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Greetings Billl
>>If you check some comments made earlier (in this thread
>>I believe) someone suggested eliminating the code but
>>beefing up the theory portion of the exam--this raised more
>>negative responses.
>>
>>Regardless what elements of the test that remain, you will
>>continually have complainers--whether the subject is code,
>>or not seeing the need to learn theory once the code barrier
>>is lifted.
>>Pete
>
>Sorry Pete, I've only seen the pro-code test side make
>ANY suggestion that theory should be eliminated.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

2787. Re: Still have these radios Please Cincy only..I cannot hold them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990426162009.13799.00001472@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 120774

I still have these radios !!!! I have had responses from everyone, even as far away as England, Louisville, Maryland, etc... I need to make room in the garage and will willingly give these to anyone in the CINCINNATI area that can pick them up tonight or tomorrow. There are some great radios here, including a Setchell Carlson Frogeye and some foriegn including a Nordmende SW/BC, and others. Also included are older scanners and transistors. PLEASE ONLY CINCINNATI as I have to make room quickly.. Call me at 561-8858. It is now 1610 EST. Thanks

Tom

2788. Newby needs info on What , n-am, lsb, usb, and CW are???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3724ce98.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 120775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If someone could just explain what these are used for that would be great. Just got a new scanner that includes all of these modes. If this question has been asked before sorry just point me to a good WWW page and I will look myself thanks....

2789. Re: Newby needs info on What , n-am, lsb, usb, and CW are???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Goldsmith <cliff@goldsmith42.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7g2j4k$r53$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.martex.mcmail.com try this site

Robert wrote in message <3724ce98.0@news-1.cnminc.com>...
>If someone could just explain what these are used for that would be great.
>Just got a new scanner that includes all of these modes. If this question
>has been asked before sorry just point me to a good WWW page and I will
look
>myself thanks....
>
>

2790. FS: AOR AR1000xlt !!!PRICE DROP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tiny2nz <2nzster@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3724CF0C.D26C1D51@netscape.net>
Source record: 120777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,
I have an almost mint AOR 1000xlt HandHeld scanner for sale.
Covers 500KHz to 1300Mhz no gaps!!
Unit is a 9.5 on a 10 scale! I can email pix if you wish
I have the Original Manual,antenna, leather
case,beltclip,Carcord,Original Charger,
a JIM PSU-101 drop-in Type Charger stand with papers, NEW nicads,and an
original copy of the Bornstein book "Guide to the AR1000" ,a converted
/\/\otorola lapel spkr (comes in real handy)
I am asking $235 o.b.o. I ship
This is one cherry radio!!!!
If interested contact
tiny2nz@jorsm.com
Thanks Tiny

2791. ICOM - R2 - No keypad? how do you enter freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: From Dan in the East End <newsjunk@demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <925159733.20610.0.nnrp-06.9e9821b6@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 120778

I've seen this scanner advertised on a number of Websites and in various catalogues. What none of them tell me is how is frequency entry accomplished?

I am tempted by the relatively low price, small size and that it is an ICOM. But is the frequency entry a downside?

Regards

Danny McLaughlin

danny@dannymcl.demon.co.uk

2792. Re: Newby needs info on What , n-am, lsb, usb, and CW are???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3724D69B.1495@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
>
> If someone could just explain what these are used for that would be great.
> Just got a new scanner that includes all of these modes. If this question
> has been asked before sorry just point me to a good WWW page and I will look
> myself thanks....

Hello Robert -- for a quick rundown on modulation types -- see URL:
http://www.dxing.com/modesand.htm

In a nutshell -- CW (continous wave) has no modulation but is turned ON
and OFF to send morse code characters.

LSB (lower side band) and USB (upper sideband) are special forms of AM
(amplitude modulation). In AM, a carrier and two sidebands (upper and
lower) are transmitted.

In LSB -- the upper sideband is filtered out and the carrier is
suppressed -- so that only the lower sideband is transmitted. Conversely
for USB.

Note that each type requires a different demodulation scheme.
CW requires a Beat Frequency Oscillator (BFO)
AM requires a detector circuit.
USB or LSB requires a product detector and a means to re-insert the
carrier.

Thus a switch on the radio is used to choose the correct demodulator.

N-Am is probably narrow AM -- cuts down on interference but reduces
fidelety. This cuts down on the receiver bandwidth.

Hope this helps.
--
Regards From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 88 PAGES, 400 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 350,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/pageas.html -- Alphabetical Index
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- Home Page

2793. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <#ua8akCk#GA.185@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 120780

The only problem with this request is the violation of Federal Law. No person is to divulge any material monitored by every FCC Communications Act since 1934.

Timbers97 wrote in message <19990425193322.03517.00001470@ng-fr1.aol.com>...
>SEEKING A PERSON WHO UNDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY AND AND IS AVAILABLE
>TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE RESPONSE IN THE CA. BAY AREA WITH SPECIAL INTEREST
>IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. WILL PAY FOR ALL USEFUL ,FAST RESPONSES.
> CALL RICHARD AREA CODE (510) 773-2298

2794. Re: ICOM - R2 - No keypad? how do you enter freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <SW4V2.1264$yF6.1806@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 120781

Dan:

The R2 is tuned in the following manner...

Press the BAND button... toggles through the major bands.
Hold down the FUNCTION key, and course tune, (left of the decimal), using the rotating knob.
Release the FUNCTION key, and fine tune, (right of the decimal), using the rotating knob.
Press MW, (memory write), hold for a couple seconds, to save.

It grows on you, and once you're used to it, it's quite fast! Excellent little radio!

Bill Crocker

From Dan in the East End <newsjunk@demon.co.uk> wrote in message news:925159733.20610.0.nnrp-06.9e9821b6@news.demon.co.uk...
> I've seen this scanner advertised on a number of Websites and in various
> catalogues. What none of them tell me is how is frequency entry
> accomplished?
>
> I am tempted by the relatively low price, small size and that it is an ICOM.
> But is the frequency entry a downside?
>
> Regards
>
>
>
> Danny McLaughlin
>
> danny@dannymcl.demon.co.uk
>
>
>

2795. Re: Newby needs info on What , n-am, lsb, usb, and CW are???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmrubin@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3724dccd.2640823@nntp.best.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>LSB (lower side band) and USB (upper sideband) are special forms of AM
>(amplitude modulation). In AM, a carrier and two sidebands (upper and
>lower) are transmitted.
>
>In LSB -- the upper sideband is filtered out and the carrier is
>suppressed -- so that only the lower sideband is transmitted. Conversely
>for USB.
>

Note that there have been a number of shortwave broadcasts in USB WITH
CARRIER. (Single sideband is almost always upper side band except for
amateur radio below 9 MHz.)

2796. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fatts00.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 120783
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:42:18 +0100, Tim Wood wrote:

> I fully agree....are some people here only interested in finding little,
> twatty things wrong and ignoring the big picture?
>
> Give me bad spelling and good site content anyday...

As has already being said if little things like spelling are not taken care
of who is to say the content has any more attention taken of it?

A good site has good presentation (spelling, sensible use of graphics, easy
to navigate, etc) and also has good, relevant, up to date information. A
site lacking in one or both is a poor site.
<EOT>

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

2797. Re: Request Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3724E0C1.38E8013E@ibm.net>
Source record: 120784

The 1998 RS book gives the following:

Panola Co. Hospital 155.340, 155.400
Panola Co PD 155.070, 155.610, 156.150, 154.950
Local Govern. 154.040, 155.955
==========

nospam@spam.net wrote:

> Have the Percon CD but need channel and service designation
> for Panola County Texas, Local RS does not have books in
> stock. Request look-up for this county.
>
> Thanks
> Chuck

2798. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <7g2nfi$g0s$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 120785

m> <372ad870.16294952@news.teleport.com>
<F044C8C573A803F4.15D1FEB13A94C66A.C04C0769749530F1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
<372352b7.47602948@news.teleport.com>
Organization:
Keywords:

In article <372352b7.47602948@news.teleport.com>, kew@-nospam-teleport.com (Keith) writes:
|>...
|>
|> Let's take your suggestion and eliminate all code from Ham radio. The
|> bands will quickly fill up with obnoxious morons like yourself ....

In other words, those who've passed a code test are morally superior to those
who haven't. Proud "coders" who consider anyone who disagrees with
them to be "obnoxious morons". Proud coders like the "real" hams
on 80 meters. Oh, yes, a code test proves that a person is going to
be a good operator.

2799. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g2nkk$75a$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale

Lazer wrote in message <3723A4A5.E28CB262@deltaforce.com>...
>CALIFORNIA CODES
>PENAL CODE: SECTION 417.25 Laser Scopes
>
>417.25. (a) Every person who, except in self-defense, knowingly
>draws or exhibits a laser scope, as defined in subdivision (b), that
>projects a colored target on a person in a threatening manner against
>that person with the specific intent to cause a reasonable person
>apprehension or fear of bodily harm is guilty of a misdemeanor,
>punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for up to 30 days. For
>purposes of this section, the laser scope need not be attached to a
>firearm.

Awww, for the love of soup......

Did you also know that you can be hanged for stealing the Sheriff's horse in
L.A. County?!?!?

WHO CARES!!!!!

-Phil

2800. Re: 2-Level FSK interface????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hew Packard <hewpackard111@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37239586@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 120788

pocsag at least
4 level = flex

Scott Dernbach <stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
news:924767404.340.93@news.remarQ.com...
> What programs can be used with the 2-Level FSK Interfaces found on the
web?
> What are its capabilities?
>
> Thanks for any and all info!
> Scott
>
>

2801. Re: Uniden BC-245XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sanford1@ibm.net
Message-ID: <3724ad21.76980149@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 120789

Mark Rozitis <mrozitis@interlog.com> wrote:

>I have been told by Uniden that the 245 will do conventional, 2
>motorola trunks and or 2 GE-EDACS trunk systems. Sounds like a
>wonderfull radio. I can't hardly wait. Last I heard they will be
>coming out near the end of May in the US and July up here in Canada.

Thanks for the replies. Of course, I just bought a Pro-90/BC-235XLT
and my local county uses the EDACS system :-(.At least it forced me
to get off my butt and get a Tech ham license.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Gary Sanford
sanford1@ibm.net
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2802. Re: Scout reaction tuning mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ceOU2.1157$Ff.785@wards>
Source record: 120790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Chris Evans <woody@nuthin.com> wrote in message
news:925073030.306.74@news.remarQ.com...
> Are there any mobile (dashboard mount) scanners available that can be
> reaction tuned by an Optoelectronics Scout frequency recorder?
>
> thanks!
> -c
AR8200 AR8000, and a few others aswell but they are the better ones !

eavsdropper

2803. For Sale: *** RadioShack PRO-60 scanner ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: blink182@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g0dk0$5me$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120791

FOR SALE: RADIOSHACK PRO-60 REALISTIC SCANNER
CONDITION: EXCELLENT (9.5/10)
COVERAGE: 30-512Mhz, 706-1000Mhz, Police, Military, Ambulance, ETC ETC ETC.
PRICE: $119.00 (includes shipping and handling, and AC adaptor, manual,
and rubber antenna)
CONTACT: e-mail Joe [blink_182@my-dejanews.com] if interested

I have decided to sell my PRO-60. Anyone with a modest knowledge of scanners knows that the PRO-60 Realistic Scanner by Radio Shack is a top of the line product. No longer on sale in US/UK markets, this reliable scanner is really hard to get, so take advantage of this deal as soon as possible. I will sell it to the first person who e-mails me. The specs are at the top. Hope to talk to you soon. later.....

Joe

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2804. Re: UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: comint430@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425203455.12536.00001785@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 120792

Hello,
A good place to find these freqs is to check out the area FAA maps that
are periodicaly issued for pilots. There are frequencies listed
for all MOAs shown on the chart. it also gives altitudes and times and how to
find out when these areas ar on the restricted list. Hope this helps in your
research.

COMINT430
Tony

2805. Re: New NYPD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425204710.01389.00001742@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 120793

Yes PD Now is on 482.5875 which is the 122/123 PCT area and 482.8875 for the 120. they both rebroadcast the same transmissions.

73
Mike

2806. Re: New NYPD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425204819.01389.00001743@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 120794

Yes the New freq's are 482.5875 122/123 PCt and 482.8875 for the 120. they both rebroadcast the smae Transmissions.

73
Mike

2807. Re: Smallest Scanner on market?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990425205752.20167.00001192@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 120795

>How do you tune the IC-R2 without a keypad?

There is an excellent freeware program to program the R2 with a pc.

http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

Greg

2808. Re: looking4 freq's for Norfolk Naval Air Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <CWOU2.1239$ey3.1361@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120796

Hi
I have a very detailed list of Virginia Beach and Norfolk Freqs on my website.
Also include are all the Military freqs for that area.

Check them out on http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George

--

____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
____

2809. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <kf6knb@usa.net>
Message-ID: <y_OU2.1238$B55.83430@dfiatx1-snr1>
Source record: 120797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
> << SNIP >>
>"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed
>services such as CB. Note that the technical portion of the test
>is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted to build, design, align
>and adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any
other
>class of radio service. Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some
>knowledge of the theory behind its operation.
>
>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
>exists on 27 MHz.
>
> Regards
>
>Pete

And once all the smoke clears from the issue, the bottom line is just as you have stated.

I am a "no-code tech" at the moment, but will be upgrading soon. I am working hard to get it done BEFORE the change takes place (if it does). I hope that if the changes do take place, that a person be allowed to request to take his exams under the old requirements, and that there be some differentiation in call signs between those who earned their licenses and those who got them in a box of Cracker Jacks.

73 de KF6KNB

2810. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <3723b9f6.38191297@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 20:20:05 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>
>I am neither for keeping or eliminating the code. I will point out that
>thousands of DXers, ragchewers, QRP operators, Contesters and
>homebrewers operate on CW, some exclusively. CW is often referred
>to as the "gentleman's mode" in ham radio. Folks that claim that
>CW is outmoded and not used are not aware of the facts, and stating
>opinion rather that facts.

As indeed, you are doing here...stating YOUR opinion
and not facts as to the mode being whatever one might
care to consider it as.

>"Hurdles" are necessary to seperate Ham Radio from other unlicensed
>services such as CB.

NO!

Justifiable requirements are needed, not Hurdles simply
for the sake of hurdles.

>Note that the technical portion of the test
>is revalent to ham radio since hams are permitted
>to build, design, align and
>adjust their own transmitters--something that is not permitted in any other
>class of radio service.

Agree completely.

>Operating a ham radio transmitter implies some
>knowledge of the theory behind its operation.

Agree again!

>Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory.

That is pure bull as NO ONE from the NO CODE
side has even suggested, much less asked for,
any no code/no theory license.

If you can provide proof to the contrary, please do.

>That class of license already exists on 27 MHz.

Actually that is incorrect as there is NO such thing as
a CB license at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2811. Apologies - was Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <3723bb1a.38483105@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My apologies to the many newsgroups that
should have been deleted from the response I
sent several minutes ago. I should have looked
at the response newsgroups that the prior poster
had included.

Cheers and apologies again

Bill K2UNK

2812. FS-Unblocked Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425214256.27349.00001479@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 120800

See the pictures of this beautiful unit at Ebay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=94283131

2813. Re: math for a 5/8 wave ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3732b704.26359397@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 120801

"Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote:

>
>Jeff & Cheryl <js@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
>news:372396F0.BCBBF9B2@sprintmail.com...
>> does any one have the math to figure out the length of a 5/8 wave ant
>> for vhf high?
>
>at 155mhz, you'd be looking at 45.29 inches (just shy of 4 feet).

If you expect it to work properly, however, you need a very good ground
plane.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2814. Need help in tracking daily emergency Fire reports in Bay Area, Ca.USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425222001.25487.00001860@ng08.aol.com>
Source record: 120802

seeking a person and will pay for help in tracking Daily emergency fire broadcasts in the bay area, California, USA with special interest in cities in Alameda and Contra Costa county . Richard (510) 773-2298

2815. Needed: a good CTCSS/DCS decoder for Windows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425225927.14294.00007046@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 120803

Ok, here is what I am trying to do. I hooked up my scanner to my sound card. Works well playing the scanner audio through the computer's speakers.

I would like a program that will be able to tell me what PL or DPL is being recieved. I have heard that there are several out there that will work well. Can someone suggest any?

Thanks!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

2816. Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbrutis1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425231415.28358.00000858@ngol04.aol.com>
Source record: 120804

It's really amazing how many people still do NOT realize how far the range of cordless phones can go. A lot of times, it depends on the weather & where you are as well.
At times, we will turn off the TV, turn on the scanner & listen to the cordless & cell phone calls. We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be "scanner proof"
Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I haven't heard any.
I really believe if more people were truly aware of how "scanner friendly" the overwhelming majority of these phones were, their sales would go right down the porcelain.
It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will someone explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on everyone's door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?
I'm willing to listen......
73's,

Tom
tbrutis1@aol.com
THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

2817. New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: barry123456@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <3723dd87.910398@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Even though I live in Australia I am discusted at the US governments plans to regulate radio scanners further.

The new regulations do not include manualy tuned receivers. Therefore I hope to see new manualy tuned receivers expressly made and sold for the purpose of cellular phone reception. The new regulations define a scanning receiver as one which can automaticly scan two or more channels and automatically stop on a signal. So a receiver which scans all cellular channels and which displays channels in use without stopping on them would be exempt. The user could manualy stop the scan and tune to the displayed channel.

I suppose the regulators will crack down on web sites that show how to modify scanners. However, the law only applies to the USA. People outside of the USA should be free to have web pages explaining how to circumvent the new laws. Kits for converters are prohibited from sale in the US but there is nothing to stop a non US website from providing details for building converters from readily available parts.

I hope to see protest rallies against the new laws as they are against your constitutional rights.

The regulators are fools if they think they can prevent people from listening to clear unencrypted radio signals.

I would like to see people with legal knowledge going over the regulations to see what loopholes can be found. Perhaps the test instrument definition can be used to get unblocked scanners into the hands of the public.

Good luck.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

2818. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990425234135.15407.00001813@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120806

> Doesn anybody know if it's legal to carry a scanner in a moving car ??
>I've heard that it's legal, as long as you are not evading or pursuing the
>police, ambulance, etc... Thanks in advance....
>
>Nick....
>please e-mail responce to me....
>
>
>

Unless the law has been changed within the past 30 minutes or so, scanners are only allowed in motor vehicles in the state of NJ if the driver/scanner operator is a police officer, fire fighter, EMT, rescue squad member or any auxillary member of such an organization be it paid or volunteer. The average "Joe Citizen" is not allowed to use a scanner in a car regardless of his level of desire to commit a crime or help emergency services in some way. This law is different state-by-state. It's been a couple of years since my Sergeants test and all the studying that went with it, but this was the law then. It may have changed, but I've heard nothing about it. The thought behind the law at the time, was it was perceived by the courts that the average citizen had no reason to listen in and those who did were obviously attempting to either evade apprehension (DWIers listening for where the sobriety check-points are, etc) or were serious or violent criminals attempting to know where the police are in order to know where to hit (ie: all cars on the north side of Anytown, USA so it would be safe to rob a liquor store on the south side, etc). If you're a decent citizen just being curious (fire engine/ambulance chaser) for no other reason than just being curious, as long as your monitoring in absolutely no way interferes with the public safety efforts transpiring, I would say don't worry about it, but get one foot one inch in the way, they will give you a very hard way to go. Use the monitored information for dissemination to the press or to warn the bad guys, NJ police will fry you. It's all up to the "good naturedness" of the municipal or even county judge (depending on the status of what you were charged with, ie: an offense or a crime.) Have fun. Good luck.

Tbone107@aol.com
"Saving society from itself for future generations to enjoy as we have since 1986."
NJ PBA Local #16
FOP Lodge #111

2819. Trade or Sell: PRO- 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g0ppu$ek7$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120807

Excellent condition Pro-43 with full cellular and low band modifications. Includes copy of the owner's manual, antenna, and belt clip. Looks great, works perfectly.

Price is $200 plus shipping

Willing to trade for: PRO-2004/5/6/2035/2042

Please e-mail

2820. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: m4bz@garlic.SPAMNO.com
Message-ID: <3723f083.18080954@news.garlic.com>
Source record: 120808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale

What the hell does that have to do with legitimate use of laser pointers?????

It's also illegal to point a shotgun at someone, but perfectly okay to take one hunting.

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 18:26:29 -0500, Lazer <Lazer@deltaforce.com> wrote:

>CALIFORNIA CODES
>PENAL CODE: SECTION 417.25 Laser Scopes
>
>417.25. (a)

2821. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <rony58@injersey.com>
Message-ID: <7g0s4i$c5j$1@nw001t.infi.net>
Source record: 120809

Tbone107 wrote in message <19990425234135.15407.00001813@ng-cr1.aol.com>...
>> Doesn anybody know if it's legal to carry a scanner in a moving car ??
>>I've heard that it's legal, as long as you are not evading or pursuing the
>>police, ambulance, etc... Thanks in advance....
>>
>>Nick....
>>please e-mail responce to me....
>>
>>
>>
>
>Unless the law has been changed within the past 30 minutes or so, scanners
are
>only allowed in motor vehicles in the state of NJ if the driver/scanner
>operator is a police officer, fire fighter, EMT, rescue squad member or any
>auxillary member of such an organization be it paid or volunteer. The
average
>"Joe Citizen" is not allowed to use a scanner in a car regardless of his
level
>of desire to commit a crime or help emergency services in some way. This
law
>is different state-by-state. It's been a couple of years since my Sergeants
>test and all the studying that went with it, but this was the law then. It
may
>have changed, but I've heard nothing about it.

Here is what is in the NJ State Statutes data base. Nowhere is the mention
of motor vehicles. I have also inquired police officers from several
jurisdictions and they said it is legal to use in vehicles and cited these 2
statutes, from memory, no less.

2C:33-21. Interception or use of official communications

1. Any person who intercepts any message or transmission made on or
over any police, fire or emergency medical communications system, or any
person who is the recipient of information so intercepted, and who uses the
information obtained thereby to facilitate the commission of or the attempt
to commit a crime or a violation of any law of this State, or uses the same
in a manner which interferes with the discharge of police operations, shall
be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree.

L.1991,c.432,s.1.

2C:33-22. Possession of emergency communications receiver

2. Any person who, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit a crime, including the immediate flight therefrom, possesses or controls a radio capable of receiving any message or transmission made on or over any police, fire or emergency medical communications system, shall be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree.

L.1991,c.432,s.2.

2822. PRO-2046 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim hayes <kd4olf@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37240ABF.6E27FCCA@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120810

Does antone have any mods for the PRO 2046. I heard there was one to get
to recieve 300 - 400mhz ??
jim

2823. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@groucho.cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <7g13mi$qqj@groucho.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 120811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale

Lazer <Lazer@deltaforce.com> writes:

>417.25. (a) Every person who, except in self-defense, knowingly
>draws or exhibits a laser scope, as defined in subdivision (b) that
>projects a colored target on a person in a threatening manner against

I presume that the "victim" can tell the difference between a laser
pointer that is being aimed at a blackboard or projection screen, and
a laser pointer that's being aimed at some part of their body.

The former is how laser pointers are usually used, while the law seems
to apply only to the latter.

So what's your point?

Dave

2824. Re: UHF Freqs - Military Operating Areas MOA's (freq posting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <372412F8.826B7E3F@mich.com>
Source record: 120812

TandKMoran wrote:

> UHF Military Air is 225-400, as opposed to VHF Civil Air, 108-135.975. Can you

VHF aviation band = 108-137

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2825. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <37240852.13048577@news.istep.com>
Source record: 120813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>> become a HAM

What's this bullshit, anyway?

I didn't go through hell. I studied for the exams and passed them.

Speak for yourself.

2826. Re: Need help in tracking daily emergency Fire reports in Bay Area, Ca.USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <372405a4.12362454@news.istep.com>
Source record: 120814

On 26 Apr 1999 02:20:01 GMT, timbers97@aol.com (Timbers97) wrote:

> seeking a person and will pay for help in tracking Daily emergency fire
>broadcasts in the bay area, California, USA with special interest in cities
>in Alameda and Contra Costa county . Richard (510) 773-2298

You are asking people to intercept information from the airwaves and
then forward it to you.

This is a violation of the Communications Act of 1934.

You are doing this so that you can be first on the scene of a fire, so
that you (your company) may be hired to contract the work of boarding
up windows, making repairs, etc.

You imply that you pay if and when you get a contract based upon the
information provided to you by the people you propose to hire to
monitor the fire channels. You say nothing about paying people for
their time.

So, what it comes down to is that you want people to violate a federal
law for your financial gain and maybe, just maybe, they might make a
few bucks for their trouble.

By encouraging anyone to get involved in this, you are in violation of
federal laws.

We scanner hobbyists are not such fools as to get involved in this,
especially considering the federal governments war on scanners.

Go away.

2827. RS Pro-51 problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: pBLOCKworrell@cris.com
Message-ID: <37241ace.33735002@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 120815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Radio Shack Pro-51 that I've had for about 5 years.
It has been a great scanner to me. Last night, when I turned it on,
suddenly it had gotten stupid on me. Most of the frequencies that I
have programmed in were changed, some were frequencies that the
scanner doesn't cover, such as 104.7000. About half of the
frequencies changed, and the other half are still intact. Could this
be a one time glitch, or is it time to get myself another scanner?
-Dave
*** To Reply via E-Mail, remove the BLOCK from my
Address! Thank you and goodnight! ***

2828. Free software and items for the scanner hobbyist ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: a_alpha1@i.am
Message-ID: <372419db.54990232@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 120816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.ph.uk

Looking for hard to get programs like winflex4 or DSP Blaster....or
decoding programs for cordless phones...??

Visit Moxina Mind Spring's "technical freebies " site for these and
much more...!! (private site..no ads , no banners, no nada..)

Wanna know how to pay for purchases on the web and use money orders
and NOT get ripped off....email me for the info ...
a_alpha1@i.am or a_alpha1@pacbell.net ....I'll tell
you a fool proof way (personally used by myself) to keep from
being ripped ...!!

Moxina Mind Spring ..home of the TIgEas LAiR ...

http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm

i.am/a_alpha1
hey.to/a_alpha1
Fast.to/a_alpha1
all of these >The Abyss > Techno Freebies ...

email to a_alpha1@i.am
a_alpha1@pacbell.net
a_alpha1@hey.to

a_alpha1

2829. Re: do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27750-37241E78-117@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120817

im so damn gullable...i thought i might find a secret frequency here...rats!

2830. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]>
Message-ID: <7g18acrdj1@nikel.localnet>
Source record: 120818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>> i dont worry about things like that, it is not a commercial page and i do
>> not have the time to fart around with the orrible spell checkers i have in
>> my software they take to long :-)

> OK, it's your ball. Just simply that such obvious mistakes make the site
> look like a load of dogs do do. They have to be obvious for me to spot them,
> as my spelling isn't that hot.

Err yes. I fin a sit ful of speling misstakes orible.

But then I too don't have time to waste on such crap pages. No matter how
good the content. I just assume that if one can't get the spelling right,
then the facts and content are most likely just as inaccurate :-)

Besides, I would find hitting the F7 key (or whatever you use) to run
the pages through a spell checker a simple few second job, sigh !

Chow

--
Ush

2831. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <372433ba.530771@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Apr 1999 22:04:40 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>Greetings Billl
>If you check some comments made earlier (in this thread
>I believe) someone suggested eliminating the code but
>beefing up the theory portion of the exam--this raised more
>negative responses.
>
>Regardless what elements of the test that remain, you will
>continually have complainers--whether the subject is code,
>or not seeing the need to learn theory once the code barrier
>is lifted.
>Pete

Sorry Pete, I've only seen the pro-code test side make
ANY suggestion that theory should be eliminated.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2832. Re: Temp Freqs,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <efg9Jz8j#GA.360@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120820

hdtampa@aol.com (HDTampa) asked:
> Is there any group of channels specified for "temporary" or "rental" radios?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on Compuserve Hamnet Lib 2

Dot Frequencies

Dot color Other
Red 151.625 itinerant "J" Dot 467.7625
Purple 151.955 "K" Dot 467.8125
Blue 154.570 Silver Star 467.850
Green 154.600 Gold Star 467.875
White 462.575 GMRS Red Star 467.900
Black 462.625 GMRS Blue Star 467.925
Orange 462.675 GMRS
Brown 464.500 itinerant
Yellow 464.550 itinerant

"Dot" stickers are used by the manufacturer or distributer to
indicate the frequency of otherwise identical transceivers. They
are often found in rental inventories and on construction sites.
Additional itinerant frequencies include 27.49, 35.04, 43.04,
151.505, 158.400, 451.800, 456.800, 469.500 and 469.550 MHz.

2833. ��������

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manos <pelamare@her.forthnet.gr>
Message-ID: <7g1fmrbcn1@medousa.forthnet.gr>
Source record: 120821

��������:

ICOM IC-W2 E ������ V/U �� ���� ���� 100 K�.

ICOM IC-2i E ������ Vhf �� ���� ���� 50 K�.

ICOM IC-706 �� ������ SSB,�������� ��������
��� duplexer ��� ���� 300��.

ICOM IC-Q7E �� interface ��� ������������ ���� PC.
���� 70��

������ scanner AOR AR-8000.������ ��� 500kHz-1900Mhz
���� ���� ��� modes: AM, NFM, WFM, USB,LSB & CW.1000 ������.Service manual.
���� 150��

�������� ������ PALMTOP PHILIPS NINO 300 8��.
�������� �������� �� �� ��������.T��� 100��.
������ ��������(HANDHELD)PHILIPS VELO 500 16 MB ��
�������� modem ��� ���� ������ ��� INTERNET.
���� 200��.
��� �� ��� computer �������� �� WINDOWS CE.�������� ������,
��������, ������, ������ ������,��������.
��������
������ �� PC ���. �������� �� ���� ��� ������
��������
�� �� PC + SOFTWARE.

KENWOOD 751E ��� 851E �� �������� ��� ������ ������
150 ��� 200 ��.

Callsign : sv9anj--����
E-mail address : pelamare@her.forthnet.gr
��������: 081-762000 094-582172

2834. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#DX7X$8j#GA.336@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120822

tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107) wrote:
> Unless the law has been changed within the past 30 minutes or so, ...

Actually, the law was changed on 18 Jan 1992, when NJSA 2A:127-4 was repealed. This statute was replaced with NJSA 2C:33-21, -22 and -23, as cited by "Ron Yanchuck" <rony58@injersey.com> in another post.

2835. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <372444F1.8E03DAD7@netxn.com>
Source record: 120823

Barstow CHP dispatches the Needles area. Barstow is on the White (42.72 Car/42.56 Base). Needles units are '114' units.

Palmdale is dispatched by LA CHP. That area is on the Tan (42.84 Car/42.42 Base). Antelope Valley units are '89' units.

Hope that helps ...

Mike Derryberry

John wrote:
>
> Need to make family emergency trip to Palmdale this Wednesday. Could use
> info on CHP and/or Fire freqs for I-40 corridor from Needles to Palmdale.
>
> Thanks in advance
> John
> geezer@cableone.net

2836. Re: Secure 900+ MHz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: lgantz@dc.infi.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118ccab1c5e81246989683@news.dc.infi.net>
Source record: 120824

In article <scottg-2104992158490001@w.sm8.dialup.garlic.net>,
scottg@garlic.com says...
> In article <7fjhgs$g4k@news.imt.net>, "Scott Remington"
> <saremington@imt.net> wrote:
> >What's the most secure 900 MHz through 2.4 GHz telephone a normal person can
> >buy?
>
> Try a Lucent 9510. It's a 900MHz digital spread spectrum. And is works up
> to 4000 feet from the base.
>
> Later,
> scott
>
>

I don't know how many 9510's are left in stores, but I think it would be
better to look into the recently released AT&T/Lucent 9515. I picked up
mine for $149.95 This phone is the "updated version" of the 9510.

Louis

2837. Re: RS Pro-51 problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37244e0f@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 120825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pBLOCKworrell@cris.com (Dave of PL, MN) writes: > I have a Radio Shack Pro-51 that I've had for about 5 years.
> It has been a great scanner to me. Last night, when I turned it on,
> suddenly it had gotten stupid on me. Most of the frequencies that I
> have programmed in were changed, some were frequencies that the
> scanner doesn't cover, such as 104.7000. About half of the
> frequencies changed, and the other half are still intact. Could this
> be a one time glitch, or is it time to get myself another scanner?
> -Dave
> *** To Reply via E-Mail, remove the BLOCK from my
> Address! Thank you and goodnight! ***

Don't know how you've used your scanner, but sounds like the batteries are going dead...or a circuitry problem. Mine has done this, so I just turn it off...press manual, 2 & 9, and turn it back on. It restores the scanner to original condition. By the way...there is a keyboard procedure for securing those out-of-band frequencies, including cellular.

2838. Re: MVT-9000 Discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9VrO4yAr+EJ3Ewr$@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 120826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <371eddb8@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>, Robert
<reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> writes

Hi,

>Does anyone know how to do this thanks....

You can take it from Pin 9 on IC2 (Toshiba TA31136FN).

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2839. Re: FS-AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Cash <rmcash@home.com>
Message-ID: <372451C2.EFD6977D@home.com>
Source record: 120827

ATTENTION CHRIS, N8COP: Please e-mail me again! I have tried to e-mail
you and constantly get error messages. You can call me about the 8000
at (864) 463-4205. Thanks

Richard Cash wrote:
>
> AOR 8000 for sale. Has continuous coverage, no blocks. In excellent
> condition. Included is the 8000, the wall power supply, the owner's
> manual and the flat interface cable for interfaces such as an Optolinx.
> I am going to keep my Optolinx! The 8000 works great! $450 for all.

2840. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7g1jtg$is4$2@east43.supernews.com>
Source record: 120828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3723D89F.47E8@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>
>> THERE IS NOTHING NICE ABOUT CW.
>
>Well there is ONE thing, it won't be a requirement to get a Ham license
>much longer. That's nice.

You can get a Technician class license without the code you know. Since
1991, you just get no HF with it. I went for my General upgrade tests
yesterday, passed the written, failed the code. Oh well, just have to practice
a little more on the code and soon I'll pass it.

73,
Tom

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

2841. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904261217.OAA30624@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
: Does your newsreader present threads as one coherent listing?
: The relply was made to a April 25 post, that shouldn't be too hard
: to find; providing you are following the thread and not jumping in
: ill-informed?

: You want me to sort through 300 or so eBay ads to
: prove a point? If you have a net browser, you are welcome
: to do so. Be sure to check the thousand or so closed
: auctions as well. Check rec.amateur.radio.boatanchors and other
: related forums for ads seeking unassembled kits --DeJa news will
: aid in you this search. I am not
: here to do homework for you. Especially for someone who
: needs to hide behind an "anonymous" signature.

In half the amount of time you took to type in the above, you could have instead posted a couple examples such as and xxx kit typically sells for yyy. Most readers of the group would rather have seen something like than a screenful of criticism of me and other posters.

A. Mous

2842. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <37305099.318064171@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please explain how zero is more than anything, retard.

Loony Tune jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>I've posted more than YOU!
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>>....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2843. Re: Scout reaction tuning mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qUabo6AvrFJ3EwYh@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 120831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <925073030.306.74@news.remarQ.com>, Chris Evans
<woody@nuthin.com> writes

Hi,

>Are there any mobile (dashboard mount) scanners available that can be
>reaction tuned by an Optoelectronics Scout frequency recorder?

The PRO-2005/6/COM205 or PRO-2035/2042 fitted with an Optoelectronics
Board can be "reaction Tuned" by the Scout as can any of the Icom units
with a CI-V input - calling the IC-R7000/7100 "dashmounted" might be
awkward though !

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2844. Re: Columbine Shooting Police Transcript

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7g1mls$m9r$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 120832

For what it's worth, the broadcast 911 tapes and radio traffic were supplied to the media by the Jefferson County Sherrif's Department. I watched as they handed out approx. 60 cassette tapes to the media. These were obviously "authorized" broadcasts.

Bryan Stethem
South Bend, IN
Phil Smalley wrote in message
<7ftb8f$7kq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>The law seems pretty cut-and-dried to me. You can listen, but must have the
>police departments permission to legally divulge. Simple. The rebroadcasts
>on the various news programs across the nation prove my point - notice how
>they were broadcast long AFTER the incident and not as it was happening.
>
>I know from my own personal experience that many news agencies listen AND
>record scanner traffic as it happens so it can be mixed in with the video
>for broadcast at 10 o'clock, or whenever the story is run. They have the
>ability to patch right in as it happens and blast it all over "TVLand" but
>they don't because they have to obtain permission first. In most cases, it's
>obtained by a simple phone call to the media relations officer. Most of the
>time, even during a pursuit, you don't hear the actual broadcasts, just the
>helicopter pilot's interpretation of them. (But then, that what your scanner
>and the TV's mute button are for, now aren't they? Man, we need a good
>televised pursuit in Phoenix - it's been a while....)
>
>But anyway, back to the original post in this thread, the answer is call the
>media relations officer of the agency in question, ask if you can publish
>your transcript, and if permission is granted, go for it. Otherwise, keep it

>to yourself (as I often prefer to do - there's just less headaches
that
>way!)
>
>-Phil
>
>
>
>

2845. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ruther <michael.ruther@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <372472D8.7C76D072@alliedsignal.com>
Source record: 120833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cw might be part of it , but the last HF radio I bought was $1,000 .(ouch)
Hard for me to justify it. The used stuff is chancy at best at the swap mets.
I think the cost of gear is killing it.
Mike AA7QA. I passed all of the test now what

Spot wrote:

> > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>
> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
> are very outdated.

2846. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <3724765B.7CB5D5CF@home.here>
Source record: 120834

Tbrutis1 wrote:
> At times, we will turn off the TV, turn on the scanner & listen to the cordless
> & cell phone calls. We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be
> "scanner proof"
> Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I haven't
> heard any.

You don't hear them because they ARE scanner-proof. I really doubt you
can pick up a digital spread spectrum phone.

> It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will someone
> explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on everyone's
> door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?

Well, they can always monitor the newsgroups and track down those who
confess to listening.

2847. Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: edward_wiles@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37246ccf.612695@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 120835

Hello,
I would be most grateful if someone could advise me on the best outside aerial to use with an ICOM IC-R1. I am told that some aerials can cause saturation and in fact cancel all signals all together.

I would have liked to hear from people who have hands on experience with the performance of the IC-R1 with an outside aerial.

Also, can you advise whether I could build up my own aerial.

Many thanks

Edward

2848. Re: looking for freqs for kansas city,mo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob & Melony Schmidt <bob-melony@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <37247EF5.852B353E@geocities.com>
Source record: 120836

Larry stevens wrote:

> looking for police and fire freqs for kansas city,mo and surrounding areas

Hi Larry,
Here is a really good list from a friend of mine.. Have a great day.. Bob

JUNE 1996

**********RADFREQ7**********

THIS IS A LISTING OF THE RADIO FREQUENCIES IN
MY DATABASE. ALL OF THESE FREQUENCIES HAVE BEEN DETECTED AND
VERIFIED AT ONE TIME OR ANOTHER BY MYSELF. THERE ARE HUNDREDS
OF OTHERS WAITING FOR ME TO VERIFY. WHEN I DO I WILL LIST
THEM.

@ = EDACS IN USE/CAPABLE --- ENHANCED DIGITAL ACCESS
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

* = NEXT TO FREQUENCY DENOTES NEW LISTING THIS VERSION
TOTAL NEW FREQS THIS VERSION-- 25

= NEXT TO FREQUENCY DENOTES UPDATE TO LISTING
TOTAL UPDATES THIS VERSION-- 2

**********AIR**********

AIR EMERGENCY CIVILIAN 121.5000
" " MILITARY 243.0000
BILLARD 118.7000
COLUMBIA FLIGHT SERVICE STATION 122.6500
FORBES 120.8000
JOHNSON COUNTY EXECUTIVE TOWER 126.0000
" " " GROUND CONTROL 121.6000
" " " WEATHER/ATIS 119.3500
" " INDUSTRIAL TOWER 118.3000
" " " GROUND CONTROL 124.3000
KCATC SECTOR 42 (SE OF KC) 119.6500
KCATC SECTOR 44 (LOW ALTITUDE
TOPEKA & lAWRENCE) 120.5000
KCATC SECTOR 46 (N & W OF KC) 123.8000
KCATC SECTOR 40 (NE OF KC)) 125.2500
KCATC SECTOR 48 (SOUTH OF KC) 125.5500
KCATC SECTOR 46 (N & W OF KC) 127.9000
" " " " " 132.6000
KANSAS CITY VORTAC 112.6000

KANSAS CITY DOWNTOWN TOWER 133.3000
" " " GROUND CONTROL 121.9000
" " " WEATHER/ATIS 124.6000
KANSAS CITY INTERNATIONAL 118.1000
" " " 125.7500
KANSAS CITY INTERNATIONAL APPROACH 118.9000
" " " " 119.0000
" " " " 124.7000
NAPOLEAN VORTAC 114.0000
RICHARDS GEBAUER TOWER 124.2000
" " GROUND CONTROL 119.2000
" " WEATHER/ATIS 127.2000
RIVERSIDE VORTAC 111.4000
ROSECRAN MEMORIAL TOWER 126.9000
" " GROUND CONROL 121.9000
" " WEATHER/ATIS 125.0500
SHERMAN ARMY AIRFIELD-FT. LEAVONWORTH 126.2000
WHITEMAN 125.7000

**********KCI**********

APPROACH/DEPARTURE CONTROL 126.6000
" " " 124.7000
FINAL/APPROACH 123.9500
TOWER 128.2000
AUTO TERMINAL INFO SYSTEM 123.8500
" " " " 128.3500
CLEARANCE DELIVERY CLNC DEL 135.7000
GROUND CONTROL 121.6500
" " 121.8000
REMOTE COMMUNICATION OFFICE TRANSMIT 122.1000
" " " " 112.6000
SATELLITE RADAR SOUTH 118.9000
" " NORTH 119.0000
" " WEST 125.7500
SECURITY 453.4250
" AND MAINTENANCE 453.5000

**********AIR LINES**********
AM MODE
AMERICAN AIRLINES 129.2000
CONTINENTAL 130.9000
DELTA 129.5500
" 130.1000
FEDERAL EXPRESS 131.9250
UNITED AIRLINES 129.3000
" " 129.5000
" " 131.4500

**********CB RADIO**********

#01--26.9650 #11--27.0850 #21--27.2150 #31--27.315
#02--26.9750 #12--27.1050 #22--27.2250 #32--27.325
#03--26.9850 #13--27.1150 #23--27.2550 #33--27.335
#04--27.0050 #14--27.1250 #24--27.2350 #34--27.345
#05--27.0150 #15--27.1350 #25--27.2450 #35--27.355
#06--27.0250 #16--27.1550 #26--27.2650 #36--27.365
#07--27.0350 #17--27.1650 #27--27.0350 #37--27.375
#08--27.0550 #18--27.1750 #28--27.2850 #38--27.385
#09--27.0650 #19--27.1850 #29--27.2950 #39--27.395
#10--27.0750 #20--27.2050 #30--27.3050 #40--27.405

**********CELL PHONES**********

THESE FREQUENCIES ARE FOR REFERANCE ONLY IT IS ILLEGAL TO
MONITOR CELL PHONES ACCORDING TO THE ECPA. FAILURE TO COMPLY
IS PUNISHABLE BY ANY OR ALL OF THE FOLLOWING; 1) LOSS OF
RADIO EQUIPTMENT, 2) FINE, 3) IMPRISONMENT.

Base Mobile Base Mobile
~Transmit Transmit~ Transmit~ Transmit
Channel Frequency Frequency Channel Frequency Frequency
Number (MHz) (MHz) ~ Number (MHz) (MHz) ~

1 870.0300 825.0300 30 870.9000 825.9000
2 870.0600 825.0600 31 870.9300 825.9300
3 870.0900 825.0900 32 870.9600 825.9600
4 870.1200 825.1200 33 870.9900 825.9900
5 870.1500 825.1500 34 871.0200 826.0200
6 870.1800 825.1800 35 871.0500 826.0500
7 870.2100 825.2100 36 871.0800 826.0800
8 870.2400 825.2400 37 871.1100 826.1100
9 870.2700 825.2700 38 871.1400 826.1400
10 870.3000 825.3000 39 871.1700 826.1700
11 870.3300 825.3300 40 871.2000 826.2000
12 870.3600 825.3600 41 871.2300 826.2300
13 870.3900 825.3900 42 871.2600 826.2600
14 870.4200 825.4200 43 871.2900 826.2900
15 870.4500 825.4500 44 871.3200 826.3200
16 870.4800 825.4800 45 871.3500 826.3500
17 870.5100 825.5100 46 871.3800 826.3800
18 870.5400 825.5400 47 871.4100 826.4100
19 870.5700 825.5700 48 871.4400 826.4400
20 870.6000 825.6000 49 871.4700 826.4700
21 870.6300 825.6300 50 871.5000 826.5000
22 870.6600 825.6600 51 871.5300 826.5300
23 870.6900 825.6900 52 871.5600 826.5600
24 870.7200 825.7200 53 871.5900 826.5900
25 870.7500 825.7500 54 871-6200 826-6200
26 870.7800 825.7800 55 871 6500 826.6500
27 870.8100 825.8100 56 871.6800 826.6800
28 870.8400 825.8400 57 871.7100 826.7100
29 870.8700 825.8700 58 871.7400 826.7400

59 871.7700 826.7700 111 873.3300 828.3300
60 871.8000 826.8000 112 873.3600 828.3600
61 871.8300 826.8300 113 873.3900 828.3900
62 871.8600 826.8600 114 873.4200 828.4200
63 871.8900 826.8900 115 873.4500 828.4500
64 871.9200 826.9200 116 873.4800 828.4800
65 871.9500 826.9500 117 873.5100 828.5100
66 871.9800 826.9800 118 873.5400 828.5400
67 872.0100 827.0100 119 873.5700 828.5700
68 872.0400 827.0400 120 873.6000 828.6000
69 872.0700 827.0700 121 873.6300 828.6300
70 872.1000 827.1000 122 873.6600 828.6600
71 872.1300 827.1300 123 873.6900 828.6900
72 872.1600 827.1600 124 873.7200 828.7200
73 872.1900 827.1900 125 873.7500 828.7500
74 872.2200 827.2200 126 873.7800 828.7800
75 872.2500 827.2500 127 873.8100 828.8100
76 872.2800 827.2800 128 873.8400 828.8400
77 872.3100 827.3100 129 873.8700 828.8700
78 872.3400 827.3400 130 873.9000 828.9000
79 872.3700 827.3700 131 873.9300 828.9300
80 872.4000 827.4000 132 873.9600 828.9600
81 872.4300 827.4300 133 873.9900 828.9900
82 872.4600 827.4600 134 874.0200 829.0200
83 872.4900 827.4900 135 874.0500 829.0500
84 872.5200 827.5200 136 874.0800 829.0800
85 872.5500 827.5500 137 874.1100 829.1100
86 872.5800 827.5800 138 874.1400 829.1400
87 872.6100 827.6100 139 874.1700 829.1700
88 872.6400 827.6400 140 874.2000 829.2000
89 872.6700 827.6700 141 874.2300 829.2300
90 872.7000 827.7000 142 874.2600 829.2600
91 872.7300 827.7300 143 874.2900 829.2900
92 872.7600 827.7600 144 874.3200 829.3200
93 872.7900 827.7900 145 874.3500 829.3500
94 872.8200 827.8200 146 874.3800 829.3800
95 872.8500 827.8500 147 874.4100 829.4100
96 872.8800 827.8800 148 874.4400 829.4400
97 872.9100 827.9100 149 874.4700 829.4700
98 872.9400 827.9400 150 874.5000 829.5000
99 872.9700 827.9700 151 874.5300 829.5300
100 873.0000 828.0000 152 874.5600 829.5600
101 873.0300 828.0300 153 874.5900 829.5900
102 873.0600 828.0600 154 874.6200 829.6200
103 873.0900 828.0900 155 874.6500 829.6500
104 873.1200 828.1200 156 874.6800 829.6800
l05 873.1500 828.1500 157 874.7100 829.7100
106 873.1800 828.1800 158 874.7400 829.7400
107 873.2100 828.2100 159 874.7700 829.7700
108 873.2400 828.2400 160 874.8000 829.8000
109 873.2700 828.2700 161 874.8300 829.8300

110 873.3000 828.3000 162 874.8600 829.8600

163 874.8900 829.8900 215 876.4500 831.4500
164 874.9200 829.9200 216 876.4800 831.4800
165 874.9500 829.9500 217 876.5100 831.5100
166 874.9800 829.9800 218 876.5400 831.5400
167 875.0100 830.0100 219 876.5700 831.5700
168 875.0400 830.0400 220 876.6000 831.6000
169 875.0700 830.0700 221 876.6300 831.6300
170 875.1000 830.1000 222 876.6600 831.6600
171 875.1300 830.1300 223 876.6900 831.6900
172 875.1600 830.1600 224 876.7200 831.7200
173 875.1900 830.1900 225 876.7500 831.7500
174 875.2200 830.2200 226 876.7800 831.7800
175 875.2500 830.2500 227 876.8100 831.8100
176 875.2800 830.2800 228 876.8400 831.8400
177 875.3100 830.3100 229 876.8700 831.8700
178 875.3400 830.3400 230 876.9000 831.9000
179 875.3700 830.3700 231 876.9300 831.9300
180 875.4000 830.4000 232 876.9600 831.9600
181 875.4300 830.4300 233 876.9900 831.9900
182 875.4600 830.4600 234 877.0200 832.0200
183 875.4900 830.4900 235 877.0500 832.0500
184 875.5200 830.5200 236 877.0800 832.0800
185 875.5500 830.5500 237 877.1100 832.1100
186 875.5800 830.5800 238 877.1400 832.1400
187 875.6100 830.6100 239 877.1700 832.1700
188 875.6400 830.6400 240 877.2000 832.2000
189 875.6700 830.6700 241 877.2300 832.2300
190 875.7000 830.7000 242 877.2600 832.2600
191 875.7300 830.7300 243 877.2900 832.2900
192 875.7600 830.7600 244 877.3200 832.3200
193 875.7900 830.7900 245 877.3500 832.3500
194 875.8200 830.8200 246 877.3800 832.3800
195 875.8500 830.8500 247 877.4100 832.4100
196 875.8800 830.8800 248 877.4400 832.4400
197 875.9100 830.9100 249 877.4700 832.4700
198 875.9400 830.9400 250 877.5000 832.5000
199 875.9700 830.9700 251 877.5300 832.5300
200 876.0000 831.0000 252 877.5600 832.5600
201 876.0300 831.0300 253 877.5900 832.5900
202 876.0600 831.0600 254 877.6200 832.6200
203 876.0900 831.0900 255 877.6500 832.6500
204 876.1200 831.1200 256 877.6800 832.6800
205 876.1500 831.1500 257 877.7100 832.7100
206 876.1800 831.1800 258 877.7400 832.7400
207 876.2100 831.2100 259 877.7700 832.7700
208 876.2400 831.2400 260 877.8000 832.8000
209 876.2700 831.2700 261 877.8300 832.8300
210 876.3000 831.3000 262 877.8600 832.8600

211 876.3300 831.3300 263 877.8900 832.8900
212 876.3600 831.3600 264 877.9200 832.9200
213 876.3900 831.3900 265 877.9500 832.9500
214 876.4200 831.4200 266 877.9800 832.9800

267 878.0100 833.0100 319 879.5700 834.5700
268 878.0400 833.0400 320 879.6000 834.6000
269 878.0700 833.0700 321 879.6300 834.6300
270 878.1000 833.1000 322 879.6600 834.6600
271 878.1300 833.1300 323 879.6900 834.6900
272 878.1600 833.1600 324 879.7200 834.7200
273 878.1900 833.1900 325 879.7500 834.7500
274 878.2200 833.2200 326 879.7800 834.7800
275 878.2500 833.2500 327 879.8100 834.8100
276 878.2800 833.2800 328 879.8400 834.8400
277 878.3100 833.3100 329 879.8700 834.8700
278 878.3400 833.3400 330 879.9000 834.9000
279 878.3700 833.3700 331 879.9300 834.9300
280 878.4000 833.4000 332 879.9600 834.9600
281 878.4300 833.4300 333 879.9900 834.9900
282 878.4600 833.4600 334 880.0200 835.0200
283 878.4900 833.4900 335 880.0500 835.0500
284 878.5200 833.5200 336 880.0800 835.0800
285 878.5500 833.5500 337 880.1100 835.1100
286 878.5800 833.5800 338 880.1400 835.1400
287 878.6100 833.6100 339 880.1700 835.1700
288 878.6400 833.6400 340 880.2000 835.2000
289 878.6700 833.6700 341 880.2300 835.2300
290 878.7000 833.7000 342 880.2600 835.2600
291 878.7300 833.7300 343 880.2900 835.2900
292 878.7600 833.7600 344 880.3200 835.3200
293 878.7900 833.7900 345 880.3500 835.3500
294 878.8200 833.8200 346 880.3800 835.3800
295 878.8500 833.8500 347 880.4100 835.4100
296 878.8800 833.8800 348 880.4400 835.4400
297 878.9100 833.9100 349 880.4700 835.4700
298 878.9400 833.9400 350 880.5000 835.5000
299 878.9700 833.9700 351 880.5300 835.5300
300 879.0000 834.0000 352 880.5600 835.5600
301 879.0300 834.0300 353 880.5900 835.5900
302 879.0600 834.0600 354 880.6200 853.6200
303 879.0900 834.0900 355 880.6500 835.6500
304 879.1200 834.1200 356 880.6800 835.6800
305 879.1500 834.1500 357 880.7100 835.7100
306 879.1800 834.1800 358 880.7400 835.7400
307 879.2100 834.2100 359 880.7700 835.7700
308 879.2400 834.2400 360 880.8000 835.8000
309 879.2700 834.2700 361 880.8300 835.8300
310 879.3000 834.3000 362 880.8600 835.8600
311 879.3300 834.3300 363 880.8900 835.8900

312 879.3600 834.3600 364 880.9200 835.9200
313 879.3900 834.3900 365 880.9500 835.9500
314 879.4200 834.4200 366 880.9800 835.9800
315 879.4500 834.4500 367 881.0100 836.0100
316 879.4800 834.4800 368 881.0400 836.0400
317 879.5100 834.5100 369 881.0700 836.0700
318 879.5400 834.5400 370 881.1000 836.1000

371 881.1300 836.1300 423 882.6900 837.6900
372 881.1600 836.1600 424 882.7200 837.7200
373 881.1900 836.1900 425 882.7500 837.7500
374 881.2200 836.2200 426 882.7800 837.7800
375 881.2500 836.2500 427 882.8100 837.8100
376 881.2800 836.2800 428 882.8400 837.8400
377 881.3100 836.3100 429 882.8700 837.8700
378 881.3400 836.3400 430 882.9000 837.9000
379 881.3700 836.3700 431 882.9300 837.9300
380 881.4000 836.4000 432 882.9600 837.9600
381 881.4300 836.4300 433 882.9900 837.9900
382 881.4600 836.4600 434 883.0200 838.0200
383 881.4900 836.4900 435 883.0500 838.0500
384 881.5200 836.5200 436 883.0800 838.0800
385 881.5500 836.5500 437 883.1100 838.1100
386 881.5800 836.5800 438 883.1400 838.1400
387 881.6100 836.6100 439 883.1700 838.1700
388 881.6400 836.6400 440 883.2000 838.2000
389 881.6700 836.6700 441 883.2300 838.2300
390 881.7000 836.7000 442 883.2600 838.2600
391 881.7300 836.7300 443 883.2900 838.2900
392 881.7600 836.7600 444 883.3200 838.3200
393 881.7900 836.7900 445 883.3500 838.3500
394 881.8200 836.8200 446 883.3800 838.3800
395 881.8500 836.8500 447 883.4100 838.4100
396 881.8800 836.8800 448 883.4400 838.4400
397 881.9100 836.9100 449 883.4700 838.4700
398 881.9400 836.9400 450 883.5000 838.5000
399 881.9700 836.9700 451 883.5300 838.5300
400 882.0000 837.0000 452 883.5600 838.5600
401 882.0300 837.0300 453 883.5900 838.5900
402 882.0600 837.0600 454 883.6200 838.6200
403 882.0900 837.0900 455 883.6500 838.6500
404 882.1200 837.1200 456 883.6800 838.6800
405 882.1500 837.1500 457 883.7100 838.7100
406 882.1800 837.1800 458 883.7400 838.7400
407 882.2100 837.2100 459 883.7700 838.7700
408 882.2400 837.2400 460 883.8000 838.8000
409 882.2700 837.2700 461 883.8300 838.8300
410 882.3000 837.3000 462 883.8600 838.8600
411 882.3300 837.3300 463 883.8900 838.8900
412 882.3600 837.3600 464 883.9200 838.9200

413 882.3900 837.3900 465 883.9500 838.9500
414 882.4200 837.4200 466 883.9800 838.9800
415 882.4500 837.4500 467 884.0100 839.0100
416 882.4800 837.4800 468 884.0400 839.0400
417 882.5100 837.5100 469 884.0700 839.0700
418 882.5400 837.5400 470 884.1000 839.1000
419 882.5700 837.5700 471 884.1300 839.1300
420 882.6000 837.6000 472 884.1600 839.1600
421 882.6300 837.6300 473 884.1900 839.1900
422 882 6000~ 837.6600~ 474 884.2200 839.2200

475 884.2500 839.2500 527 885.8100 840.8100
476 884.2800 839.2800 528 885.8400 840.8400
477 884.3100 839.3100 529 885.8700 840.8700
478 884.3400 839.3400 530 885.9000 840.9000
479 884.3700 839.3700 531 885.9300 840.9300
480 884.4000 839.4000 532 885.9600 840.9600
481 884.4300 839.4300 533 885.9900 840.9900
482 884.4600 839.4600 534 886.0200 841.0200
483 884.4900 839.4900 535 886.0500 841.0500
484 884.5200 839.5200 536 886.0800 841.0800
485 884.5500 839.5500 537 886.1100 841.1100
486 884.5800 839.5800 538 886.1400 841.1400
487 884.6100 839.6100 539 886.1700 841.1700
488 884.6400 839.6400 540 886.2000 841.2000
489 884.6700 839.6700 541 886.2300 841.2300
490 884.7000 839.7000 542 886.2600 841.2600
491 884.7300 839.7300 543 886.2900 841.2900
492 884.7600 839.7600 544 886.3200 841.3200
493 884.7900 839.7900 545 886.3500 841.3500
494 884.8200 839.8200 546 886.3800 841.3800
495 884.8500 839.8500 547 886.4100 841.4100
496 884.8800 839.8800 548 886.4400 841.4400
497 884.9100 839.9100 549 886.4700 841.4700
498 884.9400 839.9400 550 886.5000 841.5000
499 884.9700 839.9700 551 886.5300 841.5300
500 885.0000 840.0000 552 886.5600 841.5600
501 885.0300 840.0300 553 886.5900 841.5900
502 885.0600 840.0600 554 886.6200 841.6200
503 885.0900 840.0900 555 886.6500 841.6500
504 885.1200 840.1200 556 886.6800 841.6800
505 885.1500 840.1500 557 886.7100 841.7100
506 885.1800 840.1800 558 886.7400 841.7400
507 885.2100 840.2100 559 886.7700 841.7700
508 885.2400 840.2400 560 886.8000 841.8000
509 885.2700 840.2700 561 886.8300 841.8300
510 885.3000 840.3000 562 886.8600 841.8600
5ll 885.3300 840.3300 563 886.8900 841.8900
512 885.3600 840.3600 564 886.9200 841.9200
513 885.3900 840.3900 565 886.9500 841.9500

514 885.4200 840.4200 566 886.9800 841.9800
515 885.4500 840.4500 567 887.0100 842.0100
516 885.4800 840.4800 568 887.0400 842.0400
517 885.5100 840.5100 569 887.0700 842.0700
518 885.5400 840.5400 570 887.1000 842.1000
5l9 885.5700 840.5700 571 887.1300 842.1300
520 885.6000 840.6000 572 887.1600 842.1600
521 885.6300 840.6300 573 887.1900 842.1900
522 885.6600 840.6600 574 887.2200 842.2200
523 885.6900 840.6900 575 887.2500 842.2500
524 885.7200 840.7200 576 887.2800 842.2800
525 885.7500 840.7500 577 887.3100 842.3100

579 887.3700 842.3700 623 888.6900 843.6900
580 887.4000 842.4000 624 888.7200 843.7200
581 887.4300 842.4300 625 888.7500 843.7500
582 887.4600 842.4600 626 888.7800 843.7800
583 887.4900 842.4900 627 888.8100 843.8100
584 887.5200 842.5200 628 888.8400 843.8400
585 887.5500 842.5500 629 888.8700 843.8700
586 887.5800 842.5800 630 888.9000 843.9000
587 887.6100 842.6100 631 888.9300 843.9300
588 887.6400 842.6400 632 888.9600 843.9600
589 887.6700 842.6700 633 888.9900 843.9900
590 887.7000 842.7000 634 889.0200 844.0200
591 887.7300 842.7300 635 889.0500 844.0500
592 887.7600 842.7600 636 889.0800 844.0800
593 887.7900 842.7900 637 889.1100 844.1100
594 887.8200 842.8200 638 889.1400 844.1400
595 887.8500 842.8500 639 889.1700 844.1700
596 887.8800 842.8800 640 889.2000 844.2000
597 887.9100 842.9100 641 889.2300 844.2300
598 887.9400 842.9400 642 889.2600 844.2600
599 887.9700 842.9700 643 889.2900 844.2900
600 888.0000 843.0000 644 889.3200 844.3200
601 888.0300 843.0300 645 889.3500 844.3500
602 888.0600 843.0600 646 889.3800 844.3800
603 888.0900 843.0900 647 889.4100 844.4100
604 888.1200 843.1200 648 889.4400 844.4400
605 888.1500 843.1500 649 889.4700 884.4700
606 888.1800 843.1800 650 889.5000 844.5000
607 888.2100 843.2100 651 889.5300 844.5300
608 888.2400 843.2400 652 889.5600 844.5600
609 888.2700 843.2700 653 889.5900 844.5900
610 888.3000 843.3000 654 889.6200 844.6200
611 888.3300 843.3300 655 889.6500 844.6500
612 888.3600 843.3600 656 889.6800 844.6800
613 888.3900 843.3900 657 889.7100 844.7100
614 888.4200 843.4200 658 889.7400 844.7400
615 888.4500 843.4500 659 889.7700 844.7700

616 888.4800 843.4800 660 889.8000 844.8000
617 888.5100 843.5100 661 889.8300 844.8300
618 888.5400 843.5400 662 889.8600 844.8600
619 888.5700 843.5700 663 889.8900 844.8900
620 888.6000 843.6000 664 889.9200 844.9200
621 888.6300 843.6300 665 889.9500 844.9500
622 888.6600 843.6600 666 889.9800 844.9800

Note: The majority of the channels are used for voice communications,
the exceptions are Channels 313 through 333 which are used for
signalling and Channels 334 through 354 for control purposes.

**********EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT**********

DOUGLAS COUNTY 155.1600
" 155.8050
JOHNSON COUNTY 153.9950
" RACES 145.4700
JOPLIN RACES 147.2100*
LEAVONWORTH COUNTY 155.8200
METRO EMERGENCY RADIO SERVICE *MERS* 158.8200
PITTSBURG RACES 146.9400*
SHAWNEE COUNTY 158.7450
" 158.8650
WYANDOTTE COUNTY 153.9650
" 155.8950
" RACES 147.2100

**********MERS**********
METROPOLITAN EMERGENCY RADIO SERVICE

CHECK LIST

NET CONTROL KNIS 980 LENEXA,KS

NET EVERY FRIDAY AT 1115

BLUE SPRINGS PD
CLAY COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT
MERS CONTINUED
CLAY COUNTY SHERIFF
EXCELSIOR SPRINGS PD
GLADSTONE PUBLIC SAFETY
INDEPENDENCE FD
JACKSON COUNTY SHERIFF
JOHNSON COUNTY FD
JOHNSON COUNTY SHERIFF
KANSAS CITY, KS FD
" " " PD
KC METRO RED CROSS
KANSAS CITY, MO FD
" " " PD
KEARNEY FD

LAFAYETTE COUNTY EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
LEAVONWORTH " " "
LEAWOOD PD
LEES SUMMIT FD
LENEXA PD
LIBERTY PD
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE
NORTH KANSAS CITY PD
OAK GROVE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT
ODESSA PD
OLATHE PD
OVERLAND PARK PD
PLATTE COUNTY SHERIFF
RAYTOWN EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY
WYANDOTTE COUNTY EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS CENTER

**********FEDERAL**********
SPECIAL NOTE: THE MONITORING OF THESE FREQUENCIES MAY BE
ILLEGAL. I HAVE INCLUDED THEM FOR REFERANCE PURPOSES ONLY.

ALSO, BECAUSE OF INCREASED ACTIVITY BY FEMA (FEDERAL
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION) IN THIS AREA I HAVE
A SEPERATE FILE IN THIS PACKET ON THEM WITH NEW FREQUNCIES
AND UNIT ASSIGNMENTS,

ATF 165.2350
" 165.2850
" F-1 165.2875
" 165.2900
" LOCAL 165.3500
" F-4 SURVELLANCE 165.5125
" CAR-TO-CAR 165.9125
" F-2 SURVEILLANCE 166.2875
" 166.5375
" 166.8500
" 166.9250
" TACTICAL 168.0000
" F-3 SURVEILLANCE 170.4125
" 173.8875
" SURVEILLANCE 407.1500
" " 407.8500
" " 407.8750
" " 409.1500
" TACTICAL 414.7000
" " 418.2250
" " 418.2500
CUSTOMS 162.8250
" 164.7750
" 165.2375
" CHAN 5 165.4625

" TACTICAL 165.7375
" " 165.8500
DEA 5.2100
" 7.3480
" 8.1250
" 9.4650
" 10.4930
" 11.8000
" 12.2150
" 14.6860
" 14.9080
" 16.4300
" 17.6500
" 164.7750
" 166.0000
" AM MODE 281.4000
" CONTROL/MOBILES C1 416.0500
" CONTROL/MOBILS C9 REPEATER OUT 417.7500
" CAR-TO-CAR C10 " "
" CONTOL/MOBILS C1 REPEATER OUT 418.6250
" TACTICAL C4 418.6750
" " C3 418.7500
" 418.7750
" CONTROL/MOBILES C5 REPEATER OUT 418.8250
" " " C2 " " 418.9000
" " " C3 " " 418.9500
" " " C7 " " 418.9750
" CAR-TO-CAR C8 " "
" 419.0000
" 419.2000
" 419.2250
" 419.2500
" 419.2750
" 419.3000
DEA C1 TO C10 USE TONE OF 156.7, PL CODE 5A

DEA HIDTA PROJECT
HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFIC AREA
" C1 REPEATER 414.5500
" C2 " 414.5000
" C3 SIMPLEX 414.0250
" C4 " 414.0500
" C5 " 414.1500
" C6 REPEATER 414.3500
" C7 " " "
" C8 414.4500
" C9 " 414.4750
" C10 " 412.1250
TONES ARE 156.7, CODE PL 5A
FAA 165.6375

" 165.6625
" 172.9250
" 172.9500
" 172.8500
" 172.1250
" 172.1500
" 172.1750
" 166.1750
" 163.9350
" 163.9500
" 163.9600
" 163.9850
" 164.2000
" 167.1750
" 167.2375
" 167.2650
" 167.2875
" 167.3625
" 167.3850
" 167.3875
" 167.4125
" 167.4375
" 167.4625
" 167.4875
" 167.5375
" 167.5625
" 167.6125
" 167.6375
" 167.6875
" 167.7250
" 167.7375
" AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL 319.1000
" " " " 363.8000
" FLIGHT SERVICE STATIONS 255.4000
" WEATHER 239.8000
" " 342.5000
FBI 4.6180
" 5.3900
" 6.9540
" 7.9050
" 9.0150
" 9.9150
" 10.5000
" 11.2100
" 13.6600
" 14.5340
" 16.3760
" 17.4050
" 18.1730
" 23.8750

" 167.7875
" 412.4750
" 412.5750
" 414.1750
" 414.2250
" 414.3000
" 419.3500
" 167.6850
FBI OCDETF
ORGANIZED CRIME & DRUG ENFORCEMENT
TASK FORCE
" " " CAR-TO-CAR 164.5500
" " " D1 NATIONAL 168.8625
" " " D1 REPEATER OUT 164.5500
" " " D2 SIMPLEX 164.5500
" " " D3 TREASURY COMMON 166.4625
" " " D4 NATIONWIDE 167.5625
" " " D5 SPECIAL SIMPLEX 167.5375
" " " D6 " REPEATER 163.8625
" " " " " " OUT 167.5375
" " " D7 DEPT. ENERGY 167.1000
" " " D8 SIMPLEX 155.4750
PL TONES FOR OCDETF ARE 167.9 (6Z)
FCC 4.4830
" 7.7900
" 13.9900
FEDERAL DISASTER NETWORK 170.2000
FEMA 5.2100
" 7.3480
" 8.1250
" 9.4650
" 10.4930
" 11.8000
" 12.2150
" 14.6860
" 14.9080
" 16.4300
" 17.6500
" IA,KS,MO,NE 140.0250
" " " " " 140.9000
" NATIONWIDE 142.3500
" " 142.3750
" " 142.4250
" " 142.9750
" " 143.0000
" " 164.8625
" " 165.6625
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE BOARD EMERGENCY NET 170.2000
FISH AND WILDLIFE 34.8300
GSA KCMO 413.8750*

IMMIGRATION [INS] CHAN 1 163.6250
" CHAN 4 163.6750
" CHAN 2 163.7250
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 409.2000
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE 165.4625
" " " 165.9500
" " " 166.0000
" " " 166.5875
" " " 167.0000
" " " 167.1000
" " " 414.7000
" " " 418.1750
" " " 418.2250

LAKE CITY AMMO PLANT FIRE 165.0875
" " " " POLICE 173.1750
NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY
" " " OPERATIONS 40.9700
" " " TACTICAL 40.2600
NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD 165.7625
" " " " 166.1750
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 165.6625
" " " 167.2750
" " " 167.8750
" " " 169.1000
" " " 411.0250
" " " 411.0750
" " " 411.1500
" " " 416.2500
" " " 416.3000
" " " 416.4000
" " " 417.9750
PRISONS SECURITY 170.8750
PRISONS EMERGENCY 170.9250
" TACTICAL 170.6500
SECRET SERVICE CAMP DAVID 32.2300
" " 162.3750
" " 162.6875
" " 162.8500
" " 163.3600
" " 163.3625
" " 163.4000
" " 163.8100
" " PAPA 164.4000
" " TANGO 164.6500
" " 164.7500
" " OSCAR 164.8850
" " 164.8875
" " NOVEMBER 165.0250
" " HOTEL 165.0850

" " MIKE 165.2100
" " 165.2125
" " ALPHA 165.2350
" " CHARLIE 165.3750
" " 165.6750
" " GOLF 165.7600
" " BAKER 165.7875
" " 165.9000
" " 166.2100
" " VICTOR 166.4625
" " 166.4850
" " SIERRA 166.5125
" " ROMEO 166.6125
" " PAGING QUEBEC 166.7000
" " [OLD NOVEMBER] WHISKEY 167.0250
" " 168.4500
" " 165.0850
STATE DEPARTMENT 409.6250
SUNFLOWER AMMO PLANT 173.5125
TREASURY CHANNEL 4 166.4625
US MARSHALL 163.2000
" " AIR & MOBILS 163.8125
" " 164.6000
" " TANGO 164.6500
VA KCMO SECURITY 166.2000
YOUTH JOB CORPS CENTER EXCELSIOR SPRING 166.2500

REMEMBER IT MAY NOT BE LEGAL TO MONITOR RADIO TRAFFIC
ON FEDERAL FREQUENCIES. PLEASE CHECK FIRST. ALSO
TRANSMISSIONS ON THESE FREQUENCIES MAY BE SCRAMBLED OR
NOT EXISTENT AT ANYTIME. ALSO UNLIKE LOCAL AGENCIES, IF
YOU DIVULGE WHAT YOU MAY HEAR ON ANY FEDERAL FREQUENCY,
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL DEFINITELY PROSECUTE YOU TO
THE FULL EXTENT OF THE LAW, INCLUDING FEDERAL
INCARCERATION. SOME FEDERAL FREQUENCIES ARE DUPLICATED,
BECAUSE AGENCIES SHARE FREQUENCIES, THESE ARE NOT
MISTAKES.

**********FEDERAL 10 CODE LIST**********

10-00 NEGATIVE
10-04 OK
10-07 OUT OF SERVICE
10-08 IN SERVICE
10-09 REPEAT LAST TRANSMISSION
10-16 MESSAGE CHECK
10-20 LOCATION
10-21 PHONE CALL
10-23 STANDBY
10-28 LICENSE PLATE CHECK
10-29 OPERATORS LICENSE CHECK

10-66 ALARM
10-85 MEET WITH
10-90 BANK ROBBERY
10-95 SUBJECT APPREHENDED, ARE CLEARED AND SECURED

**********FIRE DEPARTMENT**********
EMS

BELTON FIRE FREQ. #1 154.2800
" FREQ. #2 154.4000
CASS COUNTY 154.2800
CENTRAL JACKSON COUNTY FIRE DISPATCH 154.3700
" " " " OPERATIONS 154.2200
" " " " FIRE GROUND 155.8300
EUDORA FD 155.8050
HARRISONVILLE 155.8800
HEAR RADIO 155.3400
HUCKABY AMBULANCE 155.2350
INDEPENDENCE FIRE FREQ. #1 154.2800
" FREQ. #2 DISPATCH 154.3100
JO. CO. FIRE / MED-ACT FREQ. #1 154.2500
" " FREQ. #2 154.2050
" " FREQ. #3 154.0700
" " 154.4150
" " 154.2950
" " 154.2800
KS. HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION 155.2800
KANSAS CITY, KS SOUTH TOWER -- KUMC 854.9625
" WEST TOWER I-70 & K-7 855.2125
" EAST TOWER COURTHOUSE 855.7125
KANSAS CITY, MO. 154.1300
" " " DISPATCHER 453.0500
KEARNEY 154.1750
LAWRENCE 153.8900
LAWRENCE 154.4000
LEAVONWORTH 156.0000
LEAVONWORTH FIRE 150.9950
LEES SUMMIT FIRE FREQ. #1 154.2800
" FREQ. #2 453.9000
" FREQ. #3 SCENE 455.5250
" 453.5750
" 460.3500
LOUISBURG 154.1600
" 154.2800
MED 1 BASE 463.0000
MOBIL 468.0000
MED 2 BASE 463.0250
MOBIL 468.0250
MED 3 BASE 463.0500
MOBIL 468.0500
MED 4 BASE 463.0750

MOBIL 468.0750
MED 5 BASE 463.1000
MOBIL 468.1000
MED 6 BASE 463.1250
MOBIL 468.1250
MED 7 BASE 463.1500
MOBIL 468.1500
MED 8 BASE 463.1750
MOBIL 468.1750
MEDEVAC 462.9500
" 462.9750
" 463.1750
" 467.9500
" 467.9750
" 468.1750
MIAMI COUNTY RURAL FIRE 154.3400
RAYTOWN FIRE 154.3700
RIVERSIDE 154.1900
SOUTHERN PLATTE 154.2800

**********KCK FIRE 10-CODE**********

10-07 OUT OF SERVICE
10-08 IN SERVICE
10-10 ENROUTE TO CALL
10-23 ON SCENE
10-44 FIRE OUT
10-50 OUT OF SERVICE FOR MAINTENANCE

CODE 01 NOTHING SHOWING
CODE 02 SMOKE SHOWING
CODE 03 FIRE SHOWING

ALS PUMPER -- ADVANCED LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPPED
[PARAMEDIC /FIREFIGHTER ON BOARD]

BLS PUMPER -- BASIC LIFE SUPPORT PUMPER
[NOT EQUIPPED WITH PARAMEDIC/FIREFIGHTER
OR THE PARAMEDIC HAS LEFT WITH MAST FOR
THE HOSPITAL]

**********HAM RADIO**********

FOR A DETAILED LISTING OF HAM REPEATERS AND NETS SEE HAMFREQ
ATTACHED WITH THIS ZIP FILE.

**********RED CROSS**********

RED CROSS 47.42 46.46 142.88 142.75 142.47
" " EMERGENCY 47.42 47.46
" " KCK 468.175

**********LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT**********

BELTON 155.3700
" 155.4750
" 155.7300
" 453.6000 458.6000
BLUE SPRINGS PD 154.8150
" " " 155.8650
BONNER SPRINGS PD CHAN 2 153.8150
" " " 155.5200
" " " 155.5650
CASS COUNTY SHERIFF 155.3700
" " " DISPATCH 155.6850
" " " 155.7300
FAIRWAY 156.2400
GLADSTONE PD 155.8050
" 851.4875
" 853.6375
" 854.7365
GRANDVIEW PD 155.3700
" 155.4750
" DISPATCH 155.5500
" 155.7300
INDEPENDENCE SOUTH 453.8500
" NORTH 453.3000
" 453.6000
" CHANNEL 3 453.6750
" 453.8000
" CHANNEL 4 4 - 5 BLOCK RANGE 458.3000
JACKSON COUNTY SHERIFF 154.7550
" " 155.6700
" " 155.7300
" " DISPATCH 155.7900
" " MOBIL 155.9700

JOHNSON COUNTY SHERIFF 39.4600
" " " JAIL 153.7750
" " " " HH 153.8150
" " " 154.7750
" " " 154.7850
" " " NORTH 158.7300
" " " SOUTH 158.7750
" " " 158.9700
" " " ? 155.4750
" " " " 159.0300
" " " " 159.1500
" " " " 159.5850
" " " INTERSYSTEM 453.4500
KCK PD PHONE PATCH 856.7625
" TRUNKED COUNTY WIDE 856.9375
" PHONE PATCH 857.7650
" 857.9375
" 858.7650

" 858.9375
" 859.7650
" 859.9375
" 860.7650
" 860.9375
" 460.3000
" PAGING 155.6100
KANSAS CITY, MO PD 154.7100
" 154.7300
" 154.7400
" 154.8600
" 154.8900
" 155.6400
" 155.8500
" NORTHEAST 156.0900
@ " 851.4150
@ " 856.4375
@ " 857.4375
@ " 858.4375
@ " 859.4375
" SIMPLEX CAR-TO-CAR 860.4375
KANSAS HIGHWAY PATROL 44.9400
LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTINUED
KANSAS HIGHWAY PATROL 45.1800
" 45.1400
" 44.9800
KANSAS TURNPIKE AUTHORITY 154.8300
KU MED 460.2750
LAKE QUIVIRA 159.3750
LANSING STATE PEN. 155.3100
LEAVONWORTH COUNTY SHERIFF 39.4600
" " " 39.5800
" " " 453.2000
" " " 453.3500
" " " 458.2000
" " " 458.3500
" " " 460.2500
" " " 465.2500
LEAVONWORTH PEN. 170.8750
LEAWOOD 453.6250
" 453.9500
" 458.9500
LEES SUMMIT PD 155.3700
" " 155.4750
" " 155.9100
" " F2/CITY 156.0300
" " DISPATCH 159.0900
LENEXA 460.1000
" 460.3250
" 460.4500

LIBERTY PD DISPATCH 154.8000
" 155.3700
" 155.4750
" 155.7300
LOUISBURG 154.7850
MERRIAM 159.1050
MISSION 159.0000
MISSION HILLS 458.6250
MISSOURI HI-PO TROOP C & F 42.1200
" " TROOP A LEES SUMMIT 42.0600
" " 42.3800
" " CRIME CONTROL LEES SUMMIT 154.9200
" " STATE WIDE 155.3700
" " " " MUTUAL AID 155.4750
" " WATER PATROL LAKE JACOMO 159.1800
MISSOURI STATE PRISON 155.6700
MUTUAL AID [USED BY?] 165.2850
NORTH KANSAS CITY 155.7300
" " 460.1250
" " 460.4250
" " 465.1250
" " 465.4250
" " 856.7125
" " 857.7125
" " 858.7175
" " 859.7175
" " 860.7175
OAK GROVE 155.4750
OLATHE PD 154.9500
" 155.3700
" 453.8250
" 453.7500
" 453.9000
" 855.4875
@ OVERLAND PARK 856.9875
@ " " 857.9875
@ " " 858.9875
@ " " 859.9875
@ " " 860.9875
PARKEVILLE PD 155.4750
" 155.5800
" 155.6250
" 155.7300
POTOSI 154.1150
PRAIRIE VILLAGE 154.7850
" 453.6250
@ " 858.2375
@ " 859.2375
@ " 860.2375
@ " FUTURE 856.2375

@ " " 857.2375
RAYTOWN PD 155.0700
" 155.3700
" 155.4750
" 460.0250
" 465.0250
RIVERSIDE 155.7300
ROELAND PARK 153.8750
" " 153.9250
" " 155.9250
SHAWNEE PD 460.0750
" 460.1750
" 465.0750
" 465.1750
" 465.2500
SUGAR CREEK 155.8800
TONGANOXIE 158.7450
WESTWOOD 153.8750
WY CO SHERIFF 155.4300
" DISPATCH 155.5200
" 154.9500
" COUNTY WIDE 155.3700

**********MEDIA**********

IF YOU CHOOSE TO MONITOR THESE FREQUENCIES BE
VERY CAREFUL. THEY FALL INTO A GRAY AREA OF THE ECPA &
MAY NOT BE LEGAL TO MONITOR. THE COURTS HAVE RULED BOTH
WAYS ON THESE FREQUENCIES.

NEWSPAPERS
KANSAS CITY STAR 453.0000
KANSAS CITY STAR 458.0000

TV
CHANNEL 4 450.2875
CHANNEL 4 450.0500

CHANNEL 9 ENGINEERING ROOM 455.2125
CHANNEL 9 450.1875

**********MILITARY**********

ARMY

AIR 41.5000
" 46.9000
" /NATIONAL GAURD AM 241.0000
" " 368.1000
" 412.9000
" 413.4250
CID 406.9750
CIVIL EMERGENCY 34.9000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS 163.4125
" " 163.4375
DISTRESS NET 40.5000
EOD AND NUCLEAR TEAM 49.3000
" " " 49.7000
" " " 49.8000
ESCORT 36.7100
" 36.8900
FT. LEAVONWORTH AMBULANCE 164.5000
" " DISCIPLINARY BARRACKS 173.4875
" " " " 173.5625
" " " " 173.6125
" " " " 173.7125
" " POLICE 164.1000
" " " 164.9625
FT. LEAVONWORTH POLICE 165.0625
FT RILEY MP 166.2250
GOLDEN KNIGHTS 32.3000
GOLDEN KNIGHTS 42.3500
MARS NET 143.4500
" 148.1000

AIR FORCE
UNKNOWN 30.6000
" 34.5000
AIR FORCE ONE 6.6800
" " " 6.6830
" " " 6.7160
" " " 6.7350
" " " 6.7600
" " " 6.8120
" " " 7.6140
" " " 8.8930
" " " 8.9650
" " " 9.0100
" " " 9.0230
" " " 9.9580
" " " 11.0350
AIR FORCE ONE 11.1180
" " " 11.1800
" " " 13.2050
" " " 13.2150
" " " 15.0480
" " " 17.9750
" " " 18.2050
" " " 20.0160
" " " 20.0530
" " " 23.5760
" " " 23.6230
" " " 397.0500

" " " 415.7000
FIRE/CRASH TEAM 173.5875
GROUND SUPPORT 413.0000
" " 413.0250
KANSAS ANG COMMAND POST 301.6000*
MARS 143.4500
" 143.4600
" SIMPLEX 143.9500
MYSTIC STAR (VIP FLIGHTS) 4.4870
" " " " 5.3400
" " " " 6.9930
" " " " 7.3050
" " " " 7.7350
" " " " 7.6990
" " " " 7.9220
" " " " 8.0290
" " " " 8.1620
" " " " 8.1700
" " " " 9.2700
" " " " 9.9580
" " " " 10.4270
" " " " 11.1530
" " " " 12.2400
" " " " 13.7600
" " " " 14.9020
" " " " 15.5555
" " " " 18.4900
" " " " 19.0470
NORAD AM MODE 228.8000
" " 234.7000
" " 238.5000
" " 264.9000
" " 364.2000
OSI 138.0750
" 138.1750
REFUEL AM MODE 235.1000
" " 238.7000
" " 238.9000
" " 252.1000
" " 254.6000
" " 266.5000
" " 340.8000
AIR FORCE RESEVE COMMAND POST 351.2000*
SAC [AM MODE] 311.0000
" " F-6 318.9000
" " F-11 SECONDARY 321.0000
" " F-14 324.2000
" " F-12 324.5000
" " F-17 335.8000
" " F-18 336.6000

" " F-4 346.4000
" " F-13 349.0000
" " F-3 359.3000
SAC ALERT 413.4500
" TOWERS 349.4000
SECURITY 163.5125
TACTICAL AM MODE 36.8000
" " 242.2000
" " 252.8000
" " 378.9000
THUNDERBIRDS AM MODE 120.4500
" " VICTOR 1 141.8500#
" LEAD " " 2 232.2500*
" " 236.5500
" " 241.4000
" " 250.8500
" " 283.5000
" " 322.6000
" " SOLO 322.9500#
" " 382.9000
" GROUND SUPPORT 413.0000
" " " CH 1 413.1000*
" " " CH 2 413.0250*
VIP FLIGHTS AM MODE 291.5000
FORBES FIRE/CRASH 173.5875
" MEDICAL 173.5625
" SECURITY 163.3750
" " 163.4875
MCCONNELL COMMANDERS NET 148.0750
" FIRE/CRASH 173.5875
" LAW 163.4875
" MEDICAL/DISASTER PREP 173.5625
" POLICE 148.5450
" SECURITY 163.4625
WHITEMAN 149.1750
" 149.2050
" 149.2350
" 149.2650
" FIRE/CRASH 173.5875
" LAW 163.5875
" " 165.1375
" " 165.1875
" MEDICAL NET 173.6375
" SECURITY 163.5125

CIVIL AIR PATROL

KANSAS WING SIMPLEX 143.7500
" " " 143.9000
GROUND SIMPLEX/ALTERNATE REPEATER 148.1250
UNIVERSAL REPEATER 148.1500

TOPEKA REPEATER 148.2150
GROUND SIMPLEX 148.3175
" " 148.5000
AIR/GROUND SIMPLEX 149.5375
PACKET 149.8950

MISC INFO ELT CALLSIGN KR-9524 121.6000
SAR " " KA-4983

COAST GAURD

SEARCH & RESCUE 237.9000
AIR-SEA RESCUE 287.8000
UNKNOWN 381.8000
SECONDARY 383.9000

NAVY

AIR-AIR REFUELING 254.6000
" " TRAINING 300.6000
BLUE ANGELS AM MODE AIR SHOW 123.4000
" " " TAC ALPHA 142.0000
" " " GROUND SUPPORT 142.0250
" " " 142.6250
" " " CHAN B TAC 143.0000
" " " MAINTENANCE CH 10 143.6000
" " " 241.4000
" " " 250.8000
" " " AIRCRAFT 5&6 251.6000
" " " " 1-4 275.3500
" " " 319.8000
" " " 360.4000
" " " 384.4000
" " " 395.9000
" " " MAINTENANCE 418.0500*
MARS 49.9800
" 148.9750

**********METEORLOGICAL SATELLITES**********

METEOR2-16 RUSSIAN 137.4000
METEOR2-17 " 137.4000
METEOR2-18 " 137.3000
METEOR3-2 " 137.8500
METEOR3-3 " 137.8500
NOAA-10 USA 137.5000
NOAA-11 USA 137.6200

**********RAILROAD**********

AMTRAK KC CARMEN 161.1750
BALTIMORE-OHIO 160.3200
CI 160.2000

CONRAIL 160.8000
ILLINOIS CENTRAL 161.1900
KANSAS CITY SOUTHERN 160.2600
" " " 160.3050
" " " 160.3500
KANSAS CITY TERMINAL 161.5500
MISSOURI PACIFIC 160.4100
" " 160.4700
" " 160.5900
SANTA FE KC EAST HUMP 160.2150
" PBX 160.2450
" PBX #4 160.2600
" " 3 160.3350
" " 160.4250
" ROAD #5 160.5600
" 4 160.5900
" 1 160.6500
" KC WEST HUMP 160.8450
" ROAD #2 160.9350
" KC EAST CARMEN 160.9500
" MAINTENANCE 161.0100
" KC PIGGYBACK 161.0400
" ROAD #3 161.1900
" AGENTS 161.2050
" YARD 161.3700
" KC WEST CARMEN 452.9000

**********AMTRACK 10 CODE**********

10-00 EMERGENCY BROADCAST
10-10 CHECK VEHICLE/PERSON
10-11 REQUEST ASSISTANCE
10-12 " SUPERVISOR
10-13 " LOCAL POLICE
10-14 " AMBULANCE
10-15 " FIRE DEPARTMENT
10-16 PRISONER IN CUSTODY
10-17 CHECK FOR WANTS/WARRANTS
10-18 REQUEST TO HQ
10-19 PHONE HQ
10-24 ALARM SOUNDING
10-26 PREPARE TO COPY
10-33 DOES NOT CONFORM TO RULES
10-45 ACCIDENT
10-46 TRAIN EMERGENCY
10-47 PROTECT TRAIN
10-48 VANDALISM
10-49 ASSIST PASSENGER
10-50 DISTURBANCE
10-82 HOSTAGE SITUATION
10-88 BOMB THREAT

10-90 ARRIVED AT SCENE
10-95 ENROUTE
10-99 ASSIST OFFICER

**********MISC.**********

BABY MONITOR 49.8300*
" " 49.8450*
" " 49.8600*
" " 49.8750*
" " 49.8900*
FORD CLAYCOMO 462.5000
GENERAL MOTORS -- KCK SECURITY 462.3000*
" " " PRODUCTION 461.5750*
" " " " 467.2000*
" " " " 467.2500*
" " " " 467.4250*
GOODYEAR BLIMP COMPANY CHANNEL 132.0000
" " " INFLIGHT 151.6250
" " " " 465.9125
" " " " 465.9375
" " " " 465.9625
JOHNSON COUNTY WATER DIST #1 153.5600

KCP&L 451.5250*
KCK BPU LIGHT TROUBLE 158.1600*
KPL GAS COMPANY 47.8200
" " " 48.0000
KANSAS CITY CHIEFS SECURITY 463.9250
" " " " 464.3250
" " " " 464.7750
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY 154.0250
" " " 164.1350
" " " 158.8200
" " " 158.7600
" " " 155.2050
" " " 158.7600
MISSION MALL SECURITY 464.1000
MOTOROLA RADIOS -- BUSINESS CLASS

Red - "Red Dot" 151.6250*
Purple - "Purple Dot" 151.9550*
Blue - "Blue Dot" 154.5100*
Green - "Green Dot" 154.6000*

OVERNITE TRUCKING DRIVERS 452.8000
" " DISPATCH 457.8000
RYDER STUDENT TRANSPORTATION INDEPEND 155.2800
SEARS CAMCORDER MICS 49.8900
SCHOOL SERVICES LEASING-MERRIAM 155.2050
" " " PIPER 155.1600
UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT #458 155.1600

UNITED TELEPHONE 152.5700
" " 157.8300
" " 451.3500

**********NOAA WEATHER RADIO**********

162.4500-JOPLIN*
162.5500-BOONEVILLE, BUDE, CAMDENTON, INVERNESS,
KANSAS CITY, CONCORDIA, MERIDIAN,
ST. LOUIS, WICHITA
162.4000-CHANUTE, COLUMBIA, ELLSWORTH, GULFPORT,
JACKSON, SIKESTON, SPRINGFIELD, ST JOESEPH
162.4500-HERMITAGE
162.4750-ACKERMAN, COLBY, DODGE CITY, HANNIBAL,
HATTIESBURG, TOPEKA

**********SPACE COMMUNICATIONS**********

KO-23 KITSAT 9600BPS FM DIGITAL D/LINK 435.120
" " " " U/LINK 145.850
" " " " " 145.900
LUSAT DOWN 145.8450* UP 435.1600
" " 437.1250* UP 145.8600
LUSAT " 437.1500* " 145.8800
MILITARY 248.900 261.700 262.675
" 249.550 261.900 262.950
" 260.475 261.950 264.900
" 260.600 262.050 269.075
" 260.975 262.100 269.175
" 261.450 262.150 269.550
" 261.500 262.275 269.850
" 261.600 262.300 269.950
" 261.650 262.475 288.000
" 261.675 262.550 295.075
MIR VOICE 121.7500
" " " 142.2170
" " " 145.5500
" " BEACON/VOICE 143.6250
OSCAR 10 BEACON 145.8100
OSCAR 10 MODE A DOWN 145.8450 UP 435.5530
" " " " " 145.8550 UP 435.1500
" " MODE B DOWN 145.8650 UP 435.1400
" " " " " 145.8750 " 435.1300
OSCAR 13 " 145.8120
OSCAR 13 MODE B DOWN 145.8250 UP 435.5730
" " " " " 145.8350 " 435.5630
" " " " " 145.8900 " 435.5080
OSCAR 17 DOWN 148.2500
RS 10/11 BEACONS 29.3570
" UPLINK 2 METER
" DOWNLINK 10 METER

RS-12 DOWNLINK 29.408
" 29.410-29.450
" 29.454
" 145.9130
" 145.9590
UPLINK 21.210-21.250
RS 14 DOWNLINK 145.9870
" UPLINK 435.0160
SOYUZ PACKET/VOICE WBFM 121.7500
" " " " 142.4170
SPACE SHUTTLE DOWNLINK 145.5500
" " " TO OFFUT 261.4750
" " " 296.8000
" " ATV 427.5500

**********SPORTING EVENTS**********

HOLIDAY INN TRUMAN SPORTS COMPLEX KD44082 464.5000
KANSAS CITY ROYALS KB33450 463.4500
" " " " 464.8750
" " " " 467.8250
WOODLANDS F1 SECURITY 468.5250
" F4 469.0000
" F2 PARKING & MAINTENANCE 469.3000
" F3 ITINERANT 469.5000

**********TV AUDIO**********

2---59.75 24-535.75 46-667.75 68-799.75
3---65.75 25-541.75 47-673.75 69-803.75
4---71.75 26-547.75 48-679.75
5---81.75 27-553.75 49-685.75
6---87.75 28-559.75 50-691.75
7--179.75 29-565.75 51-697.75
8--185.75 30-571.75 52-703.75
9--191.75 31-577.75 53-709.75
10-197.75 32-583.75 54-715.75
11-203.75 33-589.75 55-721.75
12-209.75 34-595.75 56-727.75
13-215.75 35-601.75 57-733.75
14-475.75 36-607.75 58-739.75
15-481.75 37-613.75 59-745.75
16-487.75 38-619.75 60-751.75
17-493.75 39-625.75 61-757.75
18-499.75 40-631.75 62-763.75
19-505.75 41-637.75 63-769.75
20-511.75 42-643.75 64-775.75
21-517.50 43-649.75 65-781.75
22-523.75 44-655.75 66-787.75
23-529.75 45-661.75 67-793.75

**********CORDLESS PHONES**********

46.61 46.63 46.67 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.97
49.67 49.845 49.86 49.77 49.875 49.83 49.89 49.93 49.99
49.97

**********CELLULAR PHONES**********

IT IS ILLEGAL TO LISTEN TO CELLULAR PHONE FREQUENCY
TRAFFIC, VOICE OR DIGITAL. THEREFORE I WILL NOT POST
THE FREQUENCIES, BECAUSE I KNOW PEOPLE WILL MONITOR THE
FREQUENCIES.

**********900 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES**********

902.000--928.000 BASE WITH 60-100KHZ SPACING

PANASONIC KX-T9000 (30 CHANNELS)
BASE 902.1-903.9 60KHZ SPACING
HANDSET 926.1-927.9
FIRST CHANNEL BASE DEFAULT 902.1
HANDSET 926.1

CHAN. BASE HANDSET
1 902.1 926.1
2 902.13 926.13
3 902.16 926.16
4 902.19 926.29
5 902.22 926.22
6 902.25 926.25
7 902.28 926.28
8 902.31 926.31
9 902.34 926.34
10 902.37 926.37
11 902.40 926.40

V-TECH TROPEZ DX900 (20 CHANNELS) (SPREAD SPECTRUM)
905.6-907.5 BASE FREQUENCIES 100 KHZ SPACING
925.5-927.4 HANDSET

ONE SUCH CHANNEL 906.375 BASE DEFAULT
926.275 HANDSET

900 MHZ PHONES CONTINUED
OTHER 900 MHZ PHONES

AT&T 9120 BASE 902.0-905.0 HANDSET 925.0-928.0
OTRON CP-1000 BASE 902.1-903.9 HANDSET 926.1-927.9
SAMSUNG SP-R912 BASE 903.0 HANDSET 927.0

**********ADDITIONAL PHONE FREQUENCIES**********

IF YOU WOULD LIKE ADDITIONAL PHONE FREQUENCIES PLEASE CONTACT
ME AT THE LOCATION AT THE END OF THE FILE. I HAVE A DISK
FULL OF ALL TYPES INCLUDING CORDLESS, CELL PHONE, RAILROAD,
AIRCRAFT, SHIP TO SHORE, MARS, HAM RADIO AUTOPATCH, AND MORE.
REQUEST ALLPHONE.FRQ

**********BUMPER BEEPERS**********

31.01 31.29 33.41 WARNING!!!!!!
31.03 31.31 37.00
31.05 31.33 37.43 THESE ARE MAINLY USED
31.07 31.35 37.89 BY FEDERAL AGENTS
31.09 33.00 38.00
31.11 33.03 39.00
31.13 33.05
31.15 33.07

**********LOCATION AND MONITORING SERVICE**********
AUTOMATIC VEHICLE MONITORING

904.0--912.0 WIDEBAND 902.0--904.0 NARROWBAND
918.0--926.0 " 912.0--918.0 "
926.0--928.0 "

**********CORDLESS MICROPHONES**********
AS USED FOR LIVE ENTERTAINMENT & REPORTERS

169.505 171.905 183.600 202.200
170.245 174.500 186.200 203.000
170.305 176.200 186.600 206.000
171.405 177.600 189.000 208.200
171.105 180.400 190.600 210.000
171.845 182.200 200.300

THERE ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF FEDERAL
FREQUENCIES IN USE BELOW 25 MHZ.

ALSO TRY POPULAR COMMUNICATIONS, MONITORING
TIMES, POLICE CALL, HAM RADIO OPERATORS, BBS'S
& THE WEB.

REMEMBER JUST BECAUSE YOU HAVE THE FREQUENCY
AND YOUR RADIO CAN RECEIVE IT, ITS STILL NOT
NECESSARILY LEGAL TO DO SO. READ THE ECPA
ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PROTECTION ACT.

**********ANTENNAS**********

IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE YOUR SCANNER ANTENNA
AND WOULD LIKE ANOTHER ONE BUT CAN'T AFFORD A USED OR
STORE BOUGHT. LET ME KNOW. I HAVE DESIGNED SEVERAL
THAT WORK FROM 25MHZ TO 1000 MHZ (1 GHZ). THEY ARE
SIMPLE AND CHEAP TO MAKE. NO SPECIAL SKILLS REQUIRED.
MY SON HAS EVEN MADE THEM. SOME WILL EVEN OUT PERFORM
FAMILIAR BRANDS COSTING UP TO $150.

I HOPE YOU HAVE FOUND THIS FILE USEFUL. I WILL HAVE
RADFREQ7 AVAILABLE JUNE 1996. ALSO THE RADFREQ SERIES
WILL ALWAYS BE IN A SELF DISPLAYING FORMAT AND WILL
ALWAYS BE AVAILABLE IN TXT FORMAT ALSO, FOR YOUR
CONVENIENCE. FEEL FREE TO SEND ME YOUR COMMENTS ON THIS

LIST. IT IS CURRENT AT TIME OF LISTING AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANYTIME. THE MONITORING OF THESE FREQUENCIES MAY NOT BE LEGAL IN YOUR AREA, CHECK BEFORE YOU LISTEN. MOBIL MONITORING MAY NOT BE LEGAL, CHECK FIRST. THIS LIST WILL BE UPDATED SEMI-ANNUALLY AND POSTED ON BBS'S THROUGHOUT KC METRO AREA. IF YOU NEED A PRINTED LIST PLEASE CONTACT ME. REMEMBER IF YOU UPLOAD THIS LIST ON OTHER BOARDS YOU MUST INCLUDE THE FILE_ID.DIZ, I LOG IN ON MOST OF THE BOARDS HERE IN THE KC METRO AREA AND IF I SEE ANY WITHOUT THE FILE_ID.DIZ, I WILL ASK THE SYSOP TO DELETE IT.

DAVID T. MCGUIRE
2120 SOUTH 37TH ST.
KANSAS CITY, KS. 66106

davidmcg@oz.sunflower.org

2849. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gord Skiffington <skiffing@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <3726986A.950@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 120537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are only mentioned briefly.

Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are standardized but its not easy)......
- Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires the most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor" causes error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of the other "terminal" or "line" conditions.
- Is a mode which transcends language and dialect barriers when suitable signals are used...such as the international "Q" signals...and others. Hard to get most computer systems to do that yet and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example) with a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.
- Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete for the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).
- Is a mode which, when the equipment is tired....just needs a good dinner and a cup o coffee to revive it.....stuff we have around anyway !
- When send using CW transmission (the 2 are NOT the same!) is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends, for solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions. It might not be the very best...but when you consider the rudimentary equipment needed it becomes mighty attractive.
- Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability".....a handy thing if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals that couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just turn 'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????

There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should keep morse alive. Yep its old.....but well made and the fact that it hasn't undergone a million transformations tells us all that it works well. It is slow, but when mere text will suffice, is an adequate method of communication in conjuction with simple equipment. It does require skill to use...but everything we do also does (Tell me that a windows NT system hung up on a satellite link doesn't require skill to figure out what happened!). There is also a thing about operating morse that only

morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial operator, a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make himself understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can only offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.

73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)

2850. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <XuuV2.142$VW1.19341@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120538

Depends on whole bunch of variables. I used the RS inline wide band preamp with a homemade helix antenna. Only way I was ever able to copy Milsat. OTOH, it was total overload/intermod/images/useless on a number of other bands with different antennas.

One of the few pluses with the Shack. You can try it an return it if you aren't happy.

Glenn

<opsh10@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:7g57k8fda1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. Does anyone out there use
> it? Is it worth it? What is the best way to improve weak signals? I
> rescently upgraded my antenna but there are still a few frequencies that I
> know someone is talking on, but the signal is too weak to make out what they
> are saying, or else the signal seems to fade in and out. Would this signal
> pre-amp alleviate some of this? Thank you for your information,
>
>
> Rudy
> Opsh10@pacbell.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2851. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <dBuV2.64$Um.5806@nntp1>
Source record: 120539

Tbrutis1 wrote in message <19990425231415.28358.00000858@ngol04.aol.com>...
>Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I
haven't
>heard any.

Well - yeah, that makes sense.

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2852. Re: Needed: a good CTCSS/DCS decoder for Windows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g5skhajo1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120540

Hi Jay. There's a program called Wintone 2.0 that decodes CTCSS, I don't know if it does DCS or not. I downloaded the free trial software but never really used it much to form a opinion whether it's really good or not. Check it out if you want. Wintone 2.0 can be found at www.steaksandwich.com
Hope it helps.
KM
Fairfield County Fire Monitoring
REACT Unit 3316
CT EMT
Jayg359 wrote in message <19990425225927.14294.00007046@ng-cm1.aol.com>...
>Ok, here is what I am trying to do. I hooked up my scanner to my sound card.
>Works well playing the scanner audio through the computer's speakers.
>
>I would like a program that will be able to tell me what PL or DPL is being >recieved. I have heard that there are several out there that will work well.
>Can someone suggest any?
>
>Thanks!
>Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
>Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>
>"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"
>

2853. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1>
Source record: 120541

Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
>My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't go
>that high.

It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz range FM analog
and is easily scanned.

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2854. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Edward L. Dowdy" <eldowdy@net66.com>
Message-ID: <XXuV2.1587$v3.270530@news21.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bravo!

Gord Skiffington wrote in message <3726986A.950@nbnet.nb.ca>...
>Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use
>morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are
>only mentioned briefly.
>
> Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim
>easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are
>standardized but its not easy)......
> - Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires the
>most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor" causes
>error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of the
>other "terminal" or "line" conditions.
> - Is a mode which transcends language and dialect barriers
>when suitable signals are used...such as the international "Q"
>signals...and others. Hard to get most computer systems to do that yet
>and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example) with
>a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.
> - Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in
>communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete for
>the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical
>use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).
> - Is a mode which, when the equipment is tired....just
>needs a good dinner and a cup o coffee to revive it.....stuff we have
>around anyway !
> - When send using CW transmission (the 2 are NOT the same!)
>is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends, for
>solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions. It
>might not be the very best...but when you consider the rudimentary
>equipment needed it becomes mighty attractive.
> - Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic
>equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability".....a handy thing
>if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals that
>couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just turn
>'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is
>slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????
>
>There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should keep
>morse alive. Yep its old.....but well made and the fact that it hasn't
>undergone a million transformations tells us all that it works well. It
>is slow, but when mere text will suffice, is an adequate method of
>communication in conjuction with simple equipment. It does require

>skill to use...but everything we do also does (Tell me that a windows NT
>system hung up on a satellite link doesn't require skill to figure out
>what happened!). There is also a thing about operating morse that only
>morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that
>transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial operator,
>a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make himself
>understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can only
>offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing
>despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.
>
>73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)

2855. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37269912@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 120543

MacDaddy <Miteel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7g5m34rgl1@news.ycc.yale.edu...
> Just curious, from how far can you pick up these transmissions?

I lived about 1/2 mile from one and a mile from another one and could hear them both fine on my 2006 with a dipole in the attic on 154.600. They aren't real powerful, so you'll need to be either pretty close to one or have a decent antenna on your scanner. The box at the drive-up is generally on an another frequency, such as 35.02 MHz, so you will not hear the cars, only the crew.
They generally use a PL tone also, in this case 107.2 Hz. (Thank you Opto-456!!) in case you own a radio capable of transmitting on that frequency and want to order your value meal ahead of time. Turns out that same frequency is shared with a bunch of other stuff like the local Target Store, a home-depot type store, a nearby race track, and a couple of apartment complex maintenance crews. Non-stop entertainment at its' best!

2856. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <372681a2@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 120544

When the Regency MX7000 was designed (it was the prototype for the AOR scanners, designed by AOR for Regency), there were too many birdies in the range. Since there were no communications in that range, it was blocked. More work produced the AOR 3000, which has fewer birdies, and thus full coverage. The early Yuperteiru (sp) scanners had similar problems, and were never officially imported into the US.
--
Crazy George

2857. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <925271157.231.73@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120545

My local McD's used the 35.02, I lived about a mile from it. With my 2006 and outside ant I could hear it reasonably well. Sometimes it was a bit fuzzy. I could not believe what some of those highschool girls would talk about when they were "alone". Unfortunatly they ditched the radios and went to the "friendlier" Face to Face order window.
Fun while it lasted.

MacDaddy wrote in message <7g5m34rgl1@news.ycc.yale.edu>...
>Just curious, from how far can you pick up these transmissions?
>Vic Martinez <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:16518-3724F51F-5@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
>> one. Any info appreciated.
>>
>
>

2858. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <925271762.693.12@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120546

artbecker@pipeline.com wrote in message
<7g5amteal1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>> I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. <<
>
>If it is as good as Radio Shack's TV signal amplifier, or their TV
>distribution amplifier, you can get the same results by soaking your
scanner
>in a bucket of water.
>
>--
>
> << Art >> WA9BOJ

Or how about that slick little number RS sells (or at least they used to)
that you plug into an elecrtical socket and "turns the wiring in your house
into one huge antenna" Pretty sad!

2859. UHF-TV channels 27 through 60 in the 550-760 MHz range.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tommy <Tommy@airflow.com>
Message-ID: <3726723B.94589D16@airflow.com>
Source record: 120547

wray@vtc.net wrote:
>
> i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
> why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
> wray kephart
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Click here for a chart of Numerical designation of television channels:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/dtv/tvchfreq.html

2860. Pro-43 For sale on eBay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <270419992136552600%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 120548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pro-43 FS on eBay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=96746913

2861. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372690BC.1C65BF40@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gord Skiffington wrote:
> Morse - Is a mode ...

Funny, Chapter 8 of "The FCC Rule Book" lists all the modes
available to the Amateur Radio Service and none of those modes
is Morse. I see CW, MCW, Phone, Image, RTTY, Data, Pulse, SS,
and Test, but no Morse.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2862. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Humpleby <RHSERVICE@rhumpleby.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7g7n1u$4m5$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

oh dear me touchy lot aint we ooooops mind the grandma.

yep the one crossing the road (ha ha.)

i fink its a lot better to have a site that you enjoy no matter waht !!

anyow dont care what the party poopers think oops spelt it rite that time.

too many high minded individuals around for me and i am going to crawl back
into my little hole without puntuation or anything like that so that anyone
who has read this last sentence correctly will have run out of breath and
died of self induced asphixiation but i hope it was not the eavesdropper who
i think is doing a spectacular job no matter what the freeserve people think
of him oops does that mean i might get banned as well
Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]nikel.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7g2pfd$k1$1@nikel.localnet...
> EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:
>
> > site content is what makes a good site !
>
> Exactley. And wen that conten is ful of shittty spweling Misstakez it letz
> it downe.
>
> How can one assume the info given is correct, if you can't even get simple
> grammer right first. Something YOU don't even have to do. You PC will do
> it for you, sigh !
>
> Still, your site, no loss to me, just pointing out that others might feel
> the same, or fail to include you in there links etc because of this.
>
> No wonder bloody Dixons keep pissing you off. Your attitude stinks ?
>
>
> --
> Ush

2863. Re: MVT-9000 Programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118feeac12f85803989756@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I can guarantee you better performance from a Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic/coil antenna than either Super Stick or the stock, or for that matter anything else out there. Especially in the Lo-Vhf.

2864. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Shrader <dshrader@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37264FD5.F110302F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 120552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I believe the International telecommunications Union, the international treaty that authorizes the Amateur Radio SERVICE, requires Morse Code for amateur licenses.

We have been playing with the definitions of how much morse has to be known, copied, skill level, etc. but I believe international law still requires it.

Dave

The Conqueror wrote:

> I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
>
> -Dan K3DRQ
>
> k3drq@qsl.net

2865. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: DanSullivan <sgtpepper@ncounty.net>
Message-ID: <3726135B.1F9E35B4@ncounty.net>
Source record: 120553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I used to like CW back in the 80's when they were first starting out. They put out some good tunes like: "Like a Novice" and "Jammin", but once they got into that "VOR in the USA" phase they have gone down a musical suck trail and I've since tuned out.

Jack Anderson wrote:

> CommQuart wrote:
> >
> > Ha ha ha... Good one! You got me there.
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Anonymous wrote in message <199904270138.DAA02220@mail.replay.com>...
> > >CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
> > >Well, if it weren't for CB in the 70's we wouldn't have had the song
> > >"Convoy". That alone was worth it.
> > >
> > >
> > >"...so I crashed the gate doing 98
> > >I said let those truckers roll, 10-4"
> > >
> > >
> > >C.W. McCall didn't, perhaps, get his name from CW, did he? (His real
> > >name was Bill Fries).
> > >
> > >
>
> --
>
> Well I said, Earl I'm not the type to complain but the time has come for
> me to explain that if you don't apply some brake's real soon their gonna
> have to pick us up with a stick and a spoon.....

2866. Re: Which antenna is the best for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <3725ff2c.1567996@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120587

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 09:37:59 +0200, "Olivier Baltus"
<obaltus@crisp.nl> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>As above, I was wondering wich antenna was the best for scanning...

My antenna set up at http://extra.newsguy.com/~mike9str is the best
for me. Tried all the others, discone, scan antenna, etc.

good luck!

>Thanks,
>
>
>Olivier Baltus
>
>

2867. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <slnV2.1004$_s5.152534@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 120588

Check with Optoelectronics at www.optoelectronics.com
Also check with ScanStar for software at www.scanstar.com.
John Habbinga
www.qsl.com/kc5zrq

Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3723D1ED.88063900@ix.netcom.com...
> Is there a radio on the market that will "follow" the G.E. EDACS
> system?
>
> Is there any software that interfaces with an Icom R-7000 that
> will track the EDACS system?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Jim
>
>

2868. Want to trade for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven KB9HGI <kb9hgi@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3725C176.9874C5ED@qsl.net>
Source record: 120589

Hello,
I have a sheet fed computer scanner great for scanning photos or what ever. Includes 16 bit ISA card all the manual and cd-rom with drivers and lots of software. I am wanting to trade this for some type of desk top or mobile scanner that I can use in the house. Send me a e-mail with what you have. Thanks!

Steve KB9HGI
kb9hgi@qsl.net

2869. Re: Universal M8000 Decoder FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Miller <mcm55@busprod.com>
Message-ID: <37260979.1E364BCF@busprod.com>
Source record: 120590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi W. Charles, why are you selling this?

W. Charles Alexander wrote:

> Universal M8000 v 7.5 Decoder for sale see
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0087.html for details
> In Excellent condition 775.00 shipped to the 48
> Apx 1 year old Box and Manual included
> --
> ==========
> 73 de charlie
> W. Charles Alexander
> KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
> Columbus, Ohio USA
>
> Drake R7, R8B & ICOM R8500
> Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
> Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
> Decoders: Universal M8000
> ==========

2870. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7g51fokam1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 120591
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <12203-37256053-63@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Freqout@webtv.net says...
>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-1276625113-6186
>Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
>
>i recently aquired a scanner that goes to the 2 GHz area. my question is
>what is there to listen to up there, and is there a list of freqs anyone
>can share with me? So. Cal. area
>
>73
>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-1276625113-6186
>Content-Description: signature
>Content-Disposition: Inline
>Content-Type: Text/HTML; Charset=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
>
>
>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-1276625113-6186--

The US telephone companies used to have some site to site voice links around
1.8G. TV statiosn also run some link in the same frequency range.
You will have to be near the Tx end, and they may multiplex everything
togather these days.
The highest common signal(that requires no dish, preamp etc) is the ATC
pulses around 1090.
Good luck and let us know what you here!

2871. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7g51ldkam2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 120592

In article <372599fb.154657530@news.sprint.ca>,
conspiracy_theory@area51.com says...
>
>>>i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't
agree).
>>>why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
>>>wray kephart
>
>Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
>well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
>do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
>FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
>
>Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.
>
>

The Icom PCR1000 covers that range, and inspite of letting it run for
weeks now, searching that (and others) "band", I have yet to get a hit
on the logger.
While anything is posible, I think the big boys are more likley to use
the 400 and 800 range with voice encryption.

2872. programmming a bearcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dart340@foxvalley.net
Message-ID: <7g55aj$d8f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120593

hi i was wandering if (without sounding to dumb)if anybody could tell me how to program a bearcat 55xlt scanner i bought it used and it did not come with a manual so being a novice i dont know you go about programming it all help is appreciated thanks bob

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2873. FA: RS PRO-91 Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <OFYoz$Nk#GA.208@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 120594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is a 150 channel trunk tracking handheld in excellent condition with all documentation and box.

On EBAY.com

2874. WTB: Dead Pro-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <37261149.C86@webdeals.net>
Source record: 120595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need a dead Pro-50 just for the case, keypad and beltclip. Mine is all to hell and I want to make it look better. I know radio shack sells the parts but I do not want to order from them....I know theres a dead one out there.

Whats your price?
PS I don't need a working one.

2875. Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: opsh10@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g57k8fda1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120596

I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. Does anyone out there use it? Is it worth it? What is the best way to improve weak signals? I rescently upgraded my antenna but there are still a few frequencies that I know someone is talking on, but the signal is too weak to make out what they are saying, or else the signal seems to fade in and out. Would this signal pre-amp alleviate some of this? Thank you for your information,

Rudy
Opsh10@pacbell.net

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2876. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3726144B.DA8D1548@banet.net>
Source record: 120597

here is a list company's that notify their subscribers via pager (and other
methods)
of major incidents, in a specific region

http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

happy scanning
steven

Skip Sanders wrote:

> It's (semi) legal for reporters to listen. In fact, it's just another thing
> reporters get to slide on, even though it IS illegal for them, too, to use
> what they hear for gain... which is what they're doing. It's NOT legal for
> them to pay YOU to listen FOR them. At least one person who set up an 'I'll
> monitor for you media types, for pay' business got busted under the federal
> 'no reveal' law for this.
> ---------------------
> Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:37254ed5.10728603@news...
> > On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:07:23 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Not if this is for monitoring for a media outlet. Traffic reporters and
> news
> > >reporters use scanners and listeners at the station to relay breaking
> stories
> > >all the time and this is legal under the 1st Amendment freedom of the
> press,
> >
> > Then how come that journalist in CA got convicted for listening in to
> > a cellphone conversation?
> >

2877. FS: Misc Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427155114.23998.00000129@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 120598

FS: Tube and Non tube Radio Gear

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for Electronic or computer EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $250

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. Asis$50.

Swan Phone patch: with hook up cords. This unit looks real good, but is also untested. As is $35.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

2878. FS-SHINWA SR-001 & PHILLIPS DC-777

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427160352.08085.00000196@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 120599

Both absolutely mint in the box. Asking $450 each or $875 shipped (lower 48 States) for both.

For details, please see my ad at "rec.radio.swap"

2879. FS - Unblocked scanner w/spectum display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427161135.08085.00000200@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 120600

I have a like new Standard CCR-708a, complete in the box. It is in MINT condition with the manual, ac adaptor, antenna and box.

This unit is currently sold radio outlets such as Universal Radio for $750, but you cannot buy them unblocked.

My unit has continous coverage from 60-960 MHZ. The unit is built in a very sturdy all metal cabinet. It has a built-inreal-time spectrum display with selectable bandwidth to be displayed. It will also scan 100 programmable channels, and has a digital keypad, a VFO knob, and bunch of other buttons. I believe it also has a 10.7 MHZ IF utput port on the back. Its been a while since I've taken it out of the closet.

Asking $475 shipped to lower 48 States. The unit will be well packed, double box, and insured to guard against shipping damage.

2880. FS-NEW GRE 800 Superconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427161731.08085.00000208@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 120601

Brand new in the box. This is the model for hand-held scanners w/BNC connector.
But, it can also be used on base/mobile units. Powered by 9v battery.
Complete with manual and box.

Asking $90 shipped to lower 48 States.

2881. Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nxyrva@ivyyntrarg.pbz
Message-ID: <3725d771.1786325@newshost.li.net>
Source record: 120602
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 13:51:47 -0700, "Cole Cunningham"
<cole@coltechinc.com> wrote:

>The RF is not nearly as hazardous as talking on one while driving....

Keep saying it. If we can keep just one person from driving up onto
the sidewalk, or crashing into the stopped cars in front of him on a
highway ...
--
Al - aklein at villagenet dot com

2882. Ham Radio & Electronics Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7g5at0ilo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120603

Hello All,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
Check out my Web Page that has stuff on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, HF/VHF/UHF,
Yaesu, FT-847 & FT-50R Page, Classifieds,
HF Rig Reviews, and tons of Ham Radio
& Electronics Resource Links.

Here is the URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters: Please add me to your links section, I will
return the favor.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2883. Re: fs'bc150b sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427164221.06535.00000198@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 120604

id like to buy that scanner do u still have it
does it get cellular

2884. MVT-9000 Programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <372625fa.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 120605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just a quick question. Is it possible to enter in two freq and have the scanner search from the lowest to the highest then start all over again??

I.e.. 151.000 - 152.000
Scan from 151.0 to 152.0 then back to 151.0 and so on... Any info would be great... Thanks...

Robert

2885. Yaesu FT-50R Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7g5b98$is7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120606

Hello All,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
I have a Yaesu FT-50R Web Page.
It has technical specs., features list, and
accessory list.
Check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com/ft-50r.html

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

DM04 Palmdale, CA

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2886. Re: California 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <37262679.9013138@banet.net>
Source record: 120607

have fun at the race!
these are the only links i have, but they should do fine.
set up your radio with the freqs you get a few days in advance, and then at the last moment before you leave for the track (or airport?) check again for updates. i am very jealous, i will not be going to any races this season :-(((

steven

http://www.racelink.com/raceday/
http://www.speedworld.net/frequencies/frequencies_index.shtml

KC2AYC wrote:

> I just found out I will be going o the NASCAR California 500. If anyone can
> e-mail me with a web page where I can find police & fire freqs for the area
> around California Speedway I would appreciate it. I am staying in a place
> called Covina so i could use the PD & FD freqs for hat area. I allready have
> the NASCAR freqs.
>
> Thanks

2887. Re: Does anyone have any California Mdt frequencys?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <37262B0A.2E09EB2F@home.com>
Source record: 120608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MDT's around here are usuall encrypted, so I have understood. Am I
wrong?

Eric Cooper
Orange County,CA

Robert wrote:

> Does anyone have any California, Best is near Los Angeles, Alhambra,
> Rosemead, Covina around this area. Thanks...

2888. New firecar for Nieuwkoop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio ON <radio-on@radio-on.nl>
Message-ID: <7g5a2q$u7qm$1@reader2.wxs.nl>
Source record: 120609

New firecar for Nieuwkoop !!!
look at: http://www.radio-on.nl verse pagina

2889. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Baker <bakergsj@maranatha.net>
Message-ID: <34qV2.5890$%L2.257019@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 120610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Morse Code has its uses and should be encouraged, but not worry too much about those who don't know the code. I think the opportunity to pump signals out on a narrow band to distant parts of the world has its own charms.

The US Marines still use Morse code, and a few of us keep our keys handy just in case.

Greg Baker
WB3EBO.
Old Rusty Fist.

The Conqueror wrote in message <3726276f.0@news.gulliver.fr>...
>I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
>if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
>think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
>in ham radio either.

2890. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427173804.23250.00000202@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120611

If you mean the handheld version, yes it is ok, not the best piece of equiqment I own though. Don't use it with a Scout Freq counter though, I tried and all I got was BS hits, the freqs mix inside somehow.

2891. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: artbecker@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <7g5amteal1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120612

>> I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. <<

If it is as good as Radio Shack's TV signal amplifier, or their TV distribution amplifier, you can get the same results by soaking your scanner in a bucket of water.

--

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

2892. Re: MVT-9000 Programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <jsmith@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <372631EE.48D53CB7@nospam.net>
Source record: 120613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

1. goto this document: http://www.strongsignals.net/data/mvt9k_og.txt
2. search for the paragraph on "Search Band Programming Mode". This will explain how to program the start/end frequency and set the step size and receive mode within a band. Also explains how to add alphanumeric text to the search band.
3. search the document for: "Band Normal" or "Select Band Normal". This will explain how to search within a programmed search band/bands.

Do you use the stock antenna, or are you using an upgrade? How's your reception?

Happy searching!

Robert wrote:

> Just a quick question. Is it possible to enter in two freq and have the
> scanner search from the lowest to the highest then start all over again??
>
> I.e.. 151.000 - 152.000
> Scan from 151.0 to 152.0 then back to 151.0 and so on... Any info would be
> great... Thanks...
>
> Robert

2893. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Hynde <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g5bk9$rb4$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote in message <37237fe5.169403227@news.csrlink.net>...
>On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 18:35:09 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:
>
>>Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
>>why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
>>primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
>>that many amateurs enjoy using cw.
>
>But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people
who
>have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.

But where does it end? The no code tech license asks questions about telecommand for radio control airplanes, the ionoshpere, how to use a voltmeter, signal generator, SWR meter whadda ya gotta learn all that for all I wanna do is yak on the 2m repeater with my appliance operator friends? Why should we require such knowlege when the applicant has no intention of using it?

Tim ka8ddz

2894. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37263185.786BE3D9@ibm.net>
Source record: 120615

Use caution with "pre amps." Any pre amp does not just amplify the desired frequencies, but ALL frequencies within the design range. This includes noise & undesirable frequencies.
Jerry
N5GPC
==========

opsh10@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. Does anyone out there use
> it? Is it worth it? What is the best way to improve weak signals? I
> rescently upgraded my antenna but there are still a few frequencies that I
> know someone is talking on, but the signal is too weak to make out what they
> are saying, or else the signal seems to fade in and out. Would this signal
> pre-amp alleviate some of this? Thank you for your information,
>
> Rudy
> Opsh10@pacbell.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2895. Re: Pro-2004 with cell mods on Ebay!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37265057@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 120616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Music4sale@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13172-37255426-124@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net...
> Please go take a look at my auction on Ebay. On there is my Pro-2004
> with cell mods.
> Go to:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=96594579
> Thanks for Looking
>

Looks like the Ebay cops did the number on you, pal.

2896. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Hynde <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g5bs9sav1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> You can get a Technician class license without the code you know. Since
>1991, you just get no HF with it. I went for my General upgrade tests
>yesterday, passed the written, failed the code. Oh well, just have to
practice
>a little more on the code and soon I'll pass it.
>
>73,
>Tom

Go for it Tom!

2897. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terrylee@interl.net>
Message-ID: <3726375E.7A1CAD4E@interl.net>
Source record: 120618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SiD wrote:

> I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and
> I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking
> suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.
>
> Thanks for the suggestions.

Try this one from Grove: http://www.grove-ent.com/grove.html

I copied and pasted the info. I use one on my truck for local stuff and it has worked well setting in a motel window during my travels.

>Stealth Mobile Monitoring Antenna, $34.95, ANT30

>A unique design optimizes coverage of the popular 30-960 MHz bands; this low-profile,
>magnetic-mount mobile antenna is only 18" high, yet offers performance comparable to
>much bulkier scanner antennas.

>Rugged, stainless-steel whip and strong magnetic base are hermetically sealed for waterproof construction, sleek black >finished for unobtrusive mounting. Includes 14 feet of small-diameter cable and BNC connector.

2898. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Hynde <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g5cfigjr1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Ruther wrote in message <372472D8.7C76D072@alliedsignal.com>...
>Cw might be part of it , but the last HF radio I bought was $1,000 .(ouch)
>Hard for me to justify it. The used stuff is chancy at best at the swap
mets.
>I think the cost of gear is killing it.
>Mike AA7QA. I passed all of the test now what

Mike, don't be afraid to run with gear from a swap, Invest $20 in a dummy load and piece of coax. Tell the guy you'll take it if it works then go plug it in and test it! I just bought an Alinco DX70T and a 25 amp supply out the door from AES for $787, but I can honestly say I had just as much fun running an old Heath HW-101 that I paid $75 at Dayton a few years ago and put another $30 into it for tubes. Also QRP kits are selling for $50, you need not spend a kilo buck to have fun. It is about fun isn't it? :)

Tim ka8ddz

2899. Re: Voice Inversion Descrambling Links Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ross2@home.com
Message-ID: <ross2-ya02408000R2704991509480001@news>
Source record: 120620

In article <925185218.437.13@news.remarQ.com>, "Rich" <sp@m.not> wrote:

> I am looking for plans to build a self contained voice inversion
> descrambler. Any links or info is much appreciated.

Try:

http://hometown.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

Gary

--
Gary Ross
ross2@home.com
rossman@aol.com

2900. Re: Re: How to Monitor 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: canoe@mailtag.com
Message-ID: <3726357e.60067101@news.pipcom.com>
Source record: 120621

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:43:55 -0400, "The Conqueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ITS ILLEGAL.
>
>I have capabilities since Pro-66 is an older scanner, but I dont listen to
>it. Besides, it's boring.
>
>Dan K3DRQ
>Greg <greg_larson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:7fk0it$s3u$3@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??
>>
>> I have a Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner, and I would like
>> to know the exact frequencies that the 900mhz telephones
>> transmit in.
>>
>> Are they different than the freqs between 46.00 - 49.00mhz?
>> Or or the 900 freqs scrambled in some way?
>> And can my modified 2006 scanner pick them up?
>>
>> Any information or help would be greatly appreciated!
>>
>>
>> Greg Larson
>>
>> greg_larson@hotmail.com
>>
>>
>
>

it's not illegal to monitor any freugencey aslong as you dont make use
of that signal such as recording it using it to your advantage or
taking notes of any activitys herd over the airwaves.
you can also pickup phones on the shortwave bands used on aircraft
there isn't much diffrence.

the cordless phones are from 46.6100 mhz to 46.9700mhz
the cordless on the 900mhz band range i cant remember, but try
scanning from 912mhz to 918mhz, normally the new 900mhz phones
are scrambled, but the most popular phones used still today are int he
46mhz range, also baby monitors can pickup some interesting
conversations in the background, try 49.700mhz to 49.995mhz

2901. Re: MVT-9000 Programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <372637f1@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 120622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the info.

> Do you use the stock antenna, or are you using an upgrade? How's your
> reception?
>

Using the stock for 500MHZ and above but for 100MHZ using a super stick II
works great for the 100's. Also waiting for an antenna from 5band scanner
antenna, dont remember the name tho...

Reception is good.... Great scanner recommend it to anyone.

John Smith <jsmith@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:372631EE.48D53CB7@nospam.net...
> 1. goto this document: http://www.strongsignals.net/data/mvt9k_og.txt
> 2. search for the paragraph on "Search Band Programming Mode". This will
> explain how to program the start/end frequency and set the step size and
> receive mode within a band. Also explains how to add alphanumeric text to
the
> search band.
> 3. search the document for: "Band Normal" or "Select Band Normal". This
will
> explain how to search within a programmed search band/bands.
>
> Do you use the stock antenna, or are you using an upgrade? How's your
> reception?
>
> Happy searching!
>
> Robert wrote:
>
> > Just a quick question. Is it possible to enter in two freq and have the
> > scanner search from the lowest to the highest then start all over
again??
> >
> > I.e.. 151.000 - 152.000
> > Scan from 151.0 to 152.0 then back to 151.0 and so on... Any info would
be
> > great... Thanks...
> >
> > Robert
>

2902. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: w2upX@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37264024.9804835@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
>if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
>think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
>in ham radio either.
>-Dan K3DRQ
>
>k3drq@qsl.net
>
With your logic, shouldn't you go through basic training before you
get a ham license?

2903. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g5g5h$r7f@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey M. Swiger <"N8NOE(NoSpam)"@Ameritech.Net> wrote:
>Jeff, Your first statement is not correct. Japan and others have already
>DROPPED
>there Code requirements. I'm not a fan of CW, but I also don't think is n
>ecessary
>as a mode of High-Speed communications.. Jeff N8NOE

Japan's no-code HF Fourth Class license only permits the holder 10 watts
on phone, with no 30, 20, or 17 meter privileges. (Our CB operators get
to legally use 12 watts on SSB!)

Jeff KH6O

P.S. Please list for me the "others" that you mention, and the privileges
granted to operators holding such licenses.

2904. Re: LA sig alert freq please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14433-37263DF1-63@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120625

keith
do you happen to know that sig alert frequency for the LA area?
thanks

2905. The CW Thread....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g5ges$7o1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120626

Good grief, I haven't even seen a Cheek Vs. Mackey thread as long as this!

Everyone (mostly everyone at least) made valid points on it, and the general consensus is "Let's not get rid of it, but rather just not require people to pass it to get their amateur license." Good idea. Now please, let it go....

This is a scanning forum, so rec.amateur.[insert the group of choice here] would be more appropriate, wouldn't it? Naaaah.....

It's just funny that something most scanner enthusiasts have no interest in can go on for days and days on this group, while the topic of HR-514 has all but vanished. How about it, any new news, or are we just going to sit around and do nothing about a blatant attack on this hobby? Enquiring minds want to know. What about Bill Cheeks arrest? Do we now not care about that? Did I miss a staff meeting on this one?

Maybe the group was changed to: rec.amateur.trolling.for.flames and no one bothered to tell me? Yeah, that must be it.....

Sheesh.

-Phil

2906. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <199903271841.PNR03413@scott.net>
Source record: 120627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Timothy Hynde writes:

> Also QRP kits are selling for $50, you
> need not spend a kilo buck to have fun.

I love my little SW40+ QRP rig and had fun building it. The only HF rigs I own are the SW40+ and a HTX-100 and I _do_ have plenty of fun!

73 Jeff W4JEF

--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------
jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org	Reserve Patrol Captain
Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27	Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept
200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet	QTH Birmingham, AL USA

2907. Re: scanner memory banks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2704991714240001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 120628

In article <3725E7A8.AFD8638F@nospam.net>, Unknown <nospam@nospam.net> wrote:

>I've recently purchased a handheld scanner with 20 banks x 50 channels.
>I was wondering what common frequencies people store in their memory
>banks. I don't want specific frequencies, but things like
>police/fire/ambulance=bank 1, cb=bank 2, airport=bank 3, etc. This
>could help me from reprogramming my scanner many times while I learn the
>best way. Thanks for all replies.

Think about how you'd use the banks. For me there are two purposes. To group related frequencies for identification purposes, ie. bank 7 on my scanner holds CHP frequencies so by just looking at the bank I know it's a CHP call. The other purpose I use banks for is being able to disable / enable groups of frequencies. I have local airport frequencies loaded but I'll often disable them as they are harder to follow unless you are really paying attention (in part because you are often only hearing half the conversation). I also have the local municipal traffic grouped so I can disable it when I'm out of range.

Generally speaking, I think being able to disable a bank of frequencies when you are out of range is the best use of the bank feature.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

2908. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2704991722130001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 120629

In article <7g0spa$qm3$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Karl Dahlquist"
<karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> wrote:

>hmm....
>
>Antelope Valley 42.42, 42.84
>Barstow, Mojave, Needles, Victorville 42.56, 42.72
>Air to Ground 45.02
>
>**Note: CHP uses radar anywhere in California now....

Is the Air to Ground frequency statewide? This is the first I've heard of
the air frequency (although it's obvious it exists).

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

2909. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacDaddy <Miteel@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7g5m34rgl1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 120630

Just curious, from how far can you pick up these transmissions?
Vic Martinez <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16518-3724F51F-5@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
> one. Any info appreciated.
>

2910. Re: LA sig alert freq please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7g5m6r$9kg$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120631

X wrote in message <14433-37263DF1-63@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
keith
do you happen to know that sig alert frequency for the LA area?
thanks

42.34 Mhz NFM
It's the CHP Statewide frequency and is also usually used for chases that go into multiple areas. They broadcast all LA area sig-alerts on it and also broadcast when one has ended - I used to live by this freq when I did courier work there eons ago....
-Phil

2911. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <37266576.5CCA2DB7@datasync.com>
Source record: 120632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ALL IMI ALL REQUIREMENTS (except for the ITU requirement)
and amateur radio were dropped WORLDWIDE on 1 FEB 99.

don
kb5fhx

Barry wrote:

> On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
> <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> >if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> >think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> >in ham radio either.
> >-Dan K3DRQ
> >
> >k3drq@qsl.net
> >
> With your logic, shouldn't you go through basic training before you
> get a ham license?

--
OUR WEB PAGE UPDATED 17 April 99
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 Darla and Don's Website
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/darnold.shtml My online column

DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294

Right now we are on the threshold of whatever comes next!!!
MAYBE!!

2912. Good CARMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19nospam-2704992144330001@ppp-25.ts-11.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 120633

Ted Moran of CARMA speaks out

http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/rx/carma.html

Also, check out the new Scanmomma P9 and other goodies on the site.

2913. Re: BC 245XLT release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7g5pr1$23q@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 120634

Check out www.uniden.com for info on this unit as well as the rest of the
line.

HairMan2000 wrote in message <372405BC.16F9F684@pacifier.com>...
:What features is this scannerr supposed to have?
:I sure wish there was a mobile TrunkkTracker scanner
:with a remote face car easy mounting in a car.
:Is there such a scanner out there?
:
:
:
:Frank Bals wrote:
:
:> I've heard conflicting dates as to when this new TrunkTracker will be
:> released for sale. I can't wait. Anyone got the REAL story?
:
:
:

2914. Re: Which antenna is the best for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g5rfs$5p5$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120635

What type of coax do you use, and does the Grove scanner beam recieve the
800mhz. band very well ?
Lethal Lextor wrote in message <3725ff2c.1567996@enews.newsguy.com>...
>On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 09:37:59 +0200, "Olivier Baltus"
><obaltus@crisp.nl> wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>As above, I was wondering wich antenna was the best for scanning...
>
>
>My antenna set up at http://extra.newsguy.com/~mike9str is the best
>for me. Tried all the others, discone, scan antenna, etc.
>
>good luck!
>
>
>>Thanks,
>>
>>
>>Olivier Baltus
>>
>>
>

2915. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kilowatt Kidd <kwkidd@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37267079.DFAA6EEA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale

> Lazer wrote in message <3723A4A5.E28CB262@deltaforce.com>...
> >CALIFORNIA CODES
> >PENAL CODE: SECTION 417.25 Laser Scopes

All y'alls laws aint worth spit in Texas. 'sides, that there code refers to scopes, not pointers.

Y'all get stuffed now, ya hear?

2916. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g31m5$2g0@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Follow-ups set to just .policy:

In article <37239998.25099186@news>, Shiva Nataraja <Newsgroup> wrote:
>If knowing Morse code is actually USEFUL for something these days,
>then by all means keep the requirement. But if nobody uses it, why
>bother? Somehow, I don't see extracting a pound of flesh from new
>recruits as a worthwhile reason.

Oh boy. Here's someone else who doesn't have an antenna connected to
his receiver. If he did, he'd find that roughly 50% of the QSOs on
the HF hams bands are conducted using CW.

>Why are such "hurdles" necessary?

You need to step back so as to get a global view of why the code require-
ment exists for HF privileges. RF at HF respects no boundaries, and as
communicators first and foremost, hams the world over should have at
least one mode in common. At present, there is only one mode all the
world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW. Whether one chooses to
use it or not does not matter; if the need arises he has it available to
him (maybe at a slower speed than when he was first licensed!). The phone
modes won't work as an international mode due to differences in languages,
but there are sufficient code pro-signs so that a meaningful QSO can
extend across language barriers. A digital mode could become the
international standard, but until such time that every ham worldwide is so
equipped and/or has the ability to build and self-maintain such
equipment, CW will remain the common mode. The ITU has this global view
while many individuals have only an insular nearsightedness - their own
personal goals out-weigh those of the global amateur community.

(Daily worldwide comms don't normally take place above 50 MHz, so a common
mode in that region can be chosen on a national level; for us it's of
course FM phone.)

73, Jeff KH6O

2917. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g32eh$2vn@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Follows-ups directed to just .policy:

>Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net> wrote in message
> Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you
>other 'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you
>cannot even make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.

Did you enter that self-written algorithm in your home-built computer?
Want to have a race to see who can get on the air faster - you with
your home-brewed digital station versus me with a home-brewed CW
station?

(Let's try to delete the .space NG from any further fol-ups; I placed
only .policy in the fol-up line.)

73, Jeff KH6O

2918. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g32gu$30l@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Shaffer, Jr. <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net> wrote:
>But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people who
>have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.

You need to step back so as to get a global view of why the code require-
ment exists for HF privileges. RF at HF respects no boundaries, and as
communicators first and foremost, hams the world over should have at
least one mode in common. At present, there is only one mode all the
world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW. Whether one chooses to
use it or not does not matter; if the need arises he has it available to
him (maybe at a slower speed than when he was first licensed!). The phone
modes won't work as an international mode due to differences in languages,
but there are sufficient code pro-signs so that a meaningful QSO can
extend across language barriers. A digital mode could become the
international standard, but until such time that every ham worldwide is so
equipped and/or has the ability to build and self-maintain such
equipment, CW will remain the common mode. The ITU has this global view
while many individuals have only an insular nearsightedness - their own
personal goals out-weigh those of the global amateur community.

(Daily worldwide comms don't normally take place above 50 MHz, so a common
mode in that region can be chosen on a national level; for us it's of
course FM phone.)

73, Jeff KH6O

2919. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7g330u$oh5$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 120640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Spot wrote:
>
> > > I see no need to know CW.

That's largely because you've never learned it. What have
we been saying - if you don't learn it to get the license
you never know what it amounts to, or what you're missing.

> I think all the old coders are just scared
> that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> for HF that you guys can't pass.
>

How's that again? 'A more difficult test that you guys
can't pass'???
Well, the tests now don't seem too hard to memorize the word
associations to pass, no learning is needed, you know. That
doesn't seem likely to change soon.

> > The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> > become a HAM, so should everyone else".

This is one area where you no-coders really stumble. It
was NOT "hell", but rather a most interesting learning
process culminating in a feeling of solid satisfaction when
all the tests were successfully completed. Gee, so sorry
that you'll never experience all that. Your loss. But, I'm
sure you, like the rest of the no-coders, will be able to
live with it, once your cheap-'n-easy HF license is in hand,
no learning needed and little or no code. That's a very
visible characteric of the code bashers.

Dick W0EX

> > This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
> > are very outdated.

2920. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g3303$3cu@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3723D836.6FD1@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>The no-code people are not the cry babies. They don't have a problem
>with people learning code or using it. It is the Code fanatics that cry
>when people don't want to learn or use code.

<Scratch scratch> Huh?

Which side do you think began the debate in the first place?

Jeff KH6O

2921. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7g3347$3e6@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3723DA09.3C67@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>Anonymous wrote:

>> Did the Titanic send SOS or CQD MGY as its distress call?

>The question should be, what would a ship use TODAY, not in 1912.

Or on 1/1/00.

2922. Re: RS Pro-51 problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@icx.net
Message-ID: <3725136c.11068513@news.icx.net>
Source record: 120643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Don't know how you've used your scanner, but sounds like the
> batteries are going dead...or a circuitry problem. Mine has
> done this, so I just turn it off...press manual, 2 & 9, and
> turn it back on. It restores the scanner to original condition.
> By the way...there is a keyboard procedure for securing those
> out-of-band frequencies, including cellular.

What is that procedure????

2923. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904270138.DAA02220@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
1
: Secondly, William, please explain to me how increasing the
: number of hams is going to do anything to save the bands
: from commercial interests? What good was served by
: the millions entering the CB ranks during the 70s? Did that
: form a more disciplined and thriving service based on
: shear numbers?

Well, if it weren't for CB in the 70's we wouldn't have had the song
"Convoy". That alone was worth it.

"...so I crashed the gate doing 98
I said let those truckers roll, 10-4"

C.W. McCall didn't, perhaps, get his name from CW, did he? (His real
name was Bill Fries).

2924. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: burtons961@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990426215235.05830.00000880@ngol07.aol.com>
Source record: 120645

In article <#ua8akCk#GA.185@cpmsnbbsa05>, "Ivan Ivanovich" <iivanovich@usf.com>
writes:

>
>The only problem with this request is the violation of Federal Law. No
>person is to divulge any material monitored by every FCC Communications Act
>since 1934.
>
>
>Timbers97 wrote in message <19990425193322.03517.00001470@ng-fr1.aol.com>...
>>SEEKING A PERSON WHO UNDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY AND AND IS
>AVAILABLE
>>TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE RESPONSE IN THE CA. BAY AREA WITH SPECIAL
>INTEREST
>>IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. WILL PAY FOR ALL USEFUL ,FAST
>RESPONSES.
>> CALL RICHARD AREA CODE (510) 773-2298
>

Could it be a "STING"?
Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

2925. 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wray@vtc.net
Message-ID: <7g391t$nb5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120646

i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
wray kephart

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2926. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6ojs@gte.net
Message-ID: <MPG.118eb9c41af7458d989773@news.gte.net>
Source record: 120647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <37229E39.C65DAE10@hotmail.com>, SiD122@hotmail.com says...
> I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and
> I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking
> suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.

Discone antennas are not all that large, and provide good wideband coverage. Reminiscing about my dorm days back in Fairbanks, though, the antenna itself wouldn't be the problem but finding a way to MOUNT it outside. You may have to stick with putting the antenna in the window to get any kind of decent reception.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Email: kb6ojs@gte.net
Home Page: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs
AOL IM: "MSF Steve"

2927. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <372529b3.1333778@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 16:31:53 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>Hello again Bill
>As I indicated it was a post in a similar thread on the
>RecRadioShortwave forum. Here is the item the I was
>referring to:
>
>>No, there should be no "beefing up" of tests either.
>>I'm having a hard enough time passing the General Test right
>>now, I don't need your help in screwing my over and
>>making my odds less of getting my General License.

Fair enough. That's one person and I have no idea who it is.
In any case, you know that Carl, others and I have made
suggestions on how to improve theory testing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2928. FS: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cburd@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <371d46c3.49813919@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120649

I am selling an Icom IC-R2 for $180.

It is 2 months old.

It comes with the box, manual, receipt and all original accessories.
Also included is a SMA / BNC antenna adapter.

I paid $229.

The radio has hardly been used and is in excellent condition.

I am selling the radio because I don't need it after I bought an
AR8200.

For a review of the IC-R2 visit this web page:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revr2.htm

Please email me if you are interested in buying the radio.

Regards,

Charles Burd
cburd@mindspring.com

2929. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37252AB7.12BAEB5D@home.com>
Source record: 120650

The R1 should not be used with an outside antenna. The resultant
intermod will
be intolerable. I would suggest buying a base scanner. The R1 is one of
the
worst scanners ever made. The only positive aspect of the R1 is the
small size.
I used to own an R1, but upgraded to a PRO-43, PRO-2006, and Kenwood
R5000.
I do not miss the R1.

-jim

Edward Wiles wrote:
>
> Hello,
> I would be most grateful if someone could advise me on the best
> outside aerial to use with an ICOM IC-R1. I am told that some aerials
> can cause saturation and in fact cancel all signals all together.
>
> I would have liked to hear from people who have hands on experience
> with the performance of the IC-R1 with an outside aerial.
>
> Also, can you advise whether I could build up my own aerial.
>
> Many thanks
>
> Edward

2930. Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990426230947.17688C-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 120651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.lasers,rec.radio.scanner,misc.forsale,fl.forsale

if you had a unix shell account, you would not have that problem!!

http://www.randomc.com/~dana

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999, Robin Stoddart wrote:

> Date: Mon, 26 Apr 1999 20:07:07 -0400
> From: Robin Stoddart <stoddart@interlog.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap, alt.lasers, rec.radio.scanner, misc.forsale,
> fl.forsale
> Subject: Re: Green Laser Pointer & Misc.
>
> Yeah! Here I am, working my ass off pointing the stupid thing, when it's
> even CALLED a POINTER!!!!! Argh! Ohhhhhhhh... Fingers getting tired, better
> stop typing...
>
> -Robin
>
>
> Adam wrote in message ...
> >In article <s_HU2.14015$D9.4053359@news2.mia>, "Jethro" <messmate@usa.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >> and what does it do..... just point ?
> >
> >No, you have to do that part. All the pointer does is output a beam of
> >collimated light :-)
> >
> >-Adam
>
>
>
>

2931. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990426233232.18591.00000858@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120652

When you find them you will find it very entertaining. The clerk to clerk intercom is the most fun. They insult customers, comment on the sexual aspects of customers and other assorted neat stuff. Just be sure to keep your mouth shut and not brag to your friends or family or the goodies might disappear.

2932. Re: scratches on scanner lcd window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: alexstjo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g3fj5src1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just for you knowledge.
Flitz is now on-line.
http://www.flitz.com

> Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like
> aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz,
> Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.
>
> Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse
> abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but
> leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder
> and more coarse abrasive particles.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2933. Re: Ebay Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevin <kevin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <dhbV2.271$Fm4.6215@cyclone.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 120654

agreed! they are too quick to shoot the innocent seller without first investigating but boy are they hands off when someone has flagrantly violted ebay's policy (let alone common sense) and ripped you off by taking your money and not delivering - then you find that ebay has false information on file for the person - but ebay says "we are not involved in policing the listings" ...

Dave O. <dogrodo1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message news:371be479.182012541@news-server...
> Me thinks the people at eBay should be more aware of the people who
> list items on the site and then rip others by failing to deliver the
> goods.
>
> On 19 Apr 1999 12:22:31 GMT, pro43@aol.com (Pro43) wrote:
>
SNIP

2934. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <372532a0.25661518@news.istep.com>
Source record: 120655

Revealing, repeating, anything heard on the airwaves that is not intended for the general public or is a distress signal violates the Comm Act. Period.
That the media listens and repeats is something that the government ignores.

This guy claims to own a company called American Precision Builders. He wants people to phone him with the location of fires so that he can be the first one on the scene and get a contract to do whatever is needed- boarding up windows, cleanup, rebuilding, etc.

2935. Anyone in Victoria, BC, Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pro2032@altavista.net
Message-ID: <ntbV2.329$Ds5.635538@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 120656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for any active scannists in Victoria, BC
who are willing to share abbreviations and unit IDs
so I can get more meaning out of what I hear on the
PD freqs.

2936. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <372548e0.9203189@news>
Source record: 120657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Apr 1999 23:31:09 +0100, Ush <usenet@[REMOVE.ME]nikel.co.uk>
wrote:

>EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:
>
>> site content is what makes a good site !
>
>Exactley. And wen that conten is ful of shittty spweling Misstakez it letz
>it downe.
>
>How can one assume the info given is correct, if you can't even get simple
>grammer right first. [...]
>
>Still, your site, no loss to me, just pointing out that others might feel
>the same, or fail to include you in there links etc because of this.

Actually, nobody really cares about the mispelling misteaks on Ian's
pages, and nobody worries about the info being correct. The reason is
it's essentially an anonymous ftp site, albeit with some pretty front
end graphics. People go there to download programs that generaly
aren't available anywhere else. They click on the link, the D/L the
software, and they're gone. Probably very few even NOTICE if there are
grammatical or spelling errors, and even fewer really care (in fact,
you appear to be the only one). So feel free to boycott Ian's site if
you want; I suspect people will care even less about that than they do
about the spelling mistakes.

2937. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <372551be.11474036@news>
Source record: 120658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 12:28:50 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Well, that's the funny thing. Under the new rules, your car radio, TV set,
>cordless phone, some CB radios, most Ham radios, and (this is hysterical to
>me) your CELLULAR phone are all scanners. So, to be in complete compliance
>with the new rules, a cell phone cannot legally receive or be modified to
>receive the cellular band. Ha, ha, ha..... Did I just hear Homer Simpson say
>"D'OH!"

Actually, this solves the probelm of cellular monitoring by third
parties quite handily, doesn't it? ;-) This is probably the most
effective legislation ever (in a reductio-ad-absurdum kind of way ;-)

2938. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37254ed5.10728603@news>
Source record: 120659

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:07:23 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
wrote:

>Not if this is for monitoring for a media outlet. Traffic reporters and news
>reporters use scanners and listeners at the station to relay breaking stories
>all the time and this is legal under the 1st Amendment freedom of the press,

Then how come that journalist in CA got convicted for listening in to
a cellphone conversation?

2939. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey M. Swiger" <"N8NOE(NoSpam)"@Ameritech.Net>
Message-ID: <3725563B.65946CD5@Ameritech.Net>
Source record: 120660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff, Your first statement is not correct. Japan and others have already DROPPED there Code requirements. I'm not a fan of CW, but I also don't think is necessary as a mode of High-Speed communications.. Jeff N8NOE

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Jim Shaffer, Jr. <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net> wrote:
> >But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people who
> >have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.
>
> You need to step back so as to get a global view of why the code require-
> ment exists for HF privileges. RF at HF respects no boundaries, and as
> communicators first and foremost, hams the world over should have at
> least one mode in common. At present, there is only one mode all the
> world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW. Whether one chooses to
> use it or not does not matter; if the need arises he has it available to
> him (maybe at a slower speed than when he was first licensed!). The phone
> modes won't work as an international mode due to differences in languages,
> but there are sufficient code pro-signs so that a meaningful QSO can
> extend across language barriers. A digital mode could become the
> international standard, but until such time that every ham worldwide is so
> equipped and/or has the ability to build and self-maintain such
> equipment, CW will remain the common mode. The ITU has this global view
> while many individuals have only an insular nearsightedness - their own
> personal goals out-weigh those of the global amateur community.
>
> (Daily worldwide comms don't normally take place above 50 MHz, so a common
> mode in that region can be chosen on a national level; for us it's of
> course FM phone.)
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

--
" What A LONG Strange Trip It's Been! "
Jeffrey M. Swiger
*** N8NOE ***

2940. modifing Radio shack pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pape <the_Pape@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7g3mrt$67c$1@cti15.citenet.net>
Source record: 120661

can you send me the modification to unblock the radio shack pro-41 to get over 512 mhz ?

e-mail it to The_Pape@hotmail.com
thx :)

2941. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <fFcV2.1871$n5.6016@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120662

My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't go
that high.
Mr. Clem <nobody@home.here> wrote in message
news:3724765B.7CB5D5CF@home.here...
> Tbrutis1 wrote:
> > At times, we will turn off the TV, turn on the scanner & listen to the
cordless
> > & cell phone calls. We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be
> > "scanner proof"
> > Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I
haven't
> > heard any.
>
> You don't hear them because they ARE scanner-proof. I really doubt you
> can pick up a digital spread spectrum phone.
>
> > It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will
someone
> > explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on
everyone's
> > door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?
>
> Well, they can always monitor the newsgroups and track down those who
> confess to listening.

2942. Pro-2004 with cell mods on Ebay!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Music4sale@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13172-37255426-124@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please go take a look at my auction on Ebay. On there is my Pro-2004
with cell mods.
Go to:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=96594579
Thanks for Looking

Thank you

2943. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <37255D9B.20B1FA4E@home.com>
Source record: 120664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote:
>
> Ha ha ha... Good one! You got me there.
>
> Peter
>
> Anonymous wrote in message <199904270138.DAA02220@mail.replay.com>...
> >CommQuart (commquart@erols.com) wrote:
> >Well, if it weren't for CB in the 70's we wouldn't have had the song
> >"Convoy". That alone was worth it.
> >
> >
> >"...so I crashed the gate doing 98
> >I said let those truckers roll, 10-4"
> >
> >
> >C.W. McCall didn't, perhaps, get his name from CW, did he? (His real
> >name was Bill Fries).
> >
> >

--

Well I said, Earl I'm not the type to complain but the time has come for me to explain that if you don't apply some brake's real soon their gonna have to pick us up with a stick and a spoon.....

2944. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37257ed7.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 120665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3723dd87.910398@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>>Even though I live in Australia I am discusted at the US governments
>>plans to regulate radio scanners further.

>>The new regulations do not include manualy tuned receivers. Therefore
>>I hope to see new manualy tuned receivers expressly made and sold for
>>the purpose of cellular phone reception. The new regulations define
>>a scanning receiver as one which can automaticly scan two or more
>>channels and automatically stop on a signal. So a receiver which
>>scans all cellular channels and which displays channels in use
>>without stopping on them would be exempt. The user could manualy
>>stop the scan and tune to the displayed channel.

I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
for you to eavesdrop on!

Brad

2945. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18338-37258070-1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120666

Also, CW is a "mode" of operation. Why is there no testing for SSB "mode", FM "mode", Packet "mode", SSTV "mode" or even Voice for that matter?

2946. Re: WANTED: AOR 2700 Manual/Instruction Booklet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <iJWJvZAfrYJ3EwIC@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 120667

In article <7g2u04$dl6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, hartbeat@greenepa.net
writes

Hi,

> I would like to purchase a copy of the operation/instruction/owner manual
>that comes with the AOR 2700 Handheld Scanner. Thank you.

You can download in pdf file format the AR2700 manual format he AOR (UK)
web site.

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/

Have fun.
Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2947. Re: Help me to find what is this police data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427063552.24771.00000007@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 120668

>Listen here friend this is illegal to do. You have just distributed
>information from a radio frequency monitoring system device.
>The law clearly prohibits this.
>

Please quote the law that this violates? There is no law againsts intercepting unencryped MDT transmissions, although most police departments tend to think otherwise.

2948. Which antenna is the best for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olivier Baltus <obaltus@crisp.nl>
Message-ID: <8qp3g7.8rc.ln@henson.crisp.nl>
Source record: 120669

Hi,

As above, I was wondering wich antenna was the best for scanning...

Thanks,

Olivier Baltus

2949. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7g45h0$9d5$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120670

It's not so much blocked as it is just skipped. That space is the UHF TV broadcast. There is only one station every 6mhz so it's a lot of frequency with very few signals.

The audio for Channel 14 is at 475.75Mhz and you'll find each successive channel 6Mhz higher up to channel 67 audio at 793.75Mhz.

Alf

wray@vtc.net wrote in message <7g391t$nb5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
>why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
>wray kephart
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2950. Re: Is it illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daksie@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18337-372587B2-2@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120671

Nooney,
Cops have crowd control for a scene, not to be confusd with parking tickets!
It sounds as though you may be FF1 or a volunteer. The bystanders, who you say, bring you coffee, more than likely live in the area and didn't hear it on a scanner.
And though appreciated, on a working structure fire, your company should have a 15 minute Rehab. with drinks, sweets, and carbs. A glass of Gatorade should not be a "FULL ACCESS PASS" for a local resident to get within a perimeter.
Being an "assumed" Public Servant, I find it uncomfotable that you condone "Radio Gawkers" at the scene. At working fire it should be Public Safey...not Public Domain.
No offense!

2951. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <vThV2.5$P3.21@stones>
Source record: 120672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> >
> >Still, your site, no loss to me, just pointing out that others might feel
> >the same, or fail to include you in there links etc because of this.
>
> Actually, nobody really cares about the mispelling misteaks on Ian's
> pages, and nobody worries about the info being correct. The reason is
> it's essentially an anonymous ftp site, albeit with some pretty front
> end graphics. People go there to download programs that generaly
> aren't available anywhere else. They click on the link, the D/L the
> software, and they're gone. Probably very few even NOTICE if there are
> grammatical or spelling errors, and even fewer really care (in fact,
> you appear to be the only one). So feel free to boycott Ian's site if
> you want; I suspect people will care even less about that than they do
> about the spelling mistakes.
>

he, he, he !

and there will be more software to come :-)

eavsdropper

2952. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904271220.OAA24877@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120673

Cell images on the AR8000.

2953. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QWhV2.6$P3.74@stones>
Source record: 120674

X <Freqout@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12203-37256053-63@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
i recently aquired a scanner that goes to the 2 GHz area. my question is
what is there to listen to up there, and is there a list of freqs anyone
can share with me? So. Cal. area

73
S-band video from police helicopters
geostationary weather satellites
inmarsat phone and fax calls
and various other things but they will all require modified dishes/pre-amps and sometimes
other modified equipement

have a look on my "other info page for a bit more info"

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk
eavsdropper

2954. R8500, R10& Scout, AR8200 All items are Sold Tkx!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g4b7qmuq1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The R8500, R10 & Scout, AR8200 are all Sold
Thanks to all!

73
Charlie

2955. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7g4dktrml1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don W6ROY & Mary KC6WYC wrote in message <3724833E.A59@pacbell.net>...
>Spot wrote:

>And, mark my words, that's the day I turn in my ticket and my VE
>certification. And, 'SPOT' (I called one of my dog's Spot once),
>most of the advances in electronics technology came from the ranks
>of the Amateurs, who were experimenting with things beyound your
wildest
>dream when you were but spit on your father's mouth.
>
>Don

Well, Don, sorry to see you go. And you will go.

But it's attitudes like yours that are going to be the death of CW.

CW will go as a requirement, it's only a matter of time. But spoiled
brat attitudes like yours and others will eventually lead to stagnation
of CW, and then the protected sub-bands are going to go.

And you point out that most of the advances in electronics over
the years were from HAMs. That's true. But it sure had NOTHING
to do with CW for years.

Face it, CW is neat, it's fun, but it's not the savior and protector of
HAM radio. That funtion is up to the members, and hopefully
members that are open to new ideas and moving (hopefully
PUSHING) the envelope forward. Not those stuck in the past.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

2956. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3725BB5A.92CBEB0F@home.com>
Source record: 120677

Duh!, He is talking about the R1, not the R2. The R1 is a piece of Crap!

Lee Rothman wrote:
>
> Sorry to offend but Jim you are full of #%$@. the R2 is one of the best
> handheld scanners ever conceived. It is more sensitive than anything
> currently on the market (in otherwords hears things others simply do not)
> and has superb audio, not to mention it's incredible battery life and low
> price. The PRO-43 (I owned one) isn't even in the same league. Frankly it
> doesn't need a outdoor antenna anyway so why bother.

2957. Re: Not so nice about CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7g4dti$s0s$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120678

That's right!!

Just listen to 14.313. Perfectly behaved gentleman HAMs, right? I mean
they all passed the general CW test or higher, right?

Mike

Mickey McInnis wrote in message <7g2nfi$g0s$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>...
>m> <372ad870.16294952@news.teleport.com>
<F044C8C573A803F4.15D1FEB13A94C66A.C04C0769749530F1@library-proxy.airnew
s.net> <372352b7.47602948@news.teleport.com>
>Organization:
>Keywords:
>
>In article <372352b7.47602948@news.teleport.com>,
kew@-nospam-teleport.com (Keith) writes:
>|>...
>|>
>|> Let's take your suggestion and eliminate all code from Ham radio.
The
>|> bands will quickly fill up with obnoxious morons like yourself ....
>
>In other words, those who've passed a code test are morally superior to
those
>who haven't. Proud "coders" who consider anyone who disagrees with
>them to be "obnoxious morons". Proud coders like the "real" hams
>on 80 meters. Oh, yes, a code test proves that a person is going to
>be a good operator.

2958. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Sparro <kontos@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7g4hpu$q4u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120679

In article <7g391t$nb5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
wray@vtc.net wrote:
> i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
> why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
> wray kephart

It's not blocked, but it's just that most scanners are not designed to recieve signals in the range. That range of frequencies is used mostly for broadcast television (I Think). It would make the scabber more expensive, and there are devices better suited to recieving these signals (like TV sets).
--
Dave Sparro
Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2959. Re: modifing Radio shack pro-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3725BFF3.2737@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 120680

That would be like getting blood out of a turnip, it aint there...
>
> can you send me the modification to unblock the radio shack pro-41 to get
> over 512 mhz ?
>
> e-mail it to The_Pape@hotmail.com
> thx :)

2960. Re: Mods for Pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mqU4H9BV2bJ3EwaE@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 120681

In article <XuUT2.572$xY5.5490@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Jerry
<jerrym@kc.net> writes

>I would like info on any mods for Pro 26 especially opening up the
>cellular.

To allow "full" coverage via Keypad entry to a US purchased PRO-26 then
your only option is to remove the fitted CPU and replace it with a
UK/European version.

As an alternative there is what people call a "Virtual Downconversion"
which would allow cellular frequencies to be received in the high
300MHz. Depending on when you purchased the PRO-26 there could be some
resin covering the IC's concerned in this mod although it is probable
you can work from other contact points on the PCB.

Take a look at http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro26cell.html

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2961. California 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ayc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427101630.22123.00000109@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120682

I just found out I will be going o the NASCAR California 500. If anyone can e-mail me with a web page where I can find police & fire freqs for the area around California Speedway I would appreciate it. I am staying in a place called Covina so i could use the PD & FD freqs for hat area. I allready have the NASCAR freqs.

Thanks

2962. LA DOWNTOWN FREQS PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <7g3891$43ck$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120683

From time to time, I get stuck in downtown Los Angeles over night and stay just a few blocks from LAPD's Parker Center.

I would sure appreciate any police, fire, etc. frequencies for downtown LA to keep me busy at night.

Thanks in advance,

73 de JOHN, KC6NWJ

2963. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgibbs3015@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427112111.18620.00000073@ng121.aol.com>
Source record: 120684

>From: burtons961@aol.com (BurtonS961)
>Date: 4/26/1999 9:52 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990426215235.05830.00000880@ngol07.aol.com>
>
>In article <#ua8akCk#GA.185@cpmsnbbsa05>, "Ivan Ivanovich"
><iivanovich@usf.com>
>writes:
>
>>
>>The only problem with this request is the violation of Federal Law. No
>>person is to divulge any material monitored by every FCC Communications Act
>>since 1934.
>>
>>
>>Timbers97 wrote in message <19990425193322.03517.00001470@ng-fr1.aol.com>...
>>>SEEKING A PERSON WHO UNDERSTANDS RADIO SCANNER TECHNOLOGY AND AND IS
>>AVAILABLE
>>>TO LISTEN FOR EMERGENCY FIRE RESPONSE IN THE CA. BAY AREA WITH SPECIAL
>>INTEREST
>>>IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. WILL PAY FOR ALL USEFUL ,FAST
>>RESPONSES.
>>> CALL RICHARD AREA CODE (510) 773-2298
>>
>
>Could it be a "STING"?
>Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com
>
>it may be a sting. most likely they are public adjusters, or ambulance
chasers, fire truck
chasers, whatever.
they will present the fire claim to the insurance co. for 10%.
a very lucrative business.
make sure you get the going rate of 1% of the damage.ie, $100,00.00 fire=
$1,000.00 for the
source.
>
>
>
>

2964. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Sparro <kontos@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7g4r49$3fk$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120685

In article <37252AB7.12BAEB5D@home.com>,
Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
{SNIP}
> The R1 is one of the worst scanners ever made.
.....
> I do not miss the R1.
>

Jim, just so that I'm clear; you don't like the R-1?
I'd be happy to take it off your hands. just send it to me Postage due!

Dave Sparro
Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2965. scanner memory banks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unknown <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3725E7A8.AFD8638F@nospam.net>
Source record: 120686

I've recently purchased a handheld scanner with 20 banks x 50 channels. I was wondering what common frequencies people store in their memory banks. I don't want specific frequencies, but things like police/fire/ambulance=bank 1, cb=bank 2, airport=bank 3, etc. This could help me from reprogramming my scanner many times while I learn the best way. Thanks for all replies.

2966. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mH6V2.1526$Nt.1005@stones>
Source record: 120733
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

carl morphett <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7g2g2f$e16$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> To Ush!
> I always thought that this newsgroup was for scanning in the UK?
> Not an English language tutorial group.
> Christ some of you lot are so pathetic,the next thing you will be moaning
> about
> will be the punctuation.
> When I go to a scanning website like Eavsdroppers,Im more concerned with
> the actual content and with Ians case,the excellent software it has on it.
> If you immediately leave a site because of poor spelling,then you
obviously
> need to get a life.If you had bothered to actually look at the site,the
you
> would see it
> was a well put together and very informative site.
> Its ok knocking sites,but I would like to see you do better!
> Stop moaning,grow up and actually try contributing to this hobby,instead
of
> sneering
> at people who are really trying to do just that.
>
> Carl M.
>

Thanks carl, I was not going to respond to these idiots but you have just
put it in a very good way
and probably better then I could have so , just like to say "nice one" !.

I'm off now to make some more speeeling mistakes, it's just lucky i am not
dislexic (if thats how you spell it :-) or I could have been very upset at
that!, but then idiots like that dont bother to think of that do they ?

Besides while I am thinking about it, whats the use of using a spell checker
99.9% of them are bloody American and thats not good english is it !

eavsdropper
>

2967. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OI6V2.1527$Nt.850@stones>
Source record: 120734
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Oh but its OK for Mr Eavesdropper to rant on about hoe a certain retailer

that's great spelling from somebody who is slagging off others peoples
(hoe ?)!!

nice one stupid

eavsdropper

2968. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <NJ6V2.1528$Nt.992@stones>
Source record: 120735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
> Daave,
> That free software works great though, durn't it.
>
> Ever heard that saying to do with looking at a gift horse?
>
> Pay my airfare and I will travel over and straighten Ian's
> spelling out.
>
> Site looks phine to this illiterate scannerist. I can't read
> aniway.
>
> Thonks,
> Glennn
>
>
>
> Hey Glen, perhaps if I make the site all pictures to click on it would be
easier for the whiners to use it :-)

eavsdropper
>
>
>

2969. F/S mint Uniden BC 450 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: AMFONE@CONCENTRIC.NET
Message-ID: <7g335m$i5n$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120736

Seldom used.. almost never.
Radio Shack sold one just like this one for $199.00 so did Sears.
Turbo scan..
29.00 to 560 mhz...
Size of small CB radio
Will ship for $95.00
with manual
bye tim

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2970. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3727A5A1.67A0@sir.com>
Source record: 120437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
>
> I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going around between
> old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.

Yes, even when morse code is not a requirement for any license, the
attitude will still remain because of the different "classes" of
licenses. Extra class will look down upon General class even though
they both have privileges on the exact same bands. There is no reason
NOW to have General, Advanced & Extra other than to promote the cliquish
elitist attitude you mentioned and divide Hams into different groups.

If there were just ONE Ham license, you wouldn't have all this BS.

2971. Re: TrunkTracker Question: I want a small

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <puNV2.16170$95.492045@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 120438

Is the size of a car stereo small enough? I hope so, because as far as I know RadioShack carries the only mobile TrunkTracker. Otherwise just use a portable.

puck <puck@pacifier.com> wrote in message news:37279C38.D22D981F@pacifier.com...
> I want a small mobile TruckTracker radio for my car.
> It has got to be small or have a detachable faceplate.
> Is there such a radio available??
>

2972. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7g6udfmnv1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

This is EXACTLY the attitude that is causing the all the problems with
this code/no-code debate, and I really want to grab everyone who
thinks like this by the throat and slap them silly while yelling at them
"ARE YOU THAT F***ING STUPID????"

It's this attitude that anyone without CW skills, or with 'lower' CW
skills
than the person doing the evaluation as 'less' of a HAM. The BULLSHIT
attitude that some people have that the license was a final exam that
granted rights and privlidges.

In my mind, and remember, my opinion is more important to me than
your opinion, is that ANY AND ALL licenses are ENTRY EXAMS, to
get you into the hobby (it's a hobby, not a lifestyle as some people
that
appear to have no life seem to forget) so that you can learn and grow,
not stagnate.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Hamish Moffatt wrote in message
<7g6h5eecq1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>...
>That's an interesting question; should we consider a no-code license
>to be a valid end-point for those who aren't interested in the extra
>priviledges, or only a stepping stone to a "full call"?

>--
>Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
>
>Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd.
>Developers of music education software including Auralia & Musition.
>31 Elmhurst Road, Blackburn, Victoria Australia, 3130
>Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA Toll Free:
1-888-667-7839
>Internet: http://www.rising.com.au/

2973. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <3727AA1D.9F4B2D76@datasync.com>
Source record: 120440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ALL the below are VERY CORRECT and TRUE, BUT,
is that ANY reason to use it on a TEST for getting your ticket
keep the subbands..if you want, EXPAND them if you like
IF we use this MODE to test people should we not have a test to see if you
can talk correctly for phone, type correctly for packet,
and expand that by building your own computer for digital modes.
THAT'S ALL I AM AGAINST IS FOR A TEST
keep the mode if it makes you happy but don't use it for TESTING.
NUFF SAID
DON
KB5FHX
NCI-1070
MARS AREA COORDINATOR
ARES RACES OPERATOR
SKYWARN FOUNDER
21 YEARS IN NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS

Gord Skiffington wrote:

> Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use
> morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are
> only mentioned briefly.
>
> Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim
> easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are
> standardized but its not easy)......
> - Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires the
> most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor" causes
> error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of the
> other "terminal" or "line" conditions.
> - Is a mode which transcends language and dialect barriers
> when suitable signals are used...such as the international "Q"
> signals...and others. Hard to get most computer systems to do that yet
> and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example) with
> a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.
> - Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in
> communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete for
> the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical
> use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).
> - Is a mode which, when the equipment is tired....just
> needs a good dinner and a cup o coffee to revive it.....stuff we have
> around anyway !
> - When send using CW transmission (the 2 are NOT the same!)

> is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends, for
> solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions. It
> might not be the very best...but when you consider the rudimentary
> equipment needed it becomes mighty attractive.
> - Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic
> equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability".....a handy thing
> if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals that
> couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just turn
> 'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is
> slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????
>
> There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should keep
> morse alive. Yep its old.....but well made and the fact that it hasn't
> undergone a million transformations tells us all that it works well. It
> is slow, but when mere text will suffice, is an adequate method of
> communication in conjuction with simple equipment. It does require
> skill to use...but everything we do also does (Tell me that a windows NT
> system hung up on a satellite link doesn't require skill to figure out
> what happened!). There is also a thing about operating morse that only
> morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that
> transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial operator,
> a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make himself
> understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can only
> offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing
> despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.
>
> 73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)

--
OUR WEB PAGE UPDATED 17 April 99
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 Darla and Don's Website
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/darnold.shtml My online column

DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294

Right now we are on the threshold of whatever comes next!!!
MAYBE!!

2974. AR-8000 Flat Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3727AD86.977A1B48@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120441

Hello,

I have lost my flat ribbon cable that connects the AR-8000 to the
Optolinx unit. Anyone know of a source for a replacement cable?

Thanks,

Jim.....
--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

2975. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g8bnrnrq1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 120442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>attitude that some people have that the license was a final exam that
>granted rights and privlidges.

Since the inception of incentive licensing, the exam does grant
privledges. As far as being 'final', in my case yes, in your case,
no.....

>(it's a hobby, not a lifestyle as some people that appear to have no
>life seem to forget) so that you can learn and grow,
>not stagnate.

Did you figure all this out in the last couple months by reading
newsgroups ?

73, Jim KH2D

2976. FS: MAX 800 Mhz Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g8coh$n8l$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120443

Excellent condition. With BNC connector for handheld scanners. Will also accept PL-259 cable for base use. Ground plane antenna with 5 radials cut for 800 Mhz. Great for 800 Mhz trunktracking.

Price is $20.00 shipped in U.S.

2977. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Hancock <EricHancock@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3727B6B8.9C924E3C@nettally.com>
Source record: 120444

Well with digital phones around it will be harder and harder to listen to them and your scanner will not be able to pick these digital phones up. Ohh and another thing, theirs no such thing as a scanner proof crodless/cell phone. Someone will find a way to listen to those phones no matter what.

Tbrutis1 wrote:

> It's really amazing how many people still do NOT realize how far the range of
> cordless phones can go. A lot of times, it depends on the weather & where you
> are as well.
> At times, we will turn off the TV, turn on the scanner & listen to the cordless
> & cell phone calls. We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be
> "scanner proof"
> Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I haven't
> heard any.
> I really believe if more people were truly aware of how "scanner friendly" the
> overwhelming majority of these phones were, their sales would go right down
> the porcelain.
> It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will someone
> explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on everyone's
> door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?
> I'm willing to listen......
> 73's,
>
> Tom
> tbrutis1@aol.com
> THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

--
Eric Hancock (KG4CJV)
KG4CJV@nettally.com
EricHancock@nettally.com
hancock@tfn.net

2978. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11914b177f5f97d298975c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120445

Give me a break, don't compare Chevy's to a Mercedes please. Even if it has a feature or two.

2979. Re: Opocom or 8200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11914ba54f28d1d998975d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120446

I just sold my 8200 as I didn't like the internally generated noise, poor quality of build and switches, poor sensitivity etc. I am greatly considering a Optocom also. I got a good demo today at Scanners Unlimited and I am pretty sure I am going that way. The build quality and performance is leagues beyond the 8200. Of course it isn't portable, but I don't care as I have a IC-R2 for that. For trunktracking I don't think there is any better then the Optocom.

2980. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <3727C53A.E682BA2F@netdoor.com>
Source record: 120447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Skywarn founder?

magee KF5DE

Don or Darla Arnold wrote:

> ALL the below are VERY CORRECT and TRUE, BUT,
> is that ANY reason to use it on a TEST for getting your ticket
> keep the subbands..if you want, EXPAND them if you like
> IF we use this MODE to test people should we not have a test to see if
> you can talk correctly for phone, type correctly for packet,
> and expand that by building your own computer for digital modes.
> THAT'S ALL I AM AGAINST IS FOR A TEST
> keep the mode if it makes you happy but don't use it for TESTING.
> NUFF SAID
> DON
> KB5FHX
> NCI-1070
> MARS AREA COORDINATOR
> ARES RACES OPERATOR
> SKYWARN FOUNDER
> 21 YEARS IN NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS
>
>
> Gord Skiffington wrote:
>
>> Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't
>> use
>> morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are
>>
>> only mentioned briefly.
>>
>> Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied
>> verbatim
>> easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are
>> standardized but its not easy)......
>> - Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires
>> the
>> most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor"
>> causes
>> error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of
>> the
>> other "terminal" or "line" conditions.

>> - Is a mode which transcends language and dialect
>> barriers
>> when suitable signals are used...such as the international "Q"
>> signals...and others. Hard to get most computer systems to do that
>> yet
>> and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example)
>> with
>> a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.
>> - Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in
>> communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete
>> for
>> the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical
>> use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).
>> - Is a mode which, when the equipment is tired....just
>> needs a good dinner and a cup o coffee to revive it.....stuff we
>> have
>> around anyway !
>> - When send using CW transmission (the 2 are NOT the
>> same!)
>> is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends,
>> for
>> solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions.
>> It
>> might not be the very best...but when you consider the rudimentary
>> equipment needed it becomes mighty attractive.
>> - Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic
>> equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability".....a handy
>> thing
>> if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals
>> that
>> couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just
>> turn
>> 'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is
>> slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????
>>
>> There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should
>> keep
>> morse alive. Yep its old.....but well made and the fact that it
>> hasn't
>> undergone a million transformations tells us all that it works
>> well. It
>> is slow, but when mere text will suffice, is an adequate method of
>> communication in conjuction with simple equipment. It does require
>> skill to use...but everything we do also does (Tell me that a
>> windows NT
>> system hung up on a satellite link doesn't require skill to figure
>> out
>> what happened!). There is also a thing about operating morse that
>> only
>> morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that

>> transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial
>> operator,
>> a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make
>> himself
>> understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can
>> only
>> offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing
>> despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.
>>
>> 73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)
>
> --
> OUR WEB PAGE UPDATED 17 April 99
> http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 Darla and Don's Website
>
> http://hattiesburg.net/columns/darnold.shtml My online column
>
> DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
> Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294
>
> Right now we are on the threshold of whatever comes next!!!
> MAYBE!!
>

2981. Re: Ebay another letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gardner Mayo <gbmayo@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3727C1D6.E5F6D8D6@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120448

I guess I better get an older scanner while there still cheap and abundant and convert it before its too late! :-)

Anonymous wrote:

> R R M Tweek (tweek@netcom.com) wrote:
>
> : Please pull your collective head out of your collective ass and
> : learn WTF the cellular band is in relation to the 900 mhz spectrum.
>
> Most lower cost scanners with a first IF of 10.7Mhz receive cellphones as
> images in the 900Mhz region. They won't after the new rules take effect,
> but this will obviously apply only to those manufactured afterwards. Will
> the new rules increase the market demand for earlier scanners,
> cell-blocked, not easily unblockable, but "image capable"?

2982. Re: TrunkTracker Question: I want a small

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bill@elginet.com>
Message-ID: <3727C7A8.A2C3DF68@elginet.com>
Source record: 120449

puck wrote:

> I want a small mobile TruckTracker radio for my car.
> It has got to be small or have a detachable faceplate.
> Is there such a radio available??

Good luck. The smallest thing out there right now is the Radio Shack Pro-90 (or 91). I've looked everywhere.
I used to have a Kenwood Trunking / Conventional mobile in my car to listen to the local PD, until they trunked the damn system. Now I'm told the scanner is it (it's a Mot type II system now) and even if I got a Mot trunked mobile, you have to have the info downloaded FROM Motorola. Whether it's true....who knows. I just got tired of screwing around. There's so much that happens in this town that you would otherwise NEVER know.....

Get a Pro-90 and permanently mount it with an outside mobile antenna. Works great.

2983. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <ASPV2.143$sN5.5672@nntp1>
Source record: 120450

What's the model number? There was an absolute furor going on a bit back about the GigaRange because the 900MHz handset side of the conversation could be scanned, despite Panasonic's advertising claims. Maybe they fixed it?

The phone in question was the KX-TG200-B (and probably it's speakerphone variant)

Anyone heard this particular hole was plugged?

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
>I just tried to pick it up using a scout and an AOR 8000 and it didn't pick
>it up.
>
>Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
>news:nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1...
>>
>> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
>> >My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't
go
>> >that high.
>>
>>
>> It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz range FM
>analog
>> and is easily scanned.
>>
>> Rob Lang
>> KC2EMO
>>
>>
>

2984. Re: TrunkTracker Question: I want a small

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3727CAA9.D04F1122@accesscom.com>
Source record: 120451

Try looking at the Radio Shack Pro 2066. Kinda lame for total number of frequencies, no Military UHF and Ctcss decode. I think asking for a detachable faceplate is asking a little to much for a scanner.

Regards

Ed

puck wrote:
>
> I want a small mobile TruckTracker radio for my car.
> It has got to be small or have a detachable faceplate.
> Is there such a radio available??

2985. Re: Help on what my scanner is doing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3727ea34@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 120452

<ipsofac@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7g8euebgh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> I have one of the new Yaesu VX-5Rs which is actually is an FM
> tranciever but has wide band RX from 50KHz to 1.3 MgHZ. It is quite
> sophisticated but I am finding its RX capability wanting.
>

You might try subscribing to the VX-5 mailing list at www.onelist.com
Search for the VX-5 list once you're there. Lots of good information on this
incredible little radio.
I know Yaesu doesn't guarantee receive specs outside of the ham bands, so it
may not be as sensitive as a bona fide scanner, but most of the people on
the list seem fairly happy with the radio.

Dan

2986. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3727D4E4.1458@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120453

I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. 14channels, 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

2987. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bk40 <bk43@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7g8oadssu1@usenet45.supernews.com>
Source record: 120454
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So there Patrick!
Jeff

Tony wrote in message <37274F90.50FE@palcoelectronics.com>...
>Patrick Nowlan wrote:
>>
>> Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
>> both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
>> well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
are
>> NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I
love
>> mine)
>
>
>Palco Electronics is a distributor for the RCI Ranger Radios. We
>wholesale
>and retail the Ranger 2950, 2970, and the 2990. It is legal to have
>possession
>of these 10 meter radios. It is not legal to use them (transmit) if you
>do not have an
>amateur radio license. These are not Export Only radios! It is illegal
>to
>convert these radios to 11 meter. Type acceptance generally applies to
>11
>meter radios.
>
>
>Tony
>
>--
>Palco Electronics, Inc.
>Discount prices on CB Radios, accessories, and more!
>"Always a Whale of a Deal!"
>http://www.palcoelectronics.com

2988. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <372784B2.5908@imt.net>
Source record: 120455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The Conqueror wrote:
>
> I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements

To weed out the serious from the lazy and stupid.

> if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> in ham radio either.

What the heck do any of these points have to do with Morse code in the
amateur service?

And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.

Somehow you managed to obscure the meaning of your entire post.
_ _... ..._ _ Tracy

>
> -Dan K3DRQ
>
> k3drq@qsl.net

2989. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <3727855D.4989@imt.net>
Source record: 120456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Edward L. Dowdy wrote:
>
> Bravo!
>
> Gord Skiffington wrote in message <3726986A.950@nbnet.nb.ca>...
> >Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use
> >morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are
> >only mentioned briefly.
> >
> > Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim

Morse is not a mode,but a language that is the common one used on the
mode continuous wave.Tracy

2990. Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3727fb65.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 120457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...

2991. FS: Realistic Pro-41 10 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ufzcV3ek#GA.222@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 120458
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Realistic Pro-41 10 Channel Scanner

Asking $50.00 Or Best Offer

For Picture And More Info Visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks!! Rich
```

2992. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7g9nc3$p97$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120459

39.14 is the blue freq. this is for the air enforcement unit they are on I
10 at white water they also use the border patrol on 163.775 for back up
at night 42.44 is used for both car and mobile being a small office there is
only three constant people in the office 45.02 has not been used in the
desert.
PVBoy33 wrote in message <19990429032455.05461.00000096@ng-cm1.aol.com>...
>clarkm@pacbell.net wrote:
>
>
>
>>>Air to Ground 45.02
>>>
>>>**Note: CHP uses radar anywhere in California now....
>>
>>Is the Air to Ground frequency statewide? This is the first I've heard of
>>the air frequency (although it's obvious it exists).
>
>It's the primary, but they also use the Blue channel (42.34 base 42.18
mobile)
>and I've heard them two or three times talking on extenders direct on both
>154.905 and 154.935 which is actually a CLEMARS designation. Also, in an
area
>where there are multiple "Bears in the air" they talk back and forth on
122.75
>and 122.85. Good luck, and get a radar detector. =)
>
>
>PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

2993. Santa Ana CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7g9nc4$p97$2@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120460

testing is being done on 39.14 look for future changes the testing was done on the new tollway

2994. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <37273D33.79F4EFB2@tfn.net>
Source record: 120461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Because many people who take the same exam you take will be using them,and a tube-type rig is
probably the easiest way to get yourself fried.

Spot wrote:

> They should completely drop vacuum tubes from the tests if they have not already also.
> I'm going to be running completely solid state anyhow so why would I or anyone else care.

2995. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <372734BF.223B47C3@tfn.net>
Source record: 120462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore the treaties and make it's own rules?

Spot wrote:

> > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>
> The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
> are very outdated.

2996. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <37273DC4.8D7C7ED5@tfn.net>
Source record: 120463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's a big 10-4 good buddy!

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 12:25:44 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> >
> > >"I want it now. I don't want to pay.. I don't want to work for it.."
> > >Just more of the welfare-mentality of this current-day world.
> >
> > Humans shouldn't need to adapt to unreasonable environments -- it is one of the
> > characteristics of our species that we can create our own environment. The
> > amateur radio laws are human-created and can be human-modified.
> >
> >
>
> In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
> want to".

2997. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <372737C3.2C10EB91@tfn.net>
Source record: 120464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Even the world of no-coding is very enjoyable, As a custodial single parent, I do not have the time to spend on code practice, and neither the time nor money to buy HF equipment with. I primarily operate VHF mobile, although when I do find a little time I like to play with antennas in my backyard (see http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/antenna/) and have found that 2 meters is ideal for this as the antenna is small enough to be easily built. Although I do not have my code out of the way, I would hate to see them eliminate it altogether. It is a very proud tradition of amateur radio, can be heard even when voice cannot, requires no real special equipment (keying your mike on AM or FM would work), and is required by international law. So who are we to tell the government to ignore that law?

Karina Wright wrote:

> CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>
> >Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
> >for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
> >exists on 27 MHz.
>
> I am on my way towards getting my no-code license because, I'm afraid,
> that's as far as I will ever progress. I have tried for years to lear
> Morse, but some glitch in my hearing or noggin or something will not let me
> get the knack of it.
>
> When I was little (30-something years ago), I used to be glued to my
> step-dad's shortwave, sending postcards (I must have spent a forturne
> sending cards around the world).... I desperately wanted to be part of that
> world, I had a telegraph key to practice with, I specifically tuned into the
> morse broadcasts so I could try to develop an ear for it...to no avail...it
> just wouldn't happen. I dropped away from shortwave for years and have only
> recently become aware that I now have an entry(albeit a limited one) into
> the world of my youth.
>
> I have nothing but admiration for those who can pick up morse code. I wish
> I could.
>
> ttfn/Karina

2998. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <37273E9D.57B2ED74@tfn.net>
Source record: 120465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No one is forcing anyone to join the ranks of amateur radio, or to stay. It's a free world.

Spot wrote:

> From the old fashioned attitudes I see in this thread, I can see why people don't renew their licenses
> or even get their license.

2999. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
Message-ID: <3727411A.B3E9109A@tfn.net>
Source record: 120466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >> You are implying that you have a right to set a standard value over all
> HAM wannabees
> >> and then have a right to deem a certain amount of work to meet that
> value.
>

These rules were not set by us..they were around a long time before a lot of us were even born

> >> As you know, the FCC is the only one who has a right to set these values
> and the amount of work
> >> needed, not you.

Not even the FCC. Ever hear of the ITU? Or is looking that up too much work for you?

>
> >> Sit there and get upset all you want, resent us for things being easy,
> but the fact is, FCC will be dropping
>

I don't resent you for anything. I'm a no-coder myself. But I don't whine like a baby because I don't have HF priviledges either. I haven't earned them, so why should I deserve them? As a single parent with no time for study or HF ragchewing, VHF mobile operation suits me just fine. If at some point in the future, I have more time to devote to the hobby, then I would use some of that time to brush up on my code at least to the standards required not only by the FCC, but by international law.

> >> code from the requirements in less than 3 years and will also be updating
> its tests to reflect modern times instead
> >> of their current tests which are geared more for those who wanted to
> became HAMS in the 1950's - 1970's.
> >>

3000. Re: Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37270f1d.10518239@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120487
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Cell phones are very hazardous to your financial health.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3001. Re: HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37270f7d.10614840@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120488

"The Conqueror" <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

:ITS ILLEGAL.
:
:I have capabilities since Pro-66 is an older scanner, but I dont listen to
:it. Besides, it's boring.

The very latest scanners also cover 900MHz.

Is 46MHz differant to 900MHz? Why are you looking at me that way?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3002. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37271026.10783858@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120489

wray@vtc.net wrote:

:i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
:why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
:wray kephart

Hello there i.
They are blocked out to make the scanner cheaper.
All that is there is UHF TV. Channel 28 is the lowest UHF channel and
it starts at 520MHz. UHF TV goes all the way up to channel 69 at 820MHz.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3003. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <372710df.10968215@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120490

"alf sauve" <alf.sauve@mindspring.com> wrote:

:It's not so much blocked as it is just skipped. That space is the UHF TV
:broadcast. There is only one station every 6mhz so it's a lot of frequency
:with very few signals.
:
:The audio for Channel 14 is at 475.75Mhz and you'll find each successive
:channel 6Mhz higher up to channel 67 audio at 793.75Mhz.

UHF CB is on 477MHz, and the commercial two way band goes up to 520MHz.
UHF TV starts at 520MHz.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3004. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3727132C.7527@ibm.net>
Source record: 120491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> That's an interesting question; should we consider a no-code license
> to be a valid end-point for those who aren't interested in the extra
> priviledges, or only a stepping stone to a "full call"?

It doesn't matter what "we" consider it to be or not to be. The
authorities consider it a valid end-point. If they didn't, they
would have made it non-renewable like the 50s novice license in
the US.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3005. Re: Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lawrence Wong <wongl@iee.org>
Message-ID: <3727863A.48F0@iee.org>
Source record: 120492
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Surely this depends on whether the calling party is paying for the call
or not. :)

Lawrence Wong

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> Cell phones are very hazardous to your financial health.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3006. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ANEV2.7419$6B.173194@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 120493

First, to the original point: Would you say the AM Broadcast band is 'blocked', because it isn't in your scanner? The 550-760 range is just not IN the scanner, it isn't blocked. Mostly because (almost) all that's in that range is TV broadcasting. (But not entirely, the Los Angeles Red Cross, for instance, has special permission to run their HT's at something around 550 MHz)

Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message news:372710df.10968215@genenews.acay.com.au...
> "alf sauve" <alf.sauve@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> :It's not so much blocked as it is just skipped. That space is the UHF TV
> :broadcast. There is only one station every 6mhz so it's a lot of frequency
> :with very few signals.
> :
> :The audio for Channel 14 is at 475.75Mhz and you'll find each successive
> :channel 6Mhz higher up to channel 67 audio at 793.75Mhz.
>
> UHF CB is on 477MHz, and the commercial two way band goes up to 520MHz.
> UHF TV starts at 520MHz.

Uh, try again. UHF CB (GMRS) is at 462/468 Mhz.

UHF TV starts at 470 MHz -- BUT: FCC rules allow use of some of the lower TV channels, in radio-congested areas, by Two way services. FCC will allocate one or more UHF TV channels in a specific area for two way use, if needed. This use currently ranges up to 520 MHz, which is why many scanners cover up to there.

3007. panasonic TP 202 2.4 GHZ PHONE WORTH THE MONEY??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <37274352.2685204@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 120494

Anybody have any input on this phone? ever use one?
thanks gig.

3008. Re: HOW TO MONITOR 900mhz PORTABLE PHONES??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7g7629epr1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 120495

How do you know it's boring if you have never listened to it???

The Conqueror wrote in message <37262771.0@news.gulliver.fr>...
>ITS ILLEGAL.
>
>I have capabilities since Pro-66 is an older scanner, but I dont
listen to
>it. Besides, it's boring.

3009. Beautiful pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990428111801.25258.00000504@ng145.aol.com>
Source record: 120496

Last day at Ebay. See the pictures at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=94283131

3010. good freq site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kevin L. Shaffer" <mstrdvr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g7a6v$m34$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120497

Looking for scanner freq's? Try this website. It's pretty good.

http://www.angelfire.com/ok/ozzhead/WackyMain.html

3011. Universal M8000 Decoder FS (New USA version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7g7adkqqa1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Universal M8000 v 7.5 Decoder for sale
New USA Version
see http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0087.html for details
In Excellent condition 775.00 shipped to the 48
Purchased 11-98 Box and Manual included
614-351-8835 eve's
--
==========
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Columbus, Ohio USA

Drake R7, R8B
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
Decoders: Universal M8000
==========

3012. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: m4bz@garlic.SPAMNO.com
Message-ID: <37272e32.5658915@news.garlic.com>
Source record: 120499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

If I might add one more...

-- It's fun!

Mark, KC9C

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 22:11:06 -0700, Gord Skiffington
<skiffing@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote:

>Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use
>morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are
>only mentioned briefly.
>

3013. Re: Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990428120306.01540.00000701@ng11.aol.com>
Source record: 120500

Hi! No, you don't need to lock out ANY banks when switching from TRUNKED to conventional scanning.
A couple of things to remember:
1. Put only trunked frequencies in the trunked bank you are wishing to monitor-do not program ANY other frequencies in that bank.
2. BE SURE you are in TRUNKED mode while programming. I think this is the #1 error being made-it's too easy to just enter the freqs. like usual. TRUNKED MUST BE FLASHING during the programming process.
3. You should hear the control channel - usually a loud annoying rushing sound - while entering the frequencies. If you don't hear it, you may be out of range of their repeater.
I discovered this recently after properly programming trunked channels and not receiving anything. The city I was attempting to monitor was using a low-power repeater and directionally oriented not to overlap outside the city limits.
GOOD LUCK - E-mail me if you have more problems!

>Subject: Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please
>From: shm144@aol.com (Shm144)
>Date: 4/27/99 5:32 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990427203232.26044.00000277@ng-ch1.aol.com>
>
>I just purchased a trunk tracker and I am a little confused When I put in
>my trunking frequencies in the first to banks and then switch back to normal
>or traditional scanning do I have to lock out those banks that I have
>trunking
>frequencies in when scanning in the conventional mode Another words
>if channels 1-25 have trunking frequencies am I correct in saying that I have
>that many fewer freq to scan in the conventional mode

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

3014. SCANNERS FOR SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7g7d59$82a$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 120501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a PRO-43 Unit for sale. Well used. NEW keypad + SPARE. Copy OF Manual.Stock antenna. Works great. Full Coverage $200.00 +$5.00 Shipping CONUS via usps.

I also Have a Bearcat BC-2500 for sale. Well used. Case Box power adapter manual ect. Works fine. Full Coverage. $200.00 +5.00 Shipping CONUS via usps.
73 de W6JHC
James

Will Accept cash, certified check, or postal Money order only. I will ship same day of receipt of payment.

confused@primenet.com

3015. Re: Most secure remote phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgreenberg@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g7hk2gss1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120502

While we're on the subject of cordless phones and security, can anyone explain to me just how secure plain (not DSS) 900 mhz digital phones are, and why? I thought that the big security improvement was going from analog to digital. Although I understand that the DSS phones hop channels every second or two, why isn't a plain 900 mhz digital phone secure?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3016. What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: puck <puck@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3727303B.4F3C95BB@pacifier.com>
Source record: 120503

What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have that other
models don't have?

3017. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <8hHV2.92$TO.865@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120504
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they are
NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I love
mine)
Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
news:372695e0.8785878@genenews.acay.com.au...
> On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 12:44:52 GMT, "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:
>
> :Well, it is basically a "CB with 10meters", so you can't really expect
much
> :quality when using on 10m. There are better CB's out there, too.
>
> I have the 2950 model and it doesn't have any meters at all. If yours
> has 10 meters then somebody has hacked it heavily.
>
> There are 2 links inside which give the following combinations;
>
> 26 to 32 MHz.
> 28 to 29.7 MHz (10 metres)
> 26 to 29.7 MHz
> CB channels only
>
> Some people swear by them and some swear at them.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>

3018. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990428132349.06015.00000557@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 120505

The 245XLT will track both GE/Ericsson trunked systems and Motorola trunked systems regardless of the frequency range.
The current trunktrackers will only track Motorola 800MHz systems.
Also, the current radios will not scan conventional frequencies and track a trunked system at the same time. The 245XLT will track one Moto system, one GE/E system and conventional freqs., all at the same time.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

3019. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony <palco@palcoelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <37274F90.50FE@palcoelectronics.com>
Source record: 120506
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Patrick Nowlan wrote:
>
> Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
> both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they are
> NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I love
> mine)

Palco Electronics is a distributor for the RCI Ranger Radios. We
wholesale
and retail the Ranger 2950, 2970, and the 2990. It is legal to have
possession
of these 10 meter radios. It is not legal to use them (transmit) if you
do not have an
amateur radio license. These are not Export Only radios! It is illegal
to
convert these radios to 11 meter. Type acceptance generally applies to
11
meter radios.

Tony

--
Palco Electronics, Inc.
Discount prices on CB Radios, accessories, and more!
"Always a Whale of a Deal!"
http://www.palcoelectronics.com

3020. Re: FOR SALE RS PRO- 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: crazy887@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g7miclpu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120507

In article <19990424022302.24958.00000614@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
user66@aol.com (User 66) wrote:
> I have for sale Radio Shack pro 2006 $ 275
> u ship
>
> Andy nn6d nn6d@juno.com
>
Different is good. Try out http://www.bidz.com/

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3021. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <372762AB.C6C0C4EC@usa.net>
Source record: 120508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

WELL SAID, very well said. how sad that we keep getting hit
with people who chose to conduct themselves in this style.

505 wrote:

> Newbie? Hardly. I would just rather read items about scanners &
> frequencies instead of children ranting and raving. I'm sure I could
> talk for alot of people when I say we are getting sick and tired of
> this crap. I thought this kinda childish play went the way of CB

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3022. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <372762FE.E42AF635@usa.net>
Source record: 120509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In YOUR case, a zero is always more.

Macaroon wrote:

> Please explain how zero is more than anything,

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3023. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ruther <michael.ruther@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <372761DC.12D21180@alliedsignal.com>
Source record: 120510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Friend of mine's son is a radio op in the coast guard. He was taught code, and can do about 15 wpm.
AA7QA

The Conqueror wrote:

> I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
>
> -Dan K3DRQ
>
> k3drq@qsl.net

3024. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mrchess@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22651-37275C5D-85@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120511

the only time you have to do CW is for the test there is no requirement after that to use CW!!! the last time I used CW was when I was a tec that was 13 yrs ago CW is ok but I think we should drop it and move on

born to be wild (thats my theme)

3025. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mrchess@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22651-37276091-86@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120512

the FCC should do a voice test on those modes and see if you can talk
like a ham radio OP .... and say stuff like fine business and ok old
man and my QTH is and my ANT is and my rig is ..... also they
should have a test to see if you know all of the CB lingo
that way when we run into a CB'er we would know what to say

born to be wild (thats my theme)

3026. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37276CDB.282C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120513
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Patrick Nowlan wrote:
>
> Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
> both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they are
> NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I love
> mine)
>

Ham radios do NOT have to be type accepted, for use on the HAM bands.
The 2950/70's are perfectly LEGAL for 10 meter use. When you mod them
for 11 meters, THEN they become illegal, to USE on 11 meters.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3027. WTB: Handheld scanner with cell, full 800 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <rich@stockfilm.com>
Message-ID: <372771D3.4C5B6BD4@stockfilm.com>
Source record: 120514

Looking for a handheld scanner with cell, full 800 mhz. Something like a
Bearcat 200XL would be good. Mine was stolen.

Thanks

--

Recently the address <rich@stockfilm.com> has been intermittently
down, causing email not to be received at that address.
When this happens, send email to rarp@ricochet.net

3028. Re: Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <37277770.4348530@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 120515

On Wed, 28 Apr 1999 01:08:33 -0000, "ARTHUR C BROWN"
<LOK-ART@prodigy.net> wrote:

>You will lock out the data frequencies in the conventional mode. I don't
>know if that will lock it out it the trunking mode automatically or not. It

No it will not. The only think that you can lockout in Trunk mode is
user id's.

3029. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <37277812.4510516@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 120516

On 28 Apr 1999 17:23:49 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> The 245XLT will track both GE/Ericsson trunked systems and Motorola trunked
>systems regardless of the frequency range.
> The current trunktrackers will only track Motorola 800MHz systems.
> Also, the current radios will not scan conventional frequencies and track
>a trunked system at the same time. The 245XLT will track one Moto system, one
>GE/E system and conventional freqs., all at the same time.
>

Why didn't Uniden design a new radio?
It will be the same as the 235 XLT and the radios that it copied.
I think Uniden should put a good speaker in the unit like a Yup 9000
speaker.
I don't want to buy another shitty Uniden scanner, that you have to
turn the volume up full blast and stick it next to my ear. I also hate
battery packs.

Yuck

3030. IC-R2 and Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Modeman <srajender@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7g80pf$vl1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120517

I am considering buying the Icom R2 wideband handheld receiver. I have two questions regarding this radio:

1. Is the IC-R2 compatible with the Optolinx interface or does it require it's own proprietary interface?

2. Can the bandplan on the IC-R2 be modified? specifically, if I get one with an European bandplan, can it be changed to the US bandplan?

Thanks in advance for your responses

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3031. Any serious airplane watchers in St. Louis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24670-37277584-73@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120518

I'm going to St. Louis either this Saturday or Sunday
to watch airplanes all day from the STL Airport. If
anyone would be interested in meeting up, please
send me a message.

Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

UHF-VHF-HF Aircraft
Callsign Identification
Air Refueling

Save America, Evict Clinton!

3032. Any serious airplane watchers in Nashville?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24670-372774B5-71@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120519

I'm going to Nashville either this Saturday or Sunday to watch airplanes
all day from the BNA Airport. If anyone would be interested in meeting
up, please send me a message.

Thanks!

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Mark Holmes
Southern Illinois

UHF-VHF-HF Aircraft
Callsign Identification
Air Refueling

Save America, Evict Clinton!

3033. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-2804991727200001@ppp-29.mia-tc-1.netrox.net>
Source record: 120520

In article <slnV2.1004$_s5.152534@news1.giganews.com>, "John Habbinga"
<habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote:

> Check with Optoelectronics at www.optoelectronics.com
> Also check with ScanStar for software at www.scanstar.com.
> John Habbinga
> www.qsl.com/kc5zrq
>
> Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3723D1ED.88063900@ix.netcom.com...
> > Is there a radio on the market that will "follow" the G.E. EDACS
> > system?
> >
> > Is there any software that interfaces with an Icom R-7000 that
> > will track the EDACS system?
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Jim

Next month...BC245xlt will do it all
> >
> >

--
remove the 1,2 & 3 from email address to reply via email

3034. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <37278478.3243606@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you are being sarcastic...I agree.If you are being serious,you have
absolutely no idea what Amateur Radio is all about and you will NEVER
feel at home talking with 'true Hams'.

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 17:50:45 -0700, "Timothy Hynde"
<ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>

>But where does it end? The no code tech license asks questions about
>telecommand for radio control airplanes, the ionoshpere, how to use a
>voltmeter, signal generator, SWR meter whadda ya gotta learn all that for
>all I wanna do is yak on the 2m repeater with my appliance operator friends?
>Why should we require such knowlege when the applicant has no intention of
>using it?
>
>Tim ka8ddz
>
>

3035. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3727856e.3489833@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I know of CBers who have spent 2-3 times the money I have invested in
my equipment.They can talk to their neighbors via the TV...I talk
around the world on a piece of wire....:>)

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 18:05:17 -0700, "Timothy Hynde"
<ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>Michael Ruther wrote in message <372472D8.7C76D072@alliedsignal.com>...
>>Cw might be part of it , but the last HF radio I bought was $1,000 .(ouch)
>>Hard for me to justify it. The used stuff is chancy at best at the swap
>mets.
>>I think the cost of gear is killing it.
>>Mike AA7QA. I passed all of the test now what
>
>Mike, don't be afraid to run with gear from a swap, Invest $20 in a dummy
>load and piece of coax. Tell the guy you'll take it if it works then go plug
>it in and test it! I just bought an Alinco DX70T and a 25 amp supply out the
>door from AES for $787, but I can honestly say I had just as much fun
>running an old Heath HW-101 that I paid $75 at Dayton a few years ago and
>put another $30 into it for tubes. Also QRP kits are selling for $50, you
>need not spend a kilo buck to have fun. It is about fun isn't it? :)
>
>Tim ka8ddz
>
>

3036. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <372785fd.3632745@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have an HTX-100 that was given to me by a friend who wasnt using
it.These are great little rigs.I have worked the world with it...from
Japan to the former Soviet Union...from Iceland to
Australia....hmmm...maybe I need a 'leeneer'....naw!!!!

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 23:42:52 GMT, Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net> wrote:

>Timothy Hynde writes:
>
>> Also QRP kits are selling for $50, you
>> need not spend a kilo buck to have fun.
>
>I love my little SW40+ QRP rig and had fun building it. The only HF rigs I
>own are the SW40+ and a HTX-100 and I _do_ have plenty of fun!
>
>73 Jeff W4JEF
>
>
> *--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------*
> |jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org | Reserve Patrol Captain |
> | Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27 | Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept|
> |200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet| QTH Birmingham, AL USA |
> *---*

3037. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7g821oqop1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 120524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

So where you been , Looney - Tune ? Off getting electroshock therapy again ?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:372762AB.C6C0C4EC@usa.net...
> WELL SAID, very well said. how sad that we keep getting hit
> with people who chose to conduct themselves in this style.
>
>

3038. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <daves@oztech.com>
Message-ID: <7g839p$l9d$1@news1.alltel.net>
Source record: 120525
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I wondered when someone was going to tell him that......

dave

Dave Hall wrote in message <37276CDB.282C@worldlynx.net>...
>Patrick Nowlan wrote:
>>
>> Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
>> both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
>> well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
are
>> NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I
love
>> mine)
>>
>
>Ham radios do NOT have to be type accepted, for use on the HAM bands.
>The 2950/70's are perfectly LEGAL for 10 meter use. When you mod them
>for 11 meters, THEN they become illegal, to USE on 11 meters.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>

3039. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37279286.C7D@sir.com>
Source record: 120526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Timothy Hynde wrote:
>
> >
> > You can get a Technician class license without the code you know. Since
> >1991, you just get no HF with it. I went for my General upgrade tests
> >yesterday, passed the written, failed the code. Oh well, just have to
> practice
> >a little more on the code and soon I'll pass it.

My friend had to take the code test to get his Technician license. A few weeks later, the code requirement was dropped. Boy was he MAD!

Don't make the same mistake, code will be dropped on all classes at that next world conference. Unless you actually WANT to learn code because you will actually USE it, then by all means keep practicing.

3040. BC 855 manual needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Martin <jim4897@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <925340554.510.18@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120527

I seem to have lost my manual and I lost all the frequencies I had in memory, I remember it wasn't too big of a manual so if someone has a copy to email I would very much appreciate it, Thanks, Jim

--
Jim Martin
jim4897@athenet.net
http://www.athenet.net/~jim4897/

3041. Re: wacars 0.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7g84fc$3a1$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 120528

It could be your sound card,i had a MWAVE sound card had the same problem.I changed my card to a sound blaster ,the problem is gone.Just a thought.

3042. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372799FF.2FBF@sir.com>
Source record: 120529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim
> easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are
> standardized but its not easy)......

It is much easier to copy something from English to English, It is much harder to copy something from a code back to English and thus more chance for error.

> - Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires the
> most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor" causes
> error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of the
> other "terminal" or "line" conditions.

Speech is compatible to 99% of the population's "processor", morse code only to a much smaller percent.

> - Is a mode which transcends language and dialect barriers
> when suitable signals are used...

What?! Neither my English or other foreign language speaking friends can understand morse code. This is a ridiculous statement.

> and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example) with
> a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.

Yes, accents are hard enough, why make it MORE difficult?! If people can't understand a store check-out clerk in some weird accent, how many customers are going to understand him if he emits beeping noises from his mouth?

> - Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in
> communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete for
> the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical
> use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).

Yeah, that's why Police, Fire and Ambulance don't use it.

> is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends, for
> solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions.
Completely worthless if the person on the other end doesn't understand code! (which is about 99% of the population)

> - Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic
> equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability"

A washboard is easier to repair than a washing machine too, but not a good reason to mandate people learning to use it before they are allowed to buy a washing machine.

.....a handy thing
> if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals that
> couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just turn
> 'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is
> slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????

If no one on the other end understands code, it will never arrive.

Why don't advertisers make all their commercials on radio and TV in morse code so the message could reach more of an audience in bad conditions? Because MORE people would get the message EVEN IN BAD CONDITIONS if it is in voice, than in a secret code that they don't understand.

> There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should keep
> morse alive.

There is only ONE. Because it is nostalgic and fun for many people. Don't take the fun away by forcing it on people that don't want to use it.

I bet if morse code was not a requirement (for certain classes of license) more people might take it up. No one wants to learn something that is forced opon them. People choose hobbies because they have an interest in them, not because someone hands them a fishing pole and orders them to fish!

> understood when his mic stopped working.

You people with your mic stopped working BS. Or stranded at the north pole with nothing for miles but a payphone with a broken microphone. Always have to try and make up some unrealistic situation to show how morse code could actually be usefull, and then THAT doesn't even fly!

I don't know of anyone ever going to be in the above situation, but even IF... would the operator on the phone or the police understand the code you are punching in on the touch tone pad, or would they think it is a prank call and hang up? Doesn't really matter, as this situation will never occur to either of us. They don't have payphones in the middle of the north pole. Especially with non-working microphones and working touch tone pads.

To that last end I can only
> offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing
> despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.

Think about what you just said! Think about WHY it would EVER be DESPISED! NO ONE would ever depise it if it wasn't shoved down anyones's throat!

People don't DESPISE model airplane kits. They might if people were
FORCED to build them in order to take up some other hobby!

3043. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37279AC2.3104@sir.com>
Source record: 120530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mark wrote:
>
> If I might add one more...
>
> -- It's fun!

To YOU and many others it is fun, to others it is boring and slow.

To some people restoring old cars is fun, to others it is boring and slow.

At least car restorer's don't want that hobby to be a requirement in order to enjoy some other hobby.

3044. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37279BB4.52C6@sir.com>
Source record: 120531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Michael Ruther wrote:
>
> Friend of mine's son is a radio op in the coast guard. He was taught code, and
> can do about 15 wpm.

I know a lot of obsolete skills too. Tape editing with razor blades and
tape come to mind. Perhaps that will save my life in some emergency
when my digital audio workstation breaks, or will allow me to edit in
bad weather conditions.

3045. TrunkTracker Question: I want a small

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: puck <puck@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <37279C38.D22D981F@pacifier.com>
Source record: 120532

I want a small mobile TruckTracker radio for my car.
It has got to be small or have a detachable faceplate.
Is there such a radio available??

3046. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <8AB0282B8C2DF507.FF6E0126FA615662.32D0DD944C35E163@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going around between old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.

3047. **** New DTMF decoding DLL for developers ****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jshima@audiologic.com
Message-ID: <37279b23.183830@client.ce.news.psi.net>
Source record: 120534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings,

For those software developers who want DTMF decoding capability in their PC apps, whether it be Visual Basic or C++, we have a brand new DTMF decoding DLL that is available.

The web page is at:
www.cyberramp.net/~shima/sharewar/dtmf.html

The decoder DLL works with Win 95/98 and DirectX 6.0. The DTMF decoding algorithm also was tested and meets the prominent BellCore specs.

Thanks.

shima@cyberramp.net

3048. AR-8000 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37279EE9.2F2865E6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120535

Hello,

I am looking for software to manage the 1000 channels in my AOR AR-8000.

Any suggestions?

Thanks,

Jim

--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

3049. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3727A3FA.4348@sir.com>
Source record: 120536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> First of all learn to quote, *I* wrote the above NOT Timothy.

I never mentioned WHO wrote it! Whatever name was there, came from the
post I replied to, I never use names as they have nothing to do with the
discussion.

> I do not wish to WAIT to get my General privileges so I'm continuing to
> work on my code.

OK. Good luck.

It could be another year or more before any restructuring
> takes place. Why wait?

You don't have to. But I did for the technician class, and didn't have
to learn a part of Ham radio I have no intention of using because I
waited. I also wait for Ham radios to go on sale, you may say why wait
and pay the extra $$$ because you want the radio now. To each his own.

Will I USE code? Probably not, it doesn't interest me.
> But to get my General I HAVE to pass the 13. I passed the General written this
> pass Sunday but failed the 13, my practicing continues...

Good luck.

3050. IC-R2 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <nEsV2.1441$yF6.2068@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 120554

Any new software for the Icom R2?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

3051. Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: shm144@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427203232.26044.00000277@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120555

I just purchased a trunk tracker and I am a little confused When I put in
my trunking frequencies in the first to banks and then switch back to normal
or traditional scanning do I have to lock out those banks that I have trunking
frequencies in when scanning in the conventional mode Another words
if channels 1-25 have trunking frequencies am I correct in saying that I have
that many fewer freq to scan in the conventional mode
Many thanks

3052. Re: FS: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cburd@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37265708.77669445@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120556

I hope I will not regret it.

I think they're both excellent.

ctb

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 21:44:44 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee
Rothman) wrote:

>Funny, I sold my 8200 and am keeping my R2!

3053. Re: California 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ayc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427204120.07725.00000285@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120557

Steve Wrote:>these are the only links i have, but they should do fine.>

Thanks for the links they are really good but I am looking for the Police and Fire freqs that will be used at and around the track as well as my hotel on East Garvey Street in Covina.

Thanks Again.

3054. Re: programmming a bearcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427205523.27896.00000367@ng128.aol.com>
Source record: 120558

manually put it on the channel you want
to program,enter the freq,then press enter
example(manual,1,5,5,.,2,8,0, then e.)

Randy

3055. Re: Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <372660b5.10190977@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 120559

On 28 Apr 1999 00:32:32 GMT, shm144@aol.com (Shm144) wrote:

>I just purchased a trunk tracker and I am a little confused When I put in
>my trunking frequencies in the first to banks and then switch back to normal
>or traditional scanning do I have to lock out those banks that I have trunking
>frequencies in when scanning in the conventional mode Another words
>if channels 1-25 have trunking frequencies am I correct in saying that I have
>that many fewer freq to scan in the conventional mode
>Many thanks

No, you can still scan those 25 as conv. freq., just lockout the data
channel.

3056. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427214939.07724.00000323@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120560

In my experience just a couple of blocks.

3057. DISCRIMINATOR OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <19990427222538.27344.00000347@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 120561

DOES ANYONE KNOW WHERE THE DISCRIMINATOR OUTPUT OF A YUPITERU MVT-8000 IS .
THANKS--TONY

3058. Re: Radio Shack Signal Pre-amp...is it worth it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <372678f7.2741329@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120562

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 16:52:05 -0500, Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
wrote:

Like Bill Cheek use to say!!!

>Use caution with "pre amps." Any pre amp does not just amplify the desired
>frequencies, but ALL frequencies within the design range. This includes noise &
>undesirable frequencies.
>Jerry
>N5GPC
>==
>
>opsh10@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> I am curious about the radioshack signal preamp. Does anyone out there use
>> it? Is it worth it? What is the best way to improve weak signals? I
>> rescently upgraded my antenna but there are still a few frequencies that I
>> know someone is talking on, but the signal is too weak to make out what they
>> are saying, or else the signal seems to fade in and out. Would this signal
>> pre-amp alleviate some of this? Thank you for your information,
>>
>> Rudy
>> Opsh10@pacbell.net
>>
>> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3059. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427231201.05327.00000455@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 120563

no it is not a sting burtons961@aol.com but yes you could be right about
the business being very lucrative.

3060. Re: Best outside aerial for an ICOM IC-R1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37268282.DC29DD68@home.com>
Source record: 120564

Sorry, but I got rid of it along time ago. It was my worst waste of
money to date.
I can describe the R1 in one word - "ABYSMAL"

David Sparro wrote:
>
> In article <37252AB7.12BAEB5D@home.com>,
> Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
> {SNIP}
> > The R1 is one of the worst scanners ever made.
> .....
> > I do not miss the R1.
> >
>
> Jim, just so that I'm clear; you don't like the R-1?
> I'd be happy to take it off your hands. just send it to me Postage due!
>
> ----
> Dave Sparro
> Note: for quickest response to E-mails,
> send them to: "my first name"@netacc.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3061. What happened to Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37268314.895968ED@home.com>
Source record: 120565

What happened to Bill Cheek. Is he still in the slammer?

3062. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddog@io.com
Message-ID: <372681c6.138746816@news.io.com>
Source record: 120566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 22:11:06 -0700, Gord Skiffington
<skiffing@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote:

>[snip] There is also a thing about operating morse that only
>morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that
>transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial operator,
>a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make himself
>understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can only
>offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing
>despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.
>
>73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)

Here, here!

I've been trying to explain to wife/family/friends for over 30 years,
and haven't ever come up with a "reason" for using CW that satisfies
those that don't have the experience. To those who have conquered
their demons, learned to communicate via CW, and discovered the reward
of it, no explanation is necessary.

If the code requirement is dropped (as it may well be), the incentives
that lead one past the hurdles of learning the code, to the most
rewarding experiences offered by CW, will be removed, and perhaps the
ranks of CW ops will diminish. Some will be happy if this should be
the case.

I think it's interesting to observe, that since the proliferation of
digital modes in the last ten years or so, CW activity on the ham
bands seems to have grown, not diminished, both here in the States and
internationally. Considering that many countries don't have a code
requirement anymore, I wonder why that would be, if it truly is a dead
mode?

Saying CW is dead is kind of like saying pianos are useless because
PCs with sound cards can make more sounds, and never need tuning.
Those who play or listen to fine pianos can instantly perceive the
difference, and those whose minds are made up won't be listening for
pianos in the first place.

When I got started 33 years ago, I struggled for a year to get my code
speed to 13 WPM so I could get my "general" ticket and move on to fone
and rtty operation. But 99% of my operation since then has been CW.
Go figure, huh?

73,
monty N5FC

3063. RE: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: timbers97@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990427235619.15151.00000219@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 120567

NEED HELP IN TRACKING DAILY EMERGENCY FIRE REPORTS IN BAY AREA,
CALIFORNIA, USA WITH SPECIAL INTEREST IN CITIES IN ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA
COUNTY. CALL RICHARD (510) 773-2298 (NO THIS IS
NOT A STING OR AND THING OTHER THAN JUST BUSINESS)

3064. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ARTHUR C BROWN <LOK-ART@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7g62ba$3mtg$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120568

I have a Cobra Cordless phone model # AN-8630-25 that can not be heard by any listening device. The four phone company's in my area not only recommend this phone but they also sell it for this reason. It's called "Cobra's Private Call" Voice Scrambling System. It's a 900mhz phone and I have tested it's Scrambling System, IT WORKS. All you hear is a little grumble for a voice. It's scrambled so bad or should I say good, that I can't even tell witch person is talking.

Well, when your a scanner man you sure aren't going to by a cordless phone so every one can listen to you. We scannist know better. So I shopped around and bought this phone. It cost me about 200.00 with built in answering system. It's a little high for a phone but I'm glad I got it.

I to did think that they did not make a cordless phone that you could not pick up with a scanner, but I know now.

I thought it was really funny when they started to preach about the 900mhz cordless when they first came out how it was so safe to use because people like us could not receive them.
Ha, Ha, if they only knew.

Well just thought I'd let you know.

Arthur
Have fun scanning!

Tbrutis1 wrote in message <19990425231415.28358.00000858@ngol04.aol.com>...
>It's really amazing how many people still do NOT realize how far the range
of
>cordless phones can go. A lot of times, it depends on the weather & where
you
>are as well.
>At times, we will turn off the TV, turn on the scanner & listen to the
cordless
>& cell phone calls. We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be
>"scanner proof"
>Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I
haven't
>heard any.
>I really believe if more people were truly aware of how "scanner friendly"
the
>overwhelming majority of these phones were, their sales would go right
down
>the porcelain.
>It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will someone
>explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on

everyone's
>door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?
>I'm willing to listen......
>73's,
>
>Tom
>tbrutis1@aol.com
>THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

3065. AOR AR2500 Can someone help me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <slowcpu@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3DwV2.6221$B55.383606@dfiatx1-snr1>
Source record: 120569

I need a service manual for my AR2500. It's in need of a tune-up. I would greatly appreciate it if anyone could help me out.

Thanks in advance.
George
slowcpu@gte.net

3066. Opocom or 8200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jroulier@[nospam]
Message-ID: <3726955c.43215493@news.albany.net>
Source record: 120570

I looking for a computer controlable scanner, and was wonder which of those two would be a better choice. I don't need full coverage. I like what I read about the Opto except the limited modes and step sizes. Thanks for any feed back.

3067. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <372695e0.8785878@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120571
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 22 Apr 1999 12:44:52 GMT, "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:

:Well, it is basically a "CB with 10meters", so you can't really expect much
:quality when using on 10m. There are better CB's out there, too.

I have the 2950 model and it doesn't have any meters at all. If yours
has 10 meters then somebody has hacked it heavily.

There are 2 links inside which give the following combinations;

26 to 32 MHz.
28 to 29.7 MHz (10 metres)
26 to 29.7 MHz
CB channels only

Some people swear by them and some swear at them.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3068. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2804990017500001@pm53-14.magicnet.net>
Source record: 120572

In article <nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1>, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:

> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
> >My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't go
> >that high.
>
>
> It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz range FM analog
> and is easily scanned.
>
> Rob Lang
> KC2EMO

True. Panasonic should be sued by the FTC for false advertising by the way.

3069. Re: Trunk Tracker Question Newbie needs guidance Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ARTHUR C BROWN <LOK-ART@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7g658bqco1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120573

When you use bank #1 for trunking you have to use another bank to listen in conventional mode. The frequencies in bank #1 are there in trunked mode and conventional mode. Just because you go back to the conventional mode from trunking doe's not mean that bank is now clear of the frequencies you inputted in the trunking mode, You must use a different bank. You can not use the same bank to scan trunked and nontrunked frequencies. Unless of course you want to input different frequencies in that bank but that's what have 300 ch are for. You can however scan your trunked frequencies in the conventional mode and just lockout the data frequencies as was said by DDT. You will lock out the data frequencies in the conventional mode. I don't know if that will lock it out it the trunking mode automatically or not. It doe's not seem like it would, beings how you have to input those frequencies while in the trunking mode I would think you would also have to lock it out in the trunking mode. Hopefully not.

Arthur
Have fun scanning!

Shm144 wrote in message <19990427203232.26044.00000277@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>I just purchased a trunk tracker and I am a little confused When I put in
>my trunking frequencies in the first to banks and then switch back to
normal
>or traditional scanning do I have to lock out those banks that I have
trunking
>frequencies in when scanning in the conventional mode Another words
>if channels 1-25 have trunking frequencies am I correct in saying that I
have
>that many fewer freq to scan in the conventional mode
>Many thanks

3070. FT> two 6.4gig hard drives

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: quake99@beer.com
Message-ID: <372699f6.85790866@news.pipcom.com>
Source record: 120574

looking to trade two 6.4gig ide hard drives for either
of the following

Ranger 2950
Ranger 2510

basic (no-frills) hf transciever
serious inq. only

thanks

3071. Re: programmming a bearcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Webber <georgewebber@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37269C23.6729385F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120575

dart340@foxvalley.net wrote:
>
> hi i was wandering if (without sounding to dumb)

Too late.

3072. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37269d59.1975545@news>
Source record: 120576

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 09:07:41 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
wrote:

>On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 05:46:43 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja) wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:07:23 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Not if this is for monitoring for a media outlet. Traffic reporters and news
>>>reporters use scanners and listeners at the station to relay breaking stories
>>>all the time and this is legal under the 1st Amendment freedom of the press,
>>
>>Then how come that journalist in CA got convicted for listening in to
>>a cellphone conversation?
>
>That is different because that is forbidden for everyone even law enforcement.
>
>If you want to support your opinion [...]

I did not state an opinion, I asked a question. Thanks for answering
it. ;-)

3073. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <37269DA0.3B4F205F@rconnect.com>
Source record: 120577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here we go again, please take this off of
rec.radio.amateur.equipment and rec.radio.swap & take it
back to policy where it belongs. I could care less if you
want to learn the code or not. Don't learn it & miss some of
the best dx, learn it & work it but don't clutter up groups
where it doesn't belong.
Mark

BD wrote:
>
> Doesn't sound funny to me.. "Better keep your day job..."
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:372690BC.1C65BF40@IEEE.org...
> > Gord Skiffington wrote:
> > > Morse - Is a mode ...
> >
> > Funny, Chapter 8 of "The FCC Rule Book" lists all the modes
> > available to the Amateur Radio Service and none of those modes
> > is Morse. I see CW, MCW, Phone, Image, RTTY, Data, Pulse, SS,
> > and Test, but no Morse.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3074. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <IYxV2.859$Sx.4758@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120578

I just tried to pick it up using a scout and an AOR 8000 and it didn't pick
it up.

Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
news:nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1...
>
> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
> >My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't go
> >that high.
>
>
> It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz range FM
analog
> and is easily scanned.
>
> Rob Lang
> KC2EMO
>
>

3075. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <wcw@asu.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <372664BB.B811839C@asu.uswest.net>
Source record: 120579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, but. . .what other communications methods are achieved via CW? You are correct, strictly speaking, CW * Morse code. The misnomer is as old as the vacuum tube, though the FCC can be forgiven a bit of idiom and tradition, can't it?

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Gord Skiffington wrote:
> > Morse - Is a mode ...
>
> Funny, Chapter 8 of "The FCC Rule Book" lists all the modes
> available to the Amateur Radio Service and none of those modes
> is Morse. I see CW, MCW, Phone, Image, RTTY, Data, Pulse, SS,
> and Test, but no Morse.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

--
Will White, KD7BFX

to reply, please click this link mailto:wcw@asu.uswest.net

3076. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <7g6h5eecq1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 120580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

In rec.radio.amateur.space Christopher Cox <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
> I have NASA types who routinely ridicule:

> A) Morse Code
> B) Narrow Band Communications

> I can assure, they have no desire for H.F. access. Nor are they lazy.

That's an interesting question; should we consider a no-code license
to be a valid end-point for those who aren't interested in the extra
priviledges, or only a stepping stone to a "full call"?

I imagine that there are probably a lot of people around who are more
interested in VHF, UHF and microwave than they are in HF, so a no-code
license suits them just fine. The Australian "limited" licenses allows
all-mode, full power only all bands above 50MHz.

Hamish, who took the code exams 4.5 weeks ago and STILL does not have
his results. Unimpressed.
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au

Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd.
Developers of music education software including Auralia & Musition.
31 Elmhurst Road, Blackburn, Victoria Australia, 3130
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA Toll Free: 1-888-667-7839
Internet: http://www.rising.com.au/

3077. PRO43 for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikee@clark.net
Message-ID: <4_zV2.174$qh4.11714@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 120581

I have a Pro43 with cell mod for trade. The radio is in great shape.
email responses to mikee@clark.net

3078. Re: LA sig alert freq please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3726D186.55194836@netxn.com>
Source record: 120582

Sig Alerts are on the CHP Blue frequency. 42.34 PL 192.8

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

X wrote:
>
> keith
> do you happen to know that sig alert frequency for the LA area?
> thanks
>
> ----------
> [Image]

3079. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3726D22D.2E8902AD@netxn.com>
Source record: 120583

Yes, the Air-to-ground frequency is statewide, but not always used
everywhere.

Mike Derryberry

Clark Martin wrote:
>
> In article <7g0spa$qm3$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Karl Dahlquist"
> <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com> wrote:
>
> >hmm....
> >
> >Antelope Valley 42.42, 42.84
> >Barstow, Mojave, Needles, Victorville 42.56, 42.72
> >Air to Ground 45.02
> >
> >**Note: CHP uses radar anywhere in California now....
>
> Is the Air to Ground frequency statewide? This is the first I've heard of
> the air frequency (although it's obvious it exists).
>
> --
> Clark Martin
> Redwood City, CA, USA
> Macintosh / Internet Consulting
> cmartin@pacbell.net
>
> "I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

3080. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gord Skiffington <skiffing@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <37270961.1247@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 120584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Howdy Cecil.....Interesting point - often confused.....CW, MCW etc are modes of operation for a signal source.....morse is a mode (method) of communicating information, like voice etc. I know you know the difference of course....what I see is when green hams (we were all one eh!) start to confuse all this not understanding that you can send morse over an FM circuit by keying a tone or by calling that CW. Then they're scratching their heads the first time we show 'em a signal generator heheh.
I think we all ought to make our "tech" talk a little more accurate by times...maybe.

73 - Gord

3081. Re: DISCRIMINATOR OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sO36+ZAYIvJ3Ew4X@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 120585

In article <19990427222538.27344.00000347@ng-cg1.aol.com>, Teeavee
<teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> writes

>DOES ANYONE KNOW WHERE THE DISCRIMINATOR OUTPUT OF A YUPITERU MVT-8000 IS .

The '8000 uses a Motorola MC3361 which is located on the underside of
the lower Board. Output is from Pin 9.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

3082. FS: Motorola Minitor II Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: farkle <transcom@access.mountain.net>
Message-ID: <3726FF39.149B3030@access.mountain.net>
Source record: 120586

Minitor II pagers for sale lowband, highband or UHF $150.00 each
includes battery &
charger...e-mail or Phone 304-364-8445 daily 9am to 4pm EDT ask for
Fred
can put units on your exact frequency for an additional $28.50

3083. Re: Where's that FCC (index) site ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <EdcW2.1162$tk5.33900@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120287

Ever heard of DeJaNews?

Type www.deajnews.com into your browser..uhh just click on it. :-)

then type "fcc web site" (no quotes) in the box and click FIND.

Scrolling though the messages posted to rec.radio.scanner, the second one is number 50 or so in the list. Click on it and you get ......

----------begin copy----------
Message 49 of 226 for search FCC web site
Re: High School Security: Chicago
Author: KB9TMP <"@qsl.net>
Date: 1999/04/10
Forum: rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
>
> I attend a Public High School on the north side of Chicago, and I'm
> seeking frequencies used by the police and security officers in the
> school. If someone in the area that has these frequencies, please post
> them. (Mather High School)
>
> Should this information be public, and if it is how would I go about
> getting it? I think they use a repeater in the 460.*** rang, but I am
> not totally sure.
>
> Thanks for the help
>
> -Dave
Dave
If you go to the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau web site at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
you can search for any frequency in the FCC's database!
--
----------end copy----------

DeJaNews in a cool site. It searches all of the Usenet postings for whatever you desire. It is usually good for a lead or two on most anything.

Use it! I mean you can always ask folks on the newsgroups but I would try DeJaNews first especially knowing you read it here. I don't mean this as a flame or to say you shouldn't ask the question but assume you would rather know immediately and not have to wait for an answer. It is a nice tool.

Glenn

LEADENWAH <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990430010851.25084.00000388@ng31.aol.com...
> A fellow has posted information on an FCC web site where you can get into
their
> frequency index online. I copied his posting from a while back, but that
site
> is either closed now or there was a typo in the posting.
>
> I would greatly appreciate any information on that site.
>
> Many thanks.

3084. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <37295403.D76B29C5@dnai.com>
Source record: 120288
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: boscoj.vcf

this is what the manual for the SONY CM-M1300(CDMA) says . . .
Tx - 824.04 - 848.97 MHz
Rx - 869.04 - 893.97 MHz

good luck

Brad Granger wrote:

> k9kz@indy.net wrote in message <7g8l36gno1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can
> be
> >heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info
> in
> >the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be
> heard
> >on scanners? Thanks.
>
> What does "it is digital " mean? Is it GSM or CDMA? If it is GSM then it
> might operate on 940MHz in the New England area or on the 1900MHz PCS
> frequencies in other areas. Either way it is quite safe. The technology to
> descramble this mode is out of reach of all but government agencies and they
> really need to do it via a wiretap at the exchange anyway.
>
> CDMA is different, some systems do exist to crack it, but not generally
> available.
>
> Brad VK2QQ

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3085. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Humpleby <RHSERVICE@rhumpleby.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gcsap$ec9$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OOOHH I HATE GOODY GOODYS ! ! !

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
news:3728c2e7.0@news-1.cnminc.com...
> why do you work for primestar??!!?? Like I am really going to call them
and
> let them know that I have a dish of theirs and they should just come and
> pick it up. Yea...
> andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net> wrote in message
> news:3728E83A.6E1C@roanoke.infi.net...
> > Robert wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me
a
> > > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is
that
> it
> > > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
> dish
> > > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
> > >
> > If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
> > equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
> > terminated, it becomes the property of the company.
>
>

3086. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DanSullivan <sgtpepper@ncounty.net>
Message-ID: <3728B3BF.D6FECEAB@ncounty.net>
Source record: 120337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

I used to like CW back in the 80's when they were first starting out. They put out some good tunes like: "Like a Novice" and "Jammin", but once they got into that "VOR in the USA" phase they have gone down a musical suck trail and I've since tuned out.

3087. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3728E83A.6E1C@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 120338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
>
> I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it
> used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
> to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...

If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
terminated, it becomes the property of the company.

3088. Re: CTP Descramblers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429162745.07262.00000162@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 120339

Yes!

3089. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3728c2e7.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 120340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why do you work for primestar??!!?? Like I am really going to call them and
let them know that I have a dish of theirs and they should just come and
pick it up. Yea...
andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net> wrote in message
news:3728E83A.6E1C@roanoke.infi.net...
> Robert wrote:
> >
> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
it
> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
dish
> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
> If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
> equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
> terminated, it becomes the property of the company.

3090. LAPD Detective freqs added

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3728c260$0$2483@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 120341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I added LAPD Detective freqs to my page. Come check it out:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

3091. AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <trayvou@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7gah5f$9i5$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 120342

For sale : AR3000A garanted 910$ + port

trayvou@wanadoo.fr

3092. Austin scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: HAHAHA <buymeacar@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gagih$l07$1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu>
Source record: 120343

I just bought a scanner and am looking for good frequencies to listen to in austin. If you know of the major police and fire frequencies here please help. Anything interesting. It scans 800 mhz. Also what are the 25 channel cordless and 900 mhz phones frequencies. Mine is a 800mhz scanner but scans up to 956 mhz so maybe i can get 900 mhz phones. thanks EMAIL REPLIES PLEASE.

3093. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3728ed88.5945311@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.

On Wed, 28 Apr 1999 12:31:00 -0400, Gene Floyd <gfloyd7@tfn.net>
wrote:

>Even the world of no-coding is very enjoyable, As a custodial single parent, I
>do not have the time to spend on code practice, and neither the time nor money
>to buy HF equipment with. I primarily operate VHF mobile, although when I do
>find a little time I like to play with antennas in my backyard (see
>http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/antenna/) and have found that 2 meters is ideal for
>this as the antenna is small enough to be easily built. Although I do not have
>my code out of the way, I would hate to see them eliminate it altogether. It is
>a very proud tradition of amateur radio, can be heard even when voice cannot,
>requires no real special equipment (keying your mike on AM or FM would work),
>and is required by international law. So who are we to tell the government to
>ignore that law?
>
>Karina Wright wrote:
>
>> CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>>
>> >Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
>> >for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
>> >exists on 27 MHz.
>>
>> I am on my way towards getting my no-code license because, I'm afraid,
>> that's as far as I will ever progress. I have tried for years to lear
>> Morse, but some glitch in my hearing or noggin or something will not let me
>> get the knack of it.
>>
>> When I was little (30-something years ago), I used to be glued to my
>> step-dad's shortwave, sending postcards (I must have spent a forturne
>> sending cards around the world).... I desperately wanted to be part of that
>> world, I had a telegraph key to practice with, I specifically tuned into the
>> morse broadcasts so I could try to develop an ear for it...to no avail...it
>> just wouldn't happen. I dropped away from shortwave for years and have only
>> recently become aware that I now have an entry(albeit a limited one) into
>> the world of my youth.
>>
>> I have nothing but admiration for those who can pick up morse code. I wish
>> I could.
>>
>> ttfn/Karina
>

3094. Re: newbie needs help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gal1e$50k$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120345

clausen wrote in message ...
>I'm new to the world of scanners. I have a Uniden SportCat that I like, but
>I want to get a trunked scanner. Is there a web site or free info somewhere
>that has extensive info on scanning principles, what trunk systems are, how
>they work etc. Also what's a good place to buy scanners who has a catolog I
>can get. Any trunk info would be great.
>

For the FAQ's and actual model spec's and operations, look no further than www.strongsignals.net I refer to this site quite a bit. The dealers page should get you pointed in the right direction for online catalogs.

Trunking info (talkgroups/fleetmaps)can be found at www.trunktracker.com and in the 1999 edition of Police Call (The book also gives a simple, straightforward overview of trunking itself.)

As for which unit to buy, the BC245XLT is the one I'm waiting for. It will track EDACS and Motorola across all frequency ranges along with traditional conventional stuff all at the same time! It is also rumored to have computer control. Right now the only radio capable of all this is the Optocom, which isn't designed as a portable, rather expensive for most casual hobbyists, and is a bit beyond the novice user.

Another "must see" for trunked scanning is the trunked page on http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1 . There you'll find tips on converting Motorola talkgroups and determining fleetmap sizes. http://members.aol.com/~wwhitby (I think that's the URL...) is another good one.

These should get you started.

-Phil

3095. Re: Which is best: AOR 8000 or 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119290944be3fbab989760@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120346

I just sold mine, but think it is still better than the 8000 as I had that one before. I now prefer the IC-R2 (little handheld by Icom) for it's superior sensitivity. It does not have the bells and whistles that the AOR's have, but beats it's pants off (hears stuff the AOR doesn't even know is there) and saved myself the buck. The Icom is only $220.00 and the AOR is more like $550.00. I can live without the keyboard very nicely thank you.

3096. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Shrader <dshrader@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3728ED83.824422B4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 120347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Come on!! CW and MCW are modes that carry the understanding that the
method will be international Morse code.

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Gord Skiffington wrote:
> > Morse - Is a mode ...
>
> Funny, Chapter 8 of "The FCC Rule Book" lists all the modes
> available to the Amateur Radio Service and none of those modes
> is Morse. I see CW, MCW, Phone, Image, RTTY, Data, Pulse, SS,
> and Test, but no Morse.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3097. Re: Austin scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <C25W2.18086$95.585915@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 120348

The Austin, TX police dept is on a 460 MHz system. Just search from 460.000
to 460.550 and you will hear plenty of police.
Best online source for Austin frequencies was:
http://members.aol.com/kc5dvr/ , but it is full of broken links now.
Someone posted a Percon database of Austin frequencies at:
http://www.onr.com/user/ct/austin.html, but I would imagine you could
generate your own lists at www.perconcorp.com .
Aircraft frequency info for Austin can be found at:
http://members.tripod.com/~S61/avfreq.html
For a list of amateur radio repeaters go to:
http://pages.tca.net/kg5ai/freqs/aus_ham.html
DPS frequencies are at: http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/tx-dps.htm

HAHAHA <buymeacar@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7gagih$l07$1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu...
> I just bought a scanner and am looking for good frequencies to listen to
in
> austin. If you know of the major police and fire frequencies here please
> help. Anything interesting. It scans 800 mhz. Also what are the 25
channel
> cordless and 900 mhz phones frequencies. Mine is a 800mhz scanner but
scans
> up to 956 mhz so maybe i can get 900 mhz phones. thanks EMAIL REPLIES
> PLEASE.
>
>

3098. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3728F3DE.3D0F@sir.com>
Source record: 120349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?

What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?

Some people want to get into the hobby of radio to talk on the radio. They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license to go ahead and use voice.

Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

3099. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3728EC6A.D5E4D708@analon.com>
Source record: 120350

check the box or the lit that came with the radiotelephone... I prefer to use radio telephoime because that's what these things are....radios that make phone calls

PCS uses 1900mhz frequencies... cell 869-896 and 826-849mhz

JOHN

k9kz@indy.net wrote:

> I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can be
> heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info in
> the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be heard
> on scanners? Thanks.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< | |
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!< |
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp |

3100. Re: Ar8000 -VS- R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3728ca4e$0$2488@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 120351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You should probably get the AR8000. I have the R-10, and while it is fun, the AR8000 is supposed to be a very fine reciever, while the R-10 has it's drawbacks (single-bank scanning only, two-hand operation, filter problems, etc.)
Mark D. Sale <Highway101@home.com> wrote in message news:3727C371.716BCB43@home.com...
> I need input as I have a chance to buy one or the other and am unsure
> which one will be better in the long run. If you know anything about
> these 2 handheld scanner/receivers, please post your opinions to the
> group. Thanks in advance, Mark

3101. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7gaire$b5l$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm. got to have a scanner in the dorm....kind of gives you reassurance
when you ignore the fire alarms...

karl

3102. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rudolph M. Marcelletti" <rudym@net-link.net>
Message-ID: <7gaclg$qjs@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 120353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I thought the code requirement was for INCENTIVE purposes for a HOBBY that obviously needs to be regulated by the government so it won't turn into a fiasco like the mistake that happened with CB. You don't need to learn CW to be a ham and if all you want to do is rag chew on local 2 meters or other VHF/UHF freqs that's fine. They did away with CW in most military services because there are more effective ways to communicate reliably in war time. War is not a hobby (to most) and the military has a hard enough time teaching people with a 6th grade level education how to fire a million dollor rocket by "pushing the RED button" that they don't have time to spend teaching a HOBBY to 6th graders, most of who did not want the job to begin with! Ham radio is not a "way of life" it is a fun way to enjoy life. A code requirement provides incentive to upgrade. Our society (meaning the United States) over the last 10 years or so have become a bunch of whiners and get really irritated when told "no--you can't have it all right now!" If prospective hams spent as much time focussing on learning the code as they have whining about the requirement--there would be a lot more general class and higher I am sure. I don't like stop signs. Sometimes I like to drive fast. I think gas should be as free as water and I should not have to buy insurance and pay taxes. Learning the code shows you are somewhat dedicated to your hobby and perhaps worthy of increased frequency priviledges thereby allowing you to communicate with others who enjoy the hobby in other parts of the world. You are in effect an ambassador of the US! We could use more good ambassadors, that't for sure. Look at all the lids (former or current CB'ers) who took the time to learn the code then abuse it on 20 and 75. Perhaps the FFC is finally getting around to some long needed enforcement and are taking away the privilege. Just like driving--it is a privledge--not a right. So you don't need to learn much to ride a bike (scanners) but need more to drive a car (vhf/uhf no-code) but you need more road tests for cycle endorsements, big rigs, hazardous materials, flying an airplane, jets etc., pass 5 wpm you get some limited HF. Pass 13, you get to play with big rigs and big amps. Pass 20, you can do it all. I'd like to do it all and fly a jet but don't have the time to learn it all and get my 20 just yet! And I still shy away from the CB-like chatter on the ham bands, but still love to chat on 2 meters and give out my 1010# to all the KC***** call signs I hear on 10 meters. I always try to tune into a CW rag chew just to see how many characters I can copy at 20+wpm from the oldtimers who don't need to write anything down! A friend of mine has over the last year spent close to $50,000.00 on antennas including a 3 element rotatable full size yagi at 180 feet. 12 monoband antennas in all, and ALL are cut for the CW portions of the ham bands. CW is alive. Just because the military did away with it does not mean hams should also. After all, I want a college educated officer to get a VERY clear error free packet transmission before he tells the 6th grader to "push the RED button!" Kwitchyerbitchen and learn the code--or don't drive the big rigs!
Rudy, K8SWD, Advanced Class
Don or Darla Arnold wrote in message <3727AA1D.9F4B2D76@datasync.com>...
ALL the below are VERY CORRECT and TRUE, BUT,
is that ANY reason to use it on a TEST for getting your ticket
keep the subbands..if you want, EXPAND them if you like

IF we use this MODE to test people should we not have a test to see if you can talk correctly for phone, type correctly for packet,
and expand that by building your own computer for digital modes.
THAT'S ALL I AM AGAINST IS FOR A TEST
keepe the mode if it makes you happy but don't use it for TESTING.
NUFF SAID
DON
KB5FHX
NCI-1070
MARS AREA COORDINATOR
ARES RACES OPERATOR
SKYWARN FOUNDER
21 YEARS IN NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS

Gord Skiffington wrote:

Howdy Dan....In all the hoopola about whether anyone should or can't use morse anymore.....a few points never seem to be brought out...or are only mentioned briefly.
Morse - Is a mode that allows communications to be copied verbatim easily (you can come close on voice or often do it if words are standardized but its not easy)......
- Is a mode that is indeed digital but which requires the most rudimentary interfacing and for which our own "processor" causes error correcting and speed compensating depending on the ability of the other "terminal" or "line" conditions.
- Is a mode which transcends language and dialect barriers when suitable signals are used...such as the international "Q" signals...and others. Hard to get most computer systems to do that yet and our ability to merely speak one language (english for example) with a wide variation of accents is hard enough sometimes.
- Is a mode whose "standardization" is unheard of in communications, where for at least a hundred years was not obsolete for the purpose of basic communications (stuff that's GOOD for critical use...such as lifesaving...and cheap commerce).
- Is a mode which, when the equipment is tired....just needs a good dinner and a cup o coffee to revive it.....stuff we have around anyway !
- When send using CW transmission (the 2 are NOT the same!) is extremely effective, using suitable narrow filters at both ends, for solid communications under the worst circuit noise and conditions. It might not be the very best...but when you consider the rudimentary equipment needed it becomes mighty attractive.
- Is a mode which, because it only requires very basic equipment, lends itself well to "field repairability".....a handy thing if you've ever sat in a remote spot and listened to digital signals that couldn't connect to you...telling yourself...."if they'd only just turn 'em on and off...I could get the message! After all...what is slower....a slow sent message or one that never arrives????

There's likely lots of other good and bad reasons why anyone should keep morse alive. Yep its old.....but well made and the fact that it hasn't undergone a million transformations tells us all that it works well. It is slow, but when mere text will suffice, is an adequate method of communication in conjuction with simple equipment. It does require skill to use...but everything we do also does (Tell me that a windows NT system hung up on a satellite link doesn't require skill to figure out what happened!). There is also a thing about operating morse that only morse operators may truely feel...but its a kindred spirit that transcends whether you were a mere ham or a skilled commercial operator, a struggling boy scout trying it or a pilot who was able to make himself understood when his mic stopped working. To that last end I can only offer that to those who WON'T use it...it will always be a thing despised....to those who do or have, a thing revered.

73 - Gord VE1AJF...(and merchant R/O in recent times :)

--
OUR WEB PAGE UPDATED 17 April 99
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 Darla and Don's Website
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/darnold.shtml My online column

DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294

Right now we are on the threshold of whatever comes next!!!
MAYBE!!

3103. FA: RS PRO-91 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <OLwdrkok#GA.208@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 120354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For auction on EBAY.COM. Excellent condition with all accessories and documentation including original box and packing material.

Reserve has been met.

3104. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard R. Plourde (Dick)" <rplourde@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ganke$f2j$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

CW is like rap... only much faster. ;-)

--
73 de Dick, N1SJM
http://home.att.net/~rplourde/
http://www.qsl.net/n1sjm/

DanSullivan wrote in message <3728B3BF.D6FECEAB@ncounty.net>...
:I used to like CW back in the 80's when they were first starting out. They
:put out some good tunes like: "Like a Novice" and "Jammin", but once they
:got into that "VOR in the USA" phase they have gone down a musical suck
:trail and I've since tuned out.
:

3105. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3728EF5B.603F@sir.com>
Source record: 120356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

> CW is like rap...

How true.

3106. Re: mon-58 antenna, any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11929012365450349897 5f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120357

Geez, I hope nobody thinks I am working for Channel Master as IMHO their "Monitenna" simply can not be beat. I have owned just about every competent antenna out there under $400.00 and it beat them all. Not bad for $50.00 either with the cable included. If you need more info give Scanners Unlimited a call at (650) 573-1624......I just ordered one for use inside of my home as I can't mount one on the roof (I am a renter). They work good there too!

3107. Re: MDT 4 Win

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yc4W2.617$P3.426@stones>
Source record: 120358

<turbojew@jewishmail.com> wrote in message
news:37289436.36059773@news.supernews.com...
> Right on Eavsdropper, you're the tits!
>
>
funny, I thought I was the left nut :-)

eavsdropper

3108. newbie needs help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: clausen <mclausen@portup.com>
Message-ID: <Hf4W2.104$2k.7270@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 120359

I'm new to the world of scanners. I have a Uniden SportCat that I like, but I want to get a trunked scanner. Is there a web site or free info somewhere that has extensive info on scanning principles, what trunk systems are, how they work etc. Also what's a good place to buy scanners who has a catolog I can get. Any trunk info would be great.

Matt C.

3109. FS: Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: po3ps@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429174023.07803.00000205@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 120360

I have a BC220 available..
need to get $30.00 shipped..

3110. FS: Icom PCR 100 and Sony Wavehawk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3728E352.2C048C8D@uswest.net>
Source record: 120361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PCR 100 computer controlled scanner in like new condition includes all origianl stuff in the original box. $220 shipped FedEx COD

Sony Wave Hawk handheld the non PC version, radio, antenna, AC adapter and manual $140 shipped FedEx COD

I also have an AOR ARD-2 Navtex/ACARS decoder for $225 shipped FedEx COD

Greg Renner

3111. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3728F9EC.6BAF@sir.com>
Source record: 120362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
> > want to".

Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I will never use."

Let's force everyone to learn how to play the harp before they are allowed to drive a car. Those who do not like harp music we will call lazy.

3112. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3728FA7D.3C73@sir.com>
Source record: 120363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe wrote:
>
> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.

But why waste those 10-15 minutes a day to learn something you will
never use and only forget?

It may take only 10-15 minutes a day to learn how to play the harp, why
don't you do that? Perhaps because you don't WANT to play the harp?
Then I will call you LAZY.

3113. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3728FC7F.48C3@sir.com>
Source record: 120364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I'm a no-coder myself. But I don't whine like a
> baby because I don't have HF priviledges either. I haven't earned them, so why
> should I deserve them?

How ridiculous. What if it was VHF that required code and not HF? It
has nothing to do with EARNING, but silly laws.

What if we make it a requirement to learn Russian before one can drink
water? Will you not whine then also because you didn't EARN the right
to drink water?

When people don't whine or complain, they get get walked on by the
government. If I beat you, would you whine then? Or would you have to
EARN the right to whine?

3114. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <3729158C.E341D0AC@rconnect.com>
Source record: 120365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Please please please take this off of
rec.radio.amateur.equipment and rec.radio.swap. This
argument has bben going on forever (years!) & will not end
until the fcc changes the rules one way or the other.

Joe wrote:
>
> What a lame analogy.....what if dont mean SHIT in the real
> world!!!!WHAT IF your mama gave your dad a blow job on a particular
> night.....where would you be?
>
> On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 19:05:50 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> >how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
> >
> >What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> >other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
> >learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
> >
> >Some people want to get into the hobby of radio to talk on the radio.
> >They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
> >the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
> >to go ahead and use voice.
> >
> >Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

3115. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gavuc$kc9$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So, tell me. How many subjects or material did you cover in
school that you felt were something you would never use and only forget?
You may consider learning the code a waste of time (yours) BUT, if it
is required to obtain the license you still need it, like it or not.
You may never parallel park a car in your lifetime (in 35 years of driving
I never have), soooo, should it be eliminated from the driving test?

Most of your frivilous analogies fail to hold any water.

Pete

Sir wrote in message <3728FA7D.3C73@sir.com>...
>Joe wrote:
>>
>> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>
>But why waste those 10-15 minutes a day to learn something you will
>never use and only forget?
>
>It may take only 10-15 minutes a day to learn how to play the harp, why
>don't you do that? Perhaps because you don't WANT to play the harp?
>Then I will call you LAZY.

3116. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Hynde <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gb07h$l5u$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote in message <3728F9EC.6BAF@sir.com>...
>> > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
>> > want to".
>
>Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I will
>never use."

Your right, I shouldn't have had to answer questions about RTTY, Packet, SSTV, VHF, UHF, Voice modes, modulation techniques, questions about 20m, 15m, 10m, questions about EME or any of these things since all I wanted to do was operate my homebrew 40m cw rig on 7040KHz.

Why was I subjected to this unessesary testing, I have no intentions of doing any of those things, It just wasn't fair that I had to answer questions on things I'm never going to use. Sheeesh....

Tim ka8ddz

3117. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gb08e$mp6$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>Spot wrote:
>
>> > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
>> > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
>> > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>>

Yeah right. With an Amateur Extra and FCC First Class Telephone ticket
I am really trembling in my boots over a more difficult exam.....
Damn! If wasn't for that nasty CW code I might have gotten the
RadioTelegraph
license too. Shame on the FCC for screwing me!

Pete

3118. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <37291234.3385ACA1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Floyd wrote:
>
> Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for
> access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore
> the treaties and make it's own rules?

The treaty itself has provisions specifying exactly how certain sections
can be ignored. Japan has taken advantage of those provisions.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3119. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <2259AE1BC9E4FEA0.1AE0C0D9B590792A.CCB6EF8007BEB6F0@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, I want to be on HAM but I've already decided not to kiss these people's asses
and I've also decided that I can't wait for these old trailer trash farts who think they
are radio's
gift to the universe to die of old age.

: No one is forcing anyone to join the ranks of amateur radio, or to stay. It's a free
world.

3120. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <6D21526BCE00A63F.83898A70000FB28D.7D02C7E880298C88@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: So, tell me. How many subjects or material did you cover in
: school that you felt were something you would never use and only forget?

All of them to be exact, except for basics.

: You may never parallel park a car in your lifetime (in 35 years of driving
: I never have), soooo, should it be eliminated from the driving test?

Yes :)

3121. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <73FC67EF6120F5F0.B9C0504BD569B12C.FB6EEEF3EE956382@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

These guys just don't get it do they?
Hello!
No Speaka de english?
Do you comprende?Understand!
Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some useless
outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little hobby.
We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to make
the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.

:If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.

3122. Wanted:HandHeld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Denning <robocop@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <3728FB25.F91EB89F@citynet.net>
Source record: 120373

Wanted handheld scanner with 100 or more channels.
Can trade Radio Shack pro 2044 80 channle base/mobile
And Uniden BC 60xlt 30 channel handheld
thanks matt denning
e-mail me
robocop@citynet.net

3123. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2904992044280001@pm51-16.magicnet.net>
Source record: 120374

In article <3728EC6A.D5E4D708@analon.com>, JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:

> check the box or the lit that came with the radiotelephone... I prefer to use
> radio telephoime because that's what these things are....radios that
make phone
> calls
>
> PCS uses 1900mhz frequencies... cell 869-896 and 826-849mhz
>
> JOHN

The FCC calls the service Radiotelephone on their main web site also. But
only in reference to the 800 Mhz service. PCS is called PCS.

3124. Re: Northern VA freq list?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Renard (Marty) Martin" <RMARTIN@HIS.COM>
Message-ID: <37290e8d.0@news4.his.com>
Source record: 120375

Here are a few sources.

http://www.hyattsvillevfd.org/chm/guide.htm

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/DC/

Enjoy!
--
Renard (Marty) Martin
Sterling, VA 20165
rmartin@his.com
ICQ #451411
PopTician <zootv@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3727F239.27654269@erols.com...
>
> Hi all, just returning to the hobby after a long absence. I am looking
> for a good list of frequencies for Fairfax County, VA, Washington, DC,
> and Dulles Airport. Any help would be greatly appreciated. thanks
> Mike
>

3125. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <37294630.5E25@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 120376
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:
>
> why do you work for primestar??!!?? Like I am really going to call them and
> let them know that I have a dish of theirs and they should just come and
> pick it up. Yea...

Nope....I don't work for Primestar.

Perhaps sometime if you happen to accidently leave some of your
property
somewhere and someone knows it yours and how to return it to you, they
will take the same attitude.

3126. Re: Coax types for outdoor antenna (monitenna)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3729122B.D9BD2C39@ibm.net>
Source record: 120377

If you think rg-58 is too lossey, so will rg-59. There is not very
much diffreance in the losses in the two.

For the scanners, I recommend going with rg-8x, rg-6, rg-8, rg-11 in
that order for losses. Just about anything bigger than the rg-58
and 59 will be good enough for a scanner up to 100 feet.
Look around for the best price.

Jim Muehlberg wrote:
>
> vf500@canada.com wrote:
> >
> > These are the types/prices I have found so far but I don't know which
> > one I should get.
> >
> > I will need about 100 feet to be safe for my run to my base scanner,
> > is there a maximum distance to run the cable?
> >
> > I will be using a monitenna as well.
> >
> > RG11U-500 500FT. 75 OHM COAX TV RG11 FULL ROLL $159.95
> >
> > RG58AU 50 OHM COAX STRANDED 0.18 PER FT.
> >
> > RG58U 50 OHM SOLID COAX 0.16 PER FT.
> >
> > RG58U-P-1000 1000FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $99.95
> >
> > RG58U-P-500 500FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $52.95
> >
> > TWI RG59U-1000 1000FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $65.95
> >
> > TWI RG59U-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $34.95
> >
> > RG59U-95-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE 95%COP.BRAID FULL ROLL $59.95
> >
> > RG8 50 OHM COAX 0.36 PER FT
>
> I'd use the RG11 or RG8, depending on if your scanner has 50 or 75 ohm
> antenna input impedances. RG 58 will be too lossy, especiallly on the
> upper frequencies. You might try a quality RG59 used for cable TV, foam
> with foil shield.
>

3127. Re: Coax types for outdoor antenna (monitenna)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1192ce59f3fed5c2989761@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120378

Personally for the price you can't beat 100% shielded RG6 for both rejection and low loss. Otherwise just go all out and pay big bucks for the Belden stuff (9913). I had a Monitenna with 100ft of the stuff and got incredible reception without noise interference.

3128. STANDARD VR-500 ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7gbb39$av9$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 120379
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/VR-500
Is a list devoted to the new Standard/Yaesu VR-500 Scanner!!
go see it at:
http://www.yaesu.co.jp/news/index2000.htm

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/Ft90
Is a new list devoted to the new Micro micro mobile radio from Yaesu the
FT-90. So far 19 users.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/ft2600
Is a new List devoted to the new Mystery radio from Yaesu the FT-2600.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5
Has over 475 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a
super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
Has about 106 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio
with a great following of over 194 vsers on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100
So Far we only have 240 users! Not to bad. If you are even thinking about
one of these units come join us. Join Us and get the MOD!!
we may all learn somthing!!!

3129. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill & Lisa McCrory <wmccrory@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <37293474.4371@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 120380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Amen! I remember the first time I made myself part of the discharge
path of something like a 100 ufd 450 VDC electrolytic in a HV power
supply. Talk about an enlightening experience... My hair smelled funny
for weeks after that.

Bill
WD4HSE

Gene Floyd wrote:
>
> Because many people who take the same exam you take will be using them,and a tube-type
rig is
> probably the easiest way to get yourself fried.

3130. AOR 900 *HELP*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17387-37292C8D-53@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120381

Does anyone know how to program lower and upper search limits on an AOR 900. I entered the lower and upper frequencies and then hit search and it displayed "sr err". What am I doing wrong??? I don't have the owners manual so.....
Thanks.

3131. Re: newbie needs help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3729247D.25AD2C1D@qsl.net>
Source record: 120382

clausen wrote:
>
> I'm new to the world of scanners. I have a Uniden SportCat that I like, but
> I want to get a trunked scanner. Is there a web site or free info somewhere
> that has extensive info on scanning principles, what trunk systems are, how
> they work etc. Also what's a good place to buy scanners who has a catolog I
> can get. Any trunk info would be great.
>
> Matt C.

Matt
If you go to the Strong Signals web site at
http://www.strongsignals.net/
Rich Wells will have every thing you want to know there (plus stuff you
never even heard of) Happy Scanning!
--
To reply remove the extra @

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

3132. Re: MC HENRY ,ILLINOIS POLICE FREQ PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2904992359450001@k56-ip-163.theramp.net>
Source record: 120383

In article <3728fd45.29391042@NNTP.interaccess.com>, sarge@interaccess.com
(Jim Heckler) wrote:

> Gullfoss.FCC Database also lists 155.7900 (but not 156.7900)
>
> Happy Scanning, Friend!!
>
>
>
> On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 10:15:18 -0500, Bill <bill@elginet.com> wrote:
>
> >MrMike2U wrote:
> >
> >> DOES ANYONE KNOW THE FREQUENCY FOR THE MC HENRY POLICE DEPT IN ILLINOIS
> >> THANKS IN ADVANCE MRMIKE2U@MEDIAONE.NET
> >
> >154.085
> >156.79
> >156.090
> >159.090
> >159.210
> >
> >Those are what's in the Freq book anyway. Good luck.

155.790 is the McHenry County Sheriff's repeater.
McHenry City PD uses 159.090 (154.085 is the input) for dispatch.
159.210 is the countywide talk-around.
156.090 is probably some sort of car-car channel.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw**********************************

3133. Re: Coax types for outdoor antenna (monitenna)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <LOaW2.1135$tk5.26630@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120384

I have had excellent results with RG6 for receiving purposes over the years.
Good stuff.

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1192ce59f3fed5c2989761@news.earthlink.net...
> Personally for the price you can't beat 100% shielded RG6 for both
> rejection and low loss. Otherwise just go all out and pay big bucks for
> the Belden stuff (9913). I had a Monitenna with 100ft of the stuff and
> got incredible reception without noise interference.
>

3134. Re: What determines if an antenna is 50 ohms or 70 or 75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <30bW2.1140$tk5.27903@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120385

DO NOT SWEAT IT! If you were to watch the impedance that your receiver sees from your antenna you would see why it doesn't matter. Plot the impedance out on a graph and it looks like a roller coaster as you tune across the frequencies.

<nospam@canada.com> wrote in message
news:372c2cb9.16417838@news.sprint.ca...
> I have been browsing past articles about antennae and see the
> reference to ohm ratings. How is that determined for a particular
> antenna and how does a mis-match affect a scanner?
>
>

3135. MDT Program needed RD-LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <halvorson@gotnet.net>
Message-ID: <37294572.0@news.gotnet.net>
Source record: 120386

I am looking for a good MDT program. I have the 4800 program. I am looking for one that decode RD-LAP. Can anyone help??

3136. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <n_SV2.43$I11.1325@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120387

The model # is KX-TGM240-B (the B stands for black) My scout will lock on to the hand set but you cant hear anything. The hand set is 900mhz however NOT 2.4 Ghz . Buiried in the manual in the back it says "The unit operates in the frequency range of 909Mhz to 921Mhz AND 2400Mhz to 2485Mhz.

Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
news:ASPV2.143$sN5.5672@nntp1...
> What's the model number? There was an absolute furor going on a bit back
> about the GigaRange because the 900MHz handset side of the conversation
> could be scanned, despite Panasonic's advertising claims. Maybe they
fixed
> it?
>
> The phone in question was the KX-TG200-B (and probably it's speakerphone
> variant)
>
> Anyone heard this particular hole was plugged?
>
> Rob Lang
> KC2EMO
>
> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
> >I just tried to pick it up using a scout and an AOR 8000 and it didn't
pick
> >it up.
> >
> >Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
> >news:nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1...
> >>
> >> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
> >> >My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner doesn't
> go
> >> >that high.
> >>
> >>
> >> It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz range FM
> >analog
> >> and is easily scanned.
> >>
> >> Rob Lang
> >> KC2EMO
> >>
> >>
> >

>
>

3137. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: info searcher <info@studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be920c$bcef1300$edff00cc@default>
Source record: 120388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Your own "SETI" radiotelescope.....plans:

http://popularmechanics.com/popmech/sci/9606STSPM.html

> I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
it
> used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
dish
> to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...

3138. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <zqTV2.51$I11.59@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120389
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

how come you don't have a web page?

Tony <palco@palcoelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:37274F90.50FE@palcoelectronics.com...
> Patrick Nowlan wrote:
> >
> > Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
> > both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> > well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
are
> > NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I
love
> > mine)
> >
> >
> Palco Electronics is a distributor for the RCI Ranger Radios. We
> wholesale
> and retail the Ranger 2950, 2970, and the 2990. It is legal to have
> possession
> of these 10 meter radios. It is not legal to use them (transmit) if you
> do not have an
> amateur radio license. These are not Export Only radios! It is illegal
> to
> convert these radios to 11 meter. Type acceptance generally applies to
> 11
> meter radios.
>
>
> Tony
>
> --
> Palco Electronics, Inc.
> Discount prices on CB Radios, accessories, and more!
> "Always a Whale of a Deal!"
> http://www.palcoelectronics.com

3139. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: info searcher <info@studio.com>
Message-ID: <01be920d$b1192d80$edff00cc@default>
Source record: 120390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here's another set of plans...seti...

http://www.setileague.org/hardware/blkdiag.htm

> I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
it
> used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
dish
> to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...

3140. Re: MDT in the Bay area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jg <jg@thegrid.net>
Message-ID: <372805A1.3D1ACC06@thegrid.net>
Source record: 120391

I have no interest in decoding MDT, I just like to listen to the noises/audio of MDT data on my scanner... (it helps me sleep).
So could you possibly share the MDT frequencies you are monitoring?

All I could find is the Fire Dispatch for Hayward.

How about Oak, SF, SJ, Alco, etc...

Norman Prange wrote:

> Yes, I have been playing around with MDT in The S.F. Bay Area and been
> having great results just using a simple data slicer or sound card input.
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

3141. Re: Neec CHP Freq Needles to Palmdale via I-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429032455.05461.00000096@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 120392

clarkm@pacbell.net wrote:

>>Air to Ground 45.02
>>
>>**Note: CHP uses radar anywhere in California now....
>
>Is the Air to Ground frequency statewide? This is the first I've heard of
>the air frequency (although it's obvious it exists).

It's the primary, but they also use the Blue channel (42.34 base 42.18 mobile) and I've heard them two or three times talking on extenders direct on both 154.905 and 154.935 which is actually a CLEMARS designation. Also, in an area where there are multiple "Bears in the air" they talk back and forth on 122.75 and 122.85. Good luck, and get a radar detector. =)

PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

3142. Re: Code is a barrier! Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990429040027.14054A-200000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 120393

On Fri, 23 Apr 1999, X wrote:

> I've got nothing against people who enjoy using the code. Unfortunatly
> the code serves as a barrier to many otherwise qualified operators that
> could help to advance the hobby.
> lets face it, the internet is taking many potential new hams. No code hf
> would bring life back into a dying hobby.
> I believe code should not be a requirement for any class of license.
> Hopefully the arrl will allow us to catch up with the rest of the world.
> _ .... ._ _. _._ ... 73
>
>
Its not the ARRL but the ITU that set the CW Requirement. Even though the
ARRL could assist in the lobby of changing the CW requirements I don't
think the CW for your ham ticket will ever be totally removed.
You might see a 5wpm move for general tech+ and novice but advanced and
extra would be required the highter rates on CW.

Tim Wright- NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3143. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <7g95bq$3jo$2@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 120394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

In rec.radio.amateur.space W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net> wrote:
> Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>> That's an interesting question; should we consider a no-code license
>> to be a valid end-point for those who aren't interested in the extra
>> priviledges, or only a stepping stone to a "full call"?

> It doesn't matter what "we" consider it to be or not to be. The
> authorities consider it a valid end-point. If they didn't, they
> would have made it non-renewable like the 50s novice license in
> the US.

It obviously does matter to some -- if not, they'd stop bagging people
who are "no codes."

Hamish (no code licencee, still waiting for his exam results.)
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au

Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd.
Developers of music education software including Auralia & Musition.
31 Elmhurst Road, Blackburn, Victoria Australia, 3130
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA Toll Free: 1-888-667-7839
Internet: http://www.rising.com.au/

3144. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990429042559.14054I-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 120395

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999, Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:07:23 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
> wrote:
>
> >Not if this is for monitoring for a media outlet. Traffic reporters and news
> >reporters use scanners and listeners at the station to relay breaking stories
> >all the time and this is legal under the 1st Amendment freedom of the press,
>
> Then how come that journalist in CA got convicted for listening in to
> a cellphone conversation?
>
>
>
Because it is "AGAINST THE LAW TO LISTEN IN ON CELLPHONE CONVERSATIONS!"
The rest of the spectrum is up for grabs for now.

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3145. Phone??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: booberusa@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5543-37282637-2@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120396

How close does one have to be to listen to cordless conversation? Which hand held unit for under $200 is best for this?

3146. Re: Dorm antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <3727e685.1171231@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 120397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I'm going to be living in a college dorm room this upcoming summer, and
>I doubt they will let me mount my scantenna. I was just seeking
>suggestions for an antenna ideal for a SMALL room.

Tha's about the only bad thing about living on campus for me. The
room is a concrete box and along with most of the university's
repeater on the top of the tower are some pagers which like to cause
interference. Fortuently the city has an 800mhz trunked system so
that comes in pretty well. I'd say the closer you can get the antenna
to the window the better. I saved my good mag mount for the car cause
for me it just isn't worth trying in the room, plus I can already hear
about everything I want . . .

Jeff

3147. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <372823b3.877403@news.jps.net>
Source record: 120398

On Wed, 28 Apr 1999 21:08:20 GMT, nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) wrote:

>Why didn't Uniden design a new radio?
>It will be the same as the 235 XLT and the radios that it copied.
>I think Uniden should put a good speaker in the unit like a Yup 9000
>speaker.
>I don't want to buy another shitty Uniden scanner, that you have to
>turn the volume up full blast and stick it next to my ear. I also hate
>battery packs.
>
>Yuck
>
>
>
>
I couldn't agree with you more! There is no excuse for proprietary
battery packs that don't even last 2 years when I can go out and buy
four Eveready rechargeables (the yellow ones) that will last at least
three times as long. I have some now that are still going strong after
7 years of use. And to top it off, the Evereadys are $8.99 a four pack
plus tax. The Uniden garbage is $14 or higher, mail order only. I
refuse to buy another Uniden handheld scanner until they are made with
AA capability. When Radio Shack makes a scanner similar to the 245
that will accept 4 AA's I will buy it instead.

I won't even get started on the (lack of) audio quality.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

3148. Re: Northern VA freq list?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37284122.6B1F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120399

PopTician wrote:
>
> Hi all, just returning to the hobby after a long absence. I am looking
> for a good list of frequencies for Fairfax County, VA, Washington, DC,
> and Dulles Airport. Any help would be greatly appreciated. thanks
> Mike

Check out the following URLs:

http://members.aol.com/wcl27/wcl10.html
http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?IAD

3149. Re: WILL PAY RADIO SCANNER LISTENER FOR FIRE BROADCASTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429081435.07589.00000020@ngol06.aol.com>
Source record: 120400

Under the Communications Act, it is illegal to divulge any information obtained while monitoring to a third-party. Because of this, all "will pay for listening" schemes are illegal under federal law.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3150. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan Kaplan <akaplan@fallschurch.esys.com>
Message-ID: <3728580C.4FDF02CF@fallschurch.esys.com>
Source record: 120401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Very well said, Monty! Your post marks you as a gentleman and a
scholar. It is very difficult to convey to the no-code types what an
aesthetic experience proficiency in morse provides, but even this
long-time CW op has come to realize that using the code test as a
barrier to amateur radio is unproductive. To the others: Welcome to
ham radio -- be tolerant, and 73.
deW1AEL, Allan.

3151. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@erols.com
Message-ID: <37285c87.1681280@news.tp.net>
Source record: 120402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Apr 1999 23:34:21 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
>dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it
>used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
>to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
>
>

According to Monitoring Times this month (the article is on their web
page in the Ask Bob section):

Q. Can I hook up my scanner to my satellite dish? (David Pemberton,
E-mail)

A. Yes, but you will only hear the 4 or 12 GHz TV satellites as you
tune through the 950-1450 MHz range since that's what is coming down
the coax after it's been down-converted at the dish from the original
C or Ku band satellite frequencies. And you would need to put a DC
voltage-blocking device between your scanner and the coax splitter to
remove control voltages on the coax provided by the TVRO receiver,
which would have to remain on.

3152. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37285c85.11540488@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

:Personally, I think the goverment should have left well enough alone. As a
:cellular phone customer myself, I have no problem with anyone listening in
:on my cell phone provided that they keep what they hear to themselves.
:Should one of my conversations make it into the local paper or something,
:then I'll just sue the person who broke the law by disclosing that
:information, and let the feds take care of the rest. Simple.

I think that people should be able to listen to whatever they can
receive. People who require privacy should have access to encryption.

:-Phil

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3153. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37285c8f.11549648@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 12:28:50 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

:Well, that's the funny thing. Under the new rules, your car radio, TV set,
:cordless phone, some CB radios, most Ham radios, and (this is hysterical to
:me) your CELLULAR phone are all scanners. So, to be in complete compliance
:with the new rules, a cell phone cannot legally receive or be modified to
:receive the cellular band. Ha, ha, ha..... Did I just hear Homer Simpson say
:"D'OH!"

Cellular phones are still exempt under the new regulations.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3154. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37285c94.11554688@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Brad Granger" <bgranger@one.net.au> wrote:

:I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
:but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
:also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
:for you to eavesdrop on!

It's less than one year before analouge is gone. I agree that cell phone
calls are mostly boreing, but not always. I just don't agree with such
laws.

:Brad

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3155. where to look....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <37288884.1703662@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 120406

if i want to look on ebay, where would i look for scanners? i already found motorola radios and such, but i am curious about scanners
thanks gig.

3156. MC HENRY ,ILLINOIS POLICE FREQ PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: MrMike2U <mrmike2u@chicagonet.net>
Message-ID: <MjZV2.346$dd1.932164@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 120407

DOES ANYONE KNOW THE FREQUENCY FOR THE MC HENRY POLICE DEPT IN ILLINOIS
THANKS IN ADVANCE MRMIKE2U@MEDIAONE.NET

3157. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hkelle@rhi.hi.is
Message-ID: <7g9no0$sh3@eldborg.rhi.hi.is>
Source record: 120408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Svo mi¿½lti AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>:
* > I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
*
* To weed out the serious from the lazy and stupid.

Well all the "lazy and stupid" go use the Internet today for satisfying
their need for playing with technical stuff and communicating with
people. Those who are not "lazy and stupid" go through learning all
the electronics and regulations that you have to learn to get a ham licence.

--
//-----------------------//---
// Hrafnkell Eiriksson // Student of Computer- and Electrical engineering
// hkelle@rhi.hi.is // at the Univeristy of Iceland
// // "Blessed are they who go around in circles,
// Finger for PGP key // for they shall be known as Wheels"

3158. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ruther <michael.ruther@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <3728653D.B68822F7@alliedsignal.com>
Source record: 120409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You missed the point. the comment was the military doesn't use it , not true in the case of the coast gaurd. The guy was taught code as part off the m.o.s. to be a radio operator.
I think as far as the coast gaurd the military still thinks it is important to have coded operators.
Mike AA7QA

Sir wrote:

> Michael Ruther wrote:
> >
> > Friend of mine's son is a radio op in the coast guard. He was taught code, and
> > can do about 15 wpm.
>
> I know a lot of obsolete skills too. Tape editing with razor blades and
> tape come to mind. Perhaps that will save my life in some emergency
> when my digital audio workstation breaks, or will allow me to edit in
> bad weather conditions.

3159. Re: MDT 4 Windows Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Q0_V2.2213$Ff.1595@wards>
Source record: 120410

<turbojew@jewishmail.com> wrote in message
news:3727cb9a.10562919@news.supernews.com...
> I've searched far and wide for the above software, without any luck.
>
> www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646 - this site has
> been down for sometime.
>
> Can anyone please tell me where to get this software or send it to me?
> Thanks...

get it here

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

eavsdropper

3160. Re: MDT 4 Win

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <q2_V2.2214$Ff.1600@wards>
Source record: 120411

<turbojew@jewishmail.com> wrote in message news:3727d1f0.12184892@news...
> I've searched everywhere for the MDT monitor for windows program. The
> website is down. Can someone send me a copy of this software or point
> me in the right direction? Thanks... The filename is mdtmon151.zip
> the web site it was on was here -

get it here:

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

this site also has specially engineered spelling mistakes to get up the nose of those amongst us who have sad little lives and desperately need something to moan about !!

eavsdropper

3161. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Atkinson <klajr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7g9r37$h0a$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120412

Tried the 35.020, it worked but I could only hear the orderer and order taker. Going to try the 154.600 supposed to be the crew freq.

Ken Sabe'

Vic Martinez <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message news:16518-3724F51F-5@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
> one. Any info appreciated.
>

3162. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <something@spam.net>
Message-ID: <37287634.D065DB1D@spam.net>
Source record: 120413

I just received my MVT-9000m3 from Bandercom. It took about 8 days via USPS. It wasn't opened and there was no indication on the labelling as to what was inside.

Lee Rothman wrote:

> according to Bandercom, generally the shipments that they have sent by
> UPS have not been opened by customs.

3163. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bill@elginet.com>
Message-ID: <372875F7.F43D1E0C@elginet.com>
Source record: 120414

k9kz@indy.net wrote:

> I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can be
> heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info in
> the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be heard
> on scanners? Thanks.
>
> Bill K9KZ

You a Ham with an "Extra" class call and you don't know this? Just where DID you buy your ham ticket, anyway? ;)

3164. Re: MC HENRY ,ILLINOIS POLICE FREQ PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bill@elginet.com>
Message-ID: <37287786.C5212A94@elginet.com>
Source record: 120415

MrMike2U wrote:

> DOES ANYONE KNOW THE FREQUENCY FOR THE MC HENRY POLICE DEPT IN ILLINOIS
> THANKS IN ADVANCE MRMIKE2U@MEDIAONE.NET

154.085
156.79
156.090
159.090
159.210

Those are what's in the Freq book anyway. Good luck.

3165. Which is best: AOR 8000 or 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@earth.execpc.com
Message-ID: <7g9qoi$lno@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 120416

I would like to get a hand held scannner that can reaction tune with a Scout. Although the 8200 is the newer model it seems to have gotten mixed reviews. Seeking opinions.

3166. data signal on hotbird 2 @ 13deg east ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8n%V2.2228$Ff.1439@wards>
Source record: 120417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

After reading a post on here a short while ago about this I thought i would take a look so i spun my dishes around to hotbird 2 @ 13deg East and also picked up this data type signal on this sat on audio subcarrier of 7.85 mhz It is on the same freq as CNBC news. I have tried a few methods and do not seem to be able to have any luck with it, it sounds a little like MDT without that data content but as far as I can guess it could well be Internet satellite data

anybody had any luck with this ?

I have tried old Dr.Dish but he does not seem to be replying to e-mails or updating his site for while now ?.

eavsdropper

--
EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST
HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK

3167. Re: Which is best: AOR 8000 or 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kr%V2.2229$Ff.1579@wards>
Source record: 120418

Michael Malloy <malloy@earth.execpc.com> wrote in message
news:7g9qoi$lno@newsops.execpc.com...
> I would like to get a hand held scannner that can reaction tune with a
> Scout. Although the 8200 is the newer model it seems to have gotten mixed
> reviews. Seeking opinions.

Hmm same thing here, i already have the ar8000 and it's performance is quite
good but the finish is appaling and the paint /button grphics rub off after
a short time (that was the case with mine anyway)
I have cleared up a few "ghosts" that the ar8200 is supposed to have but I
will not buy one until i am satisfied that the casing and paint/finish etc
does not do the same again.

eavsdropper

3168. Re: How good would a 42" CB whip antenna work on a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7ga0on$9du$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 120419

In article <zeno-2504991755290001@pm59-10.magicnet.net>,
zeno@magicnet.net says...
>
>Maxon makes a 42 inch steel telescoping whip antenna for 27 Mhz CB. No
>coil. Would it work better than a rubber ducky on a scanner for
VHF-UHF?
"Better" in what way?
At 146MHz a quarter wavelength is about 19".
At 460MHz a quarter wavelength is about 6".
"Antennas" that are longer than 1/4 wavelength will work, but, unless
you have a matching network the are more lossy the further from 1/4 you
move.
Now if you want to listen to 6mtr ham stuff, that could be a great
antenna. And yes I do know that longer antennas will work. I
frequently use a 10Mtr 1/4 vertical for VHF reception when my "real" VHF
antenna is being used by another radio. It works, and I get all the
local traffic, but it has even less "gain" than a radio shack disk cone.

3169. Re: Any serious airplane watchers in Nashville?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ga09pqfn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120420

Mark Holmes wrote in message
<24670-372774B5-71@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>...
I'm going to Nashville either this Saturday or Sunday to watch airplanes
all day from the BNA Airport. If anyone would be interested in meeting
up, please send me a message.

If you come to the Phoenix Area, I might be able to stop by for a while at
Sky Harbor.

There is a great spot to sit and watch just east of 44th street and Air Lane
overlooking the north runway. If you ever come into the area, send me an
email and I'll give you directions.

-Phil

3170. mon-58 antenna, any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <dbsdave@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7ga471$p1v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120421

I was thinking of buying a mon-58 for the roof, I beleive it's made by Antenna Specialists. Is this a good all-band antenna? or is there something better out there.

--
==========
==Screw you cable companies, hello Dish Network!===
Motorcycles are everywhere,look twice & save a life
=======If you have it, a trucker brought it!=======

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3171. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ga14cqpj1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120422

conspiracy_theory@area51.com wrote in message
>Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
>well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
>do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
>FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
>
>Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.

Bzzzt! Wrong!

But thanks for playing....

FBI: 162-167 Mhz DES encrypted 99.9% of the time
DEA: 418-420 Mhz DES encrypted during raids, otherwise generally in the
clear. Check here for Customs ops too.
CIA: Anywhere from 162 to 420 MHz - rarely used. I have yet to hear anything
from them.
MIB: 1.2 to 6.9 terrahertz triple DES encrypted data transmission. Apple
Powerbooks are used as MDTs because of their apparent compatibility with
alien technology. Used only in the movies. (yes, it's a joke folks)

-Phil

3172. Re: where to look....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: MrMike2U <mrmike2u@chicagonet.net>
Message-ID: <i10W2.785$Nj1.18947768@ronws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 120423

http://search.ebay.com/cgi-bin/texis/ebay/results.html?dest=&cobrandpartner=
x&maxRecordsPerPage=50&tc=&ebaytag1=ebayctry&ebaytag1code=0&textonly=n&query
=BEARCAT+SCANNER&SortProperty=MetaEndSort&SortOrder=%5Ba%5D

OR

http://search.ebay.com/cgi-bin/texis/ebay/results.html?dest=&cobrandpartner=
x&maxRecordsPerPage=50&tc=&ebaytag1=ebayctry&ebaytag1code=0&textonly=n&query
=PRO+SCANNER&SortProperty=MetaEndSort&SortOrder=%5Ba%5D

HOPE THIS HELPS MRMIKE2U

gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:37288884.1703662@news.i-2000.com...
> if i want to look on ebay, where would i look for scanners? i already
> found motorola radios and such, but i am curious about scanners
> thanks gig.

3173. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony <palco@palcoelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <37288FFF.1765@palcoelectronics.com>
Source record: 120424
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Patrick,

Are you asking if Palco Electronics has a web page? Yes we do.
The link is at the bottom of the post. It is,
http://www.palcoelectronics.com

Thanks for asking,

Tony

Patrick Nowlan wrote:
>
> how come you don't have a web page?
>
> Tony <palco@palcoelectronics.com> wrote in message
> news:37274F90.50FE@palcoelectronics.com...
> > Patrick Nowlan wrote:
> > >
> > > Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY! They are
> > > both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> > > well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
> are
> > > NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT. (I
> love
> > > mine)
> > >
> > >
> > > Palco Electronics is a distributor for the RCI Ranger Radios. We
> > > wholesale
> > > and retail the Ranger 2950, 2970, and the 2990. It is legal to have
> > > possession
> > > of these 10 meter radios. It is not legal to use them (transmit) if you
> > > do not have an
> > > amateur radio license. These are not Export Only radios! It is illegal
> > > to
> > > convert these radios to 11 meter. Type acceptance generally applies to
> > > 11
> > > meter radios.
> > >
> > >
> > > Tony
> > >
> > > --
> > > Palco Electronics, Inc.

> > Discount prices on CB Radios, accessories, and more!
> > "Always a Whale of a Deal!"
> > http://www.palcoelectronics.com

--
Palco Electronics, Inc.
Discount prices on CB Radios, accessories, and more!
"Always a Whale of a Deal!"
http://www.palcoelectronics.com

3174. Re: MDT 4 Win

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: turbojew@jewishmail.com
Message-ID: <37289436.36059773@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 120425

Right on Eavsdropper, you're the tits!

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 15:27:19 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>
><turbojew@jewishmail.com> wrote in message news:3727d1f0.12184892@news...
>> I've searched everywhere for the MDT monitor for windows program. The
>> website is down. Can someone send me a copy of this software or point
>> me in the right direction? Thanks... The filename is mdtmon151.zip
>> the web site it was on was here -
>
>get it here:
>
>http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk
>
>this site also has specially engineered spelling mistakes to get up the nose
>of those amongst us who have sad little lives and desperately need something
>to moan about !!
>
>eavsdropper
>
>
>

3175. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <JU0W2.223$s91.56@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I thought PrimeStar leased their dishes?

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
news:3727fb65.0@news-1.cnminc.com...
> I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
it
> used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
> to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
>
>
>

3176. FS Universal M8000 v 7.5 (New USA version) Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7ga5rd$55j$1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 120427

Universal M8000 v 7.5 (New USA Version) Decoder for sale
See http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0087.html for details

In Excellent condition 775.00 shipped to the 48
Apx 1 year old Box and Manual included
--
--
==========
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Columbus, Ohio USA

Kenwood TS-870; Drake R7, R8B
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
==========

3177. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@is.retarded
Message-ID: <372e8cef.5522298@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Once again, loony, you post crap. This a big 'O-fer' on your part.

You are so predictable, it's pathetic.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>In YOUR case, a zero is always more.
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Please explain how zero is more than anything,
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3178. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marshall Gammon <mgammon@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37289C83.47A6E10A@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120429
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

HAM RADIO OUTLET also sells 2950/2970 but they are WAY out
of price range. If they were Illegal, I doubt HRO would be carrying them
in stock...

HB

3179. FS: Opto R10 Interceptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429140526.18441.00000091@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120430

Excellent shape with antenna, charger, manual $180.00 shipped.

Greg

3180. FS: Realistic PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429140613.18441.00000092@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120431

Good condition with antenna, nicads, charger, manual $125.00 shipped.

Greg

3181. FS: Bearcat 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429140709.18441.00000093@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120432

Beautiful shape with antenna, soft case, charger, manual $165.00 shipped.

Greg

3182. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3728a19e@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 120433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

guess the previous owner lost out...
Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net> wrote in message
news:JU0W2.223$s91.56@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I thought PrimeStar leased their dishes?
>
>
> Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
> news:3727fb65.0@news-1.cnminc.com...
> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
> it
> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
dish
> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3183. yupi mvt-9000 programming question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3728a23d.0@news-1.cnminc.com>
Source record: 120434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

From the users manual I understand that you can program each frequency with a title??!!? Can someone clarify if this is true. I have tried and tried to get this to work but can not. If true can you point out how to do it... Thanks...

Robert

3184. FS:AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <3728971a.726130@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 120435

```
For Sale:
Aor AR8200
New 12/18/1998
Serial #050094 (NOT 'B')
All original accessories, box and manual.
Top condition.
Best Offer.

Clifton Turner
```

3185. 4 sale aor 8000 and optoelectronics scout model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.com
Message-ID: <3729b02b.176892038@news>
Source record: 120436

I have both will sell together or separate. Scanner is full coverage, with leather case, cable for scout, birdie mod, in good condition, with scancat gold. Scout is in excellent condition and not used too much. No trades just cash offers entertained. Keith

3186. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7g87et$bl5$1@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 120467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <37279286.C7D@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>Timothy Hynde wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > You can get a Technician class license without the code you know. Since
>> >1991, you just get no HF with it. I went for my General upgrade tests
>> >yesterday, passed the written, failed the code. Oh well, just have to
>> practice
>> >a little more on the code and soon I'll pass it.

First of all learn to quote, *I* wrote the above NOT Timothy.

>My friend had to take the code test to get his Technician license. A few
>weeks later, the code requirement was dropped. Boy was he MAD!
>
>Don't make the same mistake, code will be dropped on all classes at that
>next world conference. Unless you actually WANT to learn code because
>you will actually USE it, then by all means keep practicing.

I do not wish to WAIT to get my General privileges so I'm continuing to
work on my code. It could be another year or more before any restructuring
takes place. Why wait? Will I USE code? Probably not, it doesn't interest me.
But to get my General I HAVE to pass the 13. I passed the General written this
pass Sunday but failed the 13, my practicing continues...

73,
Tom

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

3187. What are Toronto police frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lynton@iname.com
Message-ID: <7g8btm$94b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120468

Anyone know what Toronto police frequencies are and is there an online database with Toronto frequencies for other interesting areas?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3188. Re: DISCRIMINATOR OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <19990428205430.09546.00000118@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 120469

THANKS ALOT..I'LL GET TO WORK...TONY
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

3189. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7g8bc7$a8v$0@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 120470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
>
> I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going around between
> old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.

Probably just as well. Your "handle" works much better on 27
mHz...

3190. Help on what my scanner is doing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ipsofac@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g8euebgh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120471

I have one of the new Yaesu VX-5Rs which is actually is an FM
tranciever but has wide band RX from 50KHz to 1.3 MgHZ. It is quite
sophisticated but I am finding its RX capability wanting.

One question I have is: Tonite it stopped 513.400 and the LCD "S meter
was pegged but there was complete silence other than some very quiet
static i
n the background. Is this a bonafide signal? Sure is judging by my S
meter. This is with an outside antenna. I had a Cobra scanner 10
years ago & it seems I picked up alot more. Any thoughts? Thank you.
Cappy anderson

----- Posted via Deja.com, The People-Powered Information Exchange -----
------ http://www.deja.com/ Discussions * Ratings * Communities ------

3191. Scanning help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ipsofac@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7g8fcs$bv1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120472

I have a Yaesu VX-5R FM tranciever with wide band RX capability. When this radio lands on certain frequencies, tonite 513.400-the S meter pegs but there is total silence other than mild static-not the loud kind. Is this a bonafide signal?
Also-I am useing an outside attenna. A friend can pick up the local airport with his new Sony scanner. I can(just about) only with the outside attenna. Do I just have a crummy radio for the scanning side of things? Yaesu is supposed to be a good brand but I'm scratching my head. Any thoughts appreciated

----- Posted via Deja.com, The People-Powered Information Exchange -----
------ http://www.deja.com/ Discussions * Ratings * Communities ------

3192. Ar8000 -VS- R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Sale" <Highway101@home.com>
Message-ID: <3727C371.716BCB43@home.com>
Source record: 120473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I need input as I have a chance to buy one or the other and am unsure which one will be better in the long run. If you know anything about these 2 handheld scanner/receivers, please post your opinions to the group. Thanks in advance, Mark

3193. IF Mod for RCA Xtal Scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: TLNixon@revealed.net
Message-ID: <3727bea8.105634868@news.revealed.net>
Source record: 120474

I have an old RCA Crystal Controlled Scanner model 16S300.
Our UHF Fire Frequency on 460.600 cannot be hears on it due to a
"Birdie-type" problem. Once a tech told me to change the IF Crystal
from 10.245 to 11.155 and retune the IF's to alleviate the problem.
In other words, going from low-side injection, to high-side injection.

Has anyone ever done this? and are 11.155 crystals a standard off-the
shelf product anywhere?

This was a fantastic scanner for people who like to just turn it on
and listen. They had excellent sensitivity and selectivity.

Terry-WB0VQP

3194. MDT 4 Windows Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: turbojew@jewishmail.com
Message-ID: <3727cb9a.10562919@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 120475

I've searched far and wide for the above software, without any luck.

www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646 - this site has
been down for sometime.

Can anyone please tell me where to get this software or send it to me?
Thanks...

3195. New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7g8l36gno1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120476

I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can be heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info in the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be heard on scanners? Thanks.

Bill K9KZ

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3196. MDT 4 Win

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: turbojew@jewishmail.com
Message-ID: <3727d1f0.12184892@news>
Source record: 120477

I've searched everywhere for the MDT monitor for windows program. The website is down. Can someone send me a copy of this software or point me in the right direction? Thanks... The filename is mdtmon151.zip the web site it was on was here -

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/

Which is now down. Thanks!

turbojew@jewishmail.com

3197. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddog@io.com
Message-ID: <3727d3f9.225339138@news.io.com>
Source record: 120478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
>if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
>think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
>in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
>allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.

Hi ,Dan!

Well, in just a few short days, you've managed to create quite a stir
with your question (wink) ... 19 replies so far, and I bet it ain't
over yet. I've noticed in the past, that nothing gets things stirred
up quite so much as code and licensing issues. Anyway, well done!

I'd like to make an observation about human nature. [Now, please
understand, I'm not talking about you. Heck, you asked a question,
and you seem to be the best example of an open mind I've seen in this
thread so far.] Anyway, I've noticed that when my mind is made up
ahead of time, it tends to adopt as its purpose to support that
decision in any and every way it can. And once that purpose is
established, it will endeavor to interpret everything I hear and see
as evidence I am "right". It doesn't make me right, mind you, but
it's a mighty temptation to judge everything and everybody as either
threatening or supportive, with no gray area between.

So whenever I encounter myself feeling defensive (or offensive) about
a subject, I suspect I've pre-determined how I want things to be, or
how I want things to come out. And that's a warning signal to me that
my mind is pretty much closed. For me, the warning flags went up when
I though somebody might threaten my beloved CW.

But on re-examination, I think both "sides" can find common ground.
We don't necessarily need code proficiency tests for everyone to prove
they can do it ahead of time. Nobody's going to get on the air
sending morse when they only know 12 letters of the alphabet. So,
maybe just a sampling of questions on the written tests, concerning
the use of the mode, would be in order - to show an understanding of
the mode and its operating procedures, for those who have the
potential for using CW. Same would apply to voice, digital modes,
repeaters, satellites, anything that has significant usage on the ham
bands.

After that, it's up to us avid CW fans to promote and expose the positive and rewarding aspects of the mode (and there are many). We haven't done a good job of that thus far, maybe as a result of being so defensive.

As for actually learning CW, when I think about it (at least for me), the fastest part of the learning curve was when I was practicing it "on-the-air", without the pressure of "having to pass a test". It also happened to be the time that I really learned to love it.

Come on, folks - both pro and con - let's look for new viewpoints, consider them with an open mind, and see if we can't make the amateur service even more fun and more friendly than it already is.

73,
monty N5FC

3198. Re: EAVSDROPPERS site back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ices1185@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990429001236.22005.00000155@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 120479

> >Still, your site, no loss to me, just pointing out that others might feel
> >the same, or fail to include you in there links etc because of this.

"there" links OR "their" links???????

Wakeup folks.....

3199. Re: FS: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cburd@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3727df6d.18518910@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120480

The radio is sold.

Thanks for the responses.

Charles Burd

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 03:03:08 GMT, cburd@mindspring.com (Charles Burd)
wrote:

>I am selling an Icom IC-R2 for $180.
>
>It is 2 months old.
>
>It comes with the box, manual, receipt and all original accessories.
>Also included is a SMA / BNC antenna adapter.
>
>I paid $229.
>
>The radio has hardly been used and is in excellent condition.
>
>I am selling the radio because I don't need it after I bought an
>AR8200.
>
>For a review of the IC-R2 visit this web page:
>
>http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/revr2.htm
>
>Please email me if you are interested in buying the radio.
>
>Regards,
>
>Charles Burd
>cburd@mindspring.com

3200. Re: What are Toronto police frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <yiRV2.7171$8m5.12301@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 120481

Lynton@iname.com wrote in message <7g8btm$94b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Anyone know what Toronto police frequencies are and is there an online
>database with Toronto frequencies for other interesting areas?

visit the NF2G Scannist Pages - Ontario Section at
http://nf2g.com/scannist/ontario_index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

3201. FS: Opto Scout Frequency Finder $250 or best offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <%ARV2.3528$Z_2.630@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 120482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Scout Model 40 frequency finder. Works with AR8000 / 8200 and Optocom. See http://www.optoelectronics.com/scoutfreqrecorder.htm for further details.

Includes case and optional mini antenna. Like new, hardly used.

$250 or best offer. Includes priority shipping. Prefer money order but will accept check. However checks must clear before shipping. Buy with confidence. Check out my 300+ rating on ebay at http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=metsfan62.

Email if interested.

dstegner@home.com

3202. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <6A8397CA9E3CDDC0.CD65040DC56D2FBF.9C2A6A0EA1809A69@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hehe :)

: Probably just as well. Your "handle" works much better on 27
: mHz...

3203. Northern VA freq list?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: PopTician <zootv@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3727F239.27654269@erols.com>
Source record: 120484

Hi all, just returning to the hobby after a long absence. I am looking for a good list of frequencies for Fairfax County, VA, Washington, DC, and Dulles Airport. Any help would be greatly appreciated. thanks
Mike

3204. CTP Descramblers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7g9030$p9i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120485

Is it legal to buy or own these descramblers?

Bill

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3205. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7g8scd$3ho$1@shell.ametro.net>
Source record: 120486

Lee Rothman (dcisive@earthlink.net) hath said:
: Give me a break, don't compare Chevy's to a Mercedes please. Even if it
: has a feature or two.
:

Hey! There isn't anything wrong with a Chevy, I own one and it
is the best truck on the road :)

--
::::::::::::::::==|Posted by. The NeXus|==::::::::::::::::
::::::::::::==|http://www.ametro.net/nexus|==::::::::::::

3206. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoECM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5063-3729AFB5-31@newsd-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120187

Primestar doesnt want their dishes back. They have been bought out by
DTV for some time now. So dont worry be happy.

3207. Re: AR-8000 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Klap <klap@nl.net>
Message-ID: <7gcdi5$8ba$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 120188

Never heard of ALTAVISTA ??

Try http://www.arc.mypage.org

Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:37279EE9.2F2865E6@worldnet.att.net...
> Hello,
>
> I am looking for software to manage the 1000 channels in my AOR AR-8000.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jim
>
> --
>
> Jim Finney
> ============================
> jpfin@worldnet.att.net
>
>

3208. Re: SCANNERS FOR SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3729bce7.3387120@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"james coburn" <confused@primenet.com> wrote:

:I have a PRO-43 Unit for sale. Well used. NEW keypad + SPARE. Copy OF
:Manual.Stock antenna. Works great. Full Coverage $200.00 +$5.00 Shipping
:CONUS via usps.
:
:I also Have a Bearcat BC-2500 for sale. Well used. Case Box power adapter
:manual ect. Works fine. Full Coverage. $200.00 +5.00 Shipping CONUS via
:usps.
:73 de W6JHC
:James
:
:Will Accept cash, certified check, or postal Money order only. I will ship
:same day of receipt of payment.

I'll take it. Do you know that in Australia we can get a check at no
cost at all? Of course, you won't get any money for it but it was your
call.

:confused@primenet.com

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3209. Re: Baby monitor range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3729c16e.4546923@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120190

Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote:

:
:JnglMassiv wrote:
:>
:> >>I find this to be hard to believe. I friggin' mile? Come on.
:>
:> I don't think a mile is at all difficult to believe..I have personally
:> validated intercepted cordless calls from as far as seven full blocks away.
:> Furthermore, I live in a relativly dense suburban area and I don't have
:> anywhere near the finest antenna available. All this from a older cheappy
:> Pro-33.

:This is an interesting thread. Question: Is the range the cability of
:the cordless phone to transmit that far or the capability of the scanner
:to reach that far.
:
:I HOPE I am not transmitting that far! :)

I was able to receive my unmodified 30.4 MHz baby monitor 3 KM away
with a scanner in my car. The range of a cordless depends on
location and the scanner antenna.

:Bruce

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3210. Re: NOAA Weather Balloon Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3729c268.4796900@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120191

Joe Walker wrote:

: Does anyone know the radio frequencies that relay the telemetry of the
: weather balloons that the National Weather Service launches several
: times a day? Heard it was in the 400 mhz range.
:
: Thank
:

I have a valve Radosonde weather baloon transmitter that opertates
on 72 MHz.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

3211. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Atkinson <klajr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gcg6ppce1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

Yeah, thanks Rich

Ken Sabe'

Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
news:7f4o7i$13p$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
>
> Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message
> <3715BEB6.C0A3D030@strongsignals.net>...
> >To All New Scanner Users,
> > If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> >a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> >asked on the scanner newsgroups.
> >
> > Topics include :
> > Look Before You Post
>
>
> And a big thanks to you Rich. Your web site is by far the most
> informative and professional I have seen and highly recommend
> it to all new (or old) users.
>
> Bryan Stethem
>
>

3212. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904301454.QAA17265@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir (sir@sir.com) wrote:

: What if we make it a requirement to learn Russian before one can drink
: water? Will you not whine then also because you didn't EARN the right
: to drink water?

Isn't "vodka" the russian word for "water".

3213. East Tex Med Center EMT freq for Collin County?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Foy <jim@REMOVEMEfoyinc.com>
Message-ID: <7gcgrg$hh9@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the frequencies for East Texas Medical Center ambulances in Collin County? They cover the eastern half of Collin county, as well as Hunt county.

3214. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gcgol$c3g$1@208.207.71.144>
Source record: 120195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Mike Yetsko wrote:
>
> Jim wrote in message <7g8bnrnrq1@brokaw.wa.com>...
> >"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:
> >
> >>attitude that some people have that the license was a final exam that
> >>granted rights and privlidges.
> >
> >Since the inception of incentive licensing, the exam does grant
> >privledges. As far as being 'final', in my case yes, in your case,
> >no.....
>
> And maybe thats exactly what's wrong, that some people feel that
> their privlidge is 'earned' and damn to everybody else. Just like
> CBers that 'claim' a channel. I hear the same thing on HF. "My
> Frequecy' kind of BS. They're just CBers with another license.
>
> >>(it's a hobby, not a lifestyle as some people that appear to have no
> >>life seem to forget) so that you can learn and grow,
> >>not stagnate.
> >
> >Did you figure all this out in the last couple months by reading
> >newsgroups ?
> >
> >73, Jim KH2D

> You bet!

Way to go Joe! Learn all about ham radio in a couple months
reading RRAP. You must be about ready to take your No Code
tech exam.
Don't forget to memorize the word associations so you can
recognize the answers to the test questions. Fortunately
learning is not necessary.

That and seeing the lids that make a slight advancement
> and then sit on their ass being 'experts' without knowing what the hell
> is going on. Extras (and one a 'tech rep') who are surprised when they
> learn that an alkaline battery last longer than a nicad. Or who tell
> people to 'cut the lock line' in a PLL circuit.

Yeah, man! Ask me how to "open up" the ham rig you just
bought so you can put it on CB/Freebie...I'll tell you to
'cut the lock line', too.

It's called 'feeding misinformation to the enema'.

> Don't judge me because I don't spout CW. I used to like it. In fact,
> I still do, but I don't operate CW anymore, and the skills have long
> been lost. I still have my old Swan downstairs. It has NEVER seen
> a mic in it.

Oh, I'll bet! Never had a model 80 VFO attached either,
right? Doesn't even have the name Siltronics on the panel
either, does it???

In fact, it has NEVER seen anything but a straight key.
> Period. In the entire time I've owned it since 1981. (I even have
> a mobile chopper for it.) One of these days I'll get that fire back
> to operate CW again. But I'll do it because I WANT to, not because
> I have to.

A "mobile chopper", huh? Tell us, whats the purpose of that
thingy, huh? Would you happen to know the REAL term for it,
note just the CB/Freebie term?

You are hereby exposed as just one more CB/Freebander troll
cruising RRAP. Every indication shows it clearly, to anyone
who has been paying attention.

Don't bother playing again.

3215. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3729c74d.12529243@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have worked and studied hard in the past and maybe I do tend to
relax more than I used to,but I can afford it and that is one of the
reasons I worked hard.
As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....
When will you crybabies realize that no one is forcing you to become
an Amateur Operator!!!If you dont like it the way it IS,LEAVE!!!

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 13:28:06 GMT, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Joe wrote:
>> I dont agree with all the rules
>> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>
>But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
>to try to change the rules?
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3216. Re: East Tex Med Center EMT freq for Collin County?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <940597B80886565C.A5328B279BC3D6B5.8BFF91797DA732EC@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120197
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm pretty sure that they operate off of the City of Plano Trunking
system.

I show them on the following talkgroups on the Plano system:

3696 0E7 East Texas Medical Center
(Ambulances to First Comm @ Tyler Medical Center)
3728 0E9 East Texas Medical Center Dispatch
(ETMC Dispatch in Tyler to Ambulance)

See http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/tx/plano.txt for more
information on the city of Plano system.

-Lindsay Blanton

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 10:01:31 -0500, "Jim Foy" <jim@REMOVEMEfoyinc.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone know the frequencies for East Texas Medical Center ambulances in
>Collin County? They cover the eastern half of Collin county, as well as
>Hunt county.
>

3217. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3729C55F.A6E@no.net>
Source record: 120198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
>
> These guys just don't get it do they?
> Hello!
> No Speaka de english?
> Do you comprende?Understand!
> Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some useless
> outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little hobby.
> We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to make
> the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.
>

Then you just don't really want to be a ham. Since you seem to think it
is a 'stupid little hobby' then you shouldn't waste any time on it, so
move on. Either shut up or learn what you need to get a license.

3218. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: charles1@netcom.com
Message-ID: <charles1FB0FBq.IyG@netcom.com>
Source record: 120199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3729c74d.12529243@news.mindspring.com>,
Joe <unit77@mynet.net> wrote:
>>Joe wrote:
>>> I dont agree with all the rules
>>> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>>
>>But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
>>to try to change the rules?
>>--
>>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca
>
>I have worked and studied hard in the past and maybe I do tend to
>relax more than I used to,but I can afford it and that is one of the
>reasons I worked hard.
>As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....
>When will you crybabies realize that no one is forcing you to become
>an Amateur Operator!!!If you dont like it the way it IS,LEAVE!!!

I don't like the way it is, and hope it changes soon. Tired of
listening to old men talking about their health problems on HF.

HF needs new blood and dropping/reducing CW requirements will do it.

KB0WOB (general)

3219. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7gcnp5$2ld$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <199904301454.QAA17265@mail.replay.com>,
Anonymous <nobody@replay.com> wrote:
> Sir (sir@sir.com) wrote:
>
> : What if we make it a requirement to learn Russian before one can drink
> : water? Will you not whine then also because you didn't EARN the right
> : to drink water?
>
> Isn't "vodka" the russian word for "water".

Nyet. "Water" is "voda".

"Vodka" is the diminutive of "voda" - in effect, "little water".
--
Laura Halliday VA3LDH "Que les nuages soient notre pied
Grid: FN03gs a terre..." - Hospital/Shafte

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3220. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gckrfngh1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 120201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>And maybe thats exactly what's wrong, that some people feel that
>their privlidge is 'earned' and damn to everybody else. Just like
>CBers that 'claim' a channel. I hear the same thing on HF. "My
>Frequecy' kind of BS. They're just CBers with another license.

Well, you have the first part right, but I'm not sure about the
second part. Incentive licensing, seems to me anyway, reeks of
having 'earned' operating privledges.

>Don't judge me because I don't spout CW. I used to like it. In fact,
>I still do, but I don't operate CW anymore, and the skills have long
>been lost. I still have my old Swan downstairs. It has NEVER seen
>a mic in it. In fact, it has NEVER seen anything but a straight key.
>Period. In the entire time I've owned it since 1981. (I even have
>a mobile chopper for it.)

If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code. I didn't
know they had HF privledges for the Tech ticket in 1981. What bands
were you operating on back then ? Doin' a little bootleggin' are we ?

>One of these days I'll get that fire back
>to operate CW again. But I'll do it because I WANT to, not because
>I have to.

Where have I heard that line before ? "I can do Morse code. I just
don't do it because somebody says I have to do it". Uh huh, sure ...

Well, beat yourself to death over not wanting to do it. Be my guest.

73, Jim KH2D

3221. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925491175.519.34@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We haven't established that, Cecil. Some claim CW is outdated; many others contend that it is not. Finding that CW is outdated is a necessary first requirement for declaring the rule outdated. So far, there's been a lot of noise, flames, and debate, but no conclusions. The fact is, CW is still in use daily, even with newer, more efficient modes available.

Michael.

----- Original Message -----
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,
rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Sent: Friday, April 30, 1999 8:28 AM
Subject: Re: Death of Ham Radio

> Joe wrote:
> > I dont agree with all the rules
> > but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>
> But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
> to try to change the rules?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3222. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3729F41B.C8025E64@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe wrote:
> As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....

Many of us do NOT share your opinion so we are exercising our
rights to petition for changes. Hope you don't object.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3223. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3729F663.6204F6D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Young wrote:
> Finding that CW is outdated is a
> necessary first requirement for declaring the rule outdated.

That would certainly be sufficient but I didn't say CW is outdated.
There is simply no reason for the Morse code requirement to continue
to exist. If it wouldn't be adopted new today, there is no good reason
to keep it. Finding that CW is outdated is NOT a necessary first
requirement for declaring the rule outdated. Morse code is simply
not as important as everything else in ham radio combined anymore.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3224. GPL Open Source decode software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Chi K. Chan" <ckchan@interport.net>
Message-ID: <7gcstdkqb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120205

Seems like motorola, feds, etc... are going after people
who sell/write decoding hardware and software.
like the MDT decoder.

I was wondering if the decoding hardware designs and
software source codes were put into the open, and people
would compile their own version. Would the government,
big corporations still have a case against us hobbyists,
afterall there won't be one person they can go after anymore,
they would have to come after all of us.

Also by going open source other programmers can contribute
to the source code.

Later...

3225. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Humpleby <RHSERVICE@rhumpleby.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gcuju$gd1$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120206
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net> wrote in message
news:37294630.5E25@roanoke.infi.net...
> Robert wrote:
> >
> > why do you work for primestar??!!?? Like I am really going to call them
and
> > let them know that I have a dish of theirs and they should just come and
> > pick it up. Yea...
>
> Nope....I don't work for Primestar.
>
> Perhaps sometime if you happen to accidently leave some of your
> property
> somewhere and someone knows it yours and how to return it to you, they
> will take the same attitude.

planet earth calling !

best moto.

do unto others before they get chance to do you !

3226. WT: TRADE SCANNER FOR??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven KB9HGI <kb9hgi@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3729BA73.DB877589@qsl.net>
Source record: 120207

Hello,
I have a Scantastic sheet fed computer scanner. Includes 16 bit ISA card cd-rom with the drivers and all the software for scanning and editing pictures or what ever.
I would like to trade this for a TNC, MC50 MIC or ????? Email me with what you have to offer. Thanks!

Steve KB9HGI
-=Quincy,IL=-
pacman@bcl.net

3227. Wanted 11.155 mHz I.F. Crystal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: TLNixon@revealed.net
Message-ID: <3729f945.113034655@news.revealed.net>
Source record: 120208

I am in need of an 11.155 mHz. I.F. Crystal for a scanner. Anyone know where one might get one?

Terry WB0VQP
TLNixon@revealed.net

3228. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7gd2n8$t5c$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, I'll take some of that "little water".

marsgal42@hotmail.com wrote in message <7gcnp5$2ld$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>In article <199904301454.QAA17265@mail.replay.com>,
> Anonymous <nobody@replay.com> wrote:
>> Sir (sir@sir.com) wrote:
>>
>> : What if we make it a requirement to learn Russian before one can drink
>> : water? Will you not whine then also because you didn't EARN the right
>> : to drink water?
>>
>> Isn't "vodka" the russian word for "water".
>
>Nyet. "Water" is "voda".
>
>"Vodka" is the diminutive of "voda" - in effect, "little water".
>--
>Laura Halliday VA3LDH "Que les nuages soient notre pied
>Grid: FN03gs a terre..." - Hospital/Shafte
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3229. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: UkRaCk <r.willett@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7gd3r2$223$1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 120210

Dont know if these are any good, I D/Loaded them over a year ago.
Roger

FAST FOOD FREQUENCIES

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I) LOCATION

Arby's 30.8400 154.5700 Nationwide

Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625 Rhode Island

Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area

457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area

Burger King 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area

31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN GA area

457.5500 467.7750 Baltimore, MD area

457.5625 467.7875 Nationwide

457.5750 467.8000 UNKNOWN area

457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN area

460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide

461.5375 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area

Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area

460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area

G.D. Ritzy's 35.1000 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

Hardee's 30.8400 154.5700 Nationwide

31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN NC area

457.5375 467.7625 UNKNOWN OH area

460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.1125 466.1125 Aurora, IL area

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700 Occoquan, VA area

31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN MN area

33.1400 151.8950 UNKNOWN OH area

457.5875 467.8125 Vienna, VA area

457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area

460.8875 465.8875 Washington, DC area

462.7625 467.8875 Washington, DC area

McDonald's CANADA 30.8400 151.6700 main freq.
Canada

30.8400 154.1450 aux. freq. Canada

McDonald's U.S.A. 30.8400 154.5700 San Diego, CA
area

31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN OH/NC area

33.1400 151.8950 Nationwide

33.1400 170.3050 Southfield, MI area

33.4000 154.5700 UNKNOWN area **

35.0200 151.8950 UNKNOWN area **

35.0200 154.4900 Decatur, IN area

35.0200 154.6000 Nationwide

151.7150 169.4450 Washington, DC area

151.7450 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

151.7750 171.9050 UNKNOWN OH area

154.5700 170.2450 Nationwide

154.6000 171.1050 Nationwide

155.0000 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

457.5375 461.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

457.5500 467.7750 UNKNOWN OH area

457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area

460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.0375 466.0375 UNKNOWN OK/CA area

461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

462.1625 467.1625 UNKNOWN OH area

463.2875 468.2875 UNKNOWN NY area

464.5125 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

Rally's 457.5375 468.3875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.5375 462.1625 Holland OH area

Roy Rogers 457.5375 467.7625 Washington, DC area

Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700 Washington, DC area

460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide

461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.5375 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

464.9625 469.9625 UNKNOWN OH area

Wendy's 33.4000 154.5400 Rockville, MD

49.8300 49.8900 UNKNOWN area **

457.5125 467.7375 UNKNOWN OH area

457.5375 467.7625 UNKNOWN OH area

457.6125 467.8375 Washington, DC area

460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide

461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area

461.8125 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area

464.3750 UNKNOWN Headquarters

464.5125 UNKNOWN Columbus, OH area

White Castle 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area

461.8125 UNKNOWN Columbus, OH area

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS

30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonald's(Canada main freq)

30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonald's(Canada aux. freq)

30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arby's, Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,

Dairy Queen, Hardee's, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

McDonald's, Taco Bell

31.0000 --- 170.3050 Burger King, Hardee's, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

McDonald's

33.1400 --- 151.8950 Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonald's

33.1400 --- 170.3050 McDonald's

33.4000 --- 154.5400 Wendy's

33.4000 --- 154.5700 McDonald's

35.0200 --- 151.8950 McDonald's

35.0200 --- 154.4900 McDonald's

35.0200 --- 154.6000 McDonald's

35.1000 --- UNKNOWN G.D. Ritzy's

49.8300 --- 49.8900 Wendy's

151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonald's

151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's (Most likely paired with 169.505,

171.045, or 171.845)

151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonald's

154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonald's

154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonald's

155.0000 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's

457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendy's

457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet

457.5375 --- 461.0875 McDonald's

457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardee's, Roy Rogers, Wendy's

457.5375 --- 468.3875 Rally's

457.5500 --- 467.7750 Burger King, McDonald's

457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King

457.5750 --- 467.8000 Burger King

457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken

457.6000 --- 467.8250 Big Boy, Burger King, Kentucky Fried Chicken,

McDonald's, White Castle

457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendy's

460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Dairy Queen, Hardee's,

Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonald's, Taco Bell,

Wendy's

461.0375 --- 466.0375 McDonalds's

461.0875 --- 466.0875 Hardee's, McDonald's, Rally's, Taco Bell, Wendy's

461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardee's

461.5375 --- UNKNOWN Burger King, Taco Bell

461.5375 --- 462.1625 Rally's

461.8125 --- UNKNOWN Wendy's, White Castle

462.1625 --- 467.1625 McDonald's

462.7625 --- 467.8875 Kentucky Fried Chicken

463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds's

464.3750 --- UNKNOWN Wendy's HQ

464.5125 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's, Wendy's

464.9625 --- 469.9625 Taco Bell

468.3875 --- UNKNOWN Rally's

FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING

OTHER POSSIBLE FAST FOOD FREQUENCIES

33.1200 35.0400 42.9800 43.0400 151.4900 151.6250

158.4000 169.5050 171.0450 171.8450 451.8000 464.5000

464.5500

I 462.7375 467.8500 I

I ***462.7625 467.8625 I

I 462.7875 467.8750 I

I 462.8125 ***467.8875 I--- most likely paired in

I 462.8375 467.9000 I some way.

I 462.8625 467.9125 I

I 462.8875 467.9250 I

I 462.9125 467.9375 I

---

* Only one side given, highly probably frequency pairing however

unconfirmed.

** Reported frequency pairing however unconfirmed.

*** Already confirmed frequencies, just shown to determine a pattern.

-Arbys 31.0000 457.550

-Burger King 30.8400 31.0000 170.3050 457.5625

154.5700 457.6000 467.8250 467.7875

461.2875 466.2875

-Carl Karcher Ent. 30.8400 806.0000 817.5875 819.2375

(Carl's Jr.) 154.5700 816.1375 817.6375 819.3375

457.5375 816.2375 817.7375 819.5875

460.8875 816.3375 818.1375 819.6375

461.0875 816.5875 818.2375 819.7375

465.8875 816.6375 818.3375 820.1375

466.0875 816.7375 818.5875 820.2375

468.3875 817.1375 818.6375 820.3375

806.0000 817.2375 818.7375 820.5875

806.0000 817.3375 819.1375 820.6375

820.7375

-Dairy Queen 30.840 154.57

-Frosters Freeze 31.000 170.305

-Hardees 30.840 154.570 35.020 154.600

-Jack In The Box 33.400 154.540 469.025

-Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.840 31.000 33.400 154.570

170.305 457.5875 457.600 460.8875

462.750 465.8875 461.0875 462.7625

467.8125 467.8875 806.000

-McDonalds 30.840 154.570 154.700

35.020 154.600 169.445

33.140 151.895 165.600

31.000 151.715 151.775

467.775 154.060 457.550

Wireless Mic Freqs. 170.245 170.305 171.105 171.905

-Taco Bell 30.8400 31.0000 33.4000 154.5150

154.5400 154.5700 466.0875 466.5375

457.5375 457.5500 460.8875 461.0375

461.0875 461.5375 468.3875 469.9625

464.9625 466.0375

-Wendys 31.0000 170.3050 457.5375 468.3875

460.8875 461.0875 465.8875 466.0875

Company Customer Freq. Clerk Freq.

Arby's 30.84 154.57

Burger King 31.00 170.305

457.55 467.775

457.5625 467.7875

457.575 467.800

457.600 467.825

460.8875 465.8875

Hardee's 30.84 154.570

31.00 170.305

461.1125 466.1125

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.840 154.570

31.00 170.305

457.5875 467.8125

460.8875 465.8875

462.7625 467.8875

McDonald's 30.84 154.580

31.00 170.305

33.14 151.895

33.14 170.305

33.40 154.570

35.02 154.490

35.02 154.600

154.570 170.245

154.600 171.105

457.550 467.775

461.0375 466.0375

463.2875 468.2875

464.5125 469.5125

Roy Rogers 457.5375 467.7625

Taco Bell 30.840 154.570

460.8875 465.8875

Wendy's 49.830 49.890

457.6125 467.8375

460.8875 465.8875

461.8125 466.8125

464.5125 469.5125

3230. Re: MDT Program needed RD-LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gd4ta$2fu$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 120211

Fred wrote in message <37294572.0@news.gotnet.net>...
>I am looking for a good MDT program. I have the 4800 program. I am looking
>for one that decode RD-LAP. Can anyone help??

Due to legal concerns, I scrapped the project I had started. Right now there are no hobby level RD/LAP decoding programs available. You can however, purchase an RD/LAP compatible radio modem to connect to your scanner for this, but it would probably require some custom programming to pull what you want out of the modem. A terminal emulator might work, but I haven't had the time or money to invest in trying it. Because of the recent crackdown on decoding software, I wouldn't expect to see anything soon.

-Phil

3231. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gd8r0$hk3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3729F41B.C8025E64@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Joe wrote:
> > As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....
>
> Many of us do NOT share your opinion so we are exercising our
> rights to petition for changes. Hope you don't object.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca
>

We also have the right to assemble. So I'm going to get the new T-Kit 80M
QRP/CW radio kit at Dayton this year.
Anyone else going?

73,
Brian/N0iMD

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3232. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@geocities.kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <372a193a.790442@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 11:52:29 -0500, "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
wrote:

>We haven't established that, Cecil. Some claim CW is outdated; many
>others contend that it is not. Finding that CW is outdated is a
>necessary first requirement for declaring the rule outdated. So far,

that is not the case. your logic is in error. To attack the
curent of Code testing it is merely needed to deteremine that Code is
not vital. given the ARRL survey and the admissions of ProCoders that
one May forget the Code after the tests and still be good hams, is
proof that it is not vital, therefore it is unfair and unreasonable
for it the the Vital Go NoGo status it has currently. Now a code test
of some sort the reduces the import of pasing or fialing to the
results of say not knowing anything about SSB would a legal and valid
tetst but that does not stisfy the ProCoders. It would statisfy the
NoCodersby and large as a final resolution

>there's been a lot of noise, flames, and debate, but no conclusions. The
>fact is, CW is still in use daily, even with newer, more efficient modes
>available.

The use or non use of Morse Code is not an issue in wether all
hams must demstrate a certain level of mastery. Something that is not
required for the mode in even MORE common use than Code, like SSB or
FM. It is not required that understand one thing about these modes in
order to use them or use other modes
>
>Michael.
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>Newsgroups:
>rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,
>rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
>Sent: Friday, April 30, 1999 8:28 AM
>Subject: Re: Death of Ham Radio
>
>
>> Joe wrote:
>> > I dont agree with all the rules
>> > but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>>
>> But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy

>> to try to change the rules?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca
>

3233. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <CB1990FDB493B4DA.0C2ABF3BC7E65308.9667B48A20F6FB14@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have 500 things which require just 10-15 minutes a day already. ;)

: >> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.

3234. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <5F32420DC5544B58.1BBBCDD2291611B2.AA75D60C230D3361@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

HAM's are so smug and cumfy.
I see why no one wants to become a HAM anymore since they have to tolerate elitist, disfunctional attitudes.
I can't wait when FCC pulls the plug on code, then there will be people coming to HAM by the droves and swamping you guys out to where no one gives a crap about you anymore.

:If you dont like it the way it IS,LEAVE!!!

3235. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <BB71ED20C376FE0D.FA0A2EB95587122B.EE879D51AF9AEFA7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: > As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....

I think Joe is another one of those old farts who can't be taught new tricks.

3236. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199904302126.XAA04434@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 120217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

marsgal42@hotmail.com wrote:
: > Isn't "vodka" the russian word for "water".

: Nyet. "Water" is "voda".

: "Vodka" is the diminutive of "voda" - in effect, "little water".

If I lived in Russia I'd rather be drinking vodka than water.

3237. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <372a2335.658969@news.planet.net>
Source record: 120218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 13:28:06 GMT, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Joe wrote:
>> I dont agree with all the rules
>> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>
>But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
>to try to change the rules?

I just drove home at speeds of 75 mph keeping up with
the traffic flow on a highway with a posted limit of 55.
Laws which have no basis in reality breed contempt
for those laws.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3238. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372a1aec.1224597@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 16:00:04 GMT, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:

>BungHole wrote:
>>
>> These guys just don't get it do they?
>> Hello!
>> No Speaka de english?
>> Do you comprende?Understand!
>> Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some useless
>> outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little hobby.
>> We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to make
>> the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.
>>
>
>Then you just don't really want to be a ham. Since you seem to think it
>is a 'stupid little hobby' then you shouldn't waste any time on it, so
>move on. Either shut up or learn what you need to get a license.

I do find this attitude interesting esp on the part of americans. If
that were how public affiars were to handled in this conutry then we
would still english subjects

3239. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372A295F.F1FC1D6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I dont agree with all the rules but I do follow them.Why? Because they
ARE THE RULES!!!

The whining is not about following the rules, it's about changing the
rules!!! Most of the complainers about doing away with Morse Code for
licensing seem to be older hams, and old people have problems with
change whether it be the ARS or some other endeavor. That's why when you
visit nursing homes, the furniture, table settings, etc. are not changed
very often, change disturbs these poor folks' equilibrium.

Perhaps we should change the name of this hobby to the Amateur Radio
Skilled Nursing Service. :-0.

3240. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372A2A6A.D39EB1C9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe, if you don't feel any rules need changing, keep in mind that is only your opinion, not some commandment handed down from above.

Is the thinking of you older hams (not age, years in hobby) so insular that there can NEVER be a change in the rules?

3241. AOR 900 Battery Pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19386-372A2593-46@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120222

Does anyone know where to get a new battery pack for an AR 900? Also a mod for operating it with alkalines or metal hydride batteries. Thanks.

3242. Where are Scanner french site???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quelqu'un <nono@nordnet.fr>
Message-ID: <7gd2h9$qh3$1@news.nordnet.fr>
Source record: 120223

Is there anyone who know where can i find some sites in french about
scanners?
Thank you.
bwagret@nordnet.fr

3243. Re: MDT Program needed RD-LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: digicode <digicode@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gdepkmhv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120224

About the legal concerns, if there is any.
Personally i think someone pulling some jokes here.
And this is the right way to stop this type software running around.

Why post that stuff overseas guys ?
So its become availeble again!
Numerous people are willing.....

> Fred wrote in message <37294572.0@news.gotnet.net>...
> >I am looking for a good MDT program. I have the 4800 program. I am looking
> >for one that decode RD-LAP. Can anyone help??
>
> Due to legal concerns, I scrapped the project I had started. Right now there
> are no hobby level RD/LAP decoding programs available. You can however,
> purchase an RD/LAP compatible radio modem to connect to your scanner for
> this, but it would probably require some custom programming to pull what you
> want out of the modem. A terminal emulator might work, but I haven't had the
> time or money to invest in trying it. Because of the recent crackdown on
> decoding software, I wouldn't expect to see anything soon.
>
> -Phil
>
>

--
All you like to know about monitoring digital radio and receiving.
http://www.dejanews.com/~digiradioscannersnl/

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3244. Re: What happened to Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <7gb1coenc1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 120225

In article <37268314.895968ED@home.com>, jwayda@home.com says...
>
>What happened to Bill Cheek. Is he still in the slammer?

he is probably still in the slammer getting his cheeks slammed by bubba
the cell king!

3245. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <7gb1fbenc2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 120226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

who is macaroon?

In article <37305099.318064171@enews.newsguy.com>, you@are.retarded
says...
>
>Please explain how zero is more than anything, retard.
>
>
>Loony Tune jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>I've posted more than YOU!
>>
>>Macaroon wrote:
>>>....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning.
>>
>>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>>
>

3246. motorola 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Icecream <gelati@sigmasrl.it>
Message-ID: <7gklbflon1@fe2.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 120227

ho un motorola microtac gold 8200 disattivato, voglio utilizzarlo per intercettare le telefonate sui 900 mhz, datemi qualche indicazione collaudata grazie.

3247. For Sale Radio Shack Scanners!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3729680f.2620675@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120290

selling 3 scanners
Pro 51 handheld 200 ch 800 mhz hears some Cell!!!
price is 100.00 plus shipping
Pro 2039 base scanner 200 ch nice cond
800 mhz price with box and manual is 110.00
plus shipping
pro 2010 base scanner 20 ch nice cond price is
40.00 plus shipping to USA people email me thanks

3248. Re: MayCom AR108

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Bagnara <bagnaras@ocean.com.au>
Message-ID: <372872FB.5E625060@ocean.com.au>
Source record: 120291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mike Jackson wrote:

> Hi All,
>
> Has anyone used one of these very good looking airband scanners? Is the
> sensitivity as good as quoted? Find details at
> http://www.nevada.co.uk .
>
> Regards
>
> Mike

The scanner you refer to has just been bought by myself (a pilot) and friend.

As a radio "buff" of many years it has incredible sensitivity considering the size of the antenna.

The Weather Band is of no use in Australia. It only covers the aircraft VHF bands but if that is all you need I can recommend it.

Joe Bagnara

3249. Unidentifieds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cisquet@cisquet.demon.nl
Message-ID: <MPG.1175f48182adec1f9896bb@news.demon.nl>
Source record: 120292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio,alt.radio.international,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi all,

There's about half a dozen of recordings (in RealAudio) waiting for identification at the ‘Sounds off the Wireless’ section at my site (once there, click "Unidentified"...)
Any help will be appreciated, and you will be credited for identifying the station (unless you prefer to remain anonymous, of course).

Also if you are unable to identify any of these stations, you may still want to visit the other "chapters" of my ‘Sounds’-section, with 300 recordings of radio stations identifications from all over the world...
--
Frank van Gerwen
Castricum/Netherlands
(ICQ 2231692)
(http://www.cisquet.demon.nl)

3250. ****Attention, IC-R2 owners!*****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Modeman <srajender@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gb594$n9g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120293

I am planning on buying an Icom IC-R2 handheld receiver. I would like to know from other IC-R2 owners whether the bandplan of the IC-R2 can be modified via a computer and appropriate interface (note that I am *not* referring to restoration of full coverage; rather, I would like to be able to change the bandplan from say, the European bandplan to the US bandplan or vice-versa).

Also, is the IC-R2 compatible with the Optolinx interface from Optoelectronics? if not, what interfaces are needed to computer-control the IC-R2?

Thanks in advance for your responses

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3251. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <3728f9d9.9099192@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What a lame analogy.....what if dont mean SHIT in the real
world!!!!WHAT IF your mama gave your dad a blow job on a particular
night.....where would you be?

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 19:05:50 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
>how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
>
>What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
>other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
>learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
>
>Some people want to get into the hobby of radio to talk on the radio.
>They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
>the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
>to go ahead and use voice.
>
>Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

3252. Re: MC HENRY ,ILLINOIS POLICE FREQ PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: sarge@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <3728fd45.29391042@NNTP.interaccess.com>
Source record: 120295

Gullfoss.FCC Database also lists 155.7900 (but not 156.7900)

Happy Scanning, Friend!!

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 10:15:18 -0500, Bill <bill@elginet.com> wrote:

>MrMike2U wrote:
>
>> DOES ANYONE KNOW THE FREQUENCY FOR THE MC HENRY POLICE DEPT IN ILLINOIS
>> THANKS IN ADVANCE MRMIKE2U@MEDIAONE.NET
>
>154.085
>156.79
>156.090
>159.090
>159.210
>
>Those are what's in the Freq book anyway. Good luck.

3253. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <372908B0.2B6B@no.net>
Source record: 120296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote:
>
> > I'm a no-coder myself. But I don't whine like a
> > baby because I don't have HF priviledges either. I haven't earned them, so why
> > should I deserve them?
>
> How ridiculous. What if it was VHF that required code and not HF? It
> has nothing to do with EARNING, but silly laws.
>
> What if we make it a requirement to learn Russian before one can drink
> water? Will you not whine then also because you didn't EARN the right
> to drink water?
>
> When people don't whine or complain, they get get walked on by the
> government. If I beat you, would you whine then? Or would you have to
> EARN the right to whine?

Sir should know, he is one of he best whiners on the net.

3254. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Muehlberg <james.muehlberg@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <37291A1E.10CA@cwix.com>
Source record: 120297

k9kz@indy.net wrote:
>
> I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can be
> heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info in
> the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be heard
> on scanners? Thanks.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Hi,

I work for US West Wireless. Unless your PCS phone is in Analog Roam,
you will never ever ever be able to listen to your phone calls. The
CDMA signalling scheme, although not really encrypted, is impossible to
receive due to very strict and precise timing required for code
synchronization. Also, it is a spread spectrum signal.

Besides, Listening to phone calls is quite boring, like reading others
mail!

Jim
--

Replace my nickname with my proper surname to reply.
james=dot=muehlberg=at=cwix=dot=com
home.cwix.com/~james.dot.muehlberg@cwix.com/
Electrical Engineer, IEEE, NSPE, SARA #1250, Amateur Radio N0WMR, Navy
Reserve ETC(SW)

3255. Re: Coax types for outdoor antenna (monitenna)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Muehlberg <james.muehlberg@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <37291B43.1FF1@cwix.com>
Source record: 120298

vf500@canada.com wrote:
>
> These are the types/prices I have found so far but I don't know which
> one I should get.
>
> I will need about 100 feet to be safe for my run to my base scanner,
> is there a maximum distance to run the cable?
>
> I will be using a monitenna as well.
>
> RG11U-500 500FT. 75 OHM COAX TV RG11 FULL ROLL $159.95
>
> RG58AU 50 OHM COAX STRANDED 0.18 PER FT.
>
> RG58U 50 OHM SOLID COAX 0.16 PER FT.
>
> RG58U-P-1000 1000FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $99.95
>
> RG58U-P-500 500FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $52.95
>
> TWI RG59U-1000 1000FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $65.95
>
> TWI RG59U-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $34.95
>
> RG59U-95-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE 95%COP.BRAID FULL ROLL $59.95
>
> RG8 50 OHM COAX 0.36 PER FT

I'd use the RG11 or RG8, depending on if your scanner has 50 or 75 ohm antenna input impedances. RG 58 will be too lossy, especiallly on the upper frequencies. You might try a quality RG59 used for cable TV, foam with foil shield.

Good Luck!

--

Replace my nickname with my proper surname to reply.
james=dot=muehlberg=at=cwix=dot=com
home.cwix.com/~james.dot.muehlberg@cwix.com/
Electrical Engineer, IEEE, NSPE, SARA #1250, Amateur Radio N0WMR, Navy Reserve ETC(SW)

3256. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gb6pikkk3@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 120299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote:
>
> Joe wrote:
> >
> > If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>
> But why waste those 10-15 minutes a day to learn something you will
> never use and only forget?
>
> It may take only 10-15 minutes a day to learn how to play the harp, why
> don't you do that? Perhaps because you don't WANT to play the harp?
> Then I will call you LAZY.

I didn't notice him lobbying to become an unlearned harpist.

3257. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gba1l$37j$1@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 120300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> The treaty itself has provisions specifying exactly how certain sections
> can be ignored. Japan has taken advantage of those provisions.

Yep. Itty bitty HF band segments where nocoders can
transmit a whopping 10 watts ERP. WHOOPIE!!!

I might even go along with that here.

3258. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gbagk$37j$2@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 120301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
>
> No, I want to be on HAM but I've already decided not to kiss these people's asses
> and I've also decided that I can't wait for these old trailer trash farts who think they are radio's
> gift to the universe to die of old age.
>
> : No one is forcing anyone to join the ranks of amateur radio, or to stay. It's a free world.

No, you don't want to be a ham, or you WOULD be one, or
working on it. You want to be a nocode whiner who thinks you
can talk the FCC into giving you all the bennies without any
of the work Just like so many more here on this useless NG.
Can you spell Cracker Jacks boxes?

3259. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gbaq4$37j$3@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 120302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
>
> These guys just don't get it do they?
> Hello!
> No Speaka de english?
> Do you comprende?Understand!
> Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world

You COULD try spending your time properly-like NOT posting
here and doing your study instead. DUH!

to learn some useless
> outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little hobby.

Now WHY in the world would you ever want to waste all your
$valuable time on some "useless litle hobby" anyway, busy
fella that you are?

> We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs

Nope. You never hear me bitching about losing hams. Got too
many like you already

but you are unwilling to make
> the changes to get more HAMs,

Yeah we sure to need more non-ham hams. Right!!

>so either shut up or learn to bend.

So shut up and learn to send - and receive. dit dit.
>
> :If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.

3260. Re: TrunkTracker Question: I want a small

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990430003709.25084.00000378@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 120303

Ed's right. The Radio Shack PRO-2066 is a perfect mobile trunking scanner. It is almost the same size as the Motorola MaxTrac mobile in height & width, but not as deep.

It actually works very well for trunking systems and has decent audio for a modern scanner. I strongly suggest, however, that you always use an external speaker with ALL mobile installations.

The radio was designed as a mobile ONLY so it is not intended to compete with BC9000 and above units. It does receive Aircraft, CB and has what Bearcat used to call " Service Search ".

Don't get this for base use unless you have a VERY well filtered power supply and not ones which come with most scanners either. It will hum like crazy, but is very nice in a vehicle.

The removable face plate is something I thougt of doing with the 895XLT, but found out that while it can be done physically, you will have a lot of trouble with interfarence when you separate the face from the radio. It is a heck of mess anyway with ribbon cable and wires trailing.

Don't look for removable face plates on scanners in general and don't expect tons of features on a $200 scanner. For what it is intended it is quite decent.

3261. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990430004542.25084.00000381@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 120304

You're correct on Uniden. The 9000XLT was their first good scanner in YEARS. They have been content to turn out flashy (goofy) cased radios with the cheapest of innards for years.

It was nice to have a trunking handheld, but the 235 was barely useable. The theory was that if they limited the audio output it would save battery time. So they used a junky proprietary battery pack to complete this masterpiece.

Mobile use required a cig lighter cord, a jack plugged into a audio amp and an external speaker. Then you needed a flashlight at night if you could find it under all the cables.

3262. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <37293597.677C@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote:
>
> > > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
> > > want to".
>
> Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I will
> never use."
>
> Let's force everyone to learn how to play the harp before they are
> allowed to drive a car. Those who do not like harp music we will call
> lazy.

Let's force everyone to learn math skills before graduating from high
schools. Those who do not like math, we will call "dropouts".

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

3263. Re: WTB: Long telescopic whip w/BNC connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990430005523.25084.00000384@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 120306

Yes, the RS telescoping is great for the money.

If, however, you MUST have the longest available, contact Larsen Electronics. They have a telescoping antenna which is actaully a 5/8 wave VHF antenna w/BNC connector, very sturdy.

Larsen sells professional 2way antennas and are located in Washington state and Vancouver BC as well.

3264. Re: Ebay Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990430010407.25084.00000387@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 120307

Folks, the fact is that E-Bay has no conscience about modified scanners. They are just covering their rear ends. If you tell them that your scanner is made of cream cheese then they will post it.

Yes, the bums at E-Bay should do more to protect buyers from ripoffs. Do you think they really care about anything other than taking in cash?

They are a true child of AOL. Their hand is always in your pocket.

3265. Where's that FCC (index) site ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990430010851.25084.00000388@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 120308

A fellow has posted information on an FCC web site where you can get into their frequency index online. I copied his posting from a while back, but that site is either closed now or there was a typo in the posting.

I would greatly appreciate any information on that site.

Many thanks.

3266. Re: yupi mvt-9000 programming question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11932211705b1f169896d8@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 120309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3728a23d.0@news-1.cnminc.com>, reinaga@cnmnetwork.com says...
> From the users manual I understand that you can program each frequency with
> a title??!!? Can someone clarify if this is true. I have tried and tried
> to get this to work but can not. If true can you point out how to do it...
> Thanks...
>
> Robert
>
> It's kind of confusing at first,but just read it again and do it EXACTLY like it says,and don't wait very long without pushing something or turning the knob,or it will think you are done and bounce you out of "writing".It seems like it is hard,but it's easy after you do it for all the search limits and turn on msgs!Have fun...
barry
>

3267. Re: Where's that FCC (index) site ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: sarge@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <37294fcc.50521485@NNTP.interaccess.com>
Source record: 120310

On 30 Apr 1999 05:08:51 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>A fellow has posted information on an FCC web site where you can get into their
>frequency index online. I copied his posting from a while back, but that site
>is either closed now or there was a typo in the posting.
>
>I would greatly appreciate any information on that site.
>
>Many thanks.

This will take you to the frequency menu

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Happy Scanning!!

3268. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37295224.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 120311

k9kz@indy.net wrote in message <7g8l36gno1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can
be
>heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info
in
>the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be
heard
>on scanners? Thanks.

What does "it is digital " mean? Is it GSM or CDMA? If it is GSM then it might operate on 940MHz in the New England area or on the 1900MHz PCS frequencies in other areas. Either way it is quite safe. The technology to descramble this mode is out of reach of all but government agencies and they really need to do it via a wiretap at the exchange anyway.

CDMA is different, some systems do exist to crack it, but not generally available.

Brad VK2QQ

3269. Re: mon-58 antenna, any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37295B5F.52B65283@netxn.com>
Source record: 120312

A great antenna ... I have been using this antenna for many years ...
blows away the discones ...

Just my opinion ...

Mike Derryberry

David wrote:
>
> I was thinking of buying a mon-58 for the roof, I beleive it's made by
> Antenna Specialists. Is this a good all-band antenna? or is there something
> better out there.
>
> --
> ==========
> ==Screw you cable companies, hello Dish Network!===
> Motorcycles are everywhere,look twice & save a life
> =======If you have it, a trucker brought it!=======
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3270. Re: Coax types for outdoor antenna (monitenna)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37295D79.693D0B41@netxn.com>
Source record: 120313

For a 100 foot run I would use RG11. That is what I use and I works great. Much less loss than RG8. For runs shorter than 100 feet, RG6 works great. I have found that RG6 & RG11 work for the long run, then I use short piece of RG59 (for flexibility) to connect to the radio.

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

vf500@canada.com wrote:
>
> These are the types/prices I have found so far but I don't know which
> one I should get.
>
> I will need about 100 feet to be safe for my run to my base scanner,
> is there a maximum distance to run the cable?
>
> I will be using a monitenna as well.
>
> RG11U-500 500FT. 75 OHM COAX TV RG11 FULL ROLL $159.95
>
> RG58AU 50 OHM COAX STRANDED 0.18 PER FT.
>
> RG58U 50 OHM SOLID COAX 0.16 PER FT.
>
> RG58U-P-1000 1000FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $99.95
>
> RG58U-P-500 500FT. 50 OHM COAX SOLID CENTER FULL ROLL $52.95
>
> TWI RG59U-1000 1000FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $65.95
>
> TWI RG59U-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE FULL ROLL $34.95
>
> RG59U-95-500 500FT. TV 75 OHM COAX WIRE 95%COP.BRAID FULL ROLL $59.95
>
> RG8 50 OHM COAX 0.36 PER FT

3271. Re: What determines if an antenna is 50 ohms or 70 or 75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37295EA4.35F1440C@netxn.com>
Source record: 120314

Most scanners are 50ohm. On receive for a scanner the mismatch has
little effect. A good quality 75ohm coax (cable tv) RG6 or RG11 will out
do RG8 or RG58 with less loss ...

Mike Derryberry

nospam@canada.com wrote:
>
> I have been browsing past articles about antennae and see the
> reference to ohm ratings. How is that determined for a particular
> antenna and how does a mis-match affect a scanner?

3272. Re: Help on what my scanner is doing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <eeJmGevk#GA.350@nih2naac.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120315

ipsofac@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> ... Tonite it stopped 513.400 and the LCD "S meter was pegged but
> there was complete silence other than some very quiet static ...

Odds are that you were listening to the video carrier for TV Ch 21.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

3273. Western Pennsylvania Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelley@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <37299a04.975660@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120316

I am traveling to a small town in western Pennsylvania called North Washington, just outside of Butler.

Has anybody got a list of freqs from that area other than what is found in Police Call ?

Any help would be greatly appreciated!

GK
kelley@ix.netcom.com.

3274. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <372a9b40.2728603@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 120317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 19:07:55 +1000, "Brad Granger"
<bgranger@one.net.au> wrote:

>I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
>but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
>also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
>for you to eavesdrop on!
>
>Brad
>
Then I guess AirTouch will have to transfer my unlimited night and
weekend calling plan to digital, since their ad said "Free Forever"
when I started service in 1997. Right now there aren't any carriers in
my area offering unlimited night and weekend calling plans on digital.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

3275. Re: Western Pennsylvania Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <37299E04.839BF4C2@pitt.edu>
Source record: 120318

Gene,

Try my webpage at http://www.pitt.edu/~ruhe if I don't have it listed
check the link section of the page I'm sure you will find another page
that will have what you are loking for. Good Luck -Dan- KE3UC

Gene Kelley wrote:
>
> I am traveling to a small town in western Pennsylvania called North
> Washington, just outside of Butler.
>
> Has anybody got a list of freqs from that area other than what is
> found in Police Call ?
>
> Any help would be greatly appreciated!
>
> GK
> kelley@ix.netcom.com.

3276. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc670$q5m@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3277. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc67s$q5s@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3278. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc67n$q5r@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3279. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc66l$q5k@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 20, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne
National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55
in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start
whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in
the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally
frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory
building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want
to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or
six pack.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3280. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc67b$q5o@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 17, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from
the crystals used in the conventional single channel
Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3281. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc66r$q5l@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 20, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3282. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc676$q5n@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: February 27, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3283. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc682$q5t@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed February 1, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is

slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new

frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency

of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100

components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan,

search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band

scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels

are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review

which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were

used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67,"

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan.

Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The

Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels.

Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes

quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no

scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar #chan- VHF VHF UHF lock-
Model nels low high scans? outs?

FR104 6 X no
FR105 6 X no
FR2512 24 X no
FR2513 24 X no
FR2514 8 X yes no
FR2515 8 X yes no
FR2516 10 X yes yes
FR2517 10 X yes yes
FR2525 10 X yes yes
FR2526 10 X X yes yes
FR2527 10 X X yes yes
FR2528 10 X X yes yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel

programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state,

and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central

time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3284. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7gc67h$q5p@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120327
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated April 28, 1999 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

MAY 1999

May 1 (Sat), Cedarburg, WI - Ozaukee Radio Club Swapfest, Info: Joe Holly, AA9HR, 1702 Holly Ln., Grafton, WI 53024, 414-377-2137

May 2 (Sun), Sandwich, IL - Kishwaukee Amateur Radio Club Hamfest, Sandwich Fairgrounds. Admission $?. Ends at 2 PM. Talk-in 146.73 MHz. Info: Bob Yurs W9ICU, phone 815-895-3219, email: w9icu@tbcnet.com. (Nice mid-sized hamfest out in country. Lots of tailgating. Few commercial exhibitors. Always a good time. Fairly good restroom facilities - AJ9S).

May 14, 15, 16 (Fri - Sun) - Dayton, OH. Dayton HamVention. See http://www.hamvention.org

May 15 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). | Dupage County Fairgrounds. |

May 16 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). orland Park Civic Center. |

JUNE 1999

Jun 6 (Sun), Princeton, IL - Starved Rock RC. info: Frank Carraro, KF9NZ, PO Box 198, Leonore, IL 61332/ 815-856-3773. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks/ E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 13 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Dupage County Fairgrounds. Six Meter Club of Chicago. Gates open 7 AM, buildings 8 AM. | Tickets $6 at the gate. No booze. Recorded InfoLine: 312- | 442-4961. VE testing 9 - 11 AM only. Call InfoLine to | preregister for testing. info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109

Blackburn Ave., Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. tel. 630- | 963-4922.

Jun 13 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

JULY 1999

Jul 11 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Kankakee Area Radio Society. Will County fairgrounds. Admission $5 at the gate. Take I-57 to Peotone Exit 327 (east). 146.94 MHz (-600) talk-in. info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. tel. 815-939-7548, E-mail: k9nr@juno.com. http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/hangar/5711 For Reserved Tables and Advanced Tickets, send check (payable to KARS) and S.A.S.E. to: CHIP MOORE, K9IOC, 289 S. Euclid Ave. Bradley, IL 60915, E-Mail: chipm@megsinet.net or to BILL DESALVO, W9OQW, 403 Birch Dr., Shorewood, IL 60431. 815-744-5099.

Jul 18 (Sun), Sugar Grove, IL. Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. For tables, tickets, and information: contact N9UZC James E. Von Olnhausen, n9uzc@amsat.org, (630)-879-3042, FRRL, Box 673, Batavia, IL 60510-0673. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 1999

Aug 7 (Sat) - Quincy, IL. Western Illinois Amateur Radio Club Ham Radio and Computer SWAPFEST 1999. 8:00AM to 2:00PM. The Eagles Alps Grounds (3737 North 5th Street) 4.5 miles north of downtown Quincy with easy access from US Highway 24. Admission $3.00 at the Gate ($2.50 in advance) per person, under 12 - Free. ARRL VEC testing for all license classes at 12:30PM. Indoor Flea Market space available for $5.00 which includes one 5' table. Outdoor tailgating space - Free. Talk-in on 147.63/147.03 MHz. Plenty of convenient parking available. Lunch will be served on the grounds. Children's playground area. For additional information contact N9JF, jfunk@adams.net

Aug 8 (Sun), Peotone, IL - Hamfesters Radio Club. Will County fairgrounds. info: Dave Brasel, NF9N, 6933 West 110th St., Worth, IL 60482. E-mail: nf9n@aol.com. tel. 708-448-0580 (Usually an excellent hamfest - AJ9S).

Aug 14 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). | Dupage County Fairgrounds. |

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info:

Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized ham-fest - AJ9S).

SEPTEMBER 1999

Sept 25, 26 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL Chicago FM Club. Lake County fairgrounds. info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Ave., Norridge, IL 60656-3245. tel. 708-457-0966 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3285. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <37299d3b.1757971@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you saying EVERY minute of EVERY day you are busy learning/doing
something that is of the utmost importance to your future?You dont
watch TV?Do you have any hobbies?How much time do you spend on the
internet trying,in vain,to justify your incorrect feelings about the
CW requirements? CW is part of it and if you have a problem with
that,learn to play another musical instrument and leave the ARS to
those who choose to follow the rules.I dont agree with all the rules
but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 19:34:05 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>Joe wrote:
>>
>> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>
>But why waste those 10-15 minutes a day to learn something you will
>never use and only forget?
>
>It may take only 10-15 minutes a day to learn how to play the harp, why
>don't you do that? Perhaps because you don't WANT to play the harp?
>Then I will call you LAZY.

3286. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: unit77@mynet.net
Message-ID: <37299f77.2330086@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I dont know who placed a gun to your head and forced you to persue the
Amateur Radio hobby,but you should,when he isnt paying attention,run
like hell!!!YOU arent needed or wanted in Amateur Radio!!!You are ,by
far,a much too busy man to have another hobby..:>)

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 21:31:30 -0500, "BungHole" <bung@hole.org> wrote:

>These guys just don't get it do they?
>Hello!
>No Speaka de english?
>Do you comprende?Understand!
>Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some =
>useless
>outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little =
>hobby.
>We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to =
>make
>the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.
>
>:If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>
>

3287. Re: The CW Thread....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3729A89C.345C5CAB@ibm.net>
Source record: 120330

Phil Smalley wrote:
> It's just funny that something most scanner enthusiasts have no
> interest in can go on for days and days on this group, while the
> topic of HR-514 has all but vanished. How about it, any new news, or
> are we just going to sit around and do nothing about a blatant attack
> on this hobby?

514 is still sitting on the sidelines waiting to go before the Senate
so no new news. From what I have heard, the major manufacturers do
not consider it a threat to future development of digital radios so
they won't take the time/money to touch it. If they don't then I
don't think anyone else has the clout to. Personally, I'd much rather
see it re-written but doubt it will happen since the big dogs don't
seem to give a hoot.

> What about Bill Cheeks arrest? Do we now not care about that? Did I
> miss a staff meeting on this one?

Very little new news here. Think we have to ride this one out for
now...just like 514.

> Maybe the group was changed to: rec.amateur.trolling.for.flames
> and no one bothered to tell me? Yeah, that must be it.....

That has always been the sub-title of most USENET groups and
seems to be visible mainly to the children at play...

> Sheesh.

And then some!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3288. Re: yupi mvt-9000 programming question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3729AC80.BD9D3404@ibm.net>
Source record: 120331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote:
> From the users manual I understand that you can program each
> frequency with a title??!!? Can someone clarify if this is true.

Yes, you can alpha-tag all 1000 memory channels, 20 memory banks
and 20 search bands.

> I have tried and tried to get this to work but can not. If true
> can you point out how to do it...

I would suggest you visit my MVT-9000 page and download the
Owner's Guide I wrote. Three formats are available:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/mvt-9000.htm

Once done, look under the section titled "Editing Memory
Alpha-tags" for details on how to program a memory bank
or memory channel with such a tag. Good luck!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3289. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3729AB11.FB0D1BB3@ibm.net>
Source record: 120332

JTWard01 wrote:
> The 245XLT will track both GE/Ericsson trunked systems and Motorola trunked
> systems regardless of the frequency range.
> The current trunktrackers will only track Motorola 800MHz systems.
> Also, the current radios will not scan conventional frequencies and track
> a trunked system at the same time. The 245XLT will track one Moto system, one
> GE/E system and conventional freqs., all at the same time.

In regards to the last sentence, I believe if you are tracking
one trunked system, the most you can scan is one other bank.
So 1 Mot & 1 GE, or 1 GE & 1 Conventional, or 1 Mot & 1
Conventional, or 2 Mot, or 2 GE, or the typical multiple
conventional.

Will supposedly allow trunking on 400 & 500 MHz instead of
limiting it to just 800 MHz.

It will also have the Smart Scanner feature to download
frequency data via web site based on your geographic location.

Also supposed to allow 100 trunking ids/system instead of
the current 50.

Rich Barnett also wrote that it will have "improved audio"
as well as a squelch-controlled backlight. See my News
Archive for more.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3290. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gcarr$5ld$0@208.207.71.144>
Source record: 120333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill & Lisa McCrory wrote:
>
> Amen! I remember the first time I made myself part of the discharge
> path of something like a 100 ufd 450 VDC electrolytic in a HV power
> supply. Talk about an enlightening experience... My hair smelled funny
> for weeks after that.

EVERYTHING smells funny for weeks after that!

3291. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3729AFE2.45DE4050@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe wrote:
> I dont agree with all the rules
> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!

But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
to try to change the rules?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3292. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gcar0$h4h$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Jim wrote in message <7g8bnrnrq1@brokaw.wa.com>...
>"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:
>
>>attitude that some people have that the license was a final exam that
>>granted rights and privlidges.
>
>Since the inception of incentive licensing, the exam does grant
>privledges. As far as being 'final', in my case yes, in your case,
>no.....

And maybe thats exactly what's wrong, that some people feel that
their privlidge is 'earned' and damn to everybody else. Just like
CBers that 'claim' a channel. I hear the same thing on HF. "My
Frequecy' kind of BS. They're just CBers with another license.

>>(it's a hobby, not a lifestyle as some people that appear to have no
>>life seem to forget) so that you can learn and grow,
>>not stagnate.
>
>Did you figure all this out in the last couple months by reading
>newsgroups ?
>
>73, Jim KH2D

You bet! That and seeing the lids that make a slight advancement
and then sit on their ass being 'experts' without knowing what the hell
is going on. Extras (and one a 'tech rep') who are surprised when they
learn that an alkaline battery last longer than a nicad. Or who tell
people to 'cut the lock line' in a PLL circuit.

Don't judge me because I don't spout CW. I used to like it. In fact,
I still do, but I don't operate CW anymore, and the skills have long
been lost. I still have my old Swan downstairs. It has NEVER seen
a mic in it. In fact, it has NEVER seen anything but a straight key.
Period. In the entire time I've owned it since 1981. (I even have
a mobile chopper for it.) One of these days I'll get that fire back
to operate CW again. But I'll do it because I WANT to, not because
I have to.

Mike

3293. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gcavq$h91$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Why can't you get it through your head the difference between
forced to learn and tested on knowledge and being forced
to learn a skill.

Opps, sorry, do you think the skill is more important than the
knowledge?

Mike Yetsko

Dave Heil wrote in message <37293597.677C@cats-net.com>...
>Sir wrote:
>>
>> > > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
>> > > want to".
>>
>> Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I
will
>> never use."
>>
>> Let's force everyone to learn how to play the harp before they are
>> allowed to drive a car. Those who do not like harp music we will
call
>> lazy.
>
>
>Let's force everyone to learn math skills before graduating from high
>schools. Those who do not like math, we will call "dropouts".
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
```